**ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff** 



This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/119289/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Creeth, H. D. J., McNamara, G. I., Isles, A. R. and John, R. M. 2019. Imprinted genes influencing the quality of maternal care. Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology 53, 100732. 10.1016/j.yfrne.2018.12.003

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2018.12.003

### Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

### Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Date:

Imprinted genes influencing the quality of maternal care

HDJ. Creeth, GI. McNamara, AR. Isles, RM. John

PII:S0091-3022(18)30075-XDOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2018.12.003Reference:YFRNE 732To appear in:Frontiers in NeuroendocrinologyReceived Date:17 September 2018Revised Date:15 November 2018

12 December 2018



Please cite this article as: HDJ. Creeth, GI. McNamara, AR. Isles, RM. John, Imprinted genes influencing the quality of maternal care, *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2018.12.003

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### Imprinted genes influencing the quality of maternal care.

Creeth, HDJ<sup>1</sup>, McNamara, GI<sup>1,2</sup>, Isles, AR<sup>3</sup> and John, RM<sup>1\*</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Biomedicine Division, School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK, CF10 3AX, UK. <sup>2</sup>Current address: Frontiers Media Limited, WeWork, 1 Fore St, London EC2Y 5EJ, UK. <sup>3</sup>Behavioural Genetics Group, MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Neuroscience and Mental Health Research Institute, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK, CF24 4HQ

\*Corresponding author: JohnRM@cf.ac.uk

#### Abstract

In mammals successful rearing imposes a cost on later reproductive fitness specifically on the mother creating the potential for parental conflict. Loss of function of three imprinted genes in the dam result in deficits in maternal care suggesting that, like maternal nutrients, maternal care is a resource over which the parental genomes are in conflict. However, the induction of maternal care is a complex and highly regulated process. Unsurprisingly many gene disruptions, as well as adverse environmental exposures in pregnancy, result in maternal care deficits. Recent compelling evidence for a more purposeful imprinting phenomenon comes from studying the impact of two imprinted genes, *Phlda2* and *Peg3*, expressed in the placenta on the mother's behaviour. The explicit demonstration that imprinted genes expressed in the offspring influence maternal behaviour lends significant weight to the hypothesis that maternal care is a resource that has been manipulated by the paternal genome.

Key words: genomic imprinting; maternal care; placenta

#### **Genomic imprinting**

Genomic imprinting is the term used to describe an epigenetic process whereby the parental alleles are marked in the germline to be differentially expressed in the offspring [1]. In modern mammals, this remarkable process had resulted in more than 100 protein coding genes and numerous noncoding RNAs being expressed predominantly from a single parental allele. Some imprinted genes are tightly regulated and globally monoallelically expressed, some show tissue and/or temporal specificities in their imprinted expression while others are paternally or maternally expressed in different tissues through the differential use of imprinted promoters. The existence of imprinted genes was essentially predicted prior to their physical discovery through the recognition of a conflict between parent and offspring [2], in particular those imposed by pregnancy [3]. Pregnancy represents a unique and challenging dilemma for mammals as considerable and almost exclusively maternal resources are required to produce offspring but the mother must, at the same time, ensure her own survival for the production of future offspring. Resource allocation has traditionally referred to nutrient allocation both during pregnancy and postnatally (lactation) but the finding in 1998 that an imprinted gene, called *Paternally expressed gene 1 (Peg1)* influenced maternal behaviour [4] suggested that maternal care provision is another resource which can potentially be manipulated by the parental genomes.

Maternal behaviour is broadly defined as "the pattern of a mother's behaviour that appears to enhance her offspring's survival and reproductive success" [5]. The capacity to respond in a maternal manner is present in most, but not all, female mammals. The natural process of transitioning from a nulliparous female to a mother culminates in the initiation of enhanced maternal care towards new born offspring. These changes irreversibly alter the new mother's motivational and behavioural repertoire driving an increased interest in sensory modalities associated with young. In humans, a failure to make the appropriate transition into motherhood can have lasting effects upon maternal health in the weeks, months and years after birth. Unsurprisingly, early social experiences gained through the relationship between the new mother and her offspring also significantly affects the developmental trajectory of her offspring [6; 7]. These early life interactions are associated with fundamental changes in the brain and behaviour that persist right through into adulthood. Therefore understanding how maternal responses are primed prenatally and further stimulated from birth is important not only for maternal mental health, but also for the health of future generations.

Over the past two decades, there has been considerable progress in the foundational aspects of mammalian reproduction, including the processes involved in parturition, lactation,

2

behaviour and stress response. These discoveries have predominately been made in rodents. While rodents do not recapitulate all the sophisticated aspects of humans, mice and rats have been used as a model system to study maternal behaviour because they display behaviours that are predictable and testable, making them the ideal choice of animal model. Mice, and more recently rats, are also genetically modifiable allowing functional assessment of specific genes and, with conditional models, the function of specific genes with a spatial and/or temporal focus. Rodents give birth to relative immature young (altricial) with limited mobility, incapable of fending for themselves or maintaining their own body temperature. Consequently, the new mother must exhibit maternal behaviour at parturition to ensure their immediate survival. While both virgin females and males can respond to pups, both require several days of exposure to the pups before parental behaviours emerge [8].

Maternal behaviours can be categorised as direct and pup-orientated or indirect and nonpup orientated. Pup oriented behaviours are displayed as discrete acts of maternal care present from parturition. These include retrieving, grouping, crouching over pups to encourage suckling, and licking/grooming each individual pup to stimulate urination and heighten sensory stimuli developed only through nursing contact [9]. Non-pup orientated behaviours encompass everything from finding and remembering food stores and water sources, identifying danger zones to building nests [9]. Nests, even if already built, are reconstructed in a more elaborate and functional way for the young, to provide protection and warmth [10]. Late in pregnancy the female's aggressive behaviour increases, important for defending resources [11]. Postpartum dams show heightened aggression towards intruders stimulated by the presence of the suckling pups, and reduced anxiety thought to be important for pup focused behaviour [12]. Pregnant females have increased appetite throughout pregnancy to support the developing fetus and to generate surplus energy stores (fat) for lactation with further increases in feeding and drinking postpartum [13]. Alterations in behaviour cannot come at the cost of maternal wellbeing. Postpartum the dam must continue to maintain her wellbeing through self-grooming, enhanced feeding and drinking to ensure survival of the litter and her future reproductive health. Taken together these behaviours are necessary to maximise the level of care and fitness she can bestow upon both herself and her young.

#### Hormones and maternal behaviour

Maternal care is primed in pregnancy through the direct action of hormones on the maternal brain, both those produced by the mother and also those that originate from the fetally-derived placenta [8; 13; 14]. A number of hormones are produced by the maternal brain and function locally in the induction and maintenance of maternal care including prolactin [15;

16], serotonin [17], dopamine [18; 19; 20], oxytocin [21; 22; 23] and vasopressin [24; 25]. However, initial external stimuli are required to initiate the cascade of changes that occur to the maternal brain. In mice, prolactin secretion from the pituitary is initially stimulated by mating [26] and then secreted in surges in the afternoon and night until mid-gestation [14; 27]. Prolactin has been shown to suppress the stress response in part through inhibiting the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis [28; 29], to induce changes in activity of oxytocin neurons [30], to stimulate neurogenesis [26], to suppresses ovulation and to regulate its own secretion [31]. At mid gestation, prolactin secretion is suppressed and superseded by the placental lactogens (Prls) which are lactogenic hormones related to prolactin but produced by the fetally-derived placenta. Prls predominate for the rest of pregnancy until, the night before parturition, prolactin secretion again surges in response to progesterone withdrawal [14; 27]. Prolactin stimulates maternal care [15; 16] and prolactin action in the medial preoptic area is necessary for postpartum maternal nursing behaviour and maternal neurogenesis [26] while low levels of prolactin are associated with increased postpartum anxiety and decreased pup retrieval, alongside a reduction in pregnancy-induced neurogenesis [32]. Although the data is less clear, prolactin may also influence maternal neurogenesis in the sub granular zone of the hippocampus, important in learning and memory [33; 34]. Prolactin likely functions entirely via the prolactin receptor (Prlr) as loss of function of this receptor in nulliparous females, either homozygously or heterozygously, results in a defect in foster pup-induced maternal behaviour [35] and pregnant Prlr<sup>/+</sup> (heterozygous) dams display a 50% reduction in forebrain neurogenesis in the subventricular zone [26]. The fact that animals with a disrupted prolactin gene still exhibit some maternal behaviour [36], combined with the knowledge that Prl3d1 (PL-I) and Prl3b1 (PL-II) are known to bind and activate the Prlr receptor [37] suggests that these Prls contribute to the induction of maternal care, although this has only been shown indirectly through the direct infusion of placental lactogen into the medial preoptic area of nulliparous rats [38].

Lactogenic hormones are not solely responsible for initiating changes in the maternal brain. Steroid hormones (progesterone and oestradiol) produced primarily from the ovary diffuse across the blood brain barrier to act directly on the maternal brain [14]. Progesterone steadily increases during the pregnancy priming the female brain to respond to an acute increase in oestradiol that occurs at parturition while a fall in progesterone secretion at the end of pregnancy synchronises the onset of maternal behaviour with parturition [14; 39; 40]. Steroid hormones are produced from the ovary partly controlled by lactogenic hormones [40] but, while the placenta expresses some stereogenic enzymes, it does not appear to play a role in *de novo* steroid synthesis, at least in rodents [41]. Similarly, the placenta expresses

components of the serotonin, dopamine, oxytocin and vasopressin synthesis pathways but expressed at such low levels [42] that their site of action, if any, is likely to be fetal rather than maternal, as shown for serotonin [43]. The mouse placenta manufactures other protein hormones including secretin and galanin at more functionally convincing levels [44; 45]. Secretin is required for hippocampal synaptic plasticity and *secretin receptor* mutant mice display abnormal social and cognitive behaviours [46; 47] while galanin-expressing neurons in the maternal hypothalamus are important for maternal behaviour with activation inducing pup grooming in virgin females and ablation of these neurons resulted in postpartum females attacking rather than caring for pups [45].

The complexity of changes to the maternal brain both during pregnancy and postpartum are such that it is not surprising that a vast number of genetic modification to the mother result in deficits in maternal behaviour. Indeed, when we surveyed the literature on genetic mutations leading to alterations in maternal behaviour, we found 64 examples reporting a deficit in maternal care and none that enhanced this behaviour [42]. The caveat is, of course, that it is much easier to spot a deficit in maternal care, particularly when there is reduced pup survival, than it is to detect improved maternal care. However, the potential for hormones manufactured by the placenta to influence the programming of the maternal brain in pregnancy suggests that placental endocrine changes driven by genetic alterations may also impact maternal behaviour, as now demonstrated for the first time by our lab [42].

#### Disruptions of imprinted genes in the dam resulting in maternal care deficits

### 1) Paternally expressed gene 1 (Peg1)

*Peg1* (aka *Mest*) was the first imprinted gene linked to maternal care in mice [4]. *Peg1* was initially identified as an imprinted gene through subtractive hybridization between cDNAs from normal and parthenogenetic embryos, and found to map to the proximal region of mouse chromosome 6 [48]. The maternal promoter and part of exon 1 acquire DNA methylation during oocyte maturation [49] which is present in fetal tissues [50]. *Peg1* is a member of the divergent  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  hydrolase protein family where 8 β-sheets are connected by  $\alpha$ -helices in the core of the protein [51]. During fetal development *Peg1* is predominantly expressed in mesodermal tissues including heart, lung, cartilage, skeletal muscle and tongue, and also within the remnants of Rathke's pouch, the amygdala, ventral hippocampus, main and accessory olfactory bulbs, cortex, dorsal hippocampus and striatum and the choroid plexus [4; 48]. Expression in the developing mouse midbrain overlaps with mesodiencephalic dopaminergic neurons before becoming restricted to part of the substantia nigra in adults [52].

Loss-of-function of *Peg1* (paternal inheritance of the targeted allele) was found to result in a ~15% proportionate growth restriction of the fetus and placenta at E18.5 when studied on the 129Sv strain background [4]. In this study, less than 50% of *Peg1* mutants survived to adulthood and those that survived remain small with no evidence for catch-up growth. When *Peg1* mutant females (paternal inheritance of targeted allele) were mated with wild type males, they underwent a normal first pregnancy (although this was not assessed in great detail) and delivered at term. However, *Peg1* mutant dams frequently failed to remove extraembryonic membranes, eat the placenta or nurse their pups and nearly 90% of newborn died shortly after birth. In a pup retrieval task, mutant dams were found to sniff their pups indicating an intact olfactory response but did not retrieve them, and were disinterested in nest building. Neonates cross fostered to wild type foster mothers survived attributing the deficit to mutant dam rather than her offspring.

### 2) Paternally expressed gene 3 (Peg3)

*Peg3* was the second imprinted gene linked to maternal care in mice [53]. *Peg3* was identified with *Peg1* [48] and in a second screen for novel imprinted genes and maps to the proximal mouse chromosome 7 [54]. Like *Peg1*, the maternal promoter region of *Peg3* inherits a DNA methylation mark from the oocyte [49]. *Peg3* encodes a Kruppel C2H2-type zinc finger protein [54] which function to repress gene transcription [55; 56; 57]. Expression is predominantly from the paternal allele although maternal allele expression has been reported in the adult brain [58]. During fetal development *Peg3* expression overlaps substantially with *Peg1* [4; 53].

Loss-of-function of *Peg3* (paternal inheritance of the targeted allele) results in fetal and placental growth restriction [53; 57; 59]. Mice are born small and remained small framed but deposit excess fat despite consuming less food [60]. Adult male and female mutant mice display altered behaviour with males failing to respond normally to sexually receptive females [61] and females exhibiting deficits in classic tests of maternal behaviours in their first pregnancy [53]. *Peg3* mutant dams sniff their pups in the pup retrieval task but fail to return them to the nest in a timely manner, are slow to crouch over them and are poor nest builders. These original studies were made on the 129Sv stain background but despite naturally occurring differences in maternal behaviour [62], poor quality maternal care was also apparent when this same modification was examined on a C57BL/6J strain background [63], although not with a different modification [59]. When presented with wild type pups, parturient and nonparturient multiparous dams as well as virgin females all show impaired maternal behaviour [63], again attributing the deficit to mutant female. These deficits may in

part be explained by a reduction in oxytocin-positive neurons in the hypothalamus compared to wild-type females [53; 63].

#### 3) Type 3 deiodinase (Dio3)

Loss-of-function of *Dio3* (paternal inheritance of the targeted allele) has more recently been linked to a deficit in maternal care [64]. *Dio3* was identified as an imprinted gene in part due to its proximity to an imprinted gene cluster on chromosome 12 spanning *Dlk1* and *Gtl2* [65; 66; 67]. Preferential allelic expression of *Dio3* from the paternal allele occurs in most but not all fetal tissues [68] and the promoter lacks direct DNA methylation [66]. During development *Dio3* is expressed in the fetal brain, eye, palate, tongue, skeletal muscle around the digits, mesenchyme of the frontal region, upper lip, and lower jaw, liver, gut mesentery, testes and in the labyrinthine trophoblast of the placenta. *Dio3* is highly expressed in mature brain [65] where expression is reportedly biallelic [68].

Dio3 encodes inactivates the an enzyme which hormones thyroxine and 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine [69]. *Dio3*-deficiency leads to brain thyroid hormone excess. Female mice show normal social interest in unfamiliar female mice outside their home cage but in their home cage they are aggressive towards unfamiliar females [64]. Dio3 mutant dams make flatter nests and show a deficiency in pup retrieval in their first but not their second pregnancy. They spend less time interacting with their pups and there is a high rate of pup mortality. Dio3 mutant females also show a mild olfactory impairment. How much of this behaviour is really specific to pregnancy is unclear as non-pregnant females exhibit increased activity and decreased anxiety/depression-like behaviour [64] alongside many other significant impairments [70].

Given the extensive and complex changes that occur in the maternal brain during pregnancy and postpartum [14; 71], it is perhaps not surprising that the genetic disruption of a number of imprinted genes in the dam result in deficits in maternal behaviour. A number of other imprinted genes are required for the normal function of the postnatal brain [72] and may also be important for maternal care. However, any finding based on global targeted deletions must be interpreted cautiously as loss-of-function of essential genes will have a catastrophic impact on many aspects of physiology and behaviour. Determining how these findings are to studies on imprinting will require both dose- and brain-specific manipulations.

#### Postnatal influence of imprinted genes expressed in the offspring on maternal care.

The presence of pups is clearly important for the manifestation of maternal behaviour and any mutation impacting pup characteristics has the potential to result in a secondary effect

on maternal behaviour. From birth pups begin communicating to their mothers using clicks and whistles. These ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) increase in intensity and frequency when pups are separated from their mothers - hence the alternative and more forlorn term -"whistles of loneliness" [73]. USVs are known to induce maternal behaviours such as nest building, pup retrieval and nursing [74; 75; 76; 77]. Loss of several genes within the Ubiquitin-protein ligase E3A (Ube3a) region [78] increase pup USVs while increased expression of Ube3a impairs these vocal communications [79]. Stimulation of dams by the act of suckling (but not lactation per se) initiates and maintains maternal aggression postpartum by stimulating oxytocin release from the maternal hypothalamus [80; 81]. Loss of function MAGE Family Member L2 (Magel2) [82], Peg3 [83] and Growth factor receptorbound substrate 10 (Grb10) [84] have all been reported to impact the ability of the pups to suckle with the potential of impacting maternal aggression. Even the pup's ability to maintain their own body temperature could influence maternal behaviour requiring increased maternal 'crouching', elevating demand on maternal resources. Several imprinted genes have been reported to play a role regulating brown adipogenesis impacting thermogenesis (body warmth) including Delta Like Non-Canonical Notch Ligand 1 (Dlk1)-Dio3 domain [85], cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 1C (Cdkn1c) [86] and G protein alpha-subunit (Gnas) [87]. However, the effect of altered neonatal thermogenesis on wildtype maternal behaviour in the context of these models has yet to be explored.

#### Prenatal influence of imprinted genes expressed in the offspring on maternal care.

The placenta is predicted to play a role in programming maternal care through the production of hormones directly or indirectly implicated in maternal behaviour, although until recently this has never been formally demonstrated in a physiologically relevant model. The mouse placenta is grossly composed of three histologically distinct regions: the labyrinth where nutrient exchange takes place, the junctional zone which is a major endocrine compartment and the decidua which is the maternally derived component [88]. Seven distinct placental lineages express hormones including five trophoblast giant cell subtypes in close proximity to the maternal circulation, and the spongiotrophoblast and glycogen cell lineages located within the junctional zone [89; 90; 91; 92]. Of these, the spongiotrophoblast is the most substantial lineage in the mature mouse placenta [93]. Endocrine lineages expresses *Prls* [89; 90] and *pregnancy specific glycoproteins* (*Psgs*), a 17 member multigene gene family that modulate the maternal immune system and remodel vasculature [94]. Several maternally expressed imprinted genes have been shown to regulate these lineages [95] including *Pleckstrin homology-like domain family A member 2 (Phlda2)* [96] and *Peg3* (in press).

#### Pleckstrin homology-like domain family A member 2 (PhIda2)

*Phlda2* is expressed primarily from the maternal allele only in extraembryonic structures with maternal bias or biallelic expression in the embryo and adult [97]. *Phlda2* is expressed in the ectoplacental cone where the progenitors of many cell types of the mature placenta reside, and in the extraembryonic membranes (the visceral endoderm of the yolk sac) [97; 98]. From E10.5 *Phlda2* expression is restricted to type I syncitiotrophoblast and, at a lower level, type II syncitiotrophoblast cells in the labyrinth zone, and declines in expression from E14.5.

Studies from the Tycko laboratory and our research group defined a key role for *Phlda2* in the development of the placenta [96; 99; 100; 101; 102]. Loss-of-function (maternal inheritance of targeted allele) resulted in placental overgrowth with a substantial increase in placental glycogen but with a negative impact on late fetal growth [96; 99]. Overexpression of *Phlda2* also resulted in fetal growth restriction which we demonstrated first using at a transgenic mouse model in which *Phlda2* was overexpressed at four-fold the normal level from a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgene [100]. Overexpression at both fourfold and two-fold the normal level resulted in a similar degree of growth restriction of both the fetus and the placenta [96; 100; 101; 102]. Further assessment of the placental phenotype in the single copy line, effectively modelling loss of imprinting of *PhIda2* (two-fold expression), identified the specific reduction of only one placental lineage, the spongiotrophoblast [96; 101; 102]. We showed that *Phlda2* suppresses the proliferation of the spongiotrophoblast from as early at E10.5 resulting in a 50% loss of this lineage by E14.5. Making use of the PhIda2 deletion allele, we were able to show that loss of PhIda2 resulted in a substantial increase in the contribution of the spongiotrophoblast lineage to the mature mouse placenta [96]. This work identified *Phlda2* as a negative rheostat controlling the ultimate contribution of the spongiotrophoblast lineage to the mature mouse placenta. Given the expression of placental hormones from the spongiotrophoblast, this implied that *Phlda2* indirectly regulates a vast array of placental hormones, further supported by RNA expression analysis that identified the spongiotrophoblast transcriptome [96]. Specifically, Phlda2 regulates the expression of a number of placental lactogens including *Prl3b1*, known to bind the prolactin receptor [37]. Together, this led us to hypothesise that the expression of imprinted genes in the placenta might influence maternal adaptations to pregnancy via placental hormones [95]. Given the known function of prolactin and the prolactin receptor in maternal care, we further hypothesised that placental Phlda2 might also influence maternal behaviour, which we tested by exposing wild type females to different offspring *Phlda2* gene doses [42].

A key aspect to this study was the maintenance of the dams genetically wild type status in order to isolate the function of *Phlda2* in the offspring, achieved through recipient transfer of

embryos from genetically modified parents into wild type recipients (a mouse version of IVF). Wild type female mice exposed to offspring with three different doses of *Phlda2* – either two active alleles (loss-of-imprint), one active allele (normal imprint) or no active allele (loss of maternal allele) – showed alterations in their hypothalamic and hippocampal transcriptomes during pregnancy, regions important for maternal-care behaviour. Each group showed distinct changes that included alterations in G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) pathways through which neuropeptides and hormones mediate their action, olfactory transduction pathways important for maternal care [14; 103; 104] and the gonadotropin-releasing hormone signalling pathway, implicated in maternal care and known to respond to prolactin [105; 106]. In contrast to our prediction that increased placental hormone would translate to "better mothers", in the pup retrieval task dams exposed to higher levels of placental hormones in pregnancy (loss of *Phlda2* expression) took significantly longer to retrieve their first pups than either fully wild type dams or those exposed to the lower dose of hormones (loss of *Phlda2* imprint). Similarly, in the nest building task the dams we predicted would better at this task performed very poorly, with only one dam building a nest and putting her pups inside the nest. In stark contrast, the dams we predicted would be "worse mothers" (exposed to less hormones) actually performed better even than the fully wild type controls in nest building. However, in an undisturbed situation, all dams were able to effectively make nests and gather their pups within the nest. Pups gained weight appropriately arguing against a deficit in lactation and vocalisation (USVs) were normal when pups were separated from their mothers. A further exploration of dams' behaviour in the disturbed situation (nest building task) revealed that dams exposed to higher levels of placental hormones in pregnancy were prioritising caring for their pups (licking and grooming) and themselves (self-grooming, feeding) over the nest building. As a final test, we asked whether dams exposed in utero to higher levels of placental hormones maintained their enhanced nurturing behaviour when presented with wild type pups from birth. These exposed dams similarly displayed enhanced nurturing towards the wild type fostered pups despite a considerable disruption imposed by the fostering process, supporting our original hypothesis that the priming of maternal care occurred prenatally. Overall the changes in maternal behaviour we observed were subtle and did not have a negative impact on either maternal or pup welfare, at least in the short term evidenced by appropriate pup weight gain. However, we do not know what the longer term consequence will be either for the dams in their second pregnancy, not for the offspring exposed to less optimal maternal care. Nor have we explored the neural changes or identified the specific placental hormone(s) mediating the relationship between the placenta and the maternal brain. Given the requirement for two copies of a functional prolactin receptor gene in maternal care [26; 35], and the knowledge that Prl3b1 binds this receptor [37], this seems a promising avenue to explore. Irrespective

of the gaps still remaining in our knowledge, this work firstly demonstrates the importance of optimal placental function in maternal care, at least in rodents, and highlights a new role for genomic imprinting in influencing maternal care giving before the offspring are born.

#### Peg3

As has already been discussed, loss-of-function of *Peg3* in adult female mice negatively affects their maternal behaviour. Peg3 is expressed in both the developing fetus and in the placenta in the junctional zone and trophoblast giant cells [107]. Microarray studies on placental gene expression in response to loss of *Peg3* suggested an impact on placental hormones [57; 108]. Together with our data on Phlda2, this led us to speculate that placental *Peq3* may also function prenatally to influence maternal behaviour, potentially in an antagonistic manner to *PhIda2*. To test this hypothesis, we generated females carrying litters of all *Peg3* mutant pups, this time by natural mating of wild type dams with males homozygous for the Peg3 targeted allele [109]. We observed very subtle changes in maternal novelty reactivity during pregnancy but no significant alterations in gene expression in the prenatal hypothalamus or hippocampus, at least at E16.5. After birth, dams exposed to Peg3 mutant pups were slower to retrieve but showed no differences in nest building or the care of their pups during the nest building task. However, during the elevated zero maze task, which measures anxiety, the exposed dams showed evidence of increased anxiety. When we measured USVs, we found that the Peg3 mutant pups displayed fewer calls. Together, these data suggest that *Peg3* predominantly influences maternal care in the postnatal period through influencing direct communication to the mother. A major caveat to this conclusion is that we did not undertake a cross fostering experiment to isolate the pre- and post-natal influence of Peg3. A further caveat is our recent finding of a sexually dimorphic placental endocrine phenotype in *Peg3* mutant mice (Tunster, in press). Loss of function of Peg3 results in a substantial loss of both the spongiotrophoblast and the glycogen cell lineage in male placenta, with female placenta showing an attenuated phenotype. Furthermore, when we examined the expression of placental hormones, female placenta showed very few alterations and some placental hormones were actually expressed at higher levels, despite the loss of spongiotrophoblast cells expressing these hormones. This finding is explained through a second sexually dimorphic function of Peg3 as a transcriptional repressor of some placental hormone genes [57]. Effectively, loss of Peg3 results in fewer endocrine cells expressing higher levels of a subset of hormones both positively and negatively regulating the production of placental hormones with different consequences for male and female placenta. This new observation adds a further layer of complexity to our interpretation of the maternal behavioural changes in response to loss of

offspring *Peg3*. Since mouse litters are composed of both male and female foetuses, the presence of female placenta may "rescue" deficits in the mutant male placenta.

Overall, these experiments highlight the bidirectional relationship between the mother and her offspring. *Phlda2*, which has been silenced by the paternal genome, functions normally to repress the production of placental hormones whereas *Peg3*, silenced by the maternal germline, functions to promote the production of placental hormones, and is also required for pups to effectively communicate to their mothers. During the evolution of mammals, paternalisation of *Phlda2* may have boosted maternal care via the increased production of placental hormones whereas maternalisation of *Peg3* may have decreased maternal care by decreasing the production of placental hormone and decreasing the vocal demands from the pup. *Phlda2* is not imprinted in marsupials [110] but it is not known when, during the evolution of mammals, *Peg3* acquired an imprinted status [111]. Nonetheless, this pre- and post-natal sequence of events suggests a continual and subtle rebalancing of maternal care allocation in mammals through the action of imprinted genes. Moreover, based on their function in regulating placental endocrine lineages [95], we predict that a number of other imprinted genes will similarly influence maternal care giving.

#### **Relevance to humans**

Studies on the function of imprinted genes in instructing maternal behaviour have been performed solely in mice. However, there is indirect data to suggest that these genes may have some similar functions in other mammals including humans. Higher placental expression of *PHLDA2* has been reported in association with low birth weight in a number of studies [112]. Traditionally, infants are classified as low birth weight if born weighing less than 2.5 kg at any gestational age (United Nations Children's Fund and World Health Organisation 2004). Low birth weight can be a consequence of a premature birth or fetal growth restriction where the baby has not reached its genetic growth potential, or both factors. Mice with two-fold expression of *Phlda2*, similar to the level reported in affected human pregnancies, display a slowdown in fetal growth late in gestation and are born low birth weight supporting a causal role for elevated PHLDA2 in driving fetal growth restriction and consequent low birth weight in human pregnancies. The inverse correlation between placental PHLDA2 expression and maternal serum levels of human placental lactogen [113] further suggests that PHLDA2 negatively regulates the production of placental hormones in humans and well as mice. Although there are substantial differences in the placental structure between man and mouse, and also in the type and function of placental hormones [114], this suggests the possibility that *PHLDA2* may have a role in the induction of maternal care in human pregnancies. Little is known about the quality of care mothers provide to their

low birth weight newborn, and any observations are likely confounded by multiple factors surrounding the birth. However, while maternal care and maternal depression are two very different facets of pregnancy, depression could be a manifestation of miss programmed maternal behaviour in pregnancy. Both preterm birth and low birth weight are more common in pregnancies where mothers report depressive symptoms [115] and mothers of preterm birth and low birth infants are more likely to suffer postpartum depression [116]. In our study of placental gene expression in relation to prenatal clinically diagnosed depression, we did not find any association with PHLDA2 expression levels in the placenta [117]. However, this was a small number of women and we cannot formally exclude a role for placental PHLDA2 in maternal mood disorders or postnatal care provision. In this same study we did find markedly reduced expression of *PEG3* in both the placenta from pregnancies where women were clinically diagnosed with depression and also those pregnancies where women selfreported depressive symptoms in pregnancy. This association was only apparent in the placenta from boys exposed to depression and not girls. Given our finding of a sexually dimorphic response of the mouse placenta to loss of Peg3 function (Tunster, in press), this lends support to a causal role for reduced Peg3 contributing to depression in human pregnancies. While we did not observe indicators of depressive symptoms in our Peg3 mouse model, we did not use extensive tests for depression due to the pregnant status of the dams but dams were more anxious postpartum. Depression and anxiety are comorbid in human pregnancies and further work will be is essential to distinguish between the prenatal impact of placental dysfunction and the postnatal impact of reduced communication in this model.

In summary, imprinted genes function in many aspects of development and behaviour but the often the catastrophic impact of loss of function can preclude a meaningful interpretation of the function of the imprint. Demonstrating that imprinted genes expressed in the offspring can influence maternal behaviour [42; 109], and more critically, boost the quality of maternal care, lends much greater weight to the idea that maternal care provision is a resource which is manipulated by the parental genomes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

HDJC was supported by BBSRC grant BB/P002307 and GIM was supported by MRC grant MR/M013960.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT:

Since September 2017, GMN has been employed by Frontiers Media SA. GMN declared her affiliation with Frontiers. RMJ is chief Specialty editor of *Frontiers in Cell and Development Biology*. The other authors declare that the review was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

#### References

- [1] M.A. Surani, Imprinting and the initiation of gene silencing in the germ line. Cell 93 (1998) 309-12.
- [2] R.L. Trivers, Parent-Offspring Conflict. Integrative and Comparative Biology 14 (1974) 249–264.
- [3] D. Haig, Genetic conflicts in human pregnancy. Q Rev Biol 68 (1993) 495-532.
- [4] L. Lefebvre, S. Viville, S.C. Barton, F. Ishino, E.B. Keverne, and M.A. Surani, Abnormal maternal behaviour and growth retardation associated with loss of the imprinted gene Mest [see comments]. Nat Genet 20 (1998) 163-9.
- [5] W. Saltzman, and D. Maestripieri, The neuroendocrinology of primate maternal behavior. Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry 35 (2011) 1192-204.
- [6] A. Kaffman, and M.J. Meaney, Neurodevelopmental sequelae of postnatal maternal care in rodents: clinical and research implications of molecular insights. Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines 48 (2007) 224-44.
- [7] J.L. Cameron, K.L. Eagleson, N.A. Fox, T.K. Hensch, and P. Levitt, Social Origins of Developmental Risk for Mental and Physical Illness. J Neurosci 37 (2017) 10783-10791.
- [8] P.J. Brunton, and J.A. Russell, The expectant brain: adapting for motherhood. Nat Rev Neurosci 9 (2008) 11-25.
- [9] B. Franks, Curley, J.P. and Champagne, F.A., Measuring Variations in Maternal Behavior: Relevance for Studies of Mood and Anxiety. in: T.D. Gould, (Ed.), Mood and Anxiety Related Phenotypes in Mice, Humana Press, Humana Press, 2011.
- [10] R.D. Lisk, Pretlow, R.A. and Friedman, S.M., Hormonal stimulation necessary for elicitation of maternal nest-building in the mouse (Mus musculus). Animal Behaviour 17 (1969) 730-737.
- [11] S.D. Caughey, S.M. Klampfl, V.R. Bishop, J. Pfoertsch, I.D. Neumann, O.J. Bosch, and S.L. Meddle, Changes in the intensity of maternal aggression and central oxytocin

and vasopressin V1a receptors across the peripartum period in the rat. J Neuroendocrinol 23 (2011) 1113-24.

- [12] O.J. Bosch, Maternal aggression in rodents: brain oxytocin and vasopressin mediate pup defence. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 368 (2013) 20130085.
- [13] D. Grattan, A mother's brain knows. J Neuroendocrinol 23 (2012) 1188-9.
- [14] R.S. Bridges, Neuroendocrine regulation of maternal behavior. Frontiers in neuroendocrinology 36 (2015) 178-96.
- [15] H. Moltz, M. Lubin, M. Leon, and M. Numan, Hormonal induction of maternal behavior in the ovariectomized nulliparous rat. Physiol Behav 5 (1970) 1373-7.
- [16] R.S. Bridges, R. DiBiase, D.D. Loundes, and P.C. Doherty, Prolactin stimulation of maternal behavior in female rats. Science 227 (1985) 782-4.
- [17] M. Angoa-Perez, M.J. Kane, C.E. Sykes, S.A. Perrine, M.W. Church, and D.M. Kuhn, Brain serotonin determines maternal behavior and offspring survival. Genes, brain, and behavior 13 (2014) 579-91.
- [18] N. Scott, M. Prigge, O. Yizhar, and T. Kimchi, A sexually dimorphic hypothalamic circuit controls maternal care and oxytocin secretion. Nature 525 (2015) 519-22.
- [19] C.W. Henschen, R.D. Palmiter, and M. Darvas, Restoration of dopamine signaling to the dorsal striatum is sufficient for aspects of active maternal behavior in female mice. Endocrinology 154 (2013) 4316-27.
- [20] I. Koukoulas, J. Risvanis, R. Douglas-Denton, L.M. Burrell, K.M. Moritz, and E.M. Wintour, Vasopressin receptor expression in the placenta. Biol Reprod 69 (2003) 679-86.
- [21] S.E. Fahrbach, J.I. Morrell, and D.W. Pfaff, Oxytocin induction of short-latency maternal behavior in nulliparous, estrogen-primed female rats. Horm Behav 18 (1984) 267-86.
- [22] B.J. Marlin, M. Mitre, A. D'Amour J, M.V. Chao, and R.C. Froemke, Oxytocin enables maternal behaviour by balancing cortical inhibition. Nature 520 (2015) 499-504.
- [23] E.S.L.T. Parreiras, P. Vargas-Pinilla, D.A. Duarte, D. Longo, G.V. Espinoza Pardo, A. Dulor Finkler, V.R. Paixao-Cortes, P. Pare, D.L. Rovaris, E.B. Oliveira, R.A. Caceres, G.L. Goncalves, M. Bouvier, F.M. Salzano, A.B. Lucion, C.M. Costa-Neto, and M.C. Bortolini, Functional New World monkey oxytocin forms elicit an altered signaling profile and promotes parental care in rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114 (2017) 9044-9049.
- [24] O.J. Bosch, and I.D. Neumann, Brain vasopressin is an important regulator of maternal behavior independent of dams' trait anxiety. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105 (2008) 17139-44.

- [25] M.O. Kim, J.H. Kim, W.S. Choi, B.H. Lee, G.J. Cho, S.M. Roh, B.J. Lee, S.G. Kang, C.H. Kim, and S.H. Baik, Colocalization of dopamine D1 and D2 receptor mRNAs in rat placenta. Mol Cells 7 (1997) 710-4.
- [26] T. Shingo, C. Gregg, E. Enwere, H. Fujikawa, R. Hassam, C. Geary, J.C. Cross, and S. Weiss, Pregnancy-stimulated neurogenesis in the adult female forebrain mediated by prolactin. Science 299 (2003) 117-20.
- [27] M.J. Soares, The prolactin and growth hormone families: pregnancy-specific hormones/cytokines at the maternal-fetal interface. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2 (2004) 51.
- [28] L. Torner, N. Toschi, A. Pohlinger, R. Landgraf, and I.D. Neumann, Anxiolytic and antistress effects of brain prolactin: improved efficacy of antisense targeting of the prolactin receptor by molecular modeling. J Neurosci 21 (2001) 3207-14.
- [29] L. Torner, N. Toschi, G. Nava, C. Clapp, and I.D. Neumann, Increased hypothalamic expression of prolactin in lactation: involvement in behavioural and neuroendocrine stress responses. Eur J Neurosci 15 (2002) 1381-9.
- [30] I.C. Kokay, P.M. Bull, R.L. Davis, M. Ludwig, and D.R. Grattan, Expression of the long form of the prolactin receptor in magnocellular oxytocin neurons is associated with specific prolactin regulation of oxytocin neurons. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 290 (2006) R1216-25.
- [31] M.E. Freeman, B. Kanyicska, A. Lerant, and G. Nagy, Prolactin: structure, function, and regulation of secretion. Physiol Rev 80 (2000) 1523-631.
- [32] C.M. Larsen, and D.R. Grattan, Prolactin, neurogenesis, and maternal behaviors. Brain Behav Immun 26 (2012) 201-9.
- [33] T.L. Walker, J. Vukovic, M.M. Koudijs, D.G. Blackmore, E.W. Mackay, A.M. Sykes, R.W. Overall, A.S. Hamlin, and P.F. Bartlett, Prolactin stimulates precursor cells in the adult mouse hippocampus. PloS one 7 (2012) e44371.
- [34] A. Rolls, H. Schori, A. London, and M. Schwartz, Decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis during pregnancy: a link to immunity. Mol Psychiatry 13 (2008) 468-9.
- [35] B.K. Lucas, C.J. Ormandy, N. Binart, R.S. Bridges, and P.A. Kelly, Null mutation of the prolactin receptor gene produces a defect in maternal behavior. Endocrinology 139 (1998) 4102-7.
- [36] N.D. Horseman, W. Zhao, E. Montecino-Rodriguez, M. Tanaka, K. Nakashima, S.J. Engle, F. Smith, E. Markoff, and K. Dorshkind, Defective mammopoiesis, but normal hematopoiesis, in mice with a targeted disruption of the prolactin gene. EMBO J 16 (1997) 6926-35.
- [37] M.J. Soares, T. Konno, and S.M. Alam, The prolactin family: effectors of pregnancydependent adaptations. Trends Endocrinol Metab 18 (2007) 114-21.

- [38] R.S. Bridges, and M.S. Freemark, Human placental lactogen infusions into the medial preoptic area stimulate maternal behavior in steroid-primed, nulliparous female rats. Horm Behav 29 (1995) 216-26.
- [39] H.I. Siegel, and J.S. Rosenblatt, Duration of estrogen stimulation and progesterone inhibition of maternal behavior in pregnancy-terminated rats. Horm Behav 11 (1978) 12-9.
- [40] A. Malassine, J.L. Frendo, and D. Evain-Brion, A comparison of placental development and endocrine functions between the human and mouse model. Hum Reprod Update 9 (2003) 531-9.
- [41] J. Arensburg, A.H. Payne, and J. Orly, Expression of steroidogenic genes in maternal and extraembryonic cells during early pregnancy in mice. Endocrinology 140 (1999) 5220-32.
- [42] H.D.J. Creeth, G.I. McNamara, S.J. Tunster, R. Boque-Sastre, B. Allen, L. Sumption, J.B. Eddy, A.R. Isles, and R.M. John, Maternal care boosted by paternal imprinting in mammals. PLoS Biol 16 (2018) e2006599.
- [43] A. Bonnin, N. Goeden, K. Chen, M.L. Wilson, J. King, J.C. Shih, R.D. Blakely, E.S. Deneris, and P. Levitt, A transient placental source of serotonin for the fetal forebrain. Nature 472 (2011) 347-50.
- [44] K. Knox, D. Leuenberger, A.A. Penn, and J.C. Baker, Global hormone profiling of murine placenta reveals Secretin expression. Placenta 32 (2011) 811-6.
- [45] Z. Wu, A.E. Autry, J.F. Bergan, M. Watabe-Uchida, and C.G. Dulac, Galanin neurons in the medial preoptic area govern parental behaviour. Nature 509 (2014) 325-30.
- [46] T. Yamagata, H. Urano, E.J. Weeber, D.L. Nelson, and I. Nishijima, Impaired hippocampal synaptic function in secretin deficient mice. Neuroscience 154 (2008) 1417-22.
- [47] I. Nishijima, T. Yamagata, C.M. Spencer, E.J. Weeber, O. Alekseyenko, J.D. Sweatt, M.Y. Momoi, M. Ito, D.L. Armstrong, D.L. Nelson, R. Paylor, and A. Bradley, Secretin receptor-deficient mice exhibit impaired synaptic plasticity and social behavior. Hum Mol Genet 15 (2006) 3241-50.
- [48] T. Kaneko-Ishino, Y. Kuroiwa, N. Miyoshi, T. Kohda, R. Suzuki, M. Yokoyama, S. Viville,
  S.C. Barton, F. Ishino, and M.A. Surani, *Peg1/Mest* imprinted gene on chromosome
  6 identified by cDNA subtraction hybridization. Nature Genetics 11 (1995) 52-59.
- [49] D. Lucifero, C. Mertineit, H.J. Clarke, T.H. Bestor, and J.M. Trasler, Methylation dynamics of imprinted genes in mouse germ cells. Genomics 79 (2002) 530-8.
- [50] L. Lefebvre, S. Viville, S.C. Barton, F. Ishino, and M.A. Surani, Genomic structure and parent-of-origin-specific methylation of Peg1. Hum Mol Genet 6 (1997) 1907-15.

- [51] D.L. Ollis, E. Cheah, M. Cygler, B. Dijkstra, F. Frolow, S.M. Franken, M. Harel, S.J. Remington, I. Silman, J. Schrag, and et al., The alpha/beta hydrolase fold. Protein Eng 5 (1992) 197-211.
- [52] S. Mesman, J.A. van Hooft, and M.P. Smidt, Mest/Peg1 Is Essential for the Development and Maintenance of a SNc Neuronal Subset. Front Mol Neurosci 9 (2016) 166.
- [53] L. Li, E.B. Keverne, S.A. Aparicio, F. Ishino, S.C. Barton, and M.A. Surani, Regulation of maternal behavior and offspring growth by paternally expressed Peg3. Science 284 (1999) 330-3.
- [54] y. Kuroiwa, T. Kaneko-Ishino, F. Kagitani, T. Kohda, L.-L. Li, M. Tada, R. Suzuki, M. Yokoyama, T. Shiroishi, S. Wakana, S.C. Barton, F. Ishino, and M.A. Surani, *Peg3* imprinted gene on proximal chromosome 7 encodes for a zinc finger protein. Nature Genetics 12 (1996) 186-190.
- [55] M.M. Thiaville, J.M. Huang, H. Kim, M.B. Ekram, T.Y. Roh, and J. Kim, DNA-binding motif and target genes of the imprinted transcription factor PEG3. Gene 512 (2013) 314-20.
- [56] S. Lee, A. Ye, and J. Kim, DNA-Binding Motif of the Imprinted Transcription Factor PEG3. PloS one 10 (2015) e0145531.
- [57] J. Kim, W.D. Frey, H. He, H. Kim, M.B. Ekram, A. Bakshi, M. Faisal, B.P. Perera, A. Ye, and R. Teruyama, Peg3 mutational effects on reproduction and placenta-specific gene families. PloS one 8 (2013) e83359.
- [58] B.P. Perera, and J. Kim, Alternative promoters of Peg3 with maternal specificity. Scientific reports 6 (2016) 24438.
- [59] A.L. Denizot, V. Besson, R.M. Correra, A. Mazzola, I. Lopes, J.R. Courbard, G. Marazzi, and D.A. Sassoon, A Novel Mutant Allele of Pw1/Peg3 Does Not Affect Maternal Behavior or Nursing Behavior. PLoS genetics 12 (2016) e1006053.
- [60] J.P. Curley, S.B. Pinnock, S.L. Dickson, R. Thresher, N. Miyoshi, M.A. Surani, and E.B. Keverne, Increased body fat in mice with a targeted mutation of the paternally expressed imprinted gene Peg3. Faseb J 19 (2005) 1302-4.
- [61] W.T. Swaney, J.P. Curley, F.A. Champagne, and E.B. Keverne, The paternally expressed gene Peg3 regulates sexual experience-dependent preferences for estrous odors. Behav Neurosci 122 (2008) 963-73.
- [62] F.A. Champagne, J.P. Curley, E.B. Keverne, and P.P. Bateson, Natural variations in postpartum maternal care in inbred and outbred mice. Physiol Behav 91 (2007) 325-34.
- [63] F.A. Champagne, J.P. Curley, W.T. Swaney, N.S. Hasen, and E.B. Keverne, Paternal influence on female behavior: the role of Peg3 in exploration, olfaction, and

neuroendocrine regulation of maternal behavior of female mice. Behav Neurosci 123 (2009) 469-80.

- [64] J.P. Stohn, M.E. Martinez, M. Zafer, D. Lopez-Espindola, L.M. Keyes, and A. Hernandez, Increased aggression and lack of maternal behavior in Dio3-deficient mice are associated with abnormalities in oxytocin and vasopressin systems. Genes, brain, and behavior 17 (2018) 23-35.
- [65] A. Hernandez, S. Fiering, E. Martinez, V.A. Galton, and D. St Germain, The gene locus encoding iodothyronine deiodinase type 3 (Dio3) is imprinted in the fetus and expresses antisense transcripts. Endocrinology 143 (2002) 4483-6.
- [66] C.E. Tsai, S.P. Lin, M. Ito, N. Takagi, S. Takada, and A.C. Ferguson-Smith, Genomic imprinting contributes to thyroid hormone metabolism in the mouse embryo. Curr Biol 12 (2002) 1221-6.
- [67] S. Takada, M. Paulsen, M. Tevendale, C.E. Tsai, G. Kelsey, B.M. Cattanach, and A.C. Ferguson-Smith, Epigenetic analysis of the Dlk1-Gtl2 imprinted domain on mouse chromosome 12: implications for imprinting control from comparison with lgf2-H19. Hum Mol Genet 11 (2002) 77-86.
- [68] M.E. Martinez, M. Charalambous, A. Saferali, S. Fiering, A.K. Naumova, D. St Germain, A.C. Ferguson-Smith, and A. Hernandez, Genomic imprinting variations in the mouse type 3 deiodinase gene between tissues and brain regions. Mol Endocrinol 28 (2014) 1875-86.
- [69] A. Hernandez, Structure and function of the type 3 deiodinase gene. Thyroid 15 (2005) 865-74.
- [70] M. Charalambous, and A. Hernandez, Genomic imprinting of the type 3 thyroid hormone deiodinase gene: regulation and developmental implications. Biochim Biophys Acta 1830 (2013) 3946-55.
- [71] K.M. Hillerer, V.R. Jacobs, T. Fischer, and L. Aigner, The maternal brain: an organ with peripartal plasticity. Neural Plast 2014 (2014) 574159.
- [72] J.D. Perez, N.D. Rubinstein, and C. Dulac, New Perspectives on Genomic Imprinting, an Essential and Multifaceted Mode of Epigenetic Control in the Developing and Adult Brain. Annual review of neuroscience 39 (2016) 347-84.
- [73] H.M.a.S. Zippelius, W.M., Ultraschall-Laute bei jungen Mäusen. Die Naturwissenschaften 43 (1956) 502-502.
- [74] M. Wohr, and R.K. Schwarting, Affective communication in rodents: ultrasonic vocalizations as a tool for research on emotion and motivation. Cell Tissue Res 354 (2013) 81-97.

- [75] M.L. Scattoni, J. Crawley, and L. Ricceri, Ultrasonic vocalizations: a tool for behavioural phenotyping of mouse models of neurodevelopmental disorders. Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews 33 (2009) 508-15.
- [76] F.R. D'Amato, E. Scalera, C. Sarli, and A. Moles, Pups call, mothers rush: does maternal responsiveness affect the amount of ultrasonic vocalizations in mouse pups? Behav Genet 35 (2005) 103-12.
- [77] S. Okabe, M. Nagasawa, T. Kihara, M. Kato, T. Harada, N. Koshida, K. Mogi, and T. Kikusui, Pup odor and ultrasonic vocalizations synergistically stimulate maternal attention in mice. Behav Neurosci 127 (2013) 432-8.
- [78] Y.H. Jiang, Y. Pan, L. Zhu, L. Landa, J. Yoo, C. Spencer, I. Lorenzo, M. Brilliant, J. Noebels, and A.L. Beaudet, Altered ultrasonic vocalization and impaired learning and memory in Angelman syndrome mouse model with a large maternal deletion from Ube3a to Gabrb3. PloS one 5 (2010) e12278.
- [79] S.E. Smith, Y.D. Zhou, G. Zhang, Z. Jin, D.C. Stoppel, and M.P. Anderson, Increased gene dosage of Ube3a results in autism traits and decreased glutamate synaptic transmission in mice. Sci Transl Med 3 (2011) 103ra97.
- [80] B. Svare, M. Mann, and O. Samuels, Mice: suckling stimulation but not lactation important for maternal aggression. Behav Neural Biol 29 (1980) 453-62.
- [81] B. Svare, and R. Gandelman, Postpartum aggression in mice: the influence of suckling stimulation. Horm Behav 7 (1976) 407-16.
- [82] F. Schaller, F. Watrin, R. Sturny, A. Massacrier, P. Szepetowski, and F. Muscatelli, A single postnatal injection of oxytocin rescues the lethal feeding behaviour in mouse newborns deficient for the imprinted Magel2 gene. Hum Mol Genet 19 (2010) 4895-905.
- [83] J.P. Curley, S. Barton, A. Surani, and E.B. Keverne, Coadaptation in mother and infant regulated by a paternally expressed imprinted gene. Proc Biol Sci 271 (2004) 1303-9.
- [84] M. Cowley, A.S. Garfield, M. Madon-Simon, M. Charalambous, R.W. Clarkson, M.J. Smalley, H. Kendrick, A.R. Isles, A.J. Parry, S. Carney, R.J. Oakey, L.K. Heisler, K. Moorwood, J.B. Wolf, and A. Ward, Developmental programming mediated by complementary roles of imprinted Grb10 in mother and pup. PLoS Biol 12 (2014) e1001799.
- [85] M. Charalambous, S.R. Ferron, S.T. da Rocha, A.J. Murray, T. Rowland, M. Ito, K. Schuster-Gossler, A. Hernandez, and A.C. Ferguson-Smith, Imprinted gene dosage is critical for the transition to independent life. Cell Metab 15 (2012) 209-21.
- [86] M. Van De Pette, S.J. Tunster, G.I. McNamara, T. Shelkovnikova, S. Millership, L. Benson, S. Peirson, M. Christian, A. Vidal-Puig, and R.M. John, Cdkn1c Boosts the

Development of Brown Adipose Tissue in a Murine Model of Silver Russell Syndrome. PLoS genetics 12 (2016) e1005916.

- [87] E. Paulo, D. Wu, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, Y. Wu, D.L. Swaney, M. Soucheray, D. Jimenez-Morales, A. Chawla, N.J. Krogan, and B. Wang, Sympathetic inputs regulate adaptive thermogenesis in brown adipose tissue through cAMP-Salt inducible kinase axis. Scientific reports 8 (2018) 11001.
- [88] R. John, and M. Hemberger, A placenta for life. Reprod Biomed Online 25 (2012) 5-11.
- [89] D.G. Simmons, A.L. Fortier, and J.C. Cross, Diverse subtypes and developmental origins of trophoblast giant cells in the mouse placenta. Dev Biol 304 (2007) 567-78.
- [90] D.G. Simmons, S. Rawn, A. Davies, M. Hughes, and J.C. Cross, Spatial and temporal expression of the 23 murine Prolactin/Placental Lactogen-related genes is not associated with their position in the locus. BMC genomics 9 (2008) 352.
- [91] M. Gasperowicz, C. Surmann-Schmitt, Y. Hamada, F. Otto, and J.C. Cross, The transcriptional co-repressor TLE3 regulates development of trophoblast giant cells lining maternal blood spaces in the mouse placenta. Dev Biol 382 (2013) 1-14.
- [92] A. Rai, and J.C. Cross, Development of the hemochorial maternal vascular spaces in the placenta through endothelial and vasculogenic mimicry. Dev Biol 387 (2014) 131-41.
- [93] P.M. Coan, N. Conroy, G.J. Burton, and A.C. Ferguson-Smith, Origin and characteristics of glycogen cells in the developing murine placenta. Dev Dyn 235 (2006) 3280-94.
- [94] T. Moore, and G.S. Dveksler, Pregnancy-specific glycoproteins: complex gene families regulating maternal-fetal interactions. Int J Dev Biol 58 (2014) 273-80.
- [95] R.M. John, Epigenetic regulation of placental endocrine lineages and complications of pregnancy. Biochem Soc Trans 41 (2013) 701-9.
- [96] S.J. Tunster, H.D. Creeth, and R.M. John, The imprinted PhIda2 gene modulates a major endocrine compartment of the placenta to regulate placental demands for maternal resources. Dev Biol 409 (2016) 251-60.
- [97] D. Frank, C.L. Mendelsohn, E. Ciccone, K. Svensson, R. Ohlsson, and B. Tycko, A novel pleckstrin homology-related gene family defined by lpl/Tssc3, TDAG51, and Tih1: tissue-specific expression, chromosomal location, and parental imprinting. Mamm Genome 10 (1999) 1150-9.
- [98] S.L. Dunwoodie, and R.S. Beddington, The expression of the imprinted gene lpl is restricted to extra-embryonic tissues and embryonic lateral mesoderm during early mouse development. Int J Dev Biol 46 (2002) 459-66.

- [99] D. Frank, W. Fortino, L. Clark, R. Musalo, W. Wang, A. Saxena, C.M. Li, W. Reik, T. Ludwig, and B. Tycko, Placental overgrowth in mice lacking the imprinted gene lpl. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99 (2002) 7490-5.
- [100] M. Salas, R. John, A. Saxena, S. Barton, D. Frank, G. Fitzpatrick, M.J. Higgins, and B. Tycko, Placental growth retardation due to loss of imprinting of PhIda2. Mech Dev 121 (2004) 1199-210.
- [101] S.J. Tunster, B. Tycko, and R.M. John, The imprinted Phida2 gene regulates extraembryonic energy stores. Mol Cell Biol 30 (2010) 295-306.
- [102] S.J. Tunster, M. Van De Pette, and R.M. John, Isolating the role of elevated PhIda2 in asymmetric late fetal growth restriction in mice. Dis Model Mech 7 (2014) 1185-91.
- [103] D.S. Stolzenberg, and F.A. Champagne, Hormonal and non-hormonal bases of maternal behavior: The role of experience and epigenetic mechanisms. Horm Behav 77 (2016) 204-10.
- [104] F. Levy, and M. Keller, Olfactory mediation of maternal behavior in selected mammalian species. Behavioural brain research 200 (2009) 336-45.
- [105] L.R. Brooks, C.D. Le, W.C. Chung, and P.S. Tsai, Maternal behavior in transgenic mice with reduced fibroblast growth factor receptor function in gonadotropinreleasing hormone neurons. Behav Brain Funct 8 (2012) 47.
- [106] D.R. Grattan, C.L. Jasoni, X. Liu, G.M. Anderson, and A.E. Herbison, Prolactin regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons to suppress luteinizing hormone secretion in mice. Endocrinology 148 (2007) 4344-51.
- [107] S.E. Hiby, M. Lough, E.B. Keverne, M.A. Surani, Y.W. Loke, and A. King, Paternal monoallelic expression of PEG3 in the human placenta. Hum Mol Genet 10 (2001) 1093-100.
- [108] K.D. Broad, and E.B. Keverne, Placental protection of the fetal brain during short-term food deprivation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108 (2011) 15237-41.
- [109] G.I. McNamara, H.D.J. Creeth, D.J. Harrison, K.E. Tansey, R.M. Andrews, A.R. Isles, and R.M. John, Loss of offspring Peg3 reduces neonatal ultrasonic vocalizations and increases maternal anxiety in wild-type mothers. Hum Mol Genet 27 (2018) 440-450.
- [110] S. Suzuki, G. Shaw, T. Kaneko-Ishino, F. Ishino, and M.B. Renfree, Characterisation of marsupial PHLDA2 reveals eutherian specific acquisition of imprinting. BMC evolutionary biology 11 (2011) 244.
- [111] S. Suzuki, G. Shaw, T. Kaneko-Ishino, F. Ishino, and M.B. Renfree, The evolution of mammalian genomic imprinting was accompanied by the acquisition of novel CpG islands. Genome Biol Evol 3 (2011) 1276-83.
- [112] A.B. Jensen, S.J. Tunster, and R.M. John, The significance of elevated placental PHLDA2 in human growth restricted pregnancies. Placenta 35 (2014) 528-32.

- [113] A.B. Janssen, S.J. Tunster, A.E. Heazell, and R.M. John, Placental PHLDA2 expression is increased in cases of fetal growth restriction following reduced fetal movements. BMC medical genetics 17 (2016) 17.
- [114] A.M. Carter, Evolution of placental function in mammals: the molecular basis of gas and nutrient transfer, hormone secretion, and immune responses. Physiol Rev 92 (2012) 1543-76.
- [115] N.K. Grote, J.A. Bridge, A.R. Gavin, J.L. Melville, S. Iyengar, and W.J. Katon, A metaanalysis of depression during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and intrauterine growth restriction. Archives of general psychiatry 67 (2010) 1012-24.
- [116] S.N. Vigod, L. Villegas, C.L. Dennis, and L.E. Ross, Prevalence and risk factors for postpartum depression among women with preterm and low-birth-weight infants: a systematic review. BJOG 117 (2010) 540-50.
- [117] A.B. Janssen, L.E. Capron, K. O'Donnell, S.J. Tunster, P.G. Ramchandani, A.E. Heazell, V. Glover, and R.M. John, Maternal prenatal depression is associated with decreased placental expression of the imprinted gene PEG3. Psychological medicine 46 (2016) 2999-3011.





### Highlights

- Many gene deletions in the dam, including the imprinted genes Peg1, Peg3 and Dio3, result in maternal care deficits.
- Deletion of the offspring's maternally-expressed *Phlda2* enlarges a placental endocrine lineage boosting maternal care
- Paternally-expressed *Peg3* functions antagonistically to *PhIda2* in the fetallyderived placenta
- Disruption of *Peg3* also limits pup communication (USVs) exposing dams to a two-hit penalty.
- Maternal care is a resource influenced by both parental genomes carried by the offspring