ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/106388/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for p u blication.

Citation for final published version:

Lallukka, Susanna, Sädevirta, Sanja, Kallio, Markus T., Luukkonen, Panu K., Zhou, You, Hakkarainen, Antti, Lundbom, Nina, Orho-Melander, Marju and Yki-Järvinen, Hannele 2017. Predictors of liver fat and stiffness in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease $(NAFLD)$ - an 11-Year prospective study. Scientific Reports 7 (1), 14561. 1 0.10 3 8/s 41 5 9 8-0 1 7-1 4 7 0 6-0

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14706-0

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 23 May 2017 Accepted: 10 October 2017 Published online: 06 November 2017

Predictors of Liver Fat and Stiffness OPENin Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) – an 11-Year Prospective Study

Susanna Lallukka1,2, Sanja Sädevirta1,2, Markus T. Kallio1,2, Panu K. Luukkonen1,2 , You Zhou1,3, Antti Hakkarainen⁴ , Nina Lundbom⁴ , Marju Orho-Melander⁵ & Hannele Yki-Järvinen1,2

Liver fat can be non-invasively measured by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H-MRS) and fibrosis estimated as stiffness using transient elastography (FibroScan). There are no longitudinal data on changes in liver fat in Europids or on predictors of liver stiffness using these methods. We determined liver fat (¹H-MRS) and clinical characteristics including features of insulin resistance at baseline and after a median follow-up period of 11.3 (range 7.3–13.4) years in 97 Finnish subjects. Liver stiffness was measured at 11.3 years. Liver fat content decreased by 5% (p < 0.05) over time. Values at baseline and 11.3 years were closely interrelated (*r***= 0.81, p < 0.001). Baseline liver fat (OR 1.32; 95%CI: 1.15–1.50) and change in BMI (OR 1.67; 95%CI: 1.24–2.25) were independent predictors of liver fat at 11.3 years (AUROC 0.90; 95%CI: 0.83–0.96). Baseline liver fat (AUROC 0.84; 95%CI: 0.76–0.92) predicted liver fat at 11.3 years more accurately than routinely available parameters (AUROC 0.76; 95%CI: 0.65–0.86, p = 0.02). At 11.3 years, 29% of the subjects had increased liver stiffness. Baseline liver fat (OR 2.17; 95%CI: 1.05–4.46) was an independent predictor of increased liver stiffness. These data show that liver fat is more important than the associated metabolic abnormalities as the predictor of future liver fat and fibrosis.**

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) covers a range of conditions from simple steatosis (non-alcoholic fatty liver, NAFL) to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and fibrosis^{[1](#page-9-0)}. NAFL has been considered a benign and non-progressive condition^{[2](#page-9-1)}. Recent paired-biopsy studies in NAFLD patients have challenged this dogma by showing that NAFL can progress to NASH and fibrosis^{[3](#page-9-2)-5}, although the rate of progression is slow (1 stage over 14.3 years)^{[6](#page-9-4)}. It is unclear whether steatosis and the associated hypoxia and cell death around the central venous vein result in fibrosis via stellate cell activation 7,8 7,8 7,8 7,8 7,8 or whether features of insulin resistance associated with 'Obese/Metabolic' NAFLD are of importance for fibrogenesis[9](#page-9-7)[,10](#page-9-8). In support of the role of steatosis alone, patients with NAFLD due to genetic risk variants in patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing 3 (PNPLA3), transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (TM6SF2) and membrane bound O-acyltransferase domain containing 7 (MBOAT7) develop the full spectrum of NAFLD while they are neither insulin resistant nor at high risk of type 2 diabetes or cardiovascular diseases^{[11](#page-9-9)-14}.

The natural course of steatosis was recently characterized using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy ⁽¹H-MRS), the state-of-the-art technique to quantify steatosis, in 565 Chinese subjects followed for 3.9 years^{[15](#page-9-11)}. Fatty liver developed in 14% of the subjects during the follow-up^{[15](#page-9-11)}. Kim et al. studied 76 obese youth of mixed ethnic origin for an average of 1.9 years and found liver fat measured by magnetic resonance imaging to remain unchanged during the follow-up^{[16](#page-9-12)}. Similar data are not available in Europid subjects.

¹Minerva Foundation Institute for Medical Research, Helsinki, Finland. ²Department of Medicine, University of Helsinki, and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ³Systems Immunity University Research Institute and Division of Infection and Immunity, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom. ⁴HUS Medical Imaging Center, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ⁵Department of Clinical Sciences, Diabetes and Endocrinology, University Hospital Malmö, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.L. (email: susanna.lallukka@helsinki.fi)

Liver fibrosis can be non-invasively estimated using 1D ultrasonography transient elastography (TE; FibroScan, Echosens, Paris, France). The recent EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for management of NAFLD and EASL-ALEH Clinical Practice Guidelines for evaluation of liver disease severity concluded that this technique is an acceptable non-invasive procedure for identification of cases at high risk of advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis^{[1](#page-9-0)[,17](#page-9-13)}. Liver stiffness measurement (LSM) by TE predicts overall and liver-related mortality in NAFLD^{[18](#page-9-14)} but we are not aware of longitudinal studies searching for predictors of increased liver stiffness.

In the present study, we examined the natural course of liver triglyceride content using¹H-MRS in 97 Finnish subjects during an 11-year follow-up period, and determined which baseline factors predict NAFLD (liver triglyceride content exceeding 5.6%) and liver stiffness measured by TE. We were particularly interested to determine whether it is baseline liver fat or some feature of obesity/insulin resistance that best predicts liver stiffness.

Study Subjects and Design

Study subjects. We invited volunteers who had previously been participating in metabolic studies addressing liver fat content in our laboratory between years [19](#page-9-15)98 and 2004¹⁹⁻²⁴. The subjects for the metabolic studies had been recruited based on newspaper advertisements and by contacting physicians in the Helsinki University Hospital region. Inclusion criteria at baseline were i) age 18 to 75 years, ii) no known acute or chronic disease except for obesity, hypertension, NAFLD or type 2 diabetes based on medical history, physical examination and standard laboratory tests (blood counts, serum creatinine, thyroid-stimulating hormone, electrolyte concentrations) and electrocardiogram, and iii) alcohol consumption less than 20 g per day in women and less than 30 g in men. The response rate was 73%. At follow-up, we examined 109 subjects of whom 12 were excluded because of excessive use of alcohol at the follow-up visit (n = 9), use of herbal medicinal products (n = 1) or cortisone (n = 1), or who underwent bariatric surgery $(n = 1)$. The number of subjects studied was thus 97. Between the two study visits, the subjects received their usual treatment in the outpatient clinic if needed but did not participate in any intervention studies.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Each participant provided written informed consent after being explained the nature and potential risks of the study. The ethics committee of the Helsinki University Hospital approved the study protocol.

Study design. Baseline visit. At the baseline visit, medical history was obtained and a physical examination was performed. Fasting blood samples were taken for measurement of total blood counts and plasma creatinine, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, triglyceride, glucose, glycosylated hemoglobin A_{1C} (Hb A_{1C}), albumin, potassium, sodium, serum insulin and free fatty acid (FFA) concentrations. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated from the formula: fasting glucose (mmol/l) \times fasting insulin (mU/l)/22.5^{[25](#page-9-17)}. The NAFLD fibrosis score was calculated based on knowledge of age, body mass index (BMI), impaired fasting glucose/diabetes status, concentrations of AST, ALT and albumin, and platelet count as described^{[26](#page-9-18)}. A pregnancy test in serum was performed in women of childbearing age. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy $(^1H\text{-}MRS)$ was used for measurement of liver fat content.

Follow-up visit. At this visit, medical history and physical examination were repeated. Fasting blood samples were taken for measurement of the same biochemical parameters and in the same laboratory as at baseline. In addition, antibodies against hepatitis A (HAVAbG and HAVAbM), B (HBcAb) and C (HCVAb), transferrin saturation, and anti-smooth muscle, anti-nuclear and anti-mitochondrial antibodies were measured. A pregnancy test in serum was performed in women of childbearing age. We also obtained blood samples for genotyping study subjects for NAFLD risk variants in PNPLA3 at rs738409, TM6SF2 at rs58542926 and MBOAT7 at rs641738. Thereafter, a 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed in non-diabetic subjects. Measurement of liver fat content by ¹H-MRS was repeated. In addition, 92 subjects participated in a separate visit during which liver stiffness was measured using TE after an overnight fast. We used non-invasive imaging methods to measure liver steatosis and to estimate fibrosis as it was considered unethical to perform invasive liver biopsies without clinical indication in most of the study subjects.

Results

Liver fat content. Baseline characteristics of the study subjects are shown in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The median duration of follow-up was 11.3 and ranged from 7.3 to 13.4 years. Liver fat content decreased slightly by 5% from a median of 6.1% (25–75th percentile: 1.9–14.0%) at baseline to 5.8% (1.9–13.1%) at 11.3 years ($p = 0.02$). Individual values at baseline and at [1](#page-4-0)1.3 years were highly interrelated ($r = 0.81$, $p < 0.0001$, Fig. 1). Of subjects without NAFLD at baseline, 79% remained free of NAFLD, and 73% of those with NAFLD at baseline still had NAFLD after the 11.3-year follow-up period.

In univariate analysis, of baseline parameters, measures of obesity, concentrations of fasting glucose, insulin, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol and liver enzymes, and liver fat content predicted liver fat content at 11.3 years (Table [2\)](#page-5-0).

In a multiple binary logistic regression model, liver fat content remained the only independent predictor of NAFLD at 11.3 years (odds ratio: 1.22, 95% confidence interval (CI): $1.11-1.34$, p < 0.001; Table [3\)](#page-6-0). To determine how well routinely available clinical variables at baseline predict liver fat at 11 years, we included in another logistic regression model significantly associated baseline variables other than liver fat. In this model, baseline waist circumference and plasma ALT were independent predictors of NAFLD at 11.3 years (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) of the first model including baseline liver fat (0.84, 95% CI: 0.76–0.92, $p < 0.0001$ for this AUROC) was significantly greater than that of the latter model including only routinely available parameters (0.76, 95% CI: 0.65–0.86, $p < 0.0001$ for this AUROC and $p = 0.02$ for comparison between the models) (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)).

Table 1. Characteristics of 97 study subjects and of those with low and increased liver stiffness at follow-up. Data are shown as number, percent, mean \pm standard error of mean or median (the 25th–75th percentiles). $^{\sharp} \text{p}<$ 0.05, $^{\sharp\sharp} \text{p}<$ 0.005 $^{\sharp\sharp\sharp} \text{p}<$ 0.0005 as compared to baseline within the group. $^{\sharp} \text{p}<$ 0.05, $^{\sharp\sharp} \text{p}<$ 0.005, $**p < 0.0005$ as compared to the 'Low liver stiffness' group at the same visit. Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; B, blood; BMI, body mass index; FFA, free fatty acid; fP, fasting plasma; fS, fasting serum; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HbA_{1C}, glycosylated hemoglobin A1C; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MBOAT7, membrane bound O-acyltransferase domain containing 7; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; P, plasma; PNPLA3, patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3; TM6SF2, transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2.

The relationship between changes in various parameters and liver fat content at follow-up are shown in Supplementary Table 1. When changes were included in the model also containing baseline parameters, of changes only that in BMI remained an independent predictor of NAFLD at 11.3 years (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). The AUROC of this model was 0.90 (95% CI: 0.83–0.96, p < 0.0001), which was not significantly better than that containing only baseline liver fat content (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)). The relationship between change in liver fat and changes in BMI, fasting triglycerides, HOMA-IR and ALT concentrations are depicted in Supplementary Fig. 1.

Figure 1. The relationship (Pearson's correlation coefficient, r) between liver fat content measured by ¹H-MRS at baseline and at 11 years.

We also created a multiple linear regression equation to allow prediction of liver fat content at follow-up with the help of baseline liver fat (Model 1 in Supplementary Table 2). Exclusion of baseline liver fat worsened the model considerably (Model 2 in Supplementary Table 2). In multiple linear regression analysis, which included significant baseline parameters and changes during follow-up, baseline liver fat and changes in BMI and HbA_{1C} remained significant independent predictors and explained 74% (p < 0.001) of the variation in liver fat content at 11 years (Model 3 in Supplementary Table 2).

Liver stiffness and NAFLD fibrosis score. At follow-up, 29% of the subjects had increased liver stiffness (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). In these subjects, the median LSM was 10.4 kPa ($25-\overline{7}5$ th percentile: $9.5-14.3$ kPa) measured with the M probe $(n = 11)$ and 10.3 kPa (25–75th percentile: 7.9–12.3 kPa) measured with the XL probe $(n = 10)$ at follow-up. In subjects with low liver stiffness at follow-up, the median LSM with the M probe was 5.4 kPa (25–75th percentile: 4.3–6.8 kPa; $n = 42$) and with the XL probe 5.8 kPa (25–75th percentile: 4.2–6.6 kPa; $n = 10$). Subjects who had increased liver stiffness at follow-up were significantly more obese, had wider waist circumferences, and had higher serum insulin concentrations and blood leukocyte counts at baseline than subjects whose liver stiffness remained below the cut-off values (Table [1](#page-3-0)). Baseline liver fat content was significantly higher in subjects with (10.6%, 4.0–20.0) than without (4.1%, 1.4–9.7, p < 0.001) increased stiffness. The NAFLD fibrosis score increased significantly during 11 years from a median of −2.202 (−2.713 to −1.294) at baseline to −0.989 (−1.776 to −0.095, p < 0.001) at follow-up. Aging explained 32% of this change. The increase in NAFLD fibrosis score during 11 years was significant even if age was kept constant (−1.379 (−2.152 to −0.510) at follow-up, p < 0.001 for change).

Baseline parameters, which were significantly associated with increased liver stiffness at follow-up (Table [2](#page-5-0)), were entered in multiple binary logistic regression analysis. Baseline liver fat content remained an independent predictor of increased liver stiffness at follow-up (Table [3](#page-6-0)). The AUROC of this model was 0.74 (95% CI: $0.61 - 0.87$, $p = 0.002$).

Liver biopsies. Liver biopsies were obtained in seven patients with clinical indication. The histological features of these biopsies are listed in Table [4.](#page-7-1)

Discussion

In this longitudinal study, we measured liver fat content using ¹H-MRS at an interval of around 11 years. Although mean liver fat content decreased significantly by 5%, baseline and follow-up values were highly interrelated. Of subjects without NAFLD, 79% remained free of NAFLD while NAFLD persisted in 73% of those with NAFLD at baseline. Baseline liver fat content and the change in BMI were the best predictors of liver fat at follow-up in multivariate analyses. At 11 years, 29% of the subjects had increased liver stiffness. At baseline, these subjects were more obese, had wider waist circumferences, and had higher liver fat content and blood leukocyte counts than subjects with more elastic livers. In multivariate analysis, baseline liver fat content remained the only independent predictor of liver stiffness.

Liver fat at baseline and follow-up were significantly correlated $(r= 0.81)$ in the present study. This correlation coefficient was identical to that observed in 76 obese youth followed for 1.9 years^{[16](#page-9-12)}. In the study in Hong-Kong, the correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up liver fat values was 0.39 in subjects without and 0.50 in

Table 2. Univariate analyses for baseline predictors of liver fat and increased stiffness at follow-up. ^aOR is calculated per unit, number or if logarithmic transformed per standard deviation (SD). ^b148IM and 148MM/CT and TT groups are combined. Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; FFA, free fatty acids; fP, fasting plasma; fS, fasting serum; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HbA_{1c}, glycosylated hemoglobin A_{1c} ; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MBOAT7, membrane bound O-acyltransferase domain containing 7; OR, odds ratio; P, plasma; PNPLA3, patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3; r, Pearson's correlation coefficient; TM6SF2, transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2.

those with NAFLD at baseline^{[15](#page-9-11)}. Consistent with the current data, a study using ultrasound to diagnose NAFLD found 81% of 147 subjects without steatosis at baseline to remain free of NAFLD, while 64% of 66 subjects with NAFLD at baseline still had NAFLD after a 7-year period of follow-up^{[27](#page-9-19)}. Although individual values were closely correlated at baseline and follow-up, liver fat content decreased slightly and significantly. This is in line with paired-biopsy studies, in which steatosis grade significantly decreased while fibrosis progressed during 3.2 years of follow-up of 103 subjects of unspecified ethnic origin^{[28](#page-9-20)}, during 6.4 years of follow-up of 132 Italian subjects^{[29](#page-9-21)} and during 13.7 years of follow-up of 68 Swedes^{[30](#page-9-22)}. In 52 Chinese subjects with NAFLD, steatosis grade increased significantly while fibrosis stage remained stable during 3 years^{[4](#page-9-23)}.

In addition to baseline liver fat, several measures of obesity and concentrations of fasting glucose, insulin, triglycerides and HDL cholesterol predicted liver fat measured by ¹H-MRS at follow-up in univariate analyses. These data, which to our knowledge are the first in Europid adults, resemble those in Chinese^{[15](#page-9-11)}. In the latter study, subjects who developed NAFLD diagnosed by ¹H-MRS were more obese and had higher glucose and triglyceride concentrations and lower concentrations of HDL cholesterol than those who did not develop a fatty liver^{[15](#page-9-11)}. Most studies assessing steatosis by ultrasound have been performed in Asians $^{31-34}$ $^{31-34}$ $^{31-34}$. In these studies, baseline obesity $^{31-33}$, age^{[32](#page-9-27),[33](#page-9-26)}, components of metabolic syndrome^{32,33} and serum ferritin^{[34](#page-9-25)} predicted NAFLD. The change in BMI was the only significant predictor of the change in liver fat during 11 years in the present study. Similarly, weight gain was associated with development and weight loss with remission of NAFLD diagnosed by ultrasound in 213 Israeli subjects^{[27](#page-9-19)}.

Table 3. Multiple binary logistic regression models to predict NAFLD and increased liver stiffness. *Model 1 ('All baseline parameters') included baseline age, BMI, waist circumference, plasma ALT, fasting plasma triglyceride, blood leukocyte count, HOMA-IR and baseline liver fat content. #Model 2 ('Baseline parameters except for liver fat') included all parameters in Model 1 except for baseline liver fat. °Model 3 ('All baseline parameters and changes except for liver fat') including parameters in Model 1 and changes in waist circumference, BMI, HbA_{1c} and blood leukocyte count during 11 years. **Model predicting liver stiffness included baseline age, weight, waist-to-hip ratio, fasting serum insulin (log), fasting plasma HDL cholesterol (log), blood leukocyte count (log) and liver fat content (log). ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AUROC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; B, coefficient; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HbA_{1C} , glycosylated hemoglobin A_{1C} ; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; log, logarithmic transformed; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; OR, odds ratio; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error.

To our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study identifying predictors of increased liver stiffness in NAFLD. We did not perform LSM at baseline, which is a limitation. However, at least when judged from the NAFLD fibrosis score, fibrosis did significantly worsen during the follow-up, even when normalized for age. Liver fat content was an independent predictor of liver stiffness at the end of the 11-year follow-up period. The data are consistent with paired-biopsy studies showing that steatosis predicts fibrosis^{[3](#page-9-2)[,5](#page-9-3)[,6](#page-9-4)}.

The ability of liver fat but not metabolic features to predict stiffness 11 years later may give hints of the patho-genesis of fibrosis. It is well established that patients with NAFLD due to the genetic risk variants in PNPLA3^{[35](#page-9-28)}, $TM6SF²³⁶$ $TM6SF²³⁶$ $TM6SF²³⁶$ and $MBOAT7¹³$ $MBOAT7¹³$ $MBOAT7¹³$ are at risk of fibrosis but these patients are neither more obese nor insulin resistant compared to non-carriers of the variants $37-39$ $37-39$. This would suggest that steatosis, the common denominator between 'Obese/Metabolic' NAFLD and NAFLD due to the risk variants, rather than insulin resistance could facilitate the development of fibrosis. In liver lobules, fat accumulates and hepatocytes undergo cell death (bal-looning) around the central vein^{[40](#page-9-33)}. This process leads to activation of hepatic stellate cells and perisinusoidal deposition of collagen again starting from the central venous area^{[8](#page-9-6)[,40](#page-9-33)}. This pathophysiology could explain why steatosis rather than the associated metabolic features predicts fibrosis, although measurement of steatosis is neither necessary nor sufficient to detect fibrosis.

Strengths of our study include a long follow-up period and use of a state-of-the-art quantitative method to measure of liver fat with ¹H-MRS. The data are also the first to describe predictors of increased liver stiffness associated with NAFLD. An important limitation of our study is that the study subjects were not selected from a population-based sample but were recruited by newspaper advertisements and by contacting local physicians and thus selection bias may exist. Our study is also underpowered to detect effects of the genetic risk variants on liver fat content. Furthermore, liver biopsies were only available from subjects in whom a liver biopsy was considered to be clinically justified.

We conclude that liver fat decreases slightly although NAFLD status remains markedly stable over an 11-years. Baseline liver fat content is the best predictor of both liver fat and stiffness during 11 years of follow-up while routinely available clinical and biochemical parameters are significantly less accurate predictors. These data support the view that steatosis rather than the associated metabolic abnormalities is important in the pathogenesis of fibrosis.

Methods

Measurement of liver fat using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H-MRS). The liver fat content was measured using three generations of 1.5 Tesla clinical scanners (Magnetom Vision, Sonata and Avanto, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Erlangen, Germany). The intensity differences arising from various acquisition parameters and localization techniques had to be normalized. T1-weighted high-resolution magnetic

Table 4. Liver stiffness and histological assessment of liver biopsies $(n=7)$. ^aMeasured using the XL probe. ^bMeasured using the M probe.

resonance imaging scans were collected using a standard ¹H body coil. The ¹H-MRS voxel of interest (8 to 27 cm³) was carefully located within the right lobe of the liver avoiding subcutaneous fat, large vessels, bile ducts and the gall bladder. Localization was performed using the STEAM sequence with echo time (TE)/mixing time (TM)/repetition time (TR) of 20/30/3000 ms and 32 acquisitions for Vision measurements and the PRESS sequence with TE/TR of 30/3000 ms and 16 acquisitions for Sonata and Avanto measurements. Subjects were breathing normally during the data collection. All spectra were analyzed with the MRUI/jMRUI software using VARPRO/AMARES (available at www.mrui.uab.es/mrui/). The intensities of the peaks resonating from the protons of water, and protons of methylene $(CH_2)_{n-2}$ groups in the fatty acid chains were determined using line-shape fitting with prior knowledge. Signal intensities were corrected for T1 and T2 relaxation using the equation I $_m$ =I $_0$ exp(−TE/T2) *[1-exp(-(TR-TM-0.5TE)/T1)]*exp(-TM/T1) for Vision data and the equation $I_m = I_0 \exp(-TE/T2)$ for Sonata and Avanto data. T1 of 600 ms^{[41](#page-9-34)} and 300 ms^{[42](#page-9-35)} and experimentally determined T2 of 46 ms and 58 ms were used for water and fat, respectively. Liver fat content was expressed as a ratio of signal from methylene group to total signal of methylene and water. Liver fat content was converted from signal ratio to a weight fraction, applying method validated by Longo et al.^{[4](#page-10-1)3} and Szczepaniak et al.⁴⁴. The following experimentally determined factors were used: i) the ratio of the number of lipid protons in the fitted ${\rm (CH_2)_{n-2}}$ signal to the total number of lipid protons is 0.6332^{[4](#page-10-2)5}; ii) proton densities of fat and water are 111 and 111 mol/l, respectively; iii) 1 g liver tissue contains 711 mg water; iv) densities of the liver tissue, fat in the liver, and water are 1.051 g/ml, 0.900 g/ml, and

1.000 g/ml; respectively. The measurement has been validated against histologically determined lipid content^{[46](#page-10-3)} and against estimates of fatty degeneration or infiltration by x-ray computer-assisted tomography^{[21](#page-9-36)}. A physicist who was unaware of any of the clinical data analyzed all spectra. NAFLD was defined as liver fat $>5.56\%$ by ¹H-MRS as in the Dallas Heart Study^{[44](#page-10-1)}.

Liver stiffness measurement (LSM) using transient elastography (TE). LSM was used as a non-invasive test estimating liver fibrosis and was measured when the patients were lying supine with their right arm in maximal abduction using TE (FibroScan, Echosens, Paris, France). Two experienced physicians (S.L. and P.K.L.) performed LSMs using the same protocol. The tip of the probe transducer was covered with gel and placed on the skin at the level of the right lobe of the liver. The depth of the measurement was 25–65 mm below the skin surface using the M probe and 35–75 mm using the XL probe. LSM was first performed with the M probe. If obesity prevented adequate measurement, the XL probe was used. We used the cut-off values of 8.7 kPa with the M probe and 7.2 kPa with the XL probe for clinically significant stage 3–4 fibrosis, as recommended[47](#page-10-4). Subjects in whom 10 acquisitions were successful and interquartile range divided by median (IQR/median) was less than 0.3 were included in analyses. LSM failed with both probes in eight subjects. The results are expressed as the median value of ten successful measurements in kilopascal (kPa).

Liver biopsies. When clinically indicated, a percutaneous liver biopsy was obtained under ultrasound guidance using a 16 G BioPince Full Core Biopsy instrument (Argon Medical Devices, Athens, TX). All biopsies were > 20 mm in length and sent to the pathologist for histological assessment. Histology was analyzed by an experienced liver pathologist in a blinded fashion according to the criteria proposed by Brunt et al.^{[40](#page-9-33)}.

Genotyping of *PNPLA3***,** *TM6SF2* **and** *MBOAT7* **risk variants.** Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood using the Autopure LS (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). All three single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP; PNPLA3 at rs738409, C>G/I148M; TM6SF2 at rs58542926, C>T/E167K; and MBOAT7 at rs641738, C>T) were genotyped by TaqMan PCR method (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) according to manufacturer's instructions. Post-PCR allelic discrimination was carried out measuring allele-specific fluorescence on an ABI Prism Sequence Detection System ABI 7900HT (Applied Biosystems). The success rate for genotyping was >95% for all three SNPs and the genotypes of all three SNPs were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

Analytical procedures. Fasting plasma glucose was measured using a hexokinase method on an autoanalyser (Roche Diagnostics Hitachi 917, Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Serum insulin concentration was determined by time-resolved fluoroimmunoassay using Insulin Kit (AUTOdelfia, Wallac, Turku, Finland). Hb A_{1C} was measured by high-pressure liquid chromatography using a fully automated Glycosylated Hemoglobin Analyzer System (BioRad, Richmond, CA). Plasma total and HDL cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were measured with respective enzymatic kits from Roche Diagnostics using an autoanalyzer (Roche Diagnostics Hitachi 917, Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Serum FFA concentration was measured by an enzymatic colorimetric assay (NEFA-HR(2), Wako Chemicals GmbH, Neuss, Germany) using a Konelab 60i analyzer (Thermo Electron Corporation, Vantaa, Finland). Plasma ALT, AST, GGT and creatinine concentrations were determined as recommended by the European Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.

Other measurements. Body weight was recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated digital scale (Soehnle, Monilaite-Dayton, Finland) with barefoot subjects wearing light indoor clothing. Height and circumferences of waist and hip were recorded to the nearest 0.5 cm using a non-stretchable tape. BMI was defined as weight/height² (kg/m²). Waist circumference was measured midway between the lower rib margin and the iliac crest and hip circumference over the greater trochanters. The percentage of body fat was determined using bioelectric impedance analysis (BioElectrical Impedance Analyzer System model #BIA-101A, RJL Systems, Detroit, MI). Blood pressure was measured in a sitting position after a minimum of 15 minutes of acclimatization and before blood sampling using an automatic sphygmomanometer (OMRON M7, Omron Healthcare Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan).

Statistical analyses. Distribution of continuous variables was tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk's normality test. Normally distributed data are shown as mean ± standard error of mean and non-normally distributed data as median followed by the 25th and 75th percentiles. Changes during follow-up within the study groups were analyzed using the paired t-test or Wilcoxon's matched pairs test, and the groups were compared with the unpaired t-test or with the Mann-Whitney's test, as appropriate. For categorical variables, we used Fisher's exact test or McNemar's test. We applied logarithmic transformation for non-normally distributed data for Pearson's correlation coefficient and linear regression analyses.

Linear and binary logistic regression analyses were used to identify predictors of liver fat and stiffness. Variables predicting liver fat and stiffness at a significance level of <0.05 in univariate analyses were entered in multiple linear or backward logistic regression analyses as appropriate. If variables were measures of the same biological process (weight/BMI/body fat percent, waist/hip/waist-to-hip ratio, glucose/HbA_{1C}/insulin/HOMA-IR), we only included the one most closely associated with outcome of interest. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUROC) of the logistic regression models was used to compare models by the method of DeLong et al.^{[4](#page-10-5)8}. GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Mac (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA), IBM SPSS Statistics 24.0 for Mac (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL) and the'pROC' package in R (<http://www.R-project.org/>) were used to perform the statistical analyses. A two-sided p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data Availability. The data analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- 1. European Association for the Study of Diabetes, European Association for the Study of Diabetes & European Association for the Study of Obesity. EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J. Hepatol. **64**, 1388–1402 (2016).
- 2. Teli, M. R., James, O. F., Burt, A. D., Bennett, M. K. & Day, C. P. The natural history of nonalcoholic fatty liver: a follow-up study. Hepatology **22**, 1714–1719 (1995).
- 3. Pais, R. et al. A systematic review of follow-up biopsies reveals disease progression in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver. J. Hepatol. **59**, 550–556 (2013).
- 4. Wong, V. W. et al. Disease progression of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a prospective study with paired liver biopsies at 3 years. Gut **59**, 969–974 (2010).
- 5. McPherson, S. et al. Evidence of NAFLD progression from steatosis to fibrosing-steatohepatitis using paired biopsies: implications for prognosis and clinical management. J. Hepatol. **62**, 1148–1155 (2015).
- 6. Singh, S. et al. Fibrosis progression in nonalcoholic fatty liver vs nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of paired-biopsy studies. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. **13**, 643-54.e1-9; quiz e39–40 (2015).
- 7. Jungermann, K. & Kietzmann, T. Oxygen: modulator of metabolic zonation and disease of the liver. Hepatology **31**, 255–260 (2000).
- 8. Nath, B. & Szabo, G. Hypoxia and hypoxia inducible factors: diverse roles in liver diseases. Hepatology **55**, 622–633 (2012).
- 9. Kotronen, A., Westerbacka, J., Bergholm, R., Pietilainen, K. H. & Yki-Jarvinen, H. Liver fat in the metabolic syndrome. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. **92**, 3490–3497 (2007).
- 10. Ratziu, V. et al. Fibrogenic impact of high serum glucose in chronic hepatitis C. J. Hepatol. **39**, 1049–1055 (2003).
- 11. Simons, N. et al. PNPLA3, TM6SF2, and MBOAT7 Genotypes and Coronary Artery Disease. Gastroenterology **152**, 912–913 (2017).
- 12. Kozlitina, J. et al. Exome-wide association study identifies a TM6SF2 variant that confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Nat. Genet. **46**, 352–356 (2014).
- 13. Mancina, R. M. et al. The MBOAT7-TMC4 Variant rs641738 Increases Risk of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Individuals of European Descent. Gastroenterology **150**, 1219–1230.e6 (2016).
- 14. Romeo, S. et al. Genetic variation in PNPLA3 confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Nat. Genet. **40**, 1461–1465 (2008)
- 15. Wong, V. W. et al. Incidence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Hong Kong: a population study with paired proton-magnetic resonance spectroscopy. J. Hepatol. **62**, 182–189 (2015).
- 16. Kim, G. et al. Longitudinal effects of MRI-measured hepatic steatosis on biomarkers of glucose homeostasis and hepatic apoptosis in obese youth. Diabetes Care **36**, 130–136 (2013).
- 17. European Association for Study of Liver & Asociacion Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Higado. EASL-ALEH Clinical Practice Guidelines: Non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease severity and prognosis. J. Hepatol. **63**, 237–264 (2015).
- 18. Boursier, J. et al. Diagnostic accuracy and prognostic significance of blood fibrosis tests and liver stiffness measurement by FibroScan in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J. Hepatol. **65**, 570–578 (2016).
- 19. Makkonen, J. et al. Increased expression of the macrophage markers and of 11beta-HSD-1 in subcutaneous adipose tissue, but not in cultured monocyte-derived macrophages, is associated with liver fat in human obesity. Int. J. Obes. (Lond) **31**, 1617–1625 (2007).
- 20. Juurinen, L., Tiikkainen, M., Hakkinen, A. M., Hakkarainen, A. & Yki-Jarvinen, H. Effects of insulin therapy on liver fat content and hepatic insulin sensitivity in patients with type 2 diabetes. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. **292**, E829–35 (2007).
- 21. Ryysy, L. et al. Hepatic fat content and insulin action on free fatty acids and glucose metabolism rather than insulin absorption are associated with insulin requirements during insulin therapy in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes **49**, 749–758 (2000).
- 22. Seppala-Lindroos, A. et al. Fat accumulation in the liver is associated with defects in insulin suppression of glucose production and serum free fatty acids independent of obesity in normal men. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. **87**, 3023–3028 (2002).
- 23. Vehkavaara, S. et al. Insulin therapy improves endothelial function in type 2 diabetes. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. **20**, 545–550 (2000).
- 24. Westerbacka, J. et al. Acute in vivo effects of insulin on gene expression in adipose tissue in insulin-resistant and insulin-sensitive subjects. Diabetologia **49**, 132–140 (2006).
- 25. Matthews, D. R. et al. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia **28**, 412–419 (1985).
- 26. Angulo, P. et al. The NAFLD fibrosis score: a noninvasive system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. Hepatology **45**, 846–854 (2007).
- 27. Zelber-Sagi, S. et al. Predictors for incidence and remission of NAFLD in the general population during a seven-year prospective follow-up. J. Hepatol. **56**, 1145–1151 (2012).
- 28. Adams, L. A., Sanderson, S., Lindor, K. D. & Angulo, P. The histological course of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a longitudinal study of 103 patients with sequential liver biopsies. J. Hepatol. **42**, 132–138 (2005).
- 29. Sorrentino, P. et al. Predicting fibrosis worsening in obese patients with NASH through parenchymal fibronectin, HOMA-IR, and hypertension. Am. J. Gastroenterol. **105**, 336–344 (2010).
- 30. Ekstedt, M. et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with NAFLD and elevated liver enzymes. Hepatology **44**, 865–873 (2006).
- 31. Li, H. et al. High serum level of fibroblast growth factor 21 is an independent predictor of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a 3-year prospective study in China. J. Hepatol. **58**, 557–563 (2013).
- 32. Wang, Y. et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Exp. Ther. Med. **6**, 77–84 (2013).
- 33. Zhou, Y. J., Li, Y. Y., Nie, Y. Q., Huang, C. M. & Cao, C. Y. Natural course of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in southern China: a prospective cohort study. J. Dig. Dis. **13**, 153–160 (2012).
- 34. Kim, C. W., Chang, Y., Sung, E., Shin, H. & Ryu, S. Serum ferritin levels predict incident non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in healthy Korean men. Metabolism **61**, 1182–1188 (2012).
- 35. Sookoian, S. & Pirola, C. J. Meta-analysis of the influence of I148M variant of patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 3 gene (PNPLA3) on the susceptibility and histological severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology **53**, 1883–1894 (2011).
- 36. Liu, Y. L. et al. TM6SF2 rs58542926 influences hepatic fibrosis progression in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Nat. Commun. **5**, 4309 (2014).
- 37. Kotronen, A. et al. A common variant in PNPLA3, which encodes adiponutrin, is associated with liver fat content in humans. Diabetologia **52**, 1056–1060 (2009).
- 38. Luukkonen, P. K. et al. Impaired hepatic lipid synthesis from polyunsaturated fatty acids in TM6SF2 E167K variant carriers with NAFLD. J. Hepatol. (2017).
- 39. Luukkonen, P. K. et al. The MBOAT7 variant rs641738 alters hepatic phosphatidylinositols and increases severity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in humans. J. Hepatol. **65**, 1263–1265 (2016).
- 40. Brunt, E. M., Janney, C. G., Di Bisceglie, A. M., Neuschwander-Tetri, B. A. & Bacon, B. R. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a proposal for grading and staging the histological lesions. Am. J. Gastroenterol. **94**, 2467–2474 (1999).
- 41. Greg J. Stanisz, et al. T1, T2 relaxation and magnetization transfer in tissue at 3T. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine **54**(3), 507–512 (2005).
- 42. Graham, S. J., Stanisz, G. J., Kecojevic, A., Bronskill, M. J. & Henkelman, R. M. Analysis of changes in MR properties of tissues after heat treatment. Magn. Reson. Med., **42**, 1061–1071 (1999).
- 43. Renata Longo, et al. Proton MR spectroscopy in quantitative in vivo determination of fat content in human liver steatosis. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging **5**(3), 281–285 (1995).
- 44. Szczepaniak, L. S. et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy to measure hepatic triglyceride content: prevalence of hepatic steatosis in the general population. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. **288**, E462–8 (2005).
- 45. Szczepaniak, et al. Measurement of intracellular triglyceride stores by H spectroscopy: validation in vivo. Am.J.Physiol., **276**, E977–89 (1999).
- 46. Thomsen, C. et al. Quantification of liver fat using magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Magn. Reson. Imaging **12**, 487–495 (1994).
- 47. Wong, V. W. et al. Liver stiffness measurement using XL probe in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Am. J. Gastroenterol. **107**, 1862–1871 (2012).
- 48. DeLong, E. R., DeLong, D. M. & Clarke-Pearson, D. L. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics **44**, 837–845 (1988).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Anne Salo, Aila Karioja-Kallio and Pentti Pölönen for skillful technical assistance, and the volunteers for their help. This study was supported by University of Helsinki, the Doctoral Programme in Clinical Research and by personal grants (SL) from Diabetes Research, Biomedicum Helsinki and Orion Research Foundations, and by research grants (HY-J) from the Academy of Finland, EU/EFPIA Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking (EMIF grant no. 115372), EU H2020 project 'Elucidating Pathways of Steatohepatitis' (EPoS grant no. 634413), the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, and the EVO grant (HY-J) from the Finnish government.

Author Contributions

All authors have contributed to this manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript. S.L., S.S. and H.Y.-J. designed the study. S.L., S.S., M.T.K. and P.K.L. participated in clinical examination of the study subjects, acquisition and interpretation of the data, and critical revision of the manuscript. S.L. performed the statistical analyzes and drafted the manuscript. Y.Z. participated in the statistical analyzes and critical revision of the manuscript. M.O-M, A.H. and N.L. participated in acquisition of the data and critical revision of the manuscript. H.Y-J. supervised the study and contributed to critical revision of the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14706-0.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14706-0)

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \bigcirc License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2017