

Long-term effectiveness of live herpes zoster vaccine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis subsequently treated with tofacitinib

Herpes zoster (HZ) incidence is higher in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) compared with the general population,¹ and it may be further increased with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs).² Tofacitinib is an oral Janus kinase inhibitor for the treatment of RA. Real-world data indicate that HZ incidence is approximately twofold higher with tofacitinib versus biologic DMARDs (bDMARDs).³

Current American College of Rheumatology guidelines conditionally recommend that patients with RA aged ≥ 50 years receive HZ vaccine prior to tofacitinib or bDMARDs.⁴ We previously evaluated the immunogenicity of a live attenuated zoster vaccine (LZV), administered 2–3 weeks prior to tofacitinib or placebo with background conventional synthetic DMARDs. Both groups had similar varicella zoster virus (VZV)-specific immune responses, and overall immune responses were comparable with those of healthy volunteers in previous studies.⁵ We have now followed this patient cohort in an open-label, long-term extension (LTE) study of tofacitinib.

Patients enrolled in the index study (A3921237; NCT02147587)⁵ could join ORAL Sequel (LTE study; A3921024; NCT00413699) 14 weeks post-vaccination, where

they received open-label tofacitinib 5 or 10 mg two times per day (online supplementary figure S1); background RA therapy was also allowed. Patients were followed for 27 months. Post-vaccination, adverse events (AEs), including discontinuations due to AEs, were recorded during the study within 28 days of the last dose. Incidence rates (IRs; patients with events/100 patient-years (PY)) and 95% CIs for HZ post-vaccination were calculated based on time to first event (patients not reporting an event were censored at last treatment dose). Short-term VZV-specific immunity was evaluated at baseline and week 6 post-vaccination during the index study.

Vaccine-related AEs in the index study included mild injection-site pain, swelling, redness, itching and myalgia. Disseminated vaccine-strain varicella was also reported in a patient with no previous exposure to VZV.⁵ After rollover into ORAL Sequel, 100 patients received an average tofacitinib dose of 5 mg (n=46) or 10 mg (n=54) two times per day. Mean (range) tofacitinib exposure was 489 (46–811) days and overall exposure was 139 PY.

LZV did not provide adequate protection to all patients. Five HZ cases (#1–5) occurred in the LTE study 218, 280, 748, 741 and 544 days post-vaccination, respectively (IR=3.60 (1.17, 8.39); table 1). Cases #1–4 were monodermatomal and case #5 involved five dermatomes. All HZ events were mild/moderate in severity and resolved with antiviral treatment.

VZV humoral immunity (immunoglobulin G (IgG) titre) and VZV cell-mediated immunity (interferon- γ enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISPOT)) in patients receiving

Table 1 Patient profiles of HZ cases

	Case #1	Case #2	Case #3	Case #4	Case #5
Age, years	65	60	77	74	74
Sex	Female	Male	Female	Male	Male
Race	White	White	White	White	White
Study drug (A3921237)	Tofacitinib 5 mg two times per day	Tofacitinib 5 mg two times per day	Placebo	Placebo	Placebo
Study drug (ORAL Sequel)	Tofacitinib 10 mg two times per day	Tofacitinib 5 mg two times per day	Tofacitinib 5 mg two times per day	Tofacitinib 10 mg two times per day	Tofacitinib 10 mg two times per day
Background RA drugs	MTX 15 mg/week Prednisone 5 mg/day	MTX 20 mg/week	None	None	MTX 20 mg/week
Type of HZ	Monodermatomal	Monodermatomal	Monodermatomal	Monodermatomal	5 dermatomes
Severity of HZ*	Moderate	Mild	Moderate	Mild	Mild
Duration of HZ, days	49	14	14	16	10
Action to study drug	No action taken	Stopped temporarily	No action taken	No action taken	Stopped temporarily
Outcome of HZ	Resolved with acyclovir	Resolved with famciclovir	Resolved with acyclovir and azithromycin	Resolved with valacyclovir	Resolved with valacyclovir
Occurrence of HZ					
Time after LZV vaccination, days	218	280	748	741	544
Time after initiation of tofacitinib, days	202	267	702	699	466
VZV humoral immunity (IgG titre), U/mL†					
Baseline	224.3	36.9	96.6	237.3	208.3
Week 6	444.0	70.9	186.9	231.5	222.5
Change from baseline (fold rise at week 6)	1.98	1.92	1.93	0.98	1.07
VZV cell-mediated immunity, SFCs/10 ⁶ PBMCs‡					
Baseline	25	41	25	25	25
Week 6	25	76	51	25	25
Change from baseline (fold rise at week 6)	1.00	1.85	2.04	1.00	1.00

*Determined by the investigator.

†Assessed by gpELISA (PPD Vaccines and Biologics); mean VZV IgG titres in patients receiving tofacitinib and placebo, respectively, in the index study were 201 and 182 U/mL at baseline and 403 and 323 U/mL at week 6 (fold rise at week 6 was 2.11 with tofacitinib and 1.74 with placebo).⁵

‡Assessed by IFN γ ELISPOT (Pfizer Inc Vaccine Research Unit, Pearl River, New York, USA); limit of detection was 25 SFCs/10⁶ PBMCs; values in the table shown as 25 SFCs/10⁶ PBMCs may be below this threshold; mean VZV cell-mediated immunity in patients receiving tofacitinib and placebo, respectively, in the index study was 48 SFCs/10⁶ PBMCs and 43 SFCs/10⁶ PBMCs at baseline, and 70 SFCs/10⁶ PBMCs and 56 SFCs/10⁶ PBMCs at week 6 (fold rise at week 6 was 1.50 with tofacitinib and 1.29 with placebo).⁵

ELISPOT, enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot; gpELISA, glycoprotein-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HZ, herpes zoster; IFN γ , interferon gamma; IgG, immunoglobulin G; LZV, live zoster vaccine; MTX, methotrexate; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SFCs, spot-forming cells; VZV, varicella zoster virus.

tofacitinib or placebo in the index study⁵ are shown in table 1. In terms of immunity after LZV in this analysis, cases #1, #4 and #5 had undetectable VZV cell-mediated immunity, at baseline and week 6; cases #2 (patient received tofacitinib 5 mg two times per day in index and LTE studies) and #3 (patient received placebo and tofacitinib 5 mg two times per day in index and LTE studies, respectively) responded adequately to vaccination by both IgG and ELISPOT measures but had lower than average VZV IgG levels at baseline (case #2: 36.9 U/mL vs average of 201 U/mL; case #3: 96.6 U/mL vs average of 182 U/mL) and week 6 (case #2: 70.9 U/mL vs average of 403 U/mL; case #3: 186.9 U/mL vs average of 323 U/mL; table 1).

HZ incidence was similar to that in patients receiving tofacitinib in phase 1/2/3/LTE studies up to 9.5 years (IR=3.6 (3.4, 3.9); n=782/7061),⁶ although the present analysis was limited due to the small number of patients, and 95% CIs were wide. Cell-mediated responses in cases #2 and #3 may have been short-lived; however, serial longitudinal data are required to confirm this.

These results suggest that LZV may not provide adequate long-term protection, as previously demonstrated in healthy individuals aged ≥60 years 3 years post-vaccination, in which HZ risk was reduced by 51%.² While it is possible that LZV booster vaccinations may improve vaccine efficacy, to date there is a lack of data on the use and timing of booster vaccinations, and no recommendations on the use of LZV booster vaccinations currently exist. This highlights the importance of evaluating the newly approved subunit non-live vaccine (Shingrix) in patients with RA receiving tofacitinib.

Kevin L Winthrop ¹, Ann Wouters,² Ernest H Choy,³ Connie Chen,² Pinaki Biswas,² Lisy Wang,⁴ Koshika Soma,⁴ Elie Needle,² Hernan Valdez,² William FC Rigby⁵

¹Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA

²Pfizer Inc, New York, New York, USA

³CREATE Centre, Division of Infection and Immunity, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK

⁴Pfizer Inc, Groton, Connecticut, USA

⁵Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, New Hampshire, USA

Correspondence to Kevin L Winthrop, MD, MPH, OHSU-PSU School of Public Health, 3181 S.W. Sam Jackson Rd, Portland, OR 97239, USA; winthrop@ohsu.edu

Handling editor Josef S Smolen

Acknowledgements The authors would like to acknowledge Lisa McNeil for managing the ELISPOT assay and for her contributions to the interpretation of the ELISPOT and ELISA results. Medical writing support, under the guidance of the authors, was provided by Anthony G McCluskey, PhD, CMC Connect, McCann Health Medical Communications and was funded by Pfizer Inc, New York, New York, USA in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP3) guidelines (Ann Intern Med 2015;163:461–464).

Contributors All authors were involved in the analysis and interpretation of data, critically revising the text for important intellectual content. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work and read and approved the final version to be published.

Funding This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc.

Competing interests K LW has acted as a consultant for AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Galapagos, Gilead, Pfizer Inc and UCB; and he has received grant/

research support from Bristol-Myers Squibb. EHC has received grant/research support from BioCancer, Pfizer Inc, Roche and UCB; has acted as a consultant for Amgen, Biogen, Chugai Pharma, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Regeneron, Roche, R-Pharm and Sanofi; and has participated in speakers' bureaus for Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai Pharma, Eli Lilly, Hospira, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Regeneron, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis and UCB. WFCR has received grant/research support from Pfizer Inc and has acted as a consultant for Pfizer Inc and Roche. AW, CC, PB, LW, KS, EN and HV are employees and shareholders of Pfizer Inc.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



OPEN ACCESS

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216566>).

These data were presented at the American College of Rheumatology Annual Scientific Meeting in 2017 (Winthrop KL et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76[Suppl 2]) and are used here with permission from John Wiley & Sons, Inc.



To cite Winthrop KL, Wouters A, Choy EH, et al. Ann Rheum Dis Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216566

Received 31 October 2019

Revised 3 February 2020

Accepted 4 February 2020

Ann Rheum Dis 2020;0:1–2. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216566

ORCID iD

Kevin L Winthrop <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3892-6947>

REFERENCES

- 1 Yun H, Yang S, Chen L, et al. Risk of herpes zoster in autoimmune and inflammatory diseases: implications for vaccination. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2016;68:2328–37.
- 2 Winthrop KL, Furst DE. Rheumatoid arthritis and herpes zoster: risk and prevention in those treated with anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1735–7.
- 3 Curtis JR, Xie F, Yun H, et al. Real-world comparative risks of herpes virus infections in tofacitinib and biologic-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:1843–7.
- 4 Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges Jr SL, et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2016;68:1–26.
- 5 Winthrop KL, Wouters AG, Choy EH, et al. The safety and immunogenicity of live zoster vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis before starting tofacitinib: a randomized Phase II trial. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017;69:1969–77.
- 6 Cohen S, Tanaka Y, Mariette X, et al. Long-term safety of tofacitinib up to 9.5 years: a comprehensive integrated analysis of the RA clinical development program [abstract]. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2018;70:Abstract 963.