

An extremely low gas-to-dust ratio in the dust-lane lenticular galaxy NGC 5485^{*}

Maarten Baes,¹ † Flor Allaert,¹ Marc Sarzi,^{2,3} Ilse De Looze,^{1,4} Jacopo Fritz,¹ Gianfranco Gentile,^{1,5} Thomas M. Hughes,¹ Ivânio Puerari,⁶ Matthew W. L. Smith⁷ and Sébastien Viaene¹

¹*Sterrenkundig Observatorium, Universiteit Gent, Krijgslaan 281, B-9000 Gent, Belgium*

²*Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, Hertfordshire AL10 9AB, UK*

³*Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98 bis Bd Arago, F-75014 Paris, France*

⁴*Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK*

⁵*Department of Physics and Astrophysics, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussel, Belgium*

⁶*Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica, y Electrónica, Calle Luis Enrique Erro 1, Santa María Tonantzintla, 72840 Puebla, Mexico*

⁷*School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Queens Buildings, The Parade, Cardiff CF24 3AA, UK*

Accepted 2014 July 21. Received 2014 July 21; in original form 2014 June 11

ABSTRACT

Evidence is mounting that a significant fraction of the early-type galaxy population contains substantial reservoirs of cold interstellar gas and dust. We investigate the gas and dust in NGC 5485, an early-type galaxy with a prominent minor-axis dust lane. Using new *Herschel* PACS and SPIRE imaging data, we detect $3.8 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ of cool interstellar dust in NGC 5485, which is in stark contrast with the non-detection of the galaxy in sensitive H I and CO observations from the ATLAS^{3D} consortium. The resulting gas-to-dust ratio upper limit is $M_{\text{gas}}/M_{\text{d}} < 14.5$, almost an order of magnitude lower than the canonical value for the Milky Way. We scrutinize the reliability of the dust, atomic gas and molecular gas mass estimates, but these do not show systematic uncertainties that can explain the extreme gas-to-dust ratio. Also a warm or hot ionized gas medium does not offer an explanation. A possible scenario could be that NGC 5485 merged with an SMC-type metal-poor galaxy with a substantial CO-dark molecular gas component and that the bulk of atomic gas was lost during the interaction, but it remains to be investigated whether such a scenario is possible.

Key words: galaxies: individual: NGC 5485 – galaxies: ISM.

1 INTRODUCTION

Early-type galaxies (ETGs) are often considered as the final stage of galaxy evolution, with virtually little or no cold interstellar medium (ISM) left. Recently, more and more evidence is appearing that a substantial fraction of the ETGs do contain substantial reservoirs of cold interstellar matter.

Systematic searches for atomic and molecular gas in ETGs have yielded widely varying detection rates, corresponding to different selection criteria and sensitivity limits (e.g. Combes, Young & Bureau 2007; Grossi et al. 2009; Oosterloo et al. 2010; Welch, Sage & Young 2010). The most complete effort to make a census of cold interstellar gas in ETGs in the local Universe is the ATLAS^{3D} project

(Cappellari et al. 2011), which targets a volume-limited sample of 260 ETGs. Molecular gas was detected in 22 per cent of the galaxies in the sample (Young et al. 2011), whereas the atomic gas detection rate depended strongly on the environment, and increased from 10 per cent in the Virgo Cluster to 40 per cent for field ETGs (Serra et al. 2012). The frequently observed kinematic misalignments between stars and gas suggest an external origin for the gas in many ETGs (e.g. Sage & Galletta 1993; Kannappan & Fabricant 2001; Sarzi et al. 2006; Young, Bureau & Cappellari 2008; Davis et al. 2011). Similarly, there is now plenty of evidence for the presence of cold interstellar dust in a significant fraction of the ETG population. Deep optical imaging surveys have shown that many ETGs possess dust features in a variety of morphological forms (Goudfrooij et al. 1994; van Dokkum & Franx 1995; Colbert, Mulchaey & Zabludoff 2001; Tran et al. 2001; Ferrarese et al. 2006; Kulkarni et al. 2014).

In a small fraction of the ETG population, the dust is organized in prominent and large-scale dust lanes (Patil et al. 2007; Finkelman et al. 2008, 2010b). These so-called dust-lane ETGs are considered to be the remnants of recent minor mergers between ETGs

^{*} Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

† E-mail: maarten.baes@ugent.be




Figure 1. SDSS *g* band, PACS 100 and 160 μm , and SPIRE 250 μm images of NGC 5485. The field of view of each image is $2 \times 2 \text{ arcmin}^2$, and the beam FWHM is indicated in the three *Herschel* images. In the optical image, a shell-like feature is clearly visible some 20 arcsec towards the SE of the nucleus, and its counterpart is detectable in the PACS images as well.

and gas-rich satellites (Hawarden et al. 1981; Kaviraj et al. 2012, 2013; Shabala et al. 2012). Combining new ATCA observations and archival data, Oosterloo et al. (2002) studied the H I properties of a sample of nine dust-lane ETGs. They found huge H I reservoirs in six galaxies, with masses up to several times $10^9 M_{\odot}$ and H I -to-dust mass ratios of more than 1000. On the other side of the spectrum, they did not detect H I in the remaining three dust-lane ETGs, which implied unusually low H I -to-dust mass ratios, down to $M_{\text{H I}}/M_{\text{d}} < 20$. They suggested that the cold ISM should mainly be in molecular rather than atomic form in these galaxies.

In this Letter, we focus on NGC 5485, a dust-lane ETG at a distance of 25.2 Mpc¹ (Tonry et al. 2001; Cappellari et al. 2011). It is home to a prominent dust lane, perpendicular to the photometric major axis (Fig. 1, top left). Given the prominent dust lane, one would expect NGC 5485 to contain a large cold interstellar matter reservoir. The galaxy is detected by *IRAS*, with a corresponding dust mass of about $10^6 M_{\odot}$ (Patil et al. 2007; Finkelman et al. 2010a). Similarly to a third of the dust-lane ETGs from the Oosterloo et al. (2002) sample, NGC 5485 has a low H I content: in the frame of the ATLAS^{3D} campaign, Serra et al. (2012) observed the galaxy at 21 cm with the WSRT, but did not detect it. The corresponding upper limit to the atomic gas mass is $M_{\text{H I}} < 1.5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$. Surprisingly, also no molecular gas is detected: Young et al. (2011) observed NGC 5485 in the CO(1–0) and CO(2–1) lines with the IRAM 30 m telescope, but did not detect it in either line. The resulting upper limit on the molecular gas mass is $M_{\text{H}_2} < 4.0 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$.

The combination of these gas and dust mass estimates results in a cold gas-to-dust ratio lower than about 50. This value is probably

still strongly overestimated, as *IRAS* was insensitive to cool dust. In this Letter, we present new far-infrared (FIR) and submm imaging data for NGC 5485, taken with the PACS and SPIRE instruments onboard the *Herschel* Space Observatory. In Section 2, we present our observations and the resulting flux densities, and we combine these new data with ancillary data to make a solid measurement of the dust mass, and hence an accurate upper limit on the gas-to-dust ratio. In Section 3, we discuss different scenarios to explain this gas-to-dust ratio upper limit, including a potential overestimate of the dust mass, and underestimate of the cold gas mass, and a number of possible alternative options. Finally, Section 4 summarizes our conclusions.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DUST MASS DETERMINATION

NGC 5485 was observed with the *Herschel* Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) in the frame of the *Far-infraRed Investigation of Early-type galaxies with Dust-Lanes* (FRIEDL) programme. We used the PACS (Poglitsch et al. 2010) and SPIRE (Griffin et al. 2010) photometers in scan mode, both with their nominal scan speed, i.e. 20 arcsec s^{−1} for PACS and 30 arcsec s^{−1} for SPIRE. The size of the map was chosen to be $8 \times 8 \text{ arcmin}^2$. For the PACS map, four cross-scans (i.e. four nominal and four orthogonal scans) were performed, while the SPIRE map was observed with a single cross-scan. The data reduction was done with HIPE version 12.0.0 and includes the same steps as in Verstappen et al. (2013). For the PACS data, it includes the use of the Scanamorphos version 23 (Roussel 2013) in order to make optimal use of the redundancy in the observational data. Fig. 1 shows the resulting *Herschel* maps at 100, 160 and 250 μm . At these wavelengths, the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) is 7, 11 and 18 arcsec, respectively, and the dust emission from the galaxy is resolved along the direction of the dust lane. At 350 and 500 μm , where the FWHM increases to 24 and 36 arcsec, the emission is not resolved anymore.

Global flux densities were determined through aperture photometry using the DS9/Funtools program `FUNCTS`, following the same strategy as described in detail by Verstappen et al. (2013). We followed the approach of Dale et al. (2012) to determine the background level and uncertainty estimates. The *Herschel* flux densities are 533 ± 44 , 797 ± 52 , 518 ± 46 , 288 ± 33 and $108 \pm 23 \text{ mJy}$ at 100, 160, 250, 350 and 500 μm .

To determine the dust mass, we first used a simple modified blackbody model, with dust emissivity index $\beta = 2$ and $\kappa_{\nu} = 0.192 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ at 350 μm . These values correspond to what is probably the most widely adopted physical dust model (Draine 2003; Draine & Li 2007), and they have been widely used to interpret *Herschel* SEDs (e.g. Dale et al. 2012; Auld et al. 2013; Verstappen et al. 2013; Hughes et al. 2014). Applying such a simple modified blackbody fit to the PACS and SPIRE flux densities, we find $T_{\text{d}} = 18.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ K}$ and $M_{\text{d}} = (3.79 \pm 0.31) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$.

Apart from a simple modified blackbody fit, we also used the `MAGPHYS` code (da Cunha, Charlot & Elbaz 2008) to determine the dust mass. `MAGPHYS` has been used extensively to model the panchromatic SEDs of galaxies (e.g. Smith et al. 2012a; Clemens et al. 2013; Lanz et al. 2013; Viaene et al. 2014). We combined the *Herschel* PACS and SPIRE flux densities with optical *ugriz* flux densities for NGC 5485 from SDSS, near-infrared *JHK_s* flux densities from 2MASS, mid-infrared flux densities from *WISE*, and FIR flux densities at 60 and 100 μm from *IRAS*. The result of the `MAGPHYS` fit can be found in Fig. 2. The most important property for our goals is the total dust mass, for which we found $M_{\text{d}} = (3.81^{+0.80}_{-0.64}) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$.

¹ Throughout this Letter we use this distance for NGC 5485; all luminosities and masses are converted to be consistent with this value.




Figure 2. A MAGPHYS fit to the UV-submm spectral-energy distribution of NGC 5485. The top panel shows the SED, where the red stars represent the SDSS, 2MASS, *WISE*, *IRAS* and *Herschel* data points, the blue solid line is the intrinsic stellar SED, and the solid black line is the model SED including dust extinction and emission. The bottom panel shows the residuals between the data and the model. The χ^2 value is dominated by the *IRAS* 100 μm flux density, which is inconsistent with the PACS 100 μm flux density.

completely consistent with the value obtained from our modified blackbody fit (we adopted the same dust model).

3 DISCUSSION

Combining the dust mass resulting from the *Herschel* flux densities with the molecular and atomic gas measurements discussed in Section 1, we find a cold gas-to-dust upper limit $M_{\text{gas}}/M_{\text{d}} < 14.5$. Such a gas-to-dust ratio is extremely low – typical values range from several thousands for low-metallicity dwarf galaxies to about 100 for solar metallicity galaxies (e.g. Muñoz-Mateos et al. 2009; Galametz et al. 2011; Magrini et al. 2011; Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014).

One possible explanation for the extreme gas-to-dust ratio upper limit for NGC 5485 is that the dust mass as determined from the *Herschel* flux densities is overestimated. There are several possible systematic effects that we should investigate in some detail.

A first observation is that the new dust mass we have derived is a factor of 3–4 larger than the *IRAS*-based dust masses of Patil et al. (2007) and Finkelman et al. (2010a). And these dust masses are themselves more than an order higher than the dust masses the same authors derived using extinction in optical images, for which they found several times $10^4 M_{\odot}$. However, we are convinced that our new dust mass based on *Herschel* FIR/submm data is more reliable than those based on *IRAS* and optical extinction. *IRAS*-based dust masses are most certainly an underestimate of the true dust mass, since *IRAS* is insensitive to cool dust at temperature below about 25 K (e.g. Goudfrooij & de Jong 1995; Tsai & Mathews 1996). *Herschel* observations have decisively shown that the typical cold dust temperature in ETGs is around 20 K (Rowlands et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2012b; di Serego Alighieri et al. 2013). For NGC 5485, we find an effective dust temperature of 19 K, which indeed indicates that *IRAS* missed the bulk of the dust. On the other hand, inferring the amount of dust from optical extinction maps is complicated due to the complexity of the star–dust geometry and the effects of scattering (e.g. Witt, Thronson & Capuano 1992; Baes & Dejonghe 2001). In dust-lane ETGs, dust mass estimates based on simple extinction recipes applied to optical images can underestimate the true dust mass by an order of magnitude or more (Goudfrooij & de Jong 1995; Patil et al. 2007; Finkelman et al. 2008, 2010b).

We should also critically consider the possibility that the observed *Herschel* flux densities are overestimated. NGC 5485 has a galactic

latitude of 59° , so there are no foreground subtraction issues due to strong cirrus that have plagued some other *Herschel* extragalactic observations (e.g. Davies et al. 2010; Fritz et al. 2012). In optical and NIR images, NGC 5485 seems to have a shell-like structure some 20 arcsec towards to SE of the nucleus (see the left-hand panel of Fig. 1). This feature has a clear counterpart in the PACS maps, and could be an edge-on background galaxy rather than a feature linked to NGC 5485 itself. In any case, the contribution of this source to the *Herschel* flux densities of NGC 5485 is marginal. In the PACS 100 μm map, where the resolution is sufficient to separate this feature from the dust emission in the dust lane, we find that it contributes no more than 10 per cent to the total flux density.

Finally, a last aspect to take into consideration is that we modelled the FIR/submm emission as a single modified blackbody at a single temperature, whereas in reality, the FIR/submm emission results from a complicated weighted sum of modified blackbodies at a range of temperatures. This is a systematic effect that we cannot avoid due to our lack of resolution. However, the total dust mass is typically *underestimated* when it is based on the integrated SED (Galliano et al. 2011; Galametz et al. 2012), so it does not help to explain the low gas-to-dust ratio.

On the other hand, the low gas-to-dust ratio might be the result of a systematic underestimate of the gas mass. In any case, there does not seem to be any reason to doubt the reliability of the H I and CO upper limits of Serra et al. (2012) and Young et al. (2011), respectively. The noise levels obtained in both observation campaigns, with the WSRT and IRAM 30 m telescope, respectively, are almost exactly the median value of the entire ATLAS^{3D} study. One source of uncertainty on the derivation of the molecular gas mass upper limit is the conversion factor needed to convert CO(1–0) intensities to the H₂ masses, the so-called X_{CO} factor. This factor has been the subject of a substantial debate in the recent literature (e.g. Strong & Mattox 1996; Israel 1997; Leroy et al. 2011; Smith et al. 2012c; Sandstrom et al. 2013). The authoritative review by Bolatto, Wolfire & Leroy (2013) suggests the value $X_{\text{CO}} = 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{K km s}^{-1})^{-1}$ for the Milky Way and other ‘normal galaxies’. In their determination of the upper limit for NGC 5485, Young et al. (2011) used $X_{\text{CO}} = 3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{K km s}^{-1})^{-1}$, i.e. 50 per cent larger than the standard value suggested by Bolatto et al. (2013). Using the standard value would decrease the molecular gas mass upper limit by factor of 1.5, and it would decrease the gas-to-dust ratio upper limit by a factor of 1.32 to $M_{\text{gas}}/M_{\text{d}} < 11$.

In principle, most of the gas in NGC 5485 could be ionized rather than neutral. An interesting comparison case here is the study of the elliptical galaxy NGC 4125 by Wilson et al. (2013). Combining *Herschel*-based dust estimates with H I and CO non-detections, they also found a similar cold gas-to-dust ratio upper limit as we obtain here. However, based on a $[\text{N II}]/[\text{C II}]$ ratio consistent with a low-density ionized medium and the (crude) assumption that the ionized gas is distributed uniformly over a 4.2 kpc diameter sphere, Wilson et al. (2013) argued that a significant fraction of the gas in NGC 4125 is warm ionized rather than cold neutral gas. For NGC 5485, this option seems excluded, though. The galaxy was imaged in H α by Finkelman et al. (2010a). They detected an inclined disc of ionized gas that nicely follows the morphology of the dust lane, which seems to support that at least part of the dust might be associated with warm ionized gas. The total amount of ionized hydrogen gas traced in the H α map is $M_{\text{H II}} = (2.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$, far too little to be a significant contributor to the total gas budget. Finkelman et al. (2010a) even warn that their H II masses are likely overestimated by a factor of 2–3 due to confusion of the H α and $[\text{N II}]$ lines. On the other hand, H α photons are subject to dust attenuation in

the dust lane, which could cause the H_{II} mass in NGC 5485 to be underestimated. However, in order to bring the H_{II} mass to the level required for a typical gas-to-dust ratio of about 100, unrealistically high attenuation values $A_V \gg 10$ are needed (Calzetti, Kinney & Storchi-Bergmann 1994).

Alternatively, a major part of the gas budget in NGC 5484 could be in the form of hot X-ray emitting ionized gas. While dust grains are expected to be destroyed through sputtering by thermal collisions with energetic ions, the coexistence of dust and a hot X-ray gas is not completely impossible (e.g. Temi et al. 2003; Kaneda et al. 2007; Leeuw et al. 2008). NGC 5485, however, was not detected in the *ROSAT* all-sky survey: Beuing et al. (1999) quote an upper limit of $L_X < 10^{39.8} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. If we insert the K -band luminosity $L_K = 5.65 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$ into the latest calibration between the K -band luminosity of ETGs and the expected unresolved X-ray emission due to low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) by Boroson, Kim & Fabbiano (2011), we find exactly the same number, $L_{X,LXMB} = 10^{39.8} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. This implies that any X-ray emission from NGC 5485 most probably originates from LMXBs rather than from hot ionized gas. Moreover, the fact that the dust is distributed in a well-defined dust lane makes a physical association with a potential hot diffuse halo rather unlikely, although it is not impossible that a fraction of the dust is distributed diffusely over the galaxy (Goudfrooij & de Jong 1995).

A final, more exotic, option could be that NGC 5485 contains a reservoir of molecular gas, but that this gas is not emitting CO line emission. Both diffuse γ -ray emission (Grenier, Casandjian & Terrier 2005; Abdo et al. 2010; Ackermann et al. 2012) and combined gas and dust observations (Planck Collaboration XIX 2011; Paradis et al. 2012) have revealed the presence of a substantial amount of so-called dark gas in our own Milky Way. The presence of CO-dark gas has been found many years ago in low-metallicity dwarf galaxies. At low metallicities, most of the carbon is locked up in neutral or singly ionized carbon rather than CO, which makes $[C_{II}]$ a more reliable tracer the cold H_2 reservoir (Stacey et al. 1991, 2010; Madden et al. 1997, 2013). As a relatively massive lenticular galaxy, NGC 5485 is not expected to contain copious amounts of CO-dark gas. Being a dust-lane ETG, however, it has most probably acquired most of its dust and gas during a recent minor merger (Kaviraj et al. 2012). The recent merger scenario is also supported by its rather exceptional kinematical structure, which shows strong minor-axis rotation (Wagner, Bender & Moellenhoff 1988; Emsellem et al. 2011; Krajnović et al. 2011).

In principle, it is possible that it has accreted a dwarf galaxy with a substantial CO-dark molecular gas reservoir. An appropriate example of such a dwarf galaxy would be the SMC, which contains a dust mass of $3 \times 10^5 M_\odot$, but hardly any CO-emitting molecular gas (Mizuno et al. 2001; Leroy et al. 2007, 2011). It does, however, contain about $4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ of H_I gas (Stanimirovic et al. 1999; Bolatto et al. 2011), so if a minor merger with an SMC-type galaxy would be the origin of the dust lane in NGC 5485, somehow a large fraction of this atomic gas should have been lost during the merger event. Atomic gas is generally more loosely bound than molecular gas, so it could be more easily be stripped during interactions (but it would probably still be visible as a tidal tail). Another argument against such a scenario is that the gas-to-dust ratios of low-metallicity dwarf galaxies are typically up to an order of magnitude higher than those of giant galaxies (Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014), which makes the apparently low gas-to-dust ratio in NGC 5485 even more puzzling. Whether such a merging scenario is plausible or even possible, is a challenge that could be investigated using detailed merger hydrodynamical simulations. Also deeper H_I

and CO observations, sensitive enough to trace possible diffuse gas, would be useful to unveil the nature of the ISM in this peculiar system.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have discussed the interstellar dust and gas properties of the dust-lane ETG NGC 5485. We present new *Herschel* PACS and SPIRE imaging of NGC 5485, taken in the frame of the FRIEDL programme. Using both standard modified blackbody model fits and the *MAGPHYS* spectral-energy distribution modelling code, we obtain a dust mass $M_d = 3.8 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. The combination of the dust mass and H_I and CO stringent upper limits, obtained in the frame of the ATLAS^{3D} survey, leads to an exceptionally low gas-to-dust ratio, $M_{\text{gas}}/M_d < 14.5$, almost an order of magnitude lower than the canonical value of the Milky Way.

We have investigated different possible explanations for this extreme gas-to-dust ratio. We have critically checked the reliability of the dust mass estimate, but neither the lack of spatial resolution in the FIR/submm imaging, nor a possible contamination of background or companion sources affect the dust mass. Similarly, the reliability of the cold gas mass is scrutinized. The main source of uncertainty here is the notorious CO-to- H_2 conversion factor, and if we would assume the ‘standard’ value rather than the slightly higher value adopted by the ATLAS^{3D} consortium, the gas-to-dust ratio upper limit would even be decreased to $M_{\text{gas}}/M_d < 11.0$.

Finally, we investigate less obvious scenarios to explain the lack of cold gas in NGC 5485. Based on $H\alpha$ and X-ray observations, we can discard the possibility that the bulk of the gas is in a warm or hot ionized medium. One possible option is the presence of a component of CO-dark molecular gas. In principle, the extreme gas-to-dust ratio could be the result of a merger with an SMC-type metal-poor dwarf galaxy, if a substantial fraction of the H_I could have been lost during the interaction, but it remains to be investigated whether such a scenario is possible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to the anonymous referee for providing insightful and constructive comments. MB, JF and TH acknowledge the financial support of the Belgian Science Policy Office (BEL-SPO) through the PRODEX project ‘Herschel-PACS Guaranteed Time and Open Time Programs: Science Exploitation’ (C90370). MB, FA, SV and IDL acknowledge the support from the Flemish Fund for Scientific Research (FWO-Vlaanderen). IP thanks the Mexican foundation CONACyT for financial support.

PACS has been developed by a consortium of institutes led by MPE (Germany) and including UVIE (Austria); KU Leuven, CSL, IMEC (Belgium); CEA, LAM (France); MPIA (Germany); INAF-IFSI/OAA/OAP/OAT, LENS, SISSA (Italy); IAC (Spain). This development has been supported by the funding agencies BMVIT (Austria), ESA-PRODEX (Belgium), CEA/CNES (France), DLR (Germany), ASI/INAF (Italy), and CICYT/MCYT (Spain). SPIRE has been developed by a consortium of institutes led by Cardiff University (UK) and including Univ. Lethbridge (Canada); NAOC (China); CEA, LAM (France); IFSI, Univ. Padua (Italy); IAC (Spain); Stockholm Observatory (Sweden); Imperial College London, RAL, UCL-MSSL, UKATC, Univ. Sussex (UK); and Caltech, JPL, NHSC, Univ. Colorado (USA). This development has been supported by national funding agencies: CSA (Canada); NAOC (China); CEA, CNES, CNRS (France); ASI (Italy); MCINN (Spain); SNSB (Sweden); STFC (UK); and NASA (USA).

REFERENCES

- Abdo A. A. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 710, 133
 Ackermann M. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 750, 3
 Auld R. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 1880
 Baes M., Dejonghe H., 2001, *MNRAS*, 326, 733
 Beuing J., Dobreiner S., Bohringer H., Bender R., 1999, *MNRAS*, 302, 209
 Bolatto A. D. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 741, 12
 Bolatto A. D., Wolfire M., Leroy A. K., 2013, *ARA&A*, 51, 207
 Boroson B., Kim D.-W., Fabbiano G., 2011, *ApJ*, 729, 12
 Calzetti D., Kinney A. L., Storchi-Bergmann T., 1994, *ApJ*, 429, 582
 Cappellari M. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 813
 Clemens M. S. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 433, 695
 Colbert J. W., Mulchaey J. S., Zabludoff A. I., 2001, *AJ*, 121, 808
 Combes F., Young L. M., Bureau M., 2007, *MNRAS*, 377, 1795
 da Cunha E., Charlot S., Elbaz D., 2008, *MNRAS*, 388, 1595
 Dale D. A. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 745, 95
 Davies J. I. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 409, 102
 Davis T. A. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, 882
 di Serego Alighieri S. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 552, A8
 Draine B. T., 2003, *ARA&A*, 41, 241
 Draine B. T., Li A., 2007, *ApJ*, 657, 810
 Emsellem E. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 888
 Ferrarese L. et al., 2006, *ApJS*, 164, 334
 Finkelman I. et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, 390, 969
 Finkelman I., Brosch N., Funes J. G., Kniazev A. Y., Väisänen P., 2010a, *MNRAS*, 407, 2475
 Finkelman I. et al., 2010b, *MNRAS*, 409, 727
 Fritz J. et al., 2012, *A&A*, 546, A34
 Galametz M., Madden S. C., Galliano F., Hony S., Bendo G. J., Sauvage M., 2011, *A&A*, 532, A56
 Galametz M. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, 763
 Galliano F. et al., 2011, *A&A*, 536, A88
 Goudfrooij P., de Jong T., 1995, *A&A*, 298, 784
 Goudfrooij P., Hansen L., Jorgensen H. E., Norgaard-Nielsen H. U., 1994, *A&AS*, 105, 341
 Grenier I. A., Casandjian J.-M., Terrier R., 2005, *Science*, 307, 1292
 Griffin M. J. et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L3
 Grossi M. et al., 2009, *A&A*, 498, 407
 Hawarden T. G., Longmore A. J., Tritton S. B., Elson R. A. W., Corwin H. G., Jr, 1981, *MNRAS*, 196, 747
 Hughes T. M. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 565, A4
 Israel F. P., 1997, *A&A*, 328, 471
 Kaneda H., Onaka T., Kitayama T., Okada Y., Sakon I., 2007, *PASJ*, 59, 107
 Kannappan S. J., Fabricant D. G., 2001, *AJ*, 121, 140
 Kaviraj S. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 423, 49
 Kaviraj S. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 1463
 Krajnović D. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 2923
 Kulkarni S., Sahu D. K., Chaware L., Chakradhari N. K., Pandey S. K., 2014, *New Astron.*, 30, 51
 Lanz L. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 90
 Leeuw L. L., Davidson J., Dowell C. D., Matthews H. E., 2008, *ApJ*, 677, 249
 Leroy A., Bolatto A., Stanimirovic S., Mizuno N., Israel F., Bot C., 2007, *ApJ*, 658, 1027
 Leroy A. K. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 12
 Madden S. C., Poglitsch A., Geis N., Stacey G. J., Townes C. H., 1997, *ApJ*, 483, 200
 Madden S. C. et al., 2013, *PASP*, 125, 600
 Magrini L. et al., 2011, *A&A*, 535, A13
 Mizuno N., Rubio M., Mizuno A., Yamaguchi R., Onishi T., Fukui Y., 2001, *PASJ*, 53, L45
 Muñoz-Mateos J. C. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 701, 1965
 Oosterloo T. A., Morganti R., Sadler E. M., Vergani D., Caldwell N., 2002, *AJ*, 123, 729
 Oosterloo T. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 409, 500
 Paradis D., Dobashi K., Shimoikura T., Kawamura A., Onishi T., Fukui Y., Bernard J.-P., 2012, *A&A*, 543, A103
 Patil M. K., Pandey S. K., Sahu D. K., Kembhavi A., 2007, *A&A*, 461, 103
 Pilbratt G. L. et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L1
 Planck Collaboration XIX, 2011, *A&A*, 536, A19
 Poglitsch A. et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L2
 Rémy-Ruyer A. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 563, A31
 Roussel H., 2013, *PASP*, 125, 1126
 Rowlands K. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 2545
 Sage L. J., Galletta G., 1993, *ApJ*, 419, 544
 Sandstrom K. M. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 777, 5
 Sarzi M. et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 366, 1151
 Serra P. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, 1835
 Shabala S. S. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 423, 59
 Smith D. J. B. et al., 2012a, *MNRAS*, 427, 703
 Smith M. W. L. et al., 2012b, *ApJ*, 748, 123
 Smith M. W. L. et al., 2012c, *ApJ*, 756, 40
 Stacey G. J., Geis N., Genzel R., Lugten J. B., Poglitsch A., Sternberg A., Townes C. H., 1991, *ApJ*, 373, 423
 Stacey G. J., Hailey-Dunsheath S., Ferkinhoff C., Nikola T., Parshley S. C., Benford D. J., Staguhn J. G., Fiolet N., 2010, *ApJ*, 724, 957
 Stanimirovic S., Staveley-Smith L., Dickey J. M., Sault R. J., Snowden S. L., 1999, *MNRAS*, 302, 417
 Strong A. W., Mattox J. R., 1996, *A&A*, 308, L21
 Temi P., Mathews W. G., Brighenti F., Bregman J. D., 2003, *ApJ*, 585, L121
 Tonry J. L., Dressler A., Blakeslee J. P., Ajhar E. A., Fletcher A. B., Luppino G. A., Metzger M. R., Moore C. B., 2001, *ApJ*, 546, 681
 Tran H. D., Tsvetanov Z., Ford H. C., Davies J., Jaffe W., van den Bosch F. C., Rest A., 2001, *AJ*, 121, 2928
 Tsai J. C., Mathews W. G., 1996, *ApJ*, 468, 571
 van Dokkum P. G., Franx M., 1995, *AJ*, 110, 2027
 Verstappen J. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 556, A54
 Viaene S. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 567, A71
 Wagner S. J., Bender R., Moellenhoff C., 1988, *A&A*, 195, L5
 Welch G. A., Sage L. J., Young L. M., 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 100
 Wilson C. D. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 776, L30
 Witt A. N., Thronson H. A., Jr, Capuano J. M., Jr, 1992, *ApJ*, 393, 611
 Young L. M., Bureau M., Cappellari M., 2008, *ApJ*, 676, 317
 Young L. M. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 940

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.