

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/130980/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

White, James , Bell, Steven and Batty, David 2020. Association of illicit drug use in adolescence with socioeconomic and criminal justice outcomes in adulthood: prospective findings from a UK national birth cohort. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health 74 (9) , pp. 705-709. 10.1136/jech-2019-213282

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech-2019-213282

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



STROBE checklist for: Adult outcomes of illicit drug use in adolescence: prospective findings from a national birth cohort

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the	1
		abstract	2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	2
		done and what was found	
Introduction			3
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being	3
	3	reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			3-4
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	3
		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	2.4
Participants	6	(<i>a</i>) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	3-4
		participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and	
		unexposed	15
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and	4-5
		effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	1.5
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	4-5
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there	
		is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	5-6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	4&6
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,	4-6
variables		describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	5-6
		confounding	_
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	5
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	5
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	5
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	5
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially	4, 6
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,	
		completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	4, 6
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and	6,
		information on exposures and potential confounders	Figure. Table
			1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	4
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	4
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	6, Figure

Main results	16	(<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	5 Figure, Table 1
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(<i>c</i>) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	5; Tables S1-S4
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	6, 7
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	8
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	8
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	8
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	8-9

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.