

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/109222/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Alsaeed, Meshari, Harding, Katharine, Williams, O. H., Willis, Mark, Hrastelj, James, Tallantyre, Emma, Joseph, Fady, Wardle, Mark, Pickersgill, T. P. and Robertson, Neil 2018. Multiple sclerosis: long-term outcomes in ethnic minorities. analysis of a UK population-based registry. European Journal of Neurology 25 (4), pp. 701-704. 10.1111/ene.13571

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ene.13571

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Multiple sclerosis: Long-term outcomes in ethnic minorities with MS: analysis of a UK population-based registry.

Meshari O Alsaeed¹, Katharine E Harding^{1;2;3}, Owain H Williams^{1;2}, Mark D Willis^{1;2}, James Hrastelj^{1;2}, Emma C Tallantyre², Fady G Joseph³, Mark Wardle², Trevor P Pickersgill², Neil P Robertson^{1;2}

- 1: Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Cardiff University, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XW
- 2: Helen Durham Centre for Neuroinflammatory Disease, Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XW
- 3: Department of Neurology, Royal Gwent Hospital, Cardiff Road, Newport, NP20 2UB

Corresponding author

Dr Katharine Harding
Institute for Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neuroscience,
Cardiff University
University Hospital of Wales,
Heath Park,
Cardiff CF14 4XW,
United Kingdom

Telephone: +44 (0)2920 743454

E-mail: katharineharding@doctors.org.uk

Word count: abstract 188, manuscript 1493

Keywords: multiple sclerosis, epidemiology

Abstract

Background: Multiple sclerosis (MS) is most frequent in Caucasian populations. However, studies of MS in other ethnic groups may offer unique insights into genetic and environmental influences on disease, and data on long-term outcomes in these patients is limited. We have investigated clinical features and time to disability milestones in ethnic minority (EM) patients with MS in a UK population and made comparisons to a Caucasian cohort from the same region.

Methods: 1949 MS patients (1866 Caucasian, 83 EM) were identified from a regional disease registry. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to analyse time to EDSS 3.0, 4.0 and 6.0.

Results: EM patients were younger at disease onset (28.6 years versus 32.8 years, p=0.001), and PPMS was less common (EM: 4.8%, Caucasian: 11.6%, p=0.03). After correction for clinical variables, ethnicity was associated with time to EDSS 3.0 (EM: hazard ratio [HR] 1.75, p<0.0001) and 4.0 (HR 1.46, p=0.03), but not 6.0 (HR 1.5, p=0.05).

Conclusions: EM patients reach early levels of fixed disability more rapidly than Caucasian patients, but this effect diminishes at later stages of disease. This has implications for clinical management of these patients.

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is most common in Caucasian populations. A few studies have attempted to examine MS in ethnic minority (EM) groups but have struggled to make effective comparisons because of small patient numbers, lack of comparative data, limited follow-up and additional confounding factors. In addition, analysis[1–10] has focused on early phases of disease,[1] cross-sectional analysis,[2,8–10] or specific subgroups.[3,5] Few have examined long-term outcomes,[4,6,7] and none of these have compared with a geographically matched Caucasian population. However, differences in disease frequency and phenotype may provide key insights into disease aetiology and disease trajectory. We have examined patterns of early disease and long-term outcomes in EM and Caucasian patients from a well-described population-based cohort from the United Kingdom.

Methods

Patient selection

The southeast Wales MS registry was established in 1985 from a cross sectional study[11] with prospective longitudinal data collected since 1999. Patients were included if they had a diagnosis of MS, ethnicity was recorded, and prospectively collected longitudinal data was available from disease onset, including relapses, disease course, and Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS)[12] scores. EM patients were also classified by place of birth. The South East Wales Ethics Committee approved the study (reference number 05/WSE03/111) and written informed consent is obtained from all patients.

Statistical analysis

Demographics and clinical features at disease onset were compared using chi-squared tests, Student's t test and one-way ANOVA. Time to EDSS 3.0, 4.0 and 6.0 was analysed using Cox proportional hazards regression, adjusting for age at onset, sex, and initial disease course. All analyses were undertaken using SPSS version 21.

Results

Demographics and clinical features

1866 Caucasian and 83 EM patients were identified (22 Afro-Caribbean, 48 Asian, and 13 Middle Eastern). 27 EM patients were born in Europe and 35 elsewhere. Country of birth was not available in 21.

Male:female ratio was similar in both groups (EM: 1.7:1, Caucasian: 2.3:1, p=0.10). EM patients were younger at disease onset (28.6 years versus 32.8 years, p=0.001). There was no difference in demographic features between EM subgroups or by country of birth.

PPMS was less common in EM patients (EM: 4.8%, Caucasian: 11.6%, p=0.03). There was no difference in annualised relapse rates (EM: 0.65, Caucasian: 0.55, p=0.08), or for symptoms at disease onset (Figure 1). No difference was identified for any clinical features between EM subgroups or by country of birth.

Prognosis

50 EM and 1417 Caucasian patients reached EDSS 3, 39 EM and 1313 Caucasian patients reached EDSS 4.0, and 28 EM and 1048 Caucasian patients reached EDSS 6.0. Age at onset

was associated with all endpoints, and disease course with time to EDSS 4.0 and 6.0. Ethnicity was associated with time to EDSS 3.0 (EM: hazard ratio [HR] 1.8 (1.3 – 2.3), p<0.0001), and 4.0 (EM: HR 1.5 (1.0–2.0), p=0.03). However this effect was not significant for time to EDSS 6.0 (EM: HR 1.5 (0.99–2.2), p=0.05)(Table 1).

Discussion

In this study we have identified a younger age at onset and reduced frequency of PPMS in EM patients, with no differences observed in other presenting features. We also identified differences by ethnicity in reaching EDSS 3.0 and EDSS 4.0, although this effect did not persist in later stages of disease.

The reason for the difference in outcomes is not clear, but could be genetic or environmental, or a combination of the two. It may also reflect variation in socioeconomic status, particularly for immigrants. The fact that the differences seen were limited to the earlier phases of disease is intriguing although larger studies are needed to confirm whether this was a power limitation at EDSS 6.

Early studies suggested a poorer outcome for African Americans with MS,[1,2,5] but these studies were limited by cross-sectional analysis methods and the inclusion of patients with optico-spinal MS, before neuromyelitis optica was recognised as a separate disease. More recent studies have found a time to EDSS 6.0 of 22 years in Hong Kong Chinese,[6] 25 years in Lebanese[4] and 26 years in Brazilian patients,[7] which are at the upper end of estimates in Caucasian populations.[13] Additionally a recent comparative study of Japanese and British patients with MS has shown a reduced frequency of PPMS and a lower MS severity score (MSSS) in Japanese patients, implying a better outcome than in British patients.[10]

For future studies, detailed data on family ancestry and geographical origin may be informative with regards to potential genetic admixing with different European populations, particularly in those of Afro-Caribbean descent. This information was not available for our cohort but would be of value in future studies.

Limitations of our study included the inability to examine outcomes in specific ethnic sub-groups as a result of limited patient numbers, the representation of only three EM groups and the lack of detailed long-term outcomes at very high disability score (i.e. EDSS 8.0 and death) which may have been informative. Defining differences in outcomes would clearly be of value in informing patient management in EM but is only likely to be achieved by the interrogation of very large combined datasets and would be an important future direction of research.

In conclusion, our study suggests that presenting features for patients with MS residing in a common geographical location is similar across ethnic groups. However, EM patients present earlier and accumulate early disability more rapidly than their Caucasian counterparts. These observations may be of importance for disease management in EM patients, in particular when considering early efficacy of disease-modifying therapies.

References

- [1] Cree B, Khan O, Bourdette D, et al. Clinical characteristics of African Americans vs Caucasian Americans with multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2004; 63:2039–2045.
- [2] Naismith R, Trinkaus K, Cross A. Phenotype and prognosis in African-Americans with multiple sclerosis: a retrospective chart review. Multiple Sclerosis 2006; 12:775–781.
- [3] Maghzi A, Etemadifar M, Saadatnia M. Clinical and demographical characteristics of primary progressive multiple sclerosis in Isfahan, Iran. European Journal of Neurology 2007; 14:403–407.
- [4] Yamout B, Barada W, Tohme R, Mehio-Sibai A, Khalifeh R, El-Hajj T. Clinical characteristics of multiple sclerosis in Lebanon. Journal of the Neurological Sciences 2008; 270(1-2):88–93.
- [5] Boster AL, Endress CF, Hreha SA, Caon C, Perumal JS, Khan OA. Pediatric-onset multiple sclerosis in African-American Black and European-Origin white patients. Paediatric Neurology 2009; 40(1):31–33.
- [6] Chan K, Tsang K, Ho P, et al. Clinical outcome of relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis among Hong Kong Chinese. Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery 2011; 113:617–622.
- [7] Damasceno A, Von Glehn F, Brando CO, Damasceno BP, Cendes F. Prognostic indicators for long-term disability in multiple sclerosis patients. Journal of the Neurological Sciences 2013; 324(1-2):29–33.
- [8] Berg-Hansen P, Smestad C, Sandvik L, Harbo HF, Celius EG. Increased disease severity in non-Western immigrants with multiple sclerosis in Oslo, Norway. European Journal of Neurology 2013; 20(12):1546–1552.
- [9] Koffman J, Gao W, Goddard C, et al. Progression, symptoms and psychosocial concerns among those severely affected by multiple sclerosis: A mixed-methods cross-sectional study of Black Caribbean and white British people. PLoS One 2013; 8(10):e75431.
- [10] Piccolo L, Kumar G, Nakashima I, et al. Multiple sclerosis in Japan appears to be a milder disease compared to the UK. Journal of Neurology 2015; 262(4):831–836.
- [11] Swingler RJ, Compston DA. The prevalence of multiple sclerosis in south east Wales. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1988; 51(12):1520–1524.
- [12] Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). Neurology 1983; 33(11):1444–1452.
- [13] Runmarker B, Andersen O. Prognostic factors in a multiple sclerosis incidence cohort with twenty-five years of follow-up. Brain 1993; 116(1):117–134.

Figures Figure 1: Symptoms at disease onset

Tables Table 1: Cox proportional hazards regression model

Clinical	EDSS 3.0		EDSS 4.0		EDSS 6.0	
variable	HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	р
Ethnicity:						
Caucasian	Reference		Reference		Reference	
EM	1.75	< 0.001	1.46	0.026	1.47	0.05
	(1.32-2.33)		(1.05-2.02)		(0.99-2.16)	
Age at	1.04	< 0.001	1.04	< 0.001	1.05	< 0.001
onset	(1.04-1.05)		(1.04-1.05)		(1.04-1.06)	
Sex	0.97	0.54	0.96	0.54	0.96	0.52
	(0.86-1.08)		(0.85-1.09)		(0.84-1.10)	
Disease	0.85	0.05	0.74	0.001	0.58	< 0.001
course	(0.72-1.00)		(0.62-0.88)		(0.48-0.69)	