

# ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/126469/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Savory, Katrina, Manivannan, Susruta, Zaben, Malik , Uzun, Orhan and Syed, Yasir Ahmed 2020. Impact of copy number variation on human neurocognitive deficits and congenital heart defects: a systematic review. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 108 , pp. 83-93. 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.10.020

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.10.020

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# Impact of copy number variation on human neurocognitive deficits and congenital heart defects: a systematic review

Katrina Savory<sup>a,b</sup>, Susruta Manivannan<sup>a</sup>, Malik Zaben<sup>a</sup>, Orhan Uzun<sup>c</sup>, Yasir Ahmed Syed<sup>a,b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Neuroscience and Mental Health Research Institute (NMHRI), Hadyn Ellis Building, Cathays, Cardiff, UK, CF24 4HQ <sup>b</sup>School of Bioscience, The Sir Martin Evans Building, Museum Ave, Cardiff, UK, CF10 3AX <sup>c</sup>University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff, UK, CF14 4XW

\*Corresponding author Yasir Ahmed Syed Neuroscience and Mental Health Research Institute, Hadyn Ellis Building, Cardiff, CF24 4HQ syedy@cardiff.ac.uk +44(0)2920 688 314

#### <u>Abstract</u>

Copy number variant (CNV) syndromes are often associated with both neurocognitive deficits (NCDs) and congenital heart defects (CHDs). Children and adults with cardiac developmental defects likely to have NCDs leading to increased risk of hospitalisation and reduce independence. To date, the association between these two phenotypes have not been explored in relation to CNV syndromes. In order to address this question, we systematically reviewed the prevalence of CHDs in a range of CNV syndromes associated with NCDs. A meta-analysis showed a relationship with the size of CNV and its association with both NCDs and CHDs, and also inheritance pattern. To our knowledge, this is the first review to establish association between NCD and CHDs in CNV patients, specifically in relation to the severity of NCD. Importantly, we found specific types of CHDs were associated with severe neurocognitive deficits. Finally, we discuss the implications of these results for patients in the clinical setting which warrants further exploration of this association in order to lead improvement in the quality of patient's life.

#### **Abbreviations**

CNV; copy number variant, NCD; neurocognitive deficit, CHD; congenital heart defect, ADHD; attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, ASD; autism spectrum disorder, ASD; atrial septal defect, VSD; ventral septal defect, PDA; Patent ductus arteriosus

#### Keywords

Copy number variant syndrome; neurodevelopment; neurocognitive deficit; congenital heart defect

#### 1. Introduction

Copy number variant (CNV) syndromes are caused by rearrangements of DNA (commonly a deletion or duplication) in a section of a chromosome leading to disruption of a functional DNA segment. The amount of DNA either deleted or duplicated can vary in length, even within CNV syndromes, and is generally regarded as likely to be pathogenic if over 100kb in length[1]. Increases or decreases in the CNVs have been associated with an array of neurological, psychiatric and developmental phenotypes including intellectual disability[2], schizophrenia[3], autism[4] and ADHD[5]. The presence of environmental factors, along with CNVs can also lead to increase or decrease in severity of the disease phenotype[6]. There are currently 67 CNV syndromes associated with developmental disorders [7]. Another phenotype often associated with CNVs are heart defects[8, 9]. Genome wide association studies (GWAS) have provided evidence suggesting that CNVs are one of the significant contributors to increased risk for congenital heart disease in conjunction with neurodevelopment[10], neurodevelopmental disorders[11] and neurocognitive deficits (NCD)[12-14]. Approximately 14% of infants with single ventricle heart defects were reported to have CNVs in comparison to 4.4% of control subjects and these accompanied neurocognitive decline and retarded somatic growth[15]. Adults with congenital heart defects (CHDs) are at an increased risk of developing neuropsychiatric symptoms such as anxiety, depression, and impaired functioning involving language impairment, social cognitive decline and delayed progression to adulthood[16-18]. However, their prevalence and the likely mechanisms through which these developmental deficits may occur due to the presence of CNVs has often been limited due to the lack of longitudinal studies. Besides, there is a major effect of ascertainment bias in our knowledge of the natural history of these conditions.

The vast majority of previous research has looked at the relationship between neurodevelopment and congenital heart defects (CHDs) from the perspective of CHD cohort patients. This is to be expected as CHD appears early in life and enables prospective studies of neurodevelopment[19]. Many factors have been put forward as to why CHDs are associated with neurodevelopmental problems. In the human fetus a large part of the heart and brain development occurs in a similar critical window and the presence of genetic alteration can impact the brain and heart development[20]. Key brain development features such as axon guidance, synapse development and cortical networks seem to be compromised in fetuses with CHDs during the third trimester of pregnancy, which can lead to disrupted neural activity[20, 21]. Studies have shown that even before corrective surgery, brain injury can occur as a result of CHDs[22], associated with impaired fetal blood flow[20]. One disputed cause is cardiac surgery in early infancy. In some cases, surgery has resulted in newly-acquired brain injury [23] and adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes[24, 25], whereas other studies have explained the differences in these outcomes by association with other variables such as demographic[26, 27] and genetic factors[28]. Brain imaging studies in school children and adolescents with CHDs show altered white matter hyperintensities, connectivity[29], which partially explains the possibility as to why we see poorer fine and gross motor function, learning difficulties and lower IQ[13, 24]. Given that CHDs are a lifelong condition, gaining insight into their association with neurodevelopmental/neurocognitive deficits is crucial as it has clinical and public health

To date, there has been no studies have explored the relationship between congenital heart defects and neurodevelopmental disorders in relation to CNV syndromes. To fill this knowledge gap, we performed the systematic review to explore the relationship between neurocognitive deficit and CHDs from the perspective of CNV syndromes. Furthermore, we investigate these associations in the absence of surgery, which could be a compounding factor. Our objective of this study is to establish the prevalence of CHDs in CNVs associated with NCD and specifically what types of heart defects were associated with the cognitive deficits in the CNV patients. Given the exploratory nature of the study, we also looked at genes involved in these CNVs to explore if there can be potential converging gene pathways between the heart and cognitive defects that can explain the co-morbidity features.

relevance in terms of diagnostic assessment and preventative strategies.

#### 2. Methods

This systematic review was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines[30]. The protocol for this systematic review is registered on the PROSPERO database (CRD42019139036).

#### 2.1 Search strategy

A multi-database search was performed (PubMed, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library) using the following search terms: 'neurodevelopmental delay; 'congenital heart defect'; and 'copy number variant'. Articles published in the English language between January 1<sup>st</sup> 1999 and April 30<sup>th</sup> 2019, were extracted between 20<sup>th</sup> March and 30<sup>th</sup> April 2019 **(table S1)**. Studies were extracted from the systematic literature search and selected based on their suitability, following the inclusion and exclusion criteria (**figure 1**). Inclusion criteria included the following: (i) Original CNV patient cohorts; (ii) CNV deletion or duplications; (iii) Patients with NCD and/or CHD; (iv) individual participant data is provided. Exclusion criteria included: (i) studies focusing on cardiac surgery and outcomes.

#### 2.2 Data extraction

Individual participant data were extracted from each study. Data was either provided in the main text or supplementary materials. Participants were excluded for: additional chromosomal abnormalities; surgery before cognitive deficit could be diagnosed; patients described previously; prenatal patients; died before NCD was evident/could be tested. From all included studies, data were extracted for relevant demographics including age and gender of patients. Further, clinical data were extracted for purposes of quantitative comparison: presence of neurocognitive deficit (measured by intellectual disability, mental

delay, mental retardation, learning difficulties, developmental delay, language deficit) and severity; presence of congenital heart defect; size of CNV (Mb).

#### 2.3 .Gene-pathway analysis

Gene-pathway analysis was undertaken to look at any potential converging pathways that implicated both the brain and heart development and functionality. To ensure a comprehensive list of genes, CNVs included in this study and additional CNVs associated with neurocognitive deficit and heart defects reported in[31] were included. Genes associated with the CNVs were acquired from the Decipher database[7] for 1p36, 1q21.1, Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome (WHS), 5p15.2 (Cri du Chat), 7q11.23, 8p23.1, 9q, 16p13.11, 17p11.2 (Potocki-Lupski syndrome /Smith-Magenis Syndrome), 17q21.31 (Koolen de Vries syndrome), 22q11.2 and Xq28 syndromes. For 2q22-q23 (Mowat-Wilson) syndrome, the genes were acquired through NCBI RefSeq[32]. All genes were included if they were protein coding and reported in the OMIM database[33]. Genes were included in the pathway analysis if they had previously been implicated in the brain or heart (according to OMIM) or if they had biased or ubiquitous expression in the brain or heart according to NCBI RefSeq. The gene list was imported into the Reactome database[34] (version 69) and pathway analysis was performed utilising the 'analyse data' tool[35].

#### 2.4 Data and statistical analysis

A meta-analysis was conducted on all included studies using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25. Subgroup analysis was performed on deletion and duplications. Student's *t*-tests were performed to explore the association between CNV size and clinical variables, chi-square tests and a one-way ANOVA were used to look at the association between NCD and CHD, along with CNV size and severity of NCD. Data is presented as mean (M) and standard error of the mean (SEM). Risk of bias in individual studies was assessed using the Quality In Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) tool[33, 36] (**table S2**).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Overview of studies included

In total, 16 studies were included in this review, looking at 12 CNV syndromes (**table 1**). All studies provided data on patients with CNVs and no control patients were looked at. All studies reported on neurocognitive deficit and CHD. The severity of NCD was reported in 10 studies. Neurodevelopmental disorders were reported by 12 studies. A total of 11 studies reported on CNV length. All except two studies reported on the gender of patients.

Neurocognitive deficits were very common among the studies of CNVs, ranging from 40% to 100%; with the majority of studies showing an incidence of neurocognitive deficits towards the upper end of that range. The incidences are shown in **figure 2**. The incidence of heart defects had a wider range from 12% to 100%. Features of the CNVs were largely homogeneous between studies with other common phenotypes including neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and ADHD, dysmorphic facial features, brain anomalies (such as delayed myelination, hypoplasia of the corpus callosum, enlarged ventricles), skeletal anomalies, hypotonia and seizures.

The most common category of CHDs in these patients were septal defects, including VSD (26%) and ASD (24%) (**figure 3**). 22% of patients had patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), and 18% of patients had aortic narrowing (including aortic stenosis and aortic coarctation). Heart defects that occurred in only one or two patients were classed as 'other', which included tetralogy of Fallot, pulmonary atresia, transposition of great vessels, and Ebstein's anomaly. All patients with PDA and ASD had cognitive deficits, and with the exception of one patient, all VSD patients also had cognitive deficits.

#### 3.2 Meta-analysis

#### 3.2.1 Patient Cohort

All studies were included in the meta-analysis. Inclusion was made on a patient-by-patient basis. Patients were excluded on an individual basis using the following criteria: (i) surgery was performed or patients died before neurocognitive deficit could be diagnosed, (ii) patients had additional chromosomal abnormalities, (iii) patients had been previously reported, (iv) prenatal patients. 246 out of 329 patients (74.8%) from the 17 studies were included in the meta-analysis. Demographics are shown in **table 2**.

#### 3.2.2 CNV size

CNV size (the amount of DNA in Mb, that is either deleted or duplicated in patients) was explored to see if there was an association between length and phenotype presentation. An independent samples t-test showed patients with NCD (n = 135, M = 3.8, SEM = 0.46) had a significantly larger CNV compared to patients without a NCD (n = 8, M = 1.1, SEM = 0.20), \*\*\*p < 0.001. Additionally, we found a significant association for the patients with CHDs (n = 64) who had larger CNV sizes (M = 5.0, SEM = 0.73) compared to patients with no heart defects (n = 70, M = 3.1; SEM = 0.58), \*p < 0.05 (figure 4A and figure 4B, respectively). Taken together, these results suggest that the length of the CNV increases the risk for developing NCD and CHD.

We also explored CNV size and gender and found no significant difference between female (n = 85, M = 4.3, SEM = 0.63) and male (n = 63, M = 3.0, SEM = 0.55) patients, p = 0.110 (figure 4C).

To explore whether CNV length differed between CNV type, a *t*-test was performed. This showed that patients with deletions (n = 104, M = 4.8, SEM = 0.58) had on average a significantly larger CNV length compared to duplication patients (n = 56, M = 1.3, SEM = 0.07), \*\*\*p < 0.001 (**figure 4D**). Further, we also found that *de novo* CNVs (n = 52, M = 4.1,

SEM = 1.02) were on average larger than those transmitted from a parent (n = 38, M = 1.3, SEM = 0.09), \*\*p<0.01.

To explore the association between CNV size and NCD severity, a one-way ANOVA was performed, which showed that the larger the CNV size the more severe the NCD (\*\*\*p < 0.001). Patients with mild NCD had an average CNV size of 1.08Mb (n = 13, SEM = 0.18), patients with moderate NCD had a larger average CNV size of 2.64Mb (n = 19, SEM = 0.55). Patients with severe NCD had the largest CNV size (n = 33, M = 6.06, SEM = 0.70). A Tuckey's *post hoc* test showed that patients with severe NCD had significantly larger CNV sizes compared to both mild and moderate NCD patients (\*\*\*p < 0.001, and \*\*p < 0.01, respectively). There was no significant difference between mild and moderate patients (p = 0.370).

Based on this finding, a sub-group analysis was performed looking at CNV size and clinical phenotypes separately in deletion and duplication patients. In deletion patients, CNV size was larger for both (NCD) (4.9 vs. 0.3) and CHD (5.7 vs. 4.1) patients, however this did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.288 and p = 0.186, respectively). Similarly, there was no difference between groups for duplication patients.

#### 3.2.3 Association between NCD and CHD

To explore if there was a relationship between neurocognitive deficits and congenital heart defects a chi-square test was performed. The results showed no significant association between patients who had a NCD and patients who had a CHD (p = 0.096). Due to the small number of participants who did not have a NCD (n = 8), this relationship was further explored in relation to the number of CHDs. An independent samples *t*-test showed a significant difference in number of congenital heart defects and neurocognitive deficit. Patients with NCD were more likely to also have heart defects (M = 0.69, SD = 0.96) compared to those without NCD (M = 0.33, SD = 0.49) (**figure 5A**). Further, a one-way ANOVA showed a significant difference between the number of CHDs and severity of NCD

(\*p < 0.05). A Tukey's *post hoc* test showed patients with severe NCD (n = 42, M = 0.9) were more likely to have numerous CHDs compared to patients with moderate NCD (n = 31, M = 0.4) (p = 0.027) (figure 5B). No association was found for patients with mild NCD.

For the most common CHDs a chi-square was performed to look at the association between NCD severity and type of CHD (**table 3**). Overall heart defects and functional heart problems were not associated with severity. However, both PDA and VSDs were significantly associated with the severity of NCD with 67% and 80% of patients with PDA and VSD, respectively, having severe cognitive deficits. ASDs were not associated with severity of NCDs.

#### 3.3 Gene-Pathway analysis

Another aim of the current study was to investigate if the CNVs involved in NCD and CHDs converge on common pathways that could a factor of these co-morbid symptoms. For this, a total of 126 genes from the 13 CNVs were analysed to establish the gene pathways or gene networks. In total, 958 pathways were found. Pathways of interest, which are of significance to the current study, were explored further: (i) cardiac conduction; (ii) neuronal system; and (iii) developmental biology. The cardiac conduction pathway analysis resulted in the least number CNV of genes (n = 6),followed by neuronal system that involved a slightly higher number (n = 8) and the developmental biology pathway which had the largest number of genes (n = 16), most of which were implicated in axon guidance (n = 12). Our analysis did not result in any single converging pathway that is of significant importance to the two different clinical phenotypes being studied. Genes and their corresponding CNVs and pathways is presented in **figure S1** and are described in **tables S3** and **S4**.

#### 4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis, based on a systematic review, to establish an association between NCDs and CHDs; whose subjects have CNVs in common. In this review we found that length of copy number variation was associated with neurocognitive deficits, congenital heart defects, inheritance of CNV and type of CNV (deletion or duplication). We also found that gender was not associated with CNV size. Further, we showed the number of heart defects a patient had were associated with increased severity of neurocognitive deficit, and PDA and VSD were associated with severe cognitive deficit.

#### 4.1 CNV size

A larger CNV size would generally encompass an increased number of genes, and one could surmise these CNVs would therefore be associated with more symptoms, and/or an increased number of genes associated with a phenotype and thus enhancing the severity. This concept is supported by [37] who showed that more genes; and larger copy number lengths deleted are associated with an increased risk of neurodevelopmental disorder (autism) and lower IQ. A CNV that is larger than 500Kb is present in only 8% of the population[1]. From previous studies it can be concluded that larger CNVs are benign in nature[38, 39] and small CNVs show increased risk towards clinically important disorders[40].

In concordance with other studies, we found that twice as many participants had deletions compared to duplications, which relates to wider population studies suggesting that deletions occur twice as much as duplications[41], although in the general population duplications are more common[42]. We found that the deletions identified in the patients reported were on average almost four times as large as in the duplications found; duplications encompassed around 1Mb whereas deletions averaged over 4.5Mb. One possible explanation for this is the mechanism through which deletions and duplications can occur. It is generally accepted

that both involve non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR)[43]. It has been suggested that deletions are caused by int<u>r</u>achromatidal NAHR; whereas duplications and deletions are caused by interchromosomal NAHR[44] or nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) mechanisms[45]. However, other studies have shown contrasting results to ours, finding lower copy numbers in deletions compared to duplications[46]. Furthermore, this interpretation suggests that duplications would be more likely to be ascertained through their clinical effects than deletions of the same chromosomal region, which is contrary to general clinical experience.

We found no difference in copy number between males and females in this analysis. Larger CNVs associated with neurodevelopmental disorders have sometimes been reported in females[47]. In previous studies, sex differences have been found in specific CNVs, and some have found that males were slightly more likely than girls to have ID (1.6:1)[48]. However, there was a great variation of male-to-female ratio depending on the genomic location of the CNV, with more females diagnosed with 22q13 and more males diagnosed with 22q11.2. On the other hand, whilst autism is more commonly found in males in the general population [49, 50], females are often more likely than males to have autism in CNV syndromes; particularly if occurrence is *de novo* [51, 52]. Further, some have shown no difference between the occurrence of CNVs between males and females across a range of CNV sizes[53] and no difference in size of *de novo* CNVs between males and females with autism spectrum disorders [54].

#### 4.2 Association of CHDs and neurocognitive deficits

We found that 98% of patients with the three most common heart defects (ASD, VSD and PDA) also had neurocognitive deficits. Of the patients with CHD data, 94% had neurocognitive deficits and therefore we were not able to find a significant or meaningful result of the relationship of neurocognitive deficit and congenital heart defect presence. One problem these studies have is that there does not seem to be a common consensus for how

to assess neurocognitive deficits. The studies used a range of terms including mental retardation, intellectual disability, learning disability, developmental delay etc. Further, some studies used formal IQ testing, whereas some did not, and other studies did not state the methods used. Due to the limited research of these two variables in CNVs, we were unable to select for this during screening. Nonetheless, we did show that the number of heart defects a patient had were associated with increased severity of neurocognitive deficit. Further, we found that both VSDs and PDA were associated with more severe neurocognitive deficits. Compared to other CHDs including TOF, VSDs have been associated with developmental abnormalities[55]. Current research on this association is disputed, with some finding no association between PDA and neurodevelopment[56], whereas others have suggested a link[57]. Overall, these findings suggest a relationship between neurocognitive deficits and congenital heart defects.

#### 4.3 Genotype-phenotype relationship

A gene-pathway analysis found several genes associated with multiple pathways. We focused on pathways associated with cardiac conduction, the neuronal system and development, which is the focus of the current study. Of particular interest was CACNB4 gene (L-type calcium voltage-gated channel auxiliary subunit beta 4) which emerged as the only gene that was common among the three pathways. This calcium-channel subunit gene is particularly associated with epilepsy in infancy[58]. Additionally, defects in this gene associated ataxia through dysfunction in Purkinje cells within the cerebellum[59]. CACNB4 is important for cardiac function and is associated with cardiac muscle contraction. A recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) of an African-American population has identified that this gene is involved in idiopathic cardiomyopathy[60]. Some studies have reported no expression of this gene in rodent heart tissue[61]. Contrastingly, other research has suggested genes of the L-type calcium channel (of which CACN4B is a member) are associated with the heart, particularly cardiogenesis and cardiac contraction[62]. Further, animal studies have shown expression during development in the heart[63, 64]. The reason

for this disparity could be because the CACNB4 gene produces at least four different transcripts due to alternative splicing[65]. Based on the function of this gene, it is plausible to hypothesise that Ca<sup>2+</sup> transport/Ca<sup>2+</sup> mediated signaling dysfunction are very likely mechanisms underlying CNV mediated NCD and CHD, and further studies are necessary to establish this association.

We were not able to perform a sufficient gene-pathway analysis due to the number of genes that have not yet been implicated in both heart and brain phenotypes; further, current pathways only implicate a couple of genes (e.g. LIMK1 and MYH11 in signalling by Rho GTPases). **Table 4** reviews the current research on the roles CNV genes and their potential implications in neurodevelopmental and congenital heart defects. CNVs involve a dosage effect whereby genes differ in expression depending on the type of CNV (deletion or duplication)[66-68] and there is a general difference in expression levels in the brain and heart. Further, genes may play different roles in both the central nervous system and the heart. More research needs to be conducted on genes associated with disease, in regards to neurological and cardiac phenotypes to allow analysis of converging pathways in CNVs that implicate both the brain and heart. This would then enable improved disease management and treatment which can be optimised and targeted as a whole rather than two separate clinical manifestations.

#### 4.4 Strengths and limitations

The results of our systematic review/meta-analysis should be considered in the light of its strengths and limitations. As for the strengths, we pre-registered the protocol in a publicly available repository (PROSPERO), as to reduce the risk of multiple reporting. Further, we were able to establish the association between neurocognitive deficits and congenital heart defects among patients with CNVs. Additionally, we were able to show CNV size is implicated in both of these phenotypes and strongly suggests gene-dosage effect. There is

limited research conducted on this association in CNVs; nonetheless, we were able to identify the gaps/disadvantages in patient care which has not been reported before.

We could not assess direct association between presence of NCD and CHD due to the high number of patients with NCD. There is a possibility of variability in the quality of studies included in the current meta-analysis. Nevertheless, this did mean we could accurately assess the prevalence of CHDs in patients with NCD. Our results may not be valid for the whole CNV population because most studies were conducted with a selective and sometimes limited number population. However, a number the most common CNVs[69] were included (7q11.23, 17q21.31, 22q11.2). We could not find any converging gene pathways that were comorbid with both clinical presentations. Firstly, because each CNV could have very distinct pathways. Secondly, gene expression is more commonly reported in adulthood, which makes it challenging to determine its role during early development in the heart and brain. Thirdly, it is likely that CNV deletion and duplication of multiple genes activate or deactivate a specific subset of novel genes that contributes towards pathogenesis.

Large multicenter studies are needed to determine the associations between neurocognitive deficits and CHDs which can also be used to establish the quality-of-life in patients.

#### 4.5 Clinical implications

In this review, we have shown that congenital heart defects and neurocognitive defects are comorbid disease phenotypes in CNVs; and this knowledge has important implications for management of these syndromes. From the studies included, patients were generally referred for cytogenetic testing for neurological defects. Patients are also commonly referred for complex congenital heart defects. After testing; often by FISH or CGH, patients are diagnosed, for example, with the 22q CNV, and undergo disease phenotyping; commonly by the referring clinician.

Perinatal counselling for congenital heart disease is generally determined by the success of surgical intervention and secondarily by the presence of genetic association. If perinatologists or fetal cardiologists are unaware of the implications of CNV in a given cardiac pathology, maternal choice on the continuation of pregnancy would not be an informed decision owing to incomplete counselling[70, 71]. Children with neurocognitive deficits are expected to be cared for by community paediatricians, rather than neurologists, who would also coordinate the care of affected children between different specialists. In reality, such a coordination with a shared care arrangement is less likely to occur owing to lack of knowledge of CNVs and its influence on the whole spectrum of human physiological systems. Similarly, the association of CNVs and delayed development may not be recognised in some cases of congenital heart diseases by cardiologists and cardiac surgeons prior to undertaking bypass surgery which renders their prognostic estimation of surgical intervention incorrect[72]. Furthermore, it is possible patients are not getting the wholistic treatment they need. Thus, there is a pressing need to increase awareness among clinicians of the importance of CNVs and their influence on the aetiology and neurological outcomes of congenital heart defects[31].

#### 5. Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Angus Clarke, Clinical geneticist, Cardiff University, UK for providing feedback in the preparation of the manuscript.

#### 6. Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 7. Funding Information:

YAS is supported by CMU fellowship and project grant from The Hodge Centre for Neuropsychiatric Immunology.

8. Figure legends

figure 1. Flow diagram showing the study selection and exclusion process according to PRISMA guidelines.

**figure 2. Forest plot showing incidence of neurocognitive deficit**. A fixed-effects model with freeman double arcsine proportion showing the incidence of neurocognitive deficits in the studies included in the meta-analysis.

figure 3. Pie chart showing prevalence of CHD type. The most common heart defects were septal defects followed by PDA in the studies included in the review.

figure 4. The association of the CNV size on the neurocognitive deficits and congenital heart defects. A) Bar charts showing a significant difference between patients with and without NCD. B) Patients with CHD have larger CNV sizes compared to patients without CHD. C) Duplication patients have a significantly larger CNV size compared to duplication patients. D) Females and males do not have significantly different CNV lengths on average. Error bars represent SEM. \*\*\* p < 0.001, \*\* p < 0.01, \* p < 0.05.

figure 5. Bar graphs showing the association of average number of congenital heart defects and severity of neurocognitive deficit. A) In CHD patients, increasing number of congenital heart defects is associated with severity of neurocognitive deficit. B) A one-way ANOVA looking at severity of neurocognitive deficit and average number of CHDs. Error bars represents SEM. \* p < 0.05.

### 9. Tables

	Table 1.	Overview	of studies	included	in review
--	----------	----------	------------	----------	-----------

CNV	Patients	NCD	CHD	Other clinical symptoms	Ref.	Genes associated
syndrome	(n)	<sup>a</sup> (%)	(%)			with
						or heart
						development
1p36	33	94	67	Poor social interaction, self-injury, microcephaly, brain abnormalities including myelination delay and enlarged ventricles, craniofacial features, skeletal defects, urogenital abnormalities, hypotonia, epilepsy, eye problems, hearing problems	[73]	CASZ1, GABRD, GNB1, KCNAB2, KLHL17, MTHFR, PLCH2, PRDM16, RERE, RNF207, SKI, SLC45A1
1q21.1	34	82	27	Autism, ADHD, aggressive behaviour, microcephaly, craniofacial features, skeletal defects, hypotonia, seizures, cataracts	[74]	BCL9, GJA5, NOTCH2NL, PRKAB2
Wolf- Hirschhorn	7	86	57	Craniofacial features, growth delay, hypotonia, epilepsy	[75]	FGFRL1, WHSC1
syndrome	13	100	31	Microcephaly, craniofacial features, growth delay, urogenital abnormalities, hypotonia, seizures, eye anomalies	[76]	
7q11.23	14	86	29	ADHD, autism, autistic features, brain anomalies including enlarged ventricles, hypotonia, epilepsy	[77]	CLIP2, DNAJC30, ELN, GTF2I, LIMK1
Williams- Beuren	10	90	100	Facial dysmorphisms, connective tissue abnormality, hypocalcaemia	[78]	
syndrome	5	40	80	Impaired social interaction, dysmorphic facial features, epilepsy	[79]	
9qter	8	100	25	Behavioural problems including hyperactivity, microcephaly, brain anomalies, craniofacial features, hypotonia, seizures, infections, eye problems	[80]	EHMT1, ZNF589
15q13	17	94	12	Poor attention, aggressive behaviour, autistic features, brain anomalies including enlarged ventricles, craniofacial features, skeletal features, hypotonia, eye problems (strabismus)	[81]	CHRNA7, OTUD7A
16p13.11	33	61	12	ASD, craniofacial features, brain anomalies including delayed myelination, hypotonia, seizures	[82]	MYH11, NDE1, NOMO1, NOMO3
17q21.31	9	100	33	Hypotonia, dysmorphic facial features, epilepsy, skeletal deformities, urologic anomalies, brain anomalies	[83]	CRHR1, KANSL1, MAPT
	27	85	33	Behavioural problems, brain anomalies including enlarged ventricles, craniofacial features, growth deficiency, skeletal anomalies, renal anomalies, hypotonia, seizures, hearing impairment	[84]	
	11	100	55	Brain anomalies, facial dysmorphisms, skeletal anomalies, urological defects,	[85]	

				hypotonia, seizures, hearing loss		
17q23.1q2 3.2	7	100	71	Behaviour abnormalities, microcephaly, dysmorphic facial features, skeletal anomalies, hearing loss	[86]	TBX4
22q11.2	11	64	73	Dysmorphic facial features, recurrent infect ions, skeletal abnormalities, renal abnormalities	[87]	ARVCF, CLTCL1, COMT, CRKL, DGCR6L, DGCR8, GSC2, LZTR1, PI4KA, RTN4R, SEPT5, SLC25A1, TANGO2, TBX1, TXNRD2, UFD1L
Xq28	13	100	15	Autistic features, brain anomalies, craniofacial features, gastrointestinal problems, infections, hypotonia, seizures	[88]	GDI1, RPL10, TAZ

<sup>a</sup>NCD includes mental retardation/delay, intellectual/learning disability, developmental delay and language delay CNV; copy number variant, NCD; neurocognitive deficit, CHD; congenital heart defect, ADHD; attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, ASD; autism spectrum disorder

Demographics	% (n)
CNV syndrome	
1q21.1	13.5 (34)
1p36	13.1 (33)
Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome	7.9 (20)
7q11.21	5.6 (14)
WBS	6.0 (15)
9qtel	3.2 (8)
15013	6.7 (17)
17021 21	19.1 (33)
17421.31 22a11 2	10.7 (47)
ZZ411.Z Xa28	2.0 (11) 5.2 (13)
	0.2 (10)
Type of CNV	74.0 (4.04)
Deletion	71.8 (181)
Duplication	20.2 (71)
CNV Inheritance pattern	
De novo	29.4 (74)
	24.6 (62)
Unknown	46.0 (116)
Size of deletion (mb), <i>mean (SD) / range</i>	3.55 (5.07) / 0.15 –
	31.00
Age, <i>mean (SD) / range</i>	6.50 (6.39) / 0-44
Gender	
Female	44.0 (111)
Male	37.7 (95)
Unknown	18.3 (46)
Neurocognitive deficit	
Yes	85.3 (216)
No	6.0 (15)
Unknown	8.7 (22)
Neurodevelopmental disorder	
Yes	19.8 (55)
No	37.3 (94)
Unknown	42.9 (108)
Congenital heart defect	
Yes	38.9 (98)
No	48.0 (121)
Unknown	13.1 (33)

 Table 2. Demographics of participants included in the meta-analysis

		NCD, % (n)		X <sup>2</sup>	р
CHD type	Mild	Moderate	Severe		
CHD	37 (11)	28 (9)	52 (22)	4.681	0.096
PDA	7 (1)	27 (4)	67 (10)	6.083	0.048
ASD	25 (3)	33 (4)	42 (5)	0.104	0.949
VSD	13 (2)	7 (1)	80 (12)	11.583	0.003
Functional	0 (0)	50 (3)	50 (3)	2.748	0.253

 Table 3. Association of severity of neurocognitive deficit and types of CHD

NCD; neurocognitive deficit, CHD; congenital heart defect, PDA; patent ductus arteriosus, ASD; atrial septal defect, VSD; ventral septal defect

CNV syndrome	Gene	Pathway	Gene expression <sup>a</sup> (TPM)		Gene expression <sup>a</sup> (TPM)		Gene expression <sup>a</sup> (TPM)		Potential role
			Brain	Heart					
1p36	CASZ1	N/A	0.7	5.7	This gene shows that significant problems/defects can occur even at low expression. CASZ1 had been implicated in both brain and heart development, with animal models showing knockouts of this gene cause reduced proliferation of cardiomyocytes and ventral septal defect, and is implicated in neurogenesis[89].				
	RERE	Apoptosis	26.6	39.8	RERE has been implicated in many organs[90] and is highly expressed in the brain and heart. RERE has been implicated in many roles including cerebellar development[91], and heart tube looping[92].				
	SKI	Signal transduction > signalling by TGF-beta > BMP / transcriptional activity of SMAD2/SMAD3:SMAD4 heterotrimer	28.7	10.4	SKI is highly expressed in the ascending aorta during development and is thought necessary for efficient regulation of TGF-β signalling during cardiac development[93]. Further, SKI knockdown has been associated with reduced proliferation of active astrocytes, potentially through the Ras- Raf-ERK1/2 signalling pathway[94].				
1q21.1	GJA5	Vesicle-mediated transport > gap junction trafficking and regulation	1.7	23.2	GJA5, also known as connexin 40, is a gap junction protein associated with tetralogy of Fallot[95] and atrial fibrillation[96]. Gap junctions have long been associated with both brain[97] and beart[98]				
WHS	WHSC 1	DNA repair > DNA double-strand break response	35.5	5 10.5	Mice with reduced levels of this gene have congenital heart defects; including septal defects[99]. WHSC1 has been implicated in DNA damage				
7q11.23 / Williams	LIMK1	Chromatin organisation Signal transduction > RHO GTPases activate PAKs/ROCKs	39.5	4.1	and repair[100, 101]. LIMK1 is part of a pathway that signals through PAKs/ROCK, of which 16p13.11 associated gene (MYH11) is also a member. Whilst more highly				
Syndrome	Axon guidance > semaphoring interactions/EPH-Ephrin signalling			expressed in the brain, LIMK1 has been implicated in both the brain and heart. Increased expression of this gene has been associated with atrial fibrillation[102] and impaired neuronal migration[103].					
9qter	EHMT1	Cellular response to external stimuli	19.6	8.9	Haploinsufficiency of this gene is the major cause of Kleefstra syndrome				
		Chromatin organisation						and 9q34 deletion syndrome[104] and is associated with both severe mental retardation and cardiac anomalies[105]	
		Gene expression > transcriptional							

**Table 4**. Potential role of CNV genes in neurodevelopmental and heart defects

16p13.11	MYH11	regulation by E2F6 Signal transduction > RHO GTPases activate PAKs/ROCKs/CIT	5.6	23.5	MYH11 is a smooth muscle gene. Smooth muscle cells have been shown to be essential for the formation of the ductus arteriosus during	
		Axon guidance > semaphoring interactions/EPH-Ephrin signalling			development[106]. Defects in this gene have been associated with been associated with patent ductus arteriosus[107] and thoracic aortic dissection[108].	
17q21.31	KANSL 1	Smooth muscle contraction Chromatin organisation	10.2	3.4	A heterozygous mutation in KANSL1 is sufficient to cause Koolen-De Vries syndrome; associated with intellectual disability and heart defects[109].	
17q23.1q23.2	TBX2	N/A	2.6	4.4	TBX2 encodes a transcription factor which has many roles in early development including the heart and brain. This gene is particularly implicated in the development of the atrioventricular canal[110, 111] and the early anterior forebrain region[112].	
22q11.2	CLTCL 1	Axon guidance > semaphoring interactions/EPH-Ephrin signalling	3	5.5	CLTCL1 encodes the protein CHC22 which has been shown to be upregulated in the brain during development[113]. This gene has been	
		Vesicle-mediated transport > gap junction trafficking and regulation			implicated in muscle repair[114], however a cardiac function has yet to be discovered.	
Xq28	RPL10	Metabolism of proteins > eukaryotic translation initiation/elongation	989	1561.9	RPL10 encodes a ribosomal protein that has been largely implicated in intellectual disability[115, 116] and has also been associated with	
		Metabolism of RNA/amino acids				pulmonary stenosis[117].
		Axon guidance > signalling by ROBO receptors				
aAcc	ording to	The Human Protein Atlas[118]				
	l· transcri	nt ner million				

TPM; transcript per million

#### 10. References

- 1. Itsara, A., et al., *Population Analysis of Large Copy Number Variants and Hotspots of Human Genetic Disease.* The American Journal of Human Genetics, 2009. **84**(2): p. 148-161.
- 2. de Vries, B.B.A., et al., *Diagnostic Genome Profiling in Mental Retardation.* American Journal of Human Genetics, 2005. **77**(4): p. 606-616.
- 3. Malhotra, D. and J. Sebat, *CNVs: Harbingers of a Rare Variant Revolution in Psychiatric Genetics.* Cell, 2012. **148**(6): p. 1223-1241.
- 4. Pinto, D., et al., *Functional Impact of Global Rare Copy Number Variation in Autism Spectrum Disorders.* Nature, 2010. **466**(7304): p. 368-372.
- 5. Williams, N.M., et al., *Rare Chromosomal Deletions and Duplications in Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Genome-Wide Analysis.* Lancet (London, England), 2010. **376**(9750): p. 1401-1408.
- 6. Feuk, L., A.R. Carson, and S.W. Scherer, *Structural Variation in the Human Genome.* Nature Reviews Genetics, 2006. **7**(2): p. 85-97.
- 7. Firth, H.V., et al., *DECIPHER: Database of Chromosomal Imbalance and Phenotype in Humans Using Ensembl Resources.* The American Journal of Human Genetics, 2009. **84**(4): p. 524-533.
- 8. Xie, H.M., et al., *Rare Copy Number Variants in Patients with Congenital Conotruncal Heart Defects.* Birth Defects Research, 2017. **109**(4): p. 271-295.
- Glessner Joseph, T., et al., Increased Frequency of De Novo Copy Number Variants in Congenital Heart Disease by Integrative Analysis of Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Array and Exome Sequence Data. Circulation Research, 2014. 115(10): p. 884-896.
- 10. Morton Paul, D., N. Ishibashi, and A. Jonas Richard, *Neurodevelopmental Abnormalities and Congenital Heart Disease.* Circulation Research, 2017. **120**(6): p. 960-977.
- 11. Bellinger, D.C., et al., Adolescents with Tetralogy of Fallot: Neuropsychological Assessment and Structural Brain Imaging. Cardiology in the Young, 2015. **25**(2): p. 338-347.
- 12. Marino Bradley, S., et al., *Neurodevelopmental Outcomes in Children With Congenital Heart Disease: Evaluation and Management.* Circulation, 2012. **126**(9): p. 1143-1172.
- 13. Wernovsky, G., *Current Insights Regarding Neurological and Developmental Abnormalities in Children and Young Adults with Complex Congenital Cardiac Disease.* Cardiology in the Young, 2006. **16**(S1): p. 92-104.
- 14. Morello, M.L., et al., *Neurocognitive Deficits in Adult Congenital Heart Disease: Does Coronary Artery Disease Add Insult to Injury?* Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2019. **73**(9 Supplement 1): p. 566.
- 15. Carey Abigail, S., et al., *Effect of Copy Number Variants on Outcomes for Infants With Single Ventricle Heart Defects*. Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics, 2013. **6**(5): p. 444-451.
- 16. Kovacs, A.H., S.F. Sears, and A.S. Saidi, *Biopsychosocial Experiences of Adults with Congenital Heart Disease: Review of the literature.* American Heart Journal, 2005. **150**(2): p. 193-201.
- 17. van Rijen, E.H.M., et al., *Psychosocial Functioning of the Adult with Congenital Heart Disease: A 20–33 Years Follow-Up.* European Heart Journal, 2003. **24**(7): p. 673-683.
- 18. Kamphuis, M., et al., *Employment in Adults With Congenital Heart Disease*. JAMA Pediatrics, 2002. **156**(11): p. 1143-1148.
- 19. Khalil, A., et al., *Brain Abnormalities and Neurodevelopmental Delay in Congenital Heart Disease: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.* Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2014. **43**(1): p. 14-24.

- 20. McQuillen, P.S., D.A. Goff, and D.J. Licht, *Effects of Congenital Heart Disease on Brain Development.* Progress in Pediatric Cardiology, 2010. **29**(2): p. 79-85.
- 21. Limperopoulos, C., et al., *Brain Volume and Metabolism in Fetuses with Congenital Heart Disease: Evaluation with Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Spectroscopy.* Circulation, 2010. **121**(1): p. 26-33.
- 22. Dimitropoulos, A., et al., *Brain Injury and Development in Newborns with Critical Congenital Heart Disease*. Neurology, 2013. **81**(3): p. 241-248.
- 23. Algra Selma, O., et al., *Neurological Injury After Neonatal Cardiac Surgery.* Circulation, 2014. **129**(2): p. 224-233.
- 24. Bellinger, D.C., et al., Adolescents with D-Transposition of the Great Arteries Corrected with the Arterial Switch Procedure: Neuropsychological Assessment and Structural Brain Imaging. Circulation, 2011. **124**(12): p. 1361-1369.
- 25. Dittrich, H., et al., *Neurodevelopment at 1 year of age in Infants with Congenital Heart Disease.* Heart (British Cardiac Society), 2003. **89**(4): p. 436-441.
- 26. Gaynor, J.W., et al., *Is Cardiac Diagnosis a Predictor of Neurodevelopmental Outcome After Cardiac Surgery in Infancy?* The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2010. **140**(6): p. 1230-1237.
- Fuller, S., et al., Predictors of Impaired Neurodevelopmental Outcomes at One Year of Age After Infant Cardiac Surgery. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery: Official Journal of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, 2009: p. 40-7.
- 28. Zeltser, I., et al., *Genetic Factors are Important Determinants of Neurodevelopmental Outcome after Repair of Tetralogy of Fallot.* The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2008. **135**(1): p. 91-97.
- Latal, B., et al., *Hippocampal Volume Reduction is Associated with Intellectual Functions in Adolescents with Congenital Heart Disease.* Pediatric Research, 2016.
   80: p. 531.
- 30. Moher, D., et al., *Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement.* PLoS Medicine, 2009. **6**(7): p. e1000097e1000097.
- 31. Lander, J. and S.M. Ware, *Copy Number Variation in Congenital Heart Defects*. Current Genetic Medicine Reports, 2014. **2**(3): p. 168-178.
- 32. O'Leary, N.A., et al., *Reference Sequence (RefSeq) Database at NCBI: Current Status, Taxonomic Expansion, and Functional Annotation.* Nucleic Acids Research, 2015. **44**(D1): p. D733-D745.
- 33. Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man, OMIM®. August, 2019; Available from: <u>https://omim.org/</u>.
- 34. Fabregat, A., et al., *The Reactome Pathway Knowledgebase*. Nucleic Acids Research, 2017. **46**(D1): p. D649-D655.
- 35. Fabregat, A., et al., *Reactome Pathway Analysis: A High-Performance in-Memory Approach.* BMC Bioinformatics, 2017. **18**(1): p. 142.
- 36. Hayden, J.A., et al., *Assessing Bias in Studies of Prognostic Factors.* Annals of Internal Medicine, 2013. **158**(4): p. 280-286.
- 37. Guyatt, A.L., et al., Association of Copy Number Variation Across the Genome with Neuropsychiatric Traits in the General Population. American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics: The Official Publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics, 2018. **177**(5): p. 489-502.
- Bateman, M.S., et al., A de novo 4q34 Interstitial Deletion of at least 9.3 Mb With No Discernible Phenotypic Effect. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 2010. 152A(7): p. 1764-1769.
- 39. Filges, I., et al., *Familial 14.5 Mb Interstitial Deletion 13q21.1–13q21.33: Clinical and Array-CGH Study of a Benign Phenotype in a Three-Generation Family.* American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 2009. **149A**(2): p. 237-241.

- 40. Nowakowska, B.A., et al., *Severe Mental Retardation, Seizures, and Hypotonia due to Deletions of MEF2C.* American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics, 2010. **153B**(5): p. 1042-1051.
- 41. Turner, D.J., et al., *Germline Rates of de novo Meiotic Deletions and Duplications Causing Several Genomic Disorders.* Nature Genetics, 2008. **40**(1): p. 90-95.
- 42. Ruderfer, D.M., et al., *Patterns of Genic Intolerance of Rare Copy Number Variation in 59,898 Human Exomes.* Nature Genetics, 2016. **48**: p. 1107.
- 43. Carvalho, C.M.B. and J.R. Lupski, *Mechanisms Underlying Structural Variant Formation in Genomic Disorders.* Nature reviews. Genetics, 2016. **17**(4): p. 224-238.
- 44. Stankiewicz, P. and J.R. Lupski, *Genome Architecture, Rearrangements and Genomic Disorders.* Trends in Genetics, 2002. **18**(2): p. 74-82.
- 45. Shaw, C.J. and J.R. Lupski, *Non-Recurrent 17p11.2 Deletions are Generated by Homologous and Non-Homologous Mechanisms.* Human Genetics, 2005. **116**(1): p. 1-7.
- 46. Redon, R., et al., *Global Variation in Copy Number in the Human Genome.* Nature, 2006. **444**(7118): p. 444-454.
- 47. Jacquemont, S., et al., *A Higher Mutational Burden in Females Supports a "Female Protective Model" in Neurodevelopmental Disorders.* American Journal of Human Genetics, 2014. **94**(3): p. 415-425.
- 48. Polyak, A., J. Rosenfeld, and S. Girirajan, *An Assessment of Sex Bias in Neurodevelopmental Disorders.* Genome Medicine, 2015.
- 49. Newschaffer, C.J., et al., *The Epidemiology of Autism Spectrum Disorders*. Annual Review of Public Health, 2007. **28**(1): p. 235-258.
- 50. Werling, D.M. and D.H. Geschwind, *Sex Differences in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. Current Opinion in Neurology, 2013. **26**(2): p. 146-153.
- 51. Levy, D., et al., *Rare De Novo and Transmitted Copy-Number Variation in Autistic Spectrum Disorders.* Neuron, 2011. **70**(5): p. 886-897.
- 52. Ronemus, M., et al., *The Role of de novo Mutations in the Genetics of Autism Spectrum Disorders*. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2014. **15**: p. 133.
- 53. Martin, J., et al., Copy Number Variation and Neurodevelopmental Problems in Females and Males in the General Population. bioRxiv, 2017: p. 236042.
- 54. Sanders, S.J., et al., *Multiple Recurrent de novo CNVs, Including Duplications of the* 7q11.23 Williams Syndrome Region, Are Strongly Associated with Autism. Neuron, 2011. **70**(5): p. 863-885.
- 55. Soul, J.S., et al., Subtle Hemorrhagic Brain Injury is Associated with Neurodevelopmental Impairment in Infants with Repaired Congenital Heart Disease. The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2009. **138**(2): p. 374-381.
- 56. Collins, R.T., et al., *Long-Term Neurodevelopment of Low-Birthweight, Preterm Infants with Patent Ductus Arteriosus.* The Journal of Pediatrics, 2018. **203**: p. 170-176.e1.
- 57. El-Khuffash, A.F., et al., *Troponin T, N-terminal Pro Natriuretic Peptide and a Patent Ductus Arteriosus Scoring System Predict Death before Discharge or Neurodevelopmental Outcome at 2 years in Preterm Infants.* Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition, 2011. **96**(2): p. F133.
- 58. Escayg, A., et al., Coding and Noncoding Variation of the Human Calcium-Channel Beta4-Subunit Gene CACNB4 in Patients with Idiopathic Generalized Epilepsy and Episodic Ataxia. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2000. **66**(5): p. 1531-1539.
- 59. Benedetti, B., A. Benedetti, and B.E. Flucher, *Loss of the Calcium Channel β4 Subunit Impairs Parallel Fibre Volley and Purkinje Cell Firing in Cerebellum of Adult Ataxic Mice.* The European Journal of Neuroscience, 2016. **43**(11): p. 1486-1498.
- Xu, H., et al., A Genome-Wide Association Study of Idiopathic Dilated Cardiomyopathy in African Americans. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2018. 8(1): p. 11.

- 61. Burgess, D.L., et al., *Mutation of the Ca<sup>2+</sup> Channel β Subunit Gene Cchb4 Is Associated with Ataxia and Seizures in the Lethargic (Ih) Mouse.* Cell, 1997. **88**(3): p. 385-392.
- 62. Acosta, L., et al., *Regional Expression of L-type Calcium Channel Subunits During Cardiac Development.* Developmental Dynamics, 2004. **230**(1): p. 131-136.
- Haase, H., et al., Expression of Ca2+ Channel Subunits During Cardiac Ontogeny in Mice and Rats: Identification of Fetal α1C and β Subunit Isoforms. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, 2000. 76(4): p. 695-703.
- 64. Zhou, W., et al., *Identification and Expression of Voltage-Gated Calcium Channel β Subunits in Zebrafish.* Developmental Dynamics, 2008. **237**(12): p. 3842-3852.
- 65. Ebert, A.M., et al., *Ca2+ Channel-Independent Requirement for MAGUK Family CACNB4 Genes in Initiation of Zebrafish Epiboly.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2008. **105**(1): p. 198.
- 66. Rice, A.M. and A. McLysaght, *Dosage-Sensitive Genes in Evolution and Disease.* BMC Biology, 2017. **15**(1): p. 78.
- 67. Birchler, J.A. and R.A. Veitia, *Gene Balance Hypothesis: Connecting Issues of Dosage Sensitivity Across Biological Disciplines.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2012. **109**(37): p. 14746.
- 68. Lee, J.A. and J.R. Lupski, *Genomic Rearrangements and Gene Copy-Number Alterations as a Cause of Nervous System Disorders.* Neuron, 2006. **52**(1): p. 103-121.
- 69. Martin, C.L., B.E. Kirkpatrick, and D.H. Ledbetter, *Copy Number Variants, Aneuploidies, and Human Disease.* Clinics in Perinatology, 2015. **42**(2): p. 227-vii.
- Bernhardt, B.A., et al., Women's Experiences Receiving Abnormal Prenatal Chromosomal Microarray Testing Results. Genetics in Medicine: Official Journal of the American College of Medical Genetics, 2013. 15(2): p. 139-145.
- 71. Armour, C.M., et al., *Practice Guideline: Joint CCMG-SOGC Recommendations for the Use of Chromosomal Microarray Analysis For Prenatal Diagnosis and Assessment of Fetal Loss in Canada.* Journal of Medical Genetics, 2018. **55**(4): p. 215-221.
- 72. Blue, G.M., et al., *Advances in the Genetics of Congenital Heart Disease: A Clinician's Guide.* Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017. **69**(7): p. 859-870.
- 73. Shimada, S., et al., *Microarray Analysis of 50 Patients Reveals the Critical Chromosomal Regions Responsible for 1p36 Deletion Syndrome-Related Complications.* Brain and Development, 2015. **37**(5): p. 515-526.
- 74. Mefford, H.C., et al., *Recurrent Rearrangements of Chromosome 1q21.1 and Variable Pediatric Phenotypes.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2008. **359**(16): p. 1685-1699.
- Yang, W.-X., et al., Analyses of Genotypes and Phenotypes of Ten Chinese Patients with Wolf-Hirschhorn Syndrome by Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification and Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization. Chinese medical journal, 2016.
   129(6): p. 672-678.
- 76. Wieczorek, D., et al., *Effect of the Size of the Deletion and Clinical Manifestation in Wolf-Hirschhorn Syndrome: Analysis of 13 Patients with a de novo Deletion.* European Journal of Human Genetics, 2000. **8**(7): p. 519-526.
- 77. Van der Aa, N., et al., Fourteen New Cases Contribute to the Characterization of the 7q11.23 Microduplication Syndrome. European Journal of Medical Genetics, 2009.
   52(2): p. 94-100.
- 78. Ghaffari, M., et al., *Genotype–Phenotype Correlation and the Size of Microdeletion or Microduplication of 7q11.23 Region in Patients with Williams-Beuren Syndrome.* Annals of Human Genetics, 2018. **82**(6): p. 469-476.
- 79. Li, L., et al., *Differing Microdeletion Sizes and Breakpoints in Chromosome 7q11.23 in Williams-Beuren Syndrome Detected by Chromosomal Microarray Analysis.* Molecular syndromology, 2016. **6**(6): p. 268-275.

- Stewart, D.R., et al., Subtelomeric Deletions of Chromosome 9q: A Novel Microdeletion Syndrome. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 2004. 128A(4): p. 340-351.
- 81. van Bon, B.W.M., et al., *Further Delineation of the 15q13 Microdeletion and Duplication Syndromes: A Clinical Spectrum Varying from Non-Pathogenic to a Severe Outcome.* Journal of Medical Genetics, 2009. **46**(8): p. 511-523.
- 82. Allach El Khattabi, L., et al., *16p13.11 Microduplication in 45 New Patients: Refined Clinical Significance And Genotype–Phenotype Correlations.* Journal of Medical Genetics, 2018: p. jmedgenet-2018-105389.
- 83. Koolen, D.A., et al., *Clinical and Molecular Delineation of the 17q21.31 Microdeletion Syndrome.* Journal of Medical Genetics, 2008. **45**(11): p. 710-720.
- 84. Zollino, M., et al., Intragenic KANSL1 Mutations and Chromosome 17q21.31 Deletions: Broadening the Clinical Spectrum and Genotype–Phenotype Correlations in a Large Cohort of Patients. Journal of Medical Genetics, 2015. **52**(12): p. 804.
- 85. Tan, T.Y., et al., *Phenotypic Expansion and Further Characterisation of the 17q21.31 Microdeletion Syndrome.* Journal of Medical Genetics, 2009. **46**(7): p. 480.
- 86. Ballif, B.C., et al., *Identification of a Recurrent Microdeletion at 17q23.1q23.2 Flanked by Segmental Duplications Associated with Heart Defects and Limb Abnormalities.* American Journal of Human Genetics, 2010. **86**(3): p. 454-461.
- 87. Brunet, A., et al., *Microdeletion and Microduplication 22q11.2 Screening in 295 Patients with Clinical Features of DiGeorge/Velocardiofacial Syndrome.* American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 2006. **140A**(22): p. 2426-2432.
- 88. Yi, Z., et al., *Chromosome Xq28 Duplication Encompassing MECP2: Clinical and Molecular Analysis of 16 New Patients from 10 Families in China.* European Journal of Medical Genetics, 2016. **59**(6): p. 347-353.
- 89. Liu, Z., A. Naranjo, and C.J. Thiele, CASZ1b, the Short Isoform of CASZ1 Gene, Coexpresses with CASZ1a During Neurogenesis and Suppresses Neuroblastoma Cell Growth. PloS One, 2011. **6**(4): p. e18557-e18557.
- Kim, B.J., et al., An Allelic Series of Mice Reveals a Role for RERE in the Development of Multiple Organs Affected in Chromosome 1p36 Deletions. PloS One, 2013. 8(2): p. e57460-e57460.
- 91. Kim, B.J. and D.A. Scott, *Mouse Model Reveals the Role of RERE in Cerebellar Foliation and the Migration and Maturation of Purkinje Cells.* PloS One, 2014. **9**(1): p. e87518-e87518.
- Zoltewicz, J.S., et al., Atrophin 2 Recruits Histone Deacetylase and is Required for the Function of Multiple Signaling Centers During Mouse Embryogenesis. Development, 2004. 131(1): p. 3.
- 93. Doyle, A.J., et al., *Mutations in the TGF-β Repressor SKI Cause Shprintzen-Goldberg Syndrome with Aortic Aneurysm.* Nature genetics, 2012. **44**(11): p. 1249-1254.
- 94. Zhao, X., et al., *Knockdown of Ski Decreased the Reactive Astrocytes Proliferation in vitro Induced by Oxygen-Glucose Deprivation/Reoxygenation.* Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, 2018. **119**(6): p. 4548-4558.
- 95. Soemedi, R., et al., *Phenotype-Specific Effect of Chromosome 1q21.1 Rearrangements and GJA5 Duplications in 2436 Congenital Heart Disease Patients and 6760 Controls.* Human Molecular Genetics, 2012. **21**(7): p. 1513-1520.
- 96. Gollob, M.H., et al., *Somatic Mutations in the Connexin 40 Gene (GJA5) in Atrial Fibrillation.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2006. **354**(25): p. 2677-2688.
- 97. Dermietzel, R. and D.C. Spray, *Gap Junctions in the Brain: Where, What Type, How Many and Why?* Trends in Neurosciences, 1993. **16**(5): p. 186-192.
- 98. Davis, L.M., et al., *Gap Junction Protein Phenotypes of the Human Heart and Conduction System.* Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology, 1995. **6**(10): p. 813-822.
- 99. Nimura, K., et al., A Histone H3 Lysine 36 Trimethyltransferase Links Nkx2-5 to Wolf–Hirschhorn Syndrome. Nature, 2009. **460**: p. 287.

- 100. Hajdu, I., et al., *Wolf-Hirschhorn Syndrome Candidate 1 is Involved in the Cellular Response to DNA Damage.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2011. **108**(32): p. 13130-13134.
- 101. Zhang, J., et al., *PTEN Methylation by NSD2 Controls Cellular Sensitivity to DNA Damage.* Cancer Discovery, 2019: p. CD-18-0083.
- 102. Chen, Q., et al., *LIM Kinase 1 Acts as a Profibrotic Mediator in Permanent Atrial Fibrillation Patients with Valvular Heart Disease.* Journal of Biosciences, 2019.
- 103. Xie, J., et al., *Aberrant Expression of LIMK1 Impairs Neuronal Migration During Neocortex Development.* Histochemistry and Cell Biology, 2017. **147**(4): p. 471-479.
- 104. Kleefstra, T., et al., Further Clinical and Molecular Delineation of the 9q Subtelomeric Deletion Syndrome Supports a Major Contribution of EHMT1 Haploinsufficiency to the Core Phenotype. Journal of Medical Genetics, 2009. **46**(9): p. 598.
- 105. Kleefstra, T., et al., Loss-of-Function Mutations in Euchromatin Histone Methyl Transferase 1 (EHMT1) Cause the 9q34 Subtelomeric Deletion Syndrome. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2006. **79**(2): p. 370-377.
- 106. Slomp, J., et al., *Differentiation, Dedifferentiation, and Apoptosis of Smooth Muscle Cells During the Development of the Human Ductus Arteriosus.* Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology, 1997. **17**(5): p. 1003-1009.
- 107. Zhu, L., et al., *Mutations in Myosin Heavy Chain 11 Cause a Syndrome Associating Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm/Aortic Dissection and Patent Ductus Arteriosus.* Nature Genetics, 2006. **38**(3): p. 343-349.
- 108. Takeda, N., et al., *A Deleterious MYH11 Mutation Causing Familial Thoracic Aortic Dissection.* Human Genome Variation, 2015. **2**: p. 15028-15028.
- 109. Koolen, D.A., et al., *The Koolen-de Vries Syndrome: A Phenotypic Comparison of Patients with a 17q21.31 Microdeletion Versus a KANSL1 Sequence Variant.* European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016. **24**(5): p. 652-659.
- 110. Singh, R., et al., *Tbx2 and Tbx3 Induce Atrioventricular Myocardial Development and Endocardial Cushion Formation.* Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 2012. **69**(8): p. 1377-1389.
- 111. Harrelson, Z., et al., *Tbx2 is Essential for Patterning the Atrioventricular Canal and for Morphogenesis of the Outflow Tract During Heart Development.* Development, 2004. **131**(20): p. 5041.
- 112. Cho, G.-S., et al., *Tbx2 Regulates Anterior Neural Specification by Repressing FGF Signaling Pathway.* Developmental Biology, 2017. **421**(2): p. 183-193.
- 113. Nahorski, M.S., et al., *A Novel Disorder Reveals Clathrin Heavy Chain-22 is Essential for Human Pain and Touch Development.* Brain: A Journal of Neurology, 2015. **138**(Pt 8): p. 2147-2160.
- Towler, M.C., et al., Clathrin Isoform CHC22, a Component of Neuromuscular and Myotendinous Junctions, Binds Sorting Nexin 5 and has Increased Expression During Myogenesis and Muscle Regeneration. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 2004. 15(7): p. 3181-3195.
- Thevenon, J., et al., *RPL10 Mutation Segregating in a Family with X-Linked Syndromic Intellectual Disability.* American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 2015.
   **167**(8): p. 1908-1912.
- 116. Zanni, G., et al., A Novel Mutation in RPL10 (Ribosomal Protein L10) Causes X-Linked Intellectual Disability, Cerebellar Hypoplasia, and Spondylo-Epiphyseal Dysplasia. Human Mutation, 2015. **36**(12): p. 1155-1158.
- 117. Bourque, D.K., et al., A de novo Mutation in RPL10 Causes a Rare X-linked Ribosomopathy Characterized by Syndromic Intellectual Disability and Epilepsy: A New Case and Review of the Literature. European Journal of Medical Genetics, 2018. 61(2): p. 89-93.
- 118. Uhlén, M., et al., *Tissue-Based Map of the Human Proteome.* Science, 2015. **347**(6220): p. 1260419.

## 11. Supplementary materials

Database	Concept	Concept input	Combined with filters
Pub med	1	(((((((((((Congenital heart disease*) OR Congenital heart defect*) OR Congenital heart anomal*) OR Congenital heart disorder*) OR Heart disease*) OR Heart defect*) OR Heart anomal*) OR Heart disorder*) OR Cardiac*) OR Cardiac defect*) OR Cardiac anomal*) OR Cardiac disease*) OR Cardiac disorder*	Publication dates: From 1999/01/01 to 2019/04/30 Languages: English
	2	(((Neurodevelopmental disorder*) OR Neurodevelopmental delay*) OR Developmental delay*) OR Intellectual disabilit*	
	3	Search ((((((CNV*) OR Copy number variant*) OR Chromosom*) OR Chromosom* defect*) OR Chromosom* anomal*) OR Chromosom* disorder*) OR Chromosom* abnormal*	
EMBASE	1	All key words in concept 1 entered in separately and selected OR for all	Publication year: from 1999 to current
	2	All key words in concept 2 entered in separately and selected OR for all	Language: English
	3	All key words in concept 3 entered in separately and selected OR for all	Article type: do not include conference abstracts
Cochrane Library	1	(Congenital heart disease*):ti,ab,kw OR (Congenital heart defect*):ti,ab,kw OR (Congenital heart anomal*):ti,ab,kw OR (Congenital heart disorder*):ti,ab,kw OR (heart disease*):ti,ab,kw OR (heart defect*):ti,ab,kw OR (heart anomal*):ti,ab,kw OR (Heart disorder*):ti,ab,kw OR (Cardiac*):ti,ab,kw OR (Cardiac defect*):ti,ab,kw OR (Cardiac anomal*):ti,ab,kw OR (Cardiac disease*):ti,ab,kw OR (Cardiac disorder*):ti,ab,kw	From 1999 to 2019 All articles in the English language
	2	(Neurodevelopmental disorder*):ti,ab,kw OR (Neurodevelopmental delay*):ti,ab,kw OR (Developmental delay*):ti,ab,kw OR (Intellectual disabilit*):ti,ab,kw	
	3	(CNV*):ti,ab,kw OR (Copy number variant*):ti,ab,kw OR (Chromosom*):ti,ab,kw OR (Chromosom* defect*):ti,ab,kw OR (Chromosom* anomal*):ti,ab,kw OR (Chromosom* disorder*):ti,ab,kw OR (Chromosom* abnormal*):ti,ab,kw	



Table S2. Table showing bias of each study based on the QUIPS tool

Pathway	Genes	CNV
Cardiac conduction		
YAP1- and WWTR1 (TAZ)-stimulated gene expression	NPPA GATA4	1p36 8p23.1
LTCC heteropentamer (open) transports Ca2+ from extracellular region to cytosol	CACNB4	2q22-q23
Signalling by Hippo Transcriptional activity of SMAD2/SMAD3:SMAD4 heterotrimer	TAZ	Xq28
L1CAM interactions	SCN1A	2q22-q23
Unknown	NPPB	1p36
Neuronal system		
Potassium channels	GNB1	1p36
Transmission across chemical synapses	GNB1 CACNB4 COMT PRKAB2	1p36 2q22-q23 22q11.2 1q21.1
Neurotransmitter clearance	COMT SLC6A3	22q11.2 5p15.2
Neurotransmitter receptors and postsynaptic signal transmission	PRKAB2 ADCY2 SLC6A3	1q21.1 5p15.2 5p15.2
Neurotransmitter uptake and metabolism in glial cells	MAPT	17q21.31
Neurotransmitter release cycle	STX1A	7q11.23
Developmental biology		•
Axon guidance	CACNB4 MAPT SCN1A PLXNA3 MYH11 RPL10 LIMK1 MAPK7 CLTCL1 SEMA5A AGRN SCN1A	2q22-q23 17q21.31 2q22-q23 Xq28 16p13.11 Xq28 7q11.23 17p11.2 22q11.2 5p15.2 1p36 2q22-q23
NCAM signalling for neurite outgrowth	CACNB4	2q22-q23
Activation of HOX genes during differentiation	PRKAB2 GATA4	1q21.1 8p23.1
Transcriptional regulation of pluripotent stem	PRKAB2	1q21.1

**Table S3**. Genes involved in cardiac, neuronal and developmental pathways

cells		
Regulation of beta-cell development	MAPT GATA4	17q21.31 8p23.1
LGI-ADAM interactions	STX1A	7q11.23
Transcriptional regulation of adipocyte differentiation	STX1A GATA4 MED9	7q11.23 8p23.1 17p11.2
Transcriptional regulation of granulopoiesis	GATA4 TAZ	8p23.1 Xq28
Myogenesis	TAZ	Xq28

Genes	Pathway	Gene express	ion (TPM)
		Brain (Cerebral cortex)	Heart
GNB1	Neuronal system	447.4	79.5
COMT	Neuronal system	109.8	51.3
SLC6A3	Neuronal system	0	0
ADCY2	Neuronal system	57.2	0
NPPA	Cardiac conduction	16.9	24003.4
NPPB	Cardiac conduction	0	3255.2
PLXNA 3	Developmental biology (axon guidance)	4.1	1.9
MYH11	Developmental biology (axon quidance)	5.6	23.5
RPL10	Developmental biology (axon guidance)	989	1561.9
LIMK1	Developmental biology (axon	39.5	4.1
MAPK7	Developmental biology (axon	3.8	2.1
CLTCL1	Developmental biology (axon quidance)	3	5.5
SEMA5	Developmental biology (axon	14.5	6.1
AGRN	Developmental biology (axon	33.2	3.5
MED9	Developmental biology	17.9	14.8
PRKAB 2	Neuronal system Developmental biology	22.6	16.6
STX1A	Neuronal system	166.6	0.5
MAPT	Neuronal system Developmental biology (axon quidance)	116.2	2.5
TAZ	Cardiac conduction	7.1	13.3
GATA4	Cardiac conduction Developmental biology (axon quidance)	0	59
SCN1A	Cardiac conduction Developmental biology (axon guidance)	10.3	0

**Table S4**. Brain and heart expression of genes involved in cardiac, neuronal anddevelopmental pathways

CACNB Cardiac conduction 25 4 Neuronal system Developmental biology (axon guidance)	5.9	0.1
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	-----



**Figure S1**. Venn diagram showing overlap of genes in pathways. Many genes from the CNVs are associated with two or more pathways involved in neuronal and cardiac development/function. CACNB4 is associated with all three pathways.