

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/128963/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Baker, Susan , Ayala-Orozco, Bárbara and García-Frapolli, Eduardo 2020. Hybrid, public and private environmental governance: The case of sustainable coastal zone management in Quintana Roo, Mexico. International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology 27 (7) , pp. 625-637. 10.1080/13504509.2020.1722764

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2020.1722764

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1	Hybrid, public and private environmental governance: The case of
2	sustainable coastal zone management in Quintana Roo, Mexico.
3	
4	Susan Baker ^a , Bárbara Ayala-Orozco ^{b*} , Eduardo García-Frapolli ^b
2	
6	^a Sustainable Places Research Institute, Cardiff University, 33 Park Place, Cardiff,
7	Wales U.K.
8	^b Instituto de Investigaciones en Ecosistemas y Sustentabilidad, Universidad Nacional
9	Autónoma de México, Morelia 58190, Mexico
10	
11	*Corresponding author: Bárbara Ayala-Orozco, bayala@iies.unam.mx
12	Postal address: Instituto de Investigaciones en Ecosistemas y Sustentabilidad,
13	Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Antigua carretera a Pátzcuaro 8701, Col.
14	San José de la Huerta, Morelia C.P. 58190, Mexico
15	

Hybrid, public and private environmental governance: The case of sustainable coastal zone management in Quintana Roo, Mexico.

18 Abstract. Coastal zones (CZ) are social-ecological systems where rapid forms of 19 economic development are disrupting the existing patterns of relationships, 20 raising challenges for governance. Institutional flexibility, broad participation, 21 multilevel governance, and adaptability have been identified as critical conditions 22 for the governance of social-ecological systems. While the importance of agency, 23 through the substantive participation of private actors in rulemaking, has been 24 researched, there is need to examine the dynamics involved in, and consequence 25 of, hybrid governance arrangements. An empirical study is presented of hybrid 26 governance, involving federal and local government and locally-based private 27 actors from civil society organizations, environmental non-government 28 organizations and local business interest associations, in the state of Quintana 29 Roo, Mexico. The CZ of Quintana Roo is facing pressures from economic 30 development, mainly tourism, with consequences for water pollution and 31 fisheries. Through qualitative, mixed methods, we found that a thick network of 32 private actors has mobilized to play an important role in environmental 33 management and to act in collaboration with the State. Multiple rationales 34 account for this development, including high levels of environmental awareness, 35 particular with respect to water pollution, while the lack of institutional capacity 36 also motivates state actors to seek partnerships. While private governance is 37 emerging, our data reveal a complex case, where private actor mobilization seeks 38 to promote better regulations, to share data and resources, and to improve 39 implementation capacity within the public administration. Hybrid governance 40 contributes to effective environmental governance of the CZ. However, this can 41 also risk state retreat from its public responsibilities.

42 Keywords: governmentality; informal partnerships; multi-actor participation; 43 networks; social-ecological systems; state corruption.

44 Introduction

45 This paper examines the role of hybrid authority in the governance of complex adaptive,

46 social-ecological systems (SES). The literature has identified key conditions that are

47 critical for the governance of SES systems: (1) flexibility in institutions; (2) openness of

48 institutions to provide for broad participation, not least in local decision-making and 49 administration; (3) effectiveness of multilevel governance; (4) social structures that 50 promote learning and adaptability without limiting the options for future development 51 (Adger 2000; Binder et al. 2013; Folke et al. 2002; Folke 2006; Kooiman 2003; Walker 52 and Salt 2006). However, research often fails to take account of the multiple actors 53 involved across different governance levels. While the substantive participation of 54 private actors in rule making has been researched, the dynamics involved in, and 55 consequence of, opening institutions to provide for broad participation are less well 56 understood. Moving from earlier ideas that the state is simply being 'hollowed out', and 57 replaced by private actor governance, there is increasing recognition that the political 58 relationship between state and non-state actors is not a zero-sum game (Bäckstrand 59 2006; Newell et al. 2012), but is rather being replace by hybrid forms that combine 60 public and private authority in governance. However, understanding the factors that 61 contribute to the development of hybrid forms of governance, and whether this 'hybrid' 62 form enhances governmentality, that is, the practices through which matters are 63 governed, so as to improve environmental outcomes remains limited. This paper 64 identifies the conditions that motivate actors to co-mingle in governance arrangements 65 with public authorities to better understand the benefits and risks of hybrid authority. It 66 also addresses the need to have a deeper understanding of the outcomes of private 67 governance as they operate with the state in hybrid form. It specifically focuses on the 68 whether or not this contribute to sustainability.

The most prominent example utilized in the literature of SES are coastal and marine ecosystems (Berkes 2011) and these systems form the empirical focus of this paper. Coastal ecosystems are here seen as having biophysical subsystems and human subsystems, the latter including economic, political, social and cultural components,

73 management and governance regimes (Paddock et al. 2018). However, while these 74 characteristics have been well described at the conceptual level, there is still need to 75 understand how they play out in practice, with a shortage of empirical studies in the 76 area. The paper provides an empirical study of hybrid governance in coastal zone (CZ) 77 governance in the state of Quintana Roo, Mexico. It examines the involvement of 78 federal and local government and locally-based actors from civil society organizations 79 (CSOs), environmental non-government organizations (ENGOs) and local business 80 interest associations (BIAs) representing small and medium enterprises (SMEs), all 81 operating in the CZ.

82 The paper begins by examining the current state of knowledge on the 83 governance of SES, including on the role of private actors. The challenges that the 84 emergence of hybrid forms of governance presents for analysis are then outlined. 85 Having described the methodology, the paper turns attention to the empirical case. 86 Information on both the ecological and social context of Quintana Roo provides the 87 backdrop for the presentation of the empirical findings. The paper concludes by 88 examining the significance of finding for our understanding of the governance of 89 complex, adaptive systems, highlighting the paper's key contribution.

90 The Governance of Social-Ecological Systems

91 In the classical understanding of governing, boundaries between the public and private 92 realms are seen as strict. Governing is equated exclusively with government, with 93 responsibility for public issues consigned to the public domain of the state. In this view, 94 public management is mainly rule-orientated, legalistic and rather formal. However, 95 recent decades have seen a shift in both our understanding and practice of governing, 96 with less emphasis placed upon the autonomy of the three domains of state, market and 97 civil society, and instead their interdependencies are stressed. The term 'governance'

98 captures this new emphasis (Glasbergen 2007). These interdependencies operate across 99 multi-level scales, ranging from international through to the regional and local levels. 100 However, in the context of neoliberalism, we need to be mindful that governments often 101 leave responsibilities to third parties, resulting in the private governance of public 102 goods. While this can bring positive benefits, such as when private provision allows the 103 state to channel scarce resources to other areas of need, private governance raises issues 104 about whose interests get served and how the wider public are affected (Rudder 2008). 105 Nevertheless, private governance can also be driven by the need for business 106 corporations to be seen to be socially responsible and enable them to derive moral 107 authority through showing leadership. This has led to the development of codes of 108 conduct, for example in in the tourism sector (Newell et al. 2012). 109 In addition, forms of multi-actor governance are also created through a 110 multitude of civil society coalitions, alliances, and networks, engagements that are often 111 about defending the rights of local and indigenous communities to natural resources as 112 much as they are about directly shaping formal policy (Newell et al. 2012). However, 113 the main focus of the literature has been on institutionalized hybrid authority, in 114 particular through co-management, public-private partnerships and social-private 115 partnerships (Lemos and Agrawal 2006). While partnerships themselves are broadly 116 understood (Börzel and Risse 2002), this focus is too narrow for our purposes 117 (Andonova 2010). There is need to widen the focus to examine hybrid form of 118 governance that involve a complex array of state led, regulatory governing, interacting with self- organized interests, and with participatory forms of steering from social 119 120 actors, which are not necessarily formalized. 121 In relation to environmental governance, it is long recognized that civil society

122 actors and business interest association co-produce public environmental regulations

and are heavily involved in lobbying to shape regulation (Newell et al. 2012). Hybrid
governance is also a response to the complexity, dynamics and uncertainty of policy
making in the context of global environmental change, where traditional modes of statebased regulation are limited in their reach, effectiveness, authority, and even legitimacy
(Kooiman 2003; Lemos and Agrawal 2006). Instead of a strong *state* to govern, a strong *society*, which is at least partly based on engagement from the market and civil society
(Glasbergen 2007), is seen as critical for the promotion of sustainable futures.

130 Hybrid forms of governance help fill gaps in both the 'regulatory deficit' and 131 'implementation deficit' in environmental policy. Pooling of resources, including 132 knowledge and finance, and burden sharing can increase the problem-solving capacity 133 of governance arrangements (Börzel and Risse 2002). This can include the provision of 134 place-specific information that may allow a more equitable allocation of benefits from 135 environmental assets (Lemos and Agrawal 2006; Baker and Chapin 2018). These 136 arguments are closely linked to the claim that participation increases the democratic 137 nature of policy (Baker and Chapin 2018). Partnership arrangements are seen as 138 reducing conflict, mediating the confrontational relation that has traditionally existed 139 between companies, governments, and civil society in relation to environmental 140 regulations. For their part, limited resources and high capacity requirements for the 141 implementation of regulatory environmental standards provide a partial explanation for 142 the willingness of the state to experiment with hybrid governance.

Despite its highly developed nature, the literature assumes that we are speaking about changes taking place in the liberal democratic order. Such order is assumed in the classic work of Glasbergen (2011) and in Börzel and Risse (2002), where new forms of governance are explored in western, democratic welfare states. Thus, there is need to distance research from a Weberian, state-centric narratives, grounded in the European

experiences of state formation (Colona and Jaffe 2016). This facilitates analysis of cases
that do not require some form of developed welfare state regime, to enables the
exploration of cases where there are high levels of state corruption. The case of
Quintana Roo provides an opportunity to examine governance in the context of weak
state presence, with the system of public administration only emerging as municipality
formation takes place.

154 Methodology

155 A case study approach (Gerring 2007) using qualitative, mixed methods was employed. 156 Qualitative fieldwork involved stakeholder focus groups, in-depth interviews, direct 157 observation, and document analysis to examine key actor perceptions, attitudes, and 158 interests. The study took place along the coastal corridor of the state of Quintana Roo 159 (Figure 1) throughout 2017-2018. The authors received ethical approval from their 160 respective Universities. Four stakeholder Focus Groups were held in Tulum, attended 161 by 24 participants in all; and one was held in Bacalar, attended by 7 participants. The 162 Focus Groups were held in March 2017, and drew representatives from local and 163 regional government, research institutions, representatives from the water, forestry, and 164 ecotourism sectors, and from CSOs, ENGOs, and members of BIAs. The discussions 165 were guided by a facilitator, while members of the research team took detailed notes of 166 the conversations in each group. Four follow-up stakeholder Focus Groups were held in 167 Playa del Carmen. One public meeting was held in Playa del Carmen in February 2018 168 to present preliminary results to the general public so as to create awareness and 169 generate feedback. Between May and September 2017, semi-structured interviews were 170 conducted in Chetumal, Cancún, Playa del Carmen, Felipe Carrillo Puerto, and Tulum 171 (29 interviews in all). Interviewees were drawn from the municipal (Tulum and Felipe 172 Carrillo Puerto), state and federal levels of government in the environmental sector, and

173 from BIAs, ENGOs and CSOs operating in the region. The interviews lasted between 174 60-90 minutes and explored a series of themes related to the mechanisms of multi-actor 175 collaboration and public participation, environmental policy integration, integration 176 across multi-level governance, and the presence of political influence and of corruption. 177 All the interviews were recorded and transcribed with the consent of participants. 178 Transcripts were analyzed through Atlas.ti 8 for Windows (Scientific Software 179 Development GmbH), using the qualitative content analysis method (Schreier 2012) 180 based on a deductive coding strategy (Mayring 2000; Hsieh and Shannon 2005). In 181 addition, grey literature from within the system of public administration, dealing with 182 technical reports, development plans, land and urban planning documents, etc., provided 183 background information, together with direct observations, for internal validity of 184 results through data triangulation. The research was also informed by the scientific 185 literature, in particular from within political science, public administration studies. 186

Figure 1. Map of the coastal zone of Quintana Roo showing the location of the studysites

189 The Coastal Zone of Quintana Roo as a Coupled System

190 The state of Quintana Roo occupies the eastern portion of the Yucatan Peninsula

191 (Figure 1). Although the region has a long history of human occupation, including by

192 the Maya civilization (Faust 2001), the area now known as Quintana Roo was only

193 named as such at the beginning of the 20th Century. In 1902, the then Mexican

- 194 President, Porfirio Díaz, decreed jurisdiction over the Federal Territory of Quintana
- 195 Roo. Although the 1917 Constitution of Mexico saw the creation of the municipalities
- 196 of Cozumel, Isla Mujeres and Payo Obispo, the Governor continued to exercise power

197 over practically all decisions related to the management of the Territory, and was 198 directly appointed by the Federal government in Mexico City (Careaga Viliesid and 199 Higuera Bonfil 2011). From 1975 to 2016, all seven governors of Quintana Roo were 200 members of the Partido Revolucionario Institucional (Institutional Revolutionary Party, 201 PRI), which ruled the country for more than seven decades (Hernández 2017). The 202 imposition of external governors was combined with an open disregard for the lives of 203 the inhabitants and a lack of interest in generating institutions and local management 204 capacities (Samaniego 2010). It was not until 1974 that the Territory of Quintana Roo 205 became a free and sovereign State, but history has left a legacy of corruption and 206 neglect (Dachary et al. 1992; Careaga Viliesid and Higuera Bonfil 2011). As in the rest 207 of the country, the absence of separation of powers that enables checks and balances in 208 the political system has meant that the Executive branch continues to maintain an 209 excessive influence (Álvarez Tovar 2013), blocking the development of an independent 210 and professional system of public administration (Hernández 2017). The fact that 211 almost all of the State's financial resources come from the Federal government also 212 generates dependence. Corruption abound (Kaufmann et al. 2010), with high levels of 213 mistrust from citizens towards politicians and the political system more generally 214 (Transparency International 2016).

Quintana Roo can be conceptualized as a social-ecological system. A distinctive
topographical, geo-hydrological and biophysical characteristic makes up the Yucatan
Peninsula (Lutz et al. 2000). The Peninsula's karst aquifer is one of the most extensive
in the world and extends in a transboundary manner over an extensive area in Mexico,
Guatemala and Belize. The karst aquifer hosts large amounts of spring-fed groundwater
which maintain highly diverse groundwater-dependent ecosystems. The karstic
limestone has produced a network of underground rivers and sinkholes (*cenotes*) that

222 provide the only sources of freshwater in the Peninsula. The ecosystem also includes 223 significant wetlands, one of the most important of which is the Sian Ka'an Biosphere 224 Reserve. The CZ also encompasses an ecologically rich ecosystem of mangroves, 225 seagrass meadows and the extensive 600-km-long Mesoamerican coral reef that extends 226 along the mainland coast and around the Island of Cozumel. The reef provides 227 important ecosystem services for coastal populations, protects the coast from erosion, 228 moderates the damaging effects of hurricanes, sustains subsistence and commercial 229 fisheries, supplies sand for beaches that is critical for the tourism industry, and generate 230 recreational opportunities (Melbourne-Thomas et al. 2011). However, high permeability 231 in the karst system means that pollution can spread over large distances, making water 232 management very challenging (Bauer-Gottwein et al. 2011).

233 Quintana Roo also forms part of the Selva Maya (Maya Forest), which is the last 234 large block of tropical forest remaining in North and Central America (Primack et al. 235 1998). For centuries, the area has seen the harvest of quality timbers, such as Spanish 236 cedar (*Cedrela odorata*) and mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla*). During the 20th 237 Century, the extraction of precious woods first took place as part of a state concession 238 (Maderas Industriales de Quintana Roo) and later through community management 239 (Plan Piloto Forestal). Although results are mixed, community forest management 240 tends to result in maintenance of forest cover and of biodiversity, and promotes local wellbeing (Arts and de Koning 2017; Primack et al. 1998). The milpa cultivation system 241 242 has also helped maintain ecological diversity (Ellis et al. 2017). However, although 243 there are differences between ejidos, the ejido system in Mexico has suffered from a 244 narrow production, low wages, under-employment, low standard of living, and where, 245 at times, corruption is combined with high dependency on state agencies for capital 246 subsidies resulting in high levels of indebtedness (Climo 1978; Perramond 2008; World

- 247 Bank 2001). As a result, many ejidos within Quintana Roo are now seeking new forms
- 248 of economic activity, such as ecotourism, and new ways to protect the ecological
- 249 diversity within the system, for example, through reforestation. Nevertheless,
- 250 substantial deforestation continues within the State, arising largely from land-take for
- tourism and urban development (Ellis et al. 2017).

252 Governance of the Quintana Roo Social-Ecological System

253 Governance Challenges

254 During the last decades, demographic growth has been triggered by a rapid expansion of 255 the tourism sector, and sees the population of the State predicted to reach 2 million by 256 2025, from 500,000 in 1990. Tourist resorts are highly concentrated in the coast, from 257 Cancún to Tulum, an area now known as the Riviera Maya, and on Cozumel Island. In 258 recent years, coastal development has rapidly extending southward to Bacalar, 259 Mahahual and Xcalak. The State has experienced a growth in hotel rooms of more than 260 800% during the period 1980-2015 (Poter-Bolland et al. 2015). Much of this rapid 261 urbanization and tourism infrastructural development has been piecemeal, as one public 262 official explains:

Coastal development is not planned, does not follow an integral plan, does not
follow a high-level strategic program, it follows the POEs (Ecological Planning
Programs), and perhaps the PDUs (Urban Development Programs), but it does not
conceive an integral vision of the state, much less of the region (Officer A, Federal
Government Environmental Sector).

Thus, as in other CZ, the system in Quintana Roo is experiencing pressures from inward migration, economic development (mainly tourism) and resource exploitation. As a result, the system is showing signs of intense environmental pressures. Environmental degradation is now being experienced in many municipalities, including water pollution

272 from untreated waste water and sewage, increased sedimentation in the marine 273 ecosystem, and growing problems of waste management, especially in the 274 municipalities of Benito Juárez and Solidaridad, where the cities of Cancún and Playa del Carmen, the main tourist sites, are located. Threats to ground water are particular 275 276 acute in the Riviera Maya coastal district. Large parts of the karst aquifer are now 277 affected by anthropogenic pollution (Bauer-Gottwein et al. 2011). 278 Given the tightly coupled nature of the system, all the more pronounced because 279 of its karst characteristics, land use and land use changes inland have had a direct 280 impact on the marine ecosystem, including through coastal sedimentation and nutrient 281 loading, with consequences for marine functioning and productivity on the coast (Bray 282 et al. 2004). The construction and operation of hotels close to the coast have, in 283 particular, brought negative impact on the crucially important Mesoamerican coral reef 284 system (Murray 2007).

The environment division of the State government of Quintana Roo is aware ofthis problem:

We have a problem with deforestation due to the growth of the agriculturallivestock frontier and due to urbanization, particularly in the coast. The urbanization of the coast alters ecosystems, such as mangroves, coastal areas; tourism development also affects the reef ... and the marine ecosystem. Also, the management of solid waste is a very important problem... all kinds of pollution (Officer A, State Government Environmental Sector).

This understanding is also shared by environmental groups, including the very active *Healthy Reefs for Healthy People*, a Smithsonian partnership that aims to improve the health of the Mesoamerican Reef and thus sustain the lives of those who depend on it:

296Our biggest threats are the inadequate wastewater treatment ... and right now, solid297waste management (Interviewee D, ENGO).

The failure to ensure connection to the sewage system in the fast growing urban areas isof particular concern:

300	The National Water Commission, together with the State of Quintana Roo, has
301	created the basic infrastructure, such as treatment plants, sewage mains, the entire
302	sanitation system; however, we have places like Playa del Carmen and Tulum itself
303	where they have the infrastructure, but people are not connected to the sewage
304	(Officer A, Federal Government Water Commission).

305 An interviewee from the local government pointed out that in Tulum, which is a major

tourist area, only 15% of the population are connected to the drainage system, and the

307 rest uses septic tanks (Officer C, Municipal Government Environmental Sector).

308 The impact of water pollution on the marine environment, in particular on the 309 Mesoamerican reef has drawn a lot of attention:

One of the main threats, not only for Mexico but for the whole Mesoamerican reef is the macro algae cover because is increasing. From 2006 to 2014, it doubled, it's a lot. And that's because we don't have the appropriate waste water treatment in our municipalities, so we are fertilizing the water with all this... poop! that's the truth! Yeah, and that's nutrients for the macro algae to grow, overcome the coral

315 cover (Interviewee D, ENGO).

Informants, although at times reluctant to go into specific detail, were keenly aware oflimitations of the system governance in the State. As one representative from a key BIA

- 318 dealing with tourism explained:
- I think the biggest challenge is the government. When you talk with them, you can see very easily that they don't necessarily have that position because they have the skill to have the position. Because our reality in Mexico is that you get the government positions because you are friend, or you are in the same political Party or you have an... election commitment and sometimes, they don't do things because they don't know how to do it, so we need to find out how we can train ourselves as a society (Representative F, BIA Tourist Sector).

326 High levels of corruption helped fuel the rapid tourism development in the State,

327 particular along the coastal strip of the Riviera Maya:

328	Some of the constructions that are built along the coastline, like hotels and
329	restaurants, don't have all the requirements that are stipulated in the law, so there's
330	corruption because they [governmental officials] let them build as they want,
331	where they want, whenever they want, with whatever they find. It's a well-known
332	fact that hotels, well not all, I will not generalize but some of them, already have in
333	their budget a specific amount for fines, because they already know they are not
334	going to accomplish what is supposed to be, so they already have money line to
335	pay for that (Interviewee D, ENGO).

336 Similarly, a spokesperson for one of the ENGOs operating in the area reveals:

For example, we know that our last Governor [R. Borge] sold land that had a level
of environmental protection, and he sold the land to a family member (Interviewee
D, ENGO).

340 It is not difficult to see how this political context makes it very challenging to 341 effectively govern the environmental - and social - consequences of development, not 342 least because the system of governance has displayed limited regard for the common 343 good. However, since the early 1980s, pressures for democratization, economic crisis 344 and the implementation of market-oriented economic reforms encouraged moves 345 towards decentralization reforms. This has brought a strengthening of Mexican 346 federalism, which have increased the competencies and capacities of states and 347 municipalities (Cabrero Mendoza 2010). These reforms have transferred, in part, power 348 downwards, including in environmental policy. Today, sub-national political actors, 349 particularly governors and mayors, have access and control over important resources to 350 provide public services. However, key aspects of decentralization, in particular the 351 establishment of effective mechanisms to make public officials accountable and the 352 enhancement of fiscal decentralization, have not occurred. In this sense, it can be argued

353 that the decentralization process in Mexico has been shaped by the interests of the 354 political elite at the national level. In other words, decentralization served to strengthen 355 the capacity of sub-national political actors to insert their interests into national politics. 356 The local elites and *caciques* (local political 'boss' or leader) were able to take 357 advantage of the decentralization not only to gain more political and economic power, 358 but also to exercise impunity and corruption without federal controls (Nieto 2011). 359 Furthermore, this has meant that resources are not allocated according to the interests of 360 the citizens, which is one of the stated goals of decentralization (Salazar 2007). This 361 concern about the failure to take account of the social needs of local people was clearly 362 expressed by one CSO operating from Cancún:

We recently sent an urgent alert to the UN reporter on human rights of water and sanitation because of the lack of sanitation for local communities, bearing in mind that the government is expecting a lot of visitors from overseas and nationals as well but they are not taking into account that we don't have enough infrastructure in order to attend to the needs of the communities that are already living here (Interviewee N, Environmental CSO).

These factors also help to provide an explanation as to why legislation dealing with critical environmental stresses in Quintana Roo is often not adapted or made specific to the local context or to the geo-topographical specificity of the area. This is especially noticeable in the case of water management, including wastewater treatment, where a reoccurring theme from the research is the failure of centralized legislation to take account of the geologically specific feature of the Yucatan Peninsula karst aquifer system.

We have a Norm [standard]... that tells you about the quality of the water, how it
should be. ... but there is no investment to change the law, to improve the law and
to apply a different norm for the state of Quintana Roo which has different

379 conditions than the rest of Mexico because ... here we have a karstic system, so all
380 our rivers are underground rivers (Interviewee D, ENGO).

In addition, waste management that relies upon landfills are particularly problematic in
this high permeability karstic area. In this system, pollution stress on groundwater
resources threatens both water supply and the entire groundwater-dependent ecosystem
(Bauer-Gottwein et al. 2011).

The devolution of administrative responsibilities downwards without the corresponding strengthening of the system of administrative oversight and accountability have heighten weaknesses in vertical and horizontal integration between the levels of government within the country's multi-level governance system. Such fragmentation across governance levels has had a negative impact upon policy implementation and enforcement. As one representative from an association of dive operators said:

392 The operations of the water sports businesses are overseen by the three different 393 governmental levels of control (federal, state, municipal). The diving shops are 394 located inland, under the jurisdiction of the municipality, but some of the "rules" 395 related with crossing to the beach and going into the ocean are under the state 396 control; and as soon as you go into the water, it becomes a coastal federal control 397 matter, because in Mexico, all public waters are under federal jurisdiction. So, we 398 have to be nice with all of them, at the same time, and that's a problem because if 399 we have an issue, sometimes they just leave the ball in the other court 400 (Representative F, Association of Dive Operators).

401 Corruption can mingle with institutional fragmentation to make for a very complex
402 context in which to seek to ensure appropriate governance of the system. A CSO
403 concerned with the application of the rule of environmental law in Mexico explains how
404 the two problems can intertwine:

405	For example, have heard about "The RIU" Hotels? [RIU Hotels & Resorts, a
406	Spanish hotel chain] they came here probably 10 or 15 years ago and they start
407	building without permission, building big hotels. You can find probably 5 RIUs all
408	over Cancún. Some of them were built without permission; they built more rooms
409	than those allowed, and they have more floors. The thing was that the municipal
410	government provide the permit to build a hotel, even though they were not allowed
411	to give that kind of permits because the coast is of federal jurisdiction and it should
412	be a federal permit. So, things like that happen (Interviewee N, Environmental
413	CSO).
414	Again, the interactions within the system of public administration are visible, as
415	corruption feeds into the problem of capacity shortfall, especially noticeable in relation
416	to the way in which public offices are filled. As a member of one CSO detailed:
417	High level public officers don't have the capacity or the abilities or the
418	qualifications to be in that position. This is corruption of course because if you
419	review the authorizations to permits, you realized that they don't even consider the
420	basic requirements according to the law. They are in that position because they are
421	from the same political party of a very high-level officer, or because they are
422	relatives, or friends. That happens all the time and we have seen also that this is an
423	issue of lack of accountability (Interviewee D, Environmental CSO).
424	In short, the State of Quintana Roo is struggling to address the environmental
425	consequences of the rapid economic change that it has experienced in recent times,
426	change that have seen demographic, economic and social shifts, and which have
427	brought considerable stress on the highly vulnerable ecological system of the region.
428	Environmental degradation has been documented over the last decades: coral reef
429	degradation (Almada-Villela et al. 2002; Gardner et al. 2003), as well as macroalgae
430	proliferation due to nutrient pollution from inadequate sewage treatment and coastal
431	development (Mcfield et al. 2018), are some of the more critical impacts on the marine
432	ecosystem. Also, habitat destruction and mangrove cover decline are occurring at local
433	and regional scales due to land-use change driven by growing coastal urbanization (Ellis

et al. 2017; Brenner et al. 2018). Hardly any government agency has the capacity to
manage the public services for a State with the highest population growth in Latin
America (Boggio Vázquez 2008). But, in the case of Quintana Roo, these
environmental management problems are made all the more difficult by a system of
governance that is highly centralized at the Federal level and whose deep corruption
plays out at the local, place-based scale.

440 At least two decades ago, the Federal Government recognized the potential for 441 corrupt practices in Quintana Roo around large tourism developments. This is seen, for 442 example, in statements made by the then Environment Secretary that public officials 443 must act within the law when giving construction permits, and that hotels investors must 444 also fact legal consequences if they start building without the required permit (May and 445 Guillén 2003; Proceso 2004). However, despite these pronouncements, there has been 446 limited progress to date in ensuring the application of the law and in instilling good 447 governance practices into planning decisions (Morris 2018).

Having detailed the environmental challenges of the State, attention is nowturned to how and in what ways these challenges are being addressed.

450 Hybrid Governance Arrangements

451 It is in the context of the inability and even unwillingness of the State to address

452 environmental degradation that private, local non-state actors have begun to mobilize.

453 These include CSOs, ENGOs, SMEs and BIAs. These actors have begun to play an

454 important role in the governance of the environment in Quintana Roo. The role played

- 455 by private investors in changing land-use and environmental planning in order to
- 456 facilitate the development of the tourism sector in the coast of Quintana Roo has been
- 457 well documented (Manuel-Navarrete and Pelling 2015). Furthermore, BIAs, including
- 458 Chambers of Commerce, have exhibited strong capacity to shape economic policy in the

region, especially through lobbying (Boggio Vázquez 2008). However, our research
paints a more complex picture than one that simply displays local business interests as
merely being at odds with environmental protection and regulation.

In part, the engagement of local private actors is driven by a reactive response to the growing threats caused by pollution, in particular in the marine environment. BIAs linked to the tourism sector have developed in the area primarily to represent the interests of the sector, such as providing commercial, financial and legal advice to their members. They have also become an important provider of professional training for employees in the sector. Business self-interest thus plays a key role in the organizations'

468 mobilization on environmental issues, as is evidenced by the following comment:

469 If we work trying to get a sustainable destination, we are working on having our
470 business. A better business. Because if we finish our resources, human resources,
471 economic resources, natural resources, we're not going to have any more business.
472 We're going to go broke (Representative G, BIA Tourist Sector).

473 Similarly, the need to protect the environmental resource base of economic (tourism)
474 activity is reflected in the comment made by an association dealing with coastal and
475 marine tourism:

476 ... we need to protect the ecosystems we are using to do our activities... because
477 we need to have healthy places to offer to people in the water sports sector
478 (Representative F, Association of Dive Operators).

There are strong echoes here of an ecological modernization agenda, which stress the synergy between environmental protection and economic growth. In this view, actions to protect the environment can protect businesses, including through cost reductions that, in turn, improve profitability (Baker 2015). This is presented rather starkly by one of the main hotelier associations in the State:

- 484 ... I'm going to teach you how to save water because you're going to save money,
 485 it's going to be good for your finance and you're going to take care of the
 486 environment. So, we started working for the first two years with 12 hotels, and the
 487 third year we started working with 100 hotels (Representative G, BIA Tourist
 488 Sector).
- 489 Over time, this action can become significant, particularly at a place-based scale,
 490 not only in mobilizing new environmental advocates but also in improving
- 491 environmental management:

We changed our speech and said hey, we're going to take care of the environment
but also, you're going to save energy, water and gas, diesel, and you're going to
recycle, - you are throwing your money to the garbage, literally, because you're not
recycling, so we reached them with the economic part, and then their eyes shine oh that's very good! I like that. And we reach them like that, so I think that was
how hotels are working in the environmental management system in an area; I
think that it's a huge achievement (Representative G, BIA Tourist Sector).

- 499 It is here that we see a move from re-active to more proactive engagement, which shifts
- 500 actions beyond the *ad hoc* to a more considered intervention. Consistent with its role as
- 501 an organization formed to provide institutional support to its members, the above
- 502 mentioned hotelier association explains:
- We provide the guidance for the hotels to implement good practices in the hotels,
 using a framework of management system for sustainability in the hotels operation
 (Representative G, BIA Tourist Sector)

506 The informant goes on to clarify the significance of these good practice guides:

507 So, even if these documents are not mandatory, for the law in Mexico, inside the
508 association ... we take it as mandatory for our members (Representative F,
509 Association of Dive Operators).

510 In relation to these guidelines, transfer of good practice has also occurred beyond the 511 local membership, outwards in a transboundary manner:

512	We have a good practices booklet for the operations in the Mesoamerican reef. We
513	work together in workshops with people from Honduras, Belize and Guatemala,
514	the four countries share the Mesoamerican reef, and we got the good practices for
515	diving, snorkeling and for boat operations (Representative F, Association of Dive
516	Operators).

517 Even more significantly, institutionalization embed members in a global system of518 private governance:

We started with this vision, then we moved to promote the GSTC¹, the criteria [of]
global sustainability and promoting best practices, mainly for hotel operations.
What we try to do is to implement a management system based on these criteria ...
in order to manage the sustainability in the hotels (Representative G, BIA Tourist
Sector). (Footnote 1)

524 This provides an excellent example of the rise of private governance and the resulting 525 variety of norm and rule systems, from reporting schemes to certification and 526 environmental management standards, which they endorse. As mentioned in the 527 opening sections of this paper, this form of private governance goes beyond mere co-528 operation, as it involves rule implementation by private actors (Pattberg 2005). Our data 529 also suggests that, while several of the associations have introduced voluntary codes of 530 practice to govern the environmental behavior of their member's economic operations, 531 they are also concerned to promote better *public* regulation of such activity. While this 532 can be driven by fear that some operators gain competitive advantage by not having to 533 abide by such codes of practice, a logic of collective action well researched by Ostrom 534 (2009), this desire for government regulation is also of deeper significance. It shows 535 that the argument that private self-regulation merely provides an *alternative* response to

the lack of effective norms and rules by the state is insufficient, because here private
governance co-mingles with a push for public governance, creating complex forms.
Speaking about this Association's efforts, for example, to control diving with
Bull Shark, an increasingly popular tourist activity that is strongly promoted in Playa
del Carmen, but raises several concerns related to altering the feeding pattern of sharks
and, by encouraging them to congregate at the same site, increases the risk of shark kills
by fishers, our informant explains:

543 We have got good practices, for diving with the sharks. It's not mandatory. We are 544 looking for ... the government to support and put in the law rules or something to 545 go mandatory (Representative F, Association of Dive Operators).

546 Similarly, a hotelier association reveals:

- 547 And we are also working on trying to enhance the water quality legislation for the 548 karstic system, so that's what we are doing with the NGOs and with Healthy Reefs, 549 we have a collaboration agreement signed (Representative G, BIA Tourist Sector).
- 550 Working within the system of public administration, one informant from a dive operator

association explains to us that this often involves sharing experience and expertise,

- 552 especially in relation to rule making:
- We work together, with the three different levels [of public administration], and we are participating with them, we try to help them when they are looking for new rules ... and we offer our experience and, yeah, our support ... we work with them and we keep the goal to be nice with the municipality and the federation (Representative F, Association of Dive Operators).
- 558 While the desire for a level play field may motivate this request for a regulatory

559 framework to govern such dives, and involvement in rule making may also be driven by

- a desire to ensure that any new regulations align with their interest, it would be cynical,
- and indeed unjustified by our data to suggest that this regulatory push by BIAs is

562 motivated only by narrow self-interest. Our data also shows that BIAs are concerned to 563 ensure sustainable tourism more broadly understood, through practices that take account 564 not only of economic, but also the social and cultural dimensions of development. As 565 the representative from the hotelier association argues:

566 ... now, we're talking to have a destination management office, that includes all
567 the vision of ... sustainable development, working on the culture... to set up an
568 organization that could manage the tourism, but with the destination vision that
569 includes economic, environmental, culture, but also having the responsibility to
570 work together to have a plan (Representative G, BIA Tourist Sector).

571 Here there is also the sense that private governance is motivated by moral572 concerns, including the desire to provide voluntary 'beyond compliance' regulation.

573 This can be driven by a sense of responsibility as it is by economic self-interest:

574 ... going into multi-interest meetings with the government, other private sectors 575 organizations and working together ... some of the damage in the reefs, in the 576 cenotes are because of the diving operations. So we work on that and we try to 577 present a different face, telling them we are maybe more concerned to protect that, 578 because we work every day in that site, so we are not damaging the areas, we are 579 trying to protect them, and that's why we are participating in this kind of initiatives 580 (Representative F, Association of Dive Operators).

The mutual nature of the relationship between public and private sector actors is also clear, especially the instrumental value that such collaboration brings to public administrators, including in the area of capacity enhancement, especially for implementation and enforcement. Speaking about their relationship with public officials, one of the associations dealing with dive operations explains:

586They recognize our position, our participation. The people recognize us inside the587government, the other private sectors do the same. They invite us, because they588recognize [us] the people that is in the municipality right now, ... we have

589	worked with them for a long time ago, so it's easy for us to go and discuss the
590	different topics and they ask us to participate in different projects and studies.
591	(Representative F, Association of Dive Operators).
592	Giving an example, the informant goes on:
593	we have worked recently in the declaration of a Biosphere Reserve in the
594	Mexican Caribbean and we really fought to get the Biosphere Reserve closer to
595	our coast also to protect the coral formations in front of Playa del Carmen,
596	which even if it's not a continuous barrier, there are still coral around
597	(Representative G, BIA Tourist Sector).
598	Network operate both vertically – upwards through the system of public administration,
599	but also outwards to other groups and actors operating in the area. Several interviewees
600	from BIAs pointed out that they are increasingly working with environmental NGOs.
601	One association provided an example of their work:
602	For example, with Amigos de Sian Ka'an, we've worked together for more than 10
603	years, to plan the Marine Protected Area project for the Rivera Maya. We did the
604	workshops, we invited the fishermen, the government, the National Commission of
605	Protected Areas (Representative F, Association of Dive Operators).
606	In some cases, collaborative agreements have been signed between BIA and ENGOs.
607	For their part, ENGO have, as expected, themselves form relationships with government
608	offices. Speaking of this, one ENGO representative explains how this can also extend to
609	offices sharing information with them:
610	the National Commission of Protected Areas, CONANP, we work a lot with them,
611	they provide some of the data, depending on how much they did about monitoring,
612	(Interviewee D, ENGO).
613	In turn, the ENGOs reciprocate, particular in relation to training for monitoring of
614	environmental quality and in relation to regulatory compliance:

615	we also give a lot of training to check [monitor] on the reef, so we do the training
616	every two years to increase the number of people that are certified to check
617	(Interviewee D, ENGO).
618	In addition, ENGOs play a key role in data collection:
619	We do the monitoring [Eco-Audits of marine and reef health] in all the cities,
620	Cancún, Puerto Morelos, Playa del Carmen, Mahahual, Xcalac, Cozumel, Akumal,
621	that's pretty much all the coast (Interviewee D, ENGO).
622	The results of the eco-audits are widely shared. These reciprocal arrangements help
623	capacity building within the governance system overall, better supporting efforts to
624	address environmental degradation. However, the relationship between agents and
625	actors can nonetheless be complex, and many expressed their frustration with the slow
626	pace of response and reform from government agencies. As one ENGO laments:
627	For example, about improving the wastewater management, we have been asking
628	for that for five years and it's not in their priorities. It's recognized, the problem is
629	recognized, but there's no money or financial aid to improve that part, [we] want
630	to see the changes needed very fast and sometimes they don't (Interviewee D,
631	ENGO).
632	Such frustration can bolster their own private efforts. Nevertheless, most of networking
633	arrangements between private and public actors have, over time, become stronger. This
634	thickening of the networks between public and local private actors add stability to
635	collaboration, provides a means of strengthening input legitimacy for public policy, that
636	is, it helps to better ensure that decisions are made in a way that involves those being
637	governed (Scharf 2003). It also strengthens environmental governance, including

638 through capacity enhancement especially for problem solving (Baker and Chapin 2018).

639 The thickening can bring positive environmental outcomes:

640 For example, in Puerto Morelos, in Limones, we have 30% coral cover of 641 Acropora, which is one of the most threatened species and it builds the reef. So that 642 site was protected like two or three years ago, because it is so special, because they 643 used to fish there and also do snorkeling activities, because it's very shallow, so it 644 was used for that. Now, with all the data from CONANP, UNAM, and Healthy 645 Reefs, CONANP realized that they have to protect it, so no fishing... nothing is 646 allowed there, only research with a special permit, it's like the crown of Quintana 647 Roo (Interviewee D, ENGO).

648 Conclusion

649 This paper explored the governance of complex, adaptive SES systems, focusing on the 650 challenges of governance as new and rapid forms of economic development disrupts 651 existing patterns of relationships within that system. It examined whether hybrid modes 652 of governance can promote sustainability within the context of such dynamics. The 653 paper provided an empirical study of the role of hybrid, public and private actor 654 engagement in the State of Quintana Roo, Mexico. It detailed the motivations of private 655 actors and asked if and how such hybrid governance enhances governing capacity, or 656 governmentality, and the consequences of this for sustainability.

From the opening discussion we recall that the literature has identified key conditions that are critical for the governance of socio-ecological systems as: (1) flexibility in institutions to deal with changes, (2) openness of institutions so as to provide for broad participation, not least in local decision-making and administration, (3) effectiveness of multilevel governance, (4) social structures that promote learning and adaptability without limiting the options for future development.

The paper has addressed each of these conditions. Turning in particular to the conditions of openness and participation (2), the paper has identified the factors that motivate local, private actors to co-mingle with government authorities, and the willingness of such authorities to open up and reciprocate. The study has shown the

multiplicity of factors that motivate private actor mobilization, and that, while driven by
economic self-interest, wider ethical concerns and a sense of moral obligation motivated
by place attachment also mobilized these actors to engage in environmental protection.
The resultant multi-actor initiatives were shown to constitute genuine attempts to build
and improve upon the limits of State responses to environmental threats.

672 In relation to private, economic actors, we have shown evidence of self-673 interested engagement in environmental governance, including in relation to rule 674 implementation, such as through voluntary codes of conduct, motivated by a desire to 675 protect the ecological recourse base of their businesses. These findings resonate with the 676 current literature that contends that multi-actor governance has considerable effects 677 through the imposition of voluntary environmental rules and standards; but we have 678 also shown that the thick relationships that have developed between the actors allows 679 for norm transfer. Networks have been shown to provide the conduits through which 680 learning (4) can take place. This helps to explain, at least in part, the strong normative 681 dimensions to BIA engagement. These networks have also been shown to extend 682 learning into the system of public administration. This not only enhances the 683 governability of the environment but serves to support government in their wider, public 684 functions. Here, hybrid governance offers the potential to contribute to the much-needed 685 institution building for the effective promotion of public goods. For their part, CSO and 686 ENGOs come with an influx of finance, technology, information and other resources 687 that provide data, ecological monitoring, training and evaluations of the 'fit' of 688 regulation for the place-based context that they are designed to govern. Thus, the 689 research has revealed the opportunities that hybrid governance brings, both in terms of 690 instrumental but also normative benefits that can make a positive contribution to dealing

with specific environmental issues and, more generally, to the promotion ofsustainability.

693 We have used the concept of 'hybrid governance' as a conceptual lens in this 694 paper to explore the characteristics of SES governance in detail. This concept has 695 enabled us to focus on the motivation for, and consequences of, private actor 696 mobilization to better understand SES governance. While the literature on hybrid 697 authority has, to date, been largely restricted to the examination of hybrid arrangements 698 that involve formal partnerships, this paper has turned its attention to the richness and 699 diversity of forms of *informal* relationship that emerge in practice. Adopting a wider understanding of hybrid governance arrangements has de-centered the focus that has 700 701 hitherto existed in the literature on the Weberian state, that is, on public organisations 702 and administration and its authority as it extends over various areas of public policy. 703 Shifting attention to the relationships between the state and various other governance 704 actors outside formal institutionalization has proved important, revealing in a new way 705 the capacity of the system to responding to change (1), in this case, to the threat posed 706 by environmental degradation.

707 In the Mexican context, the origins and rationale for multi-actor governance 708 have to be placed in a political context – one of deep corruption and state failure, and 709 where the system of multi-level governance is ineffective as a mechanism for the 710 promotion of the common good (3). It is tempting to say that in this context, multi-actor 711 mobilization acts as an alternative to condition 3 above, in effect amounting to a by-712 passing of the authority and involvement of the state. There is always the risk here that 713 their mobilization and engagement results in a zero-sum game, where the state can use 714 private governance as an excuse to retreat from its public obligations and 715 responsibilities. However, our data reveals a more complex picture – where private

716 actor mobilization also seeks to engage with the state and the Federal authorities. This 717 includes through efforts to enhance and promote better regulations, designed to co-exist 718 with voluntary codes of conduct, to share date and resources, and to improve 719 implementation capacity within the system of public administration. 720 The paper has provided empirical contribution, generating new data on the 721 governance of coastal zone SES in the state of Quintana Roo, Mexico. The paper has 722 also provided theoretical contribution. It goes beyond the literatures' focus on formal 723 partnerships arrangement, to reveal the practices that exist outside of formal 724 institutionalization. It is important to recognize that hybrid authority can exist through 725 both formal and informal arrangements, not least so as to enable exploration of cases 726 other than those characterized by western models of governance and public 727 administration. Furthermore, while the literature has identified the conditions necessary 728 for the governance of sustainable social-ecological systems, this paper goes beyond to 729 show how these conditions are themselves interrelated and dynamic. These conditions 730 need to be understood not simply as a list of characteristics of system governance, but 731 as a set of conditions that are characterized by their own feedback dynamics. This was 732 seen for example when lack of governance effectiveness (3), in turn stimulates openness 733 (1), participation (2) and learning (4) across the system. It also shows how these 734 conditions play out in context in ways to reveal both the opportunities provided by 735 hybrid governance but also the potential risks involved in hybrid steering.

736

737 Footnotes

The Global Sustainable Tourism Council (GSTC) establishes and manages global sustainable
standards, known as the GSTC Criteria, for the tourism sector. The GSTC Criteria form
the foundation for Certification Programs that certify hotels/accommodations, tour
operators, and destinations as having sustainable policies and practices.

743	Acknowledgements
744	This research was funded by the British Academy, GCRF Sustainable Development
745	Programme, under the project 'Integrating Policies on Land Use Changes and Coastal
746	Zone Management to Deliver Food Security and Environmental Conservation: The Role
747	of Private and Public Governance'. BAO acknowledges the support of UNAM-PAPIIT
748	(IA301120).
749	
750	Authorship contribution statement
751	All authors contributed to the primary research, data analysis and writing of this article.
752	
753	References
754	Adger WN. 2000. Social and ecological resilience: are they related. Prog Hum Geog.
755	24(3):347–364.
756	Almada-Villela P, Mcfield M, Kramer P, Richards Kramer P, Arias-Gonzalez E. 2002.
757	Status of coral reefs of Mesoamerica-Mexico, Belize, Guatemala, Honduras,
758	Nicaragua, and El Salvador. In: Wilkinson C, editor. Status of coral reefs of the
759	world. Townsville (AU): Australian Institute of Marine Science; p. 303-324.
760	Álvarez Tovar JA. 2013. Why has the transition to democracy led the Mexican
761	presidential system to political instability? A proposal to enhance institutional
762	arrangements. Mex Law Rev. 5(2):277-304.
763	Andonova LB. 2010. Public-private partnerships for the Earth: politics and patterns of
764	hybrid authority in the multilateral system. Global Environ Polit. 10(2):25-53.

765	Arts B, de Koning J. 2017. Community forest management: an assessment and
766	explanation of its performance through QCA. World Dev. 96:315–325.
767	Bäckstrand K. 2006. Multi-stakeholder partnerships for sustainable development:
768	rethinking legitimacy, accountability and effectiveness. Environ Policy Gov.
769	16:290-306.
770	Baker S. 2015. Sustainable Development: A new perspective. London: Routledge.
771	Baker S, Chapin III FS. 2018. Going beyond "it depends": the role of context in shaping
772	participation in natural resource management. Ecol Soc. 23(1):20.
773	Bauer-Gottwein P, Gondwe BRN, Charvet G, Marín LE, Rebolledo-Vieyra M,
774	Merediz-Alonso G. 2011. Review: the Yucatán Peninsula karst aquifer, Mexico.
775	Hydrogeol J. 19(3):507-524.
776	Berkes F. 2011. Restoring unity. In: Ommer RE, Perry RI, Cochrane K, Cury P, editors.
777	World Fisheries: A Social-Ecological Analysis. Oxford (UK): Wiley-Blackwell;
778	p. 9-28.
779	Binder CR, Hinkel J, Bots PWG, Pahl-Wostl C. 2013. Comparison of frameworks for
780	analyzing social-ecological systems. Ecol Soc.18(4):26.
781	Boggio Vázquez J. 2008. Planeación estratégica para el desarrollo: el caso de Quintana
782	Roo [Strategic planning for development: the case of Quintana Roo]. Teoría y
783	Praxis. 5:69-84.
784	Börzel TA, Risse T. 2002. Public-private partnerships: effective and legitimate tools of
785	international governance? In: Grande E, Pauly LW editors. Complex
786	sovereignty: reconstitution of political authority in the 21st century. Toronto:
787	University of Toronto Press; p. 195-216

788	Bray DB, Ellis EA, Armijo-Canto N, Beck CT. 2004. The institutional drivers of
789	sustainable landscapes: a case study of the 'Mayan Zone' in Quintana Roo,
790	Mexico. Land Use Policy. 21(4):333-346.
791	Brenner L, Engelbauer M, Job H. 2018. Mitigating tourism-driven impacts on
792	mangroves in Cancún and the Riviera Maya, Mexico: an evaluation of
793	conservation policy strategies and environmental planning instruments. J Coast
794	Conserv. 22(4):755-767.
795	Cabrero Mendoza E. 2010. Gobierno y política local en México: luces y sombras de las
796	reformas descentralizadoras [Local government and politics in Mexico: lights
797	and shadows of decentralizing reforms]. Política y Sociedad. 47(3):165-187.
798	Careaga Viliesid L, Higuera Bonfil A. 2011. Historia breve de Quintana Roo [Brief
799	history of Quintana Roo]. Distrito Federal (MX): El Colegio de México/Fondo
800	de Cultura Económica.
801	Climo J. 1978. Collective farming in northern and southern Yucatan, Mexico:
802	ecological and administrative determinants of success and failure. Am Ethnol.
803	5(2):191-205.
804	Colona F, Jaffe R. 2016. Hybrid governance arrangements. Eur J Dev Res. 28(2):175-
805	183.
806	Dachary A. 1992. Quintana Roo: Los retos del fin de siglo ¿Hacia un desarrollo
807	desigual? [Quintana Roo: The challenges of the end of the century, Towards
808	unequal development?] In Dachary A, Navarro López D, Arnaiz SM editors.
809	Quintana Roo: los retos del fin de siglo. Chetumal (MX): Centro de
810	Investigaciones de Quintana Roo.

- 811 Ellis EA, Romero Montero JA, Hernandez Gomez IU. 2017. Deforestation processes in
- 812 the state of Quintana Roo, Mexico: the role of land use and community forestry.
 813 Trop Conserv Sc. 10:1-12.
- Faust BB. 2001. Maya environmental successes and failures in the Yucatan Peninsula.
 Environ Sc Policy. 4(4-5):153-169.
- 816 Folke C. 2006. Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social-ecological

817 systems analyses. Global Environ Chang. 16(3):253-267.

- 818 Folke C, Carpenter S, Elmqvist T, Gunderson L, Holling CS, Walker B. 2002.
- Resilience and sustainable development: building adaptive capacity in a world
 of transformations. Ambio. 31(5):437-440.
- Bardner TA, Côté IM, Gill JA, Grant A, Watkinson AR. 2003. Long-term region-wide
 declines in Caribbean corals. Science. 301(5635):958-960.
- 823 Gerring J. 2007. Case study research: Principles and practices. Cambridge (UK):
- 824 Cambridge University Press.
- 825 Glasbergen P. 2007. Setting the scene: the partnership paradigm in the making. In:
- 826 Glasbergen P, Biermann F, Mol APJ editors. Partnerships, governance and
- 827 sustainable development: Reflections on theory and practice. Cheltenham (UK):
- 828 Edward Elgar Publishing; p. 1-25.
- 829 Hernández G. 2017. El cambio político en Quintana Roo y el riesgo de la persistencia
- 830 del equilibrio institucional [The political change in Quintana Roo and the risk of
- the persistence of the institutional balance]. Espiral. 24(70):85-134.
- Hsieh HF, Shannon SE. 2005. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis.
- 833 Qualitative Health Research. 15(9):1277-1288.
- Kaufmann D, Kraay A, Mastruzzi M. 2010. Governance Matters VIII: Governance
 indicators for 1996 -2008. Working Paper No. 4978. World Bank.

836	Kooiman I. 2003.	Governing as	governance. London	(UK): Sage Publications.
		()		

- 837 Lemos MC, Agrawal A. 2006. Environmental Governance. Annu Rev Env Resour.
 838 31:297-325.
- 839 Lutz W, Prieto L, Sanderson WC. 2000. Population, development, and environment on
- the Yucatan peninsula: from ancient Maya to 2030. Laxenburg (AT):
- 841 International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.
- 842 Manuel-Navarrete D, Pelling M. 2015. Subjectivity and the politics of transformation in
- 843 response to development and environmental change. Global Environ Chang.
- 844 35:558-569.
- May J, Guillén G. 2003 Mar 4. Erradicarán corrupción en desarrollos turísticos. El
 Universal. [accessed 2020 Jan 6];
- 847 https://archivo.eluniversal.com.mx/estados/48624.html
- Mayring P. 2000. Qualitative content analysis. Forum Qualitative Social Research.
 1(2):2-00.
- 850 Mcfield M, Kramer P, Álvarez-Filip L, Drysdale I, Rueda-Flores M, Giró-Petersen A,

851 Soto M. 2018. Report Card for the Mesoamerican Reef. Healthy Reef Initiative.

- 852 Melbourne-Thomas J, Johnson CR, Perez P, Eustache J, Fulton EA, Cleland D. 2011.
- 853 Coupling biophysical and socioeconomic models for coral reef systems in854 Quintana Roo, Mexican Caribbean. Ecol Soc. 16(3).
- 855 Morris S. 2018. Corruption in Mexico: continuity amid change. In: Warf B editor.
- 856 Handbook on the Geographies of Corruption. Cheltenham (UK): Edward Elgar
- 857 Publishing; p. 132-152
- Murray G. 2007. Constructing paradise: the impacts of big tourism in the Mexican
 coastal zone. Coast Manage. 35(2-3):339-355.

- Newell P, Pattberg P, Schroeder H. 2012. Multiactor governance and the environment.
 Annu Rev Env Resour. 37:365-87.
- Nieto N. 2011. Corruption, decentralisation and caciquismo in Mexico in the last

863 decade. SudHistoria: Revista Digital en Estudios desde el Sur. 3:102-120.

- 864 Ostrom E. 2009. A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological
 865 systems. Science. 325(5939):419-422.
- Paddock J, Baker S, Cullen-Unsworth L, Smith A, Unsworth R. 2018. Coupled SocialEcological Systems: Insights from Seagrass Meadows in the Turks and Caicos
 Islands. In: Marsden T editor. Sage Handbook of Nature. London: SAGE; p.
- 869 392-418

870 Pattberg P. 2005. The institutionalization of private governance: how business and

- 871 nonprofit organizations agree on transnational rules. Governance. 18(4):589-872 610.
- Perramond EP. 2008. The rise, fall, and reconfiguration of the Mexican "ejido". Geogr
 Rev. 98(3):356-371.
- 875 Porter-Bolland L, Bonilla-Moheno M, Garcia-Frapolli E, Morteo-Montiel S. 2015.
- 876 Forest ecosystems and conservation. In: Islebe GA, Calmé S, León-Cortés J,
- 877 Schmook B, editors. Biodiversity and Conservation of the Yucatan Peninsula.
 878 Switzerland: Springer; p.377-398.
- 879 Primack RB, Bray D, Galletti HA Ponciano I. 1998. Timber, Tourists, and Temples:
- 880 Conservation and development in the Maya Forest of Belize, Guatemala, and881 Mexico. Washington DC: Island Press.
- Proceso Redacción. 2004 Ago 22. Cancún, el botín. Proceso [accessed 2020 Jan 6];
 <u>https://www.proceso.com.mx/193037/cancun-el-botin</u>

884	Rudder CE. 2008. Private governance as public policy: A paradigmatic shift. J Politics.
885	70(4):899-913.
886	Salazar JG. 2007. Decentralisation, politics and service delivery in Mexico. IDS Bulletin
887	38.
888	Samaniego Santamaría LG. 2010. Historia de las instituciones jurídicas de los estados
889	de la República Mexicana [History of the legal institutions of the states of the
890	Mexican Republic]. CdMx: UNAM/Senado de la República. Quintana Roo
891	historia de las instituciones jurídicas. Colección México.
892	Scharf F. 2003. Problem-solving effectiveness and democratic accountability in the EU.
893	MPIfG Working Paper 03/1. Available at www.mpifg.de
894	Schreier M. 2012. Qualitative content analysis in practice. London (UK): Sage
895	Publications.
896	Transparency International 2017. Corruption Percentage Index 2016. Available online
897	at:https://www.transparency.org/news/feature/corruption_perceptions_index_20
898	16#regional.
899	Walker B, Salt D. 2006. Resilience Thinking: Sustaining Ecosystems and People in a
900	Changing World. Washington DC: Island Press.
901	World Bank 2001. Mexico Land Policy - A decade after the Ejido Reform, Report No.

902 22187-ME, June 15.