

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/140459/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Faheem, Mehwish, Zahid, Zainab and Ferreira, Nuno G.C. 2021. Toxicity assessment of Dibutyl phthalate in Grass carp: an integrated biomarker approach. Pakistan Veterinary Journal 41 (3), pp. 365-371. 10.29261/pakvetj/2021.031

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2021.031

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Toxicity assessment of Dibutyl phthalate in Grass carp: an integrated biomarker approach

.

3 Statement of novelty

Phthalates are emerging contaminants and are ubiquitous in the aquatic environment. Recently lot of attention is given to phthalate toxicity in fish. However no work is done in regard of oxidative and biochemical studies. Moreover, integrated biomarker approach is an emerging techniques that is now being used to determined an overall toxicity analysis of any toxicant. Therefore, present work was designed to study effect of DBP (low molecular weight phthalate) on Grass carp, a commercially important fish. This work will be of interest to readers in the areas of fish toxicology and biochemistry.

- 11
- 12
- 13

ABSTRACT

14 Phthalates are the common plasticisers used around the globe. Dibutyl phthalate (DBP) is a ubiquitous, extensively used in cosmatics and frequently present in the aquatic environment. 15 16 Therefore, toxic effects of DBP were evaluated in term of oxidative stress and biochemical biomarkers. For this reason, a 21 day exposure was conducted by exposing grass carp with graded 17 concentrations of DBP (1, 10, 100 and 1000 µg/L). After 21days, stress biomarkers:, lipid 18 peroxidation (LPO), catalase (CAT) activity, glutathione-S-transferases (GST) activity and level 19 20 of reduced glutathione was evaluated in liver, kidney and gills. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate transaminase (AST), urea and creatinine were evaluated in liver and kidney homogenates 21 respectively. Moreover, effect of DBP on all biomarkers were evaluated through integrated 22 23 biomarker response (IBR). Exposure of fish to DBP resulted in oxidative stress in grass carp as evidenced by an increase in lipid peroxidation and decrease in antioxidant enzymes. DBP exposure 24 25 also resulted in increased liver's ALT and AST levels. Urea and creatinine were also significantly increased in kidney after exposure to DBP. The IBR showed bad scores as the DBP concentration 26 27 increased, with the highest one (1000 μ g/L) presenting a score >250x the value for the control treatment. Additionally, the IBR/n showed that the most impacted organ was the kidney, followed 28 29 by the liver and the gills. The obtained results show the need for deeper research into the effects of DBP on fish and their impact on different organs. 30

31 **Keywords:** Phthalates; Dibutyl Pthalate; Grass carp; Oxidative stress

33

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Phthalates, commonly known as phthalate esters, are the alkyl/aryl esters of phthalic acids 34 (Bello et al., 2014). They are common plasticisers, being used since 1930, and are generally added 35 to polyvinyl chloride (PVC) to make them soft and durable (Gao and Wen, 2015). PVC may 36 contain up to 50% phthalate plasticisers and are used in a variety of everyday products such as 37 lubricants, adhesives, paints, waxes, medical tubing and many personal care products (Fromme et 38 al., 2002; Schettler et al., 2006; Paluselli et al., 2018). The production of phthalates has increased 39 from 1.8 million tons in 1975 to 8 million tons in 2011 (Peijnenburg and Struijs 2006; Net et al., 40 2015). Every year approximately 470 million pounds of phthalates are produced globally (Agency, 41 2012). Since phthalate are not chemically bound, immediate leaching to the surrounding 42 43 environment occur through microbial action, photo-degradation, hydrolysis and adsorption (Zhao et al., 2004; Ayranci and Bayram 2005; Jonsson et al., 2006). Phthalates are generally classified 44 45 on the base of their molecular weight. Dibutyl phthalate (DBT) is a low molecular weight phthalate and used in the production of caulk, varnish, cosmetics, food packing, textiles and food wrappings 46 47 (Agency, 2012) and is listed on EPA as toxic chemical (Heise and Litz, 2004). Previous studies have shown that DBP induced reproductive and developmental toxicity in three-spined 48 49 sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculetaus - (Aoki et al., 2011), fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas-50 (Crago and Klaper, 2012), murray rainbowfish (Melanotaenia fluviatilis - (Bhatia, 2014) neuro 51 and immunotoxicity in zebrafish (Danio rerio - (Xu et al., 2013a, 2015) and oxidative stress in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus - (Erkmen et al., 2015). 52

53 Biomarkers or biological markers can be defined as a set of changes in organism's physiology, biochemistry and histology after exposure to contaminants (Peakall 1994; Quesada-García et al., 54 55 2013). Biomarkers are used to evaluate the effects of sub-lethal or chronic exposure of a 56 contaminant (van der Oost et al., 2003). They provide early warning signals to exposure of a contaminant and are used extensively in toxicological studies and environmental monitoring (van 57 58 der Oost et al., 2003; Cravo et al., 2011; Hook et al., 2016). Early biological signals range from the molecular and subcellular level to organismal and population level (Beliaeff and Burgeot 2002; 59 60 Marigómez et al., 2013). The selection of suitable biomarkers and integration of their responses is a reliable and powerfull tool that can help in data interpretation. One group of biomarkers normally 61 used in toxicological assays is the one related to oxidative stress. Oxidative stress is induced by 62

free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) and is defined by the imbalance between 63 production and elimination of these free radicals and ROS (Valavanidis et al., 2006). To surmount 64 the free radicles and ROS, the body has an antioxidant defence system that includes enzymatic 65 (catalase; glutathione peroxidase; superoxide dismutase) and non-enzymatic antioxidants 66 (glutathione; vitamin C - (de Zwart et al., 1999; Valavanidis et al., 2006). Oxidative stress results 67 in DNA damage (Gào et al., 2019; Santos et al., 2016) and inflammation (Reuter et al., 2010). 68 Many anthropogenic chemicals induced the production of ROS in vital fish organs that leads to 69 70 detrimental effects on fish health (Faheem and Lone 2017; Abd-Elkareem et al., 2018; Abdel-Tawwab and Hamed 2018). 71

Amino transferases and phosphatases are important liver functioning enzymes and are
 considered potential candidates for assessing liver health (McGill, 2016).

In this study, we investigate the effects of DBP in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) when exposed for 21 days. Biomarkers of oxidative stress (Lipid peroxidation, reduced glutathione level, catalase and glutathione-*S*-transferases activity), nephrotoxicity (creatinine, uric acid) and hepatotoxicity (alkaline phosphatase, aspartate transaminase) were evaluated. The results were then integrated into the Integrated Biomarker Response index (IBR).

- 79
- 80
- 81

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) weighing 17.08 ± 1.01 g, length of 11.8 ± 0.44 cm, were placed to glass aquaria containing 60 L of tap water. A total of 6 fish were placed in each aquarium and acclimatized for a week. fish were exposed to different concentrations of dibutyl phthalate (DBP). DBP stock solution (10mg/mL) was prepared in 80% DMSO. Desired DBP concentrations were obtained by adding an appropriate volume of stock to aquaria water. Fishes in the control group were exposed to the maximum level of DMSO used for dilution (0.5 ml/L). The experiment was conducted in duplicate.

Fishes were exposed to 1, 10, 100 and $1000\mu g/L$ for 21 days in a semi static system in duplicate. Approximately ³/₄ water were renewed every day with a new DBP solution. Dissolve oxygen was maintained in the aquarium by air stones provided with air pumps. All experiments were performed at room temperature (28.35 ± 1.25 °C) and 13:11h (light: dark) photoperiod. Fish were observed for mortality and abnormal behaviour regularly during the experimental period.Fishes with abnormal swimming pattern were removed immediately.

95

96 Sample collection

97 After 21-days, fish were euthanized using clove oil (Latif *et al.*, 2021) according to ethic 98 regimentation and its length and weight were recorded. Fish liver, gills and kidney were dissected 99 and used for the biomarkers analysis. Organs were washed with chilled 0.9% saline solution to 100 remove exogenous materials and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Organs (gills, liver and kidney) were weighed and homogenised in chilled phosphate buffer (0.1M) using a mechanical homogeniser (Scilogex D160, USA). All procedure was performed on ice. After homogenization, 1mL of the homogenate was used for the measurement of lipid peroxidation and the remaining homogenate was centrifuged for 30 min at 13,000rpm (4°C) to get the post-mitochondrial supernatant (PMS) (Faheem & Lone, 2017; Latif et al., 2019).

106 **Biochemical analysis**

Lipid peroxidation was measured using the thiobarbituric acid method described by (Wright 107 108 et al., 1981). Tissue homogenate was mixed with an equal volume of trichloroacetic acid (TCA -10%) and thiobarbituric acid (TBA - 0.67%). After incubation for 45min in a boiling water bath, 109 110 the mixture was then centrifuged for 10 min. The supernatant was collected, and absorbance was recorded at 532nm on a Hitachi U-2000 spectrophotometer. Lipid peroxidation was measured 111 using a molar extinction coefficient of 1.56×10^{5} /M/ cm and expressed as nmol TBARS/g tissue. 112 Glutathione was quantified using an adaption of the method from (Jollow et al., 1974) as 113 described earlier (Latif et al., 2019) Briefly, PMS was incubated with an equal volume of 4% 114 sulphosalicylic acid and incubated at 4°C for 60 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 115 15 minutes (room temperature) and the supernatant was collected. To the supernatant, DNTB [5,5-116 dithio-bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid)] and phosphate buffer (0.1M) were added, and absorbance read at 117 412nm. Reduced Glutathione content was expressed as nmol GSH/g tissue using a molar 118 extinction coefficient of 1.36x104/M/cm. 119

120 Catalase activity (CAT) was measured using the method of (Claiborne, 1985) as explained by 121 Faheem & Lone (2017). The reaction mixture consisted of $0.09M H_2O_2$, 0.1 M phosphate buffer 122 and PMS (10%) in a total volume of 3 ml. Change in absorbance was recorded every 30 seconds at 240nm in a double beam spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-2000). Catalase activity was expressed
in terms of nmol H₂O₂ consumed/min/mg protein.

The glutathione-*S*-transferases activity was measured kinetically using 1-chloro-2,4dinitrobenzene (CDNB) as a substrate. Briefly, the reaction mixture (2ml) containing 0.1M phosphate buffer, reduced gluthathione (GSH - 1 mM), 2,4-Dinitrochlorobenzene (CDNB - 1 mM) and PMS (10%). The change in absorbance was recorded at 340 nm, and the enzyme activity was expressed as nmol CDNB conjugates formed/min/mg protein (Faheem & Lone, 2017).

Protein content of the homogenate was quantified using Bradford reagent as described by (He,
2011) using bovine serum albumin as standard. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and Aspartate
aminotransferase (AST), creatinine and uric acid were quantified using the commercial kits from
Randox.

134 Integrated biomarker response analysis

The integrated biomarker response (IBR) was calculated according to (Beliaeff and 135 Burgeot, 2002), and can be used for field and laboratory studies (i.e. (Wang et al., 2011; Morgado 136 137 et al., 2013; Ferreira et al., 2015). Briefly, the IBR was calculated based on the score of each biomarker. The score (S) was calculated using S = Z + |Min|, where $S \ge 0$ and |Min| is the absolute 138 139 value for the minimum value for all calculated Y in a given biomarker at all measurements made. Since the IBR is obtained by summing up all the parameters, to allow a correct and more accurate 140 141 comparison, IBR was divided by the number of biomarkers and presented as IBR/n (Broeg and Lehtonen, 2006), thus allowing an overall state of organisms for each concentration and each 142 143 organ. The IBR is reported as Star Plot.

144 Statistical analysis

Data analyses were performed using Sigmaplot (SPSS 1999). Data was checked for normality and homoscedasticity, followed by One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or by ANOVA on ranks whenever these parameters were not met.A Tukey's Post Hoc was then used to determine statistical differences among the various exposure groups.

- 149
- 150
- 151

2. RESULTS

152

Grass carp responses to DBP are shown in Table 1. Oxidative damage in various organs of 153 grass carp was assessed using LPO. Lipid peroxidation increased in all organs of grass carp after 154 exposure to DBP for 21-days. The highest exposure concentrations (100 and 1000µg/L) resulted 155 in significant increase in all organs, and gills showed a significant increase for all the treatments. 156 Higher values of LPO were observed for gills, followed by liver and kidneys. Catalase showed 157 significant inhibition of its activity only in kidneys for all exposure concentrations (Table 1). 158 Higher activities of catalase were observed for liver and kidneys. Gills showed activities one 159 magnitude lower than then other organs. As for GST, a bell-shaped response was observed. 160 Significant inductions in its activity for liver and kidneys in $10 \,\mu g/L$ or higher concentration were 161 recorded (except for liver at 1000 µg/L when the activity was dropping and reach values near the 162 ones observed for the control). As for gills, the induction in the activity of GST was observed for 163 164 the two highest concentrations (100 and 1000 μ g/L). As expected, the higher activities in these enzymes were observed for the liver. Liver function biomarker ALP, showed a significant increase 165 166 in exoposures with DPB concentrations of $10 \,\mu g/L$ or higher (Table 1). As for the biomarker AST, although a bell-shaped pattern is observed, significant differences were observed only for the DBP 167 168 exposure at 100 µg/L (Table 1). Creatinine also showed a bell-shaped curve with significant differences to all exposures (Table 1). Moreover, uric acid showed an increasing pattern with the 169 170 increase of DBP concentrations but with significant differences only for the highest concentration $(1000 \,\mu g/L - Table 1).$ 171

172 The integration of the previous results into the IBR index allowed a better understanding of the organism condition (Fig. 1 and 2). The IBR index that integrates all biomarkers and all tissues 173 174 (Fig. 1) showed similar values for control and the lower concentration $(1 \mu g/L)$. This similar score then increased up to more than 250x. A closer look also showed that some biomarkers in the lower 175 176 concentration (i.e. CAT in liver and gills; GSH and ALP in the liver) have better scores than the 177 control. As for the lowest score for GSH in gills, it was observed for the highest concentration (1000 μ g/L). It is clear that the scores increased with the increase of DBP concentrations. When 178 179 looking to scores of each organ (Fig. 2), the control and the lower exposure concentration $(1 \mu g/L)$ appear with lower scores than the other treatments. 180

In order to be able also to perform a direct comparison (due to the different number of integrated biomarkers: six for kidney and liver, four for gills) the IBR/n was used. This index showed similar patterns to the ones observed for IBR for what reports the increasing scores with

- 186
- 187

3. DISCUSSION

the increase of concentrations. Nonetheless, when looking to the IBR/n of liver and gills when

exposed to 10 and 1000 μ g/L, the scores are more similar when compared to the IBR scores.

188 Exposure biomarkers can reflect the early biological response after exposure to contaminant 189 and have been used widely in laboratory and field studies (Hook et al., 2016). Integration of data obtained from biomarker response of tissues, exposure chemicals and concentrations is an easier 190 191 way to interpret and comprehend data (Beliaeff and Burgeot, 2002). In this study, juvenile grass 192 carps were exposed to graded concentrations of di-butyl phthalate and its effect were evaluated using biomarkers in vital organs (liver, gills and kidney). Although the most of concentrations of 193 DBP to which the fishes were exposed are higher than the maximum found in literature in waters 194 195 (3.1 µg/L - Vethaak et al., 2005), the high persistence of these pollutants and resuspension from sediments (30.3 µg/L - Yuan et al., 2002) may lead to higher concentrations. Nonetheless it is also 196 197 important to highlight that to understand the impact of pollutants ecotoxicological assays use higher concentrations to have a full description of their toxicity. 198

199 Lipid peroxidation is a biomarker of oxidative stress, commonly used in ecotoxicological studies (van der Oost et al., 2003; Carvalho et al., 2012; Faheem and Lone 2017; Ghisi et al., 200 201 2017). LPO results from free radicals and reactive oxygen species that react with membrane lipids 202 (Regoli and Giuliani, 2014). Whenever antioxidant defences cannot handle oxidative stress from 203 reactive oxygen species (ROS), damage can be assessed using this biomarker. In this study, it is possible to observe an increase in LPO rates in all organs with the increase of DBP concentrations. 204 Gills was the most sensitive organ to respond, showing an induction in LPO rates even at 1 µg/L, 205 206 followed by liver (the organ were the detoxifications processes are expected to be more intensive 207 and finally the kidneys, the excretory organ. These results are not unexpected, as gills are the first 208 organ to be in contract with DBP an after the biotransformation in liver should affect in a lesser level the kidneys. Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) showed similar levels of LPO between the 209 210 gills and liver when exposed to DBP (Erkmen et al., 2015). LPO rates increased in most fish species after exposure to different phthalates (e.g. (Kang et al., 2010; Mankidy et al., 2013; Xu et 211 al., 2013b). 212

The previously observed damage (evidenced by the LPO rates) is in accordance with the GST activities. GST is an important biomarker of exposure and is involved in the detoxification of xenobiotics (Regoli and Giuliani, 2014). In the liver and kidney, the enzymes show a bell-shaped
pattern. The pattern is typical for enzymatic activity curves, where at high concentrations, the
enzyme is inhibited and may even reach values below the control. These patterns are observed for
phthalates (Latif *et al.*, 2019) but also for other xenobiotics (e.g.(Ferreira *et al.*, 2015).

Reduced glutathione is involved in vital aspects of cellular homeostasis (Pompella et al., 2003) 219 220 and is essential in detoxification processes. A decrease in reduced glutathione content was recorded in gills of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) exposed to DBP for 96 hours (Erkmen et 221 222 al., 2015). On the contrary, an increase in GSH levels was recorded in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) exposed to 590 and 1180 µg/L DBP for eight weeks (Abu Zeid and Khalil, 2015). Still, 223 the observed differences may result from the extensive exposure period that could result in the 224 225 inactivity of enzymes that use GSH as a substrate. In the present study, apart from small 226 exceptions, GSH levels can be directly connected with GST activity. For example, in the kidney, GSH showed a decreasing pattern with a significant difference from concentrations of 10 µg/L 227 228 onward, that can be a result of its consumption for GST detoxification processes. These patterns of increase GST activity and decrease GSH can be seen for all the three sampled organs. 229

Catalase, along with other antioxidants, protects the cellular components from damage (CostaSilva *et al.*, 2015). When reporting to CAT activity in kidneys, significant decreases can be
observed in all concentrations. Still, in all other tissues, no significant differences are observed,
although a decreasing pattern can be noticed for the liver.

234 To determine liver damage, the biomarkers ALP and AST were assessed. For ALP, results showed a significant increase for all DBP concentrations except 1 µg/L. As for AST, a bell-shaped 235 pattern is again observed. Still, only the concentration 100 µg/L showed a significant increase 236 when compared to the control. Similarly, the kidney damage biomarkers creatinine and uric acid 237 238 also show an impact on their levels. Creatinine shows a bell-shaped curve with a significant 239 increase in its levels for all the exposure concentrations except 1000 μ g/L. As for uric acid, the levels show an increasing pattern with the increase of DBP concentrations, although significant 240 241 differences can only be observed for the highest concentration. An increase in AST and ALP were also observed in various fish species exposed to DBP and other phthalates (Mehta et al., 2003; 242 Kang et al., 2010; Latif et al., 2019). 243

The IBR index helped to explain and understand the results described previously. It is noticeable that when all the biomarkers measured in all organs are integrated even within realistic environmental concentrations (1 and 10 μ g/L), where up to >110x increase in the score was observed. Similarly, even the comparison between the control and 1 μ g/L, showed a 4.7x increase in the score. The integration of the data into the IBR and IBR/n index also showed another interesting result. It is routinely expected that liver is most effected organ after the exposure to toxicant but interestingly, IBR/n result showed that kidneys are most impacted after exposure to DBP.

252 Conclusion

The impact of phthalates is an important topic that needs to be addressed urgently, and that still needs more information. This study highlights that need by showing the effects of DBP in a freshwater fish species (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) and most importantly how the general idea that liver, the detoxification organ or gills would be the most impacted organs do not seem to be true for DBP when biomarkers data is integrated into the IBR/n index. As so, this opens the doors for studies that should focus for example on the mechanistic pathways and genes variation on these organs or even the cellular aspect of their specific cellular structure.

260 **4.** Acknowledgements

This research work was supported by ORIC, GCU. Nuno Ferreira was funded through a Marie
Sklodowska Curie Fellowship (H2020-CoFund ,SIRCIW>MINT) supported by European Union,
Welsh Government and Cardiff University.

264 Author's Contribution

ZZ performed all experimental work under supervision of MF. NGCF performed all data analysis.

- 267
- 268

REFERENCES

269

Abd-Elkareem M, Abou Khalil NS and Sayed AH, 2018. Hepatotoxic responses of 4-nonylphenol
 on African catfish (Clarias gariepinus): antixoidant and histochemical biomarkers. Fish
 Physiology and Biochemistry 44:969–981.

- Abdel-Tawwab M and Hamed HS, 2018. Effect of bisphenol A toxicity on growth performance,
 biochemical variables, and oxidative stress biomarkers of Nile tilapia, Oreochromis
 niloticus (L.). Journal of Applied Ichthyology 34:1117–1125.
- Abu Zeid EH and Khalil ASA, 2015. Toxicological Consequences of Di-N-Butyl-Phthalate (DBP)

- on Health of Nile Tilapia Fingerlings. American Journal of Animal and Veterinary
 Sciences 9:269–276.
- Agency USEP, 2012. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Phthalates Action Plan, 2012,
 www.epa.gov, acessado em 03/06/2017. 1–16.
- Aoki KAA, Harris CA, Katsiadaki I, *et al.*, 2011. Evidence suggesting that di-n-butyl phthalate
 has antiandrogenic effects in fish. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 30:1338–
 1345.
- Ayranci E and Bayram E, 2005. Adsorption of phthalic acid and its esters onto high-area activated
 carbon-cloth studied by in situ UV-spectroscopy. Journal of Hazardous Materials 122:147–
 153.
- Beliaeff B and Burgeot T, 2002. Integrated biomarker response: A useful tool for ecological risk
 assessment. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 21:1316–1322.
- Bello UM, Madekurozwa MC, Groenewald HB, *et al.*, 2014. The effects on steroidogenesis and
 histopathology of adult male Japanese quails (Coturnix coturnix japonica) testis following
 pre-pubertal exposure to di(n-butyl) phthalate (DBP). Comparative Biochemistry and
 Physiology Part C: Toxicology and Pharmacology 166:24–33.
- Bhatia H, 2014. Effects of exposures to the plasticiser, di-n-butyl phthalate and the pharmaceutical
 , flutamide on the biomarkers of reproduction in Australian freshwater fish species, Murray
 rainbowfish (Melanotaenia fluviatilis) Submitted for the degree of Doctor.
- Broeg K and Lehtonen KK, 2006. Indices for the assessment of environmental pollution of the
 Baltic Sea coasts: Integrated assessment of a multi-biomarker approach. Marine Pollution
 Bulletin 53:508–522.
- Carvalho C dos S, Bernusso VA, Araújo HSS de, *et al.*, 2012. Biomarker responses as indication
 of contaminant effects in Oreochromis niloticus. Chemosphere 89:60–69.
- Claiborne A, 1985. Catalase activityIn: Greenwald RA, editor. CRC handbook of methods in
 oxygen radical research. CRC Press: Boca Raton.
- Costa-Silva DG, Nunes MEM, Wallau GL, *et al.*, 2015. Oxidative stress markers in fish (Astyanax
 sp. and Danio rerio) exposed to urban and agricultural effluents in the Brazilian Pampa
 biome. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 22:15526–15535.
- Crago J and Klaper R, 2012. A mixture of an environmentally realistic concentration of a phthalate
 and herbicide reduces testosterone in male fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) through

a novel mechanism of action. Aquatic Toxicology 110–111:74–83.

- Cravo A, Pereira C, Medeiros A, *et al.*, 2011. A multibiomarker approach in the clam Ruditapes
 decussatus to assess the impact of pollution in the Ria Formosa lagoon, South Coast of
 Portugal. Marine Environmental Research 75:23–34.
- Erkmen B, Yıldırım Z, Mert R, *et al.*, 2015. Impact of sublethal di-n-butyl phthalate on the
 aquaculture fish species Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus): histopathology and
 oxidative stress assessment. Aquaculture Research 48:675–685.
- Faheem M and Lone KP, 2017. Oxidative stress and histopathologic biomarkers of exposure to bisphenol-A in the freshwater fish , Ctenopharyngodon idella. 53:1–9.
- Ferreira NGC, Cardoso DN, Morgado R, *et al.*, 2015. Long-term exposure of the isopod
 Porcellionides pruinosus to nickel: Costs in the energy budget and detoxification enzymes.
 Chemosphere 135:354–362.
- Fromme H, Küchler T, Otto T, *et al.*, 2002. Occurrence of phthalates and bisphenol A and F in the
 environment. Water Research 36:1429–1438.
- Gao D-W and Wen Z-D, 2015. Phthalate esters in the environment: A critical review of their
 occurrence, biodegradation, and removal during wastewater treatment processes. Science
 of the Total Environment 541:986–1001.
- Gào X, Zhang Y, Burwinkel B, *et al.*, 2019. The associations of DNA methylation alterations in
 oxidative stress-related genes with cancer incidence and mortality outcomes: a population based cohort study. Clinical Epigenetics 11:14.
- Ghisi NC, Oliveira EC, Guiloski IC, *et al.*, 2017. Multivariate and integrative approach to analyze
 multiple biomarkers in ecotoxicology: A field study in Neotropical region. Science of The
 Total Environment 609:1208–1218.
- Habig WH, Pabst MJ and Jakoby WB, 1974. Glutathione S-transferases. The first enzymatic step
 in mercapturic acid formation. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 249:7130–7139.
- He F, 2011. Bradford Protein Assay. BIO-PROTOCOL 1.
- Heise S and Litz N, 2004. Deskstudy Phthlates. German Federal Environmental 14:9–11.
- Hook SE, Gallagher EP and Batley GE, 2016. HHS Public Access. 10:327–341.
- Jollow DJ, Mitchell JR, Zampaglione N, *et al.*, 1974. Bromobenzene-Induced Liver Necrosis.
 Protective Role of Glutathione and Evidence for 3,4-Bromobenzene Oxide as the
 Hepatotoxic Metabolite. Pharmacology 11:151–169.

- Jonsson S, Vavilin VA and Svensson BH, 2006. Phthalate hydrolysis under landfill conditions.
- Water Science and Technology: A Journal of the International Association on Water
 Pollution Research 53:119–127.
- Kang J-C, Jee J-H, Koo J-G, *et al.*, 2010. Anti-oxidative status and hepatic enzymes following
 acute administration of diethyl phthalate in olive flounder Paralichthys olivaceus, a marine
 culture fish. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 73:1449–1455.
- Latif M, Faheem M and Asmatullah, 2019. Study of oxidative stress and histo-biochemical
 biomarkers of diethyl phthalate induced toxicity in a cultureable fish, *Labeo rohita*. Pak
 Vet J. http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2019.108
- Latif M, Faheem M, Asmatullah, *et al.*, 2021. Protective efficacy of Nigella sativa seeds against
 diethyl phthalate induced growth retardation, oxidative stress and histo-biochemical
 damages in *Labeo rohita*. Aquaculture 533:736065
- Mankidy R, Wiseman S, Ma H, *et al.*, 2013. Biological impact of phthalates. Toxicology Letters
 217:50–58.
- Marigómez I, Garmendia L, Soto M, *et al.*, 2013. Marine ecosystem health status assessment
 through integrative biomarker indices: a comparative study after the Prestige oil spill
 "Mussel Watch." Ecotoxicology 22:486–505.
- Mehta V, Rao CV, Khare M, *et al.*, 2003. Toxicity Study of Diethyl Phthalate on Freshwater Fish
 Cirrhina mrigala. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 53:255–258.
- Morgado R, Ferreira NGC, Tourinho P, *et al.*, 2013. Environmental- and growth stage-related
 differences in the susceptibility of terrestrial isopods to UV radiation. Journal of
 Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology 126:60–71.
- Net S, Sempéré R, Delmont A, *et al.*, 2015. Occurrence, Fate, Behavior and Ecotoxicological State
 of Phthalates in Different Environmental Matrices. Environmental Science & Technology
 49:4019–4035.
- van der Oost R, Beyer J and Vermeulen NP., 2003. Fish bioaccumulation and biomarkers in
 environmental risk assessment: a review. Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology
 13:57–149.
- Paluselli A, Aminot Y, Galgani F, *et al.*, 2018. Occurrence of phthalate acid esters (PAEs) in the
 northwestern Mediterranean Sea and the Rhone River. Progress in Oceanography 163:221–
 231.

- Peakall DB, 1994. The role of biomarkers in environmental assessment (1). Introduction.
 Ecotoxicology 3:157–160.
- Peijnenburg WJGM and Struijs J, 2006. Occurrence of phthalate esters in the environment of the
 Netherlands. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 63:204–215.
- Pompella A, Visvikis A, Paolicchi A, *et al.*, 2003. The changing faces of glutathione, a cellular
 protagonist. Biochemical Pharmacology 66:1499–1503.
- Quesada-García A, Valdehita A, Torrent F, *et al.*, 2013. Use of fish farms to assess river
 contamination: Combining biomarker responses, active biomonitoring, and chemical
 analysis. Aquatic Toxicology 439–448.
- Regoli F and Giuliani ME, 2014. Oxidative pathways of chemical toxicity and oxidative stress
 biomarkers in marine organisms. Marine Environmental Research 93:106–117.
- Reuter S, Gupta SC, Chaturvedi MM, *et al.*, 2010. Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer:
 how are they linked? Free Radical Biology & Medicine 49:1603–1616.
- Santos TN dos, Duarte FB, Maia Filho PA, *et al.*, 2016. Association of oxidative stress and DNA
 damage with grafting time in patients with multiple myeloma and lymphoma submitted to
 autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Revista Da Associação Médica
 Brasileira 62:39–43.
- Schettler T, Skakkebæk NE, De Kretser D, *et al.*, 2006. Human exposure to phthalates via
 consumer products. International Journal of Andrology 29:134–139.
- Valavanidis A, Vlahogianni T, Dassenakis M, *et al.*, 2006. Molecular biomarkers of oxidative
 stress in aquatic organisms in relation to toxic environmental pollutants. Ecotoxicology
 and Environmental Safety 64:178–189.
- Vethaak AD, Lahr J, Schrap SM, *et al.*, 2005. An integrated assessment of estrogenic
 contamination and biological effects in the aquatic environment of The Netherlands.
 Chemosphere 59:511–524.
- Wang C, Lu G, Peifang W, *et al.*, 2011. Assessment of environmental pollution of Taihu Lake by
 combining active biomonitoring and integrated biomarker response. Environmental
 Science and Technology 45:3746–3752.
- Wright JR, Colby HD and Miles PR, 1981. Cytosolic factors which affect microsomal lipid
 peroxidation in lung and liver. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 206:296–304.
- 400 Xu H, Yang M, Qiu W, et al., 2013a. The impact of endocrine-disrupting chemicals on oxidative

- 401 stress and innate immune response in zebrafish embryos. Environmental Toxicology and
 402 Chemistry 32:1793–1799.
- Xu H, Yang M, Qiu W, *et al.*, 2013b. The impact of endocrine-disrupting chemicals on oxidative
 stress and innate immune response in zebrafish embryos. Environmental Toxicology and
 Chemistry 32:1793–1799.
- Xu H, Dong X, Zhang Z, *et al.*, 2015. Assessment of immunotoxicity of dibutyl phthalate using
 live zebrafish embryos. Fish and Shellfish Immunology 45:286–292.
- Yuan SY, Liu C, Liao CS, *et al.*, 2002. Occurrence and microbial degradation of phthalate esters
 in Taiwan river sediments. Chemosphere 49:1295–1299.
- Zhao X-K, Yang G-P, Wang Y-J, *et al.*, 2004. Photochemical degradation of dimethyl phthalate
 by Fenton reagent. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 161:215–
 220.
- de Zwart LL, Meerman JH., Commandeur JN., *et al.*, 1999. Biomarkers of free radical damage:
 Applications in experimental animals and in humans. Free Radical Biology and Medicine
 26:202–226.

Table 1. Average values of lipid peroxidation, antioxidant enzymes, ALT, AST, Creatinine and uric acid in different						
organs of grass carp exposed to DBP for 21 days. Results of ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. *= p < 0.05						
Biomarker	Organ/tissue	Control	1µg/l DBP	10µg/l DBP	100µg/l DBP	1000µg/l DBP
Lipid peroxidation	liver	30.84±5.21	56.51±5.68	59.90±8.65	73.03±6.88*	101.1±15.45*
(nmol TBARS /g	Gills	21.57 ± 6.24	84.01±23.56*	114.7±11.46*	90.73±12.08*	106.8±23.00*
tissue)	kidney	34.72±7.15	47.93±8.86	44.25±10.51	66.79±4.01*	88.17±5.19*
Catalase	liver	0.3433±0.05	0.4947±0.12	0.3402±0.09	0.1142±0.07	0.04637±0.01
	Gills	0.06564±0.03	0.06649±0.02	0.03743±0.01	0.06352±0.02	0.02308±0.009
	Kidney	0.3151±0.04	0.1518±0.01*	0.1110±0.03*	0.1536±0.02*	0.06902±0.02*
Reduced	Liver	5.760±1.37	5.201±0.98	1.434±0.42*	3.109±0.87	1.122±0.24*
Glutathione	Gills	2.998±0.35	4.113±0.57	1.734±0.09	1.158±0.32*	1.040±0.13*
	Kidney	17.26±3.68	10.81±4.0	5.021±1.67*	1.845±0.33*	1.621±0.33*
Glutathione-S-	Liver	75.18±9.42	123.4±23.09	163.4±15.60*	184.5±18.97*	88.20±10.74
transferases	Gills	50.50±7.13	43.12±3.15	69.86±6.65	74.74±7.06*	72.28±6.37*
	Kidney	69.12±15.73	26.58±4.13	34.67±2.05*	61.68±5.20*	23.70±2.95*
ALP (U/L)	Liver	44.79±6.553	40.48±6.557	317.7±64.78*	186.0±40.17*	363.8±24.05*
AST (U/L)		16.66±4.87	30.46±10.08	55.75±14.42	141.3±6.98*	61.88±24.30
Creatinine (mg/dl)	kidney	0.5202±0.04	1.104±0.166*	1.337±0.07*	1.387±0.14*	0.9619±0.14*
Uric acid (mg/dl)		6.680 ± 0.24	6.880±0.75	9.880±0.43	9.040±0.86	13.12±0.43*



Figure 1- Integrated biomarker response (IBR) represented by star plot and histogram of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) exposed to different concentrations of DBP. LPO- Lipid peroxidation; GST- glutathione-*S*-transferases; CAT- catalase; GSH- reduced glutathione; ALP- Alanine aminotransferase; AST- Aspartate aminotransferase.



Figure 2- Integrated biomarker response (IBR) and Integrated biomarker response per biomarker (IBR/n) of the different organs (kidney, liver and gills) represented by star plot and histograms of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) exposed to different concentrations of DBP. LPO- Lipid peroxidation; GST- glutathione-*S*-transferases; CAT- catalase; GSH- reduced glutathione; ALP- Alanine aminotransferase; AST- Aspartate aminotransferase.