The Effectiveness and Cost Effectiveness of an Intervention to Reduce Alcohol-Related Violence in Premises Licensed for the On-site Sale and Consumption of Alcohol: A Randomised Controlled Trial

Supplemental 1

Risk Audit

The risk audit developed for use in the SMILE intervention. Descriptive statistics for all premises audited are presented in Supplemental 2.





Audit

Premises: [name and address of premises]



Please return to:

AWLPI Violence & Society Research Group School of Dentistry Cardiff University Cardiff CF14 4XY

Version 3.2 - 7 Nov 2012

Risk Control Indicators

A score of 1 must only be allocated when all the elements are in place and should represent a situation where the inspector believes that no further improvement is possible. For scores of 2 and 3 enforcement action may be appropriate. A score of 4 or more will indicate that enforcement action is appropriate.

Risk Control	Guidance
Indicator	
1	High standards with some meeting best practice
2	One or more minor shortcomings can be dealt with orally
	& informally
3	Standards patchy: one or more of the shortcomings must
	be dealt with by formal instructions for remedial action to be
	taken.
4	Standards generally unsatisfactory Typically, there is at
	least one contravention that gives rise to a discernible risk
	gap
5	Standards generally unacceptable unless the EHO
	identifies duty holder factors that provide strong mitigation,
	issuing a notice and/ or prosecution is likely to be
	appropriate
6	Standards unacceptable. Issuing a notice and/ or
	prosecution is likely to be appropriate

Legislation guide

Law/Regulation	Acronym used
Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974 section 2(3)	HSWA 1974
Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous	RIDDOR 1995
Occurrences Regulations 1995	
Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations	MHSW 1999
1999	
Control of SHH Regulations (2002).	COSHH 2002
Workplace (Health, Safety and Welfare) Regulations	WHSW 1992
1992	
The Health and Safety (First-Aid) Regulations 1981	HSFAR 1981
The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005	RRO 2005
The Licensing Act 2003	LA 2003

SMILE AUDIT

Audit Area	Audit guidance
Q3. Premises	
E1 & E2. Total no. employees	People on employers books who can be called on to work at establishment
C2. Entertainment	Please ensure you clarify the type of entertainment rather than delivery method e.g. music is music regardless of how it is delivered (juke box, TV, digital)

Premises ID:

	翻
Dractored institute of	0
Environmental Health	
Sefuelted Sertrede	
lechyd yr	
Amgylchedd	

Safety Management in the Licensed Environment EHP Audit

OFFICE USE

O1.Premises ID:



INSTRUCTIONS

Write clearly using ink. Try to complete each question.

Please comment when asked, your comments will help us understand the context of any response

Q1. AUDIT A1. Time Start

(24 HR Clock)

A2. Audit Date

	_
Day	Month

A3. Distance to premises

A4. Travel time to audit

Hours Mins

miles

<u>_</u>:__

O2. Coded by:			
O3. Coding date:	Day	Month	Year
Q2. INSPE	ECTOR		
I1. Name	-		
– I2. Email	-		
- I3. EHO Gra	de _		
I4. Local Aut	hority	Torfae	n
15.Telephone	. –		

gwt0205

Q3. PREMISES

P1. Name	Six In Hand	C1. Is food served?	Yes 🔲 (1)	No 🗖 (0)
P2. Street	Edlogan Square		Recorded music	(1)
P3. Town		C2. Entertainment	Live Music	(2)
P4. Postcode	NP442NR	C2. Entertainment	Both Recorded and Live	e Music 🔲 (3)
			Sport (e.g. on TV)	(4)
Total E1. Full Time		C3. Environment	Urban	(1)
Employee Numbers	E2. Part Time /		Rural	(2)

Year

Version 3.2 - 7 Nov 2012

Q4. PREMISES CHARACTERISTICS

When does the premises typically stop serving alcohol to the public? Please use the 24HR format (e.g. for 11pm write 23:00)

rease use are zanicionnal (eignor riphi write zorob)								
CT1. Mon	CT2. Tue	CT3. Wed	CT4. Thur	CT5, Fri	CT6. Sat	CT7. Sun		

What are the premises busiest periods (when premises is at or near to capacity) tick all that apply

	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat	Sun	
BP1. Up to 3pm	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
BP2. 3pm to 8pm	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
BP3. 8pm to 11:30pm	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
BP4. 11:30pm onwards	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
BP5. Approximate number of customers during busiest								
times								

Q5. INTERVIEWEES

Space is provided to list all those involved with the audit, it is not expected that three people are interviewed

Interviewee #1	
S1A.Name	
S1B. Position	DPS/Manager (1) Shift Manager (2) Bar Staff (3) Other (4)
S1C. Other	
Interviewee #2	
S2A.Name	
S2B. Position	DPS/Manager (1) Shift Manager (2) Bar Staff (3) Other (4)
S2C. Other	
Interviewee #3	
S3A.Name	
S3B. Position	DPS/Manager (1) Shift Manager (2) Bar Staff (3) Other (4)
S3C. Other	

Premises ID:

Management	Relevant legislation, regulations& guidelines	Audit Score Guidance
area	2 For Dill - D2 for an idea of in success of an discharge of	
	 For R1 – R3 'no evidence' is response if auditor has not 	
R1A: Safety Policy	All employers have a duty of care to protect their employees and others from harm arising from work activities. Businesses employing five or more people are legally required to have a written health and safety policy containing: a. Statement general policy on health and safety at work b. Organisation and arrangements needed for putting that policy into practice. (HSWA 1974 section 2(3)) Employers have to bring policy to the attention of all employees. Policy should be reviewed and revised as often as necessary. While not legally required it is good practice for the policy to also consider the safety of all who might be effected by business activities, i.e. volunteers, contractors and the general public.	If written safety policy is legally required but none exists for inspection RCI 4, 5 or 6 awarded. If visible policy exists 2 or 3 may be awarded. Score depends on: • whether policy meets criteria in column two • manager knowledge of policy • legislation & best practice • attitude to policy and evidence of dissemination of knowledge to staff • evidence of regular revision/review of policy • positive attitude to making changes 1 awarded if all requirements met and further evidence or demonstration of good practice. If written safety policy (premises with < 5 employees) not legally required, score dependent on factors above. In 'comments' please identify any inclusion of safety policy to address violence or aggression
R2A: Written Risk Policy	 MHSW 1999 Reg 3 Employers with 5 or more staff must keep written records of assessment of risks to employee health and safety. Detail in leaflet INDG423 at http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/indg423.pdf which advises records should evidence efforts to: 1. Identify hazards: Particular risk may be due to customer disagreements, intoxication/illegal drug use. Evidence of consultation with staff and incident records to identify existing hazards. 2. Decide who may be harmed & how they may be harmed: Vulnerable staff/groups (e.g. lone, young, new workers) entrances can be 'hot spots' for violence, times of day, events. 3. Evaluate risk & seriousness of potential harm: Have employees been consulted about potential risks hazards. Has knowledge/evidence of actions been taken & precautions made? 4. Write down findings and actions. (RIDDOR 1995 legal duty to report some incidents of physical violence). Record incidents in incident book. 5. Review and update if/when necessary. Risk assessment must include assessment risk of hazardous substances and actions to prevent or adequately control those risks COSHH 2002 	 If written risk policy legally required but none exists for inspection 4, 5 or 6 should be awarded. If written risk policy legally required but none exists for awarded. The score awarded depends on whether risk assessment meets criteria in column 2: all areas met = 2 one or two area not met = 3 three to four areas not met = 4 four areas not met = 5 none met = 6 Actual score awarded dependent on extent of: staff and management knowledge of risk and possible harms actions taken to combat risk attitude to risk policy/risk reduction action and attitudes to necessary changes. 1 to be awarded if written risk assessment & policy meets all requirements, and further evidence or demonstration of good practice exists. If written risk assessment and policy not required score dependent on factors above Please comment on any inclusion of the risk of violence or aggression
R3A. Opening Checks and Walkabouts	Extra security at the time of opening/closing may reduce threat of attack and increase employee confidence. Viewing before entry for signs of forced entry can increase safety. Keep doors locked before official opening times. Ensure premises are clean, maintained, and environments not irritating.	No evidence or knowledge of specific opening checks score 3. Specific opening checks in place score 2. Above requirements met, with further evidence of good practice, score 1. Please specify any evident risk or concern in

Premises ID:

Q6. RECORDS HASWA 1974; MHSW F	Regs 1999							
R1A. Safety policy	legs leee							
This is a legal requirement for premises with five or more employees								
Visible evidence		(1)) R1	B. Comr	ments (rele	vant, up-	to-date, com	nmunicated to staff)
No evidence (2)								
Not applicable		(3)					
R2A. Written Risk Ass								
This is a legal requirement	ent for prem	ises with						
Visible evidence		(1)			ments (rele ith policy d		-	nmunicated to staff, staff
No evidence		(2))					
Not applicable		<u>(з</u>)					
R2C. Does it include vio	ence and a	aggressio	n?	Y	es 🗖 (1)		No 🗖 (0	0) N/A (9)
R2D. Does it include lor	ne working?			Y	es 🗖 (1)		No 🗖 (0	0) N/A 🔲 (9)
R3A. Opening Checks	and Walka	bouts						
Visible evidence		(1)) R3	B. Comr	ments (rele	vant, up-	to-date, com	nmunicated to staff)
No evidence		(2)					
Not applicable		(3)					
		-						
R4. RCI Score	N/A	_	_	_	ENFC		.NI	
(see appendix)		Ш	Ш			ш		
(,	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
ACTION TAKEN								_
ATR1.Verbal advice	Yes	(1)	ATR3	.Improv	ement	Yes	(1)	
, and a second second	No	(0)	notice	2		No	(0)	
	Yes	(1)		Deskiki		Yes	(1)	
ATR2.Written advice	No	(0)	AIR4	Prohibi	ition notice	No	(0)	
Referral to other statuto	ry body							
ATRP. Police		(1)	ATRE	F. Fire			(2)	
ATRO. Other		(3)	ATRL	LA Lic	ensing		(4)	
ATROC. If Other please	specify						•	1
								-

Premises ID:

Premises ID:

Q7. VISIBILITY AND LIGHTING

Is the visibility and lighting good throughout the premises? HASWA 1974; The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005

V1. Entrances / exits o	learly visit	ole?				Yes	(1)	No C	(0)	N/A 🗖 (9)
V2. Entrances / exits w	vell lit?					Yes	(1)	No	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
V3. Suitable lighting in	indoor are	eas?				Yes	(1)	No C	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
V4. Suitable lighting in	outdoor a	rea?				Yes	(1)	No C	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
V5. RCI Score (see appendix)	N/A	1 2	□ 3	ENF	ORCEM	ENT D 6				
ACTION TAKEN										
ATV1. Verbal advice	Yes	(1)	ATV3.	Improve	ement	Yes		(1)		
ATVI: Verbal advice	No	(0)	notice			No		(0)		
	Yes	(1)		D-1-1-1		Yes		(1)		
ATV2. Written advice	No	(0)	ATV4.	Prohibit	tion notic	e No		(0)		
Referral to other statut	ory body									
ATVP. Police		(1)	ATVF.	Fire				(2)		
ATVO. Other		(3)	ATVL.	LA Lice	nsing			(4)		
ATVOC. If Other pleas	e specify									

Premises ID:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Observation Checks: Visual Check by Auditor	
HS1. Are electrical	HSWA 1974, MHSW 1999, require all electrical	If there is no evidence that electrical or gas
safety records	equipment to be installed /maintained by competent person, Recommendations (IEE wiring	installations have been carried out and maintained by a competent person, and/or a fire assessment
available/up to date?		carried out with any recommended actions
1100 Ann ann antata	regs B\$ 7671) fixed system inspected/tested at least every 5 years, with certificate issued to	implemented 4, 5 or 6 should be awarded.
HS2. Are gas safety records available/up	provide the duty holder with accurate assessment	
to date?	of the condition of the electrical installation. These	If competent installation and satisfactory fire
to date?	recommendations are not legal requirements.	checks have been carried out, actions taken and
H\$3. Fire checks	recommendations are not legal requirements.	visible records to ascertain this are available than 2 or 3 may be awarded.
evident?	Gas Safety (Installation and Use) Regulations	2 or 3 may be awarded.
erident.	1998: Any work based gas installation or gas	Actual score dependant on:
HSE, 4 Are fire	appliances must be maintained to prevent risk of	extent of certification
extinguishers	personal injury. Appropriate maintenance will be	 management attitude to health and safety
serviced/maintained?	determined by the installation's age, condition and	checks
HSE 5. Do fire exit	usage. Installations should be inspected by a	 evidence of regular revision and review of electrical
external routes have	competent person. Annual inspections are reasonable minimum frequency. If an inspector	 gas and fire maintenance standards and
any hazards or risks	feels installations are not properly maintained and	needs
preventing their safe	may be a danger, enforcement action may be	 good attitude to necessary changes
use?	taken.	Score of 1 to be awarded if all health and safety
		checks are met, with further evidence or
HSE 6. Are there any	The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order	demonstration of good practice.
visible risks?	2005: the responsible person must carry out a fire	Please specify any evident risk or concern in
	safety risk assessment and implement and	'comments'
	maintain a fire management plan.	
HSE 7. Floor	Floors pose risk if possibility of slip/twist anywhere	If it is felt that the conditions of floors,
conditions	exists. WHSW 1992 requires floors be in good	housekeeping, ventilation, heating, WCs, First Aid
HSE 8.	condition/obstruction free. MHSW (1999) requires	are generally unsatisfactory/unacceptable 4, 5 or 6 should be awarded.
	employers assess risks & take needed action.	
Housekeeping	Inside flooring should, as is reasonably practicable, be able to be kept sufficiently clean, waste material	If standards are generally acceptable with but few,
HSE 9. Ventilation	should not be allowed to build up.	minor changes necessary 2 or 3 may be awarded.
		Actual score dependant on:
HSE 10. Heating	HSWA 1974 & Fire Safety Order 2005	the number of problems
	acknowledge windows/openings can provide sufficient ventilation but demand mechanical	 the extent of the problems
HSE 11.Public WC	sufficient ventilation but demand mechanical ventilation be provided and regularly maintained if	 management attitude to the problems in
condition	needed.	areas under check
		 evidence of regular revision and review of
HSE 12. First Aid	WHSW 1992 requires employers to provide	health and safety standards in these areas
	"reasonable" work temperature (sedentary work at	 attitude to necessary changes
	least 16 °C, physical work 13 °C).	1 to be awarded if all areas are satisfactory, with
	WHSW 1992 demand sufficient, clean, well lit,	further evidence or demonstration of good
	ventilated toilets & washbasins with: hot/cold	practice.
	running water; supply of toilet paper; means of	
	sanitary dressing disposal; enough washing	Please specify any evident risk or concern in
	agents; separate facilities for both genders or	'comments'
	lockable doors; basin large enough to wash	
	hands/forearms if needed; means for drying hands; showers if needed.	
	First Aid: HSFAR 1981. All employers must provide	
	adequate/appropriate first aid equipment, facilities and personnel to ensure employees receive	
	immediate attention if they are injured/taken ill at	
	work.	
ļ		l

Premises ID:

Q8. HEALTH & SAFETY OBSERVATION & CHECKS Health and Safety visual check by auditor.

HASWA 1974; MHSW Regs 1999

HS1. Are electricity safety records available and up to date?	Yes 🔲 (1)	No 🔲 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
HS2. Are gas safety records available and up to date?	Yes 🔲 (1)	No 🗖 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
HS3. Are Fire checks evident?	Yes 🔲 (1)	No 🔲 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
HS4. Are fire extinguishers maintained and serviced?	Yes 🔲 (1)	No 🔲 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
HS5. Do the fire exit external routes have any hazards or risks preventing their safe use?	Yes 🔲 (1)	No 🔲 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
HS8. Are there any visible risks?	Yes 🔲 (1)	No 🔲 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)

Please record details of any risks or hazards you see:

	5 or any		or mazar		Sec.				Com	ment
HS7. Condition of floo	ors	Risk	(1)	N	lo Risk	(0)	HS7	′в _		
HS8. Housekeeping		Risk	(1)	N	lo Risk	(0)	HSE	в		
HS9. Ventilation		Risk	(1)	N	lo Risk	(0)	HS	в		
HS10. Heating		Risk	(1)	N	lo Risk	(0)	HS1	IOB _		
HS11. Public WC Co	ndition	Risk	(1)	N	lo Risk	(0)	HS1	1B _		
HS12. First Aid		Risk	(1)	N	lo Risk	(0)	HS1	2B _		
	N/A				ENF	ORCEN	IENT			
HS13. RCI Score (see appendix)										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			

ACTION TAKEN

ATHS1. Verbal advice	Yes	(1)	ATHS3. Improvement	Yes	(1)
ATHST. Verbai advice	No	(0)	notice	No	(0)
ATUS2 Written advise	Yes	(1)	ATHS4. Prohibition	Yes	(1)
ATHS2. Written advice	No	(0)	notice	No	(0)
Referral to other statutor	ry body		-		
ATHSP. Police		(1)	ATHSF. Fire		(2)
ATHSO. Other		(3)	ATHSL. LA Licensing		(4)
ATHSOC. If Other pleas	e specify				

Premises ID:

SR1. CCTV at premises?	ngements sufficient to protect health and safety? HSWA 1974, RRO 2005, WHSW 1992, MHSW	If it is felt that CCTV is needed, it
SR2. Signage displayed?	1999 requires employers to carry out risk reduction using a clear hierarchy of controls.	is reasonably practicable but not provided 4, 5 or 6 should be awarded.
SR3. Position of cameras suitable? SR4. Blind Areas from the bar?	Where it is <i>reasonably practicable</i> control actions include: substitution of a hazardous activity with one less hazardous, or use of improved equipment or technical solutions to reduce the level of risk, e.g. use CCTV or improved surveillance by staff.	If CCTV is not needed, not reasonably practicable, or in place with suitable signage and no blind areas with few, minor changes necessary 2 or 3 may be awarded.
SR5. Blind Areas from Door staff?	If it is felt premises need CCTV, CCTV should be inside and outside the premises. Surveillance should cover all internal areas accessible to the public, and areas immediately outside the premises. The date and time settings on the system must be correct. CCTV images should give full face good quality images & cover areas blind to bar and door staff. Recordings should be in real time and on hard drive with the ability to copy for other agencies (e.g. police). Recordings should be kept for a minimum of 31 days. It is preferable that security systems should be integrated so CCTV and lighting work together effectively. Are CCTV images properly monitored, is there a control room? If so, how is communication with floor staff organised? Signage of CCTV should exist so staff & clients know CCTV is in operation. It should be in the immediate vicinity of the CCTV, clearly visible and legible to the public, A4 or A3 in size. Signage should state that CCTV is in operation, identify a responsible person and contact number.	Actual score awarded dependant on: • the needs of the establishment • practice in use of CCTV • the extent of associated problems (signage, blind- spots) • management attitude to surveillance • evidence of regular revision and review of surveillance standards and needs • good attitude to necessary changes Score of 1 to be awarded if all health and safety checks are satisfactory, with further evidence or demonstration of good practice. Please specify any evident risk or concern in 'comments'

Premises ID:

Q9. SURVEILLANCE Are the surveillance arrangements sufficient to protect Health and Safety? HASWA 1974; MHSW Regs 1999

SR1. CCTV at premise	is?					Yes	(1)	No 🗖	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
SR2. Signage displaye	d?					Yes	(1)	No 🗖	(0)	N/A 🛛 (9)
SR3. Position of came	ras suitable	e?				Yes	(1)	No 🗖	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
SR4. Blind areas from	the bar?					Yes	(1)	No 🗖	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
SR5. Blind areas from	Door Staff	?				Yes	(1)	No 🗖	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
SR6. How many month	ns are CCT	'V images k	ept?					N/A	(9)	
SR7. Where are CCTV	images ke	ept?							(9)	
Auditor										
SR8. Is CCTV storage	adequate?	,				Yes	(1)	No 🗖	(0)	N/A 🛛 (9)
SR9. RCI Score (see appendix)		1 1 2	<mark>П</mark> 3	ENFC 4		IENT 6				
ACTION TAKEN										
ATSR1. Verbal advice	Yes No	(1)	ATSR3 notice	3. Improv	ement	Yes		(1)		
ATSR 2. Written	Yes	(1)	ATSR4	. Prohibi	tion	Yes	5	(1)		
advice	No	(0)	notice			No		(0)		
Referral to other statut	ory body									
ATSRP. Police		(1)	ATSRF	F. Fire				(2)		
ATSRO. Other		(3)	ATSRL	LA Lice	ensing			(4)		
ATSROC. If Other plea	se specify									

Premises ID:

Q10. Noise and communic	ation ; can staff communicate about ri	sk effectively			
NI. What sources of noise might affect communication between staff?	LA 2003. Licences are often granted with conditions to prevent public nuisance arising. If any conditions exist have they been breached?	If conditions exist and there is evidence they have been breached, and/or staff are unable to communicate about risk effectively 4 , 5 or 6 should be awarded.			
N3. How do staff communicate during busy periods?	Powers to control statutory noise nuisance given by the Environmental Protection Act 1990. MHSW 1999 demand staff are not put in situations	If license conditions do not exist or are met but there is evidence staff cannot communicate effectively then 3 , 4 , 5 , can be awarded.			
	of increased risk to prevent this staff must be able to communicate about risk effectively.	If license conditions do not exist or are met and there is evidence that staff can communicate effectively 2 or 3 may be awarded.			
		Actual score dependant on:			
		 the license of the establishment 			
		 how well license is met 			
		 existing communication levels among staff 			
		 management attitude to staff communication 			
		 evidence of regular revision and review of communication standards and needs 			
		 good attitude to necessary changes 			
		Score of 1 awarded when no license conditions exist or conditions are met and all staff communication about risk appears to be effective, with further evidence of good practice.			
		Please specify any evident risk or concern in 'comments'			

Premises ID:

Q10. NOISE AND C	оммили	ATION						
Can staff communicate HASWA 1974; MHSW			y?					
N1. What sources of no communication betwee	N1. What sources of noise might affect communication between staff (tick all that					Custo	omers 🔲 (2)	Other (3)
apply)								
N2. If other, specify								
N3. How do staff comm periods?	nunicate du	ring busy	Ve	rbally	(1)	Radio	(2)	Other (3)
N4. If other, specify								
N5. RCI Score (see appendix)		םנ						
	0 1	2	3	4	5	6		
ACTION TAKEN								
ATN1 Verbal advice	Yes	(1)	ATN3.	Improve	ement	Yes	(1)	
Arren verbar advice	No	(0)	notice		No	(0)		
ATN2. Written advice	Yes	(1)	ATNA	Deshihi	tion notic	Yes	(1)	
A IN2. Whiteh advice	No	(0)	ATIN4.	Fronibil	uon noue	No	(0)	
Referral to other statut	ory body	•				•		
ATNP. Police		(1)	ATNF.	Fire			(2)	
ATNO. Other		(3)	ATNL.	LA Lice	nsing		(4)	
ATNOC. If Other pleas	e specify							

Premises ID:

Q11. Risk planning. Engagem RP1: Regular engagement with Pub-watch or similar? RP2: Visible evidence of alcohol promotions? RP3. Number of front of house staff during quiet times? RP4. Busy times? RP5 During special events or promotions?	Pubwatch provides good practice information. Licensed premises in the scheme must agree on a number of policies for individuals who cause, or threaten, violence, abuse or damage. 'Best Bar None' raises standards of operation, with key elements being the promotion of: responsible licensed trade management, socially responsible drinking, customer care, and a commitment to reducing crime and disorder in town centres and public places due to alcohol abuse. Literature has identified factors leading to excessive drinking and possible violence. These include heavy drinking culture, low alcohol price and easy	If it is felt to be <i>reasonably</i> practicable for a premises to carry out controls for staff risk reduction by increasing staff numbers at busy times but no such action is taken then 4, 5 or 6 should be awarded. If staff numbers are found to vary to meet the needs of busy periods 2 or 3 may be awarded. Actual score awarded dependant on: • the extent of fluctuation in staff numbers • when staff numbers fluctuate (busy times, events) • management attitude to staff numbers
	availability, alcohol advertising and low price promotion. Alcohol promotions include any advertised offer that might encourage consumers to drink more, e.g. double up for £1 on spirits, liquid nitrogen in cocktails, free glass of wine if you buy 2, any alcohol discount. The law (HSWA, 1974) requires employers to carry out risk reduction. Where it is <i>reasonably practicable</i> controls including safe systems of work and personal protective measures	 evidence of regular revision and review of need to vary staff numbers use and extent of alcohol promotions good attitude to necessary changes membership of 'Pubwatch' or similar (if not used, could recommend premises manager investigate availability and use, or ask licensing to initiate a local
	should be adopted. Guidance in http://www.hse.gov.uk/violence/toolki <u>t/staffing.htm</u> states that inadequate staffing levels can lead to unsatisfactory customer service thus increasing risk of violence/aggression from customers, and increasing factors such as increased crowding and poor	branch) Score of 1 to be awarded if all above requirements for training and records are met, and further evidence or demonstration of good practice and or participation in Pubwatch or similar.
	cleanliness of premises. Actions may include increasing staff levels at peak times; having a safe room where staff can easily retreat; having a male/female balance in staffing; avoiding staff isolation. Staff should be encouraged to engage in local communities, police and LAs to identify future events and potential problems (aggressive customers)	Please specify any evident risk or concern in 'comments'

Premises ID:

Q11. RISK PLANNIN	-										
Engagement in local lice	ensee for	ra									
RP1. Is there regular engagement with PubWatch or similar? Yes (1) No (0) N/A (9)											
Alcohol promotions								•	•		
RP2. Is there visible evidence of alcohol promotions? Yes (1) No (0) N/A (9)											
Number of front-of-hous	e staff (r	not includ	ing de	oor staff a	and clear	ers)?					
RP3. During quiet times	RP3. During quiet times RP6. How are emergency staff shortages dealt with?										
RP4. During busy times			_								
RP5. During special eve	nts/prom	notions									
This RCI Score is base not the premises runs RP7. RCI Score (see appendix)		-	emen 2	nt of pron		and bu DRCEN		ds, NOT jus	t on whether or		
ACTION TAKEN											
ATRP1 Verbal advice	Yes	(1)	ATRP3. In	RP3. Improvement Yes			(1)			
ATT I. Verbaradvice	No		0) r	notice			No	(0)			
ATRP2. Written advice	Yes		1)	ATRP4. P	rohibitio	n	Yes	(1)			
ATRE2. Written advice	No		o) r	notice			No	(0)			
Referral to other statutor	ry body						-				
ATRPP. Police			1)	ATRPF. F	ire			(2)			
ATRPO. Other	3) 4	ATRPL. LA Licensing									

Premises ID:

ATRPOC. If Other please specify

Q.12. Door management: Is th	e door managed effectively?				
DS1: Door security staff SIA licensed? DS2: Is there a door staff register?	LA 2003: If premises license stipulates that one or more people must be at the premises to carry out security commitments it is a legal requirement that they are SIA	If premises license stipulates that security staff are needed at premises, and no staff are employed, or staff that are employed do not have SIA licenses then 4 , 5 or 6 should be awarded. If licensed door staff are required and			
DS3: Are SIA registration numbers present on registers? DS4. Do security staff monitor the internal environment as well as the door?	licensed. There should be a door-staff register. If so, does it include a. Staff SIA numbers? b. Number of DS staff at busy times?	 employed 2, 3 or 4 may be awarded. Actual score awarded dependant on: the existence and contents of door staff register extent of fluctuation in security staff numbers existence of queuing system policy for intoxicated/disorderly customers 			
DS5. Number of DS at busy times? DS6. Is there a policy for ID checks? DS7. Is there a policy for refusal of entry to intoxicated or disorderly customers? DS8 Is there a queuing system in place?	Do management encourage a relaxed friendly approach by door supervisors, as this can result in reduced violence? Door staff should ask for ID checks, even at busy times. Is there a queuing system, are staff or door supervisors trained in crowd control. Who is supervising queuing? LA 2003 section 140 demands	customers policy for ID checks management attitude to door management and staff policies evidence of regular revision and review of door needs good attitude to necessary changes Score of 1 to be awarded if all above requirements for training and records are met, and further evidence or demonstration of good practice. Please specify any evident risk or			
	disorderly people are not be allowed on the premises. Is there a policy in place for shared responsibility for dealing with disorderly/intoxicated customers? Does this include recognised cross- premise policy for identifying intoxicated customers and for resultant action?	concern in 'comments'			

Premises ID:

Q12. DOOR MANAGEMENT

During periods when the Licensing Act 2003	premises i	s busy, ir	ncluding	special (events,	is the door	managed e	ffective	ly?
DS1. Are all security sta	ff SIA licens	Yes 🗖 (1) No	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)				
DS2. Is there a door stat	ff register?	Yes 🔲 (1) No	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)				
DS3. Are SIA registratio register? DS4. Do security staff m		Yes 🔲 (-		N/A 🔲 (9)				
the door?		incention en				Yes 🔲 (1) No	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
DS5. Number of DS at b									
DS6. Is there a policy for	r ID checks		Yes 🗖 (1) No 🗖	(0)	N/A (9)			
DS7. Is there a policy for customers?	derly	Yes 🗖 (1) No	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)				
DS8. Queuing system in		Yes 🔲 (1) No	(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)				
D\$9. RCI Score (see appendix)	N/A	□ 2	□ 3	ENFC 4					
ACTION TAKEN								_	
ATDS1. Verbal advice	Yes No	(1)	ATDS3 notice	3. Improv	vement	Yes No	(1)		
ATDS2. Written advice	Yes	(1)		4. Prohib	ition	Yes			
	No	(0)	notice			No	(⁰)		
Referral to other statutor	ry body							1	
ATDSP. Police		(1)	ATDSF	F. Fire			(2)		
ATDSO. Other		(3)	ATDSI	L. LA Lio	ensing		(4)		
ATDSOC. If Other pleas	e specify]	

Premises ID:

MP1. Are staff trained to	HSWA 1974 demands employers	If a premises employs 5 or more			
manage drunk/disorderly customers?	protect the health, safety and welfare of employees, this duty includes all forms of work-related	employees, no assessment of the risk of work-related violence and necessary actions has been carried			
 MP3. What characteristics are used for staff to decide if someone is too drunk? MP5. What action is taken in respect of severely intoxicated individuals? MP7. What action is taken in respect of disorderly individuals? MP7. What action is taken in respect of disorderly individuals? MP7. What action is taken in respect of disorderly individuals? MP7. What action is taken in respect of disorderly individuals? MP7. What action is taken in respect of disorderly individuals? MP7. What action is taken in respect of disorderly individuals? MP9. Are there barriers preventing staff from following set procedures? Violence, defined by H2. 'Any incident in which a abused, threatened or in circumstances relating work'. This includes: pluster work'. This includes: pluster work'. This includes: pluster work in the securation is taken in respect of disorderly individuals? 	violence, defined by HSE as: 'Any incident in which a person is abused, threatened or assaulted in circumstances relating to their work'. This includes: physical violence, verbal abuse, racial or sexual abuse, threats and intimidation. Employers are required by law to carry out a risk assessment, including identification of which groups of people in a business	out, and/or a system of recording an reporting injuries etc. does not exist then 4,5 or 6 should be awarded. If a risk assessment, action and incident reporting system is in place 3 or 4 may be awarded. Actual score awarded dependant on the extent of the risk assessment and action the practice and use of a system record and report incidents the extent of staff training for			
	could be harmed by physical assaults, threats, intimidation or verbal abuse. If a business employs 5 or more people it must record findings and resultant control measures.	dealing with potential violence. Score of 1 to be awarded if all above requirements are met, and further evidence or demonstration of good practice.			
	Under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995 (RIDDOR) certain incidents of physical violence must be recorded. It is good practice to record all incidents in an incident book, including cases of verbal abuse and near misses.	If the premises employs less than 5 and risk assessment is not required, scores depend on the incident reporting system and the factors itemised. Please specify any evident risk or concern in 'comments'			
	People who work in pubs/clubs have identified the following methods for dealing with potential violence: staff training – particularly on identifying and resolving conflict; having approachable and active managers; giving accurate information to give to the police if needed; banning persistent offenders.				

Premises ID:

Q13. MANAGING PE		-										
Are people who are visibly intoxicated and / or disorderly inside the premises being properly managed?												
Licensing Act 2003 MP1. Are staff trained to	mana	age into	vicated	/ disord	lerly		_	_				
customers?	, manual de	age into					Yes	(1)	No 🗖 ((0)	N/A	(9)
MP2. If yes, specify	_											
MP3. What characteristi	cs are	used t	0									
decide whether someon staff?	e is to	o druni	k by	Stagg	ering ga	iit 🗖 (1) Slur	red sp	eech 🗖 (2	2)	Other 🗖	(3)
MP4. If other, specify												
MP5. What action is tak			of	Defue			Ark	ad to b	eave 🔲 (2			(3)
severely intoxicated cus	tomers	s?		Refus	e servic	e 🗖 (1) ASK	ed to R	ave 🗖 (2	()	Other 🗖	(3)
MP6. If other, specify	_											
MP7. What action is tak	en in r	espect	of	Defin		. 🗖 .:			eave 🔲 (2		on	-
disorderly customers?				Refus	e servic	e 🗖 (1) ASK	ed to K	eave 🗖 (2	2)	Other 🗖	(3)
MP8. If other, specify	_											
MP9. Are there barriers			staff/ma	nageme	ent from		Yes		No 🗖 ((0)
following the correct pro	cedure	es					Tes L	• (1)		(0)		(9)
MP10. If yes, specify	_											
	N/A				ENF	ORCEM	ENT					
MP11. RCI Score (see appendix)												
(,	0	1	2	3	4	5	6					
ACTION TAKEN												
ATMP1. Verbal advice	Yes		(1)	ATMP	3. Impro	ovement	Yes	5	(1)			
ATMPT. Verbar advice	No	. [(0)	notice			No		(0)			
ATMP2. Written advice	Yes		(1)	ATMP	4. Prohi	bition	Yes	5	(1)			
ATMP2. Written advice	No	I	(0)	notice			No		(0)			
Referral to other statutor	ry bod	y .										
ATMPP. Police			(1)	ATMP	F. Fire				(2)			
ATMPO. Other		I	(3)	ATMP	L. LA Li	censing			(4)			
ATMPOC. If Other please	se spe	cify										

Premises ID:

programme for PT & FT staff that includes disorderly/drunk customers?physically injured during interaction with disorderly or intoxicated customers.ST2. Is there on-going training and refreshers for both PT & FT staff that includes information on disorderly and/or intoxicated customers?It is illegal to allow disorderly people to access premises.MHSW 1999 requires employers to assess risks and where necessary take action. This demands induction training for new staff.ST3. Is there Health and Safety training, including fire training?HSE Guidance for training to combat violence in licensed and retail premises	New staff will need training to deal with disorderly customers and to combat violence and aggression. If no appropriate induction training is in place then 4 , 5 or 6 should be awarded. If induction training is in place 2 or 3 may be awarded. Actual score awarded dependant on: • the existence and contents of training: whether it reflects existing premises policy • if it addresses both how to cope with and prevent violence
recommends: Training should reflect premises policy on violence Training should focus on coping with violence once it occurs and with how to reduce the risks in the first place Training should cover issues from legal requirements to prevention measures Training should be provided for all staff, part time as well as full time Staff should be consulted to inform training needs	 if staff are aware of legislation and prevention methods whether staff have been consulted to inform training management attitude to induction training evidence of regular revision of induction training needs good attitude to necessary changes Score of 1 to be awarded if all above requirements for induction training including adequate training for responsible beverage service and dealing with violence and aggression are met, and further evidence or demonstration of good practice exists.

Premises ID:

Q14. STAFF TRAINING										
Is adequate Responsible Beverage Service staff training provided? MHSW Regs 1999										
ST1. Is there an induction programme for both PT and FT staff that includes information on disorderly and/or intoxicated Yes (1) No (1) customers? ST2, Is there ongoing training and refreshers for both PT and FT										N/A 🛛 (9)
staff that includes information on disorderly and/or intoxicated Yes (1) No (1) No									(0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
ST3. Is there Health and Safety training, including fire training? Yes 1 (1) No 1 (0) N/A (9)										N/A 🔲 (9)
ST4, RCI Score	N/A	_	_		ENF	ORCEN	IENT			
(see appendix)										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			
ACTION TAKEN										
ATST1 Verbal advice	Yes		(1)		3. Impro	vement	Yes	(1)		
	No	.	(0)	notice			No	(0)		
ATST2, Written advice	Yes		(1)	ATST4	I. Prohi	bition	Yes	(1)		
ATST2. Whiteh advice	No		(0)	notice			No	(0)		
Referral to other statute	ry bod	y .					•			
ATSTP. Police			(1)	ATST	F. Fire			(2)		
ATSTO. Other			(3)	ATST	LA Li	censing		(4)		
ATSTOC. If Other pleas	se spec	sify								
L										

Premises ID:

015 Incident reporting: Are in	ncidents in line with RIDDOR?	
IR1. Is there an accident	RIDDOR 1995 states that	If there are no accident books.
book/log sheets?	employers and people in control of premises must report any work- related deaths, certain injuries to	log sheets, written records of reportable incidents kept and no evidence that incidents have
reportable incidents kept?	members of the public and self- employed people on your premises	been reported to RIDDOR then 4, 5 or 6 should be awarded.
IR3. Evidence of RIDDOR reportable incidents?	(including door security), and dangerous occurrences (some near miss incidents) that occur on	If accident books and written
IR4. Were these reported to RIDDOR?	premises. Reportable deaths and injuries	reports of reportable incidents are kept and there is evidence that RIDDOR was aware of
IR5. Evidence of any near misses?	from an act of non-consensual physical violence to a person at work must be reported.	reportable incidents 2 or 3 may be awarded.
IR6. Are incidents used in future risk planning?	The requirement to report does not extend to verbal abuse.	Actual score awarded dependant on:
	A record of reportable incident must be kept. They must include the date and method of reporting; the date, time and place of the event; personal details of those involved; and a brief description of the nature of the event or disease. Records can be made in any form, and must be kept for 3 years after date of report.	 the existence and content of accident books and reports management attitude to accident books and incident reporting good attitude to necessary changes Score of 1 to be awarded if all above requirements for incident reporting are met, with evidence of further action such as using
		incidents to inform risk planning and training. Please specify any evident risk or concern in 'comments'

Premises ID:

Q15. INCIDENT REPORTING		
Are incidents reported in line with RIDDOR? RIDDOR 1995		
IR1. Is there an accident book / accident log sheets?	Yes 🔲 (1) No 💭 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
IR2. Is a written record of reportable incidents kept?	Yes 🔲 (1) No 🔲 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
Auditor		
IR3. Evidence of RIDDOR reportable incidents?	Yes (1) No (0)	N/A (9)
IR4. Were these reported to RIDDOR?	Yes (1) No (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
IR5. Evidence of any 'near misses' (i.e. serious but not reportable)?	Yes 🔲 (1) No 🗖 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
IR6. Are incidents used in future planning, risk assessment?	Yes 🔲 (1) No 🔲 (0)	N/A 🔲 (9)
IR7. RCI Score (see appendix) 0 1 2 3 4 5	MENT 6	
Ver 🗖	Yes (1)	
ATIR1. Verbal advice No (0) ATIR3. Improvement	No (1)	
ATIR2. Written advice	Yes 🔲 (1)	
No (0) notice	No 🔲 (0)	
Referral to other statutory body		
ATIRP. Police	(2)	
ATIRO. Other (3) ATIRL. LA Licensing	(4)	
ATIROC. If Other please specify		

Premises ID:

· · · ·	h glasses/bottles are being used?	
GP1: glass only? GP2: Some Polycarbonate or similar?	Glassware and glass bottles are often used to injure people or can be involved in accidents that injure people.	If there is no use of toughened glass or plastic, no restriction of taking glass outside, or no assigned staff to collect
GP3: All polycarbonate or similar? GP4: No Glass after midnight?	A body of literature (reviewed in HSH RR698 research report) suggests use of toughened glass or plastic reduces risk of injury, especially during violent or	outside drink-ware then 3 or 4 should be awarded. If toughened glass etc is used 2 or 3 may be awarded.
GP5: Are customers (e.g. smokers) allowed to take glass outside?	aggressive interactions.	Actual score awarded dependant on:
GP6. If yes to GP5 are staff assigned a role of collecting outside empties?	In 1997 the Brewers and Licensed Retailers Association (now the British Beer & Pub Association), recommended the use of toughened glass to all members.	 the existence and use of toughened glass or plastic drinking staff practices management attitude using safer drink vessels
	In 2006 the institute of Alcohol Studies recommended injury could be reduced through: • Use of toughened glass • Use of plastic cups	 supervision of ordinary glass ware A score of 1 to be awarded if all above recommendations are met.
	The banning of bottle-served alcohol Swift removal of any glassware used	Please specify any evident risk or concern in 'comments'
	Guidance from the HSE advocates use of toughened glass and plastic cups.	

Premises ID:

Q16. GLASSWARE P	OLICY						
What type of glasses / b	ottles are l	being used	1?				
GP1. Glass only					Yes 🛛 (1)	No 🗖	(0) N/A (9)
GP2. Some polycarbona	ate (or simi	ilar)			Yes 🛛 (1)	No 🗖	(0) N/A (9)
GP3. All polycarbonate ((or similar)				Yes 🗖 (1)	No 🗖	(0) N/A (9)
GP4. No glass after mid	night				Yes 🗖 (1)	No 🗖	(0) N/A (9)
GP5. Are customers allo	wed to tak	e glass ou	ıtside (e.	.g. smokers)?	Yes 🗖 (1)	No 🗖	(0) N/A (9)
GP6. If yes, are staff ass outside?	signed the	role of col	lecting e	mpties from	Yes 🔲 (1)	No 🗖	(0) N/A (9)
GP7. RCI Score (see appendix)	N/A	2	□ 3	ENFORCEN 4 5			
ACTION TAKEN							
ATGP1, Verbal advice	Yes	(1)	ATGP3	3. Improvement	t ^{Yes}	(1)	
ATOPT. Verbar advice	No	(0)	notice		No	(0)	
ATGP2, Written advice	Yes	(1)	ATGP4	. Prohibition	Yes	(1)	
ATOP2. Whiteh advice	No	(0)	notice		No	(0)	
Referral to other statutor	y body						
ATGPP. Police		(1)	ATGPF	. Fire		(2)	
ATGPO. Other		(3)	ATGPL	LA Licensing	l	(4)	
ATGPOC. If Other pleas	e specify						

Premises ID:

	Q17. QUESTIONS FOR SERVERS	(IF AVAILABLE)	
ſ			

Interviewee					
QSI1.Name					
QSI2. Position DPS	S/Manager 🔲 (1)	Shift Manager	(2) Bar S	taff 🔲 (3) (Other 🔲 (4)
QSI3. Other					
QS4. Have you receive	d training on violenc	e and aggressio	n? Yes	(1) No	(0) N/A (9)
QS5. Have you receive customers?	d training on dealing	with very intoxic	ated Yes	(1) No	(0) N/A (9)
QS6. What action is tal severely intoxicated cu		Refuse servic	e 🗌 (1) Ask	ed to leave	(2) Other (3)
QS7. If other, specify					
QS8. What action is tak disorderly customers?	en in respect of	Refuse servio	ce 🔲 (1) Ask	ed to leave	(2) Other (3)
QS9. If other, specify					
QS10. Are there barrier correct procedures for customers?		-	Yes 🗌	(1) No) (0) N/A (9)
QS11. If yes, specify					
QS12. Is there a glass	collection policy?		Yes	(1) No	(0) N/A (9)
Auditor					
QS13. Are there discre of-house staff response in H&S processes adeo	es and do you think t	-		(1) No) (0) N/A (0)
A5. Audit Time End (24 HR Clock)	Ho	: urs Mins	_		

Premises ID:

Page 27

-

Q18. CONFIDENCE IN MANAGEMENT

Rate your confidence in the premises management (see guidance for further explanation of ratings) LAC 67/2 (Section 3)

	Poor		Good	
	Score 5 or 6	Score 3 or 4	Score 1 or 2	Score
C1. Leadership	Nothing done before it goes wrong, critical of need for H&S, blames people. No effort to allocate time or resources to H&S.	Some implementation but not complete. A policy, but commitment unfulfilled.	Leads by example, takes responsibility, and sets targets. Up-to-date meaningful policies.	
C2. Organisation and Risk Assessment	Poor attempts to plan for risks.	Recognition of risk but inadequate risk assessments.	Written assessments for all significant risks.	
C3. Organising Cooperation Communication	No workforce involvement, poor leadership, no staff engagement. Inadequate information and guidance.	Some evidence of staff involvement and teamwork. Some written information.	Captures staff ideas, involvement in procedure development, accident and near miss reporting and investigation.	
C4. Organising Competence	No competent advice. No awareness of training needs, statutory training not given.	Basic training provided, induction briefings. Statutory training achieved	Robust training and refreshers in place, staff competent for the tasks they have to undertake. Management competent	
C5. Planning Implementing Health Controls	Significant absence of control of health hazards. Feels unhealthy. Dirty or inadequate welfare facilities.	Controls identified but not fully implemented or have become ineffective.	Implemented operational health procedures and risk assessments, including the health of Members of the Public	
C6. Measuring Performance and Review	Nothing done before it goes wrong. No management oversight or enforcement of standards. Accidents and near misses not evaluated, lessons not learnt	Systematic monitoring exists, risk assessments reviewed. Some surveillance e.g. incident log. Underlying causes of accidents identified and solutions implementation	Active interest in performance, employees perform to agreed standards. Proactive e.g. safety checks, reactive monitoring, accident and near miss reviews inform decision making	
C7. Overall Business Performance	Exposed to disorder and violence, review likely. Lost staff time, high turnover. Struggling to survive.	Good quality service, few incidents. Not pushing for continuous improvement, quality schemes	Reputation for quality and safety. Popular.	
C8. Management Attitude	Negative, hostile, complacent. Cynical, and does things only as a result of outside pressure.	Motivated though lacking commitment, skills and prioritisation; appoints others to take responsibility.	Positive, proactive, recognises business benefit, moral argument for continuous improvement. Sees things through. Achieves sensible H&S	

Premises ID:

Comments

APPENDIX

	Risk Control Indicators, RCI – Assessment Scale,
	Each risk control indicator should be assessed against the following 1-6 scale,
	NB use this scale when rating the overall safety or health performance
1	High Standards
	Some Aspects meet basic practice
2	Good Standards
~	Minimum legal requirements have been met
3	One or more minor shortcomings
5	As these shortcomings are not serious, they can be dealt with informally with oral advice
	Standards are patchy
4	It is necessary to address one or more of the shortcomings by giving formal instructions for remedial action
-	to be taken. Formal instructions may be implemented by e.g. obtaining a verbal undertaking from the
	company to take specific action, sending a letter or physical removal / disposal of items
5	Standards generally unsatisfactory
5	Typically, there is at least one contravention that gives rise to a discernible risk gap
	Standards unacceptable
6	Unless applying the EMM identifies duty holder factors that provide strong mitigation, issuing a notice and/
	or prosecution is likely to be appropriate

Premises ID:

The Effectiveness and Cost Effectiveness of an Intervention to Reduce Alcohol-Related Violence in Premises Licensed for the On-site Sale and Consumption of Alcohol: A Randomised Controlled Trial

Supplemental 2

Risk Audit

Descriptive Statistics

Q6. Records	Visible Evidence	No Evidence	N/A	Missing
R1A. Safety Policy	119	71	88	3
R2A. Written Risk Assessment	128	73	75	5
	Percent			
R2C. Includes violence & aggression	40.65			
R2D. Includes lone working	24.10			
R3A. Opening checks and walkabouts	145	116	17	3
Q7. Visibility and Lighting	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
V1. Entrances / exits clearly visible?	276	5		
V2. Entrances / exits well lit?	276	5		
V3. Suitable lighting in indoor areas?	274	7		
V4. Suitable lighting in outdoor area?	259	7	9	6
Q8. Health & Safety, Observation and Checks	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
HS1. Are electricity safety records available and up to date?	176	101		4
HS2. Are gas safety records available and up to date?	154	84	39	4
HS3. Are Fire checks evident?	241	40		
HS4. Are fire extinguishers maintained and serviced?	254	26		1
HS5. Do the fire exit external routes have any hazards or risks preventing their safe use?	45	236		
HS6. Are there any visible risks?	29	249	1	2
	Risk	No Risk	N/A	Missing
HS7. Condition of floors	33	248		
HS8. Housekeeping	19	261		
HS9. Ventilation	7	273		1
HS10. Heating	13	267		1
HS11. Public WC Condition	18	261		2
HS12. First Aid	16	261		4
Q9. Surveillance	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
SR1. CCTV at premises?	224	39		18
SR2. Signage displayed?	162	68	47	4
SR3. Position of cameras suitable?	218	10	50	3
SR4. Blind areas from the bar?	110	134	33	4
SR5. Blind areas from Door Staff?	44	110	121	6
SR8. Is CCTV storage adequate?	212	10	52	7

Q10. Noise and Communication	Music	Customers	Other	Missing
What sources of noise might affect communication between staff (tick all that apply)	208	177	19	28
	Verbally	Radio	Other	Missing
How do staff communicate during busy periods?	266	70	23	5
Q11. Risk Planning	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
RP1. Is there regular engagement with PubWatch or similar?	173	92	16	
RP2. Is there visible evidence of alcohol promotions?	103	176		2
	Mean	SD		
RP4. Number of front-of-house staff at busy times?	5.78	6.12		3
Q12. Door Management	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
DS1. Are all security staff SIA licensed?	140	7	132	2
DS2. Is there a door staff register?	117	27	135	2
DS3. Are SIA registration numbers present on the door staff register?	119	15	144	3
DS4. Do security staff monitor the internal environment as well as the door?	140	5	133	3
DS6. Is there a policy for ID checks?	236	4	39	2
DS7. Is there a policy for refusal of entry to intoxicated / disorderly customers?	234	5	41	1
DS8. Queuing system in place?	77	68	132	4
Q13. Managing People	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
MP1. Are staff trained to manage intoxicated / disorderly customers?	243	31	6	1
	Staggering Gait	Slurred Speech	Other ¹	Missing
MP3. What characteristics are used to decide whether someone is too drunk by staff?	263	257	62	2
	Refuse Service	Asked to Leave	Other ²	Missing
MP5. What action is taken in respect of severely intoxicated customers?	238	260	46	3
MP7. What action is taken in respect of disorderly customers?	263	232	38	4
	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
MP9. Are there barriers that prevent staff/management from following the correct procedures	12	259	8	2
Q14. Staff Training	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
ST1. Is there an induction programme for both PT and FT staff that includes information on disorderly and/or intoxicated customers?	222	41	17	1
ST2, Is there ongoing training and refreshers for both PT and FT staff that includes information on disorderly and/or intoxicated customers?	195		20	
ST3. Is there Health and Safety training, including fire training?		64 52		2
	211	53	14	3
Q15. Incident Reporting	Yes	No	N/A	Missing

IR1. Is there an accident book / accident log sheets?	232	48		1
IR2. Is a written record of reportable incidents kept?	196	67	16	2
IR3. Evidence of RIDDOR reportable incidents?	56	204	20	1
IR4. Were these reported to RIDDOR?	43	41	194	3
IR5. Evidence of any 'near misses' (i.e. serious but not reportable)?	53	152	74	2
IR6. Are incidents used in future planning, risk assessment?	134	62	83	2
Q16. Glassware Policy	Yes	No	N/A	Missing
		07	(1
GP1. Glass only	177	97	6	1
GP1. Glass only GP2. Some polycarbonate (or similar)	177 143	97 98	6 32	8
5			0	8 9
GP2. Some polycarbonate (or similar)	143	98	32	
GP2. Some polycarbonate (or similar) GP3. All polycarbonate (or similar)	143 31	98 181	32 60	9
GP2. Some polycarbonate (or similar) GP3. All polycarbonate (or similar) GP4. No glass after midnight	143 31 22	98 181 166	32 60 87	9

Notes:

1 - Others include loud, aggressive and abusive behaviour, fumbling, glazed eyes
 2 - Others include contact the police, provide first aid, phone for a taxi, give them water or a soft drink, call an ambulance