Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/126593/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Thaore, Vaishali B., Armstrong, Robert D., Hutchings, Graham J. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8885-1560>, Knight, David W., Chadwick, David and Shah, Nilay 2020. Sustainable production of glucaric acid from corn stover via glucose oxidation: an assessment of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalytic oxidation production routes. Chemical Engineering Research and Design 153 , pp. 337-349. 10.1016/j.cherd.2019.10.042 filefile

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2019.10.042>
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2019.10.042>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

Supporting Information

Sustainable production of glucaric acid from corn stover via glucose oxidation: an assessment of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalytic oxidation production routes

Vaishali Thaore^{*1}, Robert D. Armstrong², Graham J. Hutchings², David W. Knight², David Chadwick¹, Nilay Shah¹

S.I ASPEN Process model details for Corn stover conversion to glucaric acid

This section provides additional details of the process model. A schematic process flow diagram is shown in Figure S.1. The composition of the corn stover feedstock is given in Table S.1. The glucaric acid production process model was designed in ASPEN Plus V9. The physical and chemical properties of all components (except glucaric and potassium glucarate) were assigned according to the Aspen Plus V9 database and property data developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) for biochemical conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to ethanol (Humbird et al., 2011). The physicochemical properties of glucaric acid and potassium glucarate components were estimated based upon their molecular structures as given in Table S.2. the reactions for process areas concerned with acid pre-treatment (A200), ammonia conditioning and acidification (A200), and cellulose conversion to glucose (A300) are given in Table S.3. An overall process flow diagram for Route 1 is given in Figure S.2.

Figure S.1: Process flow diagram for Glucaric acid production from corn stover

Table S.1: The composition of corn stover biomass

Component	Mass fraction (dry basis)
Cellulose	0.3895
Xylan	0.2831
Lignin	0.1346
Acetate	0.0635
Ash	0.0489
Arabinan	0.0476
Galactan	0.0159
Protein	0.01
Mannan	0.0069

Table S.2: Physio-chemical property data for ASPEN model

Property	Value	Units	Reference
Glucaric acid			
OMEGA	1.6788		Specify molecular structure, Aspen property estimation tool using-NIST TDE
ZC	0.193		
VC	0.4605	cum/kmol	
PC	2.93E+06	N/sqm	
TC	841	K	
MW	210.14		
TB	675.5	K	
SG	1.897		
VLSTD	0.1109	cum/kmol	
ΔH_{fg}	-1510	kJ/mol	Vasiliu et al., 2011
ΔH_{vap}	138	kJ/mol	
ΔH_{liq}	-1648	kJ/mol	
Potassium glucarate solid			
			Modelled as solid component, properties were estimated by specifying molecular structure.
MW	248.23		
Melting point	461	K	
PLXANT/1	-1e ²⁰	Atm	Forced as non-volatile component.
Heat of fusion	46793	J/mol	The solubility of K-glucarate in water as 0.07 M which corresponds to 17.37 g/l (Armstrong et al., 2017; Joback and Reid, 1987)

Table S.3: Reactions in process area A200–A300

Reaction No.	Reaction	Temperature (K)	Pressure (MPa)	% Conversion
<i>Reactions in Process area A200 -Dilute acid pre-treatment</i>				
R1	$\text{Cellulose} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{Glucose}$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{Cellulose}} = 0.099$
R2	$\text{Cellulose} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{HMF} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{Cellulose}} = 0.003$
R3	$\text{Xylan} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{Xylose}$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{Xylan}} = 0.9$
R4	$\text{Xylan} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{Furfural} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{Xylan}} = 0.05$
R5	$\text{Arabinan} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{Arbinose}$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{Arabinan}} = 0.9$
R6	$\text{Galactan} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{Galactose}$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{Galactan}} = 0.9$
R7	$\text{Acetate} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{Acetate}} = 1$
R8	$\text{HMF} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{TAR}$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{HMF}} = 1$
R9	$\text{Furfural} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} \text{TAR}$	373, 431	0.7, 0.57	$X_{\text{Furfural}} = 1$
<i>Ammonia conditioning and Re-acidification</i>				
R10	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2 + \text{NH}_3 \longrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+ \text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{OO}^{2-}$	350	0.57	$X_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2} = 1$
R11	$\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + 2\text{NH}_3 \longrightarrow (\text{NH}_4^+)_2\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	350	0.57	$X_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} = 1$
R12	$\text{Xylose} \longrightarrow \text{TAR}$	350	0.57	$X_{\text{Xylose}} = 0.01$
R13	$\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + 2\text{NH}_3 \longrightarrow (\text{NH}_4^+)_2\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	350	0.34	$X_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4} = 1$
<i>Reactions in Process area A300</i>				
R14	$\text{Cellulose} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{Cellulase}} \text{Glucose}$	321	0.1	$X_{\text{Cellulose}} = 0.9$

Figure S.2: The overall process flow diagram for Route 1-Glucaric acid production from corn stover biomass

S.II Pore Diffusion model Equations

Simulation of the fixed bed adsorption column including pore diffusion model kinetics and Langmuir adsorption model was performed in the gPROMS process modelling platform for an adsorption column of 4 m length and 1 m diameter. The adsorption parameters are given in Table S.4. A detailed simulation of the GA concentration profile with respect to bed height is shown in Figure S.3. Based on the simulation results for the purification process, 8 ion exchange columns (4 for adsorption and 4 in regeneration) were required to adsorb 9555 kg/hr of K-glucarate in Route 1 each having a length of 4m and a diameter of 1m. Whereas in Route 2, 6 columns of the same dimensions were required (3 for adsorption and 3 in regeneration) for adsorption of 7279 kg/hr of K-glucarate. A total of 26875 kg of ion exchange resin (IER) was loaded into columns for Route 1 and 20625 kg for Route 2.

The mass balance equations used for bed (Eq S.1) and particle (Eq. S.2) are as follows

$$\frac{\partial c_{GA}}{\partial t} = D_L \frac{\partial^2 c_{GA}}{\partial L^2} - u \frac{\partial c_{GA}}{\partial L} - \left(\frac{3(1-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon r_p} \varepsilon_p D_p \frac{\partial c_p}{\partial r} \right)_{r=r_p} \quad (\text{Eq. S.1})$$

$$\varepsilon_p \frac{\partial c_p}{\partial t} + (1-\varepsilon_p) \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = \frac{\varepsilon_p}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[r^2 D_p \frac{\partial c_p}{\partial r} \right]_L \quad (\text{Eq. S.2})$$

$$q = \frac{q_m c_p}{K_d + c_p} \quad (\text{Eq. S.3})$$

Boundary condition for bed and particle are

at $z=0$

$$D_L \frac{\partial c_{GA}(0, t)}{\partial z} = u(c_{GA}(0, t) - c_{GA0})$$

at $z=L$

$$\frac{\partial c_{GA}}{\partial z}(L, t) = 0$$

Boundary condition for particle are

at $r=0$

$$\frac{\partial c_p}{\partial r}(0, z, t) = 0$$

at $r=r_p$

$$c_p(r_p, z, t) = c_{GA}(z)$$

Initial conditions for bed and particle are

at $t=0$

$$c_{GA}(z, 0) = 0$$

at $z=0$

$$c_{GA}(0, t) = c_0$$

Initial condition for particle

$$c_p(r, z, 0) = 0$$

Table S.4: Adsorption parameters

Resin (Yuan <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	Density (gm.ml ⁻¹)	Particle Radius (mm)	Langmuir Parameter		D_L (m ² .s ⁻¹) Dispersion coefficient in column	D_p (m ² .s ⁻¹) Pore diffusion coefficient
			q_m (mg.ml ⁻¹)	K_D (mg.ml ⁻¹)		
Ion-exchange resin Polystyrene	1.25	1.25	113.94	0.04	11.3×10^{-10}	11.3×10^{-11}

For, column diameter = 1m and column length = 4m,

For 10 wt % K-Glucarate solution,

$$c_{GA0} = 100 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$$

U =Vol flow rate/Cross Sectional area of column (m/sec)

c_{GA} concentration of glucaric acid in external liquid [kg. m⁻³];

c_p intra-particle concentration of glucaric acid [kg. m⁻³];

D_L Dispersion coefficient in column [m².sec⁻¹];

D_p Glucaric acid diffusivity in IER particle [m².sec⁻¹];

K_D Langmuir adsorption parameter [kg.m⁻³];

L length of column [m]; q amount of glucaric acid adsorbed on IER at equilibrium [kg.m⁻³]; q_m

maximum capacity of resin [kg.m⁻³]; R radius of particle [m]; r_p radial distance in particle [m]; t

time [sec]; u superficial velocity [m.sec⁻¹]; z linear distance in column [m]; ε bed voidage, ε_p bed porosity

Fixed bed adsorption column for cation-ion exchange resin Amberlyst-15

The resin column parameters were also evaluated based on the Amberlyst-15 resin capacity provided by the resin manufacturer.

Amberlyst-15 (AMBERLYST™ 15WET)

Resin density- 770 g/l and

Ion-exchange capacity, 1.7 eq/l

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Minimum potassium ion loading} &= \frac{1.7 \times 39}{770} \left(\frac{\text{g}}{\text{kg of resin}} \right) \\ &= 86 \left(\frac{\text{g}}{\text{kg of resin}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Adsorption capacity, $q_{\max} = 116.5$ g/kg resin (Miller et al., 2015)

Assumptions: Adsorption capacity = 100 g/kg resin,

For Column dimensions: length = 4m, diameter = 1m

Column volume = $(\pi d^2)/4 L = 3.14$ m³

Feed flow rate for one column = 24387.5 kg/hr (10 wt% potassium glucarate)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{K}^+ \text{ adsorbed (kg)} &= \text{Column volume (m}^3\text{)} \times \text{resin density (kg/m}^3\text{)} \\ &\quad \times \text{adsorption capacity (kg of adsorbate/kg adsorbent)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Potassium ion adsorbed} = 3.14 \times 770 \times 0.1 = 241.78 \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{Potassium ion loading} = \frac{1.7 \times 39}{770} \text{ g/kg of resin} = 86 \text{ g/kg of resin}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Adsorption cycle time (h)} &= \frac{\text{Potassium ion adsorbed (kg)}}{\text{Potassium ion loaded in feed (kg/h)}} = \frac{241.78}{24387.5 \times 0.1 \times 0.15} = 0.66 \text{ h} = \\ &40 \text{ min}\end{aligned}$$

SIH. Data for financial and environmental analysis

This section provides data for financial and environmental analysis. The unitary cost data for input streams is given in Table S.5. The discounted cash flow analysis method was used to determine a minimum selling price for GA by equating the net present value to zero at the end of 20 years (Peters et al., 2003). Table S.6 summarises the discounted cash flow analysis parameters for the new glucaric acid production plant assuming 35% income tax rate, 40% project contingency, 6 years of capital depreciation (200 % declining balance - DB method of depreciation), and minimum acceptable annual rate of return (MARR) of 15%. The CO₂ emission factor for each input stream is given in Table S.7. Based on CO₂ emission factor and consumption of raw materials, the total GHG emissions for glucaric acid production process via Route 1 and Route 2 was estimated. The values are given in Table S.8.

Table S.5: Unitary cost of input streams

Components	USD/tonne or
With cost source	USD/Unit
Corn stover biomass (Humbird et al., 2011)	88.20
(ICIS, 2017) H ₂ SO ₄	73.87
(ICIS, 2017) NH ₃	638.37
(JBEI, 2009) Cellulase	3274.76
(ICIS, 2017) HNO ₃ (67%)	286.66
(ICIS, 2017) KOH (45%)	364.23
(ICIS, 2017) Acetonitrile	1578
(Humbird et al., 2011) Water	0.28
(EIA; Humbird et al., 2011) Electricity (kWhr)	0.065
(EIA) NG (GJ)	7.41
(SIGMA ALDRICH, 2018) Pt catalyst	5240
(AMBERLYST, 2018) IER cost	1267

Table S.6: Parameters for Discounted cash flow analysis

Parameters	Assumption
Plant life	20 yrs
Plant operational annual hours	8400 hrs
Construction time	36 months
Capital depreciation	6 years 200 % declining balance (DB) method of depreciation
Minimum acceptable annual rate of return (MARR)	15 %
Income tax rate	35 %
Equity financing	100%

Table S.7: CO₂ emission factors for input streams in glucaric acid production process models

Input	Emission factor (kg of CO ₂ eq/tonne)	Sources for CO ₂ Emission factor
Biomass	59.44	(Giarola et al., 2012)
H ₂ SO ₄	135.85	(Frischknecht et al., 2005)
NH ₃	2311.14	(Frischknecht et al., 2005)
Enzymes	7717.75	(Slade et al., 2009)
HNO ₃ (50% in H ₂ O)	3017*	(US EPA, 2009; Jungbluth et al., 2007)
KOH (45 % in H ₂ O)	2906*	(Jungbluth et al., 2007)
Acetonitrile	180	(Frischknecht et al., 2005)
Water	0.74	(Frischknecht et al., 2005)
NG (energy)	1.99	(Giarola et al., 2016)

*from eco-invent (database 3.4, allocation at the point of substitution and IPCC 2013),

$$GWP_{20} = 3.0177 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{eq/kg HNO}_3, GWP_{20} = 2.9069 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{eq/kg KOH}$$

Table S.8: Total GHG emissions for glucaric acid production process via Route 1 and Route 2

Input streams	Route 1	Route 2
Acetonitrile	2.55	2.56
Water	11.55	14.60
Sulphuric acid	13.70	18.01
Natural gas	48.25	63.47
Nitric acid	0.00	217.46
Cellulase	228.32	300.13
Ammonia	237.90	312.72
Corn stover biomass	315.26	414.41
Potassium hydroxide	818.38	806.84
Total GHG emissions	1675	2150
in kg CO2 eq/tonne of Glucaric acid		

References

- AMBERLYST™ 15WET Industrial Grade Strongly Acidic Catalyst. URL www.lenntech.com. (accessed 3.12.18)
- Armstrong, R.D., Kariuki, B.M., Knight, D.W., Hutchings, G.J., 2017. How to Synthesise High Purity, Crystalline d-Glucaric Acid Selectively. *European J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 6811–6814. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201701343>
- EIA-Electricity Data. U.S. Energy Information Administration. URL https://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/epm_table_grapher.php?t=epmt_5_3.
- EIA-Natural Gas, U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) , URL <https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/steo/report/natgas.php>.
- Frischknecht, R., Jungbluth, N., Althaus, H. J., Doka, G., Dones, R., Heck, T., Hellweg, S., Hirschler, R., Nemecek, T., Rebitzer, G., Spielmann, M., 2005. The ecoinvent Database: Overview and Methodological Framework (7 pp). *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 10, 3–9.
- Giarola, S., Romain, C., Williams, C.K., Hallett, J.P., Shah, N., 2016. Techno-economic assessment of the production of phthalic anhydride from corn stover. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 107, 181–194. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2015.10.034>
- Giarola, S., Shah, N., Bezzo, F., 2012. A comprehensive approach to the design of ethanol supply chains including carbon trading effects. *Bioresour. Technol.* 107, 175–185. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.090>
- Humbird, D., Davis, R.E., Tao, L., Kinchin, C.M., Hsu, D.D., Aden, A., Schoen, P., Lukas, J., Olthof, B., Worley, M., Sexton, D., Dudgeon, D., 2011. Process Design and Economics for Biochemical Conversion of Lignocellulosic Biomass to Ethanol. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Technical Report NREL/TP-5100-47764.
- ICIS, 2017. Indicative Chemical Prices A-Z. URL <https://www.icis.com/chemicals/channel-info-chemicals-a-z/>.
- JBEI, 2009. Joint BioEnergy Institute, URL https://econ.jbei.org/index.php/Materials_and_labor.
- Joback, K.G., Reid, R.C., 1987. Estimation of pure-component properties from group-contributions. *Chem. Eng. Commun.* 57, 233–243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00986448708960487>
- Jungbluth, N., Chudacoff, M., Dauriat, A., Dinkel, F., Doka, G., Faist Emmenegger, M., Gnansounou, E., Kljun, N., Schleiss, K., Spielmann, M., Stettler, C., Sutter, J., 2007. Ecoinvent v3.4. Life Cycle Inventories of Bioenergy. ecoinvent report No. 17. Dübendorf, CH.

- Millar, G.J., Couperthwaite, S.J., Leung, C.W., 2015. An examination of isotherm generation: Impact of bottle-point method upon potassium ion exchange with strong acid cation resin. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 141, 366–377.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.12.024>
- Platinum on carbon, 5 wt. % loading, matrix activated carbon support . SIGMA ALDRICH. URL <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/aldrich/205931?lang=en&region=GB> (accessed 8.23.18).
- Slade, R., Bauen, A., Shah, N., 2009. The greenhouse gas emissions performance of cellulosic ethanol supply chains in Europe. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 2, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-2-15>
- US EPA, 2009. Technical support document for the nitric acid production sector: Proposed rule for mandatory reporting of greenhouse gases 1–24.
- Vasiliu, M., Guynn, K., Dixon, D.A., 2011. Prediction of the Thermodynamic Properties of Key Products and Intermediates from Biomass. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 115, 15686–15702.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jp204243m>