Identification of a Functional Enhancer Variant within the Chronic Pancreatitis-Associated *SPINK1* c.101A>G-Containing Haplotype

Arnaud Boulling,[1,2,3] Emmanuelle Masson,[1,4†] Wen-Bin Zou,[5,6†] Prachand Issarpu,[7†] Hao Wu,[1,2,5,6] Seema Bhaskar,[7] Sumit Paliwal,[7] Emmanuelle Génin,[1,2,3] David N. Cooper,[8] Zhao-Shen Li,[5,6] Giriraj R Chandak,[7,9] Zhuan Liao,[5,6*] Jian-Min Chen,[1,2,3*] and Claude Férec[1,2,3,4]

[1]Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U1078, Brest, France; [2]Etablissement Français du Sang (EFS) – Bretagne, Brest, France; [3]Faculté de Médecine et des Sciences de la Santé, Université de Bretagne Occidentale (UBO), Brest, France; [4]Laboratoire de Génétique Moléculaire et d'Histocompatibilité, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire (CHRU) Brest, Hôpital Morvan, Brest, France; [5]Department of Gastroenterology, Changhai Hospital, the Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China; [6]Shanghai Institute of Pancreatic Diseases, Shanghai, China; [7]Genomic Research on Complex Diseases, CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hyderabad, India; [8]Institute of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom; and [9]Human Genetics Division, Genome Institute of Singapore, Biopolis, Singapore

[†]Equal contributors.

[*]**Correspondence to:**

Jian-Min Chen, MD; PhD, INSERM U1078 and EFS – Bretagne, 46 rue Félix Le Dantec, 29218 Brest, France. Tel: +33-2-98449333; Fax: +33-2-98430555;

e-mail: Jian-Min.Chen@univ-brest,

Zhuan Liao, MD, Department of Gastroenterology, Changhai Hospital, the Second Military Medical University, 168 Changhai Road, Shanghai 200433, China. Tel.: 86-21-31161335; Fax: 0086-21-55621735; e-mail: liaozhuan@smmu.edu.cn

ABSTRACT: The haplotype harboring the *SPINK1* c.101A>G (p.Asn34Ser) variant (also known as rs17107315:T>C) represents the most important heritable risk factor for idiopathic chronic pancreatitis identified to date. The causal variant contained within this risk haplotype has however remained stubbornly elusive. Herein we set out to resolve this enigma by employing a hypothesis-driven approach. Firstly, we searched for variants in strong linkage disequilibrium with rs17107315:T>C using HaploReg v4.1. Secondly, we identified two candidate SNPs by visual inspection of sequences spanning all 25 SNPs found to be in linkage disequilibrium with rs17107315:T>C, guided by prior knowledge of pancreas-specific transcription factors and their cognate binding sites. Thirdly, employing a novel *cis*-regulatory module-guided approach to further filter the two candidate SNPs yielded a solitary candidate causal variant. Finally, combining data from phylogenetic conservation and chromatin accessibility, co-transfection transactivation experiments and population genetic studies, we suggest that rs142703147:C>A, which disrupts a PTF1L binding site within an evolutionarily conserved HNF1A−PTF1L *cis*-regulatory module located ~4 kb upstream of the *SPINK1* promoter, contributes to the aforementioned chronic pancreatitis risk haplotype. Further studies are required not only to improve the characterization of this functional SNP but also to identify other functional components that might contribute to this high-risk haplotype.

Introduction

Chronic pancreatitis is an inflammatory disease of the pancreas that leads to irreversible structural and functional damage to the pancreas [Majumder and Chari 2016]. Analysis of four genes highly expressed in the pancreatic acinar cells − *PRSS1* (encoding cationic trypsinogen; MIM# 276000), *PRSS2* (encoding anionic trypsinogen; MIM# 601564), *SPINK1* (encoding pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor; MIM# 167790) and *CTRC* (encoding chymotrypsin C (MIM# 601405), which specifically degrades all human trypsinogen/trypsin isoforms [Szmola and Sahin-Tóth 2007]) − has defined a trypsin-dependent pathway in the pathogenesis of chronic pancreatitis. Whereas gain-of-function missense and copy number variants in *PRSS1* [Le Maréchal et al., 2006; Whitcomb et al., 1996] and loss-of-function variants in *SPINK1* [Witt et al., 2000] and *CTRC* [Masson et al., 2008; Rosendahl et al., 2008] predispose to chronic pancreatitis, loss-of-function variants in *PRSS1* [Boulling et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2003; Derikx et al., 2015; Whitcomb et al., 2012] and *PRSS2* [Witt et al., 2006] protect against the disease.

The *SPINK1* c.101A>G variant-associated haplotype [Witt et al. 2000] has emerged as the most important risk factor for idiopathic chronic pancreatitis as a consequence of its relatively high prevalence worldwide (allele frequency, ~0.7%) and its considerable effect size (odds ratio (OR) ≈ 14) [Aoun et al., 2008]. The *SPINK1* c.101A>G variant, which was predicted to result in a p.Asn34Ser missense mutation, is termed rs17107315:T>C in the dbSNP database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/). For ease of reading, we shall describe this variant as rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) throughout the manuscript. The identification of the causal variant underlying this high-risk haplotype is of considerable biological interest but it may also have significant diagnostic and therapeutic value. This notwithstanding, despite extensive studies, the underlying causal variant has remained stubbornly elusive [Chen and Férec 2009]. The earliest hypothesis, that p.Asn34Ser itself impairs the inhibitory action of

SPINK1 on prematurely activated trypsin within the pancreas [Witt et al. 2000], was not however supported by biochemical characterization of the wild-type and mutant enzymes expressed in three different systems, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BJ1991 strain [Kuwata et al., 2002], Chinese hamster ovary cells [Boulling et al., 2007], and human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK293T) cells [Király et al., 2007]. A later hypothesis, that either rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) or one of the four intronic variants in linkage disequilibrium (LD) with it might affect pre-mRNA splicing [Chen et al., 2001], also failed to garner any evidential support whether from reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) analysis of total RNA prepared from pancreatic tissues of rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) homozygotes [Masamune et al., 2007], or from experiments performed in the context of both mini-gene [Kereszturi et al., 2009] and full-gene [Boulling et al., 2012] systems. An alternative hypothesis, that the causal variant resides within an uncharacterized flanking region of the *SPINK1* gene with regulatory potential [Kereszturi et al. 2009], was explored in the present study.

Material and Methods

Study Subjects

The 548 French ICP patients and 562 controls have been previously reported [Fjeld et al., 2015; Witt et al., 2013]. Most of the 1104 Han Chinese ICP patients and 1196 healthy controls have been described in a recent publication [Zou et al., 2016]. The Indian chronic pancreatitis patients (n = 347) and controls (n = 264) included in this study have been described previously [Paliwal et al., 2013]. Informed consent was obtained from each patient and the study was approved by the respective ethics committees of Brest University, the Changhai Hospital and the Center for Cellular and Molecular Biology (CSIR-CCMB) in Hyderabad.

Reference Sequences

The *SPINK1* genomic sequence was obtained from human GRCh37/hg19

(https://genome.ucsc.edu/). GenBank accession number NM_003122.4 was used as the

SPINK1 cDNA reference sequence.

Search for Variants in Strong LD with rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) Variant

The search for variants in strong LD with rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) variant was

performed with HaploReg v4.1

(http://www.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php) [Ward and Kellis 2016],

using an LD threshold of $r^2 \geq 0.40$ and querying the 1000 Genomes Project (1000GP) Phase 1

data (http://www.1000genomes.org/category/phase-1/) in the context of the European

population.

Verification and Search for HNF1A Binding Sites

The search for HNF1A binding sites was performed using RSAT (http://www.rsat.eu/)

[Medina-Rivera et al., 2015] under default conditions, with *Homo sapiens* GRCh37/hg19

being used as the organism-specific background.

HNF1A−PTF1L *Cis*-Regulatory Module (CRM) Prediction

We performed a comprehensive review of the literature and collated 10 experimentally

validated PTF1L binding sites within the promoters of human, rat or mouse genes that are

known to be highly expressed in the pancreatic acinar cells [Beres et al., 2006; Boulling et al.,

2011; Holmstrom et al., 2011]. Each of these PTF1L binding sites comprised a 5' E-Box

(length = 6) and a 3' TC-Box (length = 7), separated by a 4- or 5-nucleotide spacer sequence

[Beres et al. 2006; Boulling et al. 2011]. We first aligned the 10 E-Box and 10 TC-Box

sequences separately to create two distinct position frequency matrices (PFMs) by counting the occurrences of each nucleotide at each position. Then, to construct appropriate PFMs for the PTF1L binding site, we separated the E-Box and TC-Box PFMs by 4 or 5 non-specific nucleotides to create two PTF1L PFMs, termed PTF1_4N and PTF1_5N, respectively. The nucleotide frequency within the spacer was adjusted to correspond to nucleotide frequencies in the human genome at large (A: 0.255, T: 0.267, G: 0.242, C: 0.236). Sequence logos for the two PTF1L PFMs were created with WebLogo (http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/) [Crooks et al., 2004].

The above generated PTF1L PFMs and the HNF1A (MA0046.2) PFMs provided by the JASPAR database (http://jaspar.genereg.net/) [Mathelier et al., 2016] were then used to calculate their respective position weight matrices (PWMs). This task was achieved with the freely online available RSAT - matrix-scan (full options) tool [Medina-Rivera et al. 2015]. PWMs were generated using default parameters with the exception of the "background model estimation method" that was set to "organism-specific: *Homo sapiens* GRCh37". Transcription factor binding site (TFBS) prediction was performed using the "Individual Matches" mode with default scanning options. "*P*-value upper threshold" was exceptionally increased to 10^{-3} to calculate the matrix score for TFBS of weak relevance (i.e., mutated TFBSs). The *SPINK1* locus plus $\pm$ 20 kb flanking sequences were analyzed for CRM prediction using the RSAT CRER scanning option under default conditions, except for the following parameters: Lower CRER size = 1, Upper CRER size = 200, site *P*-value <10^{-4}.

Assessment of Phylogenetic Conservation, Chromatin Accessibility and Histone Marks in the Chromosomal Region of Interest

Phylogenetic conservation data as represented by "Placental Mammal Conservation by PhastCons" and "Placental Mammal Conserved Elements" tracks (both using 46 mammal

species) were directly taken from the UCSC Genome Browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) [Kent et al., 2002]. Accessible chromatin regions and histone marks in the pancreatic tissues of two donors were obtained from the website of the NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium (http://www.roadmapepigenomics.org/) [Bernstein et al., 2010].

Search for SNPs affecting the Expression of *SPINK1* in the Pancreas Tissue

This analysis was performed using the GTEx dataset available at http://www.gtexportal.org/home/) [Carithers et al., 2015].

Construction of Luciferase Promoter Reporter Plasmids

A fragment spanning -346 to +49 relative to the transcription start site (i.e., c.1-61 in accordance with Yasuda et al. [Yasuda et al., 1998] with the A of the translational initiation codon ATG of the gene being designated as c.1) of the *SPINK1* gene was first PCR amplified from a genomic DNA sample. PCR amplification was performed by means of the HotStarTaq Master Mix Kit (Qiagen) with primers (Supp. Table S1) designed to be used with the In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit (Clontech, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France), as previously described [Boulling et al., 2015]. The resulting pGL3 reporter construct harboring the wild-type sequence of the human *SPINK1* proximal promoter upstream of the firefly luciferase gene was termed hSPINK1pp. The same strategy was used to insert a 330 bp DNA fragment containing HNF1A−PTF1L CRM5 into hSPINK1pp at a position downstream of the luciferase gene. This was achieved using the primers described in Supp. Table S1, after plasmid digestion with *BamH*I. This latter construct was termed hSPINK1pp+E. All *SPINK1* promoter and enhancer variants were then generated from their respective wild-type constructs by site-directed mutagenesis using the Quick Change Site Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, Massy, France). All resulting plasmids were checked by Sanger sequencing.

Construction of Transcription Factor Expression Plasmids

Human pancreas cDNAs were obtained from 1 µg human pancreas total RNA (Amsbio) by reverse transcription using the SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies) and 20mer-oligo(dT) primer (Eurogentec, Angers, France). The obtained cDNAs were treated with 2 U RNAse H (Life Technologies) at 37°C for 20 min before being used for PCR amplification of the coding sequences of the human *RBPJL* and *HNF1A* genes, respectively. In parallel, the human *PTF1A* cDNA clone (OriGene, Rockville, MD) was used to amplify the coding sequence of the human *PTF1A* gene. PCRs were carried out by using the KAPA HiFi DNA Polymerase (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA) with the respective primers described in Supp. Table S1. Specifically, 30 (for *PTF1A*) or 33 (for both *RBPJL* and *HNF1A*) cycles of amplification were performed, employing an annealing temperature of 65°C. The three resulting PCR fragments were purified on an 1.5% agarose gel and cloned into the pcDNA™3.1/V5-His-TOPO® (Life Technologies) vector after addition of 3' A-overhangs by Taq DNA Polymerase (Qiagen). The expression constructs thus obtained, which carried the coding sequences of the human *PTF1A*, *RBPJL* and *HNF1A* genes, were termed pcDNA3.1-PTF1A, pcDNA3.1-RBPJL and pcDNA3.1-HNF1A, respectively. The orientation and sequence of each insert were checked by sequencing. Plasmids were produced using the Nucleobond Xtra Midi EF Kit (Macherey-Nagel).

Cell Culture, Quantitative RT-PCR Analyses, Co-Transfection Transactivation Experiments, Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay, and Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)

These procedures are described in Supp. Methods.

Analysis of rs142703147:C>A and rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) in French, Chinese and Indian Subjects

The procedures for sequencing the two polymorphic sites are described in Supp. Methods. The LD between the two SNPs was calculated by means of CubeX (http://www.oege.org/software/cubex/) [Gaunt et al., 2007]. To test for the effect of one SNP being conditional upon the other, a logistic regression model was used where the $\log(odds_i)$ of disease of each individual i was modeled as a linear function of the minor allele dosage $g_{i,k}$ at each SNP k ($g_{i,k}$ =0, 1 or 2) and the additive effect of this minor allele β_k. An indicator variable δ_i was added to the model to account for the geographic origin of the individuals. The full model (1) with the effects of the two SNPs was compared against each restricted model with respectively β_1 and β_2 set to zero to test for the effect of each SNP conditional upon the other:

$$\log(odds_i) = \theta + \beta_1 g_{i,1} + \beta_2 g_{i,2} + \gamma\delta_i \quad (1)$$

The significance of the improvement in fit was tested by comparing the difference of deviances to a distribution with 1 degree of freedom. The glm() function of R version 3.2.2 was used for fitting the model [R Core Team, 2015].

Results

Search for Variants in Perfect or Strong LD with rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G)

A causal variant residing within an uncharacterized flanking region of the *SPINK1* gene has been hypothesized to underlie the *SPINK1* c.101A>G variant-associated haplotype [Kereszturi et al. 2009]. Such a variant should in principle be in perfect (or at least very strong) LD with the *SPINK1* c.101A>G variant and would be predicted to impact the binding site for a functionally relevant transcription factor. We tested this postulate by means of HaploReg v4.1 [Ward and Kellis 2016], using an LD threshold of $r^2 \geq 0.40$ and querying the

1000GP Phase 1 data in the context of the European population. We identified a total of 25 SNPs in LD with rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G), whose r^2 values ranged from 0.87 to 1; all but one of these SNPs were located within the region spanning 20 kb 3' of *SPINK1* to 18 kb 5' of *SPINK1* (Supp. Fig. S1). Of the HaploReg v4.1-annotated motifs that were altered by these SNPs, only the HNF1A motif, impacted by rs17107287:C>T (Supp. Fig. S1), was deemed to be of potential functional interest owing to the known role of HNF1A in pancreatic exocrine physiology [Boulling et al. 2011; Molero et al., 2012]. However, we were unable to validate this prediction using RSAT under default conditions [Medina-Rivera et al. 2015].

Identification of Two SNPs that Potentially Disrupt a PTF1L Binding Site by Visual Inspection

Assuming that the hypothesis that the causal variant resides within a flanking region of the *SPINK1* gene [Kereszturi et al. 2009] was nevertheless correct, and that we had successfully identified all the SNPs in strong or perfect LD with rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) variant, the most probable reason for failing to confirm our prediction was deemed to be that a functionally relevant transcription factor binding site (TFBS) had been missed by the relevant search programs. We previously encountered just such a case during the functional characterization of *SPINK1* promoter variants; an HNF1A binding site was readily predicted by MATCH (http://www.gene-regulation.com/pub/programs.html#match) but a PTF1L binding site was identified instead by visual inspection [Boulling et al. 2011] (Fig. 1A). PTF1L is a pancreatic-specific trimeric complex comprising PTF1A, RBPJL and one of the several ubiquitously expressed class A bHLH family members [Boulling et al. 2011; Holmstrom et al. 2011; Masui et al., 2008]. We therefore visually inspected the local DNA sequence spanning all the aforementioned 25 SNPs (Supp. Fig. S1) against the previously described canonical sequence of PTF1L TFBS, CACCTG....TTTCCC [Boulling et al. 2011].

We identified two SNPs, rs142703147:C>A (Fig. 1B) and rs192858015:G>A, to disrupt a putative PTF1L TFBS.

Using a Putative HNF1A−PTF1L CRM to Filter rs142703147:C>A and rs192858015:G>A

There is increasing evidence that the spatial and temporal expression of genes is enabled by the coordinated action of multiple transcription factors through CRMs [Lelli et al., 2012]. Moreover, distal CRMs, also called enhancers, can often be predicted by sequence signatures extracted from proximal promoters [Taher et al., 2013]. Given the important roles played by HNF1A and PTF1L in adult pancreatic acinar cells [Holmstrom et al. 2011; Masui et al. 2008; Molero et al. 2012], it did not appear unreasonable to speculate that their closely spaced TFBSs within the *SPINK1* proximal promoter (Fig. 1A) could define such a CRM. We therefore screened the ±200 bp sequences flanking rs142703147:C>A and rs192858015:G>A by means of RSAT [Medina-Rivera et al. 2015] and identified a putative HNF1A TFBS only in the immediate vicinity of rs142703147:C>A (Fig. 1B). In other words, of the two SNPs, only rs142703147:C>A occurred within a putative HNF1A−PTF1L CRM. rs142703147:C>A corresponds to c.1-4141G>T in accordance with the A of the translational initiation codon ATG of the *SPINK1* gene being designated as c.1 [den Dunnen et al., 2016]. This variant will be described as rs142703147:C>A (c.1-4141G>T) in the following sections.

In Silico* Evidence Supporting the Functional Relevance of the rs142703147:C>A (c.1-4141G>T)-Affected HNF1A−PTF1L CRM *in Vivo

To provide supporting evidence that the rs142703147:C>A (c.1-4141G>T)-affected HNF1A−PTF1L CRM is of functional relevance *in vivo*, we sought to compare it with other nearby "HNF1A−PTF1L" CRMs in terms of both evolutionary conservation, chromatin

accessibility and histone marks [Shlyueva et al., 2014]. To this end, we first built PFMs for

the bipartite PTF1L motif, with the E-Box and TC-Box being separated respectively by 4 bp

and 5 bp (Fig. 2A,B). We then converted the PTF1L PFMs and JASPAR-derived HNF1A

PFMs to their respective PWMs and scanned the *SPINK1* locus plus ±20 kb flanking regions

for putative HNF1A−PTF1L CRMs by means of RSAT [Medina-Rivera et al. 2015]. In

addition to the HNF1A−PTF1L CRM illustrated in Fig. 1A (termed CRM4) and that

illustrated in Fig. 1B (termed CRM5), four additional putative CRMs were identified (i.e.,

CRMs 1, 2, 3 and 6; Fig. 1C). It is pertinent to mention that two putative PTF1L binding sites

were also predicted immediately upstream of the HNF1A binding site in the proximal

promoter (see Fig. 1A). However, these PTF1L binding sites were excluded from further

consideration because neither of them was located within an evolutionarily conserved region.

Of the 6 CRMs, only CRM4 and the rs142703147:C>A (c.1-4141G>T)-affected CRM5

were found to be located within the most evolutionarily conserved regions. Further, each of

the top three chromatin accessible regions obtained from human pancreatic tissue contains a

HNF1A−PTF1L CRM (CRMs 4-6) (Fig. 1C), indicating their likely functional importance *in

vivo*. Here it is important to emphasize that, with the exception of rs142703147:C>A (c.1-

4141G>T), all the other SNPs in LD with rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) were not found

within a HNF1A−PTF1L CRM, and fell outside of the most accessible chromatin and the

most evolutionarily conserved regions (Fig. 1C).

Using data from the website of the NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium, we

did not find any strong histone 3 lysine 4 monomethylation (H3K4me1) or H3K27 acetylation

(H3K27ac) marks across the *SPINK1* locus plus ±20 kb flanking regions in the human

pancreatic tissues of two healthy donors (Supp. Fig. S2). In addition, no SNPs were found to

affect the expression of *SPINK1* in human pancreas in the GTEx database.

Co-Transfection Transactivation Experiments Demonstrating Functional Synergy between the HNF1A and PTF1L Transcription Factors in Regulating the Promoter Activity of the *SPINK1* Gene

The premise of the CRM concept is that multiple transcription factors cooperate in regulating gene expression through concomitant binding to their cognate binding sites in a gene's regulatory sequence. We therefore tested the functional synergy between the HNF1A and PTF1-L transcription factors in regulating the promoter activity of the *SPINK1* gene by means of a co-transfection transactivation assay, which was performed essentially as previously described [Holmstrom et al. 2011]. To this end, we constructed a promoter-reporter vector wherein a CRM4-containing *SPINK1* promoter sequence was cloned into the pGL3 basic vector upstream of the firefly luciferase gene (termed hSPINK1pp) and three pcDNA3.1 expression vectors containing the coding sequences of the *PTF1A*, *RBPJL* and *HNF1A* genes, respectively. The co-transfection transactivation assay had to be performed in a cell line that lacked endogenous expression of these transcription factors; the non-pancreatic HEK293T cell line, which was confirmed to lack expression of the three transcription factor genes as well as the *SPINK1* gene by quantitative RT-PCR analysis (Supp. Fig. S3A), was used for this purpose. A further stipulation was that none of the co-transfected transcription factors should induce spurious expression of the luciferase reporter gene through binding to non-specific sequences within the vector. We verified this by co-transfecting the promoter-lacking pGL3-basic vector with the different transcription factor expression plasmids, either individually or in combination, and found no significant increase of the luciferase reporter gene under any conditions (Supp. Fig. S3B).

Having validated the experimental system, we first co-transfected the hSPINK1pp vector with the expression vectors containing the three transcription factors. It should be appreciated that the function of the PTF1L transcription factor is executed by a combination of PTF1A,

RBPJL and one of the several ubiquitously expressed class A bHLH family members [Boulling et al. 2011; Holmstrom et al. 2011; Masui et al. 2008]. *SPINK1* promoter reporter gene activity increased 1.8-fold and 1.3-fold upon expression of HNF1A alone and PTF1A + RBPJL alone, respectively, but increased 6.3-fold upon expression of all three (Fig. 3). These observations were interpreted in terms of a synergistic effect between the HNF1A and PTF1-L transcription factors.

Two variants residing within the CRM4 HNF1A binding site in the *SPINK1* promoter have been reported to predispose to chronic pancreatitis (Fig. 1A). Both variants were predicted to disrupt the HNF1A binding site (Fig. 4A). We tested their potential effects on the cooperative action between the HNF1A and PTF1L transcription factors and found that each resulted in the abolition of the aforementioned synergistic effect (Fig. 4B).

These two complementary lines of evidence, taken together, underscore the importance for *SPINK1* gene regulation of the coordinated action of HNF1A and PTF1L through binding to their cognate binding sites within the context of a functional CRM.

Co-Transfection Transactivation Assay Testing the Functional Effect of the rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141G>T)-Variant on Regulating the Promoter Activity of the *SPINK1* Gene

The rs142703147:C>A (c.1-4141G>T)-variant was predicted to have a lower PWM score than the wild-type rs142703147C allele (Fig. 5A), suggestive of reduced affinity for the PTF1L transcription factor. The current 'gold standard' *in vitro* method to evaluate the effect of an enhancer element is to place it in the vicinity of a promoter element in a reporter gene assay [Shlyueva et al. 2014]. We therefore inserted a fragment containing the HNF1A−PTF1L CRM5 into hSPINK1pp at a position downstream of the luciferase gene; the resulting hSPINK1pp+E [C] vector was then used to introduce the rs142703147A variant. The

hSPINK1pp+E [C] vector and the corresponding rs142703147A vector, hSPINK1pp+E [A],
were co-transfected respectively with the three transcription factor expression plasmids. The
major C allele, but not the minor A allele, of rs142703147 significantly enhanced reporter
gene expression induced by the three co-transfected transcription factors in HEK293 cells
(Fig. 5B). This demonstrated that rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141G>T) is a loss-of-function
variant, consistent with the known role of the *SPINK1* gene in the etiology of chronic
pancreatitis.

We also performed this analysis in rat pancreatic acinar AR42J cells treated with
dexamethasone. Under our experimental conditions, hSPINK1pp drove a mere 2.3-fold
increased expression of the reporter gene as compared with the promoterless pGL3 basic
vector and no significant difference was observed between the two alleles of rs142703147 in
enhancing hSPINK1pp-driven reporter gene expression (Supp. Fig. S4A). Analysis of the
relative mRNA expression levels of the rat *Prss1*, *Ctrc*, *Spink1*, *Ptf1a*, *Rbpj1*, *Hnf1a* genes in
the dexamethasone-differentiated AR42J cells indicated poor expression of both the *Spink1*
and *Hnf1a* genes (Supp. Fig. S4B).

**EMSA Providing Further Supporting Evidence for the Functional Effect of the
rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141G>T) Variant**

We further performed EMSA using nuclear extracts prepared from HEK293T cells
transfected with the two expression plasmids encoding respectively the *PTF1A* and *RBPJL*
genes. This assay demonstrated that rs142703147A disrupted the interaction between the
PTF1L transcription factor and its cognate binding site (Fig. 6).

Additional Data from Population Genetic Analyses

Analysis of 548 French ICP patients and 562 ethnogeographically-matched controls (Supp. Table S2) showed that rs142703147:C>A (c.1-4141G>T) is in perfect LD with the rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) variant in this population (r^2 = 1). However, analysis of the two SNPs in 1104 Chinese ICP patients and 1196 controls as well as in 347 Indian patients and 264 controls (Supp. Table S2) showed that they are not in perfect LD in these two populations (Chinese, r^2 = 0.80; Indian, r^2 = 0.59). Similar OR values were obtained between the rs142703147:A (c.1-4141T) allele and the rs17107315:C (c.101G) allele: 6.13 versus 5.47 in the Chinese dataset (Supp. Table S3) and 15.12 versus 14.82 in the Indian dataset (Supp. Table S4).

To test whether the two SNPs had an impact on disease risk, conditional analyses were performed. Nested logistic regression models were fitted viz. M1, effect of SNP1 (rs142703147:C>A) + ethnicity; M2, effect of SNP2 (rs17107315:T>C) + ethnicity; and M3 (i.e., the full model), effect of SNP1 + effect of SNP2 + ethnicity. By comparing the likelihood of M2 versus M3, we tested for the effect of SNP1 conditional upon SNP2, obtaining a χ^2 value of 5.65 and a P value of 1.74×10^{-2}. By comparing the likelihood of M1 versus M3, we tested for the effect of SNP2 conditional upon SNP1, obtaining a χ^2 value of 30.65 and a P value of 3.09×10^{-8}. These results suggested that both SNPs exert an effect on disease risk.

Discussion

The association of the rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G)-containing haplotype with chronic pancreatitis was first described 16 years ago [Witt et al. 2000]. As opined by Kereszturi and colleagues, "The mechanism of action of the [*SPINK1*] p.Asn34Ser-associated haplotype remains one of the most intriguing, unsolved questions of pancreas genetics" [Kereszturi et al. 2009]. These authors proposed that the causal variant was most probably located within an

uncharacterized flanking region of the *SPINK1* gene. However, distal regulatory variants are notoriously difficult to identify because the causal variant-harboring regulatory elements act independently of the distance and orientation to their target genes [Mathelier et al., 2015; Shlyueva et al. 2014]. Herein we have related a somewhat unusual and rather atypical story about how a functional regulatory variant was finally identified after a 16 year interlude. We started out by adopting a hypothesis-driven approach to identify all variants in strong or perfect LD with the rs17107315:T>C (c.101A>G) variant. Somewhat surprisingly, none of the LD SNPs were predicted to affect a functionally relevant TFBS. However, we identified two candidate SNPs that affected potential PTF1L binding sites by visual inspection of DNA sequence spanning all 25 LD SNPs, guided by prior knowledge of pancreas-specific transcription factors and their cognate binding sites. With hindsight, the failure to identify potential PTF1-L binding sites by all currently available TFBS prediction programs was almost certainly due to the variable length of the spacer sequence that separates the E-Box and TC-Box of the bipartite PTF1-L binding site (see Fig. 2).

Employing a novel CRM-based approach to filter the aforementioned two SNPs, we excluded one of them from further consideration. The remaining single variant, rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141T), is located approximately 4-kb from the *SPINK1* promoter, consistent with the current consensus that enhancers tend to be located within 10 kb of their associated transcription start sites genome-wide [MacIsaac et al., 2010; Taher et al. 2013]. The functionality of this variant was strongly supported by evolutionary conservation and chromatin accessibility data (Fig. 1C). By contrast, based upon ChIP-seq data from the human pancreatic tissues of two donors, no strong enhancer-associated histone marks (i.e., H3K4me1 and H3K27ac) were noted in the vicinity of the rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141T)-affected motif (Supp. Fig. S2). However, it is known that (i) none of the known histone modifications correlate perfectly with enhancer activity and (ii) there is no evidence that either H3K4me1 or

H3K27ac is sufficient, necessary or even mechanistically involved in transcription [Shlyueva et al., 2014]. Additionally, in the publicly available GTEx dataset, no single SNP is known to influence *SPINK1* expression in the pancreas. As far as rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141T) is concerned, this variant might simply not have been included for analysis by GTEx due to its low allele frequency in normal populations.

The functionality of the rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141T) variant was further supported by a series of experiments performed in HEK293T cells (Figs. 3-6). In this regard, it should be emphasized that there are no human pancreatic cell lines currently available for performing *SPINK1* promoter or enhancer reporter gene assays on a physiologically relevant background. The co-transfection transactivation assay used here, performed in cells lacking endogenous expression of the relevant transcription factors [Holmstrom et al. 2011], overcame this technical limitation. This notwithstanding, one may surmise that dexamethasone-differentiated rat pancreatic acinar AR42J cells [Rajasekaran et al., 1993], which have been previously used for analyzing *PRSS1* [Boulling et al., 2015] and *SPINK1* [Derikx et al., 2015] promoter variants, may be relevant with respect to the current 'gold standard' *in vitro* method for evaluating the effect of an enhancer element placed in the vicinity of a promoter element in a reporter gene assay [Shlyueva et al. 2014]. We therefore performed this analysis in AR42J cells treated with dexamethasone but did not obtain expected results (Supp. Fig. S4A). This can be essentially accounted for by the poor expression of both the *Spink1* and *Hnf1a* genes in the AR42J cells treated with dexamethasone (Supp. Fig. S4A). Here it is pertinent to note that in the current study, the inserted *SPINK1* promoter drove a mere 2.3-fold increased expression of the reporter gene as compared with the promoterless pGL3 basic vector (Supp. Fig. S4B) whilst in a previous reporter gene assay, the corresponding increase for the inserted *SPINK1* promoter was >15-fold [Derikx et al., 2015]. A variety of parameters affecting cell characteristics that pertain to cell culture conditions, including medium used, fetal bovine

serum percentage and composition, cell confluence, number of cell passages at time of transfection and protocol for dexamethasone treatment, could have significantly affected the experimental outcomes [Baker et al., 2016]. Additionally, the *SPINK1* promoter sequence used for reporter gene assay differs between the current study and the previous study [Derikx et al., 2015]; the inserted promoter segments correspond to c.1-407 to c.1-13 and c.1-541 to c.35 of the *SPINK1* genomic sequence, respectively. Finally, we should add that we did not attempt to perform experiments in mouse-derived pancreatic acinar tumor 266-6 cells because these cells displayed no difference with HEK293T cells in terms of *SPINK1* promoter-driven reporter gene expression [Derikx et al., 2015].

Although we provide strong *in silico* and in *vitro* evidence that the rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141T) variant is of functional significance, our findings from population genetic studies clearly suggest that it is only one component of the chronic pancreatitis-predisposing functional elements contained within the risk haplotype of interest. Thus, we are still far from obtaining a complete understanding of the pathogenic mechanism(s) underlying the most important heritable risk factor for idiopathic chronic pancreatitis identified to date [Witt et al. 2000] even after a 17 year interlude. Indeed, even though a *cis* variant located in the immediate vicinity of the gene under study would be a priority in terms of being tested, the true causative variant can be located at some distance from the haplotype associated with the phenotype (Smemo et al., 2014). Further studies that aim to discover other variants contributing to the high-risk haplotype as well as to improve the characterization of the functional SNP identified here are warranted. In a more general context, our case serves to exemplify the difficulties that are frequently encountered in tracking down and unmasking the causal variants responsible for disease associations that reside within the extensive regulatory regions flanking our genes rather than within the gene coding regions themselves [Mathelier et al. 2015; Spielmann and Mundlos 2016; Yao et al., 2015]. Nonetheless, the novel approach

employed in this study will, we believe, help to stimulate the development of new strategies to

identify the causal regulatory variants underlying many human inherited disease associations.

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

References

Aoun E, Chang CC, Greer JB, Papachristou GI, Barmada MM, Whitcomb DC. 2008. Pathways to injury in chronic pancreatitis: decoding the role of the high-risk *SPINK1* N34S haplotype using meta-analysis. PLoS One 3:e2003.

Baker M . 2016. Reproducibility: Respect your cells! Nature 537:433-435.

Beres TM, Masui T, Swift GH, Shi L, Henke RM, MacDonald RJ. 2006. PTF1 is an organ-specific and Notch-independent basic helix-loop-helix complex containing the mammalian Suppressor of Hairless (RBP-J) or its paralogue, RBP-L. Mol Cell Biol 26:117-130.

Bernstein BE, Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Costello JF, Ren B, Milosavljevic A, Meissner A, Kellis M, Marra MA, Beaudet AL, Ecker JR, Farnham PJ, Hirst M, et al. 2010. The NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium. Nat Biotechnol 28:1045-1048.

Boulling A, Le Maréchal C, Trouvé P, Raguénès O, Chen JM, Férec C. 2007. Functional analysis of pancreatitis-associated missense mutations in the pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor (*SPINK1*) gene. Eur J Hum Genet 15:936-942.

Boulling A, Witt H, Chandak GR, Masson E, Paliwal S, Bhaskar S, Reddy DN, Cooper DN, Chen JM, Férec C. 2011. Assessing the pathological relevance of *SPINK1* promoter variants. Eur J Hum Genet 19:1066-1073.

Boulling A, Chen JM, Callebaut I, Férec C. 2012. Is the *SPINK1* p.Asn34Ser missense mutation per se the true culprit within its associated haplotype? WebmedCentral GENETICS 3:WMC003084.

Boulling A, Sato M, Masson E, Génin E, Chen JM, Férec C. 2015. Identification of a functional *PRSS1* promoter variant in linkage disequilibrium with the chronic pancreatitis-protecting rs10273639. Gut 64:1837-1838.

Carithers LJ, Ardlie K, Barcus M, Branton PA, Britton A, Buia SA, Compton CC, DeLuca DS, Peter-Demchok J, Gelfand ET, Guan P, Korzeniewski GE, et al. 2015. A novel approach to high-auality postmortem tissue procurement: the GTEx project. Biopreserv Biobank 13:311-319.

Chen JM, Mercier B, Audrezet MP, Raguenes O, Quere I, Férec C. 2001. Mutations of the pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor (*PSTI*) gene in idiopathic chronic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 120:1061-1064.

Chen JM, Le Maréchal C, Lucas D, Raguénès O, Férec C. 2003. "Loss of function" mutations in the cationic trypsinogen gene (*PRSS1*) may act as a protective factor against pancreatitis. Mol Genet Metab 79:67-70.

Chen JM, Férec C. 2009. The true culprit within the *SPINK1* p.N34S-containing haplotype is still at large. Gut 58:478-480.

den Dunnen JT, Dalgleish R, Maglott DR, Hart RK, Greenblatt MS, McGowan-Jordan J, Roux AF, Smith T, Antonarakis SE, Taschner PE. HGVS Recommendations for the Description of Sequence Variants: 2016 Update. 2016. Hum Mutat 37:564-569.

Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. 2004. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. Genome Res 14:1188-1190.

Derikx MH, Geisz A, Kereszturi É, Sahin-Tóth M. 2015. Functional significance of *SPINK1* promoter variants in chronic pancreatitis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 308:G779-784.

Derikx MH, Kovacs P, Scholz M, Masson E, Chen JM, Ruffert C, Lichtner P, Te Morsche RH, Cavestro GM, Férec C, Drenth JP, Witt H, et al. 2015. Polymorphisms at *PRSS1-PRSS2* and *CLDN2-MORC4* loci associate with alcoholic and non-alcoholic chronic pancreatitis in a European replication study. Gut 64:1426-1433.

Fjeld K, Weiss FU, Lasher D, Rosendahl J, Chen JM, Johansson BB, Kirsten H, Ruffert C, Masson E, Steine SJ, Bugert P, Cnop M, et al. 2015. A recombind allele of the lipase gene *CEL* and its pseudogene *CELP* confers susceptibility to chronic pancreatitis. Nat Genet 47:518-522.

Forshew T, Murtaza M, Parkinson C, Gale D, Tsui DW, Kaper F, Dawson SJ, Piskorz AM, Jimenez-Linan M, Bentley D, Hadfield J, May AP, et al. 2012. Noninvasive identification and monitoring of cancer mutations by targeted deep sequencing of plasma DNA. Sci Transl Med 4:136ra168.

Gaunt TR, Rodriguez S, Day IN. 2007. Cubic exact solutions for the estimation of pairwise haplotype frequencies: implications for linkage disequilibrium analyses and a web tool 'CubeX'. BMC Bioinformatics 8:428.

Holmstrom SR, Deering T, Swift GH, Poelwijk FJ, Mangelsdorf DJ, Kliewer SA, MacDonald RJ. 2011. LRH-1 and PTF1-L coregulate an exocrine pancreas-specific transcriptional network for digestive function. Genes Dev 25:1674-1679.

Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler D. 2002. The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res 12:996-1006.

Kereszturi E, Kiraly O, Sahin-Toth M. 2009. Minigene analysis of intronic variants in common *SPINK1* haplotypes associated with chronic pancreatitis. Gut 58:545-549.

Király O, Wartmann T, Sahin-Tóth M. 2007. Missense mutations in pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor (SPINK1) cause intracellular retention and degradation. Gut 56:1433-1438.

Kuwata K, Hirota M, Shimizu H, Nakae M, Nishihara S, Takimoto A, Mitsushima K, Kikuchi N, Endo K, Inoue M, Ogawa M. 2002. Functional analysis of recombinant pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor protein with amino-acid substitution. J Gastroenterol 37:928-934.

Le Maréchal C, Masson E, Chen JM, Morel F, Ruszniewski P, Levy P, Férec C. 2006. Hereditary pancreatitis caused by triplication of the trypsinogen locus. Nat Genet 38:1372-1374.

Lelli KM, Slattery M, Mann RS. 2012. Disentangling the many layers of eukaryotic transcriptional regulation. Annu Rev Genet 46:43-68.

MacIsaac KD, Lo KA, Gordon W, Motola S, Mazor T, Fraenkel E. 2010. A quantitative model of transcriptional regulation reveals the influence of binding location on expression. PLoS Comput Biol 6:e1000773.

Majumder S, Chari ST. 2016. Chronic pancreatitis. Lancet 387:1957-1966.

Masamune A, Kume K, Takagi Y, Kikuta K, Satoh K, Satoh A, Shimosegawa T. 2007. N34S mutation in the *SPINK1* gene is not associated with alternative splicing. Pancreas 34:423-428.

Masson E, Chen JM, Scotet V, Le Maréchal C, Férec C. 2008. Association of rare chymotrypsinogen C (*CTRC*) gene variations in patients with idiopathic chronic pancreatitis. Hum Genet 123:83-91.

Masui T, Swift GH, Hale MA, Meredith DM, Johnson JE, Macdonald RJ. 2008. Transcriptional autoregulation controls pancreatic Ptf1a expression during development and adulthood. Mol Cell Biol 28:5458-5468.

Mathelier A, Shi W, Wasserman WW. 2015. Identification of altered *cis*-regulatory elements in human disease. Trends Genet 31:67-76.

Mathelier A, Fornes O, Arenillas DJ, Chen CY, Denay G, Lee J, Shi W, Shyr C, Tan G, Worsley-Hunt R, Zhang AW, Parcy F, et al. 2016. JASPAR 2016: a major expansion and update of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D110-115.

Medina-Rivera A, Defrance M, Sand O, Herrmann C, Castro-Mondragon JA, Delerce J, Jaeger S, Blanchet C, Vincens P, Caron C, Staines DM, Contreras-Moreira B, et al. 2015. RSAT 2015: Regulatory Sequence Analysis Tools. Nucleic Acids Res 43:W50-56.

Molero X, Vaquero EC, Flandez M, Gonzalez AM, Ortiz MA, Cibrian-Uhalte E, Servitja JM, Merlos A, Juanpere N, Massumi M, Skoudy A, Macdonald R, et al. 2012. Gene expression dynamics after murine pancreatitis unveils novel roles for Hnf1alpha in acinar cell homeostasis. Gut 61:1187-1196.

Paliwal S, Bhaskar S, Mani KR, Reddy DN, Rao GV, Singh SP, Thomas V, Chandak GR. 2013. Comprehensive screening of chymotrypsin C (*CTRC*) gene in tropical calcific pancreatitis identifies novel variants. Gut 62:1602-1606.

Pfaffl MW. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 29:e45.

R Core Team. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Available online at https://www.R-project.org/.

Rajasekaran AK, Morimoto T, Hanzel DK, Rodriguez-Boulan E, Kreibich G. 1993. Structural reorganization of the rough endoplasmic reticulum without size expansion accounts for dexamethasone-induced secretory activity in AR42J cells. J Cell Sci 105:333-345.

Rosendahl J, Witt H, Szmola R, Bhatia E, Ozsvari B, Landt O, Schulz HU, Gress TM, Pfutzer R, Lohr M, Kovacs P, Bluher M, et al. 2008. Chymotrypsin C (*CTRC*) variants that diminish activity or secretion are associated with chronic pancreatitis. Nat Genet 40:78-82.

Shlyueva D, Stampfel G, Stark A. 2014. Transcriptional enhancers: from properties to genome-wide predictions. Nat Rev Genet 15:272-286.

Smemo S, Tena JJ, Kim KH, Gamazon ER, Sakabe NJ, Gómez-Marín C, Aneas I, Credidio FL, Sobreira DR, Wasserman NF, Lee JH, Puviindran V, Tam D, Shen M, Son JE, Vakili NA, Sung HK, Naranjo S, Acemel RD, Manzanares M, Nagy A, Cox NJ, Hui CC, Gomez-Skarmeta JL, Nóbrega MA. 2014. Obesity-associated variants within *FTO* form long-range functional connections with *IRX3*. Nature 507:371-5.

Spielmann M, Mundlos S. 2016. Looking beyond the genes: the role of non-coding variants in human disease. Hum Mol Genet. 25(R2):R157-R165.

Szmola R, Sahin-Tóth M. 2007. Chymotrypsin C (caldecrin) promotes degradation of human cationic trypsin: identity with Rinderknecht's enzyme Y. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:11227-11232.

Taher L, Smith RP, Kim MJ, Ahituv N, Ovcharenko I. 2013. Sequence signatures extracted from proximal promoters can be used to predict distal enhancers. Genome Biol 14:R117.

Ward LD, Kellis M. 2016. HaploReg v4: systematic mining of putative causal variants, cell types, regulators and target genes for human complex traits and disease. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D877-881.

Whitcomb DC, Gorry MC, Preston RA, Furey W, Sossenheimer MJ, Ulrich CD, Martin SP, Gates LK, Jr., Amann ST, Toskes PP, Liddle R, McGrath K, et al. 1996. Hereditary pancreatitis is caused by a mutation in the cationic trypsinogen gene. Nat Genet 14:141-145.

Whitcomb DC, LaRusch J, Krasinskas AM, Klei L, Smith JP, Brand RE, Neoptolemos JP, Lerch MM, Tector M, Sandhu BS, Guda NM, Orlichenko L, et al. 2012. Common genetic variants in the *CLDN2* and *PRSS1-PRSS2* loci alter risk for alcohol-related and sporadic pancreatitis. Nat Genet 44:1349-1354.

Witt H, Luck W, Hennies HC, Classen M, Kage A, Lass U, Landt O, Becker M. 2000. Mutations in the gene encoding the serine protease inhibitor, Kazal type 1 are associated with chronic pancreatitis. Nat Genet 25:213-216.

Witt H, Sahin-Toth M, Landt O, Chen JM, Kahne T, Drenth JP, Kukor Z, Szepessy E, Halangk W, Dahm S, Rohde K, Schulz HU, et al. 2006. A degradation-sensitive anionic trypsinogen (*PRSS2*) variant protects against chronic pancreatitis. Nat Genet 38:668-673.

Witt H, Beer S, Rosendahl J, Chen JM, Chandak GR, Masamune A, Bence M, Szmola R, Oracz G, Macek M, Jr., Bhatia E, Steigenberger S, et al. 2013. Variants in *CPA1* are strongly associated with early onset chronic pancreatitis. Nat Genet 45:1216-1220.

Yao L, Berman BP, Farnham PJ. 2015. Demystifying the secret mission of enhancers: linking distal regulatory elements to target genes. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 50:550-573.

Yasuda T, Ohmachi Y, Katsuki M, Yokoyama M, Murata A, Monden M, Matsubara K. 1998. Identification of novel pancreas-specific regulatory sequences in the promoter region of human pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor gene. J Biol Chem 273:34413-34421.

Zou WB, Boulling A, Masamune A, Issarapu P, Masson E, Wu H, Sun XT, Hu LH, Zhou DZ, He L, Fichou Y, Nakano E, et al. 2016. No association between *CEL-HYB* hybrid allele and chronic pancreatitis in Asian populations. Gastroenterology 150:1558-1560. e5.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Discovery of a candidate causal variant underlying the chronic pancreatitis-associated *SPINK1* c.101A>G (rs17107315:T>C) variant-containing haplotype. **A:** The HNF1A and PTF1-L binding sites previously identified within the *SPINK1* proximal promoter [Boulling et al. 2011]. This motif signature corresponds to CRM4 illustrated in **C**. Note that (i) the nucleotide positions are in accordance with the A of the translational initiation codon ATG of the *SPINK1* gene being designated as c.1; (ii) the sequence given is on the sense strand with respect to the reading frame of the *SPINK1* gene; (iii) HNF1A and PTF1L were previously termed HNF1 and PTF1, respectively [Boulling et al. 2011]; and (iv) the TC-Box of the PTF1L binding site was previously annotated as comprising 6 nucleotides [Boulling et al. 2011]. Two chronic pancreatitis-predisposing variants that occurred within the HNF1A binding site, c.147A>G and c.142T>C [Boulling et al. 2011], are also shown. **B:** Illustration of the bipartite PTF1L TFBS disrupted by rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141G>T) and the RSAT-predicted HNF1A TFBS. This panel represents an enlarged view of CRM5 illustrated in **C**. Nucleotide positions are in accordance with hg19, with the A of the translational initiation codon ATG of the *SPINK1* gene being designated as c.1. It should be noted that the sequence is given on the antisense strand with respect to the reading frame of the *SPINK1* gene. N_{55} indicates 55 nucleotides whose sequence is not shown. **C:** Evaluation of the predicted putative PTF1L−HNF1A CRMs within the *SPINK1* locus plus ± 20 kb flanking sequence in the context of phylogenetic conservation and accessible chromatin regions. PC and CE, Placental Mammal Conservation by PhastCons and Placental Mammal Conserved Elements obtained from the UCSC Genome Browser. Accessible DNA regions in the pancreatic tissues of two donors, as determined by DNase-seq, were obtained from the website of the NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium. LD SNPs refer to all the SNPs (with the exception of the below described rs138251740A>G; see Supp. Fig. S1) that

were found to be in strong or perfect LD with the rs17107315T>C (c.101A>G) variant (highlighted in green). Apart from rs17107315T>C (c.101A>G) and rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141G>T), the other two SNPs that were specifically mentioned in the manuscript (i.e., rs17107287C>T and rs192858015G>A) are also clearly indicated. Note that the not shown rs138251740A>G (located further downstream of chr5:147,230,000) is located neither within a putative PTF1L−HNF1A CRM nor within a region showing strong evolutionary conservation and high chromatin accessibility.

Figure 2. Construction of two PFMs for the bipartite PTF1L binding site. **A:** Sequence alignment of 10 experimentally validated PTF1L TFBSs. Each of these PTF1L TFBSs comprised a 6-bp E-Box motif and a 7-bp TC-Box motif, separated by a 4- or 5- nucleotide spacer sequence (4N or 5N). The aligned E-Box and TC-Box sequences were used to generate two distinct PFMs. Nucleotides that are not perfectly conserved within the E-Box or TC-Box are highlighted in red. Luc., luciferase reporter gene assay. **B:** Sequence logos for the two sets of PTF1L PFMs, PTF1_4N and PTF1_5N. They were generated by inserting a 4- or 5-nucleotide spacer sequence between the aforementioned E-Box and the TC-Box PFMs.

Figure 3. Induction of luciferase reporter gene activity driven by the CRM4-containing *SPINK1* promoter (hSPINK1pp) upon expression of the co-transfected transcription factors. Expression level of the *SPINK1* promoter-driven luciferase reporter gene co-transfected with the empty pcDNA3.1 (+) vector (Empty) is set to 1. H, HNF1A; P, PTF1A; R, RBPJL. Bars, SD. *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$; ***, $P<0.001$.

Figure 4. Effects of two chronic pancreatitis-predisposing *SPINK1* promoter variants on HNF1A- or PTF1L−HNF1A-induced luciferase reporter gene activity. **A:** Predicted effects of

the two chronic pancreatitis-predisposing variants [Boulling et al. 2011] on the HNF1A

binding site located within CRM4 in the *SPINK1* proximal promoter. The PWM scores are

shown for each of the wild-type (WT) and variant sequences. A *P* value of <10^{-4} was regarded

as being a potential TFBS. *, *P* < 10^{-3}; ***, *P* <10^{-5}. **B:** Co-transfection transactivation

experiments performed under different conditions. Expression levels of the luciferase reporter

gene activity driven by the CRM4-containing wild-type, c.142T>C or c.147A>G *SPINK1*

promoter co-transfected with the empty pcDNA3.1 (+) vector (Empty) is set to 1. Each of the

wild-type and variant *SPINK1* promoter reporter gene vectors was co-transfected with the

expression plasmid encoding the *HNF1A* gene only (HNF1A) and the three expression

plasmids encoding respectively the *PTF1A*, *RBPJL* and *HNF1A* genes (P+R+H). Bars, SD.

, *P*<0.01; *, *P*<0.001.

Figure 5. Functional effect of the rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141G>T) variant on regulating

SPINK1 promoter activity by means of a co-transfection transactivation assay. **A:** Predicted

effect of the rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141G>T) variant on the PTF1L binding site located

within CRM5. The PWM scores are shown for the wild-type and variant alleles of

rs142703147. A *P* value of <10^{-4} was regarded as being a potential TFBS. **, *P* < 10^{-4}; ***, *P*

<10^{-5}. **B:** Effects of the wild-type and variant CRM5 with respect to the rs142703147

polymorphic site, when inserted separately into hSPINK1pp, on PTF1A+RBPJL+HNF1A

(P+R+H)-induced reporter gene expression. Expression levels of the reporter gene constructs

co-transfected with the empty pcDNA3.1 (+) vector are set to 1. hSPINK1pp+E [C], wild-

type CRM5 inserted into the hSPINK1pp vector; hSPINK1pp+E [A], rs142703147A-

containing CRM5 inserted into the hSPINK1pp vector. Bars, SD. ***, *P*<0.001.

Figure 6. Functional characterization of rs142703147C>A (c.1-4141G>T) by EMSA. Upper panel shows the sequence of the biotinylated probe and specific competitor (Comp.) with respect to the wild-type C allele of rs142703147 (first line) and the sequence of the variant rs142703147A allele competitor (second line). Only the sense strand of the double stranded oligonucleotide is shown. Lower panel shows the EMSA results performed with labelled probe C incubated with nuclear extracts (NE) from HEK293T cells transfected with empty pcDNA3.1 vector (E) or with PTF1A (P) and RBPJL (R) expression plasmids, in the presence or absence of Competitor C, Competitor A or Irrelevant (Ir) competitor. Competitors were added at a 50- or 100-fold excess compared with the biotinylated probe. The arrow indicates the position of the DNA/PTF1L complex.