

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/145656/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Richards, Owen, Pallmann, Philip, King, Charles, Cheema, Yusuf, Killick, Charlotte, Thomas-Jones, Emma, Harris, Jessica, Bailey, Catherine and Szakmany, Tamas 2021. Procalcitonin increase is associated with the development of critical care-acquired infections in COVID-19 ARDS. Antibiotics 10 (11), 1425. 10.3390/antibiotics10111425

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10111425

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.





Article



Procalcitonin Increase Is Associated with the Development of Critical Care-Acquired Infections in COVID-19 ARDS

Owen Richards ^{1,†}^(D), Philip Pallmann ^{2,†}^(D), Charles King ¹, Yusuf Cheema ¹, Charlotte Killick ¹, Emma Thomas-Jones ², Jessica Harris ³, Catherine Bailey ³ and Tamas Szakmany ^{4,5,*}^(D)

- ¹ School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK; richardso3@cardiff.ac.uk (O.R.); kingc20@cardiff.ac.uk (C.K.); cheemaya@cardiff.ac.uk (Y.C.); killickcj@cardiff.ac.uk (C.K.)
- ² Centre for Trials Research, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK; pallmannp@cardiff.ac.uk (P.P.); thomas-jonese@cardiff.ac.uk (E.T.-J.)
- ³ Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Grange University Hospital, Aneurin Bevan University Health Board, Cwmbran NP44 2XJ, UK; jessica.harris2@wales.nhs.uk (J.H.); catherine.bailey2@wales.nhs.uk (C.B.)
- ⁴ Department of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK
- ⁵ Critical Care Directorate, Grange University Hospital, Aneurin Bevan University Health Board,
- Cwmbran NP44 2XJ, UK * Correspondence: szakmanyt1@cardiff.ac.uk
- These authors contributed equally to this work.



Citation: Richards, O.; Pallmann, P.; King, C.; Cheema, Y.; Killick, C.; Thomas-Jones, E.; Harris, J.; Bailey, C.; Szakmany, T. Procalcitonin Increase Is Associated with the Development of Critical Care-Acquired Infections in COVID-19 ARDS. *Antibiotics* **2021**, *10*, 1425. https://doi.org/10.3390/ antibiotics10111425

Academic Editors: Marianna Meschiari and Lorenzo Onorato

Received: 7 October 2021 Accepted: 17 November 2021 Published: 22 November 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Abstract: Secondary bacterial infection in COVID-19 patients is associated with increased mortality and disproportionately affects critically ill patients. This single-centre retrospective observational study investigates the comparative efficacy of change in procalcitonin (PCT) and other commonly available biomarkers in revealing or predicting microbiologically proven secondary infection in critical COVID-19 patients. Adult patients admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection between 9 March 2020 and 5 June 2020 were recruited to the study. For daily biomarker and secondary infection, laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection (LCBI) and ventilator-associated pneumonia/tracheobronchitis (VAP/VAT) data were collected. We observed a PCT rise in 53 (81.5%) of the patients, a C-reactive protein (CRP) rise in 55 (84.6%) and a white blood cell count (WBC) rise in 61 (93.8%). Secondary infection was confirmed in 33 (50.8%) of the patients. A PCT rise was present in 97.0% of patients with at least one confirmed VAP/VAT and/or LCBI event. CRP and WBC rises occurred in 93.9% and 97.0% of patients with confirmed VAP/VAT and/or LCBI, respectively. Logistic regression analysis found that, when including all biomarkers in the same model, there was a significant association between PCT rise and the occurrence of LCBI and/or VAP/VAT (OR = 14.86 95%CI: 2.20, 342.53; p = 0.021). Conversely, no statistically significant relationship was found between either a CRP rise (p = 0.167) or a WBC rise (p = 0.855) and the occurrence of VAP/VAT and/or LCBI. These findings provide a promising insight into the usefulness of PCT measurement in predicting the emergence of secondary bacterial infection in ICU.

Keywords: COVID-19; procalcitonin; C-reactive protein; secondary infection

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been implicated in over four million deaths worldwide since its emergence in late 2019 [1]. Caused by infection with the SARS-CoV-2 virus, it is a disease with a highly variable presentation, ranging from asymptomatic infection to severe pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), multiple organ failure and death [2]. Concurrent bacterial infection in a critically ill patient can compound this complexity; therefore, early and accurate recognition of secondary pathogens is imperative in effectively managing an already unpredictable disease.

Procalcitonin (PCT) is a biomarker with efficacy in differentiating bacterial from viral pneumonia [3], and serum levels correlate with infection severity [4]. Secondary

bacterial infection in COVID-19 patients is associated with increased mortality [5,6] and disproportionately affects critically ill patients [7,8]. Consistent monitoring of PCT levels in critical care may provide a crucial insight in detecting or predicting co-infection, indicating the magnitude of the bacterial burden and guiding treatment. Whilst PCT is utilised in ICUs across the UK, its use has been inconsistent and unevidenced [9]. Furthermore, no data currently demonstrate the value of dynamic PCT changes in the presence or absence of confirmed secondary infection in COVID-19 patients [10], illustrating the urgent need for further research to allow for the formulation of a usable algorithm.

Aims and Objectives

Our study aims to investigate the comparative efficacy of PCT and other commonly available biomarkers in revealing or predicting microbiologically proven secondary bacterial infection in an ICU COVID-19 patient.

2. Results

Sixty-five patients with a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test were admitted to an ICU between the specified observation dates and included in the analysis. As per our admission policy to the ICU, all patients were mechanically ventilated and fulfilled the Berlin definition of ARDS [11]. Baseline demographics, mortality rates and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score information are detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographics, mortality, SOFA scores and length of stay of ICU COVID-19 patients who experienced a rise in PCT and those who did not.

Variables	No PCT Rise	PCT Rise	Overall (<i>n</i> = 65)	
	(n = 12)	(n = 53)		
Age (years)				
Mean (SD)	56.9 (10.6)	55.8 (11.0)	56.0 (10.8)	
Median [Min, Max]	56.5 [41.0, 76.0]	57.0 [22.0, 77.0]	57.0 [22.0, 77.0]	
Sex				
Female (<i>n</i> , %)	4 (33.3%)	18 (34.0%)	22 (33.8%)	
Male (<i>n</i> , %)	8 (66.7%)	35 (66.0%)	43 (66.2%)	
Outcome				
Alive (<i>n</i> , %)	9 (75.0%)	35 (66.0%)	44 (67.7%)	
Deceased $(n, \%)$	3 (25.0%)	18 (34.0%)	21 (32.3%)	
Comorbidities				
Diabetes	2 (16.7%)	16 (30.2%)	18 (27.7%)	
Hypertension	6 (50%)	22 (41.5%)	28 (43.1%)	
Ischaemic heart disease	0 (0%)	3 (5.7%)	3 (4.6%)	
COPD	0 (0%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.5%)	
Asthma	3 (25%)	14 (26.42%)	17 (26.2%)	
Chronic renal disease	0 (0%)	2 (3.8%)	2 (3.1%)	
Other comorbidities	0 (0%)	10 (18.9%)	10 (15.4%)	
Ethnicity				
Black $(n, \%)$	1 (3.3%)	1 (2.9%)	2 (3.1%)	
Caucasian $(n, \%)$	21 (70.0%)	26 (74.3%)	47 (72.3%)	
Indian Subcontinent (<i>n</i> , %)	4 (13.3%)	4 (11.4%)	8 (12.3%)	
Filipino (<i>n</i> , %)	3 (10.0%)	2 (5.7%)	5 (7.7%)	
Mixed other $(n, \%)$	1 (3.3%)	2 (5.7%)	3 (4.6%)	
SOFA score on admission				
Mean (SD)	10.6 (4.35)	9.74 (2.74)	9.88 (3.03)	
Median [Min, Max]	10.0 [3.00, 16.0]	10.0 [6.00, 15.0]	10.0 [3.00, 16.0]	
Length of ICU stay (days)	_	_	_	
Mean (SD)	9.25 (8.93)	25.6 (15.2)	22.6 (15.6)	
Median [Min, Max]	7.00 [3.00, 35.0]	20.0 [6.00, 75.0]	18.0 [3.00, 75.0]	

SOFA = Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; PCT = procalcitonin; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

We observed a PCT rise in 53 (81.5%) of the patients, a CRP rise in 55 (84.6%) and a white blood cell count (WBC) rise in 61 (93.8%). The patient level data on the kinetics of the three inflammatory markers is provided in Supplementary Figures S1–S3.

Secondary infection was confirmed in 33 (50.8%) of the patients. Ventilator-associated pneumonia/tracheobronchitis (VAP/VAT) was the most common ICU-acquired infection, occurring in 28/65 (43.1%) patients, of whom 8/65 (12.3%) suffered both VAP/VAT and a laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection (LCBI) during their ICU stay. Furthermore, 5/65 (7.7%) patients were observed to have LCBI in isolation. It was common that patients experienced multiple episodes of infection, giving an overall incidence of 43.6 secondary infections per 1000 ICU days. Most of the infections could be classed as late, occurring after day 10 of ICU admission. The changes in biomarker levels, with respect to the timing of the ICU-acquired infections, are presented in Figure 1 and in Supplementary Figure S4.



Figure 1. Changes in procalcitonin (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP) and white blood cell count (WBC) with respect to the timing of confirmed ventilator-associated pneumonia/tracheobronchitis (VAP/VAT) (Δ), laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection (LCBI) (°) and death (**■**) on the ICU.



A PCT rise was present in 97.0% of the patients with at least one confirmed VAP/VAT and/or LCBI event. CRP and WBC rises occurred in 93.9% and 97.0% of patients with confirmed VAP/VAT and/or LCBI, respectively. Relative frequencies are detailed in Figure 2 and the individual patient heatmap is presented in Figure 3.

Figure 2. Relative frequencies of patients who experienced a rise in procalcitonin (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP) and white blood cell count (WBC) in the context of confirmed ventilator-associated pneumonia/tracheobronchitis (VAP/VAT) or laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection (LCBI).

We used logistic regression to estimate the association between PCT rise and the occurrence of LCBI and/or VAP/VAT (at least one event during the ICU stay), whilst controlling for age, sex and the number of comorbidities. When we included all three biomarkers in the model, we found that only the effect of the PCT rise was statistically significant (p = 0.021). We developed similar models for each individual marker and found that the effect of the PCT rise was statistically significant (p = 0.021). We developed similar models for each individual marker and found that the effect of the PCT rise was statistically significant (p = 0.009). The estimated log odds ratio (OR) associated with PCT rise was 2.85 (95% CI: 1.08, 5.80), corresponding to an OR of 17.25 (95% CI: 2.95, 330.63). A statistically significant relationship was also found between CRP rise and the occurrence of LCBI and/or VAP/VAT (p = 0.043). The estimated log OR associated with CRP rise was 1.72 (95% CI: 0.21, 3.70), corresponding to an OR of 5.60 (95% CI: 1.23, 40.38). However, no statistically significant relationship was found between WBC rise and the occurrence of LCBI and/or VAP/VAT (p = 0.314). The estimated log OR associated with WBC rise was 1.23 (95% CI: -0.97, 4.31), corresponding to an OR of 3.42 (95% CI: 0.38, 74.61). (Table 2).

The median SOFA score on admission was 10 for patients with (range 6–15) and without (range 3–16) a PCT rise. Overall, mortality among the cohort was 32.3%. Of the 21 patients who died in the ICU, 18 (85.7%) experienced a rise in PCT. In surviving patients, a lower proportion experienced an observed PCT rise (79.5%). However, the association between PCT rise and subsequent death, whilst controlling for age, sex and number of comorbidities, was not statistically significant in a logistic regression analysis (p = 0.605).



Figure 3. Heatmap of individual patients who experienced a rise in procalcitonin (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP) and white blood cell count (WBC) in the context of confirmed ventilator-associated pneumonia/tracheobronchitis (VAP/VAT) or laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection (LCBI). Green = biomarker rise; red = no biomarker rise.

Change in Biomarker Levels	No VAP/VAT or LCBI	VAP/VAT and/or LCBI	Overall	Multivariable OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
	(n = 32)	(n = 33)	(n = 65)		
РСТ		. ,	. ,		
No PCT rise	11(34.4%)	1 (3.0%)	12 (18.5%)		
PCT rise	21 (65.6%)	32 (97.0%)	53 (81.5%)	14.86 (2.20, 342.53)	p = 0.021
CRP					
No CRP rise	8 (25.0%)	2 (6.1%)	10 (15.4%)		
CRP rise	24 (75.0%)	31 (93.9%)	55 (84.6%)	3.70 (0.62, 30.17)	p = 0.167
WBC					
No WBC rise	3 (9.4%)	1 (3.0%)	4 (6.2%)		
WBC rise	29 (90.6%)	32 (97.0%)	61 (93.8%)	0.73 (0.02, 26.48)	p = 0.855

Table 2. Biomarker data of ICU COVID-19 patients who experienced VAP/VAT and/or LCBI and those who did not.

Data are n (%). p-values were calculated by multivariable logistic regression analysis. VAP/VAT = ventilator-associated pneumonia/tracheobronchitis; LCBI = laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection; PCT = procalcitonin; CRP = C-reactive protein; WBC = white blood cell count; OR = odds ratio; 95%CI = 95% confidence interval.

> The length of ICU stay was longer in the patients who experienced a PCT rise, compared to those who did not (Table 1). We used linear regression to estimate the association between PCT rise and length of ICU stay, whilst controlling for age, sex, ethnicity (Caucasian vs. non-Caucasian) and number of comorbidities, and we found that the effect of PCT rise was not statistically significant (p = 0.102). Similarly, we found no statistically significant relationship between either CRP rise and length of ICU stay (p = 0.300) or WBC rise and length of ICU stay (p = 0.638).

3. Discussion

This retrospective single-centre cohort study showed that a prespecified rise in PCT by 50%, compared to a previous value at any time point, was significantly associated with the occurrence of secondary infection in ICU patients admitted with critical COVID-19. Conversely, neither CRP nor WBC were shown to have any significant discriminatory associations. This indicates the relative usefulness of serial PCT measurements in the identification of nosocomial bacterial infection and highlights its potential for guiding antimicrobial therapy in COVID-19 ICU patients.

In our study, secondary infection occurred in 51% of patients. This was considerably higher than the levels reported in a living systematic review and meta-analysis of 24 studies (3338 patients), where Langford et al. [8] found documented bacterial infection in only 8.1% (95%CI 2.3–13.8%) of critically ill patients. However, studies of a similar size and setting to our own have reported high levels of secondary infection [12,13]. ICU patients are at heightened risk of nosocomial infection—up to 5 times that of general hospital patients [14]—and major risk factors, such as mechanical ventilation, are especially pertinent in COVID-19 [15]. Indeed, our data showed that VAP/VAT was confirmed in 43% of patients. Similar to our study, a meta-analysis and a large cohort study from Italy, involving an almost identical patient population, recently recorded VAP rates in close to 50% of patients with COVID-19 ARDS [16,17]. As bacterial co-infection increases mortality and prolongs the ICU stay of critical COVID-19 patients [18], early identification of secondary pathogens is crucial.

Our finding, which confirmed that VAP/VAT and/or LCBI were significantly associated with a prespecified rise in PCT but not with a rise in CRP or WBC, suggests that serial PCT measurements could become useful in predicting the emergence of secondary bacterial infection in critical COVID-19 patients. Indeed, this has been supported in other studies, for instance, Pink et al. [13] showed that a PCT < 0.55 ng/mL had a negative predictive value of 93% but that the predictive values of CRP were less robust. Similarly, Van Berkel et al. [12] reported that a PCT level >1.0 ng/mL had a positive predictive value of 93%; however, CRP offered little use when monitoring for bacterial pathogens. The results of these studies provide external validity to our findings and support the use of PCT monitoring in the ICU setting.

In contrast to our findings, Wan et al. [19] reported that despite significantly elevated PCT in patients with more severe diseases, none showed evidence of bacterial infection. In our study, of those patients who did not experience a VAP/VAT or LCBI, 65.6% experienced a PCT rise. This perhaps suggests that a PCT rise is not as a result of bacterial pathogens but a response to systemic inflammatory dysregulation often reported in severe COVID-19 patients [20–22], most notably the documented suppression of interferon- γ (IFN- γ), the primary inhibitor of PCT in viral infection [21]. Whilst this is important to consider, Wan et al. [19] derive a significant association between severity and PCT levels based on a PCT threshold of 0.1 ng/mL on admission.

However, relying on specific fixed PCT levels to alert clinicians to bacterial infection and dictate treatment is problematic. Absolute values of inflammatory markers such as PCT and CRP can be readily influenced by disease-modifying agents as we demonstrated earlier [23]. Previously published patient level data derived from the first wave of the pandemic showed that PCT levels can be sporadic and highly variable, with levels elevated even in the absence of confirmed infection [22–24]. As such, our study employed a predetermined rise in PCT, utilising trends in serial PCT levels rather than a critical tipping point as an indicator for secondary infection, which could be adopted in clinical practice. PCT kinetics have been successfully used to detect nosocomial infections and to monitor the appropriateness of antimicrobial therapy [25–30]. Our definition of PCT rise was based on these previous trials, to provide an easily accessible clinical tool at the bedside.

A multicentre prospective cohort study analysing 48,902 COVID-19 inpatients from 260 hospitals across the UK found that 37% had received antimicrobial therapy in the community prior to admission and 85% received antibiotics as inpatients, with the highest rates reported in critical care [31]. Of their cohort, microbiologically confirmed infections were present in only 1106 (2.3%) patients. Similarly, before the COVID-19 pandemic, we demonstrated significant overuse of antimicrobials, without appropriate investigations in the general ward environment, in patients who had strong clinical suspicion of infection [32,33]. During the first wave of the pandemic, empirical antimicrobials were recommended by NICE without much data available; these recommendations were extrapolated from the H1N1 influenza pandemic [10]. These stark statistics highlight the indiscriminate use of antibiotic therapy in COVID-19 patients and raise concerns about antibiotic resistance, which could present a possible ongoing complication of the COVID-19 pandemic and should be addressed as a matter of urgency. The microbiologically confirmed diagnosis of infection has been shown to represent 50-75% of infections on the ICU, and it is currently unknown which is the best way to approach the diagnosis of culture-negative suspected bacterial infections in the context of COVID-19 ARDS [34]. Biomarkers associated with the development of such infections could be useful tools at the bedside [35].

A survey of antibiotic prescribing conducted in Scotland across 15 hospitals showed that a raised CRP \geq 100 mg/L was associated with higher odds of antibiotic therapy in COVID-19 patients [36], implying a reliance on CRP in guiding treatment when bacterial infection was suspected. Notably, PCT was not evaluated in the study as it is not routinely used in Scotland. We report that, in a combined model, rises in CRP and WBC were not significantly associated with nosocomial bacterial infection and are therefore of little use in directing antimicrobial treatment strategies. By contrast, the significant association we have shown between a PCT rise and ICU-acquired infection reinforces the potential of using PCT as part of an algorithm to initiate antimicrobial therapy to treat nosocomial infections in the context of a primary viral pathogen-induced acute respiratory failure [37].

The occurrence of healthcare-associated infections is associated with an increased length of stay in COVID-19 pneumonia. On the other hand, secondary infections, especially VAP, are more likely to develop if patients receive prolonged respiratory support [16,38]. We found that ICU length of stay was longer in the patients who experienced a PCT rise, which in turn is associated with secondary infections; however, when controlling

for known confounders, such as age, sex and comorbidities, we found no significant associations between biomarker rise and length of stay. This might indicate that secondary infections per se are not major determinants of prolonged ICU stay; however, this would need to be explored in a much larger multicentre dataset. It must be noted that patients in our centre had a prolonged ICU length of stay which fell into to the 75–100% quartile when compared to the rest of the UK [39]. The onset of the first secondary infection in our cohort corresponded to the median length of stay of the rest of the UK and, compared to other international datasets, they would be classified as "late" infections [16,38].

The limitations of our study included the retrospective design and the fact that ~10% of daily laboratory data were missing. Similar missingness has been noted in other retrospective studies [16,38]. Additionally, microbiological investigations were not always performed prior to the initiation of antimicrobial therapy, potentially affecting the detection of a previously established bacterial infection. Our definition of PCT, CRP and WBC rise can be seen as arbitrary. There is no universally accepted value to define the dynamic changes of these biomarkers and, in this context, any such definition has drawbacks. We tried to mitigate this by using delta values from previously published studies [25–30]. In our statistical model, we did not specifically control for the timing of the biomarker rise and the development of VAP/VAT or LCBI. Hence, we can only show association, and we cannot make any claims of diagnostic accuracy using these biomarkers. We have previously shown that the diagnostic criteria for these events are very dependent on the framework used, and further analysis is needed to understand if PCT rise could be used as part of this framework [40]. We did not examine the usefulness of any of the markers as stopping guides for unnecessary antimicrobial therapy as we adopted serial PCT measurements as part of standard of care, and we did not have a comparator group, unlike Calderon et al. [30]. The relatively small sample size of our study and the high rates of secondary infection may mean that our results are not generalisable; however, recent data from Italy and Spain involving critical COVID-19 patients suggest that the rate of secondary infection in the ICU might be higher than previously thought [16,18]. We did not examine the potential effects of any disease-modifying treatments, such as corticosteroids, interleukin-6-receptor inhibitors, antivirals or hydroxychloroquine, on secondary infections as we had a small sample size [41]. In the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic, we only used such therapies as part of a randomised controlled trial [42–45].

4. Materials and Methods

This was a single-centre retrospective observational study conducted in Aneurin Bevan University Health Board in Wales, United Kingdom. The study was reviewed by the local Research and Development Risk Review Group (PICOT: Evaluation of Procalcitonin Measurement in COVID Patients Admitted to ICU, 12 April 2020) and, in accordance with the Health Research Authority's guidance, was classed as a service evaluation and consent was waived.

Patients admitted to the ICU between 9 March 2020 and 5 June 2020 were screened daily and recruited to the study providing they fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: age \geq 18 years old; a SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by positive RT-PCR.

Data on patient demographics, co-morbidities, daily biomarker and physiological results, SOFA scores on admission and clinical outcomes were collected retrospectively using patient medical notes and laboratory reports. Daily CRP and white blood cell count (WBC) measurements were standard of care in the ICU, and daily PCT measurements were adopted as standard of care on 18 March 2020, providing serial data. Microbiological data identifying pathogens implicated in ICU-acquired secondary infections were also collected as per standard practice. Secondary bacterial infection was classified either as healthcare-acquired laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection (LCBI) or ventilator-associated pneumonia/tracheobronchitis (VAP/VAT), the definitions of which are detailed in Table 3. Patients were observed from ICU admission until discharge from ICU or death.

Outcome	Definition				
Healthcare-acquired laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection (LCBI)	Patient has a recognised pathogen cultured from one or more blood cultures, and organism cultured from blood is not related to an infection at another site.	OR	Patient has at least one of the following signs or symptoms: fever (>38 °C), chills or hypotension; signs and symptoms and positive laboratory results are not related to an infection at another site and at least one of the following: (a) common skin contaminant (e.g., diphtheroids, Bacillus sp., Propionibacterium sp., coagulase-negative Staphylococci, or Micrococci) is cultured from two or more blood cultures drawn on separate occasions; (b) common skin contaminant is cultured from at least one blood culture from a patient with an intravascular line, and the physician institutes appropriate antimicrobial therapy; (c) positive antigen test on blood or urine (e.g., H. influenzae, S. pneumoniae, N. meningitidis, or Group B Streptococcus).		
Ventilator-associated pneumonia/tracheobronchitis (VAP/VAT)	two of the following: body temp or $< 1.5 \times 10^9$ /L; and purulent confirmation is required for a colony-forming units (cfu)/mL	erature ≥ tracheal Ill patien or positi riteria as	new infiltrate on chest X-ray associated with at least ≥ 38.5 °C or < 36 °C; leukocyte count $\geq 10 \times 10^9/L$ aspirate or sputum. In addition, a microbiological ts (positive endotracheal aspirate culture $\geq 10^5$ ve bronchoalveolar lavage culture $\geq 10^4$ cfu/mL). for VAP, except the presence of new or progressive onary infiltrate.		
Procalcitonin (PCT) rise	An increase by at least 50% from previous value at any point in time.				
C-reactive protein (CRP) rise	An increase by at least 50% from previous value at any point in time.				
White blood cell count (WBC) rise	An increase by at least 20% from previous value at any point in time.				

Table 3. Definitions of clinical outcomes.

Statistical Analysis

All primary data analyses were carried out according to the prespecified statistical analysis plan (see Supplementary Materials), which was approved before the final database lock. Missing biomarker data were assumed to be missing at random and not replaced. For the primary comparison of patients with vs. without a PCT rise, a logistic regression model was fitted with the occurrence of at least one LCBI or VAP/VAT event as the dependent variable and biomarker rise (yes/no), age, sex and the number of comorbidities as independent variables. Odds ratios were estimated alongside 95% CIs and *p*-values. Similar models were fitted for secondary outcomes, depending on the type of outcome variable (logistic regression for death, linear regression for ICU length of stay), and with different biomarkers (CRP, WBC) as independent variables. Models including all three biomarkers as independent variables were also fitted. Ethnicity (Caucasian vs. non-Caucasian) was planned to be another covariate but had to be removed from the logistic models to be fittable (all Caucasian patients had a biomarker rise). Statistical significance was defined as a *p*-value < 0.05. All statistical analysis was performed using R version 4.1.0.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our finding, which confirmed that VAP/VAT and/or LCBI was significantly associated with a prespecified rise in PCT, provides a promising insight into the usefulness of PCT measurement in predicting the emergence of secondary bacterial infection in critical care. Secondary infections on the ICU are difficult to treat and associated with worse outcomes. Evaluating biomarker kinetics such as PCT rise might prove useful in directing antimicrobial stewardship.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/antibiotics10111425/s1, Figure S1: PCT trajectories over time for individual patients (in ng/mL),

Figure S2: CRP trajectories over time for individual patients (in mg/L), Figure S3: WBC trajectories over time for individual patients (in 1000/L), Figure S4: Violin plots for days of LCBI and VAP/VAT onset and first biomarker rise.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, P.P., E.T.-J. and T.S.; data curation, O.R. and T.S.; formal analysis, P.P.; funding acquisition, E.T.-J. and T.S.; investigation, O.R., C.K. (Charles King), Y.C. and C.K. (Charlotte Killick); methodology, O.R. and P.P.; project administration, E.T.-J.; resources, E.T.-J., J.H., C.B. and T.S.; supervision, T.S.; visualisation, O.R. and P.P.; writing—original draft, O.R., P.P. and T.S.; writing—review and editing, O.R., P.P., C.K. (Charles King), Y.C., C.K. (Charlotte Killick), E.T.-J., J.H., C.B. and T.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The Centre for Trials Research, Cardiff University, receives infrastructure funding from Health and Care Research Wales and Cancer Research UK.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Aneurin Bevan University Health Board as service evaluation using anonymised data (PICOT v2 23/04/2020).

Informed Consent Statement: Patient consent was waived due to the study being classed as service evaluation using anonymised retrospective data.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy constraints.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; the writing of the manuscript; or the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. COVID-19 Case Tracker. Available online: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu (accessed on 9 July 2021).
- Guan, W.; Ni, Z.; Hu, Y.; Liang, W.; Ou, C.; He, J.; Liu, L.; Shan, H.; Lei, C.; Hui, D.S.C.; et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 382, 1708–1720. [CrossRef]
- Self, W.H.; Balk, R.A.; Grijalva, C.G.; Williams, D.J.; Zhu, Y.; Anderson, E.J.; Waterer, G.W.; Courtney, D.M.; Bramley, A.M.; Trabue, C.; et al. Procalcitonin as a Marker of Etiology in Adults Hospitalized with Community-Acquired Pneumonia. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 65, 183–190. [CrossRef]
- Harbarth, S.; Holeckova, K.; Froidevaux, C.; Pittet, D.; Ricou, B.; Grau, G.E.; Vadas, L.; Pugin, J. The Geneva Sepsis Network Diagnostic Value of Procalcitonin, Interleukin-6, and Interleukin-8 in Critically Ill Patients Admitted with Suspected Sepsis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2001, 164, 396–402. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, F.; Yu, T.; Du, R.; Fan, G.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Z.; Xiang, J.; Wang, Y.; Song, B.; Gu, X.; et al. Clinical Course and Risk Factors for Mortality of Adult Inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Lancet* 2020, 395, 1054–1062. [CrossRef]
- Vazzana, N.; Dipaola, F.; Ognibene, S. Procalcitonin and Secondary Bacterial Infections in COVID-19: Association with Disease Severity and Outcomes. *Acta Clin. Belg.* 2020, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lansbury, L.; Lim, B.; Baskaran, V.; Lim, W.S. Co-Infections in People with COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J. Infect. 2020, 81, 266–275. [CrossRef]
- Langford, B.J.; So, M.; Raybardhan, S.; Leung, V.; Westwood, D.; MacFadden, D.R.; Soucy, J.-P.R.; Daneman, N. Bacterial Co-Infection and Secondary Infection in Patients with COVID-19: A Living Rapid Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2020, 26, 1622–1629. [CrossRef]
- 9. Powell, N.; Howard, P.; Llewelyn, M.J.; Szakmany, T.; Albur, M.; Bond, S.E.; Euden, J.; Brookes-Howell, L.; Dark, P.; Hellyer, T.P.; et al. Use of Procalcitonin during the First Wave of COVID-19 in the Acute NHS Hospitals: A Retrospective Observational Study. *Antibiotics* **2021**, *10*, 516. [CrossRef]
- 10. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. COVID-19 Rapid Guideline: Managing COVID-19. Available online: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng191/resources/fully-accessible-version-of-the-guideline-pdf-pdf-51035553326 (accessed on 13 July 2021).
- The ARDS Definition Task Force. Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: The Berlin Definition. JAMA 2012, 307, 2526–2533.
 [CrossRef]
- 12. van Berkel, M.; Kox, M.; Frenzel, T.; Pickkers, P.; Schouten, J. Biomarkers for Antimicrobial Stewardship: A Reappraisal in COVID-19 Times? *Crit. Care* 2020, 24, 600. [CrossRef]
- Pink, I.; Raupach, D.; Fuge, J.; Vonberg, R.-P.; Hoeper, M.M.; Welte, T.; Rademacher, J. C-Reactive Protein and Procalcitonin for Antimicrobial Stewardship in COVID-19. *Infection* 2021, 49, 935–943. [CrossRef]

- 14. Maki, D.G.; Crnich, C.J.; Safdar, N. Nosocomial Infection in the Intensive Care Unit. In *Critical Care Medicine*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008; pp. 1003–1069. ISBN 978-0-323-04841-5.
- 15. Westblade, L.F.; Simon, M.S.; Satlin, M.J. Bacterial Coinfections in Coronavirus Disease 2019. *Trends Microbiol.* 2021, 29, 930–941. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Grasselli, G.; Scaravilli, V.; Mangioni, D.; Scudeller, L.; Alagna, L.; Bartoletti, M.; Bellani, G.; Biagioni, E.; Bonfanti, P.; Bottino, N.; et al. Hospital-Acquired Infections in Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19. *Chest* 2021, *160*, 454–465. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ippolito, M.; Misseri, G.; Catalisano, G.; Marino, C.; Ingoglia, G.; Alessi, M.; Consiglio, E.; Gregoretti, C.; Giarratano, A.; Cortegiani, A. Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Patients with COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Antibiotics* 2021, 10, 545. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bardi, T.; Pintado, V.; Gomez-Rojo, M.; Escudero-Sanchez, R.; Azzam Lopez, A.; Diez-Remesal, Y.; Martinez Castro, N.; Ruiz-Garbajosa, P.; Pestaña, D. Nosocomial Infections Associated to COVID-19 in the Intensive Care Unit: Clinical Characteristics and Outcome. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2021, 40, 495–502. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Wan, S.; Xiang, Y.; Fang, W.; Zheng, Y.; Li, B.; Hu, Y.; Lang, C.; Huang, D.; Sun, Q.; Xiong, Y.; et al. Clinical Features and Treatment of COVID-19 Patients in Northeast Chongqing. *J. Med. Virol.* 2020, *92*, 797–806. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, D.; Chu, H.; Hou, Y.; Chai, Y.; Shuai, H.; Lee, A.C.-Y.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; Hu, B.; Huang, X.; et al. Attenuated Interferon and Proinflammatory Response in SARS-CoV-2–Infected Human Dendritic Cells Is Associated with Viral Antagonism of STAT1 Phosphorylation. J. Infect. Dis. 2020, 222, 734–745. [CrossRef]
- 21. Merad, M.; Martin, J.C. Pathological Inflammation in Patients with COVID-19: A Key Role for Monocytes and Macrophages. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2020, *20*, 355–362. [CrossRef]
- Sinha, P.; Calfee, C.S.; Cherian, S.; Brealey, D.; Cutler, S.; King, C.; Killick, C.; Richards, O.; Cheema, Y.; Bailey, C.; et al. Prevalence of Phenotypes of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19: A Prospective Observational Study. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 2020, *8*, 1209–1218. [CrossRef]
- Szakmany, T.; Tuckwell, W.; Harte, E.; Wetherall, N.; Ramachandran, S.; Price, S.; Breen, H.; Killick, C.; Cheema, Y.; King, C.; et al. Differences in Inflammatory Marker Kinetics between the First and Second Wave of COVID-19 Patients Admitted to the ICU: A Retrospective, Single-Center Study. JCM 2021, 10, 3290. [CrossRef]
- 24. de Bruin, S.; Bos, L.D.; van Roon, M.A.; Tuip-de Boer, A.M.; Schuurman, A.R.; Koel-Simmelinck, M.J.A.; Bogaard, H.J.; Tuinman, P.R.; van Agtmael, M.A.; Hamann, J.; et al. Clinical Features and Prognostic Factors in Covid-19: A Prospective Cohort Study. *EBioMedicine* **2021**, *67*, 103378. [CrossRef]
- Theodorou, V.P.; Papaioannou, V.E.; Tripsianis, G.A.; Panopoulou, M.K.; Christophoridis, E.K.; Kouliatsis, G.A.; Gioka, T.M.; Maltezos, E.S.; Ktenidou-Kartali, S.I.; Pneumatikos, I.A. Procalcitonin and Procalcitonin Kinetics for Diagnosis and Prognosis of Intravascular Catheter-Related Bloodstream Infections in Selected Critically Ill Patients: A Prospective Observational Study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2012, *12*, 247. [CrossRef]
- Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, B.; Morales, I.; Pérez-Galera, S.; Fernández-Riejos, P.; Retamar, P.; de Cueto, M.; Pascual, Á.; Rodríguez-Baño, J. Predictive Value of the Kinetics of Procalcitonin and C-Reactive Protein for Early Clinical Stability in Patients with Bloodstream Infections Due to Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 93, 63–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cabral, L.; Afreixo, V.; Meireles, R.; Vaz, M.; Marques, M.; Tourais, I.; Chaves, C.; Almeida, L.; Paiva, J.A. Procalcitonin Kinetics after Burn Injury and Burn Surgery in Septic and Non-Septic Patients—A Retrospective Observational Study. *BMC Anesth.* 2018, 18, 122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Trásy, D.; Tánczos, K.; Németh, M.; Hankovszky, P.; Lovas, A.; Mikor, A.; Hajdú, E.; Osztroluczki, A.; Fazakas, J.; Molnár, Z. Delta Procalcitonin is a Better Indicator of Infection Than Absolute Procalcitonin Values in Critically Ill Patients: A Prospective Observational Study. J. Immunol. Res. 2016, 2016, 3530752. [CrossRef]
- Trásy, D.; Tánczos, K.; Németh, M.; Hankovszky, P.; Lovas, A.; Mikor, A.; László, I.; Hajdú, E.; Osztroluczki, A.; Fazakas, J.; et al. Early Procalcitonin Kinetics and Appropriateness of Empirical Antimicrobial Therapy in Critically Ill Patients. *J. Crit. Care* 2016, 34, 50–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Calderon, M.; Li, A.; Bazo-Alvarez, J.C.; Dennis, J.; Baker, K.F.; Schim van der Loeff, I.; Hanrath, A.T.; Capstick, R.; Payne, B.A.I.; Weiand, D.; et al. Evaluation of Procalcitonin-Guided Antimicrobial Stewardship in Patients Admitted to Hospital with COVID-19 Pneumonia. *JAC-Antimicrob. Resist.* 2021, *3*, dlab133. [CrossRef]
- Russell, C.D.; Fairfield, C.J.; Drake, T.M.; Turtle, L.; Seaton, R.A.; Wootton, D.G.; Sigfrid, L.; Harrison, E.M.; Docherty, A.B.; de Silva, T.I.; et al. Co-Infections, Secondary Infections, and Antimicrobial Use in Patients Hospitalised with COVID-19 during the First Pandemic Wave from the ISARIC WHO CCP-UK Study: A Multicentre, Prospective Cohort Study. *Lancet Microbe* 2021, 2, e354–e365. [CrossRef]
- 32. Kopczynska, M.; Sharif, B.; Unwin, H.; Lynch, J.; Forrester, A.; Zeicu, C.; Cleaver, S.; Kulikouskaya, S.; Chandy, T.; Ang, E.; et al. Real World Patterns of Antimicrobial Use and Microbiology Investigations in Patients with Sepsis Outside the Critical Care Unit: Secondary Analysis of Three Nation-Wide Point Prevalence Studies. JCM 2019, 8, 1337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. McNulty, P.; Szakmany, T.; Welsh Digital Data Collection Platform. Factors Influencing Clinician's Coherence with Local Antimicrobial Guidelines in the Management of Sepsis. *Anaesthesiol Intensive* **2018**, *50*, 82–84. [CrossRef]
- 34. Li, Y.; Guo, J.; Yang, H.; Li, H.; Shen, Y.; Zhang, D. Comparison of Culture-Negative and Culture-Positive Sepsis or Septic Shock: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Crit. Care* **2021**, *25*, 167. [CrossRef]

- 35. Hughes, S.; Mughal, N.; Moore, L.S.P. Procalcitonin to Guide Antibacterial Prescribing in Patients Hospitalised with COVID-19. *Antibiotics* **2021**, *10*, 1119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Seaton, R.A.; Gibbons, C.L.; Cooper, L.; Malcolm, W.; McKinney, R.; Dundas, S.; Griffith, D.; Jeffreys, D.; Hamilton, K.; Choo-Kang, B.; et al. Survey of Antibiotic and Antifungal Prescribing in Patients with Suspected and Confirmed COVID-19 in Scottish Hospitals. J. Infect. 2020, 81, 952–960. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schuetz, P.; Wirz, Y.; Sager, R.; Christ-Crain, M.; Stolz, D.; Tamm, M.; Bouadma, L.; Luyt, C.E.; Wolff, M.; Chastre, J.; et al. Procalcitonin to Initiate or Discontinue Antibiotics in Acute Respiratory Tract Infections. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2017, 10, CD007498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gamberini, L.; Tonetti, T.; Spadaro, S.; Zani, G.; Mazzoli, C.A.; Capozzi, C.; Giampalma, E.; Bacchi Reggiani, M.L.; Bertellini, E.; Castelli, A.; et al. Factors Influencing Liberation from Mechanical Ventilation in Coronavirus Disease 2019: Multicenter Observational Study in Fifteen Italian ICUs. J. Intensive Care 2020, 8, 80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Richards-Belle, A.; Orzechowska, I.; Gould, D.W.; Thomas, K.; Doidge, J.C.; Mouncey, P.R.; Christian, M.D.; Shankar-Hari, M.; Harrison, D.A.; Rowan, K.M.; et al. COVID-19 in Critical Care: Epidemiology of the First Epidemic Wave across England, Wales and Northern Ireland. *Intensive Care Med.* **2020**, *46*, 2035–2047. [CrossRef]
- Pugh, R.; Harrison, W.; Harris, S.; Roberts, H.; Scholey, G.; Szakmany, T.; WICSARG Investigators and WHAIP. Is HELICS the Right Way? Lack of Chest Radiography Limits Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia Surveillance in Wales. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, 7, 1271. [CrossRef]
- 41. Kooistra, E.J.; van Berkel, M.; van Kempen, N.F.; van Latum, C.R.M.; Bruse, N.; Frenzel, T.; van den Berg, M.J.W.; Schouten, J.A.; Kox, M.; Pickkers, P. Dexamethasone and Tocilizumab Treatment Considerably Reduces the Value of C-Reactive Protein and Procalcitonin to Detect Secondary Bacterial Infections in COVID-19 Patients. *Crit. Care* **2021**, *25*, 281. [CrossRef]
- 42. RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2021**, *384*, 693–704. [CrossRef]
- 43. The RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Tocilizumab in Patients Admitted to Hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): A Randomised, Controlled, Open-Label, Platform Trial. *Lancet* 2021, 397, 1637–1645. [CrossRef]
- 44. The RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Effect of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *383*, 2030–2040. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Horby, P.W.; Mafham, M.; Bell, J.L.; Linsell, L.; Staplin, N.; Emberson, J.; Palfreeman, A.; Raw, J.; Elmahi, E.; Prudon, B.; et al. Lopinavir–Ritonavir in Patients Admitted to Hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): A Randomised, Controlled, Open-Label, Platform Trial. *Lancet* 2020, 396, 1345–1352. [CrossRef]