

ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/107667/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Demetriadou, Angela, Oh, Sang Soon , Wuestner, Sebastian and Hess, Ortwin 2012. A tri-helical model for nanoplasmonic gyroid metamaterials. New Journal of Physics 14 (8) , 083032. 10.1088/1367- 2630/14/8/083032

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/8/083032

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

[Home](http://iopscience.iop.org/) [Search](http://iopscience.iop.org/search) [Collections](http://iopscience.iop.org/collections) [Journals](http://iopscience.iop.org/journals) [About](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/aboutioppublishing) [Contact us](http://iopscience.iop.org/contact) [My IOPscience](http://iopscience.iop.org/myiopscience)

A tri-helical model for nanoplasmonic gyroid metamaterials

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2012 New J. Phys. 14 083032 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630/14/8/083032)

View [the table of contents for this issue](http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630/14/8), or go to the [journal homepage](http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.31.240.82 This content was downloaded on 16/04/2015 at 18:26

Please note that [terms and conditions apply.](iopscience.iop.org/page/terms)

The open-access journal for physic New Journal of Physics

A tri-helical model for nanoplasmonic gyroid metamaterials

Angela Demetriadou, Sang Soon Oh, Sebastian Wuestner and Ortwin Hess¹

The Blackett Laboratory, Department of Physics, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, UK E-mail: o.hess@imperial.ac.uk

New Journal of Physics **14** (2012) 083032 (17pp) Received 22 May 2012 Published 24 August 2012 Online at <http://www.njp.org/> doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/8/083032

Abstract. Metallic gyroid metamaterials are formed by a combination of nanoplasmonic helices leading to unique and complex optical characteristics. To unravel this inherent complexity we set up an analytic tri-helical metamaterial model that reveals the underlying physical properties. This analytic tri-helical model is complete in the sense that it is only dependent on the structure's geometric and material parameters. It allows us to elucidate the characteristic transverse and longitudinal modes of the metal nano-gyroid as well as explain the surprisingly small optical chirality of gyroid metamaterials that is observed in experiments. We argue that this behaviour originates from the interconnection of multiple helices of opposing handedness.

¹ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0)<u> (@0®0 </u> [ShareAlike 3.0 licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0) Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

New Journal of Physics **14** (2012) 083032

© IOP Publishing Ltd and Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft

Contents

1. Introduction

Metamaterials are artificial media whose electromagnetic behaviour is determined by the geometry of their sub-units. These sub-units can be specifically designed to give simultaneously a negative effective permittivity ε and permeability μ , resulting in an effective negative refractive index $(n < 0)$, a property that cannot be observed intrinsically in any natural material. Alternatively, when chirality (handedness) is introduced in a resonant medium, then the effective response of the two circularly polarized states differ, with one of them 'experiencing' effective negative refractive index [\[1\]](#page-17-0). At microwave frequencies, metamaterials have been designed and manufactured to achieve extreme chirality [\[2\]](#page-17-0), demonstrated in chiral Swiss-Rolls [\[1,](#page-17-0) [2\]](#page-17-0), twisted layers of gammadions and crossed-wires [\[3,](#page-17-0) [4\]](#page-17-0). These have found numerous significant applications, such as polarizers, filters and slow waves for waveguides and antennas. During the last few years, significant efforts have been focused on moving metamaterial functionalities such as stopped light [\[5\]](#page-17-0) from microwave to mid- and near-infrared (IR) frequencies, heralding significant technological breakthroughs in optoelectronics and photonics. However, as the constituent metamolecules should be significantly smaller than the wavelength, metamaterials that are designed for the IR regime have to have nanoscaled metamolecular sub-units. Clearly, this is not without manufacturing challenges, particularly as most common strategies rely on top-down techniques involving considerable effort [\[6\]](#page-17-0). Not surprisingly, there has been an increasing interest in developing bottom-up techniques such as, in particular, self assembly of nanoplasmonic gyroid structures (figure [1\)](#page-4-0) [\[7,](#page-17-0) [8\]](#page-17-0), opening a route for artificial 3D chirality at IR frequencies. First experimental results on nanoplasmonic gyroid metamaterials show very interesting behaviour [\[7\]](#page-17-0), but at the same time pose many new questions, particularly related to the underlying physics.

In this paper, we thus aim to shed light on the physics that determine the electromagnetic response of metallic single gyroid metamaterials. On the basis of a tri-helical metamaterial (THM) geometry, we develop an analytical model that describes the effective electromagnetic and chiral properties of the structure well into mid-infrared and near infrared frequencies, by considering metallic losses and the thickness of wires that previous studies have neglected [\[9,](#page-17-0) [10\]](#page-17-0). Our analytical model is complete, depending only on the structure's geometric and

Figure 1. (a) The single gyroid metamaterial and (b) the unit cell of a THM.

material parameters. Therefore, our analytical model derived here is a valuable tool to guide the design and fabrication of chiral structures, in particular the metal nano-gyroid operating at infrared frequencies.

2. Tri-helical metamaterial (THM)

The gyroid metamaterial shown in figure 1(a) is composed of multiple helices, connected with each other and orientated over several directions. If we now remove the connections between the helices and orient them (for simplicity) along the x , y and z axes we obtain a 3D isotropic 'THM' model system. As we discuss in this paper, the THM model bears many features of gyroid metamaterials, thus opening up a route to analytic analysis that elucidates the physical properties. In the THM each helix is created by wrapping a wire of thickness r_w around a cylinder of radius *R* (see figure [2\)](#page-5-0). The surface of the helix aligned along the *z*-axis is approximated by:

$$
x = (R + r_w \cos u) \cos t,
$$

\n
$$
y = (R + r_w \cos u) \sin t,
$$

\n
$$
z = \frac{pt}{2\pi} + r_w \sin u,
$$
\n(1)

where *u* and *t* assume values $-\pi \le u \le \pi$ and $-\pi \le t \le \pi$ for one period of the helix, and *p* is the *z*-axis periodicity or pitch of the helix. The effective permittivity ($\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}$), permeability ($\overline{\overline{\mu}}$) and chirality (\overline{k}) tensors of the THM can then be expressed as:

$$
\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_{eff} = \varepsilon_{0} \left(\chi_{EE}^{xx}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} + \chi_{EE}^{yy}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} + \chi_{EE}^{zz}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \right),
$$
\n
$$
\overline{\overline{\mu}}_{eff} = \mu_{0} \left(\chi_{HH}^{xx}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} + \chi_{HH}^{yy}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} + \chi_{HH}^{zz}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \right),
$$
\n
$$
(\overline{\overline{\kappa}}_{EH})_{eff} = c_{0} \left(\chi_{EH}^{xy}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} + \chi_{EH}^{yz}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} + \chi_{EH}^{zx} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ c_{0} \left(\chi_{EH}^{yx}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} + \chi_{EH}^{zy}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} + \chi_{EH}^{xz} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \right),
$$
\n
$$
(\overline{\overline{\kappa}}_{HE})_{eff} = c_{0} \left(\chi_{HE}^{xy}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} + \chi_{HE}^{yz}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} + \chi_{HE}^{zx} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ c_{0} \left(\chi_{HE}^{yx}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} + \chi_{HE}^{zy}(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{y} + \chi_{HE}^{xz} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{x} \hat{\mathbf{e
$$

IOP Institute of Physics **ODEUTSCHE PHYSIKALISCHE GESELLSCHAFT**

Figure 2. (a) Wire helices aligned with the *z*-axis (top) and unwrapped helices (bottom), where the length l of the wires per unit cell, the pitch p , the periodicity *a* and the angle θ the wires make with the *y*-axis are defined. (b) The *xy*-view of a helical wire, where the radius of the wire r_w and the radius of the helix *R* are defined. (c) The current density components, shown on an unwrapped wire.

Due to the 3D isotropic nature of the THM, $\chi_{EE}^{xx} = \chi_{EE}^{yy} = \chi_{EE}^{zz} = \chi_{EE}^{xx}$, $\chi_{HH}^{xx} = \chi_{HH}^{yy} = \chi_{HH}^{zz} = \chi_{HH}^{zz}$ χ_{HH} and $\chi_{EH}^{xy} = \chi_{EH}^{yz} = \chi_{EH}^{zx} = \cdots = \chi_{EH}$, reducing the effective tensors to: $\overline{\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}}_{eff} = \varepsilon_0 \chi_{EE}(\omega) \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \right),$ $\overline{\overline{\mu}}_{eff} = \mu_0 \chi_{HH}(\omega) \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \right),$ $(\overline{\overline{\kappa}}_{EH})_{eff} = c_0 \chi_{EH}(\omega) \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \right),$ $(\overline{\overline{\kappa}}_{HE})_{\text{eff}} = c_0 \chi_{HE}(\omega) \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{z}} \right),$ (3)

where we have, for simplicity, disregarded any non-local corrections. To derive effective electromagnetic and chirality parameters, we now follow a similar approach to [\[2\]](#page-17-0).

Let us start by looking at wire helices aligned along the *z*-axis whose effective parameters along this axis are identical to $χ_{EE}$, $χ_{HH}$, $χ_{EH}$ and $χ_{HE}$ of the THM. These helices have a unit cell of dimensions $a \times a \times p$, as highlighted in figure 2(a). Note that $(2R + 2r_w) < a$ is imposed to ensure that the helices do not overlap. Now consider an electric field *E*0*^z* also oriented along the *z*-axis, in order to derive the effective χ_{EE} and χ_{EH} , and a magnetic field H_{0z} to derive the effective χ_{HH} and χ_{HE} . The H_{0z} field induces a current density J_{0y} on the helical wire and the E_{0z} field a current density J_{0z} . From figure 2(c), we see that the current densities are related as:

$$
J_{0y}\sin\theta + J_{0z}\cos\theta = 0, \tag{4}
$$

with θ denoting the angle between the helical wire and the *y*-axis, as defined in figure [2\(](#page-5-0)a). Note that J_{0z} and J_{0y} can have negative values, which determines the handedness and current direction on the wire. Therefore, the magnetic field H_z in a unit cell, driven by J_{0y} , is given by

$$
H_z = \frac{aH_{0z}}{l} + \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2}\right) \frac{aJ_{0y}}{l},\tag{5}
$$

where *R* is the radius of the helical wire as defined in figure [2\(](#page-5-0)b), *a* is the lattice constant and *l* is the length of the wire per turn given by:

$$
l = \sqrt{(2\pi R)^2 + p^2} \tag{6}
$$

and is shown in figure $2(a)$ $2(a)$. The term $\left(\frac{a}{l}\right)$ in (5) accounts for the fact that current densities are spread over the length *l* of the wire, rather than the length *a* along the *y*-axis (more details in [appendix\)](#page-16-0). Assuming that H_{0z} is of the form of $exp(-i\omega t)$, then the electromotive force (emf) $\mathcal E$ induced in a unit cell is given by:

$$
\mathcal{E} = i\omega\pi R^2 \mu_0 H_z - \frac{2\pi R \rho a J_{0y}}{l} \n= \frac{i\omega\pi R^2 a \mu_0}{l} \left(H_{0z} + \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2} \right) J_{0y} \right) - \frac{2\pi R \rho a J_{0y}}{l}.
$$
\n(7)

The last term takes resistive losses in the metallic wires into account, which oppose the flowing current. The losses are expressed in terms of the surface resistivity ρ of the helical wire (measured in Ω), since the current flows on the surface of thick wires. Note that ρ also takes into account the resistivity due to field penetration into the metal (discussed in more detail in section 2.1). Additionally, the confinement of electrons in the wires gives rise to a local magnetic field around the wires that enhances the effective electron mass [\[11,](#page-17-0) [12\]](#page-17-0). Therefore, the electrons appear to be heavier, requiring more energy from the current flow, slowing it down and giving rise to the following self-inductance per unit cell [\[11,](#page-17-0) [12\]](#page-17-0):

$$
L_{\rm m} = \left(\frac{l}{a}\right) \ln\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{\pi}r_0}\right),\tag{8}
$$

where r_0 is the radius of the wire that is not penetrated by the wave's fields and is given by $r_0 = r_w - \delta$, r_w is the radius of the wire and δ the skin depth. Note that the above formula for L_m holds for $r_w > \delta$. Since the magnetic field due to L_m is highly localized around the wire, it is reasonable to assume that there are no contributions from neighbouring wires (i.e. the magnetic effect due to self-inductance is negligibly small at a distance *R* from the wire). Therefore, the potential due to self-inductance is given by:

$$
V_L = \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega\pi R^2 \mu_0 a L_\mathrm{m} J_{0z}}{l},\tag{9}
$$

where we consider only the J_{0z} component of the current density for simplicity. Since the selfinductance opposes the current flow, its magnetic effect V_L needs to be subtracted from \mathcal{E} , leading to a potential per unit cell due to magnetic effects given by:

$$
V_H = \mathcal{E} - V_L = \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega\pi R^2 \mu_0 a}{l} \left(H_{0z} + \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2} \right) J_{0y} - L_{\rm m} J_{0z} \right) - \frac{2\pi R \rho a J_{0y}}{l}.
$$
 (10)

IOP Institute of Physics **ODEUTSCHE PHYSIKALISCHE GESELLSCHAFT**

An electric field E_{0z} then induces the current density J_{0z} , which drives the depolarizing fields, leading to:

$$
E_z = \frac{aE_{0z}}{l} + \frac{2\pi R}{i\omega\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_d a l} J_{0z},\tag{11}
$$

where ε_d is the dielectric permittivity of the host medium and can take complex values. The potential difference across the *z*-component of the unit cell is given by:

$$
V_E = 2\pi R \tan \theta \left(\frac{aE_{0z}}{l} + \frac{2\pi R}{i\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_d a l} J_{0z} - \frac{a\rho J_{0z}}{l} \right). \tag{12}
$$

Note that the last term reduces V_E due to metallic losses. Then, assuming that there is no contribution from neighbouring unit cells and that the process is adiabatic (i.e. $V_E = V_H$), the averaged current densities (i.e. J_{0y} and J_{0z}) per unit cell read:

$$
J_{0y} = -\frac{\omega^2 R \mu_0 H_{0z} + i\omega 2 \tan \theta E_{0z}}{\omega^2 R \mu_0 \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2} + L_m \tan \theta\right) + i\omega \rho \sec^2 \theta - \frac{4\pi R \tan^2 \theta}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_d a^2}} = -\frac{J_{0z}}{\tan \theta}.
$$
 (13)

Finally, the electric permittivity (χ_{EE}), magnetic permeability (χ_{HH}) and chirality parameters (χ_{EH}, χ_{HE}) along a helix are obtained through $\varepsilon_{zz}^{-1} = \varepsilon_0 E_{ave}/D_z$ and $\mu_{zz}^{-1} = \mu_0 H_{ave}/B_z$ respectively [\[13\]](#page-17-0), where $D_z = \varepsilon_0 E_{0z}$, $E_{ave} = E_z$, $\tilde{B}_z = \mu_0 H_{0z}$ and $H_{ave} = (a/l)(H_{0z} (\pi R^2/a^2)J_{0y}$, obtained by averaging the H-field over a line outside the helix. Therefore we obtain:

$$
\chi_{EE}^{-1} = \left(\frac{a}{l}\right) \left(\frac{\omega^2 + i\Gamma\omega + \omega_p^2(\varepsilon_d - 1)}{\omega^2 + i\Gamma\omega - \omega_p^2}\right),\tag{14}
$$

$$
\chi_{HH}^{-1} = \left(\frac{a}{l}\right) \left(\frac{1 + L_m \tan \theta}{\left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2}\right) + L_m \tan \theta}\right) \left(\frac{\omega^2 + i\gamma \omega - \omega_{0m}^2}{\omega^2 + i\Gamma \omega - \omega_{mp}^2}\right),\tag{15}
$$

$$
\left(\chi_{EH}^{-1}/\mu_0\right) = -\left(\frac{a}{l}\right) \frac{iG\omega_p^2 \omega}{\omega^2 + i\Gamma\omega - \omega_p^2} = \kappa_{EH}^{-1},\tag{16}
$$

$$
\left(\chi_{HE}^{-1}/\varepsilon_0\right) = \left(\frac{a}{l}\right) \frac{\mathrm{i} G \omega_p^2 \omega}{\omega^2 + \mathrm{i} \Gamma \omega - \omega_p^2} = \kappa_{HE}^{-1},\tag{17}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{\rm p} = c_0 \sqrt{\frac{4\pi \tan^2 \theta}{\varepsilon_{\rm d}a^2 \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2} + L_{\rm m} \tan \theta\right)}} = \omega_{mp} \tag{18}
$$

is the plasma frequency,

$$
\omega_{0m} = c_0 \sqrt{\frac{4\pi \tan^2 \theta}{\varepsilon_d a^2 (1 + L_m \tan \theta)}}
$$
(19)

the magnetic resonance frequency and *G* is a constant defined as:

$$
G = \frac{R\varepsilon_{\rm d}}{2\tan\theta}.\tag{20}
$$

The loss parameters Γ and γ are given by:

$$
\Gamma = \frac{2\rho \sec^2 \theta}{\mu_0 R \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2} + L_m \tan \theta\right)},\tag{21}
$$

$$
\gamma = \frac{2\rho \sec^2 \theta}{\mu_0 R \left(1 + L_{\rm m} \tan \theta\right)}.\tag{22}
$$

Note that $\kappa_{EH}^{-1} = -\kappa_{HE}^{-1}$. As discussed above, although [\(14\)](#page-7-0)–[\(17\)](#page-7-0) express the effective parameters and chirality along a single helix, they are identical to the effective parameters along the three orthogonal axes of the isotropic THM. The inverse electromagnetic parameters are derived here, since they take a simpler form, and the non-inverse parameters can be obtained using:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{0}\chi_{EE} & \chi_{EH} \\ \chi_{HE} & \mu_{0}\chi_{HH} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{EE}^{-1}/\varepsilon_{0} & \chi_{EH}^{-1} \\ \chi_{HE}^{-1} & \chi_{HH}^{-1}/\mu_{0} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det} \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{HH}^{-1}/\mu_{0} & -\chi_{EH}^{-1} \\ -\chi_{HE}^{-1} & \chi_{EE}^{-1}/\varepsilon_{0} \end{bmatrix},
$$
(23)

where $\det = \frac{\chi_{EE}^{-1} \chi_{HH}^{-1}}{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0} - \chi_{EH}^{-1} \chi_{HE}^{-1} = c_0^2 \chi_{EE}^{-1} \chi_{HH}^{-1} - \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \kappa_{EH}^{-1} \kappa_{HE}^{-1} = c_0^2 \chi_{EE}^{-1} \chi_{HH}^{-1} + \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 (\kappa_{EH}^{-1})^2$. Although κ_{EH} and κ_{HE} express the chirality in the structure, it is often more convenient to quantify chirality in terms of the optical activity per wavelength:

$$
\phi(\text{rad}) = \frac{i\kappa_{EH}}{\sqrt{\chi_{EE}\chi_{HH}}}.\tag{24}
$$

The real part of ϕ is the optical rotation and measures the polarization rotation of a wave travelling through the medium. It also measures the strength of the structure's chirality. The imaginary part of ϕ shows the circular dichroism of the structure, which is a measure of the circular ellipticity of the wave in the medium.

2.1. Metallic losses at high frequencies

The analytical model accounts for metallic losses through the surface resistivity ρ , representing the dominant contribution to metallic losses, since at mid-IR and visible frequencies they have a huge impact on the electromagnetic behaviour of metallic structures. In these regimes, metals can be expressed with a Drude model:

$$
\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon_\infty - \frac{\omega_{p-\text{metal}}^2}{\omega^2 + \mathrm{i}g\omega} = \varepsilon'_m + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon''_m,\tag{25}
$$

where ε'_{i} $\frac{1}{m}$ and ε_m'' $\frac{m}{m}$ are the real and imaginary components of the metal's permittivity respectively, and ω*p*−metal and *g* are the plasma and collision frequencies of the metal. For specificity, we here consider silver with $\varepsilon_{\infty} = 4.028$, $\omega_{p-silver} = 1.39 \times 10^{16}$ (rad s⁻¹) and $g = 4.997 \times 10^{12}$ (1/*s*). Also, it is well known that the permittivity of any medium can be expressed in terms of the resistivity as $[14]$:

$$
\varepsilon_m = 1 + \frac{i}{\rho_m(\omega)\varepsilon_0 \omega},\tag{26}
$$

where $\rho_m(\omega)$ is a complex function of the frequency, with the real part representing the resistivity and the imaginary part the reactance of the medium. Note that $\rho_m(\omega) = 1/\sigma_m(\omega)$. Then, solving (25) and (26) we obtain:

$$
\rho_m(\omega) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 \omega} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_m^{\prime\prime} - i(1 - \varepsilon_m^{\prime})}{(\varepsilon_m^{\prime\prime})^2 + (1 - \varepsilon_m^{\prime})^2} \right),\tag{27}
$$

which is expressed in terms of the metal properties and is measured in terms of Ω_m . The skin depth δ takes into account how far the fields can penetrate into the metal; it depends on $\rho_m(\omega)$ and ω as [\[15\]](#page-18-0):

$$
\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2|\rho_m(\omega)|}{\omega\mu_0\mu_m}},\tag{28}
$$

where μ_m is the magnetic permeability of the metal, and for silver $\mu_m = 1$. The quantity ρ in (21) and (22) is the surface resistivity of the metal, which is dominated by the penetrated metal (δ) , and sometimes referred to as the internal impedance [\[15\]](#page-18-0):

$$
\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\omega \mu_m \mu_0 |\rho_m(\omega)|}{2}} = \frac{\omega \mu_m \mu_0}{2} \delta. \tag{29}
$$

Substituting (29) into (21) and (22) , then the effect of the skin-depth and increased resistivity is accounted in our analytical model.

3. Verification of the tri-helical analytical model at mid-infrared frequencies

3.1. Scattering and effective optical parameters

We now apply our analytical model to a silver THM with parameters $a = 1 \mu m$, $p = 0.5 \mu m$, $R = 0.19 \,\mu \text{m}$, $\varepsilon_d = 1$ and $r_w = 0.06 \,\mu \text{m}$. The scattering parameters plotted in figure [3\(](#page-10-0)a) for a slab of thickness $d = 3a = 3 \mu m$ are calculated analytically using [\(14\)](#page-7-0)–[\(17\)](#page-7-0) in the scattering equations of $[16]$, and numerically using a finite integration technique². The analytical predictions and numerical calculations show very good agreement, and as expected, the reflection coefficients for right-handed circular polarization (RCP) and left-handed circular (LCP) waves are degenerate (i.e. $R = R_{-} = R_{++}$). A RCP or LCP wave incident on a chiral slab is reversed when reflected, and therefore the optical path of either an RCP or LCP wave is the same, resulting in identical reflection coefficients [\[16\]](#page-18-0). Also, as expected, the transmission coefficients for the right- (T_{++}) and left-handed (T_{--}) polarizations differ, as chirality leads to two different refractive indices for the right- and left-handed polarizations.

The electromagnetic parameters were retrieved from numerical scattering calculations [\[4\]](#page-17-0) and are plotted together with (14) – (17) of the analytical model for comparison in figures [3\(](#page-10-0)b)–(h), showing excellent agreement. We can immediately note that the cross-coupling transmission coefficients $(T_{-+}$ and T_{+-}) in figure [3\(](#page-10-0)a) are small in the frequency range, the retrieval method was applied as required by [\[4\]](#page-17-0). Figures [3\(](#page-10-0)b)–(h) show that the racemic retrieval method was applied as required by [4]. Figures $3(b)$ –(n) show that the racemic
refractive index $n = \sqrt{\chi_{EE} \chi_{HH}}$ approaches zero for frequencies below the plasma frequency $(\omega_p \sim 40 \text{ THz})$ and becomes positive, since the magnetic permeability is always positive. Moreover, the refractive index for a right-handed polarized wave $(n₊)$ is always positive as expected, but the refractive index for left handed polarized waves (n_-) is negative for $\omega < \omega_{p}$.

² CST GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.

IOP Institute of Physics O DEUTSCHE PHYSIKALISCHE GESELLSCHAFT

Figure 3. Numerical (points) and analytical (lines) results are plotted together for comparison: (a) The *S*-parameters $R = R_{++} = R_{--}$, T_{++} , T_{--} and the crosscoupling parameters T_{+-} and T_{-+} , which take small values, (b) the racemic refractive index n , (c) the refractive index for RCP wave incidence n_{+} , (d) the refractive index for LCP wave incidence $n_$, (e) the chirality parameter κ_{EH} , (f) the optical activity ϕ , (g) the electric permittivity χ_{EE} and (i) the magnetic permeability $χ_{HH}$.

Figure 4. Dependence of the THM's resonant frequencies (ω_{0m} and ω_p) on (a) the radius of the wire r_w plotted together with the variation of the skin-depth δ with frequency (black solid line), (b) the lattice constant a , (c) the radius of the helices *R* and (d) the pitch of the helices *p*. Note that the model holds for $r_w > \delta$ and $p < a$. The analytical results are plotted with lines and numerical calculations with points.

The real part of the chirality index $(Re(\kappa))$ is small and varies from negative to positive values. However, the imaginary part $(Im(\kappa))$ that dominates the optical activity, is larger. Also, the real and imaginary parts of the optical activity ϕ are maximum at $\omega \sim \omega_p$. This indicates that the chirality of the structure is strongest in this frequency range. Moreover, the small mismatch between the peaks of $\text{Re}(\phi)$ and $\text{Im}(\phi)$ shows that there are frequencies where optical rotation is dominant over circular ellipticity. Finally, as expected, the electric permittivity follows a Drude-like behaviour and the magnetic permeability a Lorentz-like resonance. The magnetic permeability is weakly resonant, and always positive when metallic losses are present, which indicates that the negative values of $\text{Re}(n_{-})$ are due to the medium's chirality Im(κ_{EH}) $(i.e. n_{+} = n \pm i \kappa_{EH}/c_0).$

To further validate our analytical model for a range of different THMs and frequency regimes, we now plot in figure 4 the resonant frequencies ω_p and ω_{0m} in dependence on the structure's various geometrical parameters $(r_w, a, R \text{ and } p)$ for both the analytical model (lines) and the numerical results (points). The resonance frequencies assume higher values for thicker wires and larger helix pitch *p*, where the model is expected to hold for $p < a$ (i.e. $p < 3 \mu m$).

Also, the analytical model shows excellent agreement for $r_w > \delta$ as expected, while for $r_w < \delta$ the wires are fully penetrated by the waves and a different formulation needs to be taken into account. Note that δ , also plotted in figure [4\(](#page-11-0)a) with a solid black line, is a weakly dispersive quantity for this frequency regime. Finally, ω_{0m} and ω_p are reduced for larger helix radius *R* and needless to say, for larger lattice constants *a*. The excellent agreement between the analytical model and numerical results for all geometrical parameters of the THM is clear evidence that the model presented in this paper predicts with high accuracy the behaviour of metallic THMs in the presence of losses within the infrared spectrum.

3.2. Band structure of THM

We now use our analytical model to calculate the dispersion diagram of the THM. Since the THM is a 3D isotropic chiral medium, the dispersion equations are given by [\[1\]](#page-17-0):

$$
\omega_{+\pm} = c_0 k_+ \left(i \kappa_{EH}^{-1} / c_0 \pm \sqrt{\chi_{HH}^{-1} \chi_{EE}^{-1}} \right), \n\omega_{-\pm} = c_0 k_- \left(-i \kappa_{EH}^{-1} / c_0 \pm \sqrt{\chi_{HH}^{-1} \chi_{EE}^{-1}} \right).
$$
\n(30)

Equations (14) – (17) are substituted in (30) and the dispersion diagram for the THM with $a = 1 \mu \text{m}$, $p = 0.5 \mu \text{m}$, $R = 0.19 \mu \text{m}$, $\varepsilon_d = 1$ and $r_w = 0.06 \mu \text{m}$ is plotted in figure [5\(](#page-13-0)a) with simulation results obtained using CST Microwave Studio, and show remarkable agreement. The cut-off frequency in figure $5(a)$ $5(a)$ is a characteristic of wire-mesh metamaterials [\[11,](#page-17-0) [12,](#page-17-0) [17\]](#page-18-0), which is a simplified version of THM. It has been previously discussed in the literature [\[1,](#page-17-0) [2\]](#page-17-0) that the two degenerate transverse modes of a passive medium split in the presence of chirality. For a resonant medium (or a medium with a cut-off frequency like wire-mesh metamaterials), when the two transverse modes split in the presence of chirality, one polarization experiences effective negative refractive index for a frequency bandwidth that is dependent on the strength of the chirality, as observed in figure [5.](#page-13-0)

Due to the THM's structure where one of the helices is oriented along the propagation direction, a longitudinal mode clearly coexists with the transverse modes. This mode obeys $\omega = c_0 \sqrt{Aq^2 + k_p^2}$, where $A = (p/l)^2$ is a dispersion-less coefficient. The longitudinal mode for any wire medium (e.g. for straight unconnected wires: $p = l \Rightarrow A = 1$ [\[17,](#page-18-0) [18\]](#page-18-0)) is only activating the wire parallel to the propagation direction, giving rise to non-locality and spatial dispersion [\[17\]](#page-18-0). When the wires form helices, the longitudinal helix will be activated, as shown in figure [6\(](#page-14-0)a), but the propagation of the longitudinal mode is slowed down by a factor of $\left(\frac{p}{l}\right)$. Therefore, the dispersion equation for the longitudinal mode is:

$$
\omega = c_0 \sqrt{\left(\frac{p}{l}\right)^2 q^2 + k_p^2}.\tag{31}
$$

In figure [6,](#page-14-0) the amplitudes of the magnetic fields |*H*| are plotted, where it can be seen that only the longitudinal helix is activated when the longitudinal mode is excited and the two transverse helices when the transverse modes are excited. The behaviour of the longitudinal mode is in agreement with observations at microwave frequencies, where helical wires are commonly used to slow down waves in waveguides $[19, 20]$ $[19, 20]$ $[19, 20]$ (i.e. slow-wave antennas) and (31) is in excellent agreement with the numerical results shown in figure [5.](#page-13-0) Note that the small bandgap at $k = 0$ in

Figure 5. Band structures for (a) PEC THM of dimensions $a = 1 \mu m$, $p =$ 0.5 μ m, $R = 0.19 \mu$ m, $\varepsilon_d = 1$ and $r_w = 0.06 \mu$ m (b) PEC gyroid metamaterial with $a = 40$ nm and $f = 1.2$ and (c) gold (Au) gyroid metamaterial with $a =$ 40 nm and $f = 1.2$.

Figure 6. The $|H|$ -fields for (a,d) the longitudinal mode (b,c) , (e,f) the two transverse modes of the THM of dimensions $a = 1 \mu m$, $p = 0.5 \mu m$, $R =$ 0.19 μ m, $\varepsilon_d = 1$ and $r_w = 0.06 \,\mu$ m, at $k = 0.175 \times 10^6$ (rad m⁻¹).

the numerical results of figure $5(a)$ $5(a)$ is due to the weak coupling of the longitudinal mode to the two transverse modes, which is also the case at $k \sim 0.95 \times 10^6$ (1/*m*).

4. Nanoplasmonic gyroid metamaterials

Recent developments in 'bottom-up' manufacturing techniques, such as self-assembly, allow for the fabrication of nanopalsmonic gyroid structures. Since gyroid metamaterials are a combination of several helices as shown in figure $7(a)$ $7(a)$, the analytical model introduced above is, as we show here, a vital tool to understand the physics behind the electromagnetic behaviour of gyroid metamaterials. As an example, consider the single gyroid metamaterial shown in figures [1\(](#page-4-0)a) and [7\(](#page-15-0)a) and (b), which is derived from a periodic minimal surface and is defined by:

$$
\sin\left(\frac{2\pi x}{a}\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi y}{a}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi y}{a}\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{a}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi z}{a}\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi x}{a}\right) \ge f,\tag{32}
$$

where *a* is the lattice constant and *f* determines the filling factor and takes a value from $0 \le f \le 1.5$ ($f = 1.2$ for this paper). The single gyroid metamaterial is composed of several helices, oriented along many directions and connected with each other. Nevertheless, by comparing the gyroid metamaterial with the electromagnetic behaviour of its simplified version, the THM structure, we can acquire a deeper understanding on its performance.

Initially, metallic losses are ignored for simplicity and the dispersion diagram of a perfect electric conductor (PEC) gyroid metamaterial of $a = 40$ nm is calculated using a finitedifference time-domain (FDTD) technique. The lowest mode in figure [5\(](#page-13-0)b) is identified as the longitudinal mode, as the fields are aligned with the propagation direction [\[21\]](#page-18-0). This mode is excited in the same way as in the THM, where only the helices parallel to the propagation direction are activated. The other two modes in figure [5\(](#page-13-0)b) are the two transverse modes and it

Figure 7. (a) One face of the gyroid metamaterial with $2a \times 2a \times 2a$, $f = 1.2$ where the handedness of the helices are shown. (b) The unit cell of the gyroid metamaterial with $f = 1.2$.

is immediately evident that unlike in the case of the THM, they are degenerate for $k \to 0$. Their degeneracy shows that chirality is not strong enough to split the bands and therefore the structure is unable to give rise to negative refraction. Although this is a surprising result at first, it can be justified by observing the design of single gyroids in figure $7(a)$ and noting that it is composed of a mixture of right- and left-handed helices. Due to the different radii and handedness of the helices, they have opposing chiralities. Clearly, this minimizes the overall chiral performance of the structure. Away from $k \to 0$ the transverse modes split, showing different refractive indices for the RCP and LCP, which gives rise to birefringent behaviour in the gyroid metamaterial. The analytical tri-helical model is applied for the activated helices of the gyroid, identified from the FDTD simulations, and is plotted in figure $5(b)$ $5(b)$. Note that our analytical model neglects the connections between the activated gyroid helices with helices of opposite handedness and different chirality. As a result, the analytical model predicts the splitting of the transverse modes due to chirality, which is not present for the gyroid band structure. Nevertheless, the good analytical predictions for the cut-off frequency and the dispersion of the modes, make the analytical model an excellent indicative tool for tuning the design of the gyroid metamaterial.

Finally, we now study the metal gyroid in terms of the Drude model (in this case gold Au with $w_{p-gold} = 1.3544 \times 10^{16}$ (rad s⁻¹) and $\varepsilon_{\infty} = 9.0685$), and its band structure is shown in figure [5\(](#page-13-0)c). It is noteworthy that the dispersion diagram and the cut-off frequency are shifted to lower frequencies by a factor of 10, which is also observed for the THM and is due to fields penetrating the metal and the fact that Drude metals are relatively poor conductors at high frequencies. Actually, for a gyroid of $a = 40$ nm and $f = 1.2$ made of gold, the metallic wires are fully penetrated by the electromagnetic fields. Nevertheless, the lowest mode is identified as the longitudinal mode that loses part of its dispersion due to metallic losses. Finally, the transverse modes for the Drude gyroid at the Brillouin zone $(k \to \pi/a)$ remain at frequencies of the same order as for the PEC gyroid, but their cut-off frequency (at $k \to 0$) is shifted to lower values, sharpening their slope (i.e. they acquire large group velocity) [\[21\]](#page-18-0).

5. Conclusions

The introduction of a complete THM model presented here gives us the necessary insight to unravel the physics governing the electromagnetic behaviour of nanoplasmonic metal gyroid metamaterials. Our analytical work quantifies and identifies the origin of chirality of nano-scaled helical structures operating at IR and visible frequencies. It is shown that the THM model helps to shed light on the physical mechanisms driving the electromagnetic behaviour of the gyroid structure. Despite the small chirality found for the single nanoplasmonic gyroid metamaterial, this work explains their electromagnetic performance and most importantly the origin of the chirality's limitation. Therefore, the work in this paper is expected to open the door towards design improvements, where chirality can take a more prominent role. Hence, our analytical model for chiral infrared structures and the interpretation of the gyroid's optical properties is the first step towards understanding metallic gyroid structures and can be used as a guide towards proposing future 3D designs, showing stronger chirality and thus true effective negative index of refraction at infrared and visible frequencies.

Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge financial support provided by the Leverhulme Trust.

Appendix

Assume a unit cell of dimensions $a_x \times a_y \times a_z$ with a straight continuous wire along the *y*-axis. An incident magnetic field along the *z*-axis H_{0z} will induce a current J_{0y} on the wire and a magnetic effect H_z^a along the *z*-axis, given by:

$$
H_z^a = H_{0z} + \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2}\right) J_{0y}.
$$
 (A.1)

The parenthesis term is just to keep the equations consisted with the helix ones in the text. We sum the induced magnetic field in the unit cell as

$$
H_z^S = \int_0^{a_y} H_z^a \, dy = a_y H_z^a = a_y \left(H_{0z} + \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2} \right) J_{0y} \right). \tag{A.2}
$$

Now, if a wire is placed in the unit cell with an angle θ with the *y*-axis, the magnetic effect induced by J_{0y} is again along the *z*-axis (i.e. $H_z^{S_\theta}$), but distributed along the wire of length *l*, as shown in figure [A.1.](#page-17-0) Therefore, the total magnetic effect in the new unit cell is given by:

$$
H_z^{S_\theta} = \int_0^l H_z dr = lH_z
$$
\n(A.3)

where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$. Since H_{0z} is applied on both unit cells and assuming that it induces for both cases the same current J_{0y} , then the total magnetic effect induced in the two unit cells should be equal $H_z^{S_\theta} = H_z^S$ and therefore:

$$
H_z = \frac{a}{l} \left(H_{0z} + \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{a^2} \right) J_{0y} \right), \tag{A.4}
$$

which is [\(5\)](#page-6-0) in the main text, since $a_y = a$. Similarly for the electric field:

$$
E_z = \frac{a}{l} \left(E_{0z} + \left(\frac{2\pi R}{i\omega \varepsilon_d \varepsilon_0 a^2} - \rho \right) J_{0z} \right). \tag{A.5}
$$

IOP Institute of Physics **ODEUTSCHE PHYSIKALISCHE GESELLSCHAFT**

Figure A.1. The magnetic field distribution for a wire (a) parallel with the *y*-axis and (b) at an angle θ with the *y*-axis.

At microwave frequencies, helices are tightly wrapped with $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $(a/l) \rightarrow 1$. However, at mid-IR frequencies, this limit is not valid and also $\lambda \sim a$, which dictates to consider the term (*a*/*l*) for our analytical model.

References

- [1] Pendry J B 2004 A chiral route to negative refraction *Science* **306** [1353–5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1104467)
- [2] Demetriadou A and Pendry J B 2009 Extreme chirality in swiss roll metamaterials *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **21** [376003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/37/376003)
- [3] Zhou J, Dong J, Wang B, Koschny T, Kafesaki M and Soukoulis C M 2009 Negative refractive index due to chirality *Phys. Rev.* B **79** [121104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.121104)
- [4] Plum E, Zhou J, Dong J, Fedotov V A, Koschny T, Soukoulis C M and Zheludev N I 2009 Metamaterials with negative index due to chirality *Phys. Rev.* B **79** [035407](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.035407)
- [5] Tsakmakidis K L, Boardman A D and Hess O 2007 'Trapped rainbow' storage of light in metamaterials *Nature* **450** [397–401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06285)
- [6] Gansel J K, Thiel M, Rill M S, Decker M, Bade K, Saile V, von Freymann G, Linden S and Wegener M 2009 Gold helix photonic metamaterial as broadband circular polarizer *Science* **325** [1513](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1177031)
- [7] Vignolini S, Yufa N A, Cunha P S, Guldin S, Rushkin I, Stefik M, Hur K, Wiesner U, Baumberg J J and Steiner U 2012 A 3d optical metamaterial made by self-assembly *Adv. Mater.* **24** [OP23–7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.201103610)
- [8] Maldovan M and Thomas E L 2011 Diamond-structured photonic crystals *Nature Mater.* **3** [593–600](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat1201)
- [9] Silveirinha M G 2008 Design of linear-to-circular polarization transformers made of long densely packed metallic helices *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* **56** [390–401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2007.915428)
- [10] Tretyakov S, Sihvola A and Jylha L 2005 Backward-wave regime and negative refraction in chiral composites *Photonics Nanostruct.: Fundam. Appl.* **3** [107–15](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.photonics.2005.09.008)
- [11] Pendry J B, Holden A J, Stewart W J and Young I 1996 Extremely low frequency plasmons in metallic mesostructures *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** [4773–6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.4773)
- [12] Pendry J B, Holden A J, Robbins D J and Stewart W J 1998 Low frequency plasmons in thin-wire structures *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **10** [4785–809](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/10/22/007)
- [13] Pendry J B, Holden A J, Robbins D J and Stewart W J 1999 Magnetism form conductors and enhanced nonlinear phenomena *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.* **47** [2075](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/22.798002)
- [14] Staffaroni M, Conway J, Vedantam S, Tang J and Yablonovitch E 2012 Circuit analysis in metal-optics *Photon. Nanostruct.* **10** [166–7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.photonics.2011.12.002)

- [15] Ramo S, Whinnery J R and Van Duzer T 1993 *Field and Waves in Communication Electronics* (New York: Wiley)
- [16] Zhao R, Koschny T and Soukoulis C M 2010 Chiral metamaterials: retrieval of the effective parameters with and without substrate *Opt. Express* **18** [14553–67](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.18.014553)
- [17] Demetriadou A and Pendry J B 2008 Taming spatial dispersion in wire metamaterial *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **20** [295222](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/29/295222)
- [18] Silveirinha M G and Fernandes C A 2005 Homogenization of 3d-connected and nonconnected wire metamaterial *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.* **53** [1418–30](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.2005.845128)
- [19] Kompfner R 1947 The traveling-wave tube as amplifier at microwaves *Proc. IRE* **1947** [124–7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1947.231238)
- [20] Kompfner R 1952 Traveling-wave tubes *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **15** [275–327](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/15/1/309)
- [21] Oh S S, Demetriadou A, Wuestner S and Hess O 2012 On the origin of chirality in nanoplasmonic gyroid metamaterials, submitted

17