Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/114446/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Mattos, Nathalia, Alves, Tiago ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2765-3760> and Scully, Aisling 2018. Structural and depositional controls on Plio-Pleistocene submarine channel geometry (Taranaki Basin, New Zealand). Basin Research 31 (1) , pp. 136-154. 10.1111/bre.12312 file

Publishers page: <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12312>
<<https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12312>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

Structural and depositional controls on Plio-Pleistocene submarine channel geometry (Taranaki Basin, New Zealand)

Nathalia H. Mattos^{1*}, Tiago M. Alves¹ and Aisling Scully²

¹3D Seismic Lab, School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University, Main Building-Park Place, CF10 3AT Cardiff, United Kingdom (mattosnh@cardiff.ac.uk)

²School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

Abstract

High-quality 3D seismic data are used to investigate the effect of the Parihaka Fault on the geometry of submarine channels in Northern Graben of the Taranaki Basin, New Zealand. The Parihaka Fault comprises four segments (S1 to S4) with variable displacements. As part of the Plio-Pleistocene Giant Foresets Formation, the older Channel Complex Systems 1 and 2 reveal a two-stage evolution: 1) a syn-tectonic depositional stage with channels incising the slope during early fault growth (~4.5 Ma) and 2) a stage of sediment bypass (~3 Ma) leading to the infill of hanging-wall depocentres. Channel Complex System 3 is syn-tectonic relative to segment S3 and was formed at ~ 2.5 Ma. We show that the successive generation of new fault segments towards the north controlled the formation of depocentres in the study area. This occurred in association to rotation and uplift of the footwall block of the Parihaka Fault and subsidence of its hanging-wall block, with fault activity controlling the orientation of channel systems. As a result, we observe three drainage types in the study area: oblique, transverse and parallel to the Parihaka Fault. This work is important as it shows that relay zones separating the Parihaka Fault segments had limited influence on the geometry and location of channel systems. Submarine channels were diverted from their original courses close to the Parihaka Fault and flowed transversally to fault segments instead of running through relay ramps, contrasting to what is often recorded in the literature. A plausible explanation for such a discrepancy relates to rapid progradation of the Giant Foresets Formation during the Plio-Pleistocene, with channel complexes becoming less confined, favouring footwall incision and basinward deposition of submarine fans.

Keywords: New Zealand; Taranaki Basin; Parihaka Fault; relay ramps; submarine channels; stacking patterns.

1. Introduction

Relay ramps are a type of transfer zone developed between overlapping, reoriented fault segments that dip in the same direction (Fossen and Rotevatn, 2016; Gawthorpe and Hurst, 1993; Long and Imber, 2011; Peacock et al., 2000; Peacock and Sanderson, 1991). Relay ramps are formed either by the propagation and nucleation of initially isolated fault segments (the so-called isolated fault model) or following coherent fault

models, in which the development of kinematically related segments result from the upward propagation of a reactivated fault (Childs et al., 2009; Giba et al., 2012).

Relay ramps are structures developed in rift basins that closely control the subaerial and subaqueous influx of sediment from footwall highs towards hanging-wall depocentres (Athmer et al., 2010; Athmer and Luthi, 2011; Fossen and Rotevatn, 2016; Gawthorpe and Hurst, 1993; Soreghan et al., 1999). Hence, the presence of relay ramps in tectonically active basins has a significant impact on sediment accommodation space, basin architecture and, consequently, on the deposition of reservoir units on hanging-wall depocentres (Athmer and Luthi, 2011; Gawthorpe and Hurst, 1993; Gupta et al., 1999; Ravnås and Steel, 1998). Relay ramps can change the course of fluvial systems by either obstructing their downslope flow or guiding sediment influx into alternative paths (Gee et al., 2007; Hopkins and Dawers, 2018). Previous studies have integrated observations of relay ramps from submarine settings with data from their subaerial (fluvial) to coastal analogues (Anderson et al., 2000; Athmer and Luthi, 2011; Mulrooney et al. 2018) to show the influence of faulting on the creation of accommodation space. However, the structural controls on accommodation and syn-rift deposition were only recently assessed in a submarine setting (Ge et al., 2017; Ge et al., 2018). This work aims at addressing the role of relay ramps as pathways for turbidity currents in an area with high-quality 3D seismic data.

In the Northern Graben of the Taranaki Basin, offshore New Zealand (Figs. 1a and 1b), a set of relay ramps was formed in the Late Cenozoic between four isolated fault segments of the Parihaka Fault (S1 to S4), with lengths varying from 8 km to 15 km (Giba et al., 2012). The Parihaka Fault is by itself part of the larger Cape Egmont Fault Zone and records: a) normal and left-lateral oblique reactivation during the Late Cretaceous, b) reverse displacement associated with the onset of andesitic volcanism in the Mid-Miocene, and c) oblique-slip movement during Plio-Pleistocene back-arc extension, which culminated in the opening of the Northern Graben (Giba et al., 2010; Hansen and Kamp, 2004a; King, 2000; King and Thrasher, 1996; Nodder, 1993) (Figs. 2a and 2b). High sedimentation rates accompanied the reactivation of the Parihaka Fault in the Plio-Pleistocene and led to the deposition of the Giant Foresets Formation, a thick syn-rift sequence comprising clinoforms incised by Pleistocene submarine channels (King and Thrasher, 1996; Salazar et al., 2016) (Fig. 2c).

This study investigates the control relay ramps exerted on the deposition of Late Pliocene strata in the Northern Graben, where laterally and vertically stacked submarine channels are identified within the Giant Foresets Formation (Fig. 2c). The identification of different drainage types in the Parihaka 3D seismic volume is complemented with the interpretation of channel geometries, reservoir prediction within channelised units, and by addressing the influence of the relay ramps on depositional systems in the Taranaki Basin. The relationship between different drainage types and regional faulting, as established in this work, will contribute to a more complete understanding of how fault systems control submarine channel geometries.

2. Geological Setting

The Taranaki Basin is a Cretaceous-Holocene asymmetric basin located to the west of New Zealand over an area of ~100,000 km² (King and Thrasher, 1996). The Taranaki Fault bounds the Taranaki Basin to the east

(Holt and Stern, 1991) (Fig. 1a). The western and southern limits of the Taranaki Basin are, respectively, the Challenger Plateau and the sub-basins of the South Island (Fig. 1a). To the northwest of the study area, the Taranaki Basin terminates in the New Caledonian Basin (King and Thrasher, 1996).

The Cape Egmont Fault Zone divides the Taranaki Basin into the relatively undeformed Western Stable Platform and the tectonically active Eastern Mobile Belt (King and Thrasher, 1996; Nodder, 1993) (Fig. 1b). The Eastern Mobile Belt comprises a Northern Graben, a Central Graben, and the buried Miocene Mohakatino Volcanic Centre (Hansen and Kamp, 2004a; Neall et al., 1986; Stagpoole and Funnell, 2001). The complex deformation history of the Eastern Mobile Belt includes extensional episodes that were concomitant with basin subsidence, and compression between the Australian and Pacific plates occurring from Late Cretaceous to Early Eocene (Giba et al., 2010; Holt and Stern, 1991; Holt and Stern, 1994; King and Thrasher, 1996; Nicol et al., 2005; Stagpoole and Nicol, 2008).

Oligocene subsidence chiefly affected the eastern part of the Taranaki Basin. During the earliest Miocene, basin subsidence gave way to compression resulting from westward thrusting along the Taranaki Fault (Kamp et al., 2004a; Kamp et al., 2004b; King, 2000; King and Thrasher, 1996; Nicol et al., 2004). Andesitic volcanic complexes were active in the Taranaki Basin from ~ 12 Ma to 6 - 4.8 Ma, resulting in the formation of the Mohakatino Volcanic Centre (Hansen and Kamp, 2004a). A high degree of uncertainty for the ages of volcanism in the Taranaki Basin results from the absence of continuously cored boreholes; these would allow the identification of stratal relations between volcanic and sedimentary units (Hansen and Kamp, 2004a).

Shortening in the south and extension in the north of the Taranaki Basin occurred concomitantly from the Miocene to the Plio-Pleistocene (Giba et al., 2010; Holt and Stern, 1994; Stagpoole and Nicol, 2008). Back-arc extension during the Early Pliocene led to the formation of the Northern Graben, an NNE-SSW fan-shaped graben delimited by the Cape Egmont Fault Zone to the west and by the Turi Fault Zone to the east (Giba et al., 2010; King, 2000; King and Thrasher, 1996) (Fig. 1b).

The Cape Egmont Fault Zone extends for more than 200 km along the western portion of the Taranaki Basin, comprising normal, reverse and *en echelon* faults (King and Thrasher, 1996; Nodder, 1993). The Parihaka Fault is one of the main structures of the Cape Egmont Fault Zone, consisting of four individual fault segments (S1 to S4 from south to north, Fig. 2a) that are 8 km to 15 km long, for a total length of approximately 50 km (Giba et al., 2012) (Figs. 1b and 2a). The southern segments of the Cape Egmont Fault Zone (S1 and S2) are hard-linked at Late Cretaceous level (Figs. 2b and 2c). Early Pliocene (3.7 Ma) back-arc extension reactivated segments S1 and S2 with a maximum vertical displacement of 600 m and, at the same time, formed the NE-striking segments to the north of the Cape Egmont Fault Zone (S3 and S4, Fig. 1b).

The reactivation of segments S1 and S2 ceased during the Pleistocene, whilst segments S3 and S4 continued to propagate in the Holocene, resulting in vertical displacements of up to 1450 m for segment S4 (Giba et al., 2012). Relay ramps were developed between segments S3 and S4 according to the coherent fault growth model of Walsh et al. (2003) (Fig. 2a). Thus, variations in fault strikes resulted in important rotation of the fault surface, producing a three-dimensional sigmoidal shape in cross-section (Fig. 2c). The growth of the Parihaka Fault along segments S3 and S4 was accommodated by later displacement and rotation of relay zones (Giba et al., 2012).

112 The Miocene – Quaternary stratigraphy of the Taranaki Basin is shown in detail in Figure 3. Miocene
113 units are associated with the regressive Wai-ti Group and volcanoclastic sediments from the Mohakatino
114 Formation (King and Thrasher, 1996). In the Northern Graben, the Plio-Pleistocene Rotokare Group includes
115 prograding fan deposits of the basal Mangaa Formation, plus shelf and slope sediments of the Giant Foresets
116 Formation (Hansen and Kamp, 2002). The studied interval is part of the Giant Foresets Formation, a ~2000
117 m-thick unit comprising fine sands and muds, characterised on seismic data by the presence of clinoforms and
118 incised submarine channels (Hansen and Kamp, 2002, King and Thrasher, 1996; Salazar et al., 2016). High
119 sedimentation rates recorded within the Giant Foresets Formation were responsible for preserving the growth
120 history of the Parihaka Fault segment during the Plio-Pleistocene (King and Thrasher, 1996).

121

122 3. Data and Methods

123

124 3.1. Dataset

125 The Parihaka 3D volume covers a total area of 1,520 km² of the Taranaki Basin, at a maximum water depth
126 of 150 m (Fig. 1b). The study area corresponds to a polygon with 705 km² around the Parihaka Fault segments
127 (Fig. 2a). The zero-phased seismic dataset was acquired with an 8 x 4500 m array of streamers and later
128 resampled at 4 ms TWT intervals. High-resolution Radon Transform linear noise attenuation, TAU-P domain
129 deconvolution, and NMO corrections to the 60-fold coverage of the seismic trace preceded the processing of
130 the seismic volume. A Kirchhoff time migration algorithm was then applied to 1 km grids. The final output is
131 a stacked data volume with 12.5 x 12.5 m bins. Interpreted seismic profiles are displayed in normal SEG
132 convention, with amplitude peaks shown in red and amplitude troughs in blue (see inset on Fig. 2c).

133 Well Arawa-1 was plugged and abandoned in the centre of the Parihaka 3D volume, and reached total depth
134 of 3055 m (Arco, 1992). A dominant frequency of 40 Hz and an average velocity of 2000 m/s for the Plio-
135 Pleistocene interval (Giba et al., 2012; Salazar et al., 2016) correspond to a vertical resolution of 12.5 m.

136 3.2. Channel interpretation methods

137 Seismic interpretation included fault and horizon mapping in Schlumberger's Petrel[®]. Interpreted horizons
138 were numbered in chronological order from oldest to youngest, prefixed by the letter H (e.g., H₁, H₂, H₃).
139 Horizons at the base and top of the main channel section (within H₂ and H₃) were labelled BC and TC,
140 respectively (Fig. 2c). Biostratigraphical markers of Crundwell et al. (2004) and Morgans (2006) were used to
141 correlate the stratigraphic interval of interest, which corresponds to strata with ages ranging from 4.5 to 2.4
142 Ma (seismic units SU2 and SU5 in Salazar et al., 2016).

143 Time-structural and thickness maps for horizons BC and TC were also generated (Fig. 4). In this work,
144 velocity data were used to depth-convert the TWT thickness maps. Individual channels and channel complex
145 systems were identified on seismic profiles as isolated or stacked U- and V- shaped erosional features based
146 on amplitude contrasts between the channel-fill and its surroundings (Deptuck et al., 2003; Posamentier and
147 Kolla, 2003).

148 The bounding surfaces of four large-scale submarine channel complex systems (Channel Complex Systems
149 1-4) were mapped using the procedure described by [Deptuck et al. \(2003\)](#). This procedure consists in the
150 identification of the lowermost continuous channel incision surface on seismic profiles. Channel complexes
151 represent groups of discrete submarine channels, while channel complex systems represent confined groups of
152 channel complexes ([Mayall et al., 2006](#)). Submarine channels' hierarchical frameworks were thus identified
153 based on: a) the geometry of channel fill strata, b) vertical and lateral channel stacking patterns, and c) the
154 relative distribution of their bounding surfaces ([Abreu et al., 2003](#); [Clark and Pickering, 1996](#); [Mayall et al.,](#)
155 [2006](#)). In addition, confined channels tend to exhibit important vertical stacking, whereas sinuous and less
156 confined channels tend to show lateral stacking ([Abreu et al., 2003](#); [Clark and Pickering, 1996](#)). Distinct
157 stacking patterns in submarine channels result from gradual to abrupt shifts in their position(s).

158 The Parihaka 3D seismic volume was flattened to remove discontinuities and reveal periods of syn-
159 depositional fault growth, thus highlighting the relationship between submarine channels and the Parihaka
160 Fault (*cf.* [Lee, 2001](#); [Lomask, 2003](#)). Faults bounding the relay ramps were identified on the seismic lines as
161 overlapping, laterally continuous structures ([Long and Imber, 2011](#)) (Fig. 2c). We used horizon TC, with an
162 estimated age of 2.45 Ma based on foraminifera samples ([Salazar et al., 2016](#)), as the reference horizon to
163 flatten the seismic volume.

164 Horizon TC post-dates the onset of reactivation of the Parihaka Fault during the Plio-Pleistocene, allowing
165 us to investigate the influence of this structure on Channel System Complexes 1 and 2. In order to include
166 Channel System 3 in this analysis, we flattened the seismic cube using the top prograding horizon H₃ (1.26
167 Ma) as reference, as this channel system incises horizon TC (Fig. 2c).

168 The three-dimensional geometry of channels at depth was investigated using a quantitative approach. The
169 distribution of channel system complexes was measured in relation to channel points (CP) located at the base
170 of the channels ([Gamboa et al., 2012](#)) (Fig. 5a). The channel points (CP) method is scale- and survey-
171 independent, consisting in the plotting of CPs at the base of channels on variance time slices. The maximum
172 channel width between each sides of the channel complex system, and the maximum channel height measured
173 from the top to the base of the channel complex system, were computed for each channel point. We used a
174 spacing of 25 ms TWT between each time slice, at a depth varying between 864 ms and 2616 ms TWT. These
175 depths correspond to the shallowest and the deepest submarine channels on the variance slices (Figs. 5b – 5e).
176 The location of the channel points (X, Y, and Z) were exported into ArcMap and gridded using the ordinary
177 kriging method with a polygon delimiting the study area. A channel point density map based on the overall
178 spatial arrangement of the CPs was thus created to highlight the degree of variability in channel clustering and
179 distribution.

180

181 4. Geometry of the main channel sequence and interaction with the Parihaka Fault segments

182

183 The interval of interest to this work is bounded at its base and top by horizons BC and TC (Figs. 2c and
184 4a). It is part of the Giant Foresets Formation (Fig. 3). Horizon BC is a medium- to high-amplitude positive
185 reflection, continuous on the footwall of the Parihaka Fault, and offset by faults on its hanging-wall (Figs. 2c

186 and 4a). The depth of Horizon BC ranges between 1250 ms and 1500 ms TWT on the footwall block, and
187 between 2150 ms and 2250 ms TWT on the hanging-wall block, with an overall dip to the E and NE,
188 respectively (Fig. 4a). Horizon BC correlates with the base of seismic unit SU2 in Salazar et al. (2016), dated
189 as 4.5 Ma using foraminifera (Morgans, 2006).

190 Horizon TC is a medium- to high-amplitude positive reflection incised by small-scale channel tributaries
191 and is offset by the Parihaka Fault (Figs. 2c and 4b). The base of horizon TC ranges in depth between 900 ms
192 and 1050 ms TWT on the footwall block, and 950 ms and 1450 ms TWT on the hanging-wall block (Fig 4b).
193 It corresponds to the top of the seismic unit SU5 of Salazar et al. (2016), with an assigned age of ~ 2.45 Ma
194 (Morgans, 2006). The thickness of the main channel sequence, between horizons BC and TC, varies from 350
195 m to 400 m on the footwall block (Fig. 4c). The thickness of this same interval reaches its lowest value (200 -
196 250 m) along the discrete Parihaka Fault segments. On the hanging-wall block, two thickness maxima are
197 observed between horizons BC and TC (500 – 800 m). They are referred herein as depocentres 1 and 2 (Fig.
198 4c).

199 The interval between BC and TC comprises high-amplitude prograding reflections incised either by single
200 channel complex sets with a large erosional base, or by multiple basal tributaries with widths up to 1 km. These
201 tributaries converge to form a large channel complex system (Fig. 6). Channel fill deposits are low- to medium-
202 amplitude, chaotic and discontinuous reflections (Fig. 6). Evidence for vertical and lateral stacking of the
203 channel bodies is observed throughout the study area (Fig. 6). Four channel complex systems, named 1 to 4,
204 were thus identified (Figs. 7 to 10).

205

206 4.1. Channel Complex System 1

207

208 Channel Complex System 1 is a ~27 km long conduit offset by segment S1 (Figs. 7a and 7b). This channel
209 complex system extends beyond the limits of the seismic volume. The erosive base of the channel complex
210 system is observed on seismic at a maximum depth of 1100 ms TWT below the sea floor. Isolated channels
211 with widths up to 1 km and thicknesses of up to 200 m are recognised as tributaries to this channel system on
212 the footwall block (Fig. 6a).

213 Segment S1 shows a horsetail geometry in the southern section (section A-B, Fig. 6a), comprising faults
214 initiated below horizon BC that propagate to a depth of 1250 ms TWT. Lateral migration at the base of this
215 channel complex system indicates a deflection of the channel course, and vertically stacked channel complex
216 deposits are observed on the hanging-wall block (Fig. 6a).

217 The channel complex sets in the central profiles (profiles C-D and E-F; Figs. 6b and 6c) are characterised
218 by planar to slightly concave-upward basal surfaces in a multistorey (nested) offset stacking arrangement. In
219 section E-F, Channel Complex System 1 reaches its maximum width (10.4 km), as deposits seem to be less
220 confined, a character suggesting the deposition of multiple submarine fans. In the northern section, the erosive
221 base of Channel Complex System 1 is clearer than in section E-F, and multiple planar to slightly concave

upward lobate surfaces are observed (section G-H; Fig. 6d). The main channel direction in the footwall block is SW-NE, with two main branches forming angles of 44° and 65° with respect to segment S1 (Fig. 7a). A third tributary is perpendicular to the trace of segment S1, whereas the northernmost tributary of Channel Complex System 1 forms an angle of 85° to this segment (Fig. 7a). This channel system is oblique in relation to S1. Channel Complex System 1 changes its sinuosity to the SE of the study area, where meandering channels are observed on the hanging-wall block (Figs. 7a and 7b).

Confluence of these branches occurs on the fault-bounded slope, and a single conduit is observed on the hanging-wall block ranging in width from 0.9 km to 3.3 km. Away from S1, this channel system reaches thicknesses of up to 350 metres (Fig. 7b), coinciding with the location of Depocentre 1 (Fig. 4c). In the unflattened seismic section a similar channel-fill reflection pattern, with continuity interrupted by S1, is observed on both the footwall and hanging-wall blocks (Fig. 7c). In the flattened seismic section, using horizon TC as a reference, the removal of segment S1 allows the reconstruction of the original position of Channel Complex System 1, suggesting that reactivation of S1 around 3.7 Ma generated accommodation space on its immediate hanging-wall block (Fig 7d).

4.2. Channel Complex System 2

Channel Complex System 2 is a ~15 km-long channel complex system located in the central part of the study area, within the limits of the Parihaka 3D volume (Fig. 8). The confined base of this channel complex system initially forms an angle of 61° to the NNE-striking segment S2 on the footwall block. It then forms a perpendicular angle to S2 on the hanging-wall block (Figs. 8a and 8b). This channel system is thus classified as being transverse to S2. Channel orientation down-dip varies from SW-NE to W-E, adjacent to S2, to SW-NE towards the limits of the seismic survey (Fig. 8b).

Channel Complex System 2 shows similar sinuosity to Channel Complex System 1, i.e. it is sinuous on the footwall and meandering on the hanging-wall (Figs. 8a and 8b). When compared to Channel Complex System 1, its vertical stacking becomes less marked near the Parihaka Fault (Fig. 6c). On the hanging-wall, the channel complex system becomes less confined towards the NE (Fig. 8b) where a maximum thickness of 300 m is observed. Accommodation space was created during the reactivation of S2, as this fault segment is continuous on the seismic profiles flattened at horizon TC (Figs. 8c and 8d). The geometry of the relay ramp developed between segments S2 and S3 shows rotation of S2 in relation to the footwall and the hanging-wall blocks (Fig. 6c), with subsequent development of horsetail splays at its upper tip, offsetting Channel System 2.

4.3. Channel Complex System 3

257 Channel Complex System 3 is >15 km long and located in the northern part of the study area (Figs. 9a
258 and 9b). The base of this channel complex system incises horizon TC close to segment S3 (Fig. 9a). It then
259 migrates towards the NE, where it deepens to 1450 ms TWT (Fig. 9b). This channel system is characterised
260 by two tributaries that form angles of 71° and 60° relative to the NNE-striking segment S3 (Fig. 9a).

261 Channel Complex System 3 is an example of a channel system transverse to S3 (Figs. 9a and 9b). Channel
262 confluence occurs on the fault-bounded slope formed between the footwall and hanging-wall blocks, and
263 Channel Complex System 3 becomes perpendicular to the Parihaka Fault towards the east, where it reaches its
264 maximum thickness (300 m). In contrast to Channel Complex Systems 1 and 2, this channel system does not
265 show a dramatic decrease in confinement close to depocentre 1.

266 A ~ 4 km width relay ramp comprising segments S2 and S3 of the Parihaka Fault is observed to the south
267 of Channel Complex System 3 (see section E-F, Fig. 6c), whereas a ~ 500 m width relay ramp formed between
268 segments S3 and S4 occurs to the north (see section G-H, Fig. 6d). Despite the occurrence of these two relay
269 ramps, Channel Complex System 3 flows transversally to S3. Channel Complex System 3 is mostly sinuous,
270 on both the footwall and hanging-wall blocks (Figs. 9a and 9b).

271 As Channel Complex System 3 incises horizon TC, and is thus younger than 2.5 Ma, we flattened the
272 seismic profile in Fig. 9c using horizon H₃ (1.26 Ma) as reference. The flattening reveals a continuous S3, and
273 suggests that the development and growth of this fault segment had an important role in the creation of
274 accommodation space later filled by Channel Complex System 3 (Fig. 9d).

275

276 4.4. Channel Complex System 4

277

278 Channel Complex System 4 is a >18 km long meandering channel complex system located in the northern
279 part of the study area. It incises a prograding sequence on the footwall block (Fig. 10a). This channel complex
280 system is not offset by any of the Parihaka Fault segments. However, at a distance of 2 km from S3, the erosive
281 base of the channel changes from SW to NW, following a direction that is initially parallel to S3 to then trends
282 53° to NW.

283 Channel System 4 remains mostly confined and reaches a maximum thickness of 300 m towards the end
284 of the seismic survey (Fig. 10b). This conduit was filled in a series of multistorey nested offset to vertically
285 stacked channel complex sets, before changing its course to NW (Figs. 6c and 10c).

286

287

288 5. Channel density in the study area

289 Channel Point (CP) distribution maps (Fig. 5b to 5e), and corresponding density plots (Fig. 11), reveal
290 that channels occur predominantly between 864 ms and 1152 ms TWT on the footwall block (Figs. 5b and 11).
291 Channel Points for Channel Complex System 4 are concentrated in the interval between 1152 ms and 1440 ms
292 TWT (Fig. 5c). A large channel is observed in the southwestern portion of the study area at a depth ranging

293 from 1440 ms to 1728 ms TWT (Fig. 5d). Channel points on the hanging-wall block predominate at depths
294 ranging from 1152 ms to 1440 ms TWT and 1440 ms to 1728 ms TWT (Figs. 5c and 5d). Only a small number
295 of channels were recorded between 1728 ms and 2016 ms TWT (Fig. 5e).

296 Two areas of relatively high channel concentration are observed on density point data (Fig. 11). The first
297 area corresponds to the position of Channel Complex System 4 on the footwall block. The second area is
298 located adjacently to the hanging-wall of S2, in the position of Channel Complex System 2 (Fig. 11). Figure
299 11 also shows a medium channel density in the areas corresponding to Channels Systems 1 and 3. Channel
300 density is low adjacently to the three relay ramps developed between the Parihaka Fault segments (Fig. 11).

301

302 6. Discussion

303 6.1. The Parihaka Fault and associated drainage systems

304 In this work, two factors emerge as major controls on the creation of hanging-wall depocentres: 1) the
305 reactivation of the Parihaka Fault segments S1 and S2, and the later development of S3 and S4, and 2) the high
306 sedimentation rates recorded in the Taranaki Basin during the Plio-Pleistocene. These two controls are
307 interpreted to have influenced channel evolution and distribution at different scales (Fig. 12). In the study area,
308 three main drainage types related to the orientation of fault segments are observed: oblique, transverse, and
309 parallel drainage (Fig. 12). The distribution, position and thickness of each of these drainage types were
310 controlled by the orientation of the fault segments, fault displacement and tectonic subsidence rates (Eliet and
311 Gwathorpe, 1995; Ge et al., 2017; Henstra et al., 2016; Morgan, 2004; Ravnås and Steel, 1998; Soreghan et
312 al., 1999).

313 Channel Complex System 1 is an example of an oblique drainage system where its largest tributary,
314 located in the southern part of the study area, forms an angle of 44° relative to S1 (Figs. 7a and 12). Two other
315 tributaries of this channel system, forming angles of 65° and 71° relative to segment S1, are also identified on
316 the footwall block (Fig. 7b). On the hanging-wall block, multistorey vertically and laterally nested channel
317 complex sets are observed (Fig. 7c).

318 The flattened seismic section in Fig. 7d suggests that Channel Complex System 1 was initially developed
319 in the southern part of the study area, before S1 was reactivated in the Early Pliocene. However, from Fig. 12
320 one can also observe a clear relationship between the angles between tributaries in Channel Complex System
321 1 and segment S1. Fault throw is also larger towards the centre of the fault, where channel tributaries converge,
322 indicating that the reactivation of segment S1 affected the channels (Fig. 12) by creating accommodation space
323 on the hanging-wall block (Fig. 4c).

324 Channel Complex System 2 is an example of a transverse drainage system showing deflection of its initial
325 path towards the trace of segments S2 and S3 (Fig. 8). Deflections in Channel Complex System 2 reveal that
326 channel evolution was controlled by fault orientation and uplift and rotation of the footwall block (Hubbard et
327 al., 2014; Soreghan et al., 1999). The seismic profile flattened on horizon TC shows a continuous segment S2
328 at 2.45 Ma, suggesting that it was active at this time and contributing to the creation of accommodation space
329 on the hanging-wall block (Fig. 8d). It also indicates that downslope progradation of the Giant Foresets

330 Formation sediments, downstream of segments S1 and S2, occurred in at least two phases when considering
331 Channel Complex Systems 1 and 2. The first phase (~4.5 Ma) is syn-tectonic and related to incision of the
332 fault-bounded slope between the footwall and hanging-wall blocks, corresponding to the early stages of fault
333 growth and subsidence of the Northern Graben (Giba et al., 2012). The second phase (~3 Ma) is related to a
334 relative sea level lowstand and is characterised by sediment bypass towards the basin depocentre (Salazar et
335 al., 2016). It deposited relatively less confined, thicker deposits (Figs. 8c and 8d).

336 Channel Complex System 3 is another example of a transverse drainage system. In contrast to Channel
337 Complex System 2, this channel system incises horizon TC with two tributaries that converge on the slope of
338 S3. Such a geometry indicates that the deposition of Channel Complex System 3 occurred during the Late
339 Pliocene, whereas Channel Complex Systems 1 and 2 developed during the Early Pliocene. The geometry of
340 Channel Complex System 3 highlights the active growth of segment S3 (Fig. 9).

341 Transverse channel migration was responsible for both lateral migration and vertical stacking of channels
342 in the study area. The syn-tectonic evolution of Channel Complex Systems 2 and 3, due to reactivation of S2
343 and onset of S3 is characteristic of half-graben systems showing transverse drainage systems, and common in
344 areas of active extensional tectonics (Ge et al., 2017; Ravnås and Steel, 1998). An analogue example is
345 observed in the Niger Delta, where half-grabens have continuously controlled the position and distribution of
346 turbidite channel complexes in proximal areas of the Nigeria's continental slope (Morgan, 2004).

347 Channel Complex System 4 is located on the footwall block of the Parihaka Fault, with an initial SW-NE
348 direction (Figs. 10a and 10b). Instead of following oblique to transverse pathways in relation to the fault trace,
349 Channel Complex System 4 is deflected in the proximity of S3. The movement of S3 and S4 in the northern
350 part of the study area resulted in the rotation and uplift of the footwall block close to the fault scarp. This is
351 the main cause for the diversion of Channel Complex System 4 to the NW of the study area (Figs. 10a and
352 10b).

353 Local subsidence along the Parihaka Fault is interpreted from the sediment thickness map extracted
354 between horizons BC and TC, where two depocentres were developed on the hanging-wall block towards the
355 NE (Fig. 4c). The generation of accommodation space in Depocentres 1 and 2, and the large volume of
356 sediment accumulated on the hanging-wall block, indicate the Parihaka Fault controlled the distribution of
357 sediments in the study area. Relative isolation of the footwall block and marked thickening of strata on the
358 hanging-wall block are particularly observed along the Early Pliocene - Recent segments S3 and S4.

359 The sinuosity values observed for Channel Complex Systems 1 to 3 indicate that channels are more
360 sinuous on the footwall block. On the hanging-wall block, channels vary from straight to meandering and show
361 a complex geometry, with complex vertical and lateral stacking patterns in channel complex sets. Changes in
362 the dip directions of channel incisions due to an increase in the slope (Deptuck et al., 2003; Gee and Gawthorpe,
363 2006) are also observed. This occurs due to the levelling out of the hanging-wall slope as strata thickens
364 (Gawthorpe and Leeder, 2000), favouring progradation in areas of high sediment supply.

365

366 *6.2. Limited influence of relay ramps on sediment sourcing into hanging-wall deposition*

367 Displacement accommodation between individual fault segments is often enhanced by the formation of
368 intrabasin transfer zones or relay ramps, especially when the geometry of faults follows an en echelon stepping
369 pattern (Leeder and Gawthorpe, 1987). Intrabasin relay ramps may influence sedimentation trends on a local
370 scale to act as pathways for turbidity currents, especially in transverse drainage systems (Anderson et al., 2000;
371 Athmer et al., 2010; Fugelli and Olsen, 2007; Gawthorpe and Hurst, 1993; Ravnås and Steel, 1998; Rotevatn
372 et al., 2007; Young et al., 2001). A decrease in elevation towards the ramp is normally associated with
373 intrabasin transfer zones (Gawthorpe and Hurst, 1993; Paul and Mitra, 2013). Individual transfer zone
374 morphologies, however, are poorly constrained on subsurface data (Gawthorpe and Hurst, 1993; Gibbs, 1989).

375 The relay ramps developed between the Parihaka Fault segments are well-preserved in our seismic
376 volume. Giba et al. (2012) examined the kinematic evolution of the relay zone developed between segments
377 S1 and S2, which shows an overlap of 2.8 km. The transfer zone developed between segments S2 and S3 of
378 the Parihaka Fault is fully breached and could act as a conduit for sediment flow onto the hanging wall. One
379 could expect Channel Complex System 3 to follow this relay ramp. However, Channel Complex System flows
380 transversally to S3 instead of flowing towards the relay ramp, forming a more abrupt sediment pathway
381 towards the hanging-wall block. This likely occurred due to the effectiveness of the channel systems in
382 containing sediment flow while incising the slope.

383 The geometry of relay ramps in the study area is consistent with the axial through-drainage facies model
384 of Leeder and Gawthorpe (1987), in which mini-grabens developed in the zone between two *en echelon* normal
385 faults confine the channel deposits as vertically-stacked units bounded by faults. However, the transverse
386 orientation of the channels flowing towards the Parihaka Fault segments, the absence of interpreted submarine
387 fans on the seismic profiles, and cross-correlations between channel-density (Fig. 11) and thickness data (Fig.
388 4c), do not show a concentration of channels around the transfer zones to support the trapping of channel
389 deposits by relay ramps.

390 A ~ 500 m width transfer zone developed between segments S3 and S4 was identified as an unbreached
391 relay ramp, as these segments are not linked at depth (Fig. 6d). Its development resulted from the large
392 displacement of the northern section of the fault system due to its sub-optimal strike in relation to regional
393 extension. The large displacement recorded in the northern part of the study area led to repeated rotation of
394 beds in the relay ramp, and significant hanging-wall deformation (Giba et al., 2012), a factor accounting for
395 the lower gradient slope and offset in this zone. The submarine channels surrounding the ramp do not show a
396 clear convergence of footwall drainage systems flowing through the relay ramp, preferentially feeding into the
397 hanging wall sub-basin.

398

399 6.3. Channel deposit thickness and implications for petroleum systems

400 While significant subsidence occurred in the Taranaki Basin during the Cretaceous-Eocene (Giba et al.,
401 2012; King and Thrasher, 1996), this study focuses on the Pliocene syn-rift phase, in which submarine channel
402 complex systems were able to form. The thickness map of the main channel section (between horizons BC and
403 TC; Fig. 4c) and seismic profiles in Figs. 7 to 10 indicate that the accommodation space was primarily located

on the hanging-wall block of the Parihaka Fault system, where two depocentres were formed to the NE of the study area (Fig. 4c). However, the great thickness of the hanging-wall block is not reflected in the channel density plot (Fig. 11), where channel density is shown to be larger around Channel Complex Systems 2 and 4. Evidence for channels close to relay ramps is scarce both on the channel density plot (Fig. 11) and on the isochron map in Fig. 4c. This latter observation proves the minor influence that relay ramps had on channel configuration (Fig. 11).

Fault activity in the Cape Egmont Fault Zone may have an important control on petroleum system in the North Taranaki Graben. The deposition of the Giant Foresets Formation during the Pliocene was synchronous with the growth of the Parihaka Fault and subsequent generation of accommodation space on the hanging-wall block, resulting in the formation of two depocentres in the NE. Vertically and laterally stacked channel deposits of Channel Complex Systems 2 and 3, on the hanging-wall of the Parihaka Fault, comprise a potential reservoir for hydrocarbons generated in the Moki Formation. As thick channel deposits are observed on the hanging-wall block, they will be important for the development of petroleum systems in the northern Taranaki Basin (Armstrong et al., 1996; Funnell et al., 1996; Hansen and Kamp, 2004b; Webster et al., 2011).

The rapid deposition of sediment in the Giant Foresets Formation can either influence the migration of hydrocarbons or comprise a potential hydrocarbon reservoir seal (Stagpoole and Funnell, 2001). Rapid sediment accumulation rates during basin development may also contribute to increasing thermal gradients, hydrocarbon maturation and migration through a petroleum system (Spencer, 1987). However, no evidence for fluid accumulations were found in the interpreted seismic data. The well Arawa-1 recorded three significant dry gas peaks at the top of the Moki Formation, below the interval of interest of this work (Arco, 1992). Based on the interactions between tectonic subsidence and fast sedimentation related to the opening of the Northern Graben we can infer any fluids generated in the study area migrated upwards along the Parihaka Fault.

426

427 7. Conclusions

The importance of structural controls on submarine channel deposits motivated the analysis of the Parihaka 3D seismic volume as a case study that can be extrapolated to other areas where faulting influences the geometry of channel drainage networks. In parallel, this study aimed at evaluating the location of large depocentres on the hanging-wall block of the Parihaka Fault, some with potential reservoir intervals. The main conclusions of this work can be summarised as follows:

- 433 a) The reactivation of segments S1 and S2 in the Parihaka Fault (Early Pliocene to Pleistocene) and the
434 formation of segments S3 and S4 from 2.4 Ma until the present day, generated important accommodation
435 space. This is demonstrated by the formation of two large depocentres on the hanging-wall block of the
436 Parihaka Fault.
- 437 b) Transverse, oblique and parallel drainage types were developed relative to the Parihaka Fault. Channel
438 Complex System 1, located in the southern part of the study area, is an example of a drainage system
439 flowing obliquely to S1 during the reactivation of this segment. Channel Complex Systems 2 and 3 initially

flow obliquely to the Parihaka Fault and are later diverted transversally, incising segments S2 and S3. Channel Complex System 4 flows parallel to the fault trace on the footwall block due to footwall uplift associated with segments S3 and S4.

c) Relay Ramps were developed between distinct fault segments. However, submarine channels did not use the relay ramps as main pathways for the sediments. Channel Complex Systems 2 and 3, for instance, changed their original courses and flowed transversally relative to the Parihaka Fault trace, instead of flowing through the relay ramps.

d) Channel systems are concentrated on both the footwall and hanging-wall blocks of the Northern Graben, with two depocentres identified in the NE. We propose that Channel Complex System 2, on the footwall block, comprises an important hydrocarbon reservoir in the study area. Vertically- and laterally-stacked channel complex systems, where the progradational sequences of the Giant Foresets Formation rapidly accumulated on the hanging-wall block, may also comprise competent reservoir units in the study area.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the New Zealand Petroleum Board for the use of data included in this paper. The first author would like to acknowledge CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brazil) for the PhD grant no. 99999.000877/2014-00. Schlumberger is acknowledged for the provision of the academic licences of Petrel® to Cardiff University 3D Seismic Lab. We thank Editor Atle Rotevatn, Migdalys Salazar, Larissa Hansen and Clark Gilbert for their constructive comments on this manuscript.

References

- Abreu, V., Sullivan, M., Pirmez, C., & Mohrig, D. 2003. Lateral accretion packages (LAPs): an important reservoir element in deep water sinuous channels. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 20(6-8), 631-648.
- Anderson, J.E., Cartwright, J., Drysdall, S.J., Vivian, N., 2000. Controls on turbidite sand deposition during gravity-driven extension of a passive margin: examples from Miocene sediments in Block 4, Angola. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 17, 1165-1203.
- Arco Petroleum, 1992. Arawa-1 Final Well Report PPL 38436, in Ministry of Economic Development, New Zealand: Crown Minerals Unpublished Petroleum Report, PR 1824.
- Armstrong, P.A., Chapman, D.S., Funnell, R.H., Allis, R.G., Kamp, P.J., 1996. Thermal modeling and hydrocarbon generation in an active-margin basin: Taranaki Basin, New Zealand. *AAPG Bulletin* 80, 1216-1241.
- Athmer, W., Groenenberg, R.M., Luthi, S.M., Donselaar, M.E., Sokoutis, D., & Willingshofer, E. 2010. Relay ramps as pathways for turbidity currents: a study combining analogue sandbox experiments and numerical flow simulations. *Sedimentology*, 57(3), 806-823.
- Athmer, W., Luthi, S.M., 2011. The effect of relay ramps on sediment routes and deposition: A review. *Sedimentary Geology* 242, 1-17.

473 Childs, C., Manzocchi, T., Walsh, J. J., Bonson, C. G., Nicol, A., & Schöpfer, M. P. 2009. A geometric model of fault zone
474 and fault rock thickness variations. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 31(2), 117-127.

475 Clark, J. D., & Pickering, K. T. 1996. Architectural elements and growth patterns of submarine channels: application to
476 hydrocarbon exploration. *AAPG Bulletin*, 80(2), 194-220.

477 Crundwell, M.P.; Beu, A.G.; Morgans, H.E.G.; Mildenhall, D.C.; Wilson, G.S. 2004: Chapter 12, Miocene. In Cooper,
478 R.A. (ed.) 2004. The New Zealand Geological Timescale. Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences Monograph 22.
479 284 p.

480 Deptuck, M. E., Steffens, G. S., Barton, M., & Pirmez, C. 2003. Architecture and evolution of upper fan channel-belts on
481 the Nger Delta slope and in the Arabian Sea. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 20(6), 649-676.

482 Eliet, P. P., & Gawthorpe, R. L. 1995. Drainage development and sediment supply within rifts, examples from the Sperchios
483 basin, central Greece. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 152(5), 883-893.

484 Fossen, H., & Rotevatn, A. 2016. Fault linkage and relay structures in extensional settings—A review. *Earth-Science*
485 *Reviews*, 154, 14-28.

486 Fugelli, E.M., Olsen, T.R. 2007. Delineating confined slope turbidite systems offshore mid-Norway: The Cretaceous deep-
487 marine Lysing Formation. *AAPG Bulletin*, 91(11), 1577-1601.

488 Funnell, R., Chapman, D., Allis, R., Armstrong, P., 1996. Thermal state of the Taranaki basin, New Zealand. *Journal of*
489 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* (1978–2012) 101, 25197-25215.

490 Gamboa, D., Alves, T.M., Cartwright, J., 2012. A submarine channel confluence classification for topographically confined
491 slopes. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 35, 176-189.

492 Gawthorpe, R.L., Fraser, A.J., Collier, R.E.L. 1994. Sequence stratigraphy in active extensional basins: implications for
493 the interpretation of ancient basin-fills. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 11(6), 642-658.

494 Gawthorpe, R.L., Hurst, J., 1993. Transfer zones in extensional basins: their structural style and influence on drainage
495 development and stratigraphy. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 150, 1137-1152.

496 Gawthorpe, R.L., Leeder, M.R. 2000. Tectono-sedimentary evolution of active extensional basins. *Basin Research*, 12(3-
497 4), 195-218.

498 Ge, Z., Nemec, W., Gawthorpe, R. L., & Hansen, E. W. 2017. Response of unconfined turbidity current to normal-fault
499 topography. *Sedimentology*, 64(4), 932-959.

500 Ge, Z., Nemec, W., Gawthorpe, R. L., Rotevatn, A., & Hansen, E. W. 2018. Response of unconfined turbidity current to
501 relay-ramp topography: insights from process-based numerical modelling. *Basin Research*, 30(2), 321-343.

502 Gee, M., Gawthorpe, R., 2006. Submarine channels controlled by salt tectonics: Examples from 3D seismic data offshore
503 Angola. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 23, 443-458.

504 Gee, M., Gawthorpe, R., Bakke, K., Friedmann, S., 2007. Seismic geomorphology and evolution of submarine channels
505 from the Angolan continental margin. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 77, 433-446.

506 Giba, M., Nicol, A., Walsh, J., 2010. Evolution of faulting and volcanism in a back-arc basin and its implications for
507 subduction processes. *Tectonics* 29.

508 Giba, M., Walsh, J.J., Nicol, A., 2012. Segmentation and growth of an obliquely reactivated normal fault. *Journal of*
509 *Structural Geology* 39, 253-267.

510 Gibbs, A.D. 1989. Structural styles in basin formation. In: Extensional Tectonics and Stratigraphy of the North Atlantic
511 Margins. *AAPG Memoir*. Vol. 46, pp. 81-94.

512 Gupta, S., Underhill, J., Sharp, I., Gawthorpe, R., 1999. Role of fault interactions in controlling synrift sediment dispersal
513 patterns: Miocene, Abu Alaqa Group, Suez Rift, Sinai, Egypt. *Basin Research* 11, 167-189.

514 Hansen, R.J., Kamp, P.J., 2002. Evolution of the Giant Foresets Formation, northern Taranaki Basin, New Zealand.

515 Hansen, R.J., Kamp, P.J., 2004a. Late Miocene to early Pliocene stratigraphic record in northern Taranaki Basin: condensed
516 sedimentation ahead of Northern Graben extension and progradation of the modern continental margin. *New Zealand*
517 *journal of geology and geophysics* 47, 645-662.

518 Hansen, R.J., Kamp, P.J., 2004b. Rapid progradation of the Pliocene-Pleistocene continental margin, northern Taranaki
519 Basin, New Zealand, and implications, Proceedings of New Zealand Petroleum Conference, pp. 7-10.

520 Henstra, G. A., Gawthorpe, R. L., Helland-Hansen, W., Ravnås, R., & Rotevatn, A. 2016. Depositional systems in
521 multiphase rifts: seismic case study from the Lofoten margin, Norway. *Basin Research*. doi: 10.1111/bre.12183

522 Holt, W., Stern, T., 1991. Sediment loading on the western platform of the New Zealand continent: Implications for the
523 strength of a continental margin. *Earth and planetary science letters* 107, 523-538.

524 Holt, W., Stern, T., 1994. Subduction, platform subsidence, and foreland thrust loading: The late Tertiary development of
525 Taranaki Basin, New Zealand. *Tectonics* 13, 1068-1092.

526 Hopkins, M.C., Dawers, N.H. 2018. The role of fault length, overlap and spacing in controlling extensional relay ramp
527 fluvial system geometry. *Basin Research*, 30(1), 20-34.

528 Hubbard, S.M., Covault, J.A., Fildani, A. and Romans, B.W., 2014. Sediment transfer and deposition in slope channels:
529 deciphering the record of enigmatic deep-sea processes from outcrop. *Bulletin*, vol. 126, no. 5-6, pp.857-871.

530 Kamp, P.J., Vonk, A.J., Bland, K.J., Hansen, R.J., Hendy, A.J., McIntyre, A.P., Ngatai, M., Cartwright, S.J., Hayton, S.,
531 Nelson, C.S., 2004a. Neogene stratigraphic architecture and tectonic evolution of Wanganui, King Country, and eastern
532 Taranaki Basins, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics* 47, 625-644.

533 Kamp, P.J., Vonk, A.J., Nelson, C.S., Hansen, R.J., Tripathi, A.R.P., Hood, S.D., Nagati, M., Hendy, A.J., 2004b.
534 Constraints on the evolution of Taranaki Fault from thermochronology and basin analysis: Implications for the Taranaki
535 Fault play.

536 King, P.R., 2000. Tectonic reconstructions of New Zealand: 40 Ma to the present. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and*
537 *Geophysics* 43, 611-638.

- 538 King, P.R., Thrasher, G.P., 1996. Cretaceous Cenozoic geology and petroleum systems of the Taranaki Basin, New
539 Zealand. Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences.
- 540 Lee, R. F. 2001. Pitfalls in seismic data flattening. *The Leading Edge*, 20(2), 160-164.
- 541 Leeder, M., Gawthorpe, R., 1987. Sedimentary models for extensional tilt-block/half-graben basins. *Geological Society*,
542 *London, Special Publications* 28, 139-152.
- 543 Lomask, J. 2003. Flattening 3-D data cubes in complex geology. Stanford Exploration Project, Report, 113, 247-261.
- 544 Long, J. J., Imber, J. 2011. Geological controls on fault relay zone scaling. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 33(12), 1790-
545 1800.
- 546 Mayall, M., Jones, E., & Casey, M. 2006. Turbidite channel reservoirs—Key elements in facies prediction and effective
547 development. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 23(8), 821-841.
- 548 Morgan, R. (2004). Structural controls on the positioning of submarine channels on the lower slopes of the Niger Delta.
549 *Geological Society, London, Memoirs*, 29(1), 45-52.
- 550 Morgans, H. E. G. 2006. Foraminiferal Biostratigraphy of the Early Miocene to Pleistocene Sequences in Witiora-1,
551 Taimana-1, Arawa-1 and Okoki-1. GNS Science.
- 552 Mulrooney, M. J., Rismyhr, B., Yenwongfai, H. D., Leutscher, J., Olaussen, S., & Braathen, A. 2018. Impacts of small-
553 scale faults on continental to coastal plain deposition: Evidence from the Realgrunnen Subgroup in the Goliat field,
554 southwest Barents Sea, Norway. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 95, 276-302.
- 555 Neall, V., Stewart, R., Smith, I., 1986. History and petrology of the Taranaki volcanoes. *Royal Society of New Zealand*
556 *Bulletin* 23, 251-263.
- 557 Nicol, A., Stagpoole, V., Maslen, G., 2004. Structure and petroleum potential of the Taranaki fault play, New Zealand
558 Petroleum Conference Proceedings, pp. 7-10.
- 559 Nicol, A., Walsh, J., Berryman, K., Nodder, S., 2005. Growth of a normal fault by the accumulation of slip over millions
560 of years. *Journal of Structural Geology* 27, 327-342.
- 561 Nodder, S.D., 1993. Neotectonics of the offshore Cape Egmont Fault Zone, Taranaki Basin, New Zealand. *New Zealand*
562 *journal of geology and geophysics* 36, 167-184.
- 563 Paul, D., Mitra, S. 2013. Experimental models of transfer zones in rift systems. *AAPG bulletin*, 97(5), 759-780.
- 564 Peacock, D. C. P., Knipe, R. J., & Sanderson, D. J. 2000. Glossary of normal faults. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 22(3),
565 291-305.
- 566 Peacock, D. C. P., & Sanderson, D. J. 1991. Displacements, segment linkage and relay ramps in normal fault zones. *Journal*
567 *of Structural Geology*, 13(6), 721-733.
- 568 Pindell, J.L., 1995. Circum-Caribbean sedimentary basin development and timing of hydrocarbon maturation as a function
569 of Caribbean plate tectonic evolution.

- 570 Posamentier, H. W., & Kolla, V. 2003. Seismic geomorphology and stratigraphy of depositional elements in deep-water
571 settings. *Journal of sedimentary research*, 73(3), 367-388.
- 572 Ravnås, R., & Steel, R. J. 1998. Architecture of marine rift-basin successions. *AAPG Bulletin*, 82(8), 1626-1626.
- 573 Rotevatn, A., Fossen, H., Hesthammer, J., Aas, T.E., Howell, J.A. 2007. Are relay ramps conduits for fluid flow? Structural
574 analysis of a relay ramp in Arches National Park, Utah. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 270(1), 55-
575 71.
- 576 Salazar, M., Moscardelli, L., Wood, L., 2016. Utilising clinoform architecture to understand the drivers of basin margin
577 evolution: a case study in the Taranaki Basin, New Zealand. *Basin Research* 28, 840-865.
- 578 Soreghan, M. J., Scholz, C. A., & Wells, J. T. 1999. Coarse-grained, deep-water sedimentation along a border fault margin
579 of Lake Malawi, Africa; seismic stratigraphic analysis. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 69(4), 832-846.
- 580 Spencer, C.W., 1987. Hydrocarbon generation as a mechanism for overpressuring in Rocky Mountain region. *AAPG*
581 *Bulletin* 71, 368-388.
- 582 Stagpoole, V., Funnell, R., 2001. Arc magmatism and hydrocarbon generation in the northern Taranaki Basin, New
583 Zealand. *Petroleum Geoscience* 7, 255-267.
- 584 Stagpoole, V., Nicol, A., 2008. Regional structure and kinematic history of a large subduction back thrust: Taranaki Fault,
585 New Zealand. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 113, n/a-n/a.
- 586 Walsh, J., Bailey, W., Childs, C., Nicol, A., Bonson, C., 2003. Formation of segmented normal faults: a 3-D perspective.
587 *Journal of Structural Geology* 25, 1251-1262.
- 588 Webster, M., O'Connor, S., Pindar, B., Swarbrick, R., 2011. Overpressures in the Taranaki Basin: Distribution, causes, and
589 implications for exploration. *AAPG Bulletin* 95, 339-370.
- 590 Young, M.J., Gawthorpe, R.L., Hardy, S. 2001. Growth and linkage of a segmented normal fault zone; the Late Jurassic
591 Murchison–Statfjord North Fault, northern North Sea. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 23(12), 1933-195.
- 592

593

594 Figure 1: a) Regional location map of New Zealand and its main structural boundaries. The Taranaki Basin is limited to
 595 the east by the Taranaki Fault and to the west by the Challenger Plateau. To the south, the Taranaki Basin is limited by
 596 the South Island. The 3D seismic cube is delimited by the red polygon. b) Detailed location map of the Northern Graben
 597 showing the main structures at a local scale. The study area occurs between 100 and 150 m below the sea level. The 705
 598 km² study area is delimited by the yellow polygon.

599

Figure 2: a) Variance slice at -1000 ms TWT showing main structural features in the interpreted seismic volume. The 705 km study area is delimited by the red polygon. b) Evolution of the relay ramp in the Parihaka Fault at each -250 ms TWT showing the hard linkage of faults with depth. Unbreached relay ramp occur at shallow levels, as shown by the soft linkage of the segments (Modified after Giba et al., 2012). c) Regional seismic profile showing the relay ramp developed between segments S3 and S2 and main channel systems in the study area. The Mohakatino Volcanic Centre is observed to the SE of the seismic line. The main submarine channel sequence is delimited by the horizons traced in green. CCS: channel complex systems. *Correlative seismic units from Salazar et al. (2016). Correlative ages from Morgans et al. (2006). The location of this profile is indicated in Fig. 2a

Figure 3: Chronostratigraphic column showing depositional facies and relative sea-level changes in the Taranaki Basin from the Miocene to the Recent. The interval of interest within the Giant Foresets Formation is highlighted in this figure. Modified from King and Thrasher (1996).

622

623 Figure 4: a) Time structure map for the Base Channel (horizon BC), highlighting the great depth in ms TWT difference
 624 between the footwall (1250 to 1500 ms TWT) and the hanging-wall blocks (1500 to 2250 ms TWT) of the Parihaka Fault.
 625 b) Time structure map for the Top Channel (horizon TC), indicating the depth (in ms TWT) differences between the
 626 footwall (900 to 1050 ms TWT) and the hanging-wall (950 to 1450 ms TWT) are smaller than for horizon BC. The
 627 interval of the contour lines is 50 ms. c) Isochron map for the between horizons BC and TC. The predominant thickness
 628 for the footwall block is 400 m, whereas the thickness for the hanging-wall block ranges from 500 to 800 m. The interval
 629 for the contour lines is 50 m. Depocentres 1 and 2 are delimited by the red dashed line and comprise the thickest deposits
 630 in the study area.

631

Measured parameters in single channel

Method applied to channel features in consecutive variance time-slices

Figure 5: Schematic representation of the morphometric analysis undertaken in this work. Height and width for channel complex systems were measured from a reference Channel Point (CP) at the base of the variance time-slices. Modified from Gamboa et al. (2012). Channel point distribution maps at depths from b) 864 to 1152 ms TWT, c) 1152 to 1440 ms TWT, d) 1440 to 1728 ms TWT, and e) 1728 to 2016 ms TWT. These maps show channels predominate on the footwall block between 864 and 1152 ms TWT. However, channel complex systems on the footwall block may occur at greater depth. Channels on the hanging-wall block predominate between 1152 to 1728 ms TWT. Channel density is smaller from 1728 to 2016 ms TWT on both in the footwall and hanging-wall blocks of the Parihaka Fault.

640
641

642

Figure 6: NW-SE seismic profiles of the study area highlighting the relationship between channel complex systems (CCS) and the Parihaka Fault segments. a) Profile A-B shows the tributaries of Channel Complex System 1 to the NW consisting of U and V-shaped erosional bases. Channel Complex System 1 occurs in the SE part of the seismic profile and is offset by segment S1. b) Profile C-D show three of the four main channel systems in the study area. Channel Complex System 4 occurs to the NW on the footwall block and shows laterally and vertically stacked channels. Channel Complex System 2 occurs close to the trace of segment S1 and comprises two tributaries. Channel Complex System 1 occurs entirely on the hanging-wall block in this profile and reveals the lateral and vertical stacking within this channel system. c) Profile E-F shows Channel Complex System 4 system at a greater depth comprising many vertically stacked channels on the footwall block. Channel Complex System 3 also occurs on the footwall block above Horizon TC and close to S3. A rotated relay ramp developed between S3 and S2 is observed. Channel Complex System 2 is offset by S2 at the SE termination of this relay ramp. Channel Complex System 1 occurs at observed depths in the seismic survey between 1600 and 1800 ms TWT to the SE. d) Profile G-H shows a relay ramp developed between S3 and S4 in the NE part of the study

655 area. Channels developed above horizon TC are also observed on the hanging-wall block. The depths of time slices in
656 insets are indicated by an arrow and a dashed line on the seismic profiles.

657

658

659

660 Figure 7: Detailed seismic interpretation of Channel Complex System 1 (CCS 1 in the figure boxes). a) Variance time-
661 slice at 1180 ms showing the base of Channel Complex System 1 on the footwall block, the segments of Parihaka Fault,
662 the position of the seismic section (yellow line), and the angles this channel system make in relation to S1. b) Thickness
663 map for Channel System 1 showing the channel tributaries flowing obliquely to S1. c) Original seismic profile
664 highlighting the channel geometry relative to the Parihaka Fault. The depth of the variance time-slice is indicated by an
665 arrow and a dashed line on the seismic section. d) Seismic profile flattened at horizon TC highlighting the influence of
666 faulting on channel configuration.

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677 Figure 8: Detailed seismic interpretation of Channel Complex System 2 (CCS 2 in the boxes). a) Variance time-slice at a
678 depth of 1200 ms TWT indicating the base of Channel Complex System 2 on the footwall block, the segments of Parihaka
679 Fault, the position of the seismic section (yellow line), and the angles this channel system make to S2. b) Thickness map
680 for Channel Complex System 2 showing the channel initially flowing obliquely to S2 to later change to a direction
681 transverse to the segment trace. c) Original seismic profile highlighting the channel geometry relative to the Parihaka
682 Fault. The depth of the variance time-slice is indicated by an arrow and a dashed line on the seismic profile. d) Flattened
683 seismic profile at horizon TC showing the syn-tectonic deposition of Channel Complex System 2 during the reactivation
684 of S2.

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

Figure 9: Detailed seismic interpretation of Channel Complex System 3 (CCS 3 in the boxes). a) Variance time-slice at 1020 ms indicating the base of Channel Complex System 3 on the footwall block, the segments of Parihaka Fault, the position of the seismic profile (yellow line), and the angles this channel system make to S3. b) Thickness map for Channel Complex System 3 showing the two main channel tributaries flowing obliquely to segment S3. c) Original seismic profile highlighting the channel geometry relative to the Parihaka Fault. d) Flattened seismic profile at horizon H3 highlighting faulting prior to channel deposition.

718

719 Figure 10: Detailed seismic interpretation of Channel Complex System 4 (CCS 4 in the boxes). a) Variance time-slice at
 720 1320 ms showing the base of Channel Complex System 4 in the footwall block, the segments of Parihaka Fault, the
 721 position of the seismic profile (yellow line), and the angles this channel system make to S3. b) Time-structural map for
 722 Channel Complex System 4 showing the channel body flowing parallel to the Parihaka Fault trace. c) Selected seismic
 723 profile highlighting the channel geometry relative to the Parihaka Fault. Channel Complex System 3 is also observed in
 724 this seismic profile above horizon TC.

- Relay ramp
- Sn Parihaka Fault segments
- Channel complex systems limits
- Depocentre limits

725

726 Figure 11: Channel point density plot showing the lateral and vertical stacking patterns of submarine channel complexes
 727 (CCS in the boxes) in the study area. The main channel complex systems were delimited to this plot to facilitate the
 728 analysis of the channel density distribution. The greatest channel point density occurs in the areas corresponding to
 729 Channel Complex System 4 on the footwall block and Channel Complex System 2 on the hanging-wall block.

CHANNEL DISTRIBUTION IN THE STUDY AREA

730

731 Figure 12: Block diagram summarising the channel distribution the study area indicating the three types of drainage
 732 related to the Parihaka Fault trace. In the southern part of the study area, Channel Complex System 1 is an example of
 733 oblique drainage, while Channel Complex Systems 2 and 3 in the central parts of the study area are an example of
 734 transverse drainage related to a greater displacement of S2 and S3. Channel Complex System 4 is a channel that has
 735 changed its course due to the uplift of the footwall block, running parallel to S3.