

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:<https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/145954/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Murch, Oliver, Jain, Vani, Benneche, Andreas, Metcalfe, Kay, Hobson, Emma, Prescott, Katrina, Chandler, Kate, Ghali, Neeti, Carmichael, Jenny, Foulds, Nicola C., Paulsen, Julie, Smeland, Marie F., Berland, Siren and Fry, Andrew E. 2022. Further delineation of the clinical spectrum of White-Sutton syndrome: 12 new individuals and a review of the literature. *European Journal of Human Genetics* 30 , pp. 95-100. 10.1038/s41431-021-00961-3

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41431-021-00961-3>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Further delineation of the clinical spectrum of White-Sutton syndrome: 12 new individuals and a review of the literature.

Oliver Murch¹, Vani Jain¹, Andreas Benneche², Kay Metcalfe³, Emma Hobson⁴, Katrina Prescott⁴, Kate Chandler³, Neeti Ghali⁵, Jenny Carmichael⁶, Nicola C. Foulds⁷, Julie Paulsen⁸, Marie F. Smeland⁹, Siren Berland², Andrew E. Fry^{1,10}

1. Institute of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK
2. Department of Medical Genetics, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway
3. Manchester Centre for Genomics Medicine, St Mary's Hospital, Manchester University Hospital Foundation Trust, Health Innovation Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, UK
4. Yorkshire Regional Genetics Service, Chapel Allerton Hospital, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
5. North West Thames Regional Genetics Service, London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust, Harrow, UK
6. Oxford Regional Clinical Genetics Service, Northampton General Hospital, Northampton, UK
7. Wessex Clinical Genetics Service, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK
8. Department of Medical Genetics, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway
9. Department of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of North Norway, 9019, Tromsø, Norway

10. Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff,
UK

Correspondence to:

Dr Oliver Murch, Institute of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, CF14
4XW, UK oliver.murch@wales.nhs.uk

Abstract

White-Sutton syndrome (WHSUS) is a neurodevelopmental disorder caused by heterozygous loss-of-function variants in *POGZ*. Through the Deciphering Developmental Disorders study and clinical testing, we identified 12 individuals from 10 families with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in *POGZ* (eight *de novo* and two inherited). Most individuals had delayed development and/or intellectual disability. We analyzed the clinical findings in our series and combined it with data from 89 previously reported individuals. The results demonstrate WHSUS is associated with variable developmental delay or intellectual disability, increased risk of obesity, visual defects, craniofacial dysmorphism, sensorineural hearing loss, feeding problems, seizures and structural brain malformations. Our series includes further individuals with rod-cone dystrophy, cleft lip and palate, congenital diaphragmatic hernia, and duplicated renal drainage system, suggesting these are rare complications of WHSUS. In addition, we describe an individual with a novel, *de novo* missense variant in *POGZ* and features of WHSUS. Our work further delineates the phenotypic spectrum of WHSUS highlighting the variable severity of this disorder and the observation of familial pathogenic *POGZ* variants.

Keywords:

White-Sutton Syndrome, WHSUS, *POGZ*, intellectual disability, DDD study

Introduction

White-Sutton syndrome (WHSUS, OMIM 616364) is a rare neurodevelopmental disorder caused by heterozygous variants in the *POGZ* gene. A range of clinical features have been described in WHSUS patients including delayed development (DD), intellectual disability (ID), dysmorphic facial features, microcephaly, behavioural problems, visual difficulties, sensorineural hearing loss, increased incidence of obesity, and a variety of brain anomalies¹⁻¹². Almost 90 individuals with WHSUS have been described in the literature to date. The relatively non-specific phenotypic features of WHSUS make it difficult to diagnose clinically. Therefore, most reported individuals have been diagnosed by exome or whole genome sequencing. Some individuals with mild features may be considered to have non-syndromic ID. Here we use WHSUS to refer to the entire spectrum of *POGZ*-related ID.

The *POGZ* (POGO transposable element with ZNF domain) protein is expressed in many tissues, including the foetal and adult brain¹. It has been proposed that *POGZ* is a chromatin regulator involved in chromosomal segregation and mitotic progression¹³. Through chromatin remodelling *POGZ* regulates the transcriptional networks controlling neuronal developmental gene expression¹⁴. *POGZ* is a highly constrained gene with fewer than expected missense and protein-truncating variants (PTVs) in the general population (gnomAD pLI = 1 and missense Z-score = 3.51)¹⁵. *POGZ* missense variants have been reported in patients with autistic spectrum disorder (ASD) and schizophrenia^{14,16-20} while PTVs are the predominant mutation type reported in WHSUS¹⁻¹¹.

Here, we describe a series of previously unreported individuals with heterozygous *POGZ* variants identified through exome or gene panel testing. We analyze the range of phenotypes and variants found in our series and compare them with individuals previously reported in the literature.

Subjects and Methods

Seven probands (individuals 2, 3, 7, 9 and 11-13) underwent trio-based whole exome sequencing (WES) as part of the Deciphering Developmental Disorders (DDD) study²¹. Limited information about individuals 7, 9 and 12 was included in previous DDD publications^{7,22}. The remaining four probands (individuals 1, 4, 8, and 10) were identified through clinical testing using either trio-based WES or a next generation sequencing intellectual disability gene panel. All individuals have been submitted to the DECIPHER database (IDs: individual 1, 430757; individual 2, 277002; individual 3, 282229; individuals 4-6, 430735; individual 7, 270842; individual 8, 430545; individual 9, 264054; individual 10, 379029; individual 11, 273462; individual 12, 260897; individual 13, 296434)²³. Individuals 5 and 6 (the brother and mother of individual 4) had targeted Sanger sequencing to confirm the presence of the familial *POGZ* variant. All subjects (apart from individuals 5 and 6), had array comparative genomic hybridization testing in addition to other single gene and panel tests, without an alternative molecular cause being found.

A deep phenotyping questionnaire was devised based on the literature. Broad subheadings in the questionnaire included growth, development, learning, vision and hearing, neurobehavioral, skeletal, cardiac, gastrointestinal, immune and other features. Detailed phenotyping was undertaken by local clinicians. All individuals or their parents/guardians gave consent for publication. Three families gave consent for publication of photographs. Individuals who had evaluation or analysis beyond routine clinical care were part of research studies approved by the Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee (10/H0305/83). Variant positions are based on *POGZ* transcript NM_015100.3, genome assembly GRCh37 (hg19) and genomic reference file NC_000001.10. *POGZ* variants were classified using American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology

(ACMG-AMP) guidelines and the 2020 Association for Clinical Genomic Science (ACGS) guidelines^{24,25}.

Results

We ascertained 12 individuals (six males, six females) with PTVs in *POGZ* that were classified as pathogenic or likely pathogenic. The clinical features of the 12 individuals are summarized in Table 1. Detailed descriptions are provided in Supplementary Table 1. The age range of the individuals was 11 months to 34 years. As with previous reports the variants were spread throughout the gene (Figure 1). Seven variants were have not been previously published. Two variants were inherited (one novel and one recurrent). The novel c.2080C>T p.(Arg694*) variant was found in individual 4, his brother individual 5, and their mildly affected mother, individual 6. Testing found similar, but slightly skewed allele ratios in blood samples from all three family members (158 reference reads/121 variant reads, 125/116 and 138/108 respectively). Sanger sequencing confirmed the variant was also present in the mother's urine sediment and a buccal swab. Thus, reducing the likelihood of mosaicism. Testing of other family members was not possible. The other inherited variant, c.2020delC p.(Arg674Valfs*9), was found in individual 3. The variant was inherited from individual 3's father who was described as having mild ID (only limited information about him was available). The c.2020delC p.(Arg674Valfs*9) variant was previously observed *de novo* in two unrelated individuals with ID/DD and ASD^{1,5}.

We analysed the frequency of clinical findings in the 12 individuals then combined the data with information from 89 previously published individuals with WHSUS. The frequency of features in our series and the combined cohort is given in Table 2.

Neurodevelopment

DD or ID was evident in all but one of the individuals with PTVs in our series. Where severity could be assessed (10/12) the DD/ID in subjects with PTVs was severe in two, moderate-to-severe in one, moderate in three and mild in three. Individual 6 did not require additional educational support and was in full time employment. However, she had not undergone a formal cognitive assessment. Speech was severely affected in six out of 10 individuals. Two patients were diagnosed with ASD. Two more were noted to have autistic traits. Two individuals had a history of at least one seizure. No individuals in our series were noted to have ataxia. Among previously reported individuals there were two with ataxia. MRI brain scans were normal in two subjects and showed minor abnormalities in three others (hypoplasia of the corpus callosum and/or prominent CSF spaces).

Growth and feeding

Birth occipitofrontal circumference (OFC) was recorded in four individuals. Congenital microcephaly (OFC \leq -2 standard deviations (SD) below the mean for age and sex) was present in one (the other three had birth OFCs below -1.5 SD). Two individuals had a history of intrauterine growth restriction. Birthweight for gestational age ranged from -2.3 SD to +1.1 with two individuals $<$ -2 SD). Early feeding problems were common (7/11). Individual 10 had an unsafe swallow and required nasogastric feeding. He also developed pyloric stenosis. Individual 4 had oesophageal dysmotility. Four individuals were obese (4/5 over the age of 10 years). Last recorded weights in our series ranged from -1.9 SD to +2.4 SD. Height ranged from -1.9 to +2.2 SD. Four of the 12 individuals had a height in the first decile ($<$ -1.28 SD) for age, while only two had an above-average height. Microcephaly was present in 8/12 individuals.

Hearing and Vision

Consistent with previous reports, visual problems were a common finding. These included refractory errors (6/12), strabismus (2/12), ptosis (2/12), colobomata (2/12, unilateral iris coloboma in individual 12 and bilateral retinal colobomata in individual 8), and rod-cone dystrophy (1/12). Two previous individuals with WHSUS and rod-cone dystrophy have been reported. Individual 6 had drusen and pigment displacement. Six individuals had hearing loss (sensorineural in three and conductive in three).

Dysmorphic features

There was a spectrum of dysmorphic features in our series (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 1). Common facial features included midface hypoplasia, hypertelorism, down-slanting palpebral fissures, flat nasal tip, thin upper lip, short philtrum and downturned mouth. There was wide variability and some patients only had subtle facial features. Individual 3 had a cleft lip and palate. Individual 10 had a high palate.

Other systemic features and congenital anomalies

A range of other congenital anomalies were reported including minor male genital abnormalities in two individuals (small genitalia and cryptorchidism). Individual 9 had an initial raised nuchal translucency and individual 10 had a cystic hygroma. Individual 8 had a congenital diaphragmatic hernia, an abnormality that has been reported in four previous individuals with *POGZ* variants^{2,10,11,26}. One of these individuals was part of a series of patients with congenital diaphragmatic hernia and further phenotypic information is limited²⁶. Individual 8 had a duplicated renal collecting system, a feature that has been reported in two previous individuals with WHSUS^{2,11}.

Combined analysis with previous WHSUS individuals

We combined the clinical observations in our series with the findings for 89 previously reported individuals with WHSUS (Table 2, Supplementary table 2). Across the combined cohort, 49% had moderate or severe learning difficulties. Speech delay was present in all but three individuals. It was reported to be severe in 33%. Hypotonia (74%), brain malformations (60%), ASD/autistic features (64%), early feeding problems (59%), microcephaly (55%), obesity (56%), and sensorineural hearing loss (29%) were also commonly seen.

Novel missense variant in POGZ

In addition to the individuals with *POGZ* PTVs, we identified a novel *de novo* missense variant c.2096C>T p.(Thr699Ile) in a 7-year-old male (individual 13) with mild ID, epilepsy, sleep apnoea and facial features similar to WHSUS patients (Figure 2 C,F). A detailed case report is given in the Supplementary Material. The formal ACMG-AMP/ACGS classification of the missense was as a variant of uncertain clinical significance (VUS). Individual 13 also had compound heterozygous pathogenic variants in *POLG*. It is therefore unclear how much the *POGZ* variant contributed to the individual 13's phenotype or if the *POLG* variants were the sole cause of his clinical features. Predictions from *in silico* algorithms were conflicting with meta-predictor tools such as REVEL and ClinPred predicting the variant was benign (Supplementary Table 3). However, the variant is in a highly constrained region of *POGZ* (Supplementary Figure 1).

Discussion

We have presented detailed clinical and genetic findings from 12 new individuals with *POGZ* PTVs and one individual with a novel *de novo* missense variant. Two of the variants were inherited from mildly affected parents. Inherited PTVs variants (c.3591_3592delTG p.(Ser1197Argfs*4) and c.1524-3C>G) in *POGZ* causing WHSUS have previously been

reported in two other families^{10,11}. In both families, the parent was mildly affected. The intronic variant described by Garde *et al.* was predicted to affect splicing and was also inherited by an affected sibling¹¹. This highlights that inherited *POGZ* variants should not be overlooked during variant filtering and interpretation of trio-based exome or genome data.

We identified a person (individual 13) with a *de novo* missense variant and features of WHSUS. Missense variants in *POGZ* are less frequently reported in patients with features of WHSUS, but rare/*de novo* missense variants are enriched in patients with ASD and schizophrenia¹⁶⁻²⁰. Missense variants may have milder deleterious effects than PTVs but still contribute to neurodevelopmental disorders (potentially in an oligo- or polygenic manner). However, the variable and relatively non-specific clinical features of WHSUS make it difficult to be certain about the clinical significance of specific missense variants. Of the previously reported individuals with WHSUS to date, including in this series of 12 individuals, only 6 are reported to have missense variants. Of these, only four have commented on the level of ID (3 individuals reported to have mild ID and 1 individual reported to have severe ID^{7,8,11,17}). Further evidence from epidemiological or functional studies will be needed to fully establish the contribution of missense *POGZ* variants to syndromic and non-syndromic ID.

Our series includes further individuals with WHSUS and rod-cone dystrophy, cleft lip and palate, congenital diaphragmatic hernia, and/or a duplicated renal drainage system. These appear to be rare complications of WHSUS alongside the more common and more widely reported phenotype of variable DD or ID, obesity, other visual defects, craniofacial dysmorphism, sensorineural hearing loss, feeding problems, seizures and structural brain malformations. Based on these findings, a reasonable approach to management following diagnosis would include a thorough neurological and physical examination, hearing assessment and ophthalmological review. Individuals with WHSUS are likely to benefit from continued neurodevelopmental and nutritional review and support.

Variants that cause WHSUS are spread throughout the gene. Four PTVs in our series were in the large final exon of *POGZ*. The final exon encodes 553 amino acids (residues 857 to 1410, around 39% of the total protein) and contains over half of the pathogenic PTVs reported to date. PTVs in the final exon may escape nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) and produce truncated products lacking one or more of the four C-terminal domains (Figure 1). PTVs in the small penultimate exon 18 (which encodes 7 amino acids) would also be predicted to escape NMD. Batzir *et al.* suggested that patients with 3' truncating variants predicted to escape NMD were more likely to have severe speech delay¹⁰. The hypothesis being that PTVs which escape NMD may cause dominant-negative effects rather than simply acting through haploinsufficiency. In our combined analysis we found slightly more individuals with a PTV in the last two exons had severe speech delay (18/39, 46%) compared with individuals with a PTV in or before exon 17 (8/34, 34%) (Supplementary Table 2). However, this difference was not quite statistically significant (Fisher's exact test, $P=0.053$). Similarly, it has been proposed that although there is variability, individuals with PTVs that escape NMD might have more severe neurodevelopmental problems¹⁰. In our combined analysis we found individuals with a PTV in the last two exons had a higher rate of moderate or severe ID (21/39, 53%) compared with individuals with a PTV in or before exon 17 (12/33, 36%). Again, this difference was not statistically significant (Fisher's exact test, $P=0.16$). Therefore, we cannot confirm a clear genotype-phenotype correlation between PTV position and ID severity.

Another previous report which performed analysis with phenotyping software (DeepGestalt) suggested that variants in the C-terminal DDE domain were generally associated with less typical WHSUS facial appearance which predominately affected the lower facial portion⁹. Individual 12 in our series had a PTV in the DDE domain and, in our opinion, had typical facial features of WHSUS, including midface hypoplasia (Figure 2).

We recognise the limitations of our series and the combined analysis with previous individuals. The subjects were recruited and assessed by different clinicians in different centres. Data for some individuals was missing or not available. Phenotypic reporting is likely variable between different series, with rare but significant features only mentioned when present rather than absent. It is possible that mild or subtle features such as brachydactyly or minor male genital anomalies may be underreported. Reported individuals with WHSUS are generally young at the time of phenotyping, with the oldest being 34 years old (individual 6). This may be because younger patients with ID are more likely to have genetic testing. We expect there are many older individuals with WHSUS who do not have a genetic diagnosis. From a phenotypic perspective, younger patients may not yet have manifested key features (e.g. obesity). Therefore, further work analysing the phenotype of older individuals with WHSUS is needed.

POGZ has been shown to interact with HP1- α (Heterochromatin protein 1-alpha, CBX5; OMIM 604478)¹³. HP1- α has an essential role in heterochromatin formation and mitotic progression through interaction with several cell cycle proteins^{27,28}. POGZ knockdown in human 293 cells disrupts mitotic HP1- α localization, and causes mitotic defects, abnormal chromosome segregation and nuclear fragmentation¹³. Another protein reported to interact with both HP1- α and POGZ is CHAMP1²⁹. Like *POGZ*, loss-of-function variants in *CHAMP1* have been reported to cause ASD/ID and there are several phenotypic similarities between the conditions^{29,30}. Individuals with CHAMP1-related ID are commonly reported to have severe speech delay, hypotonia, high palate, hypermetropia, strabismus and sleep apnoea^{29,30}. Like WHSUS, the facial features of CHAMP1-related ID are variable, but there are some shared features, including hypertelorism, midface hypoplasia and a thin upper lip, which can also be more prominent centrally. These similarities raise the possibility that disturbed HP1- α function is a pathogenic mechanism of both WHSUS and CHAMP1-related ID.

In summary, we have reported 12 new individuals with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in *POGZ*. We combined clinical data from these individuals with 89 previously reported individuals. Our analysis demonstrated that WHSUS is a neurodevelopmental disorder associated with increased risk of obesity, visual defects, craniofacial dysmorphism, sensorineural hearing loss, feeding problems, seizures and structural brain malformations. The findings demonstrate the variable effects and severity of WHSUS. This work highlights several rare complications of WHSUS; the potential role of deleterious missense variants in *POGZ*; and the observation of further inherited pathogenic variants in *POGZ*.

Conflicts of interest

Nothing to declare

Ethical approval

Individuals who had evaluation or analysis beyond routine clinical care were part of research studies approved by the Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee (10/H0305/83).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the patients, families and clinicians who have contributed to this report. AEF was supported by the Wales Gene Park. Wales Gene Park is a Health and Care Research Wales funded infrastructure support group. The DDD study presents independent research commissioned by the Health Innovation Challenge Fund [grant number HICF-1 009-003]. This study makes use of DECIPHER (<http://decipher.sanger.ac.uk>), which is funded by Wellcome. See Nature PMID: 25533962 or www.ddduk.org/access.html for full acknowledgement. Clinical testing and analysis were performed by The Department of Medical

Genetics, Telemark Hospital Trust, Skien, Norway and the Exeter Genomics Laboratory, Royal Devon & Exeter NHS Foundation Trust, UK.

References

1. Stessman HAF, Willemsen MH, Fencikova M, Penn O, Hoischen A, Xiong B, et al. Disruption of POGZ Is Associated with Intellectual Disability and Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2016 Mar 3;98(3):541–52.
2. White J, Beck CR, Harel T, Posey JE, Jhangiani SN, Tang S, et al. POGZ truncating alleles cause syndromic intellectual disability. *Genome Med.* 2016 Jan 6;8(1):3.
3. Dentici ML, Niceta M, Pantaleoni F, Barresi S, Bencivenga P, Dallapiccola B, et al. Expanding the phenotypic spectrum of truncating POGZ mutations: Association with CNS malformations, skeletal abnormalities, and distinctive facial dysmorphism. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2017 Jul;173(7):1965–9.
4. Gilissen C, Hehir-Kwa JY, Thung DT, van de Vorst M, van Bon BWM, Willemsen MH, et al. Genome sequencing identifies major causes of severe intellectual disability. *Nature.* 2014 Jul 17;511(7509):344–7.
5. Lelieveld SH, Reijnders MRF, Pfundt R, Yntema HG, Kamsteeg E-J, de Vries P, et al. Meta-analysis of 2,104 trios provides support for 10 new genes for intellectual disability. *Nat Neurosci.* 2016;19(9):1194–6.
6. Ferretti A, Barresi S, Trivisano M, Ciolfi A, Dentici ML, Radio FC, et al. POGZ-related epilepsy: Case report and review of the literature. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2019 Aug;179(8):1631–6.
7. Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study. Prevalence and architecture of de novo mutations in developmental disorders. *Nature.* 2017 23;542(7642):433–8.

8. Samanta D, Ramakrishnaiah R, Schaefer B. The neurological aspects related to POGZ mutation: case report and review of CNS malformations and epilepsy. *Acta Neurol Belg.* 2019 Mar 16;
9. Pascolini G, Agolini E, Fleischer N, Gulotta E, Cesario C, D'Elia G, et al. A novel patient with White-Sutton syndrome refines the mutational and clinical repertoire of the POGZ-related phenotype and suggests further observations. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2020 Jul;182(7):1791–5.
10. Assia Batzir N, Posey JE, Song X, Akdemir ZC, Rosenfeld JA, Brown CW, et al. Phenotypic expansion of POGZ-related intellectual disability syndrome (White-Sutton syndrome). *Am J Med Genet A.* 2020;182(1):38–52.
11. Garde A, Cornaton J, Sorlin A, Moutton S, Nicolas C, Juif C, et al. Neuropsychological study in 19 French patients with White-Sutton syndrome and POGZ mutations. *Clin Genet.* 2021 Mar;99(3):407–17.
12. Dal S, Hopper B, du Chattel MVR, Goel H. A case of White-Sutton syndrome with previously described loss-of-function variant in DDE domain of POGZ (p.Arg1211*) and Kartagener syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2020 Dec 30;
13. Nozawa R-S, Nagao K, Masuda H-T, Iwasaki O, Hirota T, Nozaki N, et al. Human POGZ modulates dissociation of HP1alpha from mitotic chromosome arms through Aurora B activation. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010 Jul;12(7):719–27.
14. Matsumura K, Seiriki K, Okada S, Nagase M, Ayabe S, Yamada I, et al. Pathogenic POGZ mutation causes impaired cortical development and reversible autism-like phenotypes. *Nat Commun.* 2020 26;11(1):859.

15. Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature*. 2020;581(7809):434–43.
16. Iossifov I, O’Roak BJ, Sanders SJ, Ronemus M, Krumm N, Levy D, et al. The contribution of de novo coding mutations to autism spectrum disorder. *Nature*. 2014 Nov 13;515(7526):216–21.
17. Fukai R, Hiraki Y, Yofune H, Tsurusaki Y, Nakashima M, Saitsu H, et al. A case of autism spectrum disorder arising from a de novo missense mutation in POGZ. *J Hum Genet*. 2015 May;60(5):277–9.
18. Iossifov I, Ronemus M, Levy D, Wang Z, Hakker I, Rosenbaum J, et al. De novo gene disruptions in children on the autistic spectrum. *Neuron*. 2012 Apr 26;74(2):285–99.
19. Fromer M, Pocklington AJ, Kavanagh DH, Williams HJ, Dwyer S, Gormley P, et al. De novo mutations in schizophrenia implicate synaptic networks. *Nature*. 2014 Feb 13;506(7487):179–84.
20. De Rubeis S, He X, Goldberg AP, Poultney CS, Samocha K, Cicek AE, et al. Synaptic, transcriptional, and chromatin genes disrupted in autism. *Nature*. 2014 Nov 13;515(7526):209–15.
21. Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study. Large-scale discovery of novel genetic causes of developmental disorders. *Nature*. 2015 Mar 12;519(7542):223–8.
22. Wright CF, Fitzgerald TW, Jones WD, Clayton S, McRae JF, van Kogelenberg M, et al. Genetic diagnosis of developmental disorders in the DDD study: a scalable analysis of genome-wide research data. *Lancet Lond Engl*. 2015 Apr 4;385(9975):1305–14.

23. Firth HV, Richards SM, Bevan AP, Clayton S, Corpas M, Rajan D, et al. DECIPHER: Database of Chromosomal Imbalance and Phenotype in Humans Using Ensembl Resources. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2009 Apr;84(4):524–33.
24. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med Off J Am Coll Med Genet.* 2015 May;17(5):405–24.
25. Ellard S. Best Practice Guidelines [Internet]. The Association for Clinical Genomic Science. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 7]. Available from: <https://www.acgs.uk.com/quality/best-practice-guidelines/>
26. Longoni M, High FA, Qi H, Joy MP, Hila R, Coletti CM, et al. Genome-wide enrichment of damaging de novo variants in patients with isolated and complex Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia. *Hum Genet.* 2017 Jun;136(6):679–91.
27. Cheutin T, McNairn AJ, Jenuwein T, Gilbert DM, Singh PB, Misteli T. Maintenance of stable heterochromatin domains by dynamic HP1 binding. *Science.* 2003 Jan 31;299(5607):721–5.
28. Motamedi MR, Hong E-JE, Li X, Gerber S, Denison C, Gygi S, et al. HP1 proteins form distinct complexes and mediate heterochromatic gene silencing by nonoverlapping mechanisms. *Mol Cell.* 2008 Dec 26;32(6):778–90.
29. Isidor B, Küry S, Rosenfeld JA, Besnard T, Schmitt S, Joss S, et al. De Novo Truncating Mutations in the Kinetochores-Microtubules Attachment Gene CHAMP1 Cause Syndromic Intellectual Disability. *Hum Mutat.* 2016 Apr;37(4):354–8.

30. Hempel M, Cremer K, Ockeloen CW, Lichtenbelt KD, Herkert JC, Denecke J, et al. De Novo Mutations in CHAMP1 Cause Intellectual Disability with Severe Speech Impairment. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2015 Sep 3;97(3):493–500.

Figures and Tables




Figure 1: Exon and protein models of *POGZ* showing location of the variants. On top is an exonic model of *POGZ* (the first exon is non-coding). Below is the *POGZ* protein which contains a series of zinc finger domains (Z), a chromobox 5 binding region which contains a further zinc finger domain (CZ), proline-rich domain (PRO), helix-turn-helix centromere protein-B-like DNA-binding domain (HTH), a DDE superfamily endonuclease domain (DDE) and a coiled coil domain (CC). Below the protein model are variants reported in this series. Novel variants are bold. Above the protein model are lines indicating previously reported PTVs (except splice site), circles representing missense variants in individuals reported to have WHSUS and triangles for variants predicted to affect splicing.




Figure 2: Photographs of three individuals with POGZ variants. Individual 1 (A,D) at 9 years of age, individual 12 (B,E) at 4 years of age and individual 13 (C,F) at 7 years of age. Individual 1 and 12 have POGZ PTVs and individual 13 has a *de novo* missense variant in POGZ c.2096C>T p.(Thr699Ile). The three individuals demonstrate the variable facial features of WHSUS, with 12 and 13 showing more typical features, including downslanting palpebral fissures, midface hypoplasia, smooth philtrum and a thin upper lip.

Table 1. Clinical and molecular features in 12 new individuals with WHSUS.

Individual	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Age	9 y	10 y	10 y	4 y	3 y	34 y	11 y	2 y	2 y	11 m	7 y	4 y
Sex	Male	Female	Male	Male	Male	Female	Female	Female	Female	Male	Male	Female
Mutation (NM_015100.3)	c.1005delG p.(Pro336Glnfs*23)	c.1640_1650del p.(Cys547*)	c.2020delC p.(Arg674Valfs*9)	c.2080C>T p.(Arg694*)	c.2080C>T p.(Arg694*)	c.2080C>T p.(Arg694*)	c.2310C>G p.(Tyr770*)	c.2520_2521delCA p.(His 840Glnfs*23)	c.2711T>A p.(Leu904*)	c.2933_2934dupTT p.(Arg979Phefs*3)	c.3001C>T p.(Arg1001*)	c.3354_3355delCTinsT p.(Leu 1119Cysfs*3)
ACMG/ACGS criteria	PVS1, PS2_sup, PM2	PVS1, PS2_sup, PM2	PVS1, PS4_sup, PM2	PVS1, PM2	PVS1, PM2	PVS1, PM2	PVS1, PS2_sup, PM2	PVS1, PS2_sup, PM2	PVS1_strong, PS2_sup, PS4_sup, PM2	PVS1_strong, PS2_sup, PM2	PVS1_strong, PS2_sup, PS4_mod, PM2	PVS1_strong, PS2_sup, PS4_sup, PM2
Inheritance	<i>De novo</i>	<i>De novo</i>	Paternal	Maternal	Maternal	Unknown	<i>De novo</i>	<i>De novo</i>	<i>De novo</i>	<i>De novo</i>	<i>De novo</i>	<i>De novo</i>
Novel	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Microcephaly	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
DD or ID	Mild	Moderate	Moderate	Mild	DD	nr	Moderate	Mod-Severe	Severe	DD	Severe	Mild
Severe Speech delay	-	+	+	+	+	nr	-	+	+	n/a	+	-
Brain MRI	Normal	nr	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	CC hypoplasia	Prominent CSF spaces	CC hypoplasia, prominent CSF spaces	Normal	nr
Hypotonia	nr	nr	nr	+	nr	nr	nr	+	+	+	nr	nr
Feeding	Initial delay in solids	Slow eater	Normal	Oesophageal dysmotility	Slow eater	Normal	Normal	Initial delay in solids	Initial delay in solids	Unsafe swallow	Initial delay in solids	Fussy eater
Obesity	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Hearing	Mixed loss	Normal	Normal	Normal	Glue ear	Normal	Glue ear/ Grommets	Normal (ASSR)	SNHL	SNHL	Glue ear/ Grommets	Normal
Vision	Ptosis	Strabismus, ptosis	Myopia	HM	HM	HM, macular drusen and pigment displacement	HM	Bilateral retinal coloboma	Cone-rod dystrophy, high HM	Prominent right eye with strabismus	Myopia	Left iris coloboma, normal vision
Other features	Talipes equinovarus, CALs, mild scoliosis/ lordosis sandal gap, widely spaced upper incisors	Sparse hair, brachydactyly	Small genitalia, sandal gap, irregular teeth, cleft lip and palate.	Intermittent unprovoked vomiting, hypermobile.	Early teeth eruption, hypermobile.	Asthma, hyperthyroidism, hypermobile	CALs	Diaphragmatic hernia, unilateral duplicated collecting system	Initial raised nuchal translucency	Cystic hygroma, atrial septal defect, pyloric stenosis, bilateral cryptorchidism	Atopy, gum hypertrophy	Atopy, asthma, splenic cyst

Key: Age, d(ays), m(onths), y(ears); ASSR, auditory steady-state response; CALs, café au lait macules; CC, corpus callosum; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; DD, developmental delay; HM, hypermetropia; ID, intellectual disability; n/a, not applicable; nd, not done; nr, not reported; OFC, occipitofrontal circumference; SD, standard deviations above or below mean; SNHL, sensorineural hearing loss; sz, seizures. Individuals 9 and 12 were reported in previous DDD publications but with limited phenotype information

Table 2. Frequency of clinical features in our series and combined with all published WHSUS patients.


Feature	Our series (n=12)	All WHSUS (n=101)
Severe ID	3/10	26/75 (34%)
Moderate ID	3/10	11/75 (15%)
Mild ID	3/10	38/75 (51%)
Speech delay	10/10	80/83 (96%)
Significant speech delay	6/10	27/83 (33%)
Autism spectrum disorder/traits	4/10	39/61 (64%)
Hypotonia	4/4	26/35 (74%)
Abnormal brain MRI	3/5	34/57 (60%)
Microcephaly	8/12	41/74 (55%)
Sensorineural hearing loss	3/12	15/52 (29%)
Feeding problems	7/11	30/51 (59%)
Obesity	4/12	38/68 (56%)
Short stature (first decile)	4/12	14/39 (36%)
Intrauterine growth restriction	2/11	8/38 (21%)
Brachydactyly	1/8	8/37 (22%)
Broad thumb/hallux	1/8	4/11 (36%)
Obstructive sleep apnea	1/12	7/20 (35%)
Strabismus	2/12	18/38 (47%)
Hypermetropia	4/12	16/51 (31%)
Myopia	2/12	8/51 (16%)
Rare features (our series + previous individuals):		
Rod-cone dystrophy 1+2, optic nerve hypoplasia 0+2, macular drusen 1+0, coloboma 2+1, keratitis 0+1, Horner syndrome 0+1, ptosis 2+3, high palate 1+5, bifid uvula 0+2, cleft lip and/or palate 1+2, dextrocardia 0+1, atrial septal defect 1+6, patent ductus arteriosus 0+1, congenital diaphragmatic hernia 1+4, pyloric stenosis 1+0, intussusception 0+1, malrotation 0+3, duplicated renal drainage system 1+2, pelviureteric junction obstruction with dysplastic kidney 0+2, male genital abnormalities 2+7		

Supplementary case report for individual 13

This male individual was born by vaginal delivery at term following an uncomplicated pregnancy. Birth weight was 2.71 kg (-1.6 SD below mean). He walked at 18 months and was reported to have some delay in fine motor skills and speech development.

He was last reviewed at 7 years of age. His speech remained unclear and he had an auditory processing disorder. His IQ was 67 and he had a history of seizures. He had a friendly disposition and a high pain threshold. He had persistent problems with sleep apnoea despite tonsillectomy. His weight was 26.4kg (+0.9 SD), height 121cm (-0.2 SD) and occipital frontal circumference 52.5 (-0.7). His facial features were reminiscent of WHSUS (Figure 2). These included hypertelorism, low hanging columella and down slanting palpebral fissures. His earlobes were fleshy and up turned. He had brachydactyly and broad thumbs and toes. Audiology assessment and MRI head scan were normal. Ophthalmological assessment was normal apart from strabismus.

Genetic investigations included microarray and testing for Rubinstein Taybi syndrome did not find a cause. Trio whole-exome sequencing identified a *de novo* missense variant in *POGZ* (NM_015100.3) c.2096C>T p.(Thr699Ile). The variant was classified as a variant of uncertain clinical significance (PM2, PS2_supporting). In addition, the patient was found to have pathogenic compound heterozygous variants in *POLG* (c.2243G>C p.(Trp784Ser) and c.1760C>T p.(Pro587Leu)).



Supplementary Figure 1. Missense constraint in the coding region around the p.Thr699Ile variant. (A) Missense Tolerance Ratio (MTR) scores (<http://mtr-viewer.mdhs.unimelb.edu.au/>) across POGZ (NM_015100.3, ENST00000271715). MTR (a ratio of observed to expected variation) quantifies purifying selection acting on missense variants. The ratio is estimated using sliding windows of 41 codons using data from the Exome Aggregation Consortium Database (ExAC), version 2.0. Red MTR regions denote windows achieving a study-wide false discovery rate < 0.10 . The constraint in the window around residue 699 (arrow) is less than the 5th percentile exome-wide and one of the lowest regions in the *POGZ* gene. (B) A screenshot from the Constrained Coding Region (CCR) browser (<https://s3.us-east-2.amazonaws.com/ccrs/ccr.html>) showing the exons 12-19 of *POGZ* (reverse stand, exon 19 on left, exon 12 on right). CCR looks for focal coding regions that are depleted for variation in the $> 120,000$ human exomes from version 2.0.1 of the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD). The coding region around the 699 residue (arrow) has a CCR in the 98th percentile.

Supplementary Table 3. Results of *in silico* prediction tools for the *POGZ* (NM_015100.3) missense variant.

Variant	<i>POGZ</i> , c.2096C>T, p.(Thr699Ile) chr1(hg19):g.151,381,023G>A	
Algorithm	Score	Prediction
SIFT	0.036	Damaging
Polyphen-2_HDIV	0.001	Benign
Polyphen-2_HVAR	0.002	Benign
LRT	0.007	Neutral
MutationTaster	0.953	Polymorphism
MutationAssessor	0.55	Neutral
FATHMM	5.85	Tolerable
PROVEAN	-2.15	Tolerable
VEST3	0.224	Tolerable
MetaSVM	-1.082	Tolerable
MetaLR	0.028	Tolerable
M-CAP	0.005	Tolerable
CADD	18.6	Tolerable
DANN	0.994	Damaging
FATHMM_MKL	0.627	Damaging
Eigen	-0.15	Tolerable
GenoCanyon	0.103	Tolerable
fitCons	0.707	Damaging
GERP++	4.93	Conserved
phyloP	3.898	Conserved
phastCons	0.659	Nonconserved
SiPhy	16.88	Conserved
REVEL	0.082	Tolerable
ReVe	0.265	Tolerable
ClinPred	0.32962289	Benign