

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/135468/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Kröner, Heiko and Scheuer, Julian 2019. Expansion of pinched hypersurfaces of the Euclidean and hyperbolic space by high powers of curvature. *Mathematical News / Mathematische Nachrichten* 292 (7) , pp. 1514-1529. 10.1002/mana.201700370

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mana.201700370>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



EXPANSION OF PINCHED HYPERSURFACES OF THE EUCLIDEAN AND HYPERBOLIC SPACE BY HIGH POWERS OF CURVATURE

HEIKO KRÖNER AND JULIAN SCHEUER

ABSTRACT. We prove convergence results for expanding curvature flows in the Euclidean and hyperbolic space. The flow speeds have the form F^{-p} , where $p > 1$ and F is a positive, strictly monotone and 1-homogeneous curvature function. In particular this class includes the mean curvature $F = H$. We prove that a certain initial pinching condition is preserved and the properly rescaled hypersurfaces converge smoothly to the unit sphere. We show that an example due to Andrews-McCoy-Zheng can be used to construct strictly convex initial hypersurfaces, for which the inverse mean curvature flow to the power $p > 1$ loses convexity, justifying the necessity to impose a certain pinching condition on the initial hypersurface.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider inverse curvature flows in either the Euclidean space $N = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ or the hyperbolic space $N = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ with sectional curvature $K_N = -1$, i.e. a family of embeddings

$$x: [0, T) \times M \rightarrow N,$$

where M is a closed, connected and orientable manifold of dimension n , which solves

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{x} = \frac{1}{F^p} \nu, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

Here $\nu = \nu(t, \xi)$ is the outward pointing normal to the flow hypersurface $M_t = x(t, M)$ and F is evaluated at the principal curvatures κ_i at the point $x(t, \xi)$.

Let us first state our main theorem. Therefore we will use the following assumptions for the curvature function F and the initial hypersurface M_0 .

1.1. *Assumption.* Let $n \geq 2$ and

$$\Gamma_+ = \{(\kappa_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \kappa_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $F \in C^\infty(\Gamma_+)$ be a positive, strictly monotone and symmetric curvature function which is homogeneous of degree one and normalized to $F(1, \dots, 1) = n$.

Date: August 3, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C21, 53C44.

Key words and phrases. Inverse curvature flow; Pinching.

This work has been supported by the "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft" (DFG, German research foundation) within the research grant "Harnack inequalities for curvature flows and applications", grant number SCHE 1879/1-1.

1.2. *Assumption.* Let F satisfy Assumption 1.1. Let

$$x_0 : M \rightarrow M_0 \subset N$$

be the smooth embedding of a hypersurface M_0 with $F|_{M_0} > 0$, which can be written as a graph over a geodesic sphere \mathbb{S}^n ,

$$M_0 = \{(u(0, y), y); y \in \mathbb{S}^n\}.$$

In the following statement of our main theorem, we let

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i$$

be the mean curvature, A the Weingarten operator, $\|A\|$ be its norm with respect to the induced metric and I the identity. The principal curvatures κ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, will always be labelled according to

$$\kappa_1 \leq \dots \leq \kappa_n.$$

1.3. **Theorem.** *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $N = N^{n+1}$ be either the Euclidean space or the hyperbolic space of constant sectional curvature $K_N = -1$. Let $p > 1$ and let F , M_0 satisfy Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2. Furthermore, we assume that in case $K_N = 0$, M_0 satisfies the pinching condition*

$$\|A\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}H^2 < c_0H^2,$$

and in case $K_N = -1$, that M_0 satisfies the pinching condition

$$(1.2) \quad \|A - I\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}(H - n)^2 < c_0(H - n)^2,$$

where $0 < c_0 = c_0(F, n, p) < \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$ is sufficiently small. Then:

(i) *There exists a unique smooth solution on a maximal time interval*

$$x : [0, T^*) \times M \rightarrow N,$$

of the equation

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{1}{F^p} \nu \\ x(0, \xi) &= x_0(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where $T^* < \infty$ in case $K_N = 0$ and $T^* = \infty$ in case $K_N = -1$, where $\nu = \nu(t, \xi)$ is the outward unit normal to $M_t = x(t, M)$ at $x(t, \xi)$ and F is evaluated at the principal curvatures of M_t at $x(t, \xi)$.

(ii) *The flow hypersurfaces M_t can be written as graphs of a function $u = u(t, \cdot)$ over \mathbb{S}^n so that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow T^*} \inf_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(t, \cdot) = \infty$$

and properly rescaled flow hypersurfaces converge for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in $C^m(\mathbb{S}^n)$ to a geodesic sphere.

(iii) *In case $K_N = 0$ there exists a point $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and a sphere $S^* = S_{R^*}(Q)$ around Q with radius R^* such that the spherical solutions S_t with radii R_t of (1.3) with $M_0 = S_{R^*}$ satisfy*

$$\text{dist}(M_t, S_t) \leq cR_t^{-\frac{p}{2}} \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*),$$

$c = c(p, M_0, F)$. Here dist denotes the Hausdorff distance of compact sets.

1.4. *Remark.* (i) The rescalings, mentioned in (ii) of this theorem, are given by

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{u}{t}$$

in case $K_N = -1$ and by

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{u}{\Theta}$$

in case $K_N = 0$, where Θ is the unique radius of a sphere which exists exactly as long as the flow, i.e. for the time T^* .

(ii) A result similar to Theorem 1.3 (iii) can not be deduced in the hyperbolic space, cf. the nice counterexample in [22].

(iii) For flows by high powers of curvature, pinching conditions similar to (1.2) and (1.3) have already appeared for contracting flows in [3] and [39]. Indeed we can mimic a counterexample to preserved convexity for contracting flows by Andrews-McCoy-Zheng [4, Thm. 3] and show that in general strict convexity (in particular general pinching) will be lost if $p > 1$ and $F = H$.

Theorem 1.3 shows the following: If we remove the assumptions $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$, $F|_{\partial\Gamma} = 0$ as well as the concavity of F in [17, Theorem 1.2] and [34, Theorem 1.2] and replace them by a certain pinching condition for the initial hypersurface then the resulting theorems are true. This allows us e.g. to consider the interesting case $F = H$ and more generally

$$F = H_k^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

where H_k is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial.

Expanding curvature flows of the form (1.1) with $p = 1$ have attracted a lot of attention, since they have proven to be useful in the deduction and generalization of several geometric inequalities, like the Riemannian Penrose inequality, [21], some Minkowski type inequalities, [5] and [20], and Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities as in [12]. The case $p = 1$ has been treated in broad generality, cf. [13] and [40, 41] for the Euclidean case, [16] for the hyperbolic case and [6, 11, 18, 23, 25, 28, 29, 36, 43] for other ambient spaces. For the case $p \neq 1$ there are fewer results. In the Euclidean case there is [17, 26, 38] and in the hyperbolic case there is [34]. All of these papers share the fact that only curvature functions F are considered which vanish on the boundary of Γ_+ , a property which forces preservation of convexity by definition. The goal of the present paper is the generalisation of both of these works. The second author already obtained an improvement of [34] in [33], where he could drop the pinching assumption on the initial hypersurface for some powers $p > 1$ of the inverse Gauss curvature flow. But in particular for powers $p > 1$ of the inverse mean curvature flow there are no results, up to the authors' knowledge. In the recent preprint [24] Li, Wang and Wei proved convergence results for the case $p < 1$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{H}^3 . The novelty in this paper is that they could also treat non-concave curvature functions, since for parabolic equations in two variables one can replace the Krylov-Safonov estimates by a regularity result due to Andrews [1]. Wei provided some new pinching estimates in the cases $p < 1$ for a broad class of curvature functions in [42]. Some non-homogeneous flow speeds are considered in [8] and [9].

The paper is organised as follows. In sections 2 and 3 we collect some notation and evolution equations. In section 4 we give the counterexample. In section 5 we prove the crucial preservation of a specific pinching of the initial hypersurface, whereafter in sections 6 and 7 we give an outline on how to finish the proof of

Theorem 1.3. Here one could basically follow the lines of [17] and [34], but due to the pinching estimates several aspects of the proofs in these references simplify so we present these simplified arguments for convenience.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $n \geq 2$ and $N = N^{n+1}$ be either the Euclidean space or the hyperbolic space with constant sectional curvature $K_N = -1$ of dimension $n + 1$. Let $M = M^n$ be a compact, connected, smooth manifold and

$$x: M \hookrightarrow N$$

be an embedding with unit outward normal vector field ν , (compare the nice note [32]). Let $g = (g_{ij})$ be the induced metric on M , where g_{ij} are the components of g with respect to the basis $x_i = \partial_i x$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. In tensor expressions latin indices always range between 1 and n and greek indices range from 0 to n indicating components of tensors of the ambient space. The coordinate expression of a covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g of a tensor field $T \in T^{k,l}M$ are indicated by a semi-colon,

$$\nabla^r T = (T_{j_1 \dots j_l; m_1 \dots m_r}^{i_1 \dots i_k}).$$

The second fundamental form $h = (h_{ij})$ is given by the Gaussian formula

$$x_{;ij} = -h_{ij}\nu$$

and the Weingarten map is denoted by $A = (h_j^i)$.

For any $q \in N$ the pointed Euclidean as well as the hyperbolic space $N \setminus \{q\}$ is diffeomorphic to $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^n$ and is covered by geodesic polar coordinates. The metric is given by

$$\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} = dr^2 + \vartheta^2(r)\sigma_{ij} \equiv dr^2 + \bar{g}_{ij},$$

where r is the geodesic distance to q , σ is the round metric on \mathbb{S}^n and

$$\vartheta(r) = \begin{cases} r, & N = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \\ \sinh r, & N = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

The principal curvatures $\bar{\kappa}_i$ of the coordinates slices $\{r = \text{const}\}$ are given by

$$\bar{\kappa}_i = \frac{\vartheta'(r)}{\vartheta(r)}.$$

Since our hypersurfaces will all be convex, they can be written as graphs in geodesic polar coordinates over \mathbb{S}^n ,

$$M = \{(u(y), y) : y \in \mathbb{S}^n\},$$

where u is a smooth function on \mathbb{S}^n . Define

$$v = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} = \sqrt{1 + \bar{g}^{ij}u_{;i}u_{;j}}.$$

In terms of a graph, the second fundamental form of M can be expressed as

$$h_{ij}v^{-1} = -u_{;ij} + \bar{h}_{ij},$$

cf. [15, Rem. 1.5.1].

Let us also make some comments on the speed functions under which the family of embeddings evolve. By Assumption 1.1 these are given by smooth, symmetric functions F on an open, symmetric and convex cone $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. It is well known, that

such a function can be written as a smooth function of the elementary symmetric polynomials s_k ,

$$F = \rho(s_1, \dots, s_n),$$

compare [19]. The associated functions to s_k , which are defined on endomorphisms of the tangent space, are traditionally denoted by H_k and given by

$$H_k(A) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d}{dt} \det(I + tA)|_{t=0}$$

for all $A \in T^{1,1}(M)$, cf. [15, equ. (2.1.31)]. Hence also F can be viewed as a function defined on the endomorphism bundle $T^{1,1}(M)$, i.e.

$$F = F(A) = F(h_j^i).$$

We will, however, mostly use a different description of F , namely as being defined on two variables (g, h) by setting

$$\mathcal{F}(g, h) = F\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ik}(h_{jk} + h_{kj})\right),$$

where (g^{ik}) is the inverse of the positive definite $(0, 2)$ -tensor g and $h \in T^{0,2}(M)$. We denote by \mathcal{F}^{ij} and $\mathcal{F}^{ij,kl}$ the first and second derivatives of \mathcal{F} with respect to h , i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}^{ij} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial h_{ij}}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{ij,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial h_{ij} \partial h_{kl}}.$$

Due to the monotonicity assumption on F as a function of the principal curvatures, the tensor (\mathcal{F}^{ij}) is positive definite at all pairs (g, h) , such that $(g^{ik}(h_{kj} + h_{jk}))$ has eigenvalues in Γ . Compare [2], [15, Ch. 2] and [37] for more details.

We also note that we will in the sequel use the same symbol F for both functions F and \mathcal{F} . This will not cause confusion, since in expressions like $F^{ij}h_{ik}h_j^k$ it only makes sense to think of \mathcal{F} .

We always assume that $p > 1$, set $\Phi(r) = -r^{-p}$ for $r > 0$ and write

$$\Phi'(r) = \frac{d}{dr}\Phi(r), \quad \dot{\Phi} = \frac{d(\Phi \circ F)}{dt}.$$

3. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

The proof of the following evolution equations is given in [15, Lemma 2.3.4], [15, Lemma 3.3.2] and [14, Lemma 5.8].

3.1. Lemma. *Under the flow (1.3) the geometric quantities*

$$\Phi = -F^{-p}, \quad \chi = \frac{v}{\vartheta(u)}$$

and u evolve as follows

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{\Phi} - \Phi' F^{ij} \Phi_{;ij} = \Phi' F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k \Phi + K_N \Phi' F^{ij} g_{ij} \Phi,$$

$$\dot{\chi} - \Phi' F^{ij} \chi_{;ij} = -\Phi' F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k \chi - 2\chi^{-1} \Phi' F^{ij} \chi_{;i} \chi_{;j} + \{\Phi' F + \Phi\} \frac{\bar{H}}{n} v \chi,$$

and

$$\dot{u} - \Phi' F^{ij} u_{;ij} = v^{-1}(1+p)F^{-p} - \Phi' F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij},$$

where \bar{H} denotes the mean curvature of the coordinate slice $\{r = u\}$.

3.2. Lemma. *Under the flow (1.3) the Weingarten map form evolves by*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{h}_j^i - \Phi' F^{kl} h_{j;kl}^i &= \Phi' F^{kl} h_{rk} h_l^r h_j^i - (\Phi' F - \Phi) h_k^i h_j^k + K_N (\Phi + \Phi' F) \delta_j^i \\ &\quad - K_N \Phi' F^{kl} g_{kl} h_j^i + \Phi^{kl,rs} h_{kl;j} h_{rs; }^i. \end{aligned}$$

For $K_N = -1$ the tensor

$$b_j^i = h_j^i - \delta_j^i$$

evolves by

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{b}_j^i - \Phi' F^{kl} b_{j;kl}^i &= \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r b_j^i + \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r \delta_j^i + 2\Phi b_j^i \\ &\quad - (\Phi' F - \Phi) b_k^i b_j^k + \Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;j} b_{rs; }^i \end{aligned}$$

and hence $B = b_i^i$ evolves by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{B} - \Phi' F^{kl} B_{kl} &= \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r B + n \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r + 2\Phi B \\ &\quad - (\Phi' F - \Phi) b_k^i b_i^k + \Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs; }^i. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (3.2) is given in [15, Lemma 2.4.3], hence we only prove (3.3).

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{b}_j^i - \Phi' F^{kl} b_{j;kl}^i &= \Phi' F^{kl} h_{rk} h_l^r h_j^i - (\Phi' F - \Phi) h_k^i h_j^k - (\Phi + \Phi' F) \delta_j^i \\ &\quad + \Phi' F^{kl} g_{kl} h_j^i + \Phi^{kl,rs} h_{kl;j} h_{rs; }^i \\ &= \Phi' F^{kl} (h_{rk} h_l^r - 2h_{kl} + g_{kl}) h_j^i + 2\Phi' F h_j^i \\ &\quad - (\Phi' F - \Phi) (h_k^i - \delta_k^i) (h_j^k - \delta_j^k) - 2(\Phi' F - \Phi) (h_j^i - \delta_j^i) \\ &\quad - (\Phi' F - \Phi) \delta_j^i - (\Phi' F + \Phi) \delta_j^i + \Phi^{kl,rs} h_{kl;j} h_{rs; }^i. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging gives the result. \square

4. A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO PRESERVED CONVEXITY

For contracting flows, i.e. flows of the form

$$\dot{x} = -\Phi \nu$$

with positive Φ , Andrews-McCoy-Zheng [4] gave an example of a (weakly) convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} which develops a negative principal curvature instantly for a certain class of speeds Φ . By continuity with respect to initial values this shows that for these Φ one can also find strictly convex initial hypersurfaces which develop negative principal curvatures quickly.

In this section we briefly sketch that we generally face the same phenomenon in our case of expanding flows. Precisely we will see that for powers $p > 1$ of the inverse mean curvature flow convexity might be lost, indicating that a stronger pinching condition as for example in Theorem 1.3 is needed.

We will show that the loss of convexity can occur along the flow

$$(4.1) \quad \dot{x} = \frac{1}{H^p} \nu, \quad p > 1,$$

for simplicity in \mathbb{R}^3 . Contrary to the contracting case, where a more sophisticated speed is needed, here we can make use of the strong concavity of the function $\Phi = -H^{-p}$, which gives an additional negative term in

$$\Phi^{kl,rs} = \frac{p}{H^{p+1}} H^{kl,rs} - \frac{p(p+1)}{H^{p+2}} H^{kl} H^{rs} = -\frac{p(p+1)}{H^{p+2}} g^{kl} g^{rs}.$$

Let us briefly recall the method in [4, Thm. 3] how to construct such a convex initial hypersurface. First they construct a local graph using the function

$$u(\xi) = \frac{c_1}{24}\xi_1^4 + \frac{1}{2}\left(a_2 + b_2\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}c_2\xi_1^2\right)\xi_2^2,$$

where a_2, b_2 are arbitrary positive numbers and

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad c_2 = \frac{2b_2^2}{a_2} + \frac{1}{4}$$

There holds $u(0) = Du(0) = 0$ and hence at $\xi = 0$, compare [4, equ. (16),(17),(18)],

$$h_{ij} = u_{,ij},$$

$$h_{ij;k} = u_{,ijk}$$

and

$$h_{ij;kl} = u_{,ijkl} - u_{,ij}u_{,km}u_{,l}{}^m - u_{,ki}u_{,jm}u_{,l}{}^m - u_{,kj}u_{,im}u_{,l}{}^m,$$

where indices appearing after a comma denote usual partial derivatives. In the proof of [4, Thm. 2] it is shown that the graph of u over a small ball $B_r(0)$ is a convex hypersurface, which is strictly convex in $\xi \neq 0$, and that this graph can be closed up to a convex hypersurface, respecting the strict convexity in $\xi \neq 0$. Due to $H(0) = a_2 > 0$, the hypersurface is strictly mean convex and (4.1) is defined for short time.

It remains to show that under the flow (4.1), the entry $h_{11}(t, 0)$ of the second fundamental form, which equals zero at $t = 0$, drops below zero instantly. According to (3.2) we have at $(t, \xi) = (0, 0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{h}_{11} &= \frac{p}{a_2^{p+1}}(h_{11;11} + h_{11;22}) - \frac{p(p+1)}{a_2^{p+2}}(h_{11;1} + h_{22;1})^2 \\ &= \frac{p}{a_2^{p+1}}(c_1 + c_2) - \frac{p(p+1)}{a_2^{p+2}}b_2^2 \\ &= \frac{p}{a_2^{p+2}}\left(\frac{a_2}{2} + 2b_2^2 - (p+1)b_2^2\right) \\ &= \frac{p}{a_2^{p+2}}\left(\frac{a_2}{2} + (1-p)b_2^2\right) \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable arrangement of a_2 and b_2 , due to $p > 1$. Hence h_{11} drops below zero instantly and the example is complete.

5. THE PINCHING ESTIMATES

We define $b_{ij} = h_{ij} + K_N g_{ij}$ where $K_N = -1$ or $K_N = 0$. We set $B = b_i^i$ and $\|b\|^2 = b_i^i b_j^j$ in both cases.

5.1. Lemma. *Let $T^* > 0$ and let x be a solution of (1.3) on $[0, T^*)$. If M_0 satisfies the pinching condition*

$$(5.1) \quad \|b\|^2 - \frac{1}{n}B^2 < c_0 B^2,$$

where $0 < c_0 = c_0(F, n, p) < \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$ is sufficiently small, then (5.1) remains valid for all $t \in [0, T^*)$.

Proof. While in the Euclidean case the proof is similar to the proof of [35, Prop. 3.4] we need further arguments in the hyperbolic case. We begin with some facts which hold in both cases. Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{n} + c_0$ and define the quantity

$$z = \|b\|^2 - \gamma B^2.$$

Then, due to Lemma 3.2, z satisfies the evolution equation

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{z} - \Phi' F^{kl} z_{;kl} = & 2\Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r z - 2(\Phi' F - \Phi) \left(b_k^i b_j^k b_i^j - \gamma B \|b\|^2 \right) \\ & + 2\Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;j} (b_j^i - \gamma B \delta_j^i) - 2\Phi' F^{kl} \left(b_{j;k}^i b_{i;l}^j - \gamma B_k B_l \right) \\ & - K_N \{ 4\Phi z - 2nc_0 \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r B \} \end{aligned}$$

and due to [3, Lemma 2.1] we have

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} g^{kl} \left(b_{j;k}^i b_{i;l}^j - \frac{1}{n} B_k B_l \right) &= \left\| \nabla \left(A - \frac{1}{n} H \text{id} \right) \right\|^2 \geq \frac{2(n-1)}{3n} \|\nabla A\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} \|\nabla H\|^2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} \|\nabla B\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Let us now assume that $K_N = 0$. In view of (5.1) we have $z(0, \cdot) < 0$. We want to show that $z(t, \cdot) < 0$ for all $0 \leq t < T^*$. For this we assume that there is a minimal $0 < t < T^*$ and $x \in M_t$ so that $z(t, x) = \sup z(t, \cdot) = 0$. From [3, Lemma 2.3] we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} b_k^i b_j^k b_i^j - \gamma B \|b\|^2 &\geq c_0 \gamma \left(1 - \sqrt{n(n-1)c_0} \right) B^3 \\ &= c_0 \left(1 - \sqrt{n(n-1)c_0} \right) \|b\|^2 B > 0 \end{aligned}$$

in (t, x) .

Using (5.2) we conclude that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq & -2c_0(\Phi' F - \Phi) \left(1 - \sqrt{n(n-1)c_0} \right) \|b\|^2 B \\ & + 2B\Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;j} (B^{-1} b_j^i - \gamma \delta_j^i) \\ & - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} \Phi' \|\nabla B\|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{3n} \Phi' \|\nabla b\|^2 \\ & - 2\Phi' (F^{kl} - g^{kl}) \left(b_{j;k}^i b_{i;l}^j - \frac{1}{n} B_k B_l \right) \\ & + 2c_0 \Phi' \|\nabla B\|^2 + 2c_0 \Phi' (F^{kl} - g^{kl}) B_k B_l \end{aligned}$$

in (t, x) . Due to [3, equ. (4.3)],

$$\|F^{kl} - g^{kl}\| \leq \mu \sqrt{c_0}$$

for a constant μ only depending on n and F , so the terms in the second, fourth and fifth line of (5.4) can be absorbed by the terms in the third line of (5.4). Then the right-hand side of (5.4) is negative, a contradiction. Note, to estimate the term in the second line of (5.4) we used the homogeneity of F ,

$$\Phi^{kl,rs} = \Phi' F^{kl,rs} + \Phi'' F^{kl} F^{rs}$$

and

$$c_1 \leq \frac{F}{H} \leq c_2$$

in (t, x) where c_i are positive constants depending only on c_0 . For further details we refer to [3, equ. (4.2), (4.3)].

(ii) We assume that $K_N = -1$. The quantity

$$\tilde{z} = z + \alpha e^{-\Lambda t},$$

where a small $\alpha > 0$ and a large $\Lambda > 0$ will be specified later, satisfies the evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{z}} - \Phi' F^{kl} \tilde{z}_{;kl} &= -\Lambda \alpha e^{-\Lambda t} + \dot{z} - \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} z_{kl} \\ &= -\Lambda \alpha e^{-\Lambda t} + 2\Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r (\tilde{z} - \alpha e^{-\Lambda t}) \\ &\quad - 2(\Phi' F - \Phi) \left(b_k^i b_j^k b_i^j - \gamma B \|b\|^2 \right) \\ (5.5) \quad &\quad + 2\Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs}^j (b_j^i - \gamma B \delta_j^i) - 2\Phi' F^{kl} \left(b_{j;k}^i b_{i;l}^j - \gamma B_k B_l \right) \\ &\quad - K_N \{ 4\Phi(\tilde{z} - \alpha e^{-\Lambda t}) - 2nc_0 \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r B \}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that α is small we have $\tilde{z}(0, \cdot) < 0$ in view of (5.1). We want to show that $\tilde{z}(t, \cdot) < 0$ for all $0 \leq t < T^*$. For this we assume that there is a minimal $0 < t < T^*$ and $x \in M_t$ so that $\tilde{z}(t, x) = \sup \tilde{z}(t, \cdot) = 0$. Due to minimality of t we conclude $B(t', \cdot) > 0$ for all $0 \leq t' \leq t$. Especially,

$$\|b\|^2 - \frac{1}{n} B^2 < c_0 B^2 = c_0 (H - n)^2 \leq c_0 H^2$$

and $M_{t'}$ is strictly horospherically convex for all $0 \leq t' \leq t$ due to [3, Lemma 2.2]. We deduce that

$$0 < n < F \quad \text{in } [0, t].$$

There holds

$$\|b\|^2 - \frac{1}{n} B^2 = \tilde{c}_0 B^2 \quad \text{in } (t, x)$$

with

$$\tilde{c}_0 = c_0 - \frac{\alpha e^{-\Lambda t}}{B^2(t, x)}.$$

Note, that $0 \leq \tilde{c}_0 < c_0$. From [3, Lemma 2.3] we deduce that

$$b_k^i b_j^k b_i^j - \left(\frac{1}{n} + \tilde{c}_0 \right) B \|b\|^2 > 0$$

in (t, x) if $\tilde{c}_0 > 0$. If $\tilde{c}_0 = 0$ then x is umbilical point of M_t , so let us write $\kappa = \kappa_i$. Then we have

$$c_0 n^2 (\kappa - 1)^2 = c_0 B^2 = \alpha e^{-\Lambda t}$$

and

$$b_k^i b_j^k b_i^j - \left(\frac{1}{n} + c_0 \right) B \|b\|^2 = -c_0 n^2 (\kappa - 1)^3 = -\alpha e^{-\Lambda t} (\kappa - 1)$$

in (t, x) . Using (5.5), the maximum principle, (5.3) and the fact that $B(t, x) > 0$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad 0 &\leq -\Lambda\alpha e^{-\Lambda t} - 2\alpha e^{-\Lambda t} \Phi' F^{kl} b_{rk} b_l^r + I_1 \\
&\quad + 2B\Phi^{kl,rs} b_{kl;i} b_{rs;}^j (B^{-1}b_j^i - \gamma\delta_j^i) \\
&\quad - \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+2)} \Phi' \|\nabla B\|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)}{3n} \Phi' \|\nabla b\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\Phi' (F^{kl} - g^{kl}) \left(b_{j;k}^i b_{i;l}^j - \frac{1}{n} B_k B_l \right) \\
&\quad + 2c_0 \Phi' \|\nabla B\|^2 + 2c_0 \Phi' (F^{kl} - g^{kl}) B_k B_l - 4\alpha \Phi e^{-\Lambda t}
\end{aligned}$$

in (t, x) where

$$I_1 = \begin{cases} 2(c_0 - \tilde{c}_0)(\Phi' F - \Phi)B\|b\|^2 & \text{if } \tilde{c}_0 > 0, \\ 2(\Phi' F - \Phi)\alpha e^{-\Lambda t}(\kappa - 1) & \text{if } \tilde{c}_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

For c_0 sufficiently small, the term

$$\|F^{kl} - g^{kl}\|$$

is small and the terms in the second, fourth and fifth line of (5.6) can be absorbed by the terms in the third line of (5.6). Then the right-hand side of (5.6) is negative if Λ is large, a contradiction. \square

6. THE EUCLIDEAN CASE

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3 for the case $K_N = 0$. Due to the pinching estimates of the previous section we are in the situation that the proof in [17] basically carries over literally. For convenience of the reader, and since several elements of the proof simplify due to our pinching estimates, we give an outline of the arguments involved. Throughout this section it is understood that the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 hold.

We recall some simple observations. If the initial hypersurface M_0 is a sphere of radius $r_0 > 0$, i.e. $u(0, \cdot) = r_0$, then the flow hypersurfaces of the flow (1.3) remain spheres and for their radii $\Theta(t)$ at time t we obtain the ODE

$$(6.1) \quad \dot{\Theta} = \frac{\Theta^p}{n^p}, \quad r(0) = r_0,$$

with solution

$$\Theta(t) = \left(\frac{1-p}{n^p} t + r_0^{1-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}$$

on $[0, T^*(r_0))$ where

$$(6.2) \quad T^*(r_0) = \frac{n^p}{p-1} r_0^{1-p}.$$

Hence the spherical flow blows up at time $T^*(r_0)$. The existence of a smooth solution to (1.3) up to a maximal time is well known, cf. [15, Sec. 2.5, Sec. 2.6].

From the avoidance principle we conclude the following corollary.

6.1. Corollary. *If r_1, r_2 are positive constants so that*

$$r_1 < |x(0, \cdot)| < r_2$$

where x is the solution of (1.3) then we have

$$(6.3) \quad \Theta(t, r_1) < |x(t, \cdot)| < \Theta(t, r_2) \quad \forall 0 \leq t < \min\{T^*, T^*(r_1), T^*(r_2)\}.$$

The next aim is to show that $\max |x(t, \cdot)|$ blows up, when the time approaches T^* .

6.2. Lemma. *The flow (1.3) only exists in a finite time interval $[0, T^*)$ and there holds*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T^*} \max_M |x(t, \cdot)| = \infty.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 5.1 the hypersurfaces are convex and from (6.3) we deduce that the maximal time T^* has to be finite. Due to the convexity we may write the flow hypersurfaces M_t as radial graphs over the sphere,

$$M_t = \{u(t, x)x : x \in \mathbb{S}^n\}$$

for some $u \in C^\infty([0, T^*) \times \mathbb{S}^n)$. Then u satisfies the scalar flow equation

$$\dot{u} = \frac{1}{vF^p}$$

where the dot indicates the total time derivative, or

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{v}{F^p}$$

when we consider the partial time derivative, cf. [15, p. 98-99]. Under the assumption that $|x|$ is bounded, which is equivalent to $u \leq c$, we also obtain the $C^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$ -estimate

$$v \leq c$$

due to the convexity of M_t and [15, Thm. 2.7.10]. To obtain a $C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ -estimate, we need some curvature estimates. The proof is similar to the one for [17, Lemma 3.10, Lemma. 4.4]: Define the auxiliary function

$$w = \log(-\Phi) + \log \chi + \gamma u,$$

which, due to Lemma 3.1, satisfies the evolution equation

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{w} - \Phi' F^{ij} w_{;ij} &= \Phi' F^{ij} (\log(-\Phi))_{;i} (\log(-\Phi))_{;j} - \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \chi)_{;i} (\log \chi)_{;j} \\ &+ (\Phi' F + \Phi) \frac{\bar{H}}{n} v + \gamma (\Phi' F - \Phi) v^{-1} - \gamma \Phi' F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

At spatial maxima of w there holds (recall $\chi = \frac{v}{u}$),

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi' F^{ij} (\log(-\Phi))_{;i} (\log(-\Phi))_{;j} - \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \chi)_{;i} (\log \chi)_{;j} \\ &= 2\gamma \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \chi)_{;i} u_{;j} + \gamma^2 \Phi' F^{ij} u_{;i} u_{;j} \\ &= -2\gamma v \Phi' F^{ij} h_i^k u_{;k} u_{;j} + \gamma^2 \Phi' F^{ij} u_{;i} u_{;j}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$v_{;i} = -v^2 h_i^k u_{;k} + v \frac{\bar{H}}{n} u_{;i},$$

cf. [14, equ. (5.29)]. Since

$$F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij} = \frac{\bar{H}}{n} F^{ij} \bar{g}_{ij} \geq c F^{ij} g_{ij} \geq cn,$$

due to the pinching estimate, we have

$$\gamma^2 \Phi' F^{ij} u_{;i} u_{;j} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \Phi' F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij} \leq \gamma \Phi' F^{ij} \left(\gamma u_{;i} u_{;j} - \frac{c}{2} g_{ij} \right) < 0$$

for small γ and the other half of the term $F^{ij} \bar{h}_{ij}$ can be used to absorb the other positive terms in (6.5), since the negatively signed term has the highest order in $\frac{1}{F}$. We obtain that w is a priori bounded. It is immediate from Lemma 3.1 and the maximum principle that F is bounded as well. Due to the pinching estimates Lemma 5.1 we have

$$\kappa_1 \geq c\kappa_n,$$

also compare [3, Lemma 2.2]. Hence κ_n must be bounded and $\kappa_1 \geq c > 0$ as long as u is bounded. Thus as long as the flow ranges in compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} we have uniform $C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ -estimates. Note that we can not use the Krylov-Safonov theory to deduce $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ estimates, since we did not assume any sign on the second derivatives of F .

However, due to the pinching estimates we are in the situation that F^{ij} is as close to g^{ij} as we want and hence we can use a parabolic version of the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -estimates originally proved by Cordes [10] and Nirenberg [31] for linear elliptic equations, cf. [27, Lemma 12.13]. This theorem is also stated in [3, Thm. 7.3], where in addition the reader may find the detailed procedure, how one can get Hölder estimates on the second derivatives of solutions to the curvature flow equation. Higher order estimates then follow from Schauder theory. Hence at the maximal time of existence u must blow up. \square

Let $r_0 > 0$ be so that $T^*(r_0) = T^*$, where $T^*(r_0)$ is given by (6.2), then for all $0 \leq t < T^*$ there is a $\xi_t \in \mathbb{S}^n$ such that

$$u(t, \xi_t) = \Theta(t, r_0)$$

in view of Corollary 6.1. The spherical flow with existence time $T^*(r_0)$ provides the correct scaling factor. In particular, applying the evolution equation for the support function $\bar{u} = \chi^{-1}$, as deduced in [41, Section 2] and the oscillation estimates from [7, Theorem 3.1], also compare [30, Theorem 3.1], we conclude that the following lemma holds for

$$\tilde{u} = u\Theta^{-1}.$$

6.3. Lemma. *Let u be the solution of the scalar flow equation (6.4). Then there exists a positive constant c such that*

$$u(t, x) - c \leq \Theta(t, r_0) \leq u(t, x) + c \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^n,$$

hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \tilde{u}(t, x) = 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

We also have

$$v - 1 \leq c\Theta^{-1}.$$

Proof. Literally as in [17, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.7]. \square

We need the following bounds for the rescaled principal curvatures.

6.4. Lemma. *Under the flow (1.3), the rescaled principal curvatures $\tilde{\kappa}_i = \Theta\kappa_i$ satisfy*

$$0 < c_1 \leq \tilde{\kappa}_i \leq c_2$$

for suitable constants $c_i = c_i(M_0)$.

Proof. Due to the pinching estimates, as in the proof of Lemma 6.2 it suffices to prove the existence of constants c_i such that

$$0 < c_1 \leq \Theta F = \tilde{F} = F(\tilde{h}_j^i) \leq c_2.$$

We begin with the upper bound. For $\lambda > 0$ define the function

$$z = \log \tilde{F}^p + \lambda \tilde{u} \equiv -\log(-\tilde{\Phi}) + \lambda \tilde{u}.$$

Due to Lemma 3.1 and (6.1), z satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z} - \Phi' F^{ij} z_{;ij} &\leq -\Phi' F^{ij} h_{ik} h_j^k + \frac{p}{n^p} \Theta^{p-1} + \lambda v^{-1} (p+1) \tilde{F}^{-p} \Theta^{p-1} \\ &\quad - \lambda p \tilde{F}^{-(p+1)} F^{ij} \tilde{g}_{ij} \tilde{u}^{-1} \Theta^{p-1} - \lambda n^{-p} \tilde{u} \Theta^{p-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\Theta^{p-1}}{n^p} \left(p - \frac{\lambda}{2} \tilde{u} \right) + \lambda \Theta^{p-1} \left(v^{-1} (p+1) \tilde{F}^{-p} - \frac{n^{-p}}{2} \tilde{u} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is negative if λ and \tilde{F} are large enough, due to Lemma 6.3.

To prove the lower bound we proceed as in [17, Lemma 3.10]. We consider the function

$$w = \log(-\tilde{\Phi}) + \log \tilde{\chi} + \tilde{u},$$

where $\tilde{\chi} = \Theta \chi$. Let $0 < T < T^*$ be arbitrary and assume that $\sup_{Q_T} w$, where

$$Q_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^n,$$

is attained in (t_0, x_0) with large $t_0 > 0$. The maximum principle implies in (t_0, x_0)

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \Phi' F^{ij} (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_i (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_j - \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \tilde{\chi})_i (\log \tilde{\chi})_j \\ &\quad + c \tilde{F}^{-p} \Theta^{p-1} - p \tilde{F}^{-(p+1)} F^{ij} \tilde{g}_{ij} \tilde{u}^{-1} \Theta^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we assume w.l.o.g. that \tilde{F} is small. The fourth term on the right-hand side of (6.7) is dominating the third term. From $w_i = 0$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi' F^{ij} (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_i (\log(-\tilde{\Phi}))_j - \Phi' F^{ij} (\log \tilde{\chi})_i (\log \tilde{\chi})_j \\ &= \Phi' F^{ij} \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_j + 2\Phi' F^{ij} (\log \tilde{\chi})_i \tilde{u}_j. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first term on the right-hand side is of order $\tilde{F}^{-(p+1)}$ but $\|Du\|$ vanishes if t tends to T^* while the second term is nonpositive for the same reason as in (6.6). Hence w is a priori bounded from above. \square

The proofs of Theorem 1.3 (i) and Theorem 1.3 (ii) in the Euclidean case can be completed literally as in [17, Lemma 5.1], up to replacing Krylov-Safonov by the Cordes-Nirenberg type result as above.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii) follows exactly the arguments in [35] by using Theorem 1.3 (i) and Theorem 1.3 (ii) instead of [17] as it is used in [35].

7. THE HYPERBOLIC CASE

Due to the pinching estimates in the hyperbolic case, [3, Lemma 2.2] implies that the flow hypersurfaces remain strictly horospherically convex. Hence several aspects of the proofs in [34] simplify. We can easily prove the following lemma with the help of a shortcut compared to [34, Thm. 4.4].

7.1. Theorem. *The flow (1.1) exists for all times, remains strictly horospherically convex and the principal curvatures of the flow hypersurfaces of (1.1) converge to 1 exponentially fast,*

$$|\kappa_i - 1| \leq ce^{-\frac{2}{n^p}t} \quad \forall 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

where $c = c(n, p, M_0)$.

Proof. From the evolution of the flow speed

$$-\dot{\Phi} = \frac{1}{F^p},$$

$$(7.1) \quad \dot{\Phi} - \Phi' F^{ij} \Phi_{;ij} = \Phi' F^{ij} (h_{ik} h_j^k - g_{ij}) \Phi,$$

cf. Lemma 3.1, we obtain that $\max F$ is strictly decreasing. Hence by the pinching estimates all principal curvatures are bounded and due to the convexity of the flow hypersurfaces we also have uniform gradient estimates using [15, Thm. 2.7.10]. Since in finite time the flow remains in a compact subset of \mathbb{H}^{n+1} , we obtain the long time existence similarly as in the Euclidean case. We can estimate (7.1) with the help of the pinching estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(-\Phi) - \Phi' F^{ij} (-\Phi)_{;ij} &= \Phi' F^{ij} (h_{ik} h_j^k - g_{ij}) (-\Phi) \\ &\geq c \left(\frac{1}{n} H^2 - n \right) \\ &\geq c(H - n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\max F$ must converge to n , for otherwise there existed $\delta > 0$ with

$$F(t, \xi_t) = \max_{M_t} F \geq n + \delta$$

for all $t > 0$ and hence

$$\kappa_n(t, \xi_t) \geq 1 + \tilde{\delta}$$

for some $\tilde{\delta} > 0$. But then

$$c\tilde{\delta} \leq \frac{d}{dt} \left(\min_{M_t} F^{-p} \right)$$

for almost every $t > 0$ and $\min F^{-p}$ would converge to infinity, which is impossible. But this implies $\max \kappa_1 \rightarrow 1$ and due to the pinching estimates Lemma 5.1 we have

$$\max \kappa_n \rightarrow 1.$$

Set

$$w = (\Phi + n^{-p}) e^{\frac{\alpha}{n^p}t},$$

where $0 < \alpha < 2$. From (3.1) we deduce

$$(7.2) \quad \dot{w} - \Phi' F^{kl} w_{kl} = \Phi' F^{ij} (h_{ik} h_j^k - g_{ij}) \Phi e^{\frac{\alpha}{n^p}t} + \frac{\alpha}{n^p} e^{\frac{\alpha}{n^p}t} (\Phi + n^{-p}).$$

Writing $\kappa_i = 1 + \delta_i$ with appropriate small $\delta_i \geq 0$ we obtain the following first order expansions

$$|A|^2 - n = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i + O(\delta_n^2)$$

and

$$\Phi + n^{-p} = \frac{p}{n^{p+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i + O(\delta_n^2).$$

Furthermore, we have $\Phi' \Phi = -pF^{-2p-1}$ so that the leading term in (7.2) for large t is

$$(7.3) \quad e^{\frac{\alpha}{n^p} t} \frac{p}{n^{2p+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(-2 + \alpha).$$

Hence w is bounded for any $\alpha < 2$ and thus

$$\delta_n \leq ce^{-\frac{\alpha t}{n^p}}.$$

In the second step set

$$\tilde{w} = (\Phi + n^{-p}) e^{\frac{2}{n^p} t}$$

and deduce

$$\dot{\tilde{w}} - \Phi' F^{kl} \tilde{w}_{;kl} \leq c \delta_n^2 e^{\frac{2}{n^p} t} \leq ce^{\frac{2-2\alpha}{n^p} t},$$

where we used that the corresponding first order terms in the evolution equation for \tilde{w} , compare (7.3), now vanish. The result follows from the maximum principle since $2 - 2\alpha < 0$, e.g. for $\alpha = 3/2$. \square

In order to show that also the gradient of the graph functions u converges to zero exponentially fast, we use the conformally flat parametrization of the hyperbolic space.

7.2. Lemma. *Let the M_t be expressed as graphs in geodesic polar coordinates,*

$$M_t = \text{graph}_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(t, \cdot),$$

then the quantity

$$v = \sqrt{1 + \bar{g}^{ij} u_i u_j}$$

satisfies

$$|Du|^2 = v^2 - 1 \leq ce^{-\frac{2}{n^p} t}.$$

Proof. Defining the radial coordinate ρ by

$$r = \log(2 + \rho) - \log(2 - \rho),$$

the hyperbolic space can be parametrised over the ball $B_2(0)$ to yield

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{4}\rho^2)^2} (d\rho^2 + \rho^2 \sigma_{ij} dx^i dx^j) = e^{2\psi} (d\rho^2 + \rho^2 \sigma_{ij} dx^i dx^j).$$

Viewing the flow hypersurfaces $M_t \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ as hypersurfaces $\tilde{M}_t \subset B_2(0)$ of the Euclidean space, the second fundamental forms are related by

$$(7.4) \quad e^\psi h_j^i = \tilde{h}_j^i + \psi_\beta \tilde{\nu}^\beta \delta_j^i,$$

cf. [15, Prop. 1.1.11]. Hence

$$\|\tilde{A}\|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \tilde{H}^2 = e^{2\psi} \left(\|A\|^2 - \frac{1}{n} H^2 \right) \leq ce^{-\frac{2}{n^p} t},$$

due to Theorem 7.1 and since

$$e^\psi = \frac{4}{(2 + \tilde{u})(2 - \tilde{u})} \leq ce^{\frac{t}{n^p}},$$

where we also used the existence of a constant c such that

$$-c < u - \frac{t}{n^p} < c,$$

cf. [34, Cor. 3.3, Lemma 3.5]. Hence the conformal hypersurfaces \tilde{M}_t become eventually strictly convex (also note $\tilde{H} > 0$ using (7.4)) and they converge uniformly to $\partial B_2(0)$. Using

$$\tilde{v} \leq ce^{\bar{\kappa} \cdot \text{osc}(\tilde{u})}$$

again, [15, Thm. 2.7.10], we obtain $\tilde{v} \rightarrow 1$. But

$$|D\tilde{u}|^2 = \tilde{u}^{-2} \sigma^{ij} \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_j = |Du|^2,$$

as a simple calculation reveals, and hence $v \rightarrow 1$ for the M_t . From the proof of [34, Thm. 4.1] and especially equ. (4.6) in this proof we obtain

$$v - 1 \leq ce^{-\lambda t}$$

for suitable $\lambda > 0$. Furthermore, using the proof of [34, Thm. 4.5] literally, we obtain the claim. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.3 can now be finished as in [34]. Let us shortly sketch the strategy. Define a rescaling of u ,

$$\varphi = \int_{r_0}^u \frac{1}{\vartheta(s)} ds,$$

where $r_0 < \inf_M u(0, \cdot)$ and $\vartheta = \sinh$. There holds

$$|Du|^2 = \sigma^{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j = |D\varphi|^2 \leq ce^{-\frac{2}{n^p} t}.$$

Due to

$$h_j^i = v^{-1} \vartheta^{-1} (\vartheta' \delta_j^i - (\sigma^{ik} - v^{-2} \varphi^i \varphi^k) \varphi_{kj}),$$

where index raising and covariant differentiation are performed with respect to σ_{ij} , cf. [16, (3.26)], we also obtain

$$|D^2 \varphi| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{n^p}},$$

also compare [34, Thm. 4.6]. Now Sections 5 and 6 of [34] finish the proof verbatim, where Section 5 gives higher order estimates of the form

$$|D^m \varphi| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{n^p}}$$

by differentiating the equation satisfied by $D\varphi$ and Section 6 relates this back to the original function u and its rescaled versions.

REFERENCES

1. Ben Andrews, *Fully nonlinear parabolic equations in two space variables*, [arxiv:0402235](https://arxiv.org/abs/0402235), 2004.
2. ———, *Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **608** (2007), 17–33.
3. Ben Andrews and James McCoy, *Convex hypersurfaces with pinched principal curvatures and flow of convex hypersurfaces by high powers of curvature*, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012), no. 7, 3427–3447.
4. Ben Andrews, James McCoy, and Yu Zheng, *Contracting convex hypersurfaces by curvature*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **47** (2013), no. 3–4, 611–665.
5. Simon Brendle, Pei-Ken Hung, and Mu Tao Wang, *A Minkowski inequality for hypersurfaces in the anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold*, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **69** (2016), no. 1, 124–144.
6. Li Chen and Jing Mao, *Non-parametric inverse curvature flows in the AdS-Schwarzschild manifold*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **28** (2018), no. 2, 921–949.
7. Bennett Chow and Robert Gulliver, *Aleksandrov reflection and nonlinear evolution equations, I: The n -sphere and n -ball*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **4** (1996), no. 3, 249–264.

8. Bennett Chow and Dong-Ho Tsai, *Expansion of convex hypersurfaces by nonhomogeneous functions of curvature*, Asian J. Math. **1** (1997), no. 4, 769–784.
9. ———, *Nonhomogeneous Gauss curvature flows*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47** (1998), no. 3, 965–994.
10. Heinz Otto Cordes, *Über die erste Randwertaufgabe bei quasilinearen Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung in mehr als zwei Variablen*, Math. Ann. **131** (1956), no. 3, 278–312.
11. Qi Ding, *The inverse mean curvature flow in rotationally symmetric spaces*, Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B **32** (2011), no. 1, 27–44.
12. Yuxin Ge, Guofang Wang, and Jie Wu, *Hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities 2*, J. Differ. Geom. **98** (2014), no. 2, 237–260.
13. Claus Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differ. Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 299–314.
14. ———, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in space forms*, Geometric analysis and the calculus of variations (Jürgen Jost, ed.), International Press of Boston Inc., 1996, pp. 71–98.
15. ———, *Curvature problems*, Series in Geometry and Topology, vol. 39, International Press of Boston Inc., Somerville, 2006.
16. ———, *Inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, J. Differ. Geom. **89** (2011), no. 3, 487–527.
17. ———, *Non-scale-invariant inverse curvature flows in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **49** (2014), no. 1-2, 471–489.
18. ———, *Curvature flows in the sphere*, J. Differ. Geom. **100** (2015), no. 2, 301–347.
19. Georges Glaeser, *Fonctions composées différentiables*, Ann. Math. **77** (1963), no. 1, 193–209.
20. Pengfei Guan and Junfang Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k -convex starshaped domains*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 5, 1725–1732.
21. Gerhard Huisken and Tom Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differ. Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437.
22. Pei-Ken Hung and Mu Tao Wang, *Inverse mean curvature flows in the hyperbolic 3-space revisited*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **54** (2015), no. 1, 119–126.
23. Heiko Kröner, *Inverse curvature flows in asymptotically Robertson Walker spaces*, J. Differ. Equ. **264** (2018), no. 7, 4303–4342.
24. Haizhong Li, Xianfeng Wang, and Yong Wei, *Surfaces expanding by non-concave curvature functions*, preprint, [arxiv:1609.00570](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.00570), 2016.
25. Haizhong Li and Yong Wei, *On inverse mean curvature flow in Schwarzschild space and Kotiler space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **56** (2017), no. 3, 62.
26. Qi-Rui Li, *Surfaces expanding by the power of the Gauss curvature flow*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 11, 4089–4102.
27. Gary Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific, 1998.
28. Siyuan Lu, *Inverse curvature flow in anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold*, To appear in Commun. Anal. Geom., [arxiv:1609.09733](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.09733), 2016.
29. Matthias Makowski and Julian Scheuer, *Rigidity results, inverse curvature flows and Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities in the sphere*, Asian J. Math. **20** (2016), no. 5, 869–892.
30. James McCoy, *The surface area preserving mean curvature flow*, Asian J. Math. **7** (2003), no. 1, 7–30.
31. Louis Nirenberg, *On a generalization of quasi-conformal mappings and its application to elliptic partial differential equations*, Contributions to the theory of partial differential equations (Princeton, NJ), Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, 1954, pp. 95–100.
32. Hans Samelson, *Orientability of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n* , Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), no. 1, 301–302.
33. Julian Scheuer, *Gradient estimates for inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, Geom. Flows **1** (2015), no. 1, 11–16.
34. ———, *Non-scale-invariant inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **53** (2015), no. 1, 91–123.
35. ———, *Pinching and asymptotical roundness for inverse curvature flows in Euclidean space*, J. Geom. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 3, 2265–2281.
36. ———, *The inverse mean curvature flow in warped cylinders of non-positive radial curvature*, Adv. Math. **306** (2017), 1130–1163.

37. ———, *Isotropic functions revisited*, Arch. Math. **110** (2018), no. 6, 591–604.
38. Oliver C. Schnürer, *Surfaces expanding by the inverse Gauß curvature flow*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **600** (2006), 117–134.
39. Felix Schulze, *Convexity estimates for flows by powers of the mean curvature*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **V** (2006), no. 2, 261–277.
40. John Urbas, *On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), no. 1, 355–372.
41. ———, *An expansion of convex hypersurfaces*, J. Differ. Geom. **33** (1991), no. 1, 91–125.
42. Yong Wei, *New pinching estimate for inverse curvature flow in space form*, J. Geom. Anal. (2018), doi:10.1007/s12220-018-0051-1.
43. Hengyu Zhou, *Inverse mean curvature flows in warped product manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **28** (2018), no. 2, 1749–1772.

ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ERSNT-ZERMELO-STR. 1, 79104
FREIBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: heiko.kroener@uni-hamburg.de

ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ERNST-ZERMELO-STR. 1, 79104
FREIBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: julian.scheuer@math.uni-freiburg.de