Legionella antibiotic susceptibility testing: is it time for international standardisation and evidence-based guidance?

3

Edward Portal^{1,3} Ghislaine Descours², Christophe Ginevra², Massimo Mentasti⁴, Baharak 4 Afshar³, Meera Chand³, Jessica Day³, Fedoua Echahdi⁵, Laura Franzin⁶, Valeria Gaia⁷, 5 Christian Lück⁸, Alaeddine Meghraoui⁹, Jacob Moran-Gilad¹⁰, Maria Luisa Ricci¹¹, Gerard 6 Lina², Søren Uldum¹³, Jonas Winchell¹⁴, Robin Howe⁴, Kathryn Bernard¹⁵, O Brad Spiller^{*1}, 7 Victoria Chalker^{3,12}, Sophie Jarraud² ESCMID Study Group Legionella Infections¹³. 8 9 1-Cardiff University, School of Medicine, Department of Infection and Immunity, Medical 10 *Microbiology, Cardiff UK;* 11 2-Centre National de Reference des Legionelles, University de Lyon, France 12 3-Public Heath England, Bacteriology Reference Department, London UK; 13 4- Public Health Wales, Cardiff 14 5-Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Department of Microbiology and 15 infection control, National Reference Centre for Legionella pneumophila, Brussels, Belgium 16 6- Member of the European Study Group (Italy) 17 7- Department of laboratory medicine, Reference Centre for Legionella, Switzerland 18 8- Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, German Consiliary Laboratory for 19 20 Legionella, Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany. 9-Laboratoire Hospitalier Universitaire de Bruxelles - University Laboratory of Brussels 21 (LHUB-ULB), Department of Microbiology, National Reference Centre for Legionella 22 pneumophila, Belgium 23 10-Department of Health Systems Management, Faculty of Health Sciences, Ben Gurion 24 University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel 25

- 26 11-National Institute for Health, Rome, Italy
- 27 12- National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in
- 28 Respiratory Infections at Imperial College London in partnership with Public Health England
- 29 (*PHE*) London UK;
- 30 13- Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 31 14-Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, National Centre for Immunization and
- 32 Respiratory Diseases, Atlanta, USA
- 33 15- Special Bacteriology Unit, National Microbiology Laboratory-PHAC, Winnipeg, Canada

34 13- ESCMID Study Group Legionella Infections

- 35
- 36 Keywords: Legionella, antimicrobial susceptibility, EUCAST, standard
- 37

38 *Corresponding author: Dr. Owen B. Spiller, Tel: +44(0)2920 742934; E-mail:
39 spillerb@cardiff.ac.uk

40

41 Sir,

International guidelines, Epidemiological Cut off values (ECOFF), well validated 42 43 methodologies and control strains validated in multiple laboratories are all absent for Legionella. Several antibiotic susceptibility methods that are described in the literature for 44 legionellae (Table 1) show a wide variation in MIC values. Currently, gradient MIC strip 45 testing on BCYE agar is the methodology recommended by EUCAST¹. There are a number of 46 technical caveats with this method including the sequestration of antibiotics by the charcoal 47 present in the media which accounts for the documented rise in the MIC results ^{2,3}. Recent work 48 by Portal et al. (submitted) demonstrated that gradient strip testing and BCYE agar dilution 49 methodologies gave higher MIC values than the microbroth dilution method used in 50

Vandewalle-Capo *et al.*,⁴ (2017). Portal *et al.* (submitted) describes the use of a charcoal-free solid media for *Legionella* that generated concordant results to MIC values when compared to those observed with the broth microdilution method. This finding provides a reliable alternative to micro-broth dilution for testing *Legionella* susceptibility which is also more applicable on a routine basis.

International treatment recommendations for patients infected with Legionella infection are 56 also inconsistent, often providing differing guidelines and regimens^{5–7} and employing variable 57 defined breakpoints for assigning susceptibility/resistance phenotypes. Treatment is 58 59 confounded by the relative penetration potential of different antibiotics to the infection site and its ability to gain access to the intracellular location of Legionella infections. Historically, 60 antibiotic resistance in *Legionella* has not been a concern. However, reports of the *lpeAB* efflux 61 pump⁸ and single point somatic mutations in *L. pneumophila*⁹ have increased. Moreover, a 62 recently documented novel resistance mechanism in L. longbeachae¹⁰ highlights the need for 63 standardisation and validation at an international level. 64

65

Due to the lack of comparable data and the varied approaches and methodologies in use across
the globe to address this topic, the international *Legionella* community makes several
recommendations:

69 1) Gradient strip testing on BCYE agar to be discontinued as the recommended EUCAST
 70 methodology, due to higher MIC results when compared to microbroth dilution.

P1 2) BCYE agar not to be used for serial antibiotic dilution determination of *Legionella* due
to higher MIC results and antibiotic sequestration.

73 3) Future studies to employ microbroth dilution or concordant methodologies such as
74 charcoal-free media (e.g. LASARUS) as the gold standard for determination of
75 susceptibility of *Legionella*.

- The *Legionella* community to identify and validate a panel of clinical and
 environmental reference strains for MIC determination. Three strain to be evaluated in
 a multicentre site study:
- a. *Legionella pneumophila* strain W872, Serogroup 1, monoclonal subgroup
 Benidorm (culture collections: NCTC12821, DSM27564, CCUG67715,
 WDCM00205);
- b. *Legionella pneumophila*, strain Philadelphia 1, Serogroup 1, monoclonal
 subgroup Philadelphia (culture collections: NCTC11192, ATCC33152;
 CCUG9568; CIP103854; DMZ7513; JCM7571; WDCM00107);
- c. *Legionella pneumophila* strain Paris, Serogroup 1, monoclonal subgroup
 Philadelphia (culture collections: NCTC 11192; ATCC 33152; CIP107-629-T
 French National Reference Center for *Legionella*)
- 88

5) The *Legionella* community to develop a consensus standard operating procedure, define ECOFF levels and develop consensus on antibiotic testing of strains of clinical relevance.

- 6) Antimicrobial susceptibility testing for *Legionella* using valid methods to be
 encouraged as part of a global surveillance for the emergence of resistance in this
 micro-organism
- 95 7) Phenotypically-resistant *Legionella* strain to be comprehensively analysed using whole
 96 genome sequencing and other complementary methods in order to identify new and
 97 emerging mechanisms underlying resistance in legionellae
- 98
- 99
- **Table 1.** Documented legionellae susceptibility testing with MIC.

- 101 Papers were included when they detailed: screening of clinically relevant antibiotics, screening
- 102 of over 30 *Legionella* strains, use of *in vitro* model, published in English language, available
- 103 from PubMed.

104 **Bibliography**

- 105 1. EUCAST. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Legionella pneumophila. 2016. Available
 106 at:
- 107 http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/General_documents/Legion
- 108 ella_guidance_document_20160909.pdf. Accessed October 8, 2019.
- 109 2. Ruckdeschel G. The in-vitro activity of moxifloxacin against Legionella species and the
- effects of medium on susceptibility test results. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1999; **43**: 25–9.
- 111 Available at:
- 112 https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/41/b5/ca/69ffeea861af61/US8949899.pdf.
- 113 Accessed November 25, 2019.
- 114 3. Bruin JP, Ijzerman EPF, den Boer JW, Mouton JW, Diederen BMW. Wild-type MIC
- distribution and epidemiological cut-off values in clinical Legionella pneumophila serogroup
- 116 1 isolates. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012; **72**: 103–8. Available at:
- 117 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0732889311003762. Accessed June 4, 2019.
- 118 4. Vandewalle-Capo M, Massip C, Descours G, et al. Minimum inhibitory concentration
- 119 (MIC) distribution among wild-type strains of Legionella pneumophila identifies a
- subpopulation with reduced susceptibility to macrolides owing to efflux pump genes. Int J
- 121 Antimicrob Agents 2017; **50**: 684–9. Available at:
- 122 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0924857917302935?via%3Dihub.
- 123 Accessed May 2, 2018.
- 124 5. NICE. *Pneumonia (community-acquired): antimicrobial prescribing NICE guideline.*
- 125 2019. Available at: www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng138. Accessed December 13, 2019.
- 126 6. NICE. *Pneumonia (hospital-acquired): Antimicrobial prescribing NICE guideline.* 2019.
- 127 Available at: www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng139. Accessed December 13, 2019.

128	7. Lim WS, Baudouin S, George R, et al. British Thoracic Society guidelines for the
129	management of community acquired pneumonia in adults: Update 2009. Thorax 2009; 64.
130	8. Massip C, Descours G, Ginevra C, Doublet P, Jarraud S, Gilbert C. Macrolide resistance in
131	Legionella pneumophila: The role of LpeAB efflux pump. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i> 2017; 72:
132	1327–33. Available at: https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-abstract/72/5/1327/2964580.
133	Accessed December 12, 2019.
134	9. Shadoud L, Almahmoud I, Jarraud S, et al. Hidden Selection of Bacterial Resistance to
135	Fluoroquinolones In Vivo: The Case of Legionella pneumophila and Humans. EBioMedicine
136	2015; 2 : 1179–85.
137	10. Forsberg KJ, Patel S, Wencewicz TA, Dantas G. The Tetracycline Destructases: A Novel
138	Family of Tetracycline-Inactivating Enzymes. Chem Biol 2015; 22: 888–97.

139