

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/136843/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Niu, Xinyi, Jones, Tim, Berube, Kelly, Chuang, Hsiao-Chi, Sun, Jian and Ho, Kin Fai 2021. The oxidative capacity of indoor source combustion derived particulate matter and resulting respiratory toxicity. Science of the Total Environment 767, 144391. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144391

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144391

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1	The oxidative capacity of indoor source combustion derived
2	particulate matter and resulting respiratory toxicity
3	Niu Xinyi ¹ , Tim Jones ² , Kelly BéruBé ³ , Hsiao-Chi Chuang ⁴ , Jian Sun ⁵ , Kin Fai Ho ^{6, *}
4	
5	¹ School of Human Settlements and Civil Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an,
6	710049, China
7	² School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University, Museum Avenue, Cardiff,
8	CF10, 3YE, UK
9	³ School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Museum Avenue, Cardiff, CF10, 3US, UK
10	⁴ School of Respiratory Therapy, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University,
11	Taipei, Taiwan
12	⁵ Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University,
13	Xi'an, 710049, China
14	⁶ The Jockey Club School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University
15	of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
ΖI	
22	
23	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +852-22528763; fax: +852-26063500.
24	E-mail address: kfho@cuhk.edu.hk.
25	
26	

27 Abstract

Indoor air pollution sources with emissions of fine particles (PM_{2.5}), including 28 environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) and incense smoke (IS) deteriorate indoor air 29 quality and may cause respiratory diseases in humans. This study characterized the 30 emission factors (EFs) of five types of tobacco and incense in Hong Kong using an 31 environmental chamber. Human alveolar epithelial cells (A549) were exposed to PM_{2.5} 32 33 collected from different indoor sources to determine their cytotoxicity. The PM_{2.5} EF of ETS (109.7±36.5 mg/g) was higher than IS (97.1±87.3 mg/g). The EFs of total 34 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and carbonyls for IS were higher than ETS, 35 and these two combustion sources showed similar distributions of individual PAHs and 36 carbonyls. Oxidative damage and inflammatory responses (i.e. DNA damage, 8-37 hydroxy-desoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and interlukin-38 6 (IL-6)) of A549 cells was triggered by exposure to PM_{2.5} generated from ETS and IS. 39 Different indoor sources showed different responses to oxidative stress and 40 41 inflammations due to the accumulation effects of mixed organic compounds. High 42 molecular weight PAHs from incense combustion showed higher correlations with DNA damage markers, and most of the PAHs from indoor sources demonstrated 43 significant correlations with inflammation. Exposure to anthropogenic produced 44 combustion emissions such as ETS and IS results in significant risks (e.g. lung cancer) 45 to the alveolar epithelium within the distal human respiratory tract, of which incense 46 emissions posed a higher cytotoxicity. 47

48

49 Keywords: biomarkers, DNA, environmental tobacco smoke, incense smoke,
50 inflammation, oxidative damage

52 **1. Introduction**

Chronic exposure to airborne particulate matter (PM), especially fine particles (i.e. 53 PM_{2.5}, less than 2.5 µm aerodynamic diameter), has been linked to cardiopulmonary 54 diseases in humans (Gualtieri et al., 2010). There is a direct link between the global 55 burden of respiratory diseases and the environment, whereby indoor air pollution (AP) 56 from tobacco and incense smoke, burning of fossil fuels and industrial sources are 57 highlighted as contributing to most respiratory conditions (FIRS, 2017). Reducing the 58 risk from lung carcinogens such as combustion derived air pollution, which is now 59 60 classified as carcinogenic to humans (Loomis et al., 2014), is needed. Respiratory diseases have become a global health issue due to increasing human morbidity, 61 mortality and health-care costs, with ambient AP being the greatest global risk factor 62 63 (Mannino and Buist, 2007; Ronkko et al., 2018).

Cohen et al. (2017) estimated that deaths attributable to ambient PM_{2.5} increased to 4.2 64 65 million in 2015, representing 7.6% of total global deaths. In many parts of the World, smoking and incense burning (WHO, 2010) are the main sources of indoor PM_{2.5}, and 66 when these activities occur the poor ventilation in residences can lead to extremely high 67 indoor pollution levels (Chao et al., 1998; Chuang et al., 2013a). Studies has proved 68 that exposure to high concentrations of indoor particulates can result in lung function 69 reduction and respiratory diseases (Long et al., 2001). Therefore, knowledge of indoor 70 71 source emission levels and the resulting health effects on the human respiratory system are essential for assessing the health impacts of anthropogenic indoor air pollution. 72

73 Combustion-related indoor activities, including smoking and incense burning, can

emit significant amounts of fine particles within a short time period (Perrino et al., 74 2016). These particles from combustion exist in indoor air can increase the potential 75 76 exposure to the human respiratory system and resulting in cardiopulmonary diseases (Steinvil et al., 2008). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have been identified 77 as significant carcinogenic compounds in combustion-derived PM_{2.5}, (Han et al., 2015). 78 Particulate carbonyls, mainly emitted from indoor combustion activities, consistently 79 showed higher concentrations in indoor environments when compared to outdoor 80 environments, and have been listed as air toxins (Wang et al., 2007). These organic 81 82 species are found in ETS and IS and have been shown to trigger the expression of cytokines and chemokines in the respiratory epithelium and induce irreversible damage 83 in the respiratory system (Ho et al., 2016; Li et al., 2003). The health end-points of 84 85 exposure to PM_{2.5} is driven by the formation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) as the primary source of oxidative stress, causing cell dysfunction, inflammatory reactions 86 and concomitant lung injury (Chuang et al., 2011a). The carcinogenic organic 87 88 compounds can form mutagenic DNA adducts and lead to oxidative DNA lesions by ROS generations (Oh et al., 2011). Therefore, DNA is one of the critical targets for ROS 89 90 initiated by airborne particles (Danielsen et al., 2009).

Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) is a mixture of particulate and gaseous pollutants including thousands of organic components. The combination or synergistic effects of the different phase pollutants may increase the risk of pulmonary disease (Wu et al., 2012). Tobacco smoking has been linked to lung diseases and lung cancer as one of the common etiological risk factors (Cruz et al., 2011). Exposure to ETS within the

96	indoor environment has shown carcinogenic effects on humans (Slezakova et al., 2009).
97	Bernstein et al. (2008) estimated that exposure to ETS at home could increase the risk
98	of developing asthma by 40–200%. The burning of incense for religious ceremonies or
99	to perfume the air in enclosed environments has created a series of public health issues
100	Worldwide (WHO, 2010). Previous studies indicated that particles from incense
101	combustion contain toxic pollutants that have been associated with ROS formation,
102	DNA damage, respiratory illness and lung cancer (Chuang et al., 2013a; Ho et al., 2005)
103	As one of the main chemical contents of PM _{2.5} , PAHs and carbonyl compounds can
104	readily absorb metals, on to their surfaces, and these are responsible for the cellular
105	processes culminating in cell death (Chuang et al., 2011b; Lui et al., 2016).
106	Although it is known that PM _{2.5} , emitted from various combustion sources, showed
107	adverse respiratory effects on humans (Ho et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015; Wu et al.,
108	2012), there is still a lack of knowledge about the toxicological properties of PM from
109	single indoor sources. In this study, chamber experiments were undertaken to obtain
110	PM _{2.5} samples from different types of tobacco and incense emissions. The objectives of
111	this study were to characterize the organic chemical properties and cytotoxicity of $PM_{2.5}$
112	from different indoor sources, and identify the organic species correlated with
113	respiratory oxidative stress, DNA damage and inflammation.

2. Methodology

2.1 Collection of indoor combustion samples

117 Five types of tobacco and incense were selected as typical indoor combustion

sources (Table 1). Combustion emission tests were conducted in a stainless steel 118 environmental chamber (3.2 m \times 3.2 m \times 2.5 m) with an effective volume of 18.26 m³. 119 120 The system was described in a previous study (Huang et al., 2012). Before each experiment, the chamber was fully cleaned with a sponge and ozone (O₃) was 121 122 introduced by an ozone-generator (Model 2001, Jelight Company Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) to remove any residual particle and gas pollutants. During the experiments the chamber 123 was maintained at controlled conditions (air exchange rate 0.36 h⁻¹, relative humidity 124 50%, temperature 23 °C) that reproduced typical indoor environments. The background 125 level for $PM_{2.5}$ was below 30 µg m⁻³, CO and CO₂ were below 5 ppm and 1000 ppm 126 respectively. Each type of tobacco and incense were burned in the chamber until it 127 burned-out, and the sampling continued until the concentrations of PM_{2.5}, CO and CO₂ 128 129 declined to background level. The combustion of tobacco was conducted by a cigarette smoking machine, which can simulate the real condition of a tobacco that puffed by a 130 smoker actively. The details of the indoor source materials and chamber experiments 131 132 are shown in Table 1, and each experiment was repeated 3 times.

A Dust-Trak air monitor (Model 8530, TSI Inc., USA) after calibration was used to measure PM_{2.5} concentrations, and zero-setting was done before each sampling. A portable Q-Trak (Model 7575, TSI Inc., USA) was used to monitor CO and CO₂ concentrations in the chamber. PM_{2.5} source samples were collected by a particle sampler (MEDVOL, DRI, USA) with a flowrate of 113 L min⁻¹. Two quartz and two Teflon filters (47 mm; Whatman, UK) were positioned in the filter holders separately (Figure S1). More details about the MEDVOL sampler are described in Chen et al. 140 (2007). The sampling inlet was positioned 1.5 m above the floor of the chamber with a 141 flowrate of 5 L min⁻¹. Blank samples were collected for each type of filter in each set 142 of experiment. After sampling, filters were kept in -20 °C refrigerator for further 143 chemical and biological analysis. All filters were weighed by a microbalance ($\pm 1 \mu g$ 144 sensitivity, Sartorius AG MC5, Germany) before and after sampling. Filters were 145 equilibrated for 24 h at 23 \pm 0.5 °C temperature and 50 \pm 5% relative humidity (RH) 146 before weighing.

147

148 **2.2 Chemical analysis**

Organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) were analyzed on quartz filters using a DRI Model 2001 Thermal/Optical Carbon Analyzer (Atmoslytic Inc., Calabasas, CA, USA) by thermal/optical reflectance (TOR) method following the IMPROVE_A protocol. This method for carbon analysis has been widely used in PM_{2.5} chemical analysis since 1990s (Chow et al., 1993). More detailed descriptions on the method can be found in Cao et al., (2012).

The concentrations of 19 PAHs were analyzed by in-injection port thermal desorption-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (TD-GC/MS; Agilent Technologies, USA). Two hole punches of the quartz filter sample ($0.526 \text{ cm}^2 \times 2$) were cut into small pieces and transferred into the injector liner of an Agilent 6890 GC/5975 MS detector (Santa Clara, CA, USA) by a TD (thermal desorption) tube. Separation of the eluting compounds was performed by an HP-5MS (30 m long × 0.25 mm I.D.× 0.25 µm film thickness, Agilent Technologies, USA) capillary column. The evaluation of TD-GC/MS methods and more details on organic compounds analysis are presented in Ho et al.,(2008).

The carbonyl compounds in the indoor source samples were analyzed by 164 hydroxylamine hydrochloride chromatography/mass spectrometry (PFBHA-GC/MS; 165 Agilent Technologies, USA). The quartz filters were extracted with ultrapure methanol 166 and rotary evaporator to 5 ml, and purged with nitrogen at room temperature. The dried 167 sample was re-dissolved by PFBHA solution and acidified to pH 2 and stood for 1 day, 168 and then further extracted to 1 µl of hexane analyte for GC-MS analysis. This method 169 170 is widely used and has been validate by Yu et al., (1993). The details of this method was described in Lui et al., (2016). 171

172

173 **2.3 Emission factors calculation**

Emission factors (EFs) of ETS and incense were calculated by dividing the mass of combustion emissions by the mass of the material burnt, and they are expressed as milligrams or micrograms of emission per gram of consumed material (mg/g or μ g/g). The EFs of particulate pollutants were calculated as:

178 $EF = \frac{m_{filter}}{v_{filter}} \times \frac{v_{chamber}}{m_{material}}$ (1)



185 **2.4 Bioreactivity analysis**

186 2.4.1 Cell culture and treatment

Human alveolar epithelial cells (A549, American Type Culture Collection, 187 Rockville, MD, USA) were cultured in RPMI (Roswell Park Memorial Institute) cell 188 culture medium (10% feotal bovine serum, 100 U ml⁻¹ penicillin and 100 mg ml⁻¹ 189 streptomycin) with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C and 95% humidity. The cells were exposed to 0, 190 100 and 200 µg ml⁻¹ of PM_{2.5} for 24 hours. The PM_{2.5} solutions were extracted by 191 192 methanol and re-dissolved with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) after drying with nitrogen. Cells were analyzed for cell viability and ROS, and the supernatants were 193 analyzed for cytokines. 194

195

196 2.4.2 Cell viability

MTT (3-[4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2, 5 diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay was
used for cell metabolic activities. A549 cells were seeded on 96-well Transwell plates
and exposed to different concentrations of PM_{2.5} extractions to a final volume of 200 μl
for 24 hours. After that, the cells were treated with MTT solution (10%; Sigma Aldrich,
St. Louis, MO, USA) for color development at 37 °C for 4 hours. The optical density
(OD) of each well was measured by a microplate reader (ELx800, BioTek, VT, USA)
at 540 nm. Cell viability (%) was presented after adjusting for the control.

205 2.4.3 Reactive oxidative species

206	ROS was determined by the fluorogenic cell-based method using 2',7'-
207	dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) as the indicator, which has been
208	commonly used for environmental toxicology (Eruslanov and Kusmartsev, 2010;
209	Montesinos et al., 2015). After 24 hours exposure to PM _{2.5} , DCFH-DA was added to
210	the A549 cells, and cultured for 30 min. Each well was washed with PBS to remove the
211	DCFH-DA that did not combined with cells. The fluorescence intensity (IF) was
212	determined by a Light Luminescence Plate Reader (VICTOR™ X; PerkinElmer,
213	Waltham, USA) at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of
214	530 nm.

215

2.4.4 Plasmid scission assay (PSA) 216

The plasmid scission assay (PSA) is a method that uses a biological indicator to 217 determine the capability of PM_{2.5} to induce oxidative DNA damage (Lui et al., 2019). 218 This in vitro method measures oxidative damage to plasmid DNA induced by free 219 radicals generated on particle surfaces (Lui et al., 2019). Oxidative damage initially 220 causes the supercoiled DNA to relax, and further damage results in linearization. The 221 sum of the percentage of relaxed and linear DNA is the oxidative damage rate. Ultra-222 pure water (conductivity 18.2 M Ω ; Millipore, China) was used as a procedure blank 223 throughout the experiment. 224

The PM_{2.5} samples were suspended in molecular grade water (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) 225 at different concentrations (50, 100, 500, 1000 μ g ml⁻¹). The plasmid Φ X174 RF DNA 226 molecule (Promega, UK), which is vulnerable to ROS, was added in a final volume of 227

228 20 ng and incubated with $PM_{2.5}$.

229	Gels (0.6% Agarose; Bioline, UK) were prepared using Tris/Borate/EDTA (TBE)
230	buffer solution (Thermo Scientific, UK) diluted 10 times with agarose and the solution
231	was heated by microwave (EMS-820; Electron Microscopy Services, USA) to clarity
232	and transparency. The solidified gel was placed in an electrophoresis cell (DYCP-34A
233	type; NANBEI, China) containing 10 times diluted TBE buffer.

Bromophenol blue stain (14 μ L; Sigma-Aldrich, UK) was added to the DNA-PM2.5 samples and placed on a rocking platform (Bio-Rad, UK) for 4 hours. Post-mixing, 20 μ L of the DNA-PM2.5 mixtures were aliquoted into each gel well. Three parallel samples were made for each sample. Ethidium bromide (EB; 20 μ L; Sigma-Aldrich, China) was added to both sides of the electrophoresis tank (NANBEI, China). After the EB was fully dissolved in the buffer, the laboratory electrophoresis power supply (DYY-6C; NANBEI, China) was turned on and operated at 30 Volts for 16 hours.

Post-electrophoresis, the optical densities of three different DNA morphologies 241 (i.e. super-coiled, relaxed and linear) in the gel were captured using a gel documentation 242 system (ChemiDoc, Bio-Rad, UK) and the GeneTools (Version 4.3.10; Syngene, USA) 243 image analysis software program was utilized to calculate the toxic dose of PM_{2.5} 244 causing 50% DNA damage (TD₅₀) via a non-linear regression exponential rise to 245 maximum model. In the final calculation, the DNA damage of ultra-pure water was 246 subtracted from the DNA damage caused by particles. Additional information about the 247 PSA procedure can be found in Chuang et al., (2011b). 248

250 2.4.5 Determination of cytokines

251	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (R&D Systems, Inc., MN, USA)
252	was used to determine 8-hydroxy-desoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), tumor necrosis factor-
253	α (TNF- α) and interlukin-6 (IL-6) levels according to the manufacturer's instructions
254	(Chuang et al., 2018).

255

256 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis was used to identify the correlations between chemical compounds and bioreactivity caused by $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, including cell viability, oxidative-inflammation cytokines and DNA damage. All the data were analyzed by IBM SPSS statistics 22.0 (IBM ®, New York, NY). The significance level was p < 0.05.

262

263 3. Results and discussion

264 **3.1 Emission factors of indoor sources**

265 3.1.1 PM_{2.5} and carbonaceous compounds

The EFs of $PM_{2.5}$ and chemical components for different indoor sources are shown in Table 2. The average $PM_{2.5}$ EF for ETS was slightly higher (109.7±36.5 mg/g) than incense with $PM_{2.5}$ EF of 97.1±87.3 mg/g. The $PM_{2.5}$ EFs of different tobacco brands ranged from 55.6 to 156.8 mg/g, while the differences among five incense brands were much larger (16.8 to 253.7 mg/g) (Figure 1). The larger differences among incenses were related to the different components in fragrant plant materials for incense sticks production (Jetter et al., 2002). Chuang et al., (2012) measured two types of incenses

273	in a church with $PM_{2.5}$ EFs of 417.2±72.9 and 290.1±94.3 mg/g. The carbonaceous
274	fractions (OC and EC) showed the greatest contributions in $PM_{2.5}$ EFs to the indoor
275	sources. The average EF of OC for ETS was as high as 61.2±19.5 mg/g, which
276	contributed 55.8% to the total $PM_{2.5}$ EFs. The EF of OC for incense was lower than
277	ETS (45.0 \pm 39.5 mg/g), and with a lower contribution of 46.3% to PM _{2.5} EFs.
278	Comparing with tobacco, incense was burned more completely, which has led to more
279	transformations of organic components to gaseous pollutants (i.e., CO ₂ and CO) (Wang
280	et al., 2007). The contributions of OC to $PM_{2.5}$ emissions among different types of
281	tobacco (s.d. 1.2%) and incense (s.d. 4.9%) were similar, though their EFs had larger
282	variations; indicative of the specific chemical characteristics for each of the indoor
283	sources.

285 3.1.2 PAHs

The EFs of 19 detected PAHs from each indoor source are listed in Table 2. The 286 EFs of total PAHs (Σ PAHs) for incense ranged from 5.93±2.71 to 24.93±0.86 µg/g 287 (Table 2, Figure 1) with an average EFs of 14.98 \pm 6.17 µg/g, which is 19.3% higher than 288 ETS. The average EFs of Σ PAHs for ETS was 12.08±4.22 µg/g, ranging from 289 5.77 ± 2.19 to 18.72 ± 8.36 µg/g. The relatively high PAHs EFs of incense was attributed 290 to the higher contributions of Σ PAHs to PM_{2.5} (0.015%), especially the PAHs EFs of I-291 5 showed 0.050% contributions to $PM_{2.5}$. For ETS, the average contribution of $\Sigma PAHs$ 292 to PM_{2.5} were 0.011%, ranging from 0.010% to 0.013%. Non-volatile particulate PAHs 293 that are harmful to the human respiratory system were emitted during the combustion 294

295	for both incense and tobacco; which would increase the risks of lung cancer (Tse et al.,
296	2011). The EFs of individual PAHs ranged from 0.07 \pm 0.02 to 1.92 \pm 0.63 µg/g and
297	$0.08{\pm}0.07$ to 1.78{\pm}0.73 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for ETS and incense. Different types of tobacco and
298	incense shared common PAHs EFs profiles. The most abundant PAHs emitted from
299	tobacco and incense burning was chrysene, contributed 15.9% and 11.9% to Σ PAHs,
300	followed by fluoranthene (13.6% and 10.6%), pyrene (13.7% and 9.8%),
301	benzo[a]anthracene (8.6% and 10.0%) and benzo[a]pyrene (6.7% and 7.3%),
302	respectively. ETS and incense showed similar PAHs constitution profiles in indoor
303	environments because they both emitted pollutants from combustion. Similar results
304	have been reported by a smoking study (Slezakova et al., 2009) and a chamber study
305	for incense burning (Lui et al., 2016). The contributions of different ring number PAHs
306	to \sum PAHs for ETS and incense are shown in Figure S2. Tobacco and incense burning
307	emitted PAHs that mainly consisted of 4-ring PAHs (53.3% and 43.1%) and 5-ring
308	PAHs (21.7% and 28.4%). Slezakova et al., (2009) concluded that PAHs with 5 rings
309	and 6 rings were more abundant at sampling sites influenced by tobacco smoke,
310	indicating the significant influences of combustion sources on PAHs emissions.
311	Previous studies have proved that PAH structures containing 4 or more rings were more
312	carcinogenic and correlated with human pulmonary diseases (Lin et al., 2013; Niu et
313	al., 2017). Therefore, indoor pollution from tobacco and incense burning would
314	significantly impact on carcinogenic effects in human respiratory systems.

316 3.1.3 Carbonyls

317	The average EFs of carbonyls for indoor sources are listed in Table 2, 5 high-
318	molecular-weight mono-carbonyl ($C > 6$) and di-carbonyl compounds in particulate
319	phase were detected. The average EFs of total carbonyls (\sum carbonyls) for incense was
320	the highest (30.02±29.0 μ g/g), with a wide range of 2.58±0.33 to 83.88±3.31 μ g/g
321	(Figure 1) due to the variations of incense materials. ETS also showed relatively high
322	\sum carbonyls EFs of 24.33±8.1 µg/g, and the EFs levels for different brands did not vary
323	significantly (from 11.22 \pm 1.19 to 33.03 \pm 8.75 µg/g). The contributions of individual
324	carbonyls (Figure S3) for ETS and incense showed similar patterns, of which
325	methylglyoxal (33.7% and 40.4%) accounted for the largest proportion followed by
326	heptaldehyde (25.7% and 21.3%). Octaldehyde and glyoxal also showed relatively
327	higher contributions for EST and incense. This result agreed with a previous study on
328	incense burning carbonyl characteristics that glyoxal and methylglyoxal were the most
329	abundant components (Lui et al., 2016). Pang and Lewis (2011) reported that some
330	carbonyls (such as such as benzaldehyde, 2,5-dimethylbenzaldehyde, 1-penten-3-one,
331	glyoxal and methylglyoxal) were found only in the particle phase from cigarette smoke
332	due to their low vapor pressures or physicochemical characteristics, and particulate
333	glyoxal and methylglyoxal were always at high levels.

- 334
- 335 **3.2 Cytotoxicity of indoor sources**
- 336 3.2.1 Cell viability

The bioreactivity of A549 cells after exposure to different indoor sources at two PM_{2.5} concentrations are shown in Figure 2. With increasing PM_{2.5} exposure

concentration, the cell viability showed a decreasing trend. The average cell viability 339 of incense was 59.3% and 55.4% at PM2.5 doses of 100 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml, 340 341 respectively. For ETS, the average cell viability at 100 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml PM_{2.5} exposure were 68.8% and 63.5%. The cytotoxicity induced by incense was higher than 342 ETS. Previous studies has proved that PM_{2.5}, especially PM emitted from combustion 343 sources, showed significant and dose-dependent reduction in cell viability (Ho et al., 344 2016; Sun et al., 2018). Chuang et al. (2013b) suggested that incense burning PM_{2.5} 345 would activate an oxidative stress response leading to a apoptotic phenotype and 346 347 respiratory cell dysfunction.

348

349 3.2.2 Oxidative capacity

350 Oxidative stress has been recognized as one of the main mechanisms for PMmediated cytotoxicity; initiated ROS would target cellular compounds (i.e., proteins, 351 lipids and nucleic acids) and induce cellular damage (Marchetti et al., 2019). The 352 353 fluorescence intensity of different indoor sources indicating the ROS generation levels are shown in Figure 2. ETS showed the highest oxidative potential with average 354 fluorescence intensities of 3363.1 and 4826.7 at 100 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml, respectively. 355 The oxidative reactions induced by incense were 22.9% and 29.1% lower than tobacco 356 357 at 100 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml PM2.5 exposure. ROS including free radicals and nonradicals can increase oxidative stress on DNA, protein and lipid (Oh et al., 2011). 8-358 359 OHdG is a well characterized biomarker of ROS induced DNA damage, and showed a similar variation among the different indoor sources with ROS (Figure 2). The average 360

8-OHdG levels triggered by 200 µg/ml PM_{2.5} from ETS and incense were 509.2 and 361 418.9 pg/ml, respectively. The correlations of ROS and 8-OHdG production at 200 362 363 μ g/ml PM_{2.5} exposure are shown in Figure S4, with a Pearson's correlation of R=0.82. PM_{2.5} generated from ETS showed higher oxidative potential to A549 cells than incense, 364 and this may be attributed to the differences in the contributions of individual toxic 365 PAHs and carbonyls. Previous epidemiological and toxicological studies has proved 366 that PM generated from combustion processes would lead to an increase in oxidative 367 potential and to be a risk factor for cardiopulmonary morbidity and mortality (Chuang 368 369 et al., 2013a). The PM induced oxidative stress could be generated either directly by oxidant's organic and metal components, or indirectly by further cellular responses 370 (Rabha et al., 2018). PAHs and carbonyls in PM_{2.5} generated from indoor sources would 371 372 induce ROS production at different levels due to the variations in chemical composition. Tobacco and incense emissions had a greater contribution from high-molecular-weight 373 (HMW) PAHs, which has been correlated with oxidative stress in human lung cells 374 375 (Leung et al., 2014). A lung toxicity study by Marchetti et al., (2019) found that the higher PAH content in wood and charcoal PMs enhanced the expression of 376 metabolizing and oxidative stress-related enzymes. 377

The percentage of oxidative DNA damage determined by PSA was shown by the three states of plasmid DNA: supercoiled (no damage), relaxed (minor damage), and linear (severe damage) as shown in Figure 3. The corresponding logarithmic regression lines of different indoor sources for TD_{50} calculations are shown in Figure S5. The indoor sources all caused oxidative DNA damage in a dose-dependent manner. At 100

µg/ml PM_{2.5} exposure dose, the DNA damage induced by ETS (29.2%) was much 383 higher than incense (15.0%), while increasing PM_{2.5} concentration resulted in 384 385 decreasing variations of DNA damage among the three sources. The average TD₅₀ concentration (Figure 2) for incense (1557.9 µg/ml) was significantly lower than ETS 386 (2233.4 μ g/ml), indicating the higher oxidative potential for incense at high exposure 387 PM_{2.5} levels. Two main biochemical pathways can lead to the observed effects on 388 cellular DNA damage. Either non-cellular characteristics of the particles including size, 389 surface reactivity and chemical components etc. and/or the cellular properties including 390 391 ROS generation ability, initiation of inflammation and alteration of signaling pathways (Reche et al., 2012). Oh et al., (2011) has confirmed the important role of PM_{2.5} organic 392 extracts inducing significant increases of oxidative DNA damage including oxidized 393 394 purines and pyrimidines. With the release of ROS, single- and double strand DNA breaks were generated by a sequence of radical reactions within the DNA backbone, 395 and even single-strand breaks could impede the ensuing transcription, replication and 396 397 repair processes (Bertram and Hass, 2008). Previous studies have proved that PAHs and PAH-derived compounds not only trigger bulky DNA adducts and oxidative DNA 398 damage, but also could modulate DNA repair mechanisms, cell cycle progression, and 399 cell fate (Ronkko et al., 2018). The high proportion of PAHs generated during 400 401 combustion by tobacco and incense would induce higher levels of DNA damage even at low exposure levels. Navasumrit et al. (2008) found that inhalation of incense smoke 402 403 could significantly increase 8-OHdG levels and DNA strand breaks in temple workers. Comparing our simulation results with previous studies in real indoor environments, 404

the high oxidative DNA damage capacity of tobacco agreed with a previous study on 405 indoor air in a smoker's living room (Shao et al., 2007), which also led to the higher 406 407 risks of environmental tobacco exposure. Previous studies found that over 50% adults and children were exposed to passive smoking and about half of the households in Hong 408 Kong burn incense, which adversely affect respiratory health (McGhee et al., 2002; Xie 409 et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019). Chuang et al., (2012) has proved that although people 410 stay in church for short time, exposure to the high levels of PM emitted by candles and 411 incense would induce irreversible health effects. A study conducted in various indoor 412 413 environments with personal measurements concluded that residential indoors and personal OC and PAHs should be of great concern for human respiratory health (Chen 414 et al., 2020). 415

416 3.2.3 Inflammatory response

Inflammation has been recognized as one of the important factors for developing 417 respiratory diseases by oxidative stress (Dilger et al., 2016). The inflammatory 418 419 reactions presented by TNF-a and IL-6 induced by PM_{2.5} from different indoor sources are shown in Figure 2. With the elevating of PM2.5 doses, all the sources showed higher 420 421 inflammatory responses on TNF-a and IL-6 at 200 µg/ml PM_{2.5} exposure. Similar trends for the indoor sources were also shown for the average values of TNF- α and IL-422 6; incense induced higher inflammatory markers levels than ETS. For example, the 423 TNF- α and IL-6 concentrations in A549 cells at 200µg/ml incense extracts exposure 424 were 25.2 and 49.1 pg/ml, respectively; whereas TNF- α and IL-6 triggered by ETS was 425 12.9% (21.9 pg/ml) and 15.4% (41.5 pg/ml) lower than incense. Tobacco and incense 426

emissions both showed high potential for inflammatory responses, while the differences 427 in chemical composition may cause the variations seen in inflammation. The higher 428 429 inflammatory responses of incense correlated with the results of cell viability, which finally results in greater cell damage to the respiratory system (Schmidt et al., 2017). 430 Oxidative stress triggered by particle deposition in the human respiratory system 431 would further activate the transcription of pro-inflammatory mediators like IL-6 and 432 TNF- α , which would lead to airway inflammation and diseases (He et al., 2018). 433 Although TNF-α and IL-6 showed similar trends in the indoor sourced PM_{2.5} samples, 434 435 the effects of PM2.5 from different brands of incense and tobacco on bioreactivities were still varied. C-2 and C-3 showed higher TNF- α levels among the five tobaccos, while 436 C-4 and C-1 were higher than others for IL-6. Among the five incenses I-5 and I-1 437 438 induced higher TNF- α and IL-6 concentrations, while the other three incenses showed different responses. C-1 and C-2 showed similar levels in TNF-a, but the IL-6 level of 439 C-1 was much higher than C-2. The different effects of indoor sourced PM_{2.5} on TNF-440 441 α and IL-6 was caused by the different pathways in regulating the secretion of cytokines (Longhin et al., 2018). Previous studies has proved that cytokines in cells are released 442 either from secretory granules or via constitutive secretory pathways that instead have 443 more dynamic vesicular carriers (Stow et al., 2009). 444

445

3.3 Correlations of chemical components and bioreactivity

In order to identify any associations between PM2.5 chemical compounds and 446 447 oxidative-inflammatory responses from different indoor sources, Pearson's correlation coefficients (R) were calculated and these are shown in Table 3. Moderate (0.3 < R <448

(0.7) and strong (R > 0.7) correlations were found in some specific chemical compounds 449 and this is highlighted in Table 3. OC showed moderate correlation with DCFH level 450 451 for total indoor sources and ETS, while strong correlations with TNF- α and IL-6 for incense were found. Moderate negative correlations with cell viability were only 452 observed for the PAHs of total indoor sources. DCFH was poorly correlated with PAHs, 453 only BghiP from ETS and DaeP from incense showed significant correlations. For 454 incense sources, moderate to strong correlations were found between HMW PAHs and 455 8-OHdG, among which, 5-ring and 6-ring PAHs showed higher correlations. For total 456 457 indoor sources and ETS, only DaeP, IcdP and COR showed significant correlations with 8-OHdG. Most of the individual PAHs showed significant correlations with TNF-α and 458 IL-6. For the total indoor sources the LMW PAHs were more correlated with the 459 460 inflammation markers. Similar conclusions were also found for incense, PHE, ANT and FLT showed moderate to strong correlations with inflammatory responses. Only a few 461 carbonyls were observed with significant correlations with biomarkers: Gly and Mgly 462 463 were correlated with TNF- α for total indoor sources, C8 was correlated with TNF- α and C-6 was correlated with IL-6 for ETS. These results are consistent with the conclusions 464 of Ronkko et al., (2018) that BaP and BkF showed moderate to strong correlations with 465 genotoxic responses. 466

467 OC and PAHs in $PM_{2.5}$ from indoor sources were highly correlated with the 468 oxidative and inflammatory responses of A549 cells. Previous studies have indicated 469 the important role of PAHs in $PM_{2.5}$ in inducing oxidative damage and inflammation in 470 human lung cells (Ovrevik et al., 2010). It was also reported that organic compounds,

especially PAHs in PM_{2.5} were positively correlated with genotoxic mechanisms of 471 inducing DNA-adduct and oxidative DNA damage (Gualtieri et al., 2010). The 472 473 significant correlations between PAHs and bioreactivity were mainly attributed to indoor source emissions, especially combustion sources like ETS and incense, 474 containing soot-based particles with chemical-rich surfaces that were able to cause 475 oxidative and inflammatory markers formation. In vitro studies has demonstrated that 476 high mutagenic and DNA adduct-forming potential is associated with the neutral and 477 slightly polar fractions including PAHs and their derivatives (Besis et al., 2017). 478 479 Dergham et al. (2015) also proved the positive correlations of 8-OHdG and PAHs. In this study, the oxidative-DNA damage was more correlated with HMW PAHs, which 480 were always in the particle phase; while the LMW PAHs were more correlated with 481 482 inflammatory responses, which were volatile and partially in the gas-phase. Different individual PAHs from different indoor sources showed variations on cytotoxicity due 483 to the influences of the mixture components. The toxic properties of particles could be 484 485 either inhibited or enhanced when the chemical compounds are combined (Marchetti et al., 2019). In addition to organic compounds, the inorganic compositions in PM are also 486 potential factors for PM-induced cytotoxicity. A previous study on PM toxicological 487 effects also demonstrated that some organic and inorganic chemical compounds were 488 489 preferentially associated with early oxidative responses, whereas others in the later oxidative and/or inflammatory cytokine secretion (Dergham et al., 2015). Akhtar et al., 490 491 (2010) found that the biological responses were more responsive to metals as compared with secondary inorganic ions and organic compounds. The synergistic cytotoxic 492

effects of water soluble and insoluble components were observed with long exposure
time, and they were predominantly associated with ROS and cell membrane disruption,
respectively (Zou et al., 2016). The interactions between chemical compounds and the
oxidative and inflammatory responses of A549 cells were ambiguous, and therefore still
need further detailed studies.

498 **4.** Conclusion

This is the first study to compare the cytotoxicity effects of major indoor sources, 499 ETS and incense, and combined the results with PM2.5 emission characteristics. The 500 501 results indicated the great potential of combustion emissions PM_{2.5} on inducing human respiratory diseases. The EFs of PM2.5 chemical components from different sources 502 varied, ETS and incense showed similar chemical contributions on PAHs and carbonyls. 503 504 Oxidative-DNA damage and inflammatory reactions were found when exposed to PM_{2.5}, while different indoor sources showed different responses to oxidative stress and 505 inflammations due to the accumulation effects of mixed organic compounds. More 506 507 studies on exposure and bioreactivity levels of PM2.5 emissions are needed to investigate the oxidative and inflammatory pathways in human respiratory systems. 508 These studies on the different mechanisms of indoor emission exposure are required to 509 support policies decreasing exposure levels and mitigation of chronic respiratory 510 511 diseases in indoor environments. Good ventilation and a reduction in combustion emissions are the basic mitigating requirements to achieve safer indoor air. 512

513

514 Acknowledgement:

- 515 This study was supported by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special
- 516 Administrative Region of China (Project No. 14212116).
- 517 518 519

521 **References:**

- 522 Akhtar, U.S., McWhinney, R.D., Rastogi, N., Abbatt, J.P., Evans, G.J., Scott, J.A., 2010. Cytotoxic and 523 proinflammatory effects of ambient and source-related particulate matter (PM) in relation to the 524 production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and cytokine adsorption by particles. Inhalation toxicology
- 525 22, 37-47.
- 526 Bernstein, J.A., Alexis, N., Bacchus, H., Bernstein, I.L., Fritz, P., Horner, E., Li, N., Mason, S., Nel, A.,
- 527 Oullette, J., 2008. The health effects of nonindustrial indoor air pollution. Journal of Allergy and Clinical
 528 Immunology 121, 585-591.
- Bertram, C., Hass, R., 2008. Cellular responses to reactive oxygen species-induced DNA damage and
 aging. Biological chemistry 389, 211-220.
- 531 Besis, A., Tsolakidou, A., Balla, D., Samara, C., Voutsa, D., Pantazaki, A., Choli-Papadopoulou, T.,
- 532 Lialiaris, T.S., 2017. Toxic organic substances and marker compounds in size-segregated urban
- particulate matter-Implications for involvement in the in vitro bioactivity of the extractable organic
 matter. Environmental Pollution 230, 758-774.
- Cao, J.J., Wang, Q.Y., Chow, J.C., Watson, J.G., Tie, X.X., Shen, Z.X., Wang, P., An, Z.S., 2012. Impacts
 of aerosol compositions on visibility impairment in Xi'an, China. Atmos. Environ. 59, 559-566.
- 537 Chao, C.Y., Tung, T.C., Burnett, J., 1998. Influence of different indoor activities on the indoor particulate
 538 levels in residential buildings. Indoor and Built Environment 7, 110-121.
- 539 Chen, Y., Ho, K.F., Ho, S.S.H., Ho, W.K., Lee, S.C., Yu, J.Z., Sit, E.H.L., 2007. Gaseous and particulate
- 540 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) emissions from commercial restaurants in Hong Kong. Journal
- 541 of Environmental Monitoring 9, 1402-1409.
- 542 Chen, X.-C., Chuang, H.-C., Ward, T.J., Tian, L., Cao, J.-J., Ho, S.S.-H., Lau, N.-C., Hsiao, T.-C., Yim,
- 543 S.H.L., Ho, K.-F., 2020. Indoor, outdoor, and personal exposure to PM2.5 and their bioreactivity among 544 healthy residents of Hong Kong. Environmental Research 188, 109780.
- 545 Chuang, H.-C., BéruBé, K., Lung, S.-C.C., Bai, K.-J., Jones, T., 2013a. Investigation into the oxidative
- 546 potential generated by the formation of particulate matter from incense combustion. Journal of Hazardous
- 547 Materials 244-245, 142-150.
- 548 Chuang, H.-C., Jones, T., BéruBé, K., 2012. Combustion particles emitted during church services:
- 549 implications for human respiratory health. Environment international 40, 137-142.
- 550 Chuang, H.-C., Jones, T., Chen, T.-T., BéruBé, K., 2013b. Cytotoxic effects of incense particles in
- relation to oxidative stress, the cell cycle and F-actin assembly. Toxicology letters 220, 229-237.

- 552 Chuang, H.-C., Jones, T., Chen, Y., Bell, J., Wenger, J., BéruBé, K., 2011a. Characterisation of airborne 553 particles and associated organic components produced from incense burning. Analytical and
- bioanalytical chemistry 401, 3095-3102.
- Chuang, H.-C., Jones, T.P., Lung, S.-C.C., BéruBé, K.A., 2011b. Soot-driven reactive oxygen species
 formation from incense burning. Science of the Total Environment 409, 4781-4787.
- 557 Chuang, H.-C., Shie, R.-H., Chio, C.-P., Yuan, T.-H., Lee, J.-H., Chan, C.-C., 2018. Cluster analysis of
- 558 fine particulate matter (PM 2.5) emissions and its bioreactivity in the vicinity of a petrochemical complex.
- Environmental Pollution 236, 591-597.
- 560 Chow JC, Watson JG, Pritchett LC, Pierson WR, Frazier CA, Purcell RG., 1993. The DRI thermal/optical
- reflectance carbon analysis system: description, evaluation and applications in U.S. air quality studies.
 Atmosphric Environment 27A,1185–1201.
- 563 Cohen, A.J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H.R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef,
- 564 B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., 2017. Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease 565 attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015.
- 566 The Lancet 389, 1907-1918.
- 567 Cruz, C.S.D., Tanoue, L.T., Matthay, R.A., 2011. Lung cancer: epidemiology, etiology, and prevention.
 568 Clinics in chest medicine 32, 605-644.
- 569 Danielsen, P.H., Loft, S., Kocbach, A., Schwarze, P.E., Møller, P., 2009. Oxidative damage to DNA and
- repair induced by Norwegian wood smoke particles in human A549 and THP-1 cell lines. Mutation
 Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis 674, 116-122.
- 572 Dergham, M., Lepers, C., Verdin, A., Cazier, F., Billet, S., Courcot, D., Shirali, P., Garçon, G., 2015.
- 573 Temporal-spatial variations of the physicochemical characteristics of air pollution particulate matter 574 (PM2. 5–0.3) and toxicological effects in human bronchial epithelial cells (BEAS-2B). Environmental
- 575 research 137, 256-267.
- 576 Dilger, M., Orasche, J., Zimmermann, R., Paur, H.R., Diabate, S., Weiss, C., 2016. Toxicity of wood
- 577 smoke particles in human A549 lung epithelial cells: the role of PAHs, soot and zinc. Archives of 578 Toxicology 90, 3029-3044.
- Eruslanov, E., Kusmartsev, S., 2010. Identification of ROS using oxidized DCFDA and flow-cytometry.
 Methods Mol Biol 594, 57-72.
- FIRS, 2017. The Global Impact of Respiratory Disease Second Edition. Forum of International
 Respiratory Societies Sheffield, European Respiratory Society.
- 583 Gualtieri, M., Øvrevik, J., Holme, J.A., Perrone, M.G., Bolzacchini, E., Schwarze, P.E., Camatini, M.,
- 584 2010. Differences in cytotoxicity versus pro-inflammatory potency of different PM fractions in human 585 epithelial lung cells. Toxicology in vitro 24, 29-39.
- 586 Han, B., Bai, Z., Liu, Y., You, Y., Xu, J., Zhou, J., Zhang, J., Niu, C., Zhang, N., He, F., Ding, X., 2015.
- 587 Characterizations, relationship, and potential sources of outdoor and indoor particulate matter bound
- polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in a community of Tianjin, Northern China. Indoor Air 25,320-328.
- 590 He, R.W., Shirmohammadi, F., Gerlofs-Nijland, M., Sioutas, C., Cassee, F.R., 2018. Pro-inflammatory
- responses to PM0.25 from airport and urban traffic emissions. Science of the Total Environment 640,
- 592 997-1003.
- 593 Ho, C.-K., Tseng, W.-R., Yang, C.-Y., 2005. Adverse respiratory and irritant health effects in temple
- workers in Taiwan. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A 68, 1465-1470.
- 595 Ho, K.-F., Chang, C.-C., Tian, L., Chan, C.-S., Musa Bandowe, B.A., Lui, K.-H., Lee, K.-Y., Chuang,

- K.-J., Liu, C.-Y., Ning, Z., Chuang, H.-C., 2016. Effects of polycyclic aromatic compounds in fine
 particulate matter generated from household coal combustion on response to EGFR mutations in vitro.
 Environmental Pollution.
- 599 Ho, S.S.H., Yu, J.Z., Chow, J.C., Zielinska, B., Watson, J.G., Sit, E.H.L., Schauer, J.J., 2008. Evaluation
- 600 of an in-injection port thermal desorption-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry method for analysis
- of non-polar organic compounds in ambient aerosol samples. Journal of Chromatography A 1200, 217-227.
- Huang, Y., Lee, S.C., Ho, K.F., Ho, S.S.H., Cao, N.Y., Cheng, Y., Gao, Y., 2012. Effect of ammonia on
 ozone-initiated formation of indoor secondary products with emissions from cleaning products.
 Atmospheric Environment 59, 224-231.
- Jetter, J.J., Guo, Z., McBrian, J.A., Flynn, M.R., 2002. Characterization of emissions from burning
 incense. Science of the Total Environment 295, 51-67.
- Leung, P.Y., Wan, H.T., Billah, M.B., Cao, J.J., Ho, K.F., Wong, C.K.C., 2014. Chemical and biological
 characterization of air particulate matter 2.5, collected from five cities in China. Environ. Pollut. 194,
- 610 188-195.
- 611 Li, N., Sioutas, C., Cho, A., Schmitz, D., Misra, C., Sempf, J., Wang, M., Oberley, T., Froines, J., Nel,
- A., 2003. Ultrafine particulate pollutants induce oxidative stress and mitochondrial damage.
 Environmental health perspectives 111, 455.
- Lin, L.Y., Liu, I.J., Chuang, H.C., Lin, H.Y., Chuang, K.J., 2013. Size and composition effects of
 household particles on inflammation and endothelial dysfunction of human coronary artery endothelial
 cells. Atmospheric Environment 77, 490-495.
- Long, C.M., Suh, H.H., Kobzik, L., Catalano, P.J., Ning, Y.Y., Koutrakis, P., 2001. A pilot investigation
 of the relative toxicity of indoor and outdoor fine particles: In vitro effects of endotoxin and other
 particulate properties. Environ. Health Perspect. 109, 1019-1026.
- 620 Longhin, E., Holme, J.A., Gualtieri, M., Camatini, M., Ovrevik, J., 2018. Milan winter fine particulate
- 621 matter (wPM2.5) induces IL-6 and IL-8 synthesis in human bronchial BEAS-2B cells, but specifically 622 impairs IL-8 release. Toxicology in Vitro 52, 365-373.
- Loomis, D., Huang, W., Chen, G., 2014. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)
 evaluation of the carcinogenicity of outdoor air pollution: focus on China. Chinese journal of cancer 33,
 189-196.
- 626 Lui, K., Bandowe, B.A.M., Ho, S.S.H., Chuang, H.-C., Cao, J.-J., Chuang, K.-J., Lee, S., Hu, D., Ho, K.,
- 627 2016. Characterization of chemical components and bioreactivity of fine particulate matter (PM2. 5)
 628 during incense burning. Environmental pollution 213, 524-532.
- 629 Lui, K.H., Jones, T., BéruBé, K., Ho, S.S.H., Yim, S.H.L., Cao, J.-J., Lee, S.C., Tian, L., Min, D.W., Ho,
- 630 K.F., 2019. The effects of particle-induced oxidative damage from exposure to airborne fine particulate
- 631 matter components in the vicinity of landfill sites on Hong Kong. Chemosphere 230, 578-586.
- Mannino, D.M., Buist, A.S., 2007. Global burden of COPD: risk factors, prevalence, and future trends.
 The Lancet 370, 765-773.
- 634 Marchetti, S., Longhin, E., Bengalli, R., Avino, P., Stabile, L., Buonanno, G., Colombo, A., Camatini,
- 635 M., Mantecca, P., 2019. In vitro lung toxicity of indoor PM10 from a stove fueled with different
- biomasses. Science of the Total Environment 649, 1422-1433.
- 637 McGhee, S., Hedley, A., Ho, L., 2002. Passive smoking and its impact on employers and employees in
- 638 Hong Kong. Occupational and environmental medicine 59, 842-846.
- 639 Montesinos, V.N., et al., 2015. Detection and quantification of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in indoor

- 640 air. Talanta 138, 20-27.
- 641 Navasumrit, P., Arayasiri, M., Hiang, O.M.T., Leechawengwongs, M., Promvijit, J., Choonvisase, S.,
- Chantchaemsai, S., Nakngam, N., Mahidol, C., Ruchirawat, M., 2008. Potential health effects of
 exposure to carcinogenic compounds in incense smoke in temple workers. Chemico-biological
 interactions 173, 19-31.
- Niu, X., Ho, S.S.H., Ho, K.F., Huang, Y., Sun, J., Wang, Q., Zhou, Y., Zhao, Z., Cao, J., 2017.
 Atmospheric levels and cytotoxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and oxygenated-PAHs in PM2.
- 5 in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region. Environmental pollution 231, 1075-1084.
- 648 Oh, S.M., Kim, H.R., Park, Y.J., Lee, S.Y., Chung, K.H., 2011. Organic extracts of urban air pollution
- 649 particulate matter (PM2. 5)-induced genotoxicity and oxidative stress in human lung bronchial epithelial
- cells (BEAS-2B cells). Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis 723,142-151.
- 652 Ovrevik, J., Arlt, V.M., Oya, E., Nagy, E., Mollerup, S., Phillips, D.H., Lag, M., Holme, J.A., 2010.
- Differential effects of nitro-PAHs and amino-PAHs on cytokine and chemokine responses in human
 bronchial epithelial BEAS-2B cells. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 242, 270-280.
- Pang, X., Lewis, A.C., 2011. Carbonyl compounds in gas and particle phases of mainstream cigarettesmoke. Science of the total environment 409, 5000-5009.
- Perrino, C., Tofful, L., Canepari, S., 2016. Chemical characterization of indoor and outdoor fine
 particulate matter in an occupied apartment in Rome, Italy. Indoor Air 26, 558-570.
- Rabha, R., Ghosh, S., Padhy, P.K., 2018. Indoor air pollution in rural north-east India: Elemental
 compositions, changes in haematological indices, oxidative stress and health risks. Ecotox. Environ. Safe.
- 661 165, 393-403.
- 662 Reche, C., Moreno, T., Amato, F., Viana, M., Van Drooge, B.L., Chuang, H.-C., Bérubé, K., Jones, T.,
- 663 Alastuey, A., Querol, X., 2012. A multidisciplinary approach to characterise exposure risk and
- toxicological effects of PM10 and PM2. 5 samples in urban environments. Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 78,327-335.
- 666 Ronkko, T.J., Jalava, P.I., Happo, M.S., Kasurinen, S., Sippula, O., Leskinen, A., Koponen, H., Kuuspalo,
- 667 K., Ruusunen, J., Vaisanen, O., Hao, L.Q., Ruuskanen, A., Orasche, J., Fang, D., Zhang, L., Lehtinen,
- 668 K.E.J., Zhao, Y., Gu, C., Wang, Q.G., Jokiniemi, J., Komppula, M., Hirvonen, M.R., 2018. Emissions
- and atmospheric processes influence the chemical composition and toxicological properties of urban air
 particulate matter in Nanjing, China. Science of the Total Environment 639, 1290-1310.
- Schmidt, S., Altenburger, R., Kühnel, D., 2017. From the air to the water phase: implication for toxicity
 testing of combustion-derived particles. Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery, 1-13.
- 673 Shao, L., Li, J., Zhao, H., Yang, S., Li, H., Li, W., Jones, T., Sexton, K., BéruBé, K., 2007. Associations
- between particle physicochemical characteristics and oxidative capacity: an indoor PM10 study in
- 675 Beijing, China. Atmospheric Environment 41, 5316-5326.
- 676 Slezakova, K., Castro, D., Pereira, M.d.C., Morais, S., Delerue-Matos, C., Alvim-Ferraz, M., 2009.
- 677 Influence of tobacco smoke on carcinogenic PAH composition in indoor PM10 and PM2. 5. Atmospheric
 678 Environment 43, 6376-6382.
- 679 Steinvil, A., Kordova-Biezuner, L., Shapira, I., Berliner, S., Rogowski, O., 2008. Short-term exposure to
- 680 air pollution and inflammation-sensitive biomarkers. Environmental research 106, 51-61.
- 681 Stow, J.L., Low, P.C., Offenhäuser, C., Sangermani, D., 2009. Cytokine secretion in macrophages and
- other cells: pathways and mediators. Immunobiology 214, 601-612.
- 683 Sun, J., Shen, Z., Zeng, Y., Niu, X., Wang, J., Cao, J., Gong, X., Xu, H., Wang, T., Liu, H., 2018.

- 684 Characterization and cytotoxicity of PAHs in PM 2.5 emitted from residential solid fuel burning in the 685 Guanzhong Plain, China. Environmental Pollution 241, 359-368.
- Tse, L.A., Yu, I.T.-s., Qiu, H., Au, J.S.K., Wang, X.-r., 2011. A case–referent study of lung cancer and
 incense smoke, smoking, and residential radon in Chinese men. Environmental health perspectives 119,
 1641-1646.
- Wang, B., Lee, S., Ho, K., Kang, Y., 2007. Characteristics of emissions of air pollutants from burning of
 incense in temples, Hong Kong. Science of the total environment 377, 52-60.
- Wang, G., Cheng, S., Wei, W., Wen, W., Wang, X., Yao, S., 2015. Chemical characteristics of fine
- particles emitted from different Chinese cooking styles. Aerosol Air Qual. Res 15, 2357-2366.
- WHO, 2010. WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: selected pollutants. World Health OrganizationRegional Office for Europe.
- Wu, C., Chao, C.Y., Sze-To, G., Wan, M., Chan, T., 2012. Ultrafine particle emissions from cigarette
 smouldering, incense burning, vacuum cleaner motor operation and cooking. Indoor and Built
 Environment 21, 782-796.
- Kie, S.H., Yu, I.T.s., Tse, L.A., Au, J.S.K., Wang, F., Lau, J.S.M., Zhang, B., 2014. Domestic incense
 burning and nasopharyngeal carcinoma: A case-control study in H ong K ong C hinese. Environmental
 and molecular mutagenesis 55, 751-756.
- 701 Yu, J., Jeffries, H.E., Le Lacheur, R.M., 1995. Identifying airborne carbonyl compounds in isoprene 702 atmospheric photooxidation products by their PFBHA oximes using gas chromatography/ion trap mass
- 703 spectrometry. Environmental Science & Technology 29, 1923-1932.Zhang, Z., Tan, L., Huss, A., Guo,
- C., Brook, J.R., Tse, L.a., Lao, X.Q., 2019. Household incense burning and children's respiratory health:
 A cohort study in Hong Kong. Pediatric pulmonology 54, 399-404.
- Zou, Y., Jin, C., Su, Y., Li, J., Zhu, B., 2016. Water soluble and insoluble components of urban PM2.5
 and their cytotoxic effects on epithelial cells (A549) in vitro. Environmental pollution 212, 627-635.
- 708
- 709
- 710
- 711
- 712 713
- _ .
- 714
- 715
- 716
- 717
- 718
- 719
- . .

721 Figure Captions

722

- 724 Table 2 Emission factors of different indoor combustion sources
- 725 Table 3 Correlations of oxidative and inflammatory cytokines with chemical species of
- indoor sources emissions at 200 μ g/ml PM_{2.5} exposure

727

- Figure 1 The emission factors of PM_{2.5}, OC, total PAHs and total carbonyls for different
- 729 types of indoor sources
- Figure 2 Bioreactivities of A549 cells exposed to 100 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml PM_{2.5} from
- 731 different indoor sources (a-e) and median lethal dose (LD50) of indoor sources samples
- 732 (f).
- 733 Figure 3 Examples of gel image showing oxidative damage on supercoiled DNA
- induced by (a) tobacco; (b) incense; (c) cooking
- Figure 4 Corresponding risk factors of indoor sources at 200 μ g/ml PM_{2.5} exposure dose
- 736
- 737
- 738
- 739
- 740
- 741

742

1	7	4	4
---	---	---	---

745 Indoor source Abbre Initial Combustion Sampling PM_{2.5} CO_2 CO -viation weight background background Weight (g) duration background level ($\mu g m^{-3}$) level (ppm) (g) (min) level (ppm) Tobacco Black Marlboro T-1 1.68 0.65 60 29.0 689.0 2.1 **Double Happiness** T-2 1.82 0.65 45 30.0 728.0 2.3 Red Marlboro T-3 1.87 0.72 35 28.0 681.0 2.7 Lotus King T-4 1.75 0.58 35 29.0 709.3 3.3 CAPRI T-5 1.17 0.54 45 30.0 620.7 3.0 Incense Golden Unpacked I-1 0.94 0.61 40 27.0889.5 2.0 I-2 1.70 120 612.0 Black incense 2.17 18.0 3.0 0.79 0.57 No smoke incense I-3 60 29.0 577.0 3.0 Fumakilla I-4 0.28 0.26 534.3 2.0 35 28.0 Zebra I-5 1.79 1.48 60 30.0 3.0 642.0

747

Table 1 Combustion characteristics of different indoor sources in the chamber

l	4	ŀ	9)
'		r	-	

750 Table 2 Emission factors of different indoor combustion sources													
	Abbrevi -ations	Tobacco	T-1	T-2	T-3	T-4	T-5	Incense	I-1	I-2	I-3	I-4	I-5
PM _{2.5} (mg/g)		109.7±36.5	55.6±12	81.1±7.5	122.6±25.8	156.8±30.5	132.3±83.2	97.1±87.3	126.1±19.6	16.8±12.2	64.2±2.9	253.7±42.6	24.8±5.7
Organic carbon (mg/g)	OC	61.2±19.5	31.3±7.1	46.4±4.5	69.5±14.6	84.3±15.8	74.6±47.5	45±39.5	59.7±9.5	6.8±5.0	29.5±1.7	115.1±21.3	13.8±3.2
Elemental carbon (mg/g)	EC	2.0±0.6	1.0 ± 0.1	1.6±0.2	2.0±0.3	2.9±0.6	2.4±1.2	1.9 ± 2.0	$2.7{\pm}0.7$	0.1 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	5.5±1.3	$0.4{\pm}0.1$
PAHs (µg/g)													
Acenapthene	AC	0.40±0.13	0.19±0.03	$0.31 {\pm} 0.08$	0.50±0.21	0.44±0.25	0.57±0.43	0.52 ± 0.55	1.4±1.77	0.02 ± 0.01	0.18±0.04	0.92 ± 0.40	0.06±0.04
Fluorene	FLO	0.49±0.26	0.36±0.13	0.3±0.12	0.29±0.17	1.00 ± 0.70	0.50±0.31	1.10 ± 1.16	1.47 ± 0.33	0.08 ± 0.05	0.46 ± 0.08	3.21±1.28	0.28±0.13
Phenanthrene	PHE	0.84 ± 0.37	$0.34{\pm}0.04$	0.53±0.16	0.86±0.14	1.34±0.4	1.13 ± 0.08	1.01 ± 0.78	0.8 ± 0	0.14 ± 0.07	0.68±0.39	2.48±0.51	0.97±0.68
Anthracene	ANT	0.32±0.12	0.21 ± 0.15	0.34 ± 0.27	0.53±0.36	0.29±0.12	0.22±0.11	0.24 ± 0.14	0.37±0.21	0.03 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.06	0.42±0.12	0.22 ± 0.05
Fluoranthene	FLT	1.65 ± 0.62	0.73 ± 0.44	1.41 ± 0.17	1.43±0.23	2.47±1.2	2.20±1.12	1.58 ± 0.73	2.62 ± 0.28	0.47±0.16	1.46 ± 0.47	1.29±0.39	2.08 ± 0.25
Pyrene	PYR	1.65 ± 0.63	0.70 ± 0.41	1.44 ± 0.14	1.46 ± 0.24	2.55±1.28	2.11±0.92	1.46 ± 0.73	$2.74{\pm}0.32$	0.55±0.19	1.58 ± 0.46	1.38 ± 0.45	1.05 ± 0.46
Benzo[a]anthracene	BaA	1.04 ± 0.31	0.55 ± 0.18	$0.98{\pm}0.08$	1.11±0.35	1.53±0.76	1.01 ± 0.65	1.49 ± 0.69	2.72 ± 0.37	0.62 ± 0.3	1.53±0.36	1.12±0.32	1.47±0.15
Chrysene	CHR	1.92 ± 0.63	$0.91{\pm}0.39$	1.87 ± 0.15	$2.00{\pm}0.52$	2.88±1.5	1.92 ± 1.3	1.78 ± 0.73	3.01 ± 0.42	0.75±0.36	1.71 ± 0.43	1.86±0.59	1.57±0.14
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	BbF	0.46±0.17	0.23 ± 0.08	0.43 ± 0.03	0.47±0.13	0.77 ± 0.39	0.40 ± 0.27	$0.91{\pm}0.31$	1.35±0.21	0.49±0.21	1.17 ± 0.28	0.7 ± 0.24	$0.84{\pm}0.06$
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	BkF	0.46±0.13	0.24 ± 0.10	0.41 ± 0.03	0.49±0.10	0.61 ± 0.38	0.56 ± 0.42	0.76 ± 0.38	1.42 ± 0.18	0.39±0.14	0.93±0.21	0.42 ± 0.09	0.64±0.10
Benzo[a]fluoranthene	BaF	0.30±0.09	0.16 ± 0.06	0.28 ± 0.04	0.32±0.10	0.45±0.21	0.28±0.19	0.47±0.21	$0.83{\pm}0.1$	0.24 ± 0.11	0.56±0.13	0.35±0.09	0.37±0.04
Benzo[e]pyrene	BeP	0.42 ± 0.12	0.21 ± 0.08	0.42 ± 0.02	$0.44{\pm}0.11$	0.6±0.31	0.41 ± 0.28	0.78 ± 0.28	1.23±0.16	0.45 ± 0.2	0.93 ± 0.25	0.62±0.16	0.67 ± 0.07
Benzo[a]pyrene	BaP	0.81±0.23	0.4±0.16	0.75 ± 0.05	0.94 ± 0.22	1.08 ± 0.53	0.86±0.61	$1.09{\pm}0.5$	1.82 ± 0.27	0.48 ± 0.22	1.47 ± 0.36	0.66±0.13	1.01 ± 0.10
Perylene	PER	0.35±0.42	$0.09{\pm}0.05$	0.16 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.05	1.19±1.38	0.13±0.09	0.23±0.09	$0.38 {\pm} 0.06$	0.12 ± 0.04	0.24 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.04	0.16±0.02
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	IcdP	0.4±0.17	0.17 ± 0.05	0.35 ± 0.03	0.33±0.06	0.66±0.32	0.52 ± 0.36	0.67 ± 0.39	1.35±0.13	0.31±0.13	0.84±0.12	0.3 ± 0.05	0.56 ± 0.06
Benzo[ghi]perylene	BghiP	0.34±0.21	0.11 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.03	$0.19{\pm}0.09$	0.5±0.21	0.66 ± 0.45	0.45±0.22	0.81 ± 0.11	0.25±0.1	0.57 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.05	0.39±0.04
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	DahA	0.10 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.03	0.16±0.03	0.12 ± 0.06	0.05 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.07	$0.27{\pm}0.05$	0.06 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.02	0.19±0.06	0.10±0.02
Coronene	COR	0.07 ± 0.03	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	0.07 ± 0.03	0.05 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.07	0.07 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.06	0.18 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.09	0.05 ± 0

aeP 0.07±											
	$0.02 0.06 \pm 0.01$	0.09 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.02	$0.10{\pm}0.05$	0.06 ± 0.03	$0.08 {\pm} 0.07$	0.14 ± 0	$0.02{\pm}0.01$	0.01 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0
12.08±	4.22 5.77±2.19	$10.46{\pm}1.04$	11.78±2.4	18.72 ± 8.36	13.66±7.68	$14.98{\pm}6.17$	$24.93{\pm}0.86$	5.93±2.71	14.77±3.51	16.76±4.65	12.51±2.02
6 2.32±	1.05 1.19±0.04	-	1.44±0.31	3.75±1.17	2.88±1.35	2.13±1.79	3.43±1.47	0.16±0.02	1.70 ± 0.28	4.89±0.15	0.49±0.06
7 6.25±	2.05 3.06±0.05	-	6.62±0.43	8.79±2.33	6.55±2.79	6.39±6.86	6.2±1.72	0.60 ± 0.09	4.17±1.58	19.53±0.93	1.47 ± 0.07
8 2.44±	0.88 1.8±0.73	-	3.94 ± 0.06	2.09 ± 0.48	1.92 ± 0.74	3.24±3.01	$5.59{\pm}1.48$	0.31 ± 0.01	1.89 ± 0.95	7.91 ± 0.48	0.48±0.15
9 2.51±	0.84 1.35±0.15	-	2.14±1.33	3.56±0.73	2.97 ± 1.44	2.54±2.17	$3.53{\pm}0.92$	0.29±0.01	1.83 ± 0.46	6.27±0.85	0.77 ± 0.09
10 0.81±	0.36 0.33±0	-	$0.91{\pm}0$	-	1.20 ± 0	$0.55{\pm}0.51$	$1.4{\pm}0.06$	0.06 ± 0.02	0.28±0	-	0.47±0.12
ly 2.38±	0.97 0.99±0.06	-	3.62±0.49	2.79±0.83	2.1±0.74	3.29±3.21	3.15±0.78	$0.24{\pm}0.07$	2.86±0.15	9.3±1.47	0.88±0.31
gly 8.21±	3.45 2.73±0.56	-	9.92±0.44	12.04±3.96	8.13±2.46	12.12±12.45	10.64 ± 3.05	0.93±0.1	9.36±2.26	$35.98 {\pm} 2.37$	3.71±0.54
24.33	=8.1 11.22±1.19	1	28.14±1.63	33.03 ± 8.75	24.95±9.34	30.02±29	33.47±9.36	2.58 ± 0.33	21.89±4.83	83.88±3.31	8.27±1.04
9 1(ly	2.31 ± 0 0.81 ± 0 7 2.38 ± 0 19 8.21 ± 32 24.33 ± 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									

Table 3 Correlations of oxidative and inflammatory cytokines with chemical species of indoor sources emissions at 200 μ g/ml PM_{2.5} exposure

	Total						Toba	acco		Incense				
	Cell	DCFH	8-OHdG	TNF-α	IL-6	DCFH	8-OHdG	TNF-α	IL-6	DCFH	8-OHdG	TNF-α	IL-6	
00	0 391*	0.367*	0.068	-0 104	-0.105	-0.463	-0.051	0.522*	-0 535*	0.029	-0 244	0.887**	0.964**	
FC	0.238	_0 399*	-0.526*	-0.347*	-0.335*	0.255	0.219	-0.061	0.280	0.002	-0.500	0.138	0.326	
	-0.147	0.052	-0.017	0.114	-0.008	-0.364	-0.158	0.350	-0.371	0.002	0.082	0.056	0.002	
	0.188	0.032	-0.017	0.114	-0.008	0.304	-0.138	0.142	0.571	0.074	0.002	0.030	0.345	
PHF	0.160	0.106	0.002	0.400	0.586**	0.009	0.102	0 305	0.048	0.074	0.002	0.217	0.545	
	-0.109	-0.100	0.002	0.304	0.300	0.098	-0.102	-0.393	0.040	-0.023	-0.002	0.030	0.070	
	-0.185	0.052	0.220	0.700	0.402	0.268	-0.038	0.120	-0.510	0.220	0.171	0.022	0.707	
ГLІ DVD	-0.341	-0.032	0.132	0.570**	0.005	0.308	0.450	-0.159	0.105	0.239	0.529	0.410	0100	
	-0.4/1	0.031	0.314	0.370	0.423	0.376	0.431	-0.135	0.134	0.375	0.044	0.419	.0199	
	-0.435	-0.133	0.130	0.74/	0.575	0.110	0.433	0.455	0.015	0.343	0.550	0.079	0.312	
	-0.403	-0.004	0.200	0.704	0.520	0.170	0.500	0.300	-0.010	0.348	0.509	0.410	0.430	
BDF	-0.433	-0.304	-0.022	0.5/0	0.425	0.230	0.300	0.328	0.139	0.272	0.018	0.419	0.228	
BKF	-0.4/8	-0.325	0.006	0.502	0.380	-0.008	0.266	0.392	-0.066	0.357	0.677	0.390	0.172	
Bar	-0.481	-0.324	0.021	0.5/1	0.395	0.104	0.409	0.445	0.026	0.351	0.685	0.393	0.173	
BeP	-0.431	-0.193	0.139	0.594	0.401	0.150	0.507	0.446	0.001	0.315	0.674	0.348	0.143	
BaP	-0.477	-0.167	0.143	0.710	0.513	-0.037	0.374	0.534	-0.204	0.260	0.538	0.579	0.395	
PER	-0.220	0.107	0.304	0.237	0.297	0.346	0.216	-0.222	0.309	0.322	0.666**	0.166	-0.032	
IcdP	-0.519**	-0.178	0.138	0.653**	0.491**	0.493	0.575*	-0.072	0.299	0.385	0.667**	0.502	0.274	
BghiP	-0.484**	-0.301	0.024	0.487**	0.390*	0.554*	0.297	-0.655*	0.391	0.348	0.696**	0.358	0.136	
DahA	-0.471**	-0.164	0.173	0.637**	0.372*	-0.366	0.141	0.783**	-0.468	0.360	0.684**	0.332	0.113	
COR	-0.460**	-0.165	0.182	0.511**	0.352*	0.399	0.531*	0.118	0.309	0.313	0.660**	0.127	-0.089	
DaeP	-0.207	0.125	0.400*	0.339	0.317	0.270	0.450	0.326	0.342	0.635*	0.737*	0.015	-0.294	
C6	0.153	-0.270	-0.447*	-0.224	-0.229	0.587	0.379	-0.553	0.614*	-0.037	-0.179	-0.091	-0.118	
C7	0.221	-0.419*	-0.391*	-0.061	-0.189	-0.100	-0.069	0.077	-0.116	-0.460	-0.293	-0.378	-0.215	
C8	-0.217	-0.155	-0.021	0.224	-0.044	-0.562	-0.451	0.620*	-0.358	0.334	0.223	-0.378	-0.452	
С9	0.299	-0.418*	-0.617*	-0.257	-0.402*	0.278	0.127	-0.255	0.374	0.071	0.298	0.044	-0.005	
C10	0.095	-0.334	-0.351	-0.187	0.049	0.103	-0.376	-0.630	-0.343	0.302	-0.440	0.212	-0.042	
Gly	-0.342	-0.679*	-0.450*	0.461*	0.094	-0.566	-0.394	0.439	-0.656*	-0.890*	-0.756**	-0.177	0.020	
Mgly	-0.153	-0.711*	-0.548*	0.371*	0.150	-0.095	-0.023	-0.046	-0.307	-0.718*	-0.580*	0.070	0.287	

760 *p<0.05, **p<0.01



Figure 1 The emission factors of PM_{2.5}, OC, total PAHs and total carbonyls for different types of
 indoor sources





Figure 2 Bioreactivities of A549 cells exposed to 100 μ g/ml and 200 μ g/ml PM_{2.5} from different indoor sources (a-e) and median lethal dose (LD50) of indoor sources samples (f). T-1~T-5 and I-1 ~I-5 represented ETS and incense from different brands. * Significant difference in comparison of BG at the same concentration (p < 0.05)

774 775

776

777



Figure 3 Examples of gel image showing oxidative damage on supercoiled DNA induced by (a)
ETS and (b) incense