Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/138469/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Szakmany, Tamas 2020. Noninvasive ventilatory support in COVID-19: operating in the evidence free zone. *Minerva Anestesiologica* 86 (11) , pp. 1126-1128. 10.23736/S0375-9393.20.15158-7 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.23736/S0375-9393.20.15158-7>
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.23736/S0375-9393.20.15158-7>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

Non-invasive ventilation in COVID-19: operating in the evidence free zone

Author: Tamas Szakmany

Corresponding author:

Dr Tamas Szakmany MD, PhD, EDIC, DESA, FRCA, FFICM

Senior Lecturer in Intensive Care

Department of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine

Cardiff University

Heath Park Campus

Cardiff

CF14 4XN

Szakmanyt1@cardiff.ac.uk

Keywords: COVID-19, non-invasive ventilation

The COVID-19 pandemic is continue to threaten to overwhelm the critical care capacities of healthcare systems, especially in the low and middle income countries where strict social distancing measures are unsustainable due to the knock-on effects of underlying economic issues ¹². Invasive mechanical ventilation, although the mainstay of management of severe acute hypoxaemic respiratory failure (AHRF) secondary to SARS-CoV-2 infection, has been associated with significant mortality in various healthcare settings ³. Since the early reports of the pandemic taking foothold in China, healthcare providers looked at non-invasive respiratory support (NRS) options, including continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP),

bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP) ventilation and the use of high-flow-nasal oxygen (HFNO). Despite considerable efforts to gather data from diverse populations, it is still unclear if NRS is beneficial or harmful for patients with COVID-19. In the current issue of *Minerva Anesthesiologica*, Dr Crimi and her colleagues present a rapid narrative review on the role of NRS in COVID-19 and other viral illnesses leading to AHRF⁴. The authors summarised 58 reports, 11 in COVID-19 whilst the rest in other viral illnesses. Importantly, they not only concentrated on patient outcomes, but also on the effect of NRS treatment options on the environment, as contamination of healthcare staff and nosocomial spread of SARS-CoV-2 has been a significant concern. Their findings paint a varied picture. NRS has been utilised in a wide percentage of patients in both the pandemic and non-pandemic influenza settings, ranging from 11% to over 90%. Most of the cohorts were small, retrospective single centre cohort studies, with a few exceptions from the various pandemic influenza infections. Notably, they were unable to find a well-conducted randomised controlled trial to evaluate the benefits or harms of NRS in viral AHRF. The results of this review should generate further thoughts and should encourage researchers to design and conduct rigorous studies to evaluate the effect of NRS on patient-centred outcomes, as there continues to be a physiological rationale to use this modality⁴. The review by *Crimi et al.* was not able to elucidate if there is any mortality benefit, due to the lack of granular and high-quality data available at this time⁴. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, we have since learnt that failure to progress using NRS alone is common in approximately 50-70% of patients, however recent data indicates that those, who need invasive mechanical ventilation after failing NRS techniques have similar mortality to those, in whom the initial respiratory support was invasive mechanical ventilation⁵⁶. Hopefully, the actively recruiting

RECOVERY-RS trial (ISRCTN16912075) will help us to elucidate if either NIV or HFNO use is superior to standard care in COVID-19 patients with AHRF.

A welcome contribution of the paper is the in-depth review of the available evidence on the safety of providing NRS in various healthcare settings. The findings are reassuring. The ten papers reviewed by *Crimi et al.* describe potential strategies to reduce viral transmission, such as the preferential use of helmets with neck-seal and hint the lack of spread via exhaled air using HFNO devices. These findings, together with the accumulating operational evidence, that the appropriate use of personal protective equipment can drastically reduce, if not eliminate patient-to-provider transmission of droplets containing significant viral load, could pave the way for more widespread adoption of NRS support even in the pandemic era.

It is clear from the review, that much needs to be done to understand the best use of NRS not just in COVID-19 but also in other aetiologies of AHRF. It is unclear if by phenotyping either by clinical characteristics or biomarkers, we could highlight patient populations who might be more amenable for NRS support ⁶⁷. There is no information on whether concomitant disease modifying therapies, such as dexamethasone could produce synergistic effects in milder hypoxia with NRS, leading to avoidance of invasive mechanical ventilation and its associated complications ⁸. Interestingly, the sole prospective trial with patient allocation reported in the review, has shown a dramatic mortality reduction in the group receiving steroids and CPAP in patients infected with SARS ⁹. Whether this can be replicated in COVID-19 remains to be seen. There is a continued uncertainty about the best methods to protect staff and patients in contact with other COVID-19 patients on NRS and it is clear that further multidisciplinary research efforts are needed to determine how the human cough propagates virus particles in these settings. Recent data indicates that this is indeed

governed by the propagation of viscous vortex rings, which can be simulated in wind tunnels

10.

In summary, the review of NRS techniques by *Crimi et al.* highlights, that much of our clinical practice in COVID-19 is currently in the “evidence free zone” where rigorous data collection, careful data interpretation and most importantly, the generation of definitive evidence by randomised controlled trials will be paramount to combat the pandemic.

References

1. Chowdhury R, Luhar S, Khan N, Choudhury SR, Matin I, Franco OH. Long-term strategies to control COVID-19 in low and middle-income countries: an options overview of community-based, non-pharmacological interventions. *Eur J Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 13 [cited 2020 Aug 30]; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-020-00660-1>
2. Baqui P, Bica I, Marra V, Ercole A, Schaar M van der. Ethnic and regional variations in hospital mortality from COVID-19 in Brazil: a cross-sectional observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2020 Aug 1;8(8):e1018–26.
3. Millar JE, Busse R, Fraser JF, Karagiannidis C, McAuley DF. Apples and oranges: international comparisons of COVID-19 observational studies in ICUs. *Lancet Respir Med* [Internet]. 2020 Aug 21 [cited 2020 Aug 30];0(0). Available from: [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanres/article/PIIS2213-2600\(20\)30368-4/abstract](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanres/article/PIIS2213-2600(20)30368-4/abstract)
4. Claudia Crimi, Alberto Noto, Andrea Cortegiani, Pietro Impellizzeri, Mark Elliott, Nicolino Ambrosino, et al. Noninvasive respiratory support in acute hypoxemic

respiratory failure associated with COVID-19 and other viral infections. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2020;

5. Karagiannidis C, Mostert C, Hentschker C, Voshaar T, Malzahn J, Schillinger G, et al. Case characteristics, resource use, and outcomes of 10 021 patients with COVID-19 admitted to 920 German hospitals: an observational study. *Lancet Respir Med* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 28 [cited 2020 Aug 28];0(0). Available from: [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanres/article/PIIS2213-2600\(20\)30316-7/abstract](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanres/article/PIIS2213-2600(20)30316-7/abstract)
6. Grasselli G, Greco M, Zanella A, Albano G, Antonelli M, Bellani G, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Mortality Among Patients With COVID-19 in Intensive Care Units in Lombardy, Italy. *JAMA Intern Med* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 15 [cited 2020 Jul 18]; Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/2768601>
7. Sinha P, Calfee CS, Cherian S, Brealey D, Cutler S, King C, et al. Prevalence of phenotypes of acute respiratory distress syndrome in critically ill patients with COVID-19: a prospective observational study. *Lancet Respir Med* [Internet]. 2020 Aug 27 [cited 2020 Aug 28];0(0). Available from: [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanres/article/PIIS2213-2600\(20\)30366-0/abstract](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanres/article/PIIS2213-2600(20)30366-0/abstract)
8. The RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 — Preliminary Report. *N Engl J Med.* 2020 Jul 17;NEJMoa2021436.

9. Zhao Z, Zhang F, Xu M, Huang K, Zhong W, Cai W, et al. Description and clinical treatment of an early outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Guangzhou, PR China. *J Med Microbiol.* 2003;52(8):715–20.
10. Prasanna Simha P, Mohan Rao PS. Universal trends in human cough airflows at large distances. *Phys Fluids.* 2020 Aug 1;32(8):081905.