Abstract number: E-42

Abstract type: Poster

'The Resus Doll Is Dead, What Now?' End-of-Life Care Teaching and Simulation: A Literature Review

Taubert M.¹, Evans L.²

¹Cardiff University School of Medicine, Velindre University NHS Trust Palliative Medicine Department, Cardiff, United Kingdom, ²Velindre Cancer Centre, Palliative Care Department, Cardiff, United Kingdom

Background: Simulation & high-fidelity simulation involves using manikins, clinical training suites, wards, computer programs and theatres in medical teaching. These teaching formats have established themselves in medical undergraduate and postgraduate education. Rated highly among students, they have also been shown to be effective learning tools.

Aims: To reduce the potential risk to patients and their proxy associated with learning 'at the bedside', which can pose a challenge in medical and in particular palliative settings. Education and training methods that do not expose patients to preventable communication blunders from less experienced practitioners are a priority. Simulation and high-fidelity simulation provides a way for students and doctors to train safely, before entering real-life scenarios.

Methods: We provide a summary review on the current literature and evidence for simulation and high-fidelity simulation in palliative and end-of-life care settings, and discuss potential uses of technologies including virtual and augmented reality in future training.

Results: The most common form of simulation in palliative medicine is often an actor-based role-play scenario with particular emphasis on communication skills. This is expensive and time-consuming to set up. Less evidence was found on the use of high-fidelity simulation in end-of-life care teaching.

Conclusion: Palliative medicine has been slow to adapt to an educational method and environment that now is widely used across other areas of healthcare. There has been less emphasis on training with manikins and even less on using computer simulation and virtual reality environments to recreate challenging end-of-life care scenarios. We provide some examples of where this could benefit the curriculum.

Summary:

Simulation, and High Fidelity Simulation have been shown to be effective learning tools.¹ Simuation, where prepared actors portray common scenarios, is an effective strategy that addresses the ethical considerations of 'practicing' on human patients. ²It may increase patient safety, improve clinical judgement and arguably create better communicators. The need for education and training methods that do not expose the patient to preventable errors of less experienced practitioners is an urgent priority.³ A significant proportion of adverse events in healthcare are triggered by problems with communication, decision-making, leadership and teamwork, that is, non-practical, non-technical skills.⁴ Challenges include expense and time to set up. Our literature review revealed that High Fidelity Simulation (HFS)⁵ involving manikins is now a common educational tool in medical and nursing undergraduate curriculums. Scenarios generally revolve around acute specialties. Anaesthetics were an early adopter.⁶ Death within simulation has been controversial, with concerns about causing distress, psychological harm or negative impact on students' confidence.^{7 8}Although there is no educational consensus whether simulation manikins should 'die' in undergraduate scenarios, there is evidence that senior medical students find this a useful learning experience.⁹ HFS improves end-of-life care learning for nursing undergraduates.¹⁰ There may be a perception that HFS is not useful in palliative medicine education since it is a less acute specialty. There is a perceived lack of prioritisation for access to equipment and interventional techniques exposure. However, many university campuses will have simulation suites and technical staff that can be used in undergraduate teaching. Many

Abstracts from the 17th *World Congress of the EAPC 2021. Palliative Medicine. 2021; 35 (1_suppl): 1-243 doi: 10.1177/02692163211035909 2021 Sage Publications*

2021 Sage Publications

hospitals already have portable equipment for 'point of care' simulations set up anywhere onsite, or off-site, which provides great flexibility and is significantly cheaper.¹¹

The medical literature contains few papers describing the use of simulation and HFS in palliative medicine. Fewer still appear to test the transferability of skills learnt in a simulated setting to real-life practice. Venkatasalu et al found that HFS better prepared nursing students for their first healthcare placements both emotionally and in terms of 'hands on' skills. Rayment et al ¹² demonstrated improved confidence in advance care planning by generalists using simulation with actors. Scenarios involved a simulated ward environment, an HFS manikin and an actor playing a carer. In a small study of seven medical students, tutorials used HFS to teach communication skills for cardiopulmonary resuscitation with patients and carers.¹³ Students reported that the HFS scenarios were more realistic than low-fidelity ('roleplay') simulation. This improved learning from the sessions and confidence among some students. While simulation remains expensive and arduous to set up, it presents many potential palliative medicine learning opportunities. For example, Do Not Attempt Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation decisions for a deteriorating patient and discussions with their proxy can be readily integrated into an HFS. These can be linked into existing acute scenarios, thereby integrating palliative medicine with acute specialties. Simulation strives to be as realistic as possible; demonstrating a more realistic patient pathway can facilitate this.¹⁴ Setting up different scenarios in different locations and at different times, including the out-of-hours community setting may make training more broad.¹⁵ The reality that not every patient with an acute illness will recover, even with correct treatment, is a difficult lesson every junior physician faces with varying levels of support. Newly qualified doctors often cite death and terminal illness as a source of stress.¹⁶ A common theme is the need to see and also practice difficult conversations, for instance around ceilings of treatment and resuscitation.¹⁷ HFS, facilitated sensitively with an expert debrief, can explore this in a controlled environment, at the student level and beyond. Debrief is where most learning occurs in simulation. ¹⁸ This reflective process lends itself well to support students in dealing with death and dying. Advanced life support courses that teach

Abstracts from the 17th *World Congress of the EAPC 2021. Palliative Medicine. 2021; 35 (1_suppl): 1-243 doi: 10.1177/02692163211035909 2021 Sage Publications*

2021 Sage Publications

practical techniques may also give an opportunity to learn about some of the most challenging conversations in healthcare, making this an ideal setting. Palliative medicine trainees are expected to be able to manage and prove competencies in emergencies like anaphylaxis, opioid toxicity and terminal haemorrhage.¹⁹ HFS is now used to train and assess palliative medicine trainees in these acute scenarios.²⁰ Simulation allows trainees to refresh and demonstrate competencies for infrequent but important events and is underutilised. It is time for realistic, simulated scenarios covering daily end-of-life care scenarios to be implemented at undergraduate and postgraduate levels.

The term virtual patients (VP) describes different modalities, from video-recorded actors to manikin training models for laparoscopic procedures. In this context, it relates to computer-generated simulated patients to develop patient encounter skills.²¹ Meta-analyses demonstrate that VPs can improve communication skills and clinical and ethical reasoning.^{22 23} Traditionally, communication skills teaching within palliative care includes role-play using simulated or standardised patients played by actors. However, VPs are increasingly being used alongside. VPs can standardise the learning experience across a curriculum and may be adapted according to curriculum requirements. Many medical schools develop their own VP scenarios. Use of VPs may help students improve communication skills and allows repeated practice in a safe environment.²⁴ For example, students can safely trial various communication strategies for breaking bad news without the fear of humiliation or causing distress. Virtual and augmented reality and gamification of real-life scenarios are likely to play an important part in future education.²⁵ This has been brought into new light through the Covid-19 pandemic, were face-to-face training opportunities were diminished and technology played an increasingly important role.²⁶ Trainees can be exposed to stressful situations in a near-real setting and chose options. It is already possible to simulate bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in virtual reality. Palliative care training computer games in virtual reality could be a mainstay of future education. One of the biggest challenges of current VPs is a sense of realism and authenticity.

References

EAPC

¹ Weller JM. Simulation in undergraduate medical education: bridging the gap between theory and practice. Med Educ 2004;38:32–8.

² AI-Elq AH. Simulation-based medical teaching and learning. J Family Community Med 2010;17:35.

³ McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Petrusa ER, et al. A critical review of simulation-based medical education research: 2003- 2009. Med Educ 2010;44:50–63.

⁴ Hobgood C, Sherwood G, Frush K, et al. Teamwork training with nursing and medical students: does the method matter? Results of an interinstitutional, interdisciplinary collaboration. Qual Saf Health Care 2010;19:e25–6.

⁵ Cant RP, Cooper SJ. Simulation-based learning in nurse education: systematic review. J Adv Nurs 2010;66:3–15.

⁶ Leblanc VR. Review article: simulation in anesthesia: state of the science and looking forward. Can J Anaesth 2012;59:193–202.

⁷ Corvetto MA, Taekman JM. To die or not to die? A review of simulated death. Simul Healthc 2013;8:8–12.

⁸ Fraser K, Huffman J, Ma I, et al. The emotional and cognitive impact of unexpected simulated patient death: a randomized controlled trial. Chest 2014;145:958–63.

⁹ Weiss A, Jaffrelot M, Bartier JC, et al. Does the unexpected death of the manikin in a simulation maintain the participants' perceived self-efficacy? An observational prospective study with medical students. BMC Med Educ 2017;17:109.

¹⁰ Venkatasalu MR, Kelleher M, Shao CH. Reported clinical outcomes of high-fidelity simulation versus classroom-based end-of-life care education. Int J Palliat Nurs 2015;21:179–86.

¹¹ Weinstock PH, Kappus LJ, Garden A, et al. Simulation at the point of care: reduced-cost, in situ training via a mobile cart. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2009;10:176–81.

¹² Rayment C, Holmes S, Daley A. A comparison of improving confidence in advance care planning for senior hospital and community clinicians. BMJ Support Palliat Care 2014;4:118.3–9.

¹³ Hawkins A, Tredgett K. Use of high-fidelity simulation to improve communication skills regarding death and dying: a qualitative study. BMJ Support Palliat Care 2016;6:474–8.

¹⁴ Lateef F. Simulation-based learning: Just like the real thing. J Emerg Trauma Shock 2010;3:348.

¹⁵ Taubert M, Noble SIR, Nelson A What challenges good palliative care provision out-of-hours? A qualitative interview study of out-of-hours general practitioners BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care 2011;1:13-18. Doi: 10.1136/bmjspcare-2011000015

¹⁶ Paice E, Rutter H, Wetherell M, et al. Stressful incidents, stress and coping strategies in the preregistration house officer year. Med Educ 2002;36:56–65.

¹⁷ Taubert, M., Norris, J., Edwards, S. et al. Talk CPR - a technology project to improve communication in do not attempt cardiopulmonary resuscitation decisions in palliative illness. BMC Palliat Care 17, 118 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12904-018-0370-9

Abstracts from the 17th *World Congress of the EAPC 2021. Palliative Medicine. 2021; 35 (1_suppl): 1-243 doi: 10.1177/02692163211035909 2021 Sage Publications*

¹⁸ Fanning RM, Gaba DM. The role of debriefing in simulationbased learning. Simul Healthc 2007;2:115–25.

¹⁹ Joint Royal College of Physicians Training Board, 2010. Specialty training curriculum for Palliative Medicine. http:// www.jrcptb.org.uk/sites/default/files/2010%20Palliative% 20medicine%20%28amendments%202 (accessed 2021).

²⁰ Walker LN, Russon L. Does simulation have a role in palliative medicine specialty training? BMJ Support Palliat Care 2016;6:479–85.

²¹ Kononowicz AA, Zary N, Edelbring S, et al. Virtual patients- -what are we talking about? A framework to classify the meanings of the term in healthcare education. BMC Med Educ 2015;15:11.

²² Consorti F, Mancuso R, Nocioni M, et al. Efficacy of virtual patients in medical education: a metaanalysis of randomized studies. Comput Educ 2012;59:1001–8.

²³ Cook DA, Erwin PJ, Triola MM. Computerized virtual patients in health professions education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acad Med 2010;85:1589–602.

²⁴ Quail M, Brundage SB, Spitalnick J, et al. Student self-reported communication skills, knowledge and confidence across standardised patient, virtual and traditional clinical learning environments. BMC Med Educ 2016;16:73.

²⁵ Taubert M, Webber L, Hamilton T, Carr M, Harvey M. Virtual reality videos used in undergraduate palliative and oncology medical teaching: results of a pilot study. BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care. 2019; bmjspcare-2018-001720. doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2018-001720

²⁶ Abel J, Kellehear A, Millington Sanders C, Taubert M, Kingston H. Advance care planning reimagined: a needed shift for COVID times and beyond. Palliative Care and Social Practice. January 2020. doi:10.1177/26323524209344