

DATA JUSTICE LAB 2020
Civic Participation in the Datafied Society
May 28-29, 2020
Cardiff University (UK)

**Data and Platform Co-operatives
amidst the European Algorithmic and Liquid Citizenship**

Dr Igor Calzada, MBA, FeRSA

www.igorcalzada.com/publications

European Commission, DG Joint Research Centre (JRC),
Centre for Advanced Studies (CAS), DigiTranScope

igor.CALZADA@ec.europa.eu

Via Fermi 2749, TP 469, 21027 Ispra (VA), Italy

&

University of Oxford, Urban Transformations, COMPAS

igor.calzada@compas.ox.ac.uk

58 Banbury Road, Oxford OX2 6QS, UK

Europe increasingly operates online; a scattered geography creates a different reality in which political decisions and national laws transform physical space into virtual territory. Digital economies are integrated, populations are mobile, and the cyber- or techno-political domain is merging with physical reality or the city-regional domain. However, this virtual and analogic merger does not occur automatically and has even less respect for fixed territorial borders. As such, citizens today are increasingly (though unwittingly) digitally connected through artificial intelligence (AI) and machine-learning devices that remain unevenly and pervasively distributed, fuelling a 'liquid' sense of global and 'algorithmic' cosmopolitan citizenship (Calzada, 2018a; Castells, 2018; Khanna, 2016).

Against the backdrop of the post-GDPR European realm, this paper points to two intertwined research questions:

- How can city and regional authorities in Europe proactively foster alternative data governance regimes (Calzada, 2018c; 2018d; Coletta, Evans, Heaphy, & Kitchin, 2018; Delacroix & Lawrence, 2019)?
- How can this type of 'algorithmic and liquid citizenship' be able to experiment self-governed, resilient, sustainable, and, overall, empowered co-operative service provision-like data governance models—by re-shaping the power asymmetries among business, government, and citizenship—thus striking a fair and further democratic balance?

These answers are still unfolding (Calzada & Cowie, 2017; Martens & Duch Brown, 2019). Thus, this research paper stems from the research programme of DigiTranScope (DigiTranScope, 2019), which

brings people together around the governance of digitally transformed human societies, aiming to build a network of experts to tackle challenges that European diverse societies are already facing. More generally, the European Commission 2019–2024 Plan, explicitly highlights that there is high time that we reconcile the social vis-à-vis the market in today's European modern society and economy. This leads us to point out that technological sovereignty could be subject to enhance democracy from the social market economy perspective (Calzada, 2019a; European Commission, 2019; Maurer, Skierka, Morgus, & Hohmann, 2015)

But what would these local and regional data ecosystems look like in Europe in years to come (Calzada, 2019b)? Are these local and regional data ecosystems willing to experiment towards the widest version of the stakeholder's policy scheme (namely Penta Helix), including government, business, civic society, academia, and assemblers/bricoleurs/intermediaries/entrepreneurs/activists (Barns, 2020; Calzada, 2018b; Kennedy, Poell, & van Dijck, 2015)? How would governance of communal data sharing look (Ho & Chuang, 2019)? Actually, it would mean the most radical approach, probably the only one that challenges the dominant model: the data corporate governance model, based on alternative data storage protocols or/and platforms such as organisational/business models collecting data for social good and mutual benefits—but openly discarding the commodification of personal data.

This paper refers to and thus elaborates about a 'new' version of 'co-operativism' (Calzada, 2013; Clamp & Alhamis, 2010; Edenfield, 2019) applied to digital and data environments, the so-called Data Cooperatives (Blasimme, Vayena, & Hafen, 2018; Hafen, 2019; Hardjono & Pentland, 2019; Mòdol, 2019; P2PFoundation, 2019; Pentland et al., 2019) and/or Platform Cooperatives (Borkin, 2019; McCann & Yazici, 2018; Scholz, 2016; Scholz & Schneider, 2015; Sutton, 2016).

References

- Barns, S. (2020). Making Sense of Platform Intermediation. In *Platform Urbanism: Negotiating Platform Ecosystems in Connected Cities* (pp. 99-125). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- Blasimme, A., Vayena, E., & Hafen, E. (2018). Democratizing Health Research Through Data Cooperatives. *Philosophy & Technology*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-018-0320-8>
- Borkin, S. (2019). *Platform co-operatives - solving the capital conundrum*. Retrieved from London:
- Calzada, I. (2013). Knowledge Building & Organizational Behaviour: Mondragon Case. In F. Moulaert, D. MacCallum, A. Mehmood, & A. Hamdouch (Eds.), *International Handbook of Social Innovation. Social Innovation: Collective action, Social Learning and Transdisciplinary Research*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Calzada, I. (2018a). 'Algorithmic nations': seeing like a city-regional and techno-political conceptual assemblage. *Regional Studies, Regional Science*, 5(1), 267-289. doi:10.1080/21681376.2018.1507754
- Calzada, I. (2018b). Deciphering Smart City Citizenship: The Techno-Politics of Data and Urban Co-operative Platforms. *Revista Internacional de Estudios Vascos, RIEV*, 63(1-2), 00-00.
- Calzada, I. (2018c). From Smart Cities to Experimental Cities? In V. M. B. Giorgino & Z. Walsh (Eds.), *Co-Designing Economies in Transition: Radical Approaches in Dialogue with Contemplative Social Sciences* (pp. 191-217). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Calzada, I. (2018d). (Smart) Citizens from Data Providers to Decision-Makers? The Case Study of Barcelona. *Sustainability*, 10(9), 3252. doi:10.3390/su10093252
- Calzada, I. (2019b). Data Spaces and Democracy. *RSA Journal*(2), 40-43. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.35392/89601/1
- Calzada, I. (2019a). Technological Sovereignty: Protecting Citizens' Digital Rights in the AI-driven and post-GDPR Algorithmic and City-Regional European Realm. *Regions eZine*(4). doi:10.1080/13673882.2018.00001038
- Calzada, I., & Cowie, P. (2017). Beyond Smart and Data-Driven City-Regions? Rethinking Stakeholder-Helices Strategies. *Regions Magazine*, 308(4), 25-28. doi:10.1080/13673882.2017.11958675
- Castells, M. (2018). Los globalizadores han sido nacionalizados. La democracia liberal ha colapsado. Retrieved from <http://www.lavanguardia.com/politica/20180226/44961326923/conversaciones-manuel-castells-globalizadores-nacionalizados-democracia-liberal-colapsado.html>

- Clamp, C. A., & Alhamis, I. (2010). Social Entrepreneurship in the Mondragon Co-operative Corporation and the Challenges of Successful Replication. *The Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 19(2), 149-177. doi:doi:10.1177/097135571001900204
- Coletta, C., Evans, L., Heaphy, L., & Kitchin, R. (2018). *Creating Smart Cities*. Oxon: Routledge.
- Delacroix, S., & Lawrence, N. D. (2019). Bottom-up data Trusts: Disturbing the 'one size fits all' approach to data governance. *International Data Privacy Law*. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ipz014
- DigiTranScope. (2019). Digital Transformation and Governance of Human Society. Retrieved from <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/communities/en/community/digitranscope>
- Edenfield, A. C. (2019). Research in Cooperatives: Developing a Politically Conscious Research Methodology. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 28(4), 376-390. doi:10.1080/10572252.2019.1621388
- European Commission (2019). *A map of social enterprises and their eco-systems in Europe*.
- Hafen, E. (2019). Personal Data Cooperatives – A New Data Governance Framework for Data Donations and Precision Health. In J. Krutzinna & L. Floridi (Eds.), *The Ethics of Medical Data Donation* (pp. 141-149). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Hardjono, T., & Pentland, A. (2019). Data Cooperatives: Towards a Foundation for Decentralized Personal Data Management. *arXiv e-prints*. Retrieved from <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019arXiv190508819H>
- Ho, C., & Chuang, T. (2019). Governance of communal data sharing. In Daly, A., Devitt, K., Mann, M. (Eds), *Good Data* (pp. 202-213). Amsterdam: Institute of Network Cultures. Pp. 202-2013.
- Kennedy, H., Poell, T., & van Dijck, J. (2015). Data and agency. *Big Data & Society*, 2(2), 2053951715621569. doi:10.1177/2053951715621569
- Khanna, P. (2016). *Connectography: Mapping the Global Network Revolution*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicholson.
- Martens, B., & Duch Brown, N. (2019). *The economies of B2G data sharing*. Retrieved from Seville:
- Maurer, T., Skierka, I., Morgus, R., & Hohmann, M. (2015). *Technological Sovereignty: Missing the Point?* Paper presented at the 2015 7th International Conference on Cyber Conflict: Architectures in Cyberspace, Tallinn.
- McCann, D., & Yazici, E. (2018). *Disrupting Together: The Challenges (and Opportunities) for Platform Cooperatives*. Retrieved from London:
- Mòdol, J. R. (2019). Citizens' Cooperation in the Reuse of Their Personal Data: The Case of Data Cooperatives in Healthcare. In K. Riemer, S. Schellhammer, & M. Meinert (Eds.), *Collaboration in the Digital Age: How Technology Enables Individuals, Teams and Businesses* (pp. 159-185). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- P2PFoundation. (2019). Data Cooperatives. Retrieved from https://wiki.p2pfoundation.net/Data_Cooperatives
- Pentland, A., Hardjono, T., Penn, J., Colclough, C., Ducharmee, B., & Mandel, L. (2019). *Data Cooperatives: Digital Empowerment of Citizens and Workers*.
- Scholz, T. (2016). *Platform Cooperativism: Challenging the Corporate Sharing Economy*. New York: Rosa Luxemburg Stiftung.
- Scholz, T., & Schneider, N. (2015). The People's Uber: Why The Sharing Economy Must Share Ownership. Retrieved from <https://www.fastcompany.com/3051845/the-peoples-uber-why-the-sharing-economy-must-share-ownership>
- Sutton, M. (2016). A shareable explainer: What is a Platform Co-op? Retrieved from www.shareable.net/blog/a-shareable-explainer-what-is-a-platform-co-op