

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/108702/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Skene, Nathan G., Bryois, Julien, Bakken, Trygve E., Breen, Gerome, Crowley, James J., Gaspar, Héléna A., Giusti-Rodriguez, Paola, Hodge, Rebecca D., Jeremy A., Miller, Muñoz-Manchado, Ana, O'Donovan, Michael C., Owen, Michael J., Pardinas, Antonio, Ryge, Jesper, Walters, James T. R., Linnarsson, Sten, Lein, Ed S., Sullivan, Patrick F. and Hjerling-Leffler, Jens 2018. Genetic identification of brain cell types underlying schizophrenia. Nature Genetics 50, pp. 825-833. 10.1038/s41588-018-0129-5

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0129-5

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Genetic identification of brain cell types underlying schizophrenia

Nathan G. Skene ^{1†}, Julien Bryois ^{2†}, Trygve E. Bakken³, Gerome Breen ^{4,5}, James J Crowley ⁶, Héléna A Gaspar ^{4,5}, Paola Giusti-Rodriguez ⁶, Rebecca D Hodge³, Jeremy A. Miller ³, Ana Muñoz-Manchado ¹, Michael C O'Donovan ⁷, Michael J Owen ⁷, Antonio F Pardiñas ⁷, Jesper Ryge ⁸, James T R Walters ⁸, Sten Linnarsson ¹, Ed S. Lein ³, Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Patrick F Sullivan ^{2,6*}, Jens Hjerling-Leffler ^{1*}

- ¹ Laboratory of Molecular Neurobiology, Department of Medical Biochemistry and Biophysics, Karolinska Institutet, SE-17177 Stockholm, Sweden.
- ² Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, SE-17177 Stockholm, Sweden.
- ³ Allen Institute for Brain Science, Seattle, Washington 98109, USA.
- ⁴ King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, MRC Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry (SGDP) Centre, London, UK.
- ⁵ National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, South London and Maudsley National Health Service Trust, London, UK.
- ⁶ Departments of Genetics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599-7264, USA.
- ⁷ MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.
- ⁸ Brain Mind Institute, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.

* Correspond with Dr Hjerling-Leffler (jens.hjerling-leffler@ki.se) and Dr Sullivan (patrick.sullivan@ki.se). † Equal contributions.

Abstract

With few exceptions, the marked advances in knowledge about the genetic basis of schizophrenia have not converged on findings that can be confidently used for precise experimental modeling. Applying knowledge of the cellular taxonomy of the brain from single-cell RNA-sequencing, we evaluated whether the genomic loci implicated in schizophrenia map onto specific brain cell types. We found that the common variant genomic results consistently mapped to pyramidal cells, medium spiny neurons, and certain interneurons but far less consistently to embryonic, progenitor, or glial cells. These enrichments were due to sets of genes specifically expressed in each of these cell types. We also found that many of the diverse gene sets previously associated with schizophrenia (synaptic genes, FMRP interactors, antipsychotic targets, etc.) generally implicate the same brain cell types. Our results suggest a parsimonious explanation: the common-variant genetic results for schizophrenia point at a limited set of neurons, and the gene sets point to the same cells. The genetic risk associated with medium spiny neurons did not overlap with that of glutamatergic pyramidal cells and interneurons, suggesting that different cell types have biologically distinct roles in schizophrenia.

Knowledge of the genetic basis of schizophrenia has markedly improved in the past five years ¹. We now know that much of the genetic basis and heritability of schizophrenia is due to common variation ^{2,3}. However, identifying "actionable" genes in sizable studies ^{4,5} has proven difficult with a few exceptions ⁶⁻ ⁸. For example, there is aggregated statistical evidence for diverse gene sets including genes expressed in brain or neurons ^{3,5,9}, genes highly intolerant of loss-of-function variation ¹⁰, synaptic genes ¹¹, genes whose mRNA bind to FRMP ¹², and glial genes ¹³ (*Supplemental Table 1*). Several gene sets have been implicated by both common and rare variant studies of schizophrenia, and this convergence strongly implicates these gene sets in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. However, the gene sets in **Supplemental Table 1** often contain hundreds of functionally distinctive genes that do not immediately suggest reductive targets for experimental modeling.

Connecting the genomic results to cellular studies is crucial since it would allow us to prioritize for cells fundamental to the genesis of schizophrenia. Enrichment of schizophrenia genomic findings in genes expressed in macroscopic samples of brain tissue has been reported ^{3,14,15} but these results are insufficiently specific to guide subsequent experimentation.

A more precise approach has recently become feasible. Single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNAseq) can be used to derive empirical taxonomies of brain cell types. We thus rigorously compared genomic results for schizophrenia to brain cell types defined by scRNAseq. Our goal was to connect human genomic findings to specific brain cell types defined by gene expression profiles: to what specific brain cell types do the common variant genetic findings for schizophrenia best "fit"? A schematic of our approach is shown in *Figure 1*.

We assembled a superset of brain scRNAseq data from Karolinska Institutet (KI; *Supplemental Tables 2-3*). Brain regions in the KI superset include neocortex¹⁶, hippocampus¹⁶, hypothalamus¹⁷, striatum, and midbrain¹⁸ plus samples enriched for oligodendrocytes, dopaminergic neurons, and cortical parvalbuminergic interneurons (total of 9,970 cells, *Figure 1c*). These data were generated using identical methods from the same labs with unique molecular identifiers that allow for direct comparison of transcription across regions. Quality control and alignment are described elsewhere¹⁶. We did not identify important batch effects (*Supplemental Figure 1*). Based on the scRNAseq data and subsequent clustering each cell have been assigned to a Level 1 classification (e.g., pyramidal cell, microglia, or astrocyte). Level 2 classifications are subtypes of a Level 1 grouping (e.g., medium spiny neurons expressing Drd1 or Drd2). Clustering was based on patterns of correlations across hundreds of genes and not on single markers. After clustering, cell type identities were derived using known expression patterns, histology, and/or molecular studies¹⁶⁻¹⁸ (*Supplemental Table 2*). The KI mouse superset identified 24 Level 1 brain cell types (*Supplemental Figure 2*) and 149 Level 2 cell types (all sub-groupings of Level 1), far more than any other brain scRNAseq or single nuclei RNA-seq (snRNAseq) dataset presently available (*Figure 1a*).

For each scRNAseq and snRNAseq dataset, we estimated the specificity of each gene and cell type. This measure represents the proportion of the total expression of a gene found in one cell type compared to all cell types (i.e., the mean expression in one cell type divided by the mean expression in all cell types). If the expression of a gene is shared between two or more cell types, it will get a lower specificity measure. For example, *Drd2* is highly expressed in medium spiny neurons (MSNs), adult dopaminergic neurons, and hypothalamic interneurons, and its specificity measure in MSNs of 0.17, but this placed *Drd2* in the top specificity decile for MSNs (*Figure 1b*). *Figure 1c* shows cell type specificity for seven genes with known expression patterns. Because expression is spread over several cell types, the pan-neuronal marker *Atp1b1* has lower specificity than *Ppp1rb1* (Darpp-32, a MSN marker), *Aif1* (Iba1, a microglia marker), or *Gfap* (an astrocyte marker).

For each cell type, we ranked the expression specificity of each gene into groups (deciles or 40 quantiles). The underlying hypothesis is that if schizophrenia is associated with a particular cell type, then more of the genome-wide association (GWA) signal should be concentrated in genes with greater cell type specificity. For example, we plotted the enrichment of SNP-heritability for schizophrenia and human height in the cell-type specificity deciles of for MSNs and found a positive relationship for schizophrenia but no relationship with human height (*Figures 1d-1e*). To ensure rigor, we required that two different statistical methods (LDSC⁹ and MAGMA¹⁹) each give strong evidence for connecting schizophrenia GWA

results to a cell type. These two methods are based on different assumptions and algorithms. LDSC assessed enrichment of the common SNP-heritability of schizophrenia in the most cell type-specific genes. MAGMA evaluated whether gene-level genetic association with schizophrenia linearly increased with cell type expression specificity. Both methods account in different ways for confounders like gene size and linkage disequilibrium. We required that both methods give similar results after correcting for multiple comparisons to minimize the chance of a spurious conclusion. As described in the **Online Methods**, we evaluated and excluded multiple potential threats to the validity of these analyses.

To identify brain cell types associated with schizophrenia, we used the largest available GWA study of schizophrenia: CLOZUK identified ~140 genome-wide significant loci in 40,675 cases and 64,643 controls ²⁰. We first compared the CLOZUK results to GTEx (RNA-seq of macroscopic samples from multiple human tissues) ²¹ using MAGMA and confirmed ³ that smaller schizophrenia GWA *P*-values were substantially enriched in brain and pituitary (*Supplemental Figure 3*).

We evaluated the relation of the CLOZUK GWA schizophrenia results to the 24 KI Level 1 brain cell types. Both LDSC and MAGMA strongly highlighted only four cell types: hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells, striatal medium spiny neurons, neocortical somatosensory pyramidal cells, and cortical interneurons (*Figure 2a, Supplemental Figures 4-5*). Each exceeded a Bonferroni significance level by several orders of magnitude. The results were not pan-neuronal as multiple other types of neurons did not show enrichment. Schizophrenia risk was greater in mature cells than in embryonic or progenitor cells. We extended the analysis to 149 KI Level 2 cell types (subtypes of Level 1 cells): for hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells, both major subgroups were significant; for striatum, medium spiny neurons expressing *Drd2*, *Drd1* and striatal *Pvalb*-expressing interneurons were consistently significant; and for neocortical somatosensory pyramidal cells, cortical layers 2/3, 4, 5, and 6 were significant (*Supplemental Figure 6*). The cortical Level 1 interneuron signal appeared to result from four interneuron subcategories all expressing *Reln*.

Additional analyses showed that these results were not influenced by the total number of molecules detected per cell type or total number of cells per cell type (*Supplemental Table 3*). We conducted null simulations and confirmed that there was no Type 1 error inflation (*Supplemental Figure 7*). We also applied an alternative approach based on differential expression ²², and replicated the association of MSNs, pyramidal CA1, and neocortical somatosensory pyramidal cells with schizophrenia using a third method (*Supplemental Figure 8*). These additional analyses suggest the robustness of our results.

We next evaluated whether these results were specific to schizophrenia or if they resulted from some feature common across human traits. Heat maps of KI Level 1 enrichment *P*-values for GWA results from eight studies of human complex traits are depicted in *Figure 2b*. Seven studies evaluated common variants associations for brain-related diseases or traits with \geq 20,000 cases and \geq 10 genome-wide significant associations. Human height was included as a non-brain comparator. The results from the earlier PGC GWA study of schizophrenia ³ were similar to those from CLOZUK. Although we observed cell types being enriched in other sets, none had the specific signal observed in the two schizophrenia sets. For example, for major depressive disorder, we found that GABAergic interneurons, embryonic midbrain neurons, and dopaminergic interneurons were the most enriched cell types. For each cell type, we tested whether the enrichment observed in other GWA studies was significantly different from that in CLOZUK. We observed no significant difference for SCZ2 (a subset of CLOZUK) and years of education but all other studies contained significantly different cell type enrichments (*Supplemental Figure 9*).

We replicated most findings in independent scRNAseq/snRNAseq mouse brain studies. We found significant enrichment for schizophrenia in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells, neocortical pyramidal cells, cortical interneurons (although not in all data sets), and medium spiny neurons ²³⁻²⁶. We also saw

enrichment in pyramidal neurons from CA3 and dentate gyrus granule cells. (*Supplemental Figures 10ad*). Replication of our results in external other datasets again highlight the robustness of our cell type association results.

We identified an important technical issue for scRNAseq/snRNAseq studies of brain. scRNAseq is readily done in mouse brain but more difficult in larger and more fragile human brain neurons. Nearly all currently available human data have been generated using snRNAseq. The isolated nuclei used in snRNAseq lack the cytoplasmic compartment and proximal dendrites, and there are systematic differences between the types and amounts of mRNA in nucleus versus cell soma²⁷. To evaluate the impact of this issue, we analyzed multiple mouse and human datasets. We confirmed that transcripts destined for export to synaptic neuropil ²⁸ were better captured by scRNAseq and specifically depleted in snRNAseq (*Figure 3a*). This is important for the purposes of this study because synaptic neuropil transcripts are enriched for genetic associations with schizophrenia ($P=1.6\times10^{-4}$). This places an important caveat on the use of snRNAseq to evaluate brain cell type associations with schizophrenia given that snRNAseq from human or mouse brain may not comprehensively capture the relevant transcriptome.

With these caveats in mind, we evaluated human snRNAseg datasets from mid-temporal cortex (Allen Institute for Brain Science, unpublished) and DroNc-seq in prefrontal cortex and hippocampus²⁶. Using hierarchical clustering on specificity scores, we found that human and mouse cell types clustered together (Supplemental Figure 11); Level 1 cell types had greater similarity to the same cell type across species than to a different cell type in the same species. We confirmed enrichment of schizophrenia SNPheritability in cortical pyramidal neurons (glutamatergic cells) and cortical interneurons (GABAergic cells) in two different human datasets (Figure 3b). In the DroNc-seq dataset²⁶, we confirmed enrichment in hippocampal pyramidal neurons (glutamatergic cells) along with greater enrichment in Reelin-expressing GABAergic interneurons compared to those expressing Pvalb. In both human studies, oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) were significant or close to significance but it is hard to judge if this is related to a loss of neuronal-specific signal in snRNAseq (note that OPCs showed stronger signal in OPCs in mouse snRNAseq vs scRNAseq; *Figure 2* and *Supplemental Figure 10d*). In a small scRNAseq study ²⁹, human adult and fetal cortical neurons were significantly enriched for schizophrenia SNP-heritability. These are likely pyramidal cells but the small numbers of cells sequenced precluded further exploration. No significant enrichments were found in another snRNAseq study of a single human³⁰, perhaps due to a lack of cellular diversity (data not shown). We are unaware of scRNAseq/snRNAseq data from human striatum. The specificity of the human cortical signal for schizophrenia was confirmed in relation to the same set of brain-specific GWA studies (Figure 2d). In summary, all major findings from the KI dataset were replicated in independent mouse or human studies.

A major question in the field regards interpretation of the large and diverse gene sets that have been compellingly related to schizophrenia (*Supplemental Table 1*). These gene sets are highly significant, replicate well, and are often implicated in both common and rare variant studies. However, their implications for an experimentalist are unclear: what do these large sets of genes really tell us? These gene sets are large, and could be expected to recapitulate the cell type enrichments found above. However, all neurons have synapses and NeuN (the protein product of *RBFOX3*) is a widely used neuronal marker, so another possibility is that the RBFOX, PSD95, and FMRP gene sets could simply be panneuronal.

We thus evaluated whether gene sets previously implicated in schizophrenia were specifically expressed in the KI level 1 brain cell types (using Expression Weighted Cell type Enrichment, EWCE)³¹. The inputs to EWCE are a list of genes (e.g., FRMP interacting genes or genes intolerant to loss-of-function variation) and the same scRNAseq cell type specificity matrix used in the MAGMA and LDSC analyses above. Association with schizophrenia is not a direct input although these data are incorporated indirectly (why a gene set was selected in the first place). However, these effects are subtle. For instance, there is a CLOZUK significant GWA hit in only 7.0% of genes that interact with FMRP versus 4.0% that do not interact with FMRP (using MAGMA gene-wise *P*-values), and there is a CLOZUK significant GWA hit in only 4.1% of genes with ExAC pLI > 0.9 versus 3.3% with low pLI. We also determined that overlap between gene sets was relatively low. For 10 key gene sets (antipsychotic targets, CELF4, FMRP, high or low dN/dS, high pLI, NMDAR, PSD, PSD95, and RBFOX), of 45 pairs of correlations (count of intersection/union), only two correlations exceeded 0.25 (RBFOX-CELF4 0.31 and RBFOX-high pLI 0.28), and most other correlations were near zero.

First, pharmacologically-defined molecular targets of antipsychotics (the mainstay of treatment for schizophrenia) have been associated with schizophrenia³², and we found that antipsychotic medication targets were associated with the same cell types as for the schizophrenia GWA results: neocortical S1 pyramidal cells, MSNs, and hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells, while cortical interneurons were just above the significance threshold (Figure 4a). Expanding these analyses, we found that other gene sets associated with schizophrenia were specifically expressed in schizophrenia-relevant cell types (Figures 4b-d). The gene sets consistently associated with schizophrenia – intolerant to loss-of-function variation, NMDA receptor complex, post-synaptic density, PSD95 complex, RBFOX binding, CELF4 binding, and FMRP associated genes – all had more specific expression in neocortical S1 and hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells, MSNs from the dorsal striatum, and cortical interneurons (with the exception of NMDA receptor complex genes). Because some of these gene sets are involved in diverse cellular functions, there were, as expected, associations with other Level 1 cell types. For example, genes intolerant to loss-of-function variation had significantly greater expression in progenitor cells (dopaminergic neuroblasts, neuroblasts, and embryonic GABAergic neurons). Notably, none of the gene sets previously associated with schizophrenia was pan-neuronal. A prior study³³ reported that expert-curated glial gene sets were enriched for schizophrenia associations. We confirmed that those gene sets were significantly associated with glia (Supplemental Figure 12) but could not replicate the association of these gene sets with schizophrenia using MAGMA. Finally, we observed that gene sets previously associated with schizophrenia were substantially less associated with schizophrenia after controlling for the pyramidal neurons, MSNs, cortical interneurons (Supplemental Figure 13). Only loss of function intolerant, CELF4-binding and Rbfoxbinding gene sets remained significant after controlling for the cell type enrichments. Our findings highlight that non-overlapping subsets of risk genes each point at the same cell types. Indeed, gene set analysis results can be further subdivided according to cell type-specific expression. Improved methods are thus needed for gene set analysis explicitly accounting for cell types – particularly given intensive efforts to conduct a census of the cellular complexity of the human body.

As neurological diseases are generally not genetically correlated with schizophrenia ³⁴, we evaluated the associations of Level 1 cell types with gene sets associated with neurological diseases. Genes associated with Alzheimer's disease^{35,36} and multiple sclerosis³⁷ were associated with microglia. Risk genes for leukodystrophy³⁸ were associated with oligodendrocytes (*Figure 4e*). We analysed genes associated with neurological phenotypes from the Human Phenotype Ontology (HPO) and subcellular localization data from the Human Protein Atlas (*Supplemental Figures 14-19*). We found that these mostly targeted cell types distinct from those implicated in schizophrenia. For example, the HPO category "neural tube defect" was associated with neural progenitor cells (p=0.0002) and "abnormal myelination" was associated with oligodendrocytes (p<0.0001). We analysed genes with weak or strong conservation between human and mouse (low or high dN/dS scores), and found that highly conserved genes were specific to some types of neuron (e.g., serotonergic) while divergent genes were associated to other cell types (e.g., hypothalamic

glutamatergic). None of the schizophrenia associated cell types showed unusually weak or strong evolutionary pressure on their coding sequences (*Figure 4f*).

Finally, we assessed how much of cell type connections to schizophrenia was due to shared gene expression between cell types. For instance, the association of cortical interneurons with schizophrenia is weaker than for MSNs: are these independent connections to schizophrenia? Alternatively, given that both are GABAergic neurons, are both associations being driven by a common set of genes? We tested this using resampling without replacement: if the interneuron enrichment is driven solely by overlapping genes with MSNs, then an equivalent level of interneuron association should be found if the schizophrenia association scores of genes within each MSN specificity decile are randomized (Supplemental Figure 20). We performed 10,000 resamplings for each Level 1 cell type while controlling for all four of the significantly associated cell types (Figure 4a). We found that MSNs, cortical interneurons, and hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons were independently associated with schizophrenia. However, the association with somatosensory pyramidal neurons was largely due to shared expression with hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. We confirmed this using conditional analysis (Supplemental Figure 21a). We then tested whether each cell type remained significant after conditioning on the three other significant cell types together. Strikingly, only MSNs remained significantly associated with schizophrenia (Supplemental Figure 21b), indicating that the association of MSNs with schizophrenia is independent from that of pyramidal neurons and cortical interneurons.

To evaluate whether the main sources of enrichment signal in different cell types were from overlapping genes, we used a qualitative measure. We plotted the overlap of the top 1,000 genes associated with schizophrenia (MAGMA gene-wise *P*-values) that also fell in the top decile of specificity scores for each of the four main cell types (*Figure 5b*). About half of the schizophrenia-associated genes enriched in pyramidal cells and MSNs were shared but those conferring risk-enrichment in interneurons were to a larger extent exclusive. We then evaluated enrichment of gene sets previously associated with schizophrenia (Rbfox, CELF4 or FMRP binding genes, loss of function intolerant gene, genes involved in synapse function, and dendritically transported genes) and genes involved in dopaminergic signalling (*Online Methods*) in the different areas of *Figure 5b* using a hypergeometric test. The most associated Rbfox genes were enriched in CA1 pyramidal cells, genes related to loss of function intolerant genes, and dopamine signalling were specifically enriched in medium spiny neurons (*Figure 5c*). Synaptic genes associated with schizophrenia were shared between CA1 and S1 pyramidal cells but largely separate in cortical interneurons and MSNs. These findings show that each larger neuronal class express a non-overlapping set of risk genes even within the same functional set (e.g. synapse).

Conclusions

A major issue in schizophrenia genomics is the meaning of the many GWA findings – how do we interpret the hundreds of common variant associations? Similarly, many sets of genes have been compellingly associated with schizophrenia: what are these diverse functional findings telling us? Thus, we attempted to connect human genomic findings for schizophrenia to specific brain cell types defined by their scRNAseq expression profiles: to what specific brain cell types do the common variant genetic findings for schizophrenia best "fit"? Other studies have addressed this question ^{3,9,14}, but using gene expression based on aggregates of millions of cells. As described more fully in the **Online Methods** ("Rationale"), we used scRNAseq data to answer this question. We set a high bar: we required that the connections to cell types be identified using two different methods and exceed an appropriately rigorous statistical threshold.

The results were not pan-neural, pan-neuronal, or in cell types prominent in early development. We found clear connections to just four of 24 main brain cell types: MSNs, pyramidal cells in hippocampal CA1,

pyramidal cells in somatosensory cortex, and cortical interneurons. Most of the strong results found in the mouse data replicated in external mouse data and in the more limited human data sets. Intriguingly, many of the diverse gene sets (e.g., antipsychotic drug targets or genes that interact with FMRP or RBFOX proteins) robustly associated with schizophrenia connected to the same cell types. Our results suggest that these discrete cell types are central to the etiology of schizophrenia, and provide an empirical rationale for deeper investigation of these cell types in regard to the basis of schizophrenia. These results can be used to guide *in vivo* studies and *in vitro* modeling (e.g., patient-derived neurons from induced pluripotent stem cells) and provide a basis for analyzing how different risk genes interact to produce the symptoms of schizophrenia.

Our results also suggest that single-nuclei RNAseq of neurons leads to systematic underrepresentation of dendritically exported mRNA species. We hypothesize that this is due to destination-specific differences in rates of mRNA decay ³⁹. Our data on single-nuclei versus single-cell mRNA capture warrants caution when using single-nuclei data sets for the study of neuronal disorders or processes. This fact should be taken into consideration in the design or analysis of future large scale sequencing efforts.

There are several important caveats as described more fully in the **Online Methods** ("Limitations", including discussion and analyses of gene conservation). Despite our use of multiple statistical methods and efforts to identify and resolve any spurious explanations for our findings, our work has to be considered in light of inevitable limitations. Although the KI scRNAseq data cover a broad range of brain regions thought to be relevant to the neurobiology of schizophrenia, extensive coverage of cortical and striatal development is lacking at present (gestation, early postnatal, or adolescence). The currently available functional genomic data in human brain are limited but improving rapidly via PsychENCODE⁴⁰ and similar efforts, but precisely how schizophrenia GWAS signals impact cell-specific gene expression is not yet a solved problem. Finally, the genetic signals we captured were reflected in the expression levels of hundreds of genes. It is certainly possible for a gene to play an important role in schizophrenia and yet not be in one of the cell types we implicated. For example, genetic polymorphisms in *C4A* appear to be etiologically involved in schizophrenia⁷ but the expression of *C4A* is highest in astrocytes, vascular leptomeningeal cells, and microglia. We were thus careful with our conclusions: we can implicate a cell type (e.g., MSNs show positive evidence) but it is premature to exclude cell types for which we do not have data, or those with dissimilar function or under selection pressure between mouse and human.

In sum, our results support a parsimonious hypothesis: the common variant GWA results for schizophrenia point to a limited set of brain cells, and that subsets of these genes - the gene sets associated with schizophrenia (including antipsychotic medication targets) – each point at the same cell types.

Acknowledgements

JHL was funded by the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet, award 2014-3863), StratNeuro, the Wellcome Trust (108726/Z/15/Z), and the Swedish Brain Foundation (Hjärnfonden). PFS gratefully acknowledges support from the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet, award D0886501). NS was supported by the Wellcome Trust (108726/Z/15/Z). JB was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. The PGC has received major funding from the US National Institute of Mental Health (U01 MH109528 and U01 MH109532).

Conflicts of Interest

PF Sullivan reports the following potentially competing financial interests: Lundbeck (advisory committee), Pfizer (Scientific Advisory Board member), and Roche (grant recipient, speaker reimbursement).

References

- 1. Sullivan, P.F., Daly, M.J. & O'Donovan, M. Genetic architectures of psychiatric disorders: the emerging picture and its implications. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **13**, 537-51 (2012).
- 2. Purcell, S.M. *et al.* A polygenic burden of rare disruptive mutations in schizophrenia. *Nature* **506**, 185-90 (2014).
- 3. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* **511**, 421-7 (2014).
- 4. Fromer, M. *et al.* De novo mutations in schizophrenia implicate synaptic networks. *Nature* **506**, 179-84 (2014).
- 5. Genovese, G. *et al.* Increased burden of ultra-rare protein-altering variants among 4,877 individuals with schizophrenia. *Nature Neuroscience* **19**, 1433-1441 (2016).
- 6. Singh, T. *et al.* Rare loss-of-function variants in SETD1A are associated with schizophrenia and developmental disorders. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 571-7 (2016).
- 7. Sekar, A. *et al.* Schizophrenia risk from complex variation of complement component 4. *Nature* **530**, 177-83 (2016).
- 8. CNV Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium & Schizophrenia Working Groups of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Contribution of copy number variants to schizophrenia from a genome-wide study of 41,321 subjects. *Nat Genet* **49**, 27-35 (2016).
- 9. Finucane, H.K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional category using GWAS summary statistics. *Nature Genetics* **47**, 1228-35 (2015).
- 10. Exome Aggregation Consortium *et al.* Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature* **536**, 285-91 (2016).
- 11. Lips, E.S. *et al.* Functional gene group analysis identifies synaptic gene groups as risk factor for schizophrenia. *Molecular psychiatry* **17**, 996-1006 (2012).
- 12. Darnell, J.C. *et al.* FMRP stalls ribosomal translocation on mRNAs linked to synaptic function and autism. *Cell* **146**, 247-61 (2011).
- 13. Goudriaan, A. *et al.* Specific glial functions contribute to schizophrenia susceptibility. *Schizophrenia bulletin* **40**, 925-935 (2013).
- 14. Fromer, M. *et al.* Gene expression elucidates functional impact of polygenic risk for schizophrenia. *Nature Neuroscience* **19**, 1442-1453 (2016).
- 15. Pers, T.H. *et al.* Comprehensive analysis of schizophrenia-associated loci highlights ion channel pathways and biologically plausible candidate causal genes. *Hum Mol Genet* **25**, 1247-54 (2016).
- 16. Zeisel, A. *et al.* Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **347**, 1138-42 (2015).
- 17. Romanov, R.A. *et al.* Molecular interrogation of hypothalamic organization reveals distinct dopamine neuronal subtypes. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 176-188 (2016).
- 18. La Manno, G. *et al.* Molecular Diversity of Midbrain Development in Mouse, Human, and Stem Cells. *Cell* **167**, 566-580 e19 (2016).

- 19. de Leeuw, C.A., Mooij, J.M., Heskes, T. & Posthuma, D. MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis of GWAS data. *PLoS Comput Biol* **11**, e1004219 (2015).
- 20. Pardiñas, A.F. *et al.* Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and maintained by background selection. *Nature Genetics* (In press).
- 21. GTEx Consortium. Human genomics. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* **348**, 648-60 (2015).
- 22. Finucane, H. *et al.* Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies diseaserelevant tissues and cell types. *Nature Genetics* (In press).
- 23. Gokce, O. *et al.* Cellular Taxonomy of the Mouse Striatum as Revealed by Single-Cell RNA-Seq. *Cell Rep* **16**, 1126-37 (2016).
- 24. Habib, N. *et al.* Div-Seq: Single-nucleus RNA-Seq reveals dynamics of rare adult newborn neurons. *Science* **353**, 925-8 (2016).
- 25. Tasic, B. *et al.* Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 335-46 (2016).
- 26. Habib, N. *et al.* Massively parallel single-nucleus RNA-seq with DroNc-seq. *Nat Methods* **14**, 955-958 (2017).
- 27. Abdelmoez, M.N. *et al.* Correlation of gene expressions between nucleus and cytoplasm reflects single-cell physiology. *bioRxiv*, 206672 (2017).
- 28. Cajigas, I.J. *et al.* The local transcriptome in the synaptic neuropil revealed by deep sequencing and high-resolution imaging. *Neuron* **74**, 453-66 (2012).
- 29. Darmanis, S. *et al.* A survey of human brain transcriptome diversity at the single cell level. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 7285-90 (2015).
- 30. Lake, B.B. *et al.* Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. *Science* **352**, 1586-90 (2016).
- 31. Skene, N.G. & Grant, S.G. Identification of Vulnerable Cell Types in Major Brain Disorders Using Single Cell Transcriptomes and Expression Weighted Cell Type Enrichment. *Front Neurosci* **10**, 16 (2016).
- 32. Gaspar, H.A. & Breen, G. Pathway analyses of schizophrenia GWAS focusing on known and novel drug targets. *bioRxiv* (2017).
- 33. Goudriaan, A. *et al.* Specific glial functions contribute to schizophrenia susceptibility. *Schizophr Bull* **40**, 925-35 (2014).
- 34. Anttila, V. *et al.* Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science* (In press).
- 35. Lambert, J.C. *et al.* Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **45**, 1452-8 (2013).
- 36. Bertram, L., McQueen, M.B., Mullin, K., Blacker, D. & Tanzi, R.E. Systematic meta-analyses of Alzheimer disease genetic association studies: the AlzGene database. *Nature genetics* **39**, 17-23 (2007).
- 37. Patsopoulos, N. *et al.* The Multiple Sclerosis Genomic Map: Role of peripheral immune cells and resident microglia in susceptibility. *bioRxiv* (2017).

- 38. Yang, H., Robinson, P.N. & Wang, K. Phenolyzer: phenotype-based prioritization of candidate genes for human diseases. *Nature methods* **12**, 841-843 (2015).
- 39. Burow, D.A. *et al.* Dynamic regulation of mRNA decay during neural development. *Neural Dev* **10**, 11 (2015).
- 40. Psych, E.C. *et al.* The PsychENCODE project. *Nat Neurosci* **18**, 1707-12 (2015).
- 41. Brawand, D. *et al.* The evolution of gene expression levels in mammalian organs. *Nature* **478**, 343-8 (2011).
- 42. Zeng, H. *et al.* Large-scale cellular-resolution gene profiling in human neocortex reveals speciesspecific molecular signatures. *Cell* **149**, 483-96 (2012).
- 43. Kryuchkova-Mostacci, N. & Robinson-Rechavi, M. A benchmark of gene expression tissuespecificity metrics. *Brief Bioinform* (2016).
- 44. Genomes Project, C. *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68-74 (2015).
- 45. de Leeuw, C.A., Neale, B.M., Heskes, T. & Posthuma, D. The statistical properties of gene-set analysis. *Nat Rev Genet* **17**, 353-64 (2016).
- 46. Brown, M.B. A Method for Combining Non-Independent, One-Sided Tests of Significance. *Biometrics* **31**, 987-992 (1975).
- 47. Nicolae, D.L. *et al.* Trait-associated SNPs are more likely to be eQTLs: annotation to enhance discovery from GWAS. *PLoS genetics* **6**, e1000888 (2010).
- 48. Pathway Analysis Subgroup of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Psychiatric genome-wide association study analyses implicate neuronal, immune and histone pathways. *Nat Neurosci* **18**, 199-209 (2015).
- 49. Lun, A.T., McCarthy, D.J. & Marioni, J.C. A step-by-step workflow for low-level analysis of singlecell RNA-seq data with Bioconductor. *F1000Research* **5**(2016).
- 50. Vu, T.N. *et al.* Beta-Poisson model for single-cell RNA-seq data analyses. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 2128-2135 (2016).
- 51. Finucane, H.K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nature genetics* **47**, 1228-1235 (2015).
- 52. Okbay, A. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 74 loci associated with educational attainment. *Nature* **533**, 539-42 (2016).
- 53. Sniekers, S. *et al.* Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 78,308 individuals identifies new loci and genes influencing human intelligence. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1107-1112 (2017).
- 54. Nalls, M.A. *et al.* Large-scale meta-analysis of genome-wide association data identifies six new risk loci for Parkinson's disease. *Nature genetics* **46**, 989-993 (2014).
- 55. Wood, A.R. *et al.* Defining the role of common variation in the genomic and biological architecture of adult human height. *Nat Genet* **46**, 1173-86 (2014).
- 56. Paternoster, R., Brame, R., Mazerolle, P. & Piquero, A. Using the correct statistical test for the equality of regression coefficients. *Criminology* **36**, 859-866 (1998).

- 57. Wagnon, J.L. *et al.* CELF4 regulates translation and local abundance of a vast set of mRNAs, including genes associated with regulation of synaptic function. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1003067 (2012).
- 58. Collins, M.O. *et al.* Molecular characterization and comparison of the components and multiprotein complexes in the postsynaptic proteome. *J Neurochem* **97 Suppl 1**, 16-23 (2006).
- 59. Bayes, A. *et al.* Characterization of the proteome, diseases and evolution of the human postsynaptic density. *Nat Neurosci* **14**, 19-21 (2011).
- 60. Fernandez, E. *et al.* Targeted tandem affinity purification of PSD-95 recovers core postsynaptic complexes and schizophrenia susceptibility proteins. *Mol Syst Biol* **5**, 269 (2009).
- 61. Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S.M. *et al.* HITS-CLIP and integrative modeling define the Rbfox splicingregulatory network linked to brain development and autism. *Cell Rep* **6**, 1139-52 (2014).
- 62. Thul, P.J. *et al.* A subcellular map of the human proteome. *Science* **356**, eaal3321 (2017).
- 63. Brozzi, A., Urbanelli, L., Luc Germain, P., Magini, A. & Emiliani, C. hLGDB: a database of human lysosomal genes and their regulation. *Database* **2013**, bat024 (2013).
- 64. Calvo, S.E., Clauser, K.R. & Mootha, V.K. MitoCarta2. 0: an updated inventory of mammalian mitochondrial proteins. *Nucleic acids research* **44**, D1251-D1257 (2015).
- 65. Shigeoka, T. *et al.* Dynamic axonal translation in developing and mature visual circuits. *Cell* **166**, 181-192 (2016).
- 66. Boyken, J. *et al.* Molecular profiling of synaptic vesicle docking sites reveals novel proteins but few differences between glutamatergic and GABAergic synapses. *Neuron* **78**, 285-297 (2013).
- 67. Takamori, S. *et al.* Molecular anatomy of a trafficking organelle. *Cell* **127**, 831-846 (2006).
- 68. Boyken, J. *et al.* Molecular profiling of synaptic vesicle docking sites reveals novel proteins but few differences between glutamatergic and GABAergic synapses. *Neuron* **78**, 285-97 (2013).



Figure 1. Specificity metric calculated from single cell transcriptome sequencing data can be used to test for increased burden of schizophrenia SNP-heritability in brain cell types.

(A) Comparison of Level 2 cell type categories and number of cells with snRNAseq or scRNAseq from adult brain tissue (excluding retina). Plum colored circles are mouse studies and blue are human studies. The number of different tissues is reflected in size of circle. See *Supplemental Table 2* for citations. AIBS=Allen Institute for Brain Science. KI=Karolinska Institutet. (B) Histogram of specificity metric (S_{MSN,KI}) for medium spiny neurons from the KI superset level 1. Colored regions indicate deciles (the brown region contains the genes most specific to MSNs). Specificity value for dopamine receptor D2 (*Drd2*, S_{MSN,KI,Drd2}=0.17) is indicated by the arrow. (C) Schematic highlighting the brain regions sampled in the KI dataset in blue (D) Specificity values in the KI level 1 dataset for a range of known cell type markers. (E) Enrichment of schizophrenia SNP-heritability in each of the specificity deciles for medium spiny neurons (calculated using LDSC). Color of dots corresponds to regions of the specificity matrix in B. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence intervals. The light blue dot (marked 'X') represents all SNPs which map onto named transcripts which are not MGI annotated genes or which map onto a gene which does not have a 1:1 mouse:human homolog. The dark blue dot (marked 'N') represents all SNPs which map onto genes not expressed in MSNs. Blue line slows the linear regression slope fitted to the enrichment values. (F) Enrichment of height SNP-heritability in each of the specificity deciles for MSNs.

Figure 2. Evaluation of enrichment of common variant CLOZUK schizophrenia GWA results in the KI brain scRNAseq dataset from mouse.

(A) KI Level 1 brain cell types. Both LDSC and MAGMA show enrichment for pyramidal neurons (somatosensory cortex and hippocampus CA1), striatal medium spiny neurons, and cortical interneurons. The black line is the Bonferroni significance threshold (0.05/((24+149)*8). (B) Heat map of association pvalues of diverse human GWA with KI Level 1 mouse brain cell types using MAGMA (left panel) and LDSC (right panel). Bonferroni significant results are marked with red borders (0.05/((24+149)*8). Total number of cases and controls used in the GWAS are shown in the top bar plots, where numbers in red indicate the amount of genome-wide significant loci identified. The CLOZUK results do not generalize indiscriminately across human diseases/traits. In the more sensitive MAGMA analysis major depressive disorder (MDD) is primarily enriched in cortical interneurons and embryonic midbrain neurons, unlike schizophrenia. Similar but non-significant trends can be observed using LDSC.

Figure 3. Comparison of single-cell and single-nuclei RNAseq, and evaluation of enrichment of common variant CLOZUK schizophrenia GWA results in brain single-nuclei RNAseq datasets from adult human.

(A) Each bar represents a comparison between two datasets (X vs Y), with the bootstrapped Z-scores representing the extent to which dendritically enriched transcripts²⁸ have lower specificity for pyramidal neurons in dataset Y relative to X. Larger Z-scores indicate greater depletion of dendritically enriched transcripts, and red bars indicate a statistically significant depletion. *Supplemental Table S2* describes the

studies. (B) Human mid-temporal cortex brain cell type enrichment. Cortical pyramidal neurons and cortical interneurons show significant enrichment. Oligodendrocyte precursors also show enrichment that was not observed in the KI Level 1 data. The black line is the Bonferroni significance threshold (6x8 comparisons). (C) Human prefrontal cortex and hippocampus brain cell type enrichments from ²⁶. These data show enrichment in cortical and hippocampal glutamatergic (i.e., pyramidal and granule) cells. There is also an enrichment in cortical interneurons with the highest level in *Reln/Vip* cells. The black line is the Bonferroni significance threshold (15x8 comparisons). (D) Heat map of enrichment of diverse human GWA studies with human mid-temporal cortex Level 1 brain cell types using MAGMA and LDSC. The CLOZUK results do not generalize across human diseases. MDD again shows significant enrichments in cortical interneurons for Alzheimer's disease were enriched in microglia. Bonferroni significant results are marked with red borders.

Figure 4. Cell type enrichment of gene sets associated with schizophrenia, neurological disorders, and the evolutionary divergence between human and mouse.

(A) Antipsychotic medication targets. (B-F) Gene sets previously shown to be enriched for schizophrenia SNP-heritability. (B) Genes intolerant to loss-of-function variation. (C) Synaptic gene sets. (D) Gene sets mediating DNA or RNA interactions. (E) Gene sets associated with neurological disorders. (F) The top 500 genes with lowest or highest dN/dS ratios between human and mouse (i.e., non-synonymous to synonymous exon changes). The Level 1 cell types associated with schizophrenia (MSNs, pyramidal CA1, pyramidal SS, and cortical interneurons) show enrichment in A-D but neurological diseases do not. Asterisks denote Benjamini–Hochberg corrected p-value <0.05.

Figure 5. CA1 pyramidal neurons, medium spiny neurons, and cortical Interneurons are independently associated with schizophrenia and distinct molecular pathways contribute to each cell type.

(A) Conditional enrichment analysis accounting for correlated gene expression between cell types. The left column shows baseline cell type enrichment probabilities values ($P_{celltypeY,baseline}$) for schizophrenia calculated by fitting a linear model to specificity deciles against MAGMA gene enrichment Z-scores (where cell type Y denotes the cell type labelled on the y-axis). The central four columns show the enrichment probability of cell type Y after accounting for correlated expression in cell type ($P_{celltypeY,celltypeX}$, calculated using a resampling method described in the Methods). Values of $log(P_{celltypeY,celltypeX})$ approaching zero indicate that after accounting for expression of cell type X, there is no enrichment in cell type Y. The red box highlights that there is no longer enrichment in somatosensory pyramidal neurons after accounting for expression in CA1 pyramidal neurons; however, the converse is not true. The bar plot on the right shows the minimum value of $P_{celltypeY,celltypeX}$ (excluding self-self-comparisons). (B) Overlap of genes in the schizophrenia-associated cell types. Venn-diagram of the top 1,000 schizophrenia-associated genes from the highest enrichment for Rbfox in CA1 pyramidal cells, Mir137 targets and dopamine signaling in MSNs, along with shared synaptic genes between pyramidal cells but separate for GABAergic cells. Areas with striped shading indicates region with gene number <10.

Online Methods

Rationale

The overall goal of this analysis was to attempt to connect human genomic findings to specific brain cell types defined by their gene expression profiles: to what specific brain cell types do the common variant genetic findings for schizophrenia best "fit"? Multiple studies have approached this issue ^{3,9,14}, but using gene expression based on aggregates of millions of cells. We also evaluated whether gene sets previously implicated in schizophrenia mapped to similar or different brain cell types. We focused on the KI scRNAseq data from mouse (*Figure 1* and *Supplemental Table 2*). We did this because:

- a) These comprise the largest dataset currently available generated using identical procedures. As shown in *Figure 1*, the total cells with scRNAseq (9,970) and Level 2 cell types (149) exceed all other studies.
- b) The mouse data include more brain regions than in human. These regions include a better sampling of those believed to be important in schizophrenia (e.g., currently no data from human striatum or adult dopaminergic neurons).
- c) Due to the use of unique molecular identifiers in the KI data, the scRNAseq data reflect absolute counts, and are directly comparable across experiments (particularly for our goal of evaluating enrichment).
- d) The mouse data appear to have better signal quality. This could be due to better experimental control or the ability to isolate whole cells (excluding distal neurites) of good quality from mouse but only nuclei or lower quality cells from adult humans. For example, sampling 1,500-3,000 cells in cortical mouse data sets (KI and Tasic et al. ²⁵) allowed identification of 24 and 42 cortical neuronal subtypes. In contrast, sequencing over 3,000 human neuronal nuclei ³⁰ or 466 whole neurons ²⁹ allowed for the identification of only 16 and 7 subtypes. More types of inhibitory interneurons (16-23) have been identified in mouse but only 8 in human despite equal or greater sequencing depth but future work may improve the ability to discriminate cell types using single nuclei RNA-Seq data.
- e) Use of laboratory mice allow far greater experimental control of impactful perimortem and postmortem events. All mice are healthy without systemic illnesses and medication-free. All mice can be euthanized in the same way, and time from death to tissue processing is standardized and measured in minutes rather than hours. Causes of death in human are highly variable, and perimortem events can alter brain gene expression (e.g., systemic disease or prolonged hypoxia). Although human brain tissue can be obtained during certain neurosurgical procedures (e.g., resection of a seizure focus in refractory epilepsy), the individuals undergoing these procedures are atypical and subject to the effects of chronic brain disease and medication.
- f) As shown in *Figure 3a*, scRNAseq can be done in mouse brain cells but is far harder in human brain cells. scRNAseq provides better coverage of brain cell transcriptomes and avoids loss of transcripts important for schizophrenia.

Thus, from a practical perspective, more cell types have been identified in mouse, and the KI data comprise over half of currently available brain scRNAseq data. Key findings in the KI dataset were verified in other human and mouse datasets. We also applied independent statistical methods predicated on different assumptions and algorithms to evaluate the relation of brain cell types to GWA results for schizophrenia.

Limitations

Nonetheless, despite our use of multiple statistical methods and efforts to identify and resolve any spurious explanations for our findings, our work has to be considered in light of inevitable limitations. <u>First</u>, although we used the largest available schizophrenia GWA dataset, we still have an incomplete portrait of the genetic architecture of schizophrenia. This is an active area, and more informative results are sure to emerge in the next few years. <u>Second</u>, although the KI scRNAseq data cover a broad range of brain regions thought to be relevant to the neurobiology of schizophrenia, extensive coverage of cortical and striatal development is lacking at present (gestation, early postnatal, or adolescence).

<u>Third</u>, we focus principally on mouse scRNAseq data. Our reasons for doing so are explained above. A key part of our approach is replication of the main findings in human datasets. However, we would be remiss not to consider the comparability of mouse and human. Mice are widely used for modeling brain diseases. There are relatively high degrees of mouse-human conservation in genes expressed in brain. The most extensive study compared RNA-seq data from six organs (cortex, cerebellum, heart, kidney, liver, and testis) across ten species (human, chimpanzee, bonobo, gorilla, orangutan, macaque, mouse, opossum, platypus and chicken) ⁴¹. Using principal components analysis, the largest amount of variation (PCs 1 and 2) explained differences between organs rather than between species. Gene expression in brain (including several key gene expression modules) was more conserved between species than any of the other tissues. These observations were broadly replicated using scRNAseq in ventral midbrain ¹⁸. Furthermore, 75% of genes show similar laminar patterning in mouse and human cortex ⁴².

Fourth, whatever the general similarities, there are certainly differences between mouse and human brain ¹⁸, and there are even cortical cells present in human but not mouse (e.g., spindle or von Economo neurons). *We therefore evaluated mouse-human gene conservation.* Using empirical measures of gene conservation (Ensembl, URLs), we determined that the mouse genes in the KI Level 1 and Level 2 gene expression dataset that we analyzed were 89% identical (median, interquartile range 80-95%) to human 1:1 homologues. For these genes, the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous amino acid changes (dN/dS) was 0.094 (median, interquartile range 0.045-0.173): mutations in these genes are thus subject to strong negative selection (dN/dS = 1 is consistent with neutrality). Pathway analysis of the 400 genes with the largest dN/dS values revealed enrichments in genes involved in defense responses, inflammation, cytokines, and immunoglobulin production. The 400 genes with extremely low dN/dS ratios were involved in neuron differentiation, RNA splicing, and mRNA processing.

In conclusion, for most brain cell types, use of KI mouse scRNAseq data was defensible and reasonable (particularly given verification in human transcriptomic data). The major caution is with respect to cells with prominent immune function (e.g., microglia). (See also the section on mouse-human gene mapping below.)

We can implicate a particular cell type (i.e., present consistent positive evidence) but it is premature to exclude cell types for which we do not have data, or those with dissimilar function or under selection pressure between mouse and human.

Single-cell transcriptome data

Supplemental Table 2 shows the scRNAseq or snRNAseq data from mouse or human brain. These include published and unpublished data (using the same protocols as in peer-reviewed papers). To the best of our knowledge, these comprise all or nearly all of the available adult brain single nuclei or scRNAseq data.

We focused on a superset of brain scRNAseq data from KI generated using identical methods from the same labs with the use of unique molecular identifiers that allow for direct comparison of transcription data across regions (see above for full rationale). The KI mouse superset of 9,970 cells and 149 Level 2 cell

types is more extensive than any other single nuclei or scRNAseq dataset now available, and includes most brain regions thought to be salient to schizophrenia. The papers contain full method details. Briefly, the KI scRNAseq data were generated using the same methods (Fluidigm C1 with Illumina 50 bp single end sequencing) with the use of unique molecular identifiers to enable absolute molecular counts. In the first paper describing the method it was estimated that an average of 1.2 million mapped reads per cells was sequenced (*28*). Level 1 and 2 clustering was done using the BACKSPIN algorithm ¹⁶. All cells lacking annotations were excluded. For non-neuronal populations, except cells from oligodendrocyte lineage and VLMCs, we only included cells from Zeisel et al 2015 in the KI data set. The level 2 CA1 pyramidal cell contain a small number of cells from CA2 and Subiculum resulting from dissection inaccuracies, these are represented as separate level 2 classes. The resulting data have been shown to be insensitive to linear variation in total reads per cell. If a gene was detected in one dataset and not in another, it was considered to have zero reads in all cells where it had not been detected.

To confirm that no batch effects exist across KI regional subdatasets that may influence the merged results, we plotted three cell types using tSNE which were expected to show little real regional variation: endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells and microglia (*Supplemental Figure 2*). The tSNE plots were generated in R using the Rtsne and Scater packages using 500 of the most variable features. Only the embryonic midbrain cells clustered separately, as was expected due to the difference between the embryonic and adult brain.

We include unpublished data generated by the Hjerling-Leffler and Linnarsson labs at KI using the same methods as in Zeisel et al. ¹⁶. Cells were isolated from dorsolateral striatum from p21-p30 transgenic mice, the same age span as in Zeisel et al. ¹⁶. Coverage of rare interneuron populations was enhanced by FACS sorting cells from either 5HT3a-EGFP or a Lhx6cre::TdTomato line. The cortical parvalbuminergic cells and striatal neurons were captured and prepared for sequencing as described in Zeisel et al. ¹⁶.

The largest human dataset is an unpublished data set from the Allen Institute for Brain Science which consisted of 4401 cells from middle temporal gyrus of 3 post-mortem brains from healthy, adult subjects. Nuclei were dissociated from cortical tissue and FACS isolated based on NeuN staining, resulting in approximately 90% NeuN+ and 10% NeuN- nuclei. Single nucleus cDNA libraries were generated using SMARTerV4 and Nextera XT and sequenced to a depth of approximately 2 million reads per sample. Reads were aligned with Bowtie and gene expression quantified with RSEM plus intronic reads and normalized to counts per million. Clustering was performed with iterative PCA and tSNE with cluster robustness assessed with 100 bootstrap replicates. Level 1 clusters were characterized based on expression of known marker genes and included two broad classes of neurons – GABAergic interneurons and glutamatergic projection neurons – and 4 non-neuronal types: astrocytes, oligodendrocyte precursors, mature oligodendrocytes, and microglia.

Technical issues

The process of procuring cells for scRNAseq entails dissecting the tissue and preparing a single-cell suspension. This entails severing cellular processes such as dendrites and axons. It is probably safe to assume that different cell types are differentially sensitive to this drastic process. Accordingly, in early studies certain cell types, although present in the data sets, was clearly underrepresented –most likely due to lower rates of survival. Although the cell procurement methods have improved the field, in general, do not rely on scRNASeq data to make statements on relative abundance between cell types. Such statements should ultimately be done in tissue samples and this is one of the major reasons that multiplexed in situ hybridization techniques is a fast-growing field.

When sampling tissue for scRNASeq there are two general approaches used to increase the chances to sample underrepresented or low abundant cell types at enough high numbers: 1) if one has prior knowledge of what cell type is underrepresented these can be enriched using FACS isolation of genetically labeled cells, 2) to massively increase the sampling rate of cells (usually also entails decreasing the sequencing depth of each cell). ScRNAseq is a fast-moving field and future datasets will help fine-tune our knowledge on cell types but with the data sets used in this study we have captured a majority of cellular complexity. Even with *in situ* techniques emerging, the question "are we missing any cell types" cannot be answered in an absolute fashion –we can only estimate what are the minimum size of populations we can detect. For well characterized brain areas like cortex and hippocampus we can make these estimates more accurately but with structures that has high cellular complexity and is not so well characterized (i.e. hypothalamus) the risk is considerably higher that we are missing some populations.

We are not aware of how these kind of effects affects snRNAseq data but presumably the problem should be much less pronounced since as a first step nuclei are isolated by killing all cells simultaneously. The main scRNAseq data sets used in this paper (KI data set) have relied mainly on enrichment of low abundant/low surviving cells via FACS isolation in some of the sub-sets (e.g. cortex, hippocampus and striatum). This is also the case for the Tasic data set. The other main data sets used (AIBS and DroNc-seq) are snRNAseq data sets.

Mouse-to-human gene mapping

Because most of the scRNAseq data were from mouse brain and the schizophrenia genomic results are from human, it was necessary to map 1:1 homologs between *M. musculus* and *H. sapiens*. To accomplish this, used a best-practice approach in consultation with a senior mouse geneticist (UNC Prof Fernando Pardo-Manuel de Villena de L'Epine, personal communication). We used the expert curated human-mouse homolog list (Mouse Genome Informatics, Jackson Laboratory, URLs, version of 11/22/2016). Only genes with a high-confidence, 1:1 mapping were retained. A large fraction of non-matches are reasonable given evolutionary differences between human and mouse (e.g., the distinctiveness of olfactory or volmeronasal receptor genes given the greater importance of smell in mouse). Nonetheless, we evaluated the quality and coherence of the mapping.

- The mouse brain cell expression levels for the KI Level 1 cell types were similar for mouse genes with and without a 1:1 human homologue. This is inconsistent with a strong bias due to the success/failure of identifying a human homologue.
- A high fraction (93%) of the KI genes detected in mouse brain samples that mapped to a human gene were expressed in human brain (CommonMind DLPFC RNA-seq) or 27 samples with RNA-seq from the Sullivan lab (unpublished, DLPFC from 9 schizophrenia cases and 9 controls plus 9 fetal frontal cortex samples). The ones that did not (7%) were expressed at considerably lower levels in mouse brain or in cell types not prevalent in cortex.
- Of genes with evidence of expression in human brain (via frontal cortex RNA-seq as noted above), human homologues of KI mouse genes accounted for 93.2% of intellectual disability genes, 93.7% of developmental delay genes, 93.8% of genes with a CHD8 binding site, 94.4% of post-synaptic genes, 95.0% of proteins involved in the ciliary proteome, 95.1% of genes intolerant to loss-offunction variation (ExAC pLI > 0.9), 95.6% of pre-synaptic genes, and 96.4% of FMRP interactors.

We evaluated the mapping carefully and the results above suggest the coherence of the mouse-human mapping. All key findings from the KI mouse scRNAseq data were evaluated in other mouse and human brain scRNAseq datasets.

Calculation of cell type expression proportion

A key metric used for our cell type analyses is the proportion of expression for a given gene. This metric is calculated separately for each single cell dataset (although only one specificity measure is used for the merged KI superset). This is a measure of cell type specificity scaled so that a value of 1 implies that the gene is completely specific to a cell type and a value of 0 implies the gene is not expressed in that cell type. We denote this specificity metric as $s_{g,c}$ for gene g and cell type c. Values of $s_{g,c}$ were calculated for the brain scRNAseq datasets in **Supplemental Table 2**.

Each dataset contains scRNAseq results from w cells associated with k cell types. Each of the k cell types is associated with a numerical index from the set $\{1, ..., k\}$. The cell type annotations for cell i are stored using a numerical index in L, such that l_{1005} =5 indicates that the 1005th cell is of the 5th cell type. We denote N_c as the number of cells from the cell-type indexed by c. The expression proportion for gene g and cell type c (where $r_{g,i}$ is the expression of gene g in cell i) is given by:

$$s_{g,c} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{w} F(g,i,c)/N_c}{\sum_{r=1}^{k} (\sum_{i=1}^{w} F(g,i,r)/N_r)} \qquad F(g,i,c) = \begin{cases} r_{g,i}, l_i = c \\ 0, l_i \neq c \end{cases}$$

This metric for cell specificity is closely related to other measures ⁴³. For instance, the maximum value of *s* per gene yields similar results to τ such that $s_{max} > 0.5$ is equivalent to $\tau > 0.94$.

The size of a cell is generally correlated with the amount of mRNA detected upon isolation. This is reflected in the "Total Average Molecules" column of *Supplemental Table 3* which is representative of the real amount of mRNA detected in the cells. The values used in the KI data set are from Unique Molecular Identifiers (UMIs; each individual mRNA molecule is uniquely barcoded upon detection and an absolute number thus can be calculated even after PCR amplification). In order to not ignore meaningful biologically differences we did not scale the expression levels between cell types. However, we tested the effect of scaling gene expression to 10'000 molecules for each cell type before calculating the specificity index and observed the same Bonferroni significant cell types in the same order, indicating that our approach is robust to differences in the total number of molecules detected per cell type. Perhaps we should point out that MSNs are, as the name implies, medium-sized cells and were found to be significantly enriched in every test in spite of being the third smallest neuronal cell type based on mRNA counts.

Thresholding of low expressed transcripts

Because $s_{g,c}$ is independent of the overall expression level of a gene, it is desirable to exclude genes with very low or sporadic gene expression levels, as a small number of reads in one cell can falsely make that gene appear to be a highly specific cell marker. Direct thresholding of low expressed genes is not ideal for performing this as thresholds need to be set individually for each dataset, and some individual cells can show exceptionally and anomalously high expression of the sporadically expressed gene. We reasoned that all the genes we want to include in the study should be differentially expressed in at least one Level 2 cell type included in the study. We thus excluded sporadically expressed genes via ANOVA with the Level 2 cell type annotations as groups, and excluding all genes with P > 0.00001. Gene filtering was performed separately for each single cell dataset; importantly though, the KI dataset was filtered as a merged superset. A consequence of this (and of differences in sample preparation and sequencing) is that different genes are used for example in the analysis of the KI superset than were used for the Habib et al (Mouse Hippocampus Div-Seq) dataset. For datasets where level 2 cell type annotations were not available (e.g. the Allan Brain Institute Human Cortex dataset) we used the same approach but with level 1 cell type annotations instead.

LD Score Regression (LDSC) and partitioning SNP-heritability

To partition SNP-heritability using LDSC (URLs)⁹, it is necessary to pass LDSC annotation files (one per chromosome) with a row per SNP and a column for each sub-annotation (1=a SNP is part of that sub-annotation). To map SNPs to genes, we used dbSNP SNPContigLocusId file (build 147 and hg19/NCBI Build 37 coordinates). All SNPs not annotated in this file were given a value of 0 in all sub-annotations. Template annotation files obtained from the LDSC Github repository were used as the basis for all cell type and gene set annotations ("cell_type_group.1*"). Only SNPs present in the template files were used. If an annotation had no SNPs, then 50 random SNPs from the same chromosome were selected as part of the annotation (if no SNPs are selected then the software fails to calculate SNP-heritability).

Annotation files were created for each cell type for which we applied partitioned LDSC. Twelve subannotations were created for each cell type. The first represented all SNPs which map onto named regions which are not MGI annotated genes or which map onto a gene which does not have a 1:1 mouse:human homolog. The second contained all SNPs which map onto genes not expressed in a cell type. The other 10 sub-annotations are associated with genes with increasing levels of expression specificity for that cell type. To assign these, the deciles of $s_{g,c}$ were calculated over all values of g (separately for each value of c) to give ten equal length sets of genes. These are then mapped to SNPs as described above. To partition SNP-heritability amongst the gene sets (not the cell types), a single set of annotation files was created with each of the gene sets used as a sub-annotation column.

LDSC was then run using associated data files from phase 3 of the 1000 Genomes Project ⁴⁴. We computed LD scores for cell type annotations using a 1 cM window (--ld-wind-cm 1). As recommended (LDSC Github Wiki, URLs), we restricted the analysis to using Hapmap3 SNPs, and, as in the original report ⁹, excluded the major histocompatibility region due to its high gene density and exceptional LD. The LDSC "munge_sumstats.py" script was used to prepare the summary statistics files. The SNP-heritability is then partitioned to each sub-annotation. We used LD weights calculated for HapMap3 SNPs, excluding the MHC region, for the regression weights available from the Github page (files in the 'weights_hm3_no_hla' folder).

For the LD score files used as independent variables in LD Score regression we used the full baseline model ⁹ and the annotations described above. We used the '--overlap-annot' argument and the minor allele frequency files ('1000G_Phase3_frq' folder via the '--frqfile-chr' argument).

Partitioned LDSC computes the proportion of SNP-heritability associated with each annotation column while taking into account all other annotations. Based on the proportion of total SNPs in an annotation, LDSC calculates an enrichment score and an associated enrichment *P*-value (one-tailed as we were only interested in annotations showing enrichments of SNP-heritability). All figures showing partitioned LDSC results show *P*-values associated with the enrichment of the most specific decile for each cell type.

Cell type identification using MAGMA

We used MAGMA (v1.04) ¹⁹, a leading program for gene set analysis ⁴⁵, to evaluate the association of genelevel schizophrenia association statistics with cell-type specific expression under the hypothesis that, in relevant cell types, genes with greater cell type specificity should be more associated with schizophrenia. Gene level association statistics were obtained using MAGMA (window size 10 kb upstream and 1.5 kb downstream of each gene – see below for discussion window size) using an approach based on Brown's method ⁴⁶ (model: *snpwise-unweighted*). This approach allows to combine *P*-values in the specified windows surrounding each gene into a gene-level pvalue while accounting for LD (computed using the European panel of 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 ⁴⁴). The tissue specific expression metric for each gene in each cell type was obtained by dividing the gene expression level in a particular cell type by the sum of the expression of the gene in all cell types (see $s_{g,c}$, defined above). The distributions of $s_{g,c}$ were complex (point mass at zero expression, substantial right-skewing). For each cell type, we transformed *S* into 41 bins (0=not expressed, 1=below 2.5th percentile, 2=2.5-5th percentile, ..., 40=above 97.5th percentile), so that each cell type would be comparable.

MAGMA was then used to test for a positive association (one-sided test) between the binned fractions in each cell type and the gene-level associations (option --gene-covar onesided). For a given mouse or human brain cell type, this tested whether increasing tissue specificity of gene expression is associated with increasing common-variant genetic findings for schizophrenia using information from all genes. By default, the linear regression performed by MAGMA is conditioned on the following covariates: gene size, log(gene size), gene density (representing the relative level of LD between SNPs in that gene) and log(gene density). The model also takes into account gene-gene correlations. For the conditional analysis, we used the condition modifier of the *—gene-covar* parameter to condition on each of the significant cell types

In regard to choice of window size/bin boundaries, MAGMA by default combines *P*-values of SNPs located within gene boundaries (±0 kb). We decided to extend the default window size as a large fraction of trait-associated SNPs are located just outside genes in regions likely to regulate gene expression ^{3,47}. One of the authors of MAGMA (Christiaan de Leeuw) advised us to expand the window size by a limited amount in order to keep the ability to distinguish the genetic contribution of genes located in close proximity. Therefore, we set expanded gene boundaries to 10 kb upstream–1.5 kb downstream. We evaluated the effect of different choices of bin size including 35 kb upstream–10 kb downstream (as often used by the PGC ⁴⁸), 150 kb upstream–10 kb downstream, and 150 kb upstream–150 kb downstream (GTEx ²¹ Supplemental Figure 9 from). The results were not substantially altered by window size as the ranking of cell types (KI level 1) were very similar for these different window size; if anything ours was a slightly conservative choice.

Random permutations of MAGMA

For the analysis in **Supplemental Figure 7**, we randomly permuted gene labels of gene-level association statistics of MAGMA and looked for cell type association with schizophrenia using 1,000 permutations. We observed a mean of 24.8 significant results across cell types at P<0.05 indicating that MAGMA is conservative using our approach (50 significant results expected by chance).

Schizophrenia association using alternative cell type specificity method

We tested another recent approach to associate cell types with traits using differentially expressed genes ²². We computed a normalization factor for each single cell using the scran R package⁴⁹ using the 50% of the genes with mean expression higher than the median. The normalization factors were computed after clustering cells using the scran *quickcluster* function to account for cell type heterogeneity. We then performed 24 differential expression analysis using BPSC⁵⁰ testing each cell type against the 23 other cell types with the normalization factors as covariate. For each cell type, we then selected the 10% most upregulated genes and created bed files with the coordinate of these genes extended by 100kb upstream and 100kb downstream. SNPs of the baseline model from Finucane et al. located in the top 10% of the genes were used to create a cell type specific annotation that was added to the "baseline" model. We then used LDSC⁵¹ to test for association between the cell type specific annotations and schizophrenia using a one-sided *P*-value based on the coefficient Z-score from the output of LDSC.

Enrichment analyses of gene sets and antipsychotic drug targets

Expression Weighted Cell type Enrichment (EWCE, URLs) ³¹ was used to test for cell types which show enriched expression of genes associated with particular schizophrenia-associated gene sets. These analyses used the same specificity (*S*) values for the KI Level 1 data that were used for the MAGMA and LDSC analyses. EWCE was run with 10,000 bootstrap samples. Enrichment *P*-values were corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni method calculated over all cell types and gene lists tested. EWCE returns a Z-score assessing standard deviations from the mean. Values < 0 (depletion of expression) were recoded to zero.

Evaluation of genomic biases

The algorithms used by LDSC and MAGMA both account for the non-independence introduced by linkage disequilibrium (LD), or the tendency for genomic findings to "cluster" due to strong intercorrelations. LD block size (discrete regions of high correlations between nearby genetic markers) average 15-20 kb in samples of European ancestry, but there are nearly 100 genomic regions with high LD extending over 1 mb (the extended MHC region on human chromosome 6 is the largest and has very high LD over 8 mb). Gene size is an additional consideration for MAGMA (accounting for gene size is a component of the algorithm), particularly as brain-expressed genes are considerably larger than genes not expressed in brain (mean of 80.7 kb vs 31.2 kb). The algorithms used by LDSC and MAGMA have been well-tested, and are widely used. However, it is conceivable that certain edge cases could defeat algorithms that work well for the vast majority of scenarios. An example might be if a large fraction of the genes that influence a brain cell type were located in a region of very high LD. First, brain-expressed genes were slightly more likely to be in a large LD block (≥99th percentile in size across the genome), 12.4% vs 10.2%. In discussion with the developers of LDSC and MAGMA, this should not yield an insuperable bias. Second, by counting the numbers of genes and brain-expressed genes per mb, we found that brain-expressed genes in the human genome were reasonably evenly scattered across the genome (R^2 0.85), and only 10 of 2,534 1-mb intervals were outliers. Most of these were gene clusters with fewer than expected brain-expressed genes (e.g., a late cornified envelope gene cluster on chr1:152-153 mb, an olfactory gene cluster on chr1:248-249 mb, and a keratin gene cluster on chr17:39-40 mb). Third, in a similar manner, we evaluated the locations of the human 1:1 mapped genes influential to the KI Level 1 classifications and found these to be relatively evenly scattered in the genome. Thus, these potential genomic biases did not appear to present difficulties for our key analyses (that used two independent methods in any event).

Schizophrenia common variant association results

The schizophrenia GWA results were from the CLOZUK and PGC studies ^{3,20}. CLOZUK is the largest currently obtainable GWA for schizophrenia (40,675 cases and 64,643 controls), and the authors identified ~150 genome-wide significant loci. It includes the schizophrenia samples from earlier PGC papers. For selected analyses, we also included the PGC schizophrenia results from the *Nature* 2014 report (URLs). This paper included 36,989 cases and 113,075 controls, and identified 108 loci associated with schizophrenia. Results from the published PGC and CLOZUK studies were qualitatively similar with the CLOZUK data generally showing increased significance owing to its larger sample size.

Comparison GWA results for other traits

We included comparisons for a selected set of brain related traits as well as height as a negative control. As power to identify cell types is directly proportional to the sample size of the GWA study, we only included traits with at least 20'000 samples that discovered at least 20 genome-wide significant loci. The GWA results were from the following sources: schizophrenia ³ from the PGC; Alzheimer's disease³⁵; educational attainment⁵²; IQ⁵³; MDD from the PGC (unpublished); Parkinson's disease⁵⁴ and height ⁵⁵.

Test of cell-type association differences between traits

We tested whether the beta coefficient in MAGMA were significantly different between two traits for each cell type using the approach described in Paternoster et al, 1998⁵⁶. We first compute a Z-score for each cell type: $Z = \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{\sqrt{SE\beta_1^2 + SE\beta_2^2}}$. Where β_1 and β_2 are the SNP-heritability enrichments for trait 1 and 2

(or beta coefficients in MAGMA) and SE are the standard errors. A two-sided p-value is then computed based on the Z-score using the R *pnorm* function.

Gene sets associated with schizophrenia

The gene set results for schizophrenia are summarized in *Supplemental Table 1*. For CELF4 binding genes ⁵⁷, we used genes with iCLIP occupancy > 0.2 from Table S4. For FMRP binding genes, we used genes from Table S2A ¹². Genes intolerant to loss-of-function variation were from the Exome Aggregation Consortium (pLI > 0.9) ¹⁰. Genes containing predicted miR-137 target sites were from microrna.org. NMDA receptor complex genes came from Genes-to-Cognition database entry L00000007 ⁵⁸. The human post–synaptic density gene set was from Table S2 ⁵⁹. The PSD95 complex came from Table S1 using all genes marked with a cross in the 'PSD-95 Core Complex' column ⁶⁰. For RBFOX binding, we took all genes with RBFOX2 count > 4 or summed RBFOX1 and RBFOX3 > 12 from Table S1 ⁶¹. For antipsychotic drug targets, we used a gene list provided by Drs Gerome Breen and Héléna Gaspar as reported in the biorXiv preprint ³². The oligodendrocyte and astrocyte gene lists came from Supplemental Table 4 ¹³. All EWCE *P*-values were corrected with the Benjamini–Hochberg method.

Gene sets for neurological disorders & human phenotype ontology & dN/dS

For multiple sclerosis, we used results from the largest available GWAS (the Multiple Sclerosis Genomic Map); we used the genes listed in the Supplemental table³⁷. For Alzheimer's disease, we used the top results from the AlzGene database³⁶ (URLs) as well as genome-wide significant genes ³⁵. For genes associated with leukodystrophy (HP:0002415,) we used the Human Phenotype Ontology³⁸ (URLs). For amyotrophic lateral sclerosis we used the top results from the ALSGene database (URLs). For epilepsy, migraine, and stroke we used the EBI GWAS catalog. For the Human Phenotype Ontology (HPO) gene sets, the 'ALL_SOURCES_ALL_FREQUENCIES_phenotype_to_genes.txt' file was downloaded from build 133. To obtain the genes with the top 500 highest/lowest dN/dS between humans and mice we obtained the dN and dS values through BioMart.

Gene sets associated with subcellular localization

Subcellular localization data were downloaded from the Human Protein Atlas website (HPA, v17)⁶². Only gene lists with >100 genes were used. Lysosomal genes were downloaded from the Human Lysosome Gene Database⁶³. Mitochondrial genes were obtained from Human MitoCarta2.0⁶⁴. Axonal (Adult) and Axonal (E17) were obtained from a study which used axon-TRAP-RiboTags to capture the mRNAs from retinal ganglion cell axons projecting to the superior colliculus⁶⁵ (Supplemental Table 1). Presynaptic genes come from Supplemental Table 1⁶⁶. Synaptic vesicle genes came from Supplemental Table 1⁶⁷.

Depletion of dendritically enriched transcripts in nuclei datasets

Dendritically enriched transcripts were obtained from 28 (Supplemental Table 10). This list was produced from pyramidal cells from rat hippocampus and human 1:1 homologs were obtained. We refer to this set of genes as L_{dendritic}. To enable direct comparisons between datasets, all datasets were reduced to contain a common set of six KI Level 1 cell types: pyramidal neurons, interneurons, astrocytes, interneurons,

microglia, and oligodendrocyte precursors. For the KI dataset, we used S1 Pyramidal neurons. The specificity metric (denoted as $s_{g,c}$) was recalculated for each dataset using this reduced set of cell types. Comparisons were then made between datasets (denoted in the graph with the format 'X vs Y'). We denote the mean pyramidal neuron specificity scores for dendritically enriched genes in dataset X as $\overline{S_{D=X,L_{dendritic},Pyramidal}}$. We then get the difference in pyramidal specificity of for list *L* between two datasets as $D_{X,Y,L} = \overline{S_{D=X,L,Pyramidal}} - \overline{S_{D=Y,L,Pyramidal}}$. We then calculate values of $D_{X,Y,L}$ for 20,000 random gene lists, having the same length as the dendritically enriched gene list, with the genes randomly selected from the background gene set. We denote the nth random gene list as R_n . The mean and standard deviation of the bootstrapped $D_{X,Y,L}$ values are denoted $\mu_{D_{X,Y,R}}$ and $\sigma_{D_{X,Y,R}}$ respectively. The depletion Z-score is then calculated as: $Z_{X,Y,Ldendritic} = \frac{D_{X,Y,L}dendritic}{\sigma_{D_{X,Y,R}}}$. A large positive Z-score thus indicates that dendritically enriched transcripts are specifically depleted from pyramidal neurons from dataset Y relative to dataset X.

Conditional cell type enrichments

Gene association Z-scores for schizophrenia were calculated in MAGMA as described above. To enable randomization of the Z-scores and recalculation of the associations to be done programmatically, these were then loaded into R and associations with disease were calculated within this environment without external calls to MAGMA. All genes within the extended MHC region (chr6 25-34 mb) were removed due to its confounding effects. We controlled for gene size and gene density by regressing out the effect of NSNPS and NDENSITY parameters (and the log of each) on the Z-score. To ensure a meaningful number of genes were randomized within each group, associations were calculated over deciles rather than the smaller percentile bins used earlier with MAGMA. Probabilities of association are calculated using the ImFit and ebayes functions from the limma package to enable rapid computation. We denote the set of cells studied as *C* such that c_i represents the ith celltype. The original Z-scores are denoted *Z* such that z_i is the Z-score of the ith gene while the randomized Z-scores are denoted *R*. The set of genes in the ith specificity decile of target cell type, c_y are denoted $S_{i,k}^{x,y}$ and thus $\bigcup_{k \in C} S_{i,k}^{x,y}$ contains all genes in the ith specificity decile of cell type, c_x .

The basis of the approach (**Supplemental Figure 23**) is to randomise the Z-scores with respect to the specificity deciles of the target cell type, c_y but not with respect to the specificity deciles of the controlled cell type, c_x . Thus for each of the deciles indexed by i we randomly resampled without replacement the Z-scores such that $\{R_g\}_{g \in \bigcup_{k \in C} S_{i,k}^{x,y}} = \{Z_g\}_{g \in \bigcup_{k \in C} S_{i,k}^{x,y}}$ and yet $R_g \neq Z_g$. In practical terms, this would mean that if we controlled for MSN's and targeted cortical interneurons, the mean Z-score in the 10th MSN decile would remain the same but would be different in cortical interneurons; the question being tested is the degree to which this equates to total randomisation in terms of the schizophrenia association found in cortical interneurons.

The baseline association values shown in **Figure 4a** leftmost column (described as $P_{celltypeY,baseline}$) were calculated using Z. The values of $P_{celltypeY,celltypeX}$ (probability of cell type y being associated with schizophrenia controlling for cell type x) are calculated using intermediate probabilities: 10,000 association p-values are calculated for resampled values of R. We selected the 500th lowest of these p-values (equivalent to the value which the baseline association probability would need to exceed to be declared independently associated with a probability of 95%) and denote this $p_{x,y}^{bootstrap}$. The value of $P_{celltypeY,celltypeX}$ is then calculated as $exp(log(P_{celltypeY,celltypeX})-log(p_{x,y}^{bootstrap}))$. If the value of $P_{celltypeY,celltypeX}$ exceeds 1 (indicating that the randomised samples were actually more significantly associated than was

found to be the case) then it is set to 1. We were also able to evaluate whether the probability of schizophrenia association in cell type y is greater than would be expected based solely on the expression in cell type x by asking whether the actual association p-value was lower than 95% of the bootstrapped p-values. As expected, all self-self comparisons were found to be non-significant by this metric (i.e. after accounting for expression in CA1 pyramidal neurons, CA1 pyramidal neurons are no longer significant). In Figure 4a, a red box was placed around the CA1 Pyramidal vs Somatosensory Pyramidal square because this was the only comparison involving the four significantly associated cell types in which controlling for expression of a different cell type abolished the enrichment.

Venn diagram enrichments

The Venn diagram shown in Figure 5 was generated using by selecting the top 1000 genes most associated with schizophrenia based on the MAGMA gene specific Z-scores. All genes within the extended MHC region (chr6 25-34mb) were dropped from the analysis. We controlled for gene size and gene density by regressing out the effect of NSNPS and NDENSITY parameters (and the log of each) on the Z-score. We then took the intersection of the top 1000 genes with the top decile for each of the four significantly associated level 1 cell types and generated the Venn diagram using the R VennDiagram package. The dopamine gene set include all genes associated with any of the following GO terms: GO:0090494 ("dopamine uptake"), GO:0090493 ("catecholamine uptake"), GO:0051584 ("regulation of dopamine uptake involved in synaptic transmission"), GO:0032225 ("regulation of synaptic transmission, dopaminergic"), GO:0001963 ("synaptic transmission, dopaminergic") and GO:0015872 ("dopamine transport"). The synaptic gene list comprised a combination of three published gene lists: the human postsynaptic density (referenced above); presynaptic active vesicle docking sites⁶⁸ and synaptic vesicle genes⁶⁷. For the presynaptic gene list, the data came from Supplemental table S1, the geneInfo numbers were converted from genInfo accessions to Refseq IDs using Entrez Batch then from Rat RefSeq to HGNC symbols keeping only 1:1 homologs. The synaptic vesicle gene list came from Supplemental table S1, and were converted from Rat RefSeq to HGNC symbols using only 1:1 homologs. Enrichment probabilities were calculated using a hypergeometric test against a background set of all MGI genes with 1:1 homologs in human (as described above).

URLS

Expression Weighted Cell type Enrichment (EWCE), <u>https://github.com/NathanSkene/EWCE</u> Linnarsson lab data, <u>http://linnarssonlab.org/data</u> Mouse Genome Informatics, Jackson Laboratory, <u>http://www.informatics.jax.org/homology.shtml</u> LDSC, <u>https://github.com/bulik/ldsc</u> and <u>https://github.com/bulik/ldsc/wiki</u> PGC results, <u>https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads</u> AlzGene database, <u>http://www.alzgene.org/TopResult.asp</u> AlsGene database, <u>http://www.alsgene.org/TopResult.asp</u> GREAT, <u>http://great.stanford.edu/public/html</u> Hjerling-Leffler lab website, <u>http://www.hjerling-leffler-lab.org/data/scz_singlecell</u> Human Phenotype Ontology, <u>http://compbio.charite.de/hpoweb</u>

Data availability

The RNAseq data used in this report can be obtained from the Hjerling-Leffler lab website (URLs), and includes the KI single-cell RNAseq superset, processed versions of the human and mouse snRNAseq DroNc-seq data, and the Allan Brain Institute human snRNAseq data.