

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/145978/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Durovic, Gordana, Van Neerbos, Francine A. C., Bossaert, Sofie, Herrera-Malaver, Beatriz, Steensels, Jan, Arnó, Judit, Wäckers, Felix, Sobhy, Islam S., Verstrepen, Kevin J., Jacquemyn, Hans and Lievens, Bart 2021. The pupal parasitoid trichopria drosophilae is attracted to the same yeast volatiles as its adult host. Journal of Chemical Ecology 47 (8-9), 788–798. 10.1007/s10886-021-01295-6

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10886-021-01295-6

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1	THE PUPAL PARASITOID TRICHOPRIA DROSOPHILAE IS
2	ATTRACTED TO THE SAME YEAST VOLATILES AS ITS ADULT
3	HOST
4	
5	GORDANA ĐUROVIĆ ^{1,2,3,4, #} , FRANCINE A. C. VAN NEERBOS ^{1,2,#} , SOFIE BOSSAERT ^{1,2} ,
6	BEATRIZ HERRERA-MALAVER ^{5,6} , JAN STEENSELS ^{5,6} , JUDIT ARNÓ ⁷ , FELIX
7	WÄCKERS ^{4,8} , ISLAM S. SOBHY ^{1,2,9} , KEVIN J. VERSTREPEN ^{5,6} , HANS JACQUEMYN ^{2,10} ,
8	BART LIEVENS ^{1,2,*}
9	
10	¹ CMPG Laboratory for Process Microbial Ecology and Bioinspirational Management
11	(PME&BIM), Department M2S, KU Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium
12	² Leuven Plant Institute (LPI), KU Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium
13	³ Fondazione Edmund Mach, Research and Innovation Centre, 38098 San Michele all'Adige,
14	Italy
15	⁴ Biobest, B-2260 Westerlo, Belgium
16	⁵ CMPG Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, Department M2S, KU Leuven, B-3001 Leuven,
17	Belgium
18	⁶ Flanders Institute for Biotechnology (VIB), KU Leuven Center for Microbiology, B-3001
19	Leuven, Belgium
20	⁷ IRTA, E-08348 Cabrils, Barcelona, Spain
21	⁸ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, LA1 4YQ Lancaster, UK
22	⁹ Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

23	¹⁰ Laboratory of Plant Conservation and Population Biology, Biology Department, KU Leuven,
24	B-3001 Leuven, Belgium
25	[#] Shared co-first authorship
26	*Corresponding author
27	Bart Lievens
28	Email: bart.lievens@kuleuven.be
29	Tel: +32(0)16 32 75 43
30	
31	ORCID IDs
32	GORDANA DUROVIC: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9338-4853
33	FRANCINE A. C. VAN NEERBOS: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-1525-6901
34	SOFIE BOSSAERT: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9111-0816
35	BEATRIZ HERRERA-MALAVER: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-5096-9974
36	JAN STEENSELS: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-8271-2663
37	JUDIT ARNÓ: Orcid ID: 0000-0001-6318-6867
38	FELIX WACKERS: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9748-0615
39	ISLAM S. SOBHY: Orcid ID: 0000-0003-4984-1823
40	KEVIN J. VERSTREPEN: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-3077-6219
41	HANS JACQUEMYN: Orcid ID: 0000-0001-9600-5794
42	BART LIEVENS: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-7698-6641
43	
44	
45	

46 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all PME&BIM members for their help and suggestions. Further, we are 47 grateful to Kwinten Mommaerts for help with the experiments. ISS gratefully acknowledges the 48 permission of Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt to undertake a research stay within the 49 PME&BIM team. GD and FW were supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research 50 and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 722642 51 (INTERFUTURE). JA was supported by CERCA Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya and the 52 Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Project PID2019-107030RB-C21). Work in 53 54 the lab of BL is supported by KU Leuven, VLAIO and FWO.

ABSTRACT - There is increasing evidence that microorganisms, particularly fungi and bacteria, 56 emit volatile compounds that mediate the foraging behaviour of insects and therefore have the 57 potential to affect key ecological relationships. However, to what extent microbial volatiles affect 58 the olfactory response of insects across different trophic levels remains unclear. Adult parasitoids 59 use a variety of chemical stimuli to locate potential hosts, including those emitted by the host's 60 61 habitat, the host itself and microorganisms associated with the host. Given the great capacity of parasitoids to utilize and learn odours to increase foraging success, parasitoids of eggs, larvae or 62 63 pupae may respond to the same volatiles the adult stage of their hosts use when locating their resources, but compelling evidence is still scarce. In this study, using Saccharomyces cerevisiae 64 we show that *Trichopria drosophilae*, a pupal parasitoid of *Drosophila* species, is attracted to the 65 same yeast volatiles as their hosts in the adult stage, i.e. acetate esters. Parasitoids significantly 66 preferred the odour of S. cerevisiae over the blank medium in a Y-tube olfactometer. Deletion of 67 the yeast ATF1 gene, encoding a key acetate ester synthase, decreased attraction of T. drosophilae, 68 69 while addition of synthetic acetate esters to the fermentation medium restored parasitoid attraction. Bioassays with individual compounds revealed that the esters alone were not as attractive as the 70 volatile blend of S. cerevisiae, suggesting that other volatile compounds also contribute to the 71 72 attraction of T. drosophilae. Altogether, our results indicate that pupal parasitoids respond to the same volatiles as the adult stage of their hosts, which may aid them in locating oviposition sites. 73 74 **Keywords** - Acetate esters, behavioral response, *Drosophila*, parasitoid, *Saccharomyces*

- 75 *cerevisiae*, *Trichopria drosophilae*, tritrophic interaction
- 76
- 77 Declarations
- 78 Funding

GD and FW were supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation
programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 722642 (INTERFUTURE).

- JA was supported by CERCA Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya and the Spanish Ministry of
- 82 Economy and Competitiveness (Project PID2019-107030RB-C21). Work in the lab of BL is
- 83 supported by KU Leuven, VLAIO and FWO.

84 Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

- 85 The authors declare that no conflicts of interest exist.
- 86 Availability of data and material
- 87 Data and materials are available upon request.

88 Code availability

89 Codes for statistical analysis were written in R and are available upon request.

90 Author contributions

- 91 BL, HJ and ISS conceived the ideas and designed methodology. GD, FACVN, SB and BM-H
- 92 collected the data. JS and KJV contributed to equipment and reagents for the VOC analysis. KJV
- provided the yeast strains, JA and FW provided insects. FACVN, HJ and BL analyzed the data.
- HJ and BL led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts of this
- 95 manuscript and gave final approval for publication.

96 Ethical note

- 97 Experimental manipulation of parasitoids occurred according to the common and ethical98 requirements for animal welfare. All parasitoids were carefully handled during experiments and
- 99 maintained in the laboratory under appropriate conditions.
- 100 Consent to participate
- 101 Not applicable

Consent for publication

103 Consent for publication was granted by all co-authors.

INTRODUCTION

105 Microorganisms release a wide variety of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), many of which 106 play a crucial role in intra- and inter-kingdom interactions (Schulz-Bohm et al., 2017; Tilocca et al., 2020). Given their high vapor pressure and low molecular weight, microbial volatile organic 107 compounds (mVOCs) can travel far from the point of production through the atmosphere, porous 108 soils and liquids, making them ideal info-chemicals for mediating both short- and long-distance 109 110 interspecific interactions (Bitas et al., 2013). Although knowledge about the biological and ecological roles of mVOCs is still limited, there is increasing evidence that mVOCs mediate the 111 foraging behaviour of insects and therefore have the potential to affect key ecological relationships 112

113 (Davis et al., 2013; Leroy et al., 2011).

114 Recent research has shown that the production of insect-attracting metabolites is a basic 115 and general feature in yeasts (Becher et al., 2018). Yeast volatiles act as semiochemicals that attract 116 insects by signalling the presence of suitable resources such as sugary food or oviposition sites 117 (Davis et al., 2013; Dzialo et al., 2017). Furthermore, the yeasts themselves may provide an important source of dietary proteins to the insects (Begon, 1982; Skorupa et al., 2008). This 118 119 chemical communication between yeasts and insects is believed to be the driving force of a strong 120 mutualistic relationship, also referred to as the "dispersal-encounter hypothesis" (Madden et al., 2018). Early in their evolution, yeasts evolved biochemical pathways to obtain energy from sugars 121 during which volatile compounds (e.g. ethanol and other alcohols, and fruity acetate esters) are 122 123 produced as by-products (Dzialo et al., 2017). These volatiles thus signal the presence of sugar (or are energy sources themselves (Ogueta et al., 2010)) and attract sugar-feeding insects which can 124 125 inadvertently transport the yeasts to another patch of sugar. The otherwise immotile yeasts benefit from getting transported to new habitats, the insects from a free and honest signal indicating an 126

available resource (Becher et al., 2012; Christiaens et al., 2014). Furthermore, the yeasts can use
the insects to survive unfavourable environmental conditions like a cold winter (Pozo et al., 2018;
Stefanini et al., 2012). The benefits that yeasts might reap from this interaction may go beyond
mere dispersal and survival. Low nutrient levels trigger the formation of sexual spores that can
survive passage through the insect gut and promote outbreeding and thus genetic variation (Freese
et al., 2007).

133 Although the benefits of this mutualistic interaction have become better understood in recent years, very little is known about potential costs for both partners. Both the yeasts and the 134 insects may experience direct and indirect costs related to the interaction. For example, production 135 136 of certain volatiles may be costly, or some yeast propagules may be killed by feeding insects. Likewise, sugar resources with high yeast densities may have decreased sugar quantities and 137 qualities, or have high alcohol concentrations which may be detrimental for the insects (Bouletreau 138 & David, 1981; Madden et al., 2018). Insects may experience indirect costs when natural enemies 139 140 are attracted to the same yeast volatiles helping them to find their hosts. Parasitoids (i.e. insects whose larvae feed and develop within or on the bodies of other arthropods, eventually killing them) 141 142 use a variety of chemical cues to identify and locate potential hosts, including those emitted by the 143 host's habitat (Vet et al., 1984), host by-products (e.g. frass, Agelopoulos et al., 1995), the host 144 itself (Jumean et al., 2009), and organisms living in close association with the host (Sullivan et al., 145 2000) or its habitat (Goelen et al., 2020). Given the extraordinary capacity of parasitoids to detect and associate chemical information with a reward (Turlings et al., 1992; Vet et al., 1995; Vet, et 146 147 al., 2002), it is reasonable to assume that they may exploit the same volatiles as their hosts to optimize foraging behaviour, but compelling evidence is still lacking. There are studies showing 148 that egg and larval parasitoids of Tephritid fruit flies are not only attracted to host-containing 149

infested, fermenting fruits (Carrasco et al., 2005), but also respond to odours from healthy,
undamaged fruits which their adult hosts prefer for egg deposition (Altuzar et al., 2004; Eben et
al., 2000; Leyva et al., 2012).

153 The objective of this study was to test the hypothesis that pupal parasitoids respond to the same yeast volatile compounds that the adult stage of their hosts use to locate suitable resources. 154 Specifically, we evaluated the olfactory response of *Trichopria drosophilae* to acetate ester 155 156 production in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Trichopria drosophilae is a solitary, cosmopolitan pupal endoparasitoid that attacks many species of Drosophilidae (Carton et al., 1986; Yi et al., 2020), 157 and is a very promising candidate for augmentative biocontrol of the invasive pest species 158 159 Drosophila suzukii, for which it is already commercially available (Gabarra et al., 2015; Mazzetto et al., 2016). Saccharomyces cerevisiae was chosen as it is frequently used to study Drosophila – 160 yeast interactions (Arguello et al., 2013; Christiaens et al., 2014; Ha et al., 2009; Murgier et al., 161 2019; Scheidler et al., 2015), and has been shown to produce acetate esters, particularly ethyl 162 163 acetate and isoamyl acetate, that attract adult Drosophila melanogaster flies (Christiaens et al., 2014). To test the hypothesis that acetate esters also drive parasitoid attraction, Y-tube 164 olfactometer bioassays were performed using cell-free fermentation media of a wild type S. 165 166 cerevisiae strain and two mutants thereof in which the production of acetate esters was either 167 reduced or enhanced. Studying mutant organisms that have acquired changes or deletions in their 168 genome has the advantage of determining gene functions in a very efficient way, particularly in a 169 community context to unravel ecological functions of genes without affecting other genome 170 features (Christiaens et al., 2014). Our study not only provides new insights in the interactions that 171 take place between different trophic levels, but may also lead to new tools that enhance the biocontrol efficacy of T. drosophilae against D. suzukii (Holighaus & Rohlfs, 2016). 172

173

174

MATERIALS AND METHODS

175 Study Organisms. Three strains of S. cerevisiae (Basidiomycota: Saccharomycetaceae) that 176 differed in acetate ester production were used in this study. These included the wild type strain Y182 (WT-Y182) and two ATF1 mutants of Y182, one in which the ATF1 gene was deleted (DEL-177 KV3734) and one in which the ATF1 gene is overexpressed (OE-KV3735) (Christiaens et al., 178 179 2014). The ATF1 gene is one of two genes (ATF1 and ATF2) encoding an alcohol acetyl transferase 180 in S. cerevisiae, a key enzyme in the production of acetate esters from acetyl-coenzyme A and 181 alcohol. Among these two genes, ATF1 controls the bulk of the acetate ester formation in S. 182 cerevisiae (Lilly et al., 2000; Verstrepen et al., 2003). Strain Y182 was originally isolated from a vineyard and has an average production of acetate esters (Christiaens et al., 2014). In the ATF1 183 deletion mutant, both ATF1 alleles were deleted using deletion cassettes based on pUG6, 184 conferring resistance to either hygromycin B or G-418 disulfate. In the overexpression mutant, the 185 186 native promoter is replaced by the strong, constitutive TEF1 promoter, introduced using pYM-N18, which contains the KanMX antibiotic resistance marker for mutant selection. Markers were 187 188 removed through the Cre/LoxP technique using pSH65. Deletions as well as marker removal were 189 confirmed through (lack of) growth on selective media, as well as PCR (Christiaens et al., 2014). 190 Strains were stored at -80°C in yeast extract peptone dextrose broth (YPDB; Difco, Le Pont-de-191 Claix, France) containing 37.5 % glycerol.

192 Trichopria drosophilae (Hymenoptera: Diapriidae) is a widespread solitary pupal 193 endoparasitoid of *Drosophila* spp. (Carton et al., 1986; Yi et al., 2020). Adult females of *T*. 194 drosophilae are commonly encountered in the habitat of *Drosophila* flies, seeking *Drosophila* 195 pupae for oviposition. The vast majority of its *Drosophila* hosts lay eggs in damaged, overripe or decaying fruit, where alcoholic fermentation abundantly occurs (Phaff & Starmer, 1987). Unlike
other species, female *D. suzukii* prefers to lay eggs in ripening fruit during the early stages of
fermentation (Walsh et al., 2011). When a suitable pupa is found, the parasitoid lays an egg in the
host hemocoel. The *T. drosophilae* larvae then feed on the tissues of the host, which are
subsequently killed (Carton et al., 1986).

201

Fermentations. Yeast fermentations were performed as outlined in Christiaens et al. (2014). 202 Briefly, fermentations were started by inoculating the yeasts from a YPD 2% plate into a test tube 203 204 with 5 mL YPDB 2%, and incubating the tubes at 30°C on a rotary shaker at 100 rpm. After one overnight, 300 µL was inoculated into 50 mL YPDB 4% in a 250-mL Erlenmeyer flask, which 205 was then sealed with a water lock and incubated overnight at 30°C (100 rpm). Subsequently, the 206 207 OD₆₀₀ was measured and the preculture was used to inoculate a 250-mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 150 mL YPD 10% at a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.5. Flasks were sealed with a water lock and 208 209 fermentations were allowed to continue for seven days at 30°C while shaking at 100 rpm. Afterwards, fermentation media were spun down at 4,500 g for 5 min and subsequently filtered 210 (pore size 0.22 µm; Nalgene, Waltham, MA, USA) to obtain cell-free cultures. Obtained media 211 212 were then stored in small aliquots in sealed sterile dark glass vials (Fagron, Nazareth, Belgium) at -20 °C until further use (VOC analysis and olfactometer bioassays). For each yeast strain, three 213 214 independent fermentations were performed, and a medium without yeast inoculation was included 215 as a control (sterility of the blank medium was confirmed after the incubation period).

216

217 VOC Analysis. To detect and determine the concentrations of various aroma compounds
218 associated with yeast fermentations, including higher alcohols and esters, a Headspace Gas

Chromatography system coupled with a Flame Ionization Detector (HS-GC-FID) was used. A 219 headspace autosampler (PAL system, CTC analytics, Switzerland) was used and the GC contained 220 221 a DB-WAXether column (length: 30 m; internal diameter: 0.32 mm; layer thickness: 0.50 µm) (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas. For each sample, 5 mL was put 222 in a 20 mL glass vial containing 1.75 g of sodium chloride. The vials were immediately closed and 223 224 kept at -20°C until their analysis in order to minimize evaporation and loss of volatile compounds. Prior VOC analysis, vials were thawed at room temperature for 30 min. During the 225 chromatographic run, each vial with sample was heated at 70°C with continuous agitation (500 226 227 rpm) for 25 min, inside a heater unit of the autosampler. After this incubation period, 1 ml of the headspace sample was injected into the GC inlet using a 2.5-ml headspace syringe (Hamilton, 228 229 Switzerland). The injector and FID were both kept at 250°C. The GC oven temperature was first held at 50°C for 5 min and then allowed to rise to 80°C at a rate of 5°C min⁻¹, followed by a second 230 ramp of 4°C min⁻¹ until 200°C. The temperature was then held for 3 min at 200°C and subsequently 231 increased by 4°C min⁻¹ until a temperature of 230°C was reached. Results were analyzed with the 232 Shimadzu GCSolution software version 2.43.00. Stock solutions of authentic volatile standards 233 (18 compounds) were prepared in ethanol. For all detected compounds, calibration curves were 234 235 made prior to sample analysis. To this end, solutions of the target compounds were prepared in water by spiking the compounds while keeping 5% ethanol solution to obtain a 9-point calibration 236 237 curve.

238

Olfactometer Bioassays. To investigate the olfactory response of *T. drosophilae* to the different
 fermentation media, naïve females (inexperienced to yeast smell and food; less than 24h old) were
 tested in a Y-tube olfactometer bioassay. Parasitoids were obtained in the form of parasitized *D*.

suzukii pupae from Bioplanet (Cesena, Italy). Upon receipt, parasitized pupae were placed in a 242 nylon insect cage (20×20×20 cm, BugDorm, MegaView Science Co., Ltd., Taichung, Taiwan) and 243 kept under controlled conditions (22°C, 70% relative humidity and a 16:8-h light:dark 244 photoperiod) until parasitoid emergence. One hour prior testing, the parasitoid cage was brought 245 from the rearing chamber to the olfactometer laboratory for acclimatization. The olfactometer, a 246 247 glass Y-tube (base: 20 cm; arms:12 cm with a 60° angle at the Y-junction; inner diameter: 1.5 cm) connected to an air pump producing an unidirectional air flow of 400 mL min⁻¹ from the arms to 248 249 the base, was put on a table that was homogeneously illuminated by four high frequency 24W T5 250 TL-fluorescent tubes with a 96% colour representation of true day light at a height of 0.45 m (Goelen et al., 2020). To improve parasitoid responsiveness, the Y-tube was mounted at a 20° 251 incline stimulating insect movement towards the odour source. Additionally, to eliminate any 252 253 visual cues that could affect parasitoid response, the olfactometer was surrounded by white curtains. 254

255 In a first set of experiments, parasitoid behaviour was evaluated by simultaneous application of two odours in different conditions, including (i) odour of the blank medium vs water, 256 (ii) odour of the three yeast strains vs blank medium, (iii) odour of the ATF1 deletion mutant 257 258 supplemented with acetate esters vs blank medium, and (iv) odour of the ATF1 deletion mutant 259 supplemented with acetate esters vs the wild type strain or the ATF1 overexpression mutant. 260 Experiments were performed with $1000 \times$ diluted cell-free fermentation medium as preliminary 261 experiments revealed suboptimal responses with higher concentrations (data not shown). The 262 supplemented samples of the ATF1 deletion mutant contained either ethyl acetate (99.5%, Acros Organics), isoamyl acetate (>95%, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) or phenylethyl acetate 263 (98%, Sigma-Aldrich) at concentrations that matched the ones present in the diluted media from 264

the wild type yeast (0.03 ppm, 0.0007 ppm and 0.0001 ppm, respectively) or the overexpression 265 mutant (0.1 ppm, 0.003 ppm and 0.0007 ppm, respectively), or combinations of these compounds 266 (Table 1). For each test, 150 µL medium was loaded on a filter paper (37 mm; Macherey-Nagel, 267 Düren, Germany) and subsequently put in one of the olfactometer odour chambers. In a second set 268 of experiments, parasitoid response was evaluated by subjecting the parasitoids to two 269 270 concentrations of ethyl acetate (0.1 ppm and 1 ppm), isoamyl acetate (0.001 ppm and 0.01 ppm) or phenylethyl acetate (0.001 ppm and 0.01 ppm) dissolved in diethyl ether vs diethyl ether. Again, 271 272 $150 \,\mu\text{L}$ was loaded on a filter paper, and 30 s later the filters were put in the odour chambers of 273 the olfactometer set-up.

274 All experiments were conducted with 60 female individuals, which were released in 12 cohorts of five individuals at the base of the olfactometer. Olfactory response was evaluated 10 275 276 min after their release. Wasps that had passed a set line in of one of the olfactometer arms (1 cm 277 from the Y-junction) at the time of evaluation were considered to have chosen the odour source 278 presented by that olfactometer arm (Goelen et al., 2020). All other parasitoids were considered as non-responding individuals and were eliminated from statistical analysis. For every release, new 279 parasitoid females were used. To avoid positional bias, the arms of the Y-tube olfactometer were 280 281 flipped 180° every six releases. At the same time, the Y-tube was also renewed by a clean tube. 282 To maintain a high level of odour release, filter papers were replaced with fresh filter papers with 283 $150 \,\mu\text{L}$ of the tested medium every two runs. At the end of the experiment, all olfactometer parts 284 were thoroughly cleaned with tap water, distilled water, acetone and finally pentane. After solvents 285 had evaporated, the glass parts were placed overnight in an oven at 150°C. All bioassays were 286 conducted at $23 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and $65 \pm 5\%$ RH between 09h00 and 16h00. As the VOC composition of 287 288

the three biological replicates was highly similar, olfactory response was determined for one of the three biological replicates.

291

Statistical Analysis. Differences in VOC profiles between the different yeast strains were 292 visualized by a principal component analysis (PCA) using the concentrations of the detected 293 294 volatiles as dependent variables. Additionally, a non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was used to investigate whether the VOC profiles differed between the different 295 yeast strains and the blank medium. We performed 9999 permutations to assess the significance 296 297 of the observed *pseudo* F-statistic. All calculations were performed using the adonis function of the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2013) in R. Parasitoid olfactory response was analyzed using 298 a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) based on a binomial distribution with a logit link 299 function (logistic regression) using the test treatment ((supplemented) fermentation medium or 300 compound) as fixed factor (performed in R with the 'glmer' function from the lme4 package). 301 302 Each release of one cohort of five parasitoid females served as a replicate (n = 12). To adjust for overdispersion and to prevent pseudo-replication, the release of each cohort was included in the 303 model as a random factor. The number of parasitoids choosing the treatment side or the control in 304 305 each cohort was entered as response variable. To examine the preference of the parasitoids, we tested the null hypothesis (H_0) that parasitoids showed no preference for any olfactometer arm (i.e. 306 307 50:50 response) by testing H_0 : logit = 0, which equals a 50:50 distribution. In addition, an analysis 308 of variance Type III Wald chi-square test was performed on the GLMM to determine if there was 309 an overall difference between the olfactory responses for the different treatments. A significance 310 level of $\alpha = 0.05$ was used to determine significant attraction or repellence. The *GLMM* analysis 311 was performed in R (R Core Development Team, 2019).

312

313

RESULTS

314 **VOC** Profiles. Principal component analysis (PCA) showed a clear separation of the obtained VOC profiles between the three yeast strains and the blank medium. The first principal component 315 (PC1) accounted for 60.6% of the total variation, the second component (PC2) for 21%. Yeast 316 317 strains were separated from the blank medium along the first axis, and were separated from each other mainly along the second axis (Fig. 1). The overexpression mutant was characterized by high 318 concentrations of acetate esters (e.g. ethyl acetate, isoamyl acetate, isobutyl acetate and 319 320 phenylethyl acetate). The deletion mutant was characterized by relatively high concentrations of 1-hexanol (Fig. 1). PERMANOVA confirmed that the VOC profiles were significantly different 321 (*pseudo-F* = 62.2, P < 0.0001). Univariate analyses of variance indicated that the levels of various 322 acetate esters (ethyl acetate, isoamyl acetate, isobutyl acetate, phenylethyl acetate, and propyl 323 324 acetate) were significantly reduced or even completely abolished in the medium of the ATF1 325 deletion mutant, whereas they were abundantly produced by the overexpression mutant (Table 1). Likewise, the VOC blend of the deletion mutant was enriched in a number of alcohols which are 326 acetate ester precursors (isoamyl alcohol, isobutanol, 1-hexanol and 1-propanol). No significant 327 328 differences in the concentration of other volatile compounds were observed among the three yeast strains (Table 1). 329

330

331 *Parasitoid Olfactory Response.* Olfactory response of *T. drosophilae* varied significantly between 332 the three yeast strains (*GLMM*; $\chi^2 = 13.5936$; df = 2; *P* = 0.001; Fig. 2). Parasitoids had a significant 333 preference for the wild type strain (*P* < 0.001) and the *ATF1* overexpression mutant (*P* < 0.001), 334 while a neutral response was obtained for the *ATF1* deletion mutant (Fig. 2). To verify whether

the observed behavioral differences were due to the lower acetate ester levels produced by the 335 ATF1 deletion mutant, the ATF1 deletion mutant medium was supplemented with three important 336 337 acetate esters affected by ATF1 deletion (Table 1), i.e. ethyl acetate, isoamyl acetate or phenylethyl acetate, and combinations of these compounds. Compounds were added in concentrations that 338 matched concentrations in the fermentation medium of the wild type strain or the overexpression 339 340 mutant. When performing the preference tests with the supplemented medium pitted against blank medium, attraction of the parasitoids was restored, especially when the medium was supplemented 341 342 with ethyl acetate (Fig. 3). When the supplemented media were tested against the media of the wild type strain (Fig. 4A) or the overexpression mutant (Fig. 4B), no significant difference in 343 preference for either medium was observed, supporting the central role of these esters in the altered 344 attraction phenotype. When testing the individual esters at two concentrations resembling those in 345 the original attractive media, no statistically significant effects were observed (Fig. 5). 346

348

349

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have shown that T. drosophilae is attracted to the same volatile compounds to 350 which its host in the adult stage is attracted, particularly acetate esters. Parasitoids significantly 351 352 preferred the odour of the wildtype S. cerevisiae strain or its ATF1 overexpressing mutant over the blank medium in a Y-tube olfactometer. By contrast, deletion of the ATF1 gene decreased 353 354 attraction of *T. drosophilae*, while simple addition of synthetic acetate esters to the fermentation 355 medium restored parasitoid attraction. Previous studies have shown that fruit flies in the family 356 Drosophilidae strongly respond to acetate esters to orient themselves towards suitable food or 357 oviposition sites. Ethyl acetate and isoamyl acetate have been found to attract D. melanogaster 358 (Christiaens et al., 2014). Isoamyl acetate is also responsible for attraction of the closely related

species *D. simulans*, but attraction seems largely dependent on the background chemical matrix 359 360 (Günther et al., 2015). Likewise, D. suzukii is strongly attracted to isobutyl acetate and isoamyl acetate (Revadi et al., 2015; Scheidler et al., 2015). Moreover, neurobiological research has shown 361 that Drosophila antennae possess specific receptors for acetate esters, indicating that they have 362 evolved specific mechanisms to detect and respond to the fruity yeast esters (Vosshall et al., 2000; 363 364 Hallem & Carlson, 2006; Hallem et al., 2004). Notably, some plants have taken advantage of the drosophilids' ability to detect acetate esters. For example, the black calla lily (Arum palaestinum) 365 has evolved to mimic yeast fermentation volatiles specifically by producing 2,3-butanediol acetate 366 and acetoin acetate to lure drosophilids for pollination (Stökl et al., 2010). 367

368 In addition to T. drosophilidae other fruit fly parasitoids have been shown to respond positively to acetate esters, including the Drosophila larval parasitoid Leptopilina heterotoma 369 (Dicke et al., 1983) and *Biosteres longicaudatus*, a parasitoid of the Caribbean fruit fly 370 371 (Anastrepha suspensa) (Greany et al., 1977). More generally, previous studies have shown that 372 various insect species are attracted to acetate esters (Davis et al., 2013), suggesting that responding to acetate esters may be a general trait in insects. This is further supported by studies that have 373 374 shown that receptors for acetate esters are widespread in insects (Galizia et al., 1999; Zhao & 375 McBride, 2020). For example, the dusky sap beetle Carpophilus lugubris is attracted to ethyl 376 acetate, amongst some other tested volatiles (Lin & Phelan, 1991), while Alm et al. (1985) 377 employed butyl acetate to attract *Glischrochilus* beetles. Likewise, the aphid parasitoid *Aphidius* ervi was found to be attracted by the wild type S. cerevisiae strain used in this study (Y182) (Sobhy 378 379 et al., 2018).

Insect foraging driven by yeast volatiles may be influenced by the yeast species and thebasal growth medium as the precise composition of the VOC blends largely depends on the yeast

species and the precursors available (Dzialo et al., 2017; Gonzalez et al., 2019). Several yeast 382 species have been isolated from the body of *Drosophila* flies and their food and oviposition sites, 383 384 including members of Candida, Hanseniaspora and Pichia (Buser et al., 2014; Christiaens et al., 2014; Hamby et al., 2012; Phaff & Knapp, 1956; Quan & Eisen, 2018). However, it has been found 385 that the vast majority of these yeasts produce aroma-active esters like ethyl acetate and isoamyl 386 387 acetate (Christiaens et al., 2014; Scheidler et al., 2015), and that fruit flies did not respond differentially to the yeast species (Quan & Eisen, 2018). It has been hypothesized that these yeast 388 389 species employ the same biosynthetic pathway as S. cerevisiae for their active dispersal by the 390 fruit flies (Christiaens et al., 2014). However, acetate esters are not exclusively produced by Drosophila-associated yeasts, they generally occur in yeast fermentations (Dzialo et al., 2017). 391 Furthermore, these volatile esters are produced by many plant species contributing to the 392 characteristic aroma of several fruits and flowers (Macku & Jennings, 1987; Shalit et al., 2003; 393 394 Schwab et al., 2008). This would make acetate esters unreliable as a signal, leading parasitoids to 395 many habitats lacking hosts. However, chemical stimuli can achieve more specificity in several ways, e.g. through a specific concentration or a specific combination of compounds (in specific 396 concentrations and ratios) (Bruce et al., 2005; Goelen et al., 2021; Mumm & Hilker, 2005; Olson 397 398 et al., 2012; Takemoto & Takabayashi, 2015). In our tests, none of the individual compounds tested could compete with the VOC blend of S. cerevisiae, suggesting that other yeast volatiles also 399 400 contribute to attraction of *T. drosophilae*. Further research should therefore focus on testing other 401 (concentrations and combinations of) fermentation products, or other volatile compounds 402 associated with the host habitat, in combination with acetate esters to fully understand the 403 attractivity of yeast odours for *T. drosophilae* or its foraging behaviour in general.

Altogether, our results show that T. drosophilae is attracted to the same volatile cues that 404 are exploited by the adult stage of its host. Whereas the mutualistic interaction between yeasts and 405 406 fruit flies has been well documented over the last few years, our results indicate that the same yeast volatiles signaling appropriate resources for adult Drosophila flies may also give away the 407 presence of its offspring to parasitoids, potentially disrupting this mutualistic relation. 408 409 REFERENCES 410 Agelopoulos, N. G., Dicke, M., & Posthumus, M. A. (1995). Role of volatile inforchemicals 411 emitted by feces of larvae in host-searching behavior of parasitoid Cotesia rubecula 412 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): A behavioral and chemical study. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 413 21(11), 1789–1811. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02033677 414 Alm, S. R., Hall, F. R., Ladd, T. L., & Williams, R. N. (1985). A chemical attractant for 415 Glischrochilus quadrisignatus (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 416 78(4), 839-843. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/78.4.839 417 Altuzar, A., Montoya, P., & Rojas, J. C. (2004). Response of Fopius arisanus (Hymenoptera: 418 Braconidae) to fruit volatiles in a wind tunnel. Florida Entomologist, 87(4), 616-618. 419 https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040(2004)087[0616:ROFAHB]2.0.CO;2 420 Arguello, J. R., Sellanes, C., Lou, Y. R., & Raguso, R. A. (2013). Can yeast (S. cerevisiae) 421 metabolic volatiles provide polymorphic signaling? PLoS ONE, 8(8), e70219. 422 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070219 423 424 Becher, P. G., Flick, G., Rozpędowska, E., Alexandra, S., Hagman, A., Lebreton, S., Larsson, M. C., Hansson, B. S., Piškur, J., Witzgall, P., & Bengtsson, M. (2012). Yeast, not fruit volatiles 425 mediate Drosophila melanogaster attraction, oviposition and development. Functional 426

- 426 inequate *Drosophila metalogaster* attraction, oviposition and development. *Function* 427 Ecology, 26, 822-828. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2012.02006.x
- Becher, P. G., Hagman, A., Verschut, V., Chakraborty, A., Rozpędowska, E., Lebreton, S.,
 Bengtsson, M., Flick, G., Witzgall, P., & Piškur, J. (2018). Chemical signaling and insect
 attraction is a conserved trait in yeasts. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(5), 2962–2974.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3905
- Begon, M. (1982). Yeasts and *Drosophila*. In M. Ashburner, H. L. Carson, & Jr. J. N. Thompson
 (Eds.), *The genetics and biology of* Drosophila (Vol. 3b, pp. 345–384). Academic Press,
 London.
- Bitas, V., Kim, H.-S., Bennett, J. W., & Kang, S. (2013). Sniffing on microbes: Diverse roles of
 microbial volatile organic compounds in plant health. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*,
 26(8), 835–843. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-10-12-0249-CR
- 438 Bouletreau, M., & David, J. R. (1981). Sexually dimorphic response to host habitat toxicity in

- 439 *Drosophila* parasitic wasps. *Evolution*, *35*(2), 395–399. https://doi.org/10.2307/2407848
- Bruce, T. J. A., Wadhams, L. J., & Woodcock, C. M. (2005). Insect host location: a volatile
 situation. *Trends in Plant Science*, 10(6), 269–274.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2005.04.003
- Buser, C. C., Newcomb, R. D., Gaskett, A. C., & Goddard, M. R. (2014). Niche construction
 initiates the evolution of mutualistic interactions. *Ecology Letters*, 17(10), 1257–1264.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12331
- Carrasco, M., Montoya, P., Cruz-lopez, L., & Rojas, J. C. (2005). Response of the fruit fly parasitoid *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) to mango fruit volatiles. *Environmental Entomology*, *34*(3), 576–583. https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-34.3.576
- 450 Carton, Y., Bouletreau, M., van Alphen, J. J. M., & van Lenteren, J. C. (1986). The *Drosophila*451 parasitic wasps. In M. Ashburner, H. L. Carson, & Jr. J. N. Thompson (Eds.), *The genetics*452 *and biology of* Drosophila (Vol. 3e, pp. 347-394). Academic Press, London.
- 453 Christiaens, J. F., Franco, L. M., Cools, T. L., De Meester, L., Michiels, J., Wenseleers, T., Hassan, B. A., Yaksi, E., & Verstrepen, K. J. (2014). The fungal aroma gene ATF1 promotes dispersal 454 through insect 455 of veast cells vectors. Cell Reports, 9(2), 425-432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.09.009 456
- 457 Davis, T. S., Crippen, T. L., Hofstetter, R. W., & Tomberlin, J. K. (2013). Microbial volatile
 458 emissions as insect semiochemicals. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *39*(7), 840–859.
 459 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-013-0306-z
- Dicke, M., Van Lenteren, J. C., Boskamp, G. J. F., & Van Dongen-Van Leeuwen, E. (1984).
 Chemical stimuli in host-habitat location by *Leptopilina heterotoma* (Thomson)(Hymenoptera: Eucoilidae), a parasite of *Drosophila. Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *10*(5), 695–712. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00988537
- Dzialo, M. C., Park, R., Steensels, J., Lievens, B., & Verstrepen, K. J. (2017). Physiology, ecology
 and industrial applications of aroma formation in yeast. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*,
 466 41(Supp_1), S95–S128. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux031
- 467 Eben, A., Benrey, B., Sivinski, J., & Aluja, M. (2000). Host species and host plant effects on
 468 preference and performance of *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae).
 469 *Environmental Entomology*, 29(1), 87–94. https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-29.1.87
- Freese, E. B., Chu, M. I., & Freese, E. (1982). Initiation of yeast sporulation by partial carbon,
 nitrogen, or phosphate deprivation. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *149*(3), 840–851.
- Gabarra, R., Riudavets, J., Rodríguez, G. A., Pujade-Villar, J., & Arnó, J. (2015). Prospects for
 the biological control of *Drosophila suzukii*. *BioControl*, 60(3), 331–339.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-014-9646-z
- Galizia, C. G., Sachse, S., Rappert, A., & Menzel, R. (1999). The glomerular code for odor
 representation is species specific in the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Nature Neuroscience*, 2(5),
 473–478. https://doi.org/10.1038/8144

- Goelen, T., Sobhy, I. S., Vanderaa, C., de Boer, J. G., Delvigne, F., Francis, F., Wäckers, F.,
 Rediers, H., Verstrepen, K. J., Wenseleers, T., Jacquemyn, H., & Lievens, B. (2020).
 Volatiles of bacteria associated with parasitoid habitats elicit distinct olfactory responses in
 an aphid parasitoid and its hyperparasitoid. *Functional Ecology*, *34*(2), 507–520.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13503
- Goelen, T., Vuts, J., Sobhy, I. S., Wäckers, F., Caulfield, J. C., Birkett, M. A., Rediers, H.,
 Jacquemyn, H., & Lievens, B. (2020). Identification and application of bacterial volatiles to
 attract a generalist aphid parasitoid: from laboratory to greenhouse assays. *Pest Management Science*, 77(2), 930–938. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6102
- 487 Gonzalez, M., Celis, A. M., Guevara-Suarez, M. I., Molina, J., & Carazzone, C. (2019). Yeast
 488 smell like what they eat: analysis of volatile organic compounds of *Malassezia furfur* in
 489 growth media supplemented with different lipids. *Molecules*, 24(3), 419.
 490 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24030419
- Greany, P. D., Tumlinson, J. H., Chambers, D. L., & Boush, G. M. (1977). Chemically mediated
 host finding by *Biosteres (Opius) longicaudatus*, a parasitoid of tephritid fruit fly larvae. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 3(2), 189–195. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994145
- 494 Günther, C. S., Goddard, M. R., Newcomb, R. D., & Buser, C. C. (2015). The context of chemical
 495 communication driving a mutualism. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *41*(10), 929–936.
 496 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-015-0629-z
- 497Ha, E.-M., Lee, K.-A., Park, S. H., Kim, S.-H., Nam, H.-J., Lee, H.-Y., Kang, D., & Lee, W.-J.498(2009). Regulation of DUOX by the Gαq-phospholipase Cβ-Ca²⁺ pathway in *Drosophila* gut499immunity.499Developmental500https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2008.12.015
- Hallem, E. A., Ho, M. G., & Carlson, J. R. (2004). The molecular basis of odor coding in the
 Drosophila antenna. *Cell*, *117*(7), 965–979. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2004.05.012
- Hallem, E. A., & Carlson, J. R. (2006). Coding of odors by a receptor repertoire. *Cell*, *125*(1),
 143–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.050
- Hamby, K. A., Hernández, A., Boundy-Mills, K., & Zalom, F. G. (2012). Associations of yeasts
 with spotted-wing *Drosophila* (*Drosophila suzukii*; Diptera: Drosophilidae) in cherries and
 raspberries. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78(14), 4869–4873.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00841-12
- Holighaus, G., & Rohlfs, M. (2016). Fungal allelochemicals in insect pest management. Applied
 Microbiology and Biotechnology, 100, 5681–5689. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016 7573-x
- Jumean, Z., Jones, E., & Gries, G. (2009). Does aggregation behavior of codling moth larvae,
 Cydia pomonella, increase the risk of parasitism by *Mastrus ridibundus? Biological Control*,
 49(3), 254–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2009.03.003
- Leroy, P. D., Sabri, A., Verheggen, F. J., Francis, F., Thonart, P., & Haubruge, E. (2011). The semiochemically mediated interactions between bacteria and insects. *Chemoecology*, 21(3), 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-011-0074-6

- Leyva, J. L., Browning, H. W., & Gilstrap, F. E. (1991). Effect of host fruit species, size, and color
 on parasitization of *Anastrepha ludens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) by *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Environmental Entomology*, 20(5), 1469–1474.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/20.5.1469
- Lilly, M., Lambrechts, M. G., & Pretorius, I. S. (2000). Effect of increased yeast alcohol
 acetyltransferase activity on flavor profiles of wine and distillates. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66(2), 744–753. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.2.744 753.2000
- Lin, H., & Phelan, P. L. (1991). Identification of food volatiles attractive to dusky sap beetle,
 Carpophilus lugubris (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *17*(6), 1273–
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01402949
- Macku, C., & Jennings, W. G. (1987). Production of volatiles by ripening bananas. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 35(5), 845–848. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00077a049
- Madden, A. A., Epps, M. J., Fukami, T., Irwin, R. E., Sheppard, J., Sorger, D. M., & Dunn, R. R.
 (2018). The ecology of insect-yeast relationships and its relevance to human industry. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 285(1875), 20172733.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2733
- Mazzetto, F., Marchetti, E., Amiresmaeili, N., Sacco, D., Francati, S., Jucker, C., Dindo, M. L.,
 Lupi, D., & Tavella, L. (2016). *Drosophila* parasitoids in northern Italy and their potential to
 attack the exotic pest *Drosophila suzukii*. *Journal of Pest Science*, *89*(3), 837–850.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-016-0746-7
- Mumm, R., & Hilker, M. (2005). The significance of background odour for an egg parasitoid to
 detect plants with host eggs. *Chemical Senses*, 30(4), 337–343.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bji028
- Murgier, J., Everaerts, C., Farine, J.-P., & Ferveur, J.-F. (2019). Live yeast in juvenile diet induces
 species-specific effects on *Drosophila* adult behaviour and fitness. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1),
 8873. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45140-z
- Ogueta, M., Cibik, O., Eltrop, R., Schneider, A., & Scholz, H. (2010). The influence of Adh
 function on ethanol preference and tolerance in adult *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chemical Senses*, *35*(9), 813–822. https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjq084
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'hara, R. B., Simpson, G.
 L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., & Wagner, H. (2013). Package 'vegan.' In *Community ecology package* (Vol. 2, Issue 9, pp. 1–295).
- Olson, D., Wäckers, F., & Haugen, J. (2012). Threshold detection of boar taint chemicals using
 parasitic wasps. *Journal of Food Science*, 77(10), S356–S361. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2012.02883.x
- Phaff, H. J., & Knapp, E. P. (1956). The taxonomy of yeasts found in exudates of certain trees and
 other natural breeding sites of some species of *Drosophila*. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 22(1),
 117–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02538319
- 557 Phaff, H. J., & Starmer, W. T. (1987). Yeasts associated with plants, insects and soil. In A. H. Rose

- & J. S. Harrison (Eds.), *The yeasts. Biology of yeasts* (Vol. 1, pp. 123–180). Academic Press,
 New York.
- Pozo, M. I., Bartlewicz, J., van Oystaeyen, A., Benavente, A., van Kemenade, G., Wäckers, F., &
 Jacquemyn, H. (2018). Surviving in the absence of flowers: do nectar yeasts rely on
 overwintering bumblebee queens to complete their annual life cycle? *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 94(12), fiy196. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy196
- Quan, A. S., & Eisen, M. B. (2018). The ecology of the *Drosophila*-yeast mutualism in wineries.
 PLOS ONE, *13*(5), e0196440. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196440
- R Core Team (2019). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria:
 R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from https://www.R-project.org.
- Reuter, M., Bell, G., & Greig, D. (2007). Increased outbreeding in yeast in response to dispersal
 by an insect vector. *Current Biology*, 17(3), R81–R83.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.11.059
- Revadi, S. Vitagliano, S., Stacconi, M. V. R., Ramasamy, S., Mansourian, S., Carlin, S., Vrhovsek,
 U., Becher, P. G., Mazzoni, V., Rota-Stabelli, O., Angeli, S., Dekker, T., & Anfora, G. (2015).
 Olfactory responses of *Drosophila suzukii* femalesto host plant volatiles. *Physiological Entomology*, 40(1), 54–64. https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12088
- Scheidler, N. H., Liu, C., Hamby, K. A., Zalom, F. G., & Syed, Z. (2015). Volatile codes:
 Correlation of olfactory signals and reception in *Drosophila*-yeast chemical communication.
 Scientific Reports, 5(1), 14059. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14059
- Schulz-Bohm, K., Martín-Sánchez, L., & Garbeva, P. (2017). Microbial volatiles: small molecules
 with an important role in intra-and inter-kingdom interactions. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 2484. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02484
- Schwab, W., Davidovich-Rikanati, R., & Lewinsohn, E. (2008). Biosynthesis of plant-derived
 flavor compounds. *The Plant Journal*, 54(4), 712–732. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365313X.2008.03446.x
- 584 Shalit, M., Guterman, I., Volpin, H., Bar, E., Tamari, T., Menda, N., Adam, Z., Zamir, D., Vainstein, A., Weiss, D., Pichersky, E., & Lewinsohn, E. (2003). Volatile ester formation in 585 roses. Identification of an acetyl-coenzyme A. geraniol/citronellol acetyltransferase in 586 587 developing rose petals. Plant Physiology, 131(4), 1868-1876. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.102.018572 588
- 589 Skorupa, D. A., Dervisefendic, A., Zwiener, J., & Pletcher, S. D. (2008). Dietary composition
 590 specifies consumption, obesity, and lifespan in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Aging Cell*, 7(4),
 591 478–490. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2008.00400.x
- Sobhy, I. S., Baets, D., Goelen, T., Herrera-Malaver, B., Bosmans, L., Van den Ende, W.,
 Verstrepen, K. J., Wäckers, F., Jacquemyn, H., & Lievens, B. (2018). Sweet scents: Nectar
 specialist yeasts enhance nectar attraction of a generalist aphid parasitoid without affecting
 survival. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *9*, 1009. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01009
- Stefanini, I., Dapporto, L., Legras, J.-L., Calabretta, A., Di Paola, M., De Filippo, C., Viola, R.,
 Capretti, P., Polsinelli, M., Turillazzi, S., & Cavalieri, D. (2012). Role of social wasps in

- 598 Saccharomyces cerevisiae ecology and evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of 599 Sciences, 109(33), 13398–13403. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208362109
- Stökl, J., Strutz, A., Dafni, A., Svatos, A., Doubsky, J., Knaden, M., Sachse, S., Hansson, B. S., &
 Stensmyr, M. C. (2010). A deceptive pollination system targeting drosophilids through
 olfactory mimicry of yeast. *Current Biology*, 20(20), 1846–1852.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.09.033
- Sullivan, B. T., Pettersson, E. M., Seltmann, K. C., & Berisford, C. W. (2000). Attraction of the
 bark beetle parasitoid *Roptrocerus xylophagorum* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) to hostassociated olfactory cues. *Environmental Entomology*, 29(6), 1138–1151.
 https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-29.6.1138
- Takemoto, H., & Takabayashi, J. (2015). Parasitic wasps *Aphidius ervi* are more attracted to a
 blend of host-induced plant volatiles than to the independent compounds. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 41(9), 801–807. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-015-0615-5
- Tilocca, B., Cao, A., & Migheli, Q. (2020). Scent of a killer: microbial volatilome and its role in
 the biological control of plant pathogens. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11(41).
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00041
- Turlings, T. C. L., Wäckers, F. L., Vet, L. E. M., Lewis, W. J., & Tumlinson, J. H. (1993). Learning
 of host-finding cues by hymenopterous parasitoids. In D. R. Papaj & A. C. Lewis (Eds.), *Insect learning: Ecology and evolutionary perspectives* (pp. 51–78). Springer, Boston, MA.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2814-2_3
- Verstrepen, K. J., Van Laere, S. D. M., Vanderhaegen, B. M. P., Derdelinckx, G., Dufour, J.-P.,
 Pretorius, I. S., Winderickx, J., Thevelein, J. M., & Delvaux, F. R. (2003). Expression levels
 of the yeast alcohol acetyltransferase Genes *ATF1*, *Lg-ATF1*, and *ATF2* control the formation
 of a broad range of volatile esters. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *69*(9), 5228–
 5237. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.9.5228-5237.2003
- Vet, L. E. M., Janse, C., van Achterberg, C., & van Alphen, J. J. M. (1984). Microhabitat location
 and niche segregation in two sibling species of drosophilid parasitoids: *Asobara tabida* (Nees)
 and *A. rufescens* (Foerster) (Braconidae: Alysiinae). *Oecologia*, 61(2), 182–188.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00396757
- Vet, L. E. M., Lewis, W. J., & Cardé, R. T. (1995). Parasitoid foraging and learning. In R. T. Carde
 & W. J. Bell (Eds.), *Chemical ecology of insects* 2 (pp. 65–101). Springer, Boston, MA.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-1765-8_3
- Vet, L. E. M., Hemerik, L., Visser, M. E., & Wäckers, F. L. (2002). Patch-use strategies of insect
 parasitoids. In E. E. Lewis, J. F. Campbell, & M. V. K. Sukhdeo (Eds.), *The behavioural ecology of parasites* (pp. 39–64). CABI Publishing, Wallingford.
- Vosshall, L. B., Wong, A. M., & Axel, R. (2000). An olfactory sensory map in the fly brain. *Cell*,
 102(2), 147–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00021-0
- Walsh, D. B., Bolda, M. P., Goodhue, R. E., Dreves, A. J., Lee, J., Bruck, D. J., Walton, V. M.,
 O'Neal, S. D., & Zalom, F. G. (2011). *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae): Invasive
 pest of ripening soft fruit expanding its geographic range and damage potential. *Journal of*

- 638 Integrated Pest Management, 2(1), G1–G7. https://doi.org/10.1603/IPM10010
- Yi, C., Cai, P., Lin, J., Liu, X., Ao, G., Zhang, Q., Xia, H., Yang, J., & Ji, Q. (2020). Life history
 and host preference of *Trichopria drosophilae* from southern China, one of the effective pupal
 parasitoids on the *Drosophila* species. *Insects*, *11*(2), 103.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11020103
- Zhao, Z., & McBride, C. S. (2020). Evolution of olfactory circuits in insects. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 206(3), 353–367. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-020-01399-6

646 TABLES

Compound [§]	N° [#]	Blank ^{\$}	WT-Y182	DEL-	OE-KV3735	P value
•				KV3734		
Ethyl acetate	1	$1.59\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	$28.16 \pm 0.68^{\circ}$	15.36 ± 0.86^{b}	100.16 ± 2.30^{d}	<0.001
Ethyl butyrate	2	ND ^a	$0.23\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$	$0.23\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$	$0.22\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$	< 0.001
Ethyl isobutyrate	3	0.05 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.00	0.59
Ethyl-2-	4	ND^{a}	$0.23\pm0.06^{\text{b}}$	0.15 ± 0.05^{ab}	$0.21\pm0.06^{\text{b}}$	0.04
methylbutyrate						
Ethyl isovalerate	5	0.01 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.07	ND	0.49 ± 0.49	0.49
Ethyl hexanoate	6	ND^{a}	0.05 ± 0.00^{b}	$0.05\pm0.00^{\text{b}}$	$0.04\pm0.00^{\text{b}}$	< 0.001
Ethyl octanoate	7	$0.05\pm0.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.10\pm0.00^{\text{b}}$	0.11 ± 0.00^{b}	0.11 ± 0.00^{b}	< 0.001
Ethyl decanoate	8	$0.02\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$0.10\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$	$0.12\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$	$0.13\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$	< 0.001
1-Propanol	9	0.66 ± 0.66^{a}	$49.26\pm1.09^{\text{b}}$	$53.76 \pm 1.06^{\circ}$	47.15 ± 0.78^{b}	< 0.001
Propyl acetate	10	ND ^a	0.01 ± 0.00^{b}	ND ^a	0.01 ± 0.00^{b}	0.006
Isobutanol	11	ND^{a}	$80.66 \pm 1.98^{\circ}$	$81.03 \pm 1.54^{\circ}$	69.94 ± 1.10^{b}	< 0.001
Isobutyl acetate	12	$0.01\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	0.16 ± 0.01^{b}	$0.03\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$0.85 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	<0.001
Isoamyl alcohol	13	ND^{a}	128.24 ± 5.62^{b}	$151.59 \pm 2.76^{\circ}$	117.93 ± 4.71^{b}	< 0.001
Isoamyl acetate	14	$0.30 \pm 0.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.74 ± 0.02^{b}	$0.36\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	$3.19 \pm 0.22^{\circ}$	<0.001
1-Hexanol	15	ND^{a}	ND ^a	$0.03\pm0.00^{\text{b}}$	ND^{a}	< 0.001
Hexyl acetate	16	ND ^a	$0.01\pm0.00^{\rm b}$	0.01 ± 0.00^{b}	$0.01\pm0.00^{\rm b}$	<0.001
Phenylethyl alcohol	17	$1.59\pm0.48^{\rm a}$	13.26 ± 2.85^{b}	$11.70\pm0.44^{\rm b}$	$13.17\pm3.11^{\text{b}}$	0.01
Phenylethyl acetate	18	ND ^a	0.11 ± 0.00^{b}	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	$0.72 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$	<0.001

Table 1. Microbial volatile profiles $(ppm)^*$ of the three yeast strains used in this study[†]

^{*}Average of three biological replicates \pm SEM. Values with different superscript letters in a row are

649 significantly different (P < 0.05). ND, not detected.

[†]Tested strains included the wild type *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain Y182 (WT-Y182), its *ATF1*

deletion mutant (DEL-KV3734) and its *ATF1* overexpression mutant (OE-KV3735).

652 [§]Acetate esters are displayed in bold.

- [#]Numbers refer to the vectors shown in Fig. 1.
- 654 ^{\$}Non-inoculated cultivation medium.
- 655
- 656
- 657
- 658
- 659
- 660
- 661
- 662

663 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Principal component analysis (PCA) of the volatile profiles produced by the three 664 Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains investigated, including the wild type strain Y182 (WT-Y182), 665 the ATF1 deletion mutant (DEL-KV3734) and the ATF1 overexpression mutant (OE-KV3735), 666 and the non-inoculated cultivation medium (blank). The closer the dots together, the more similar 667 668 the VOC profiles. Vectors (red arrows) represent the loadings for each compound. The length of the arrows approximates the variance of the variables, whereas the angels between them 669 approximate their correlations. Numbers refer to the different compounds measured: (1) ethyl 670 671 acetate; (2) ethyl butyrate; (3) ethyl isobutyrate; (4) ethyl-2-methylbutyrate; (5) ethyl isovalerate; (6) ethyl hexanoate; (7) ethyl octanoate; (8) ethyl decanoate; (9) 1-propanol; (10) propyl acetate; 672 (11) isobutanol; (12) isobutyl acetate; (13) isoamyl alcohol; (14) isoamyl acetate; (15) 1-hexanol; 673 (16) hexyl acetate; (17) phenylethyl alcohol; and (18) phenylethyl acetate. All analyses were 674 675 performed on cell-free fermentation media (three biological replicates).

676

Figure 2. Olfactory response of adult *Trichopria drosophilae* females (tested in 12 cohorts of five females) when given the choice between the odor of the non-inoculated cultivation medium (blank) and one of the three *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains investigated. Tested yeast strains included the wild type strain Y182 (WT-Y182), the *ATF1* deletion mutant (DEL-KV3734) and the *ATF1* overexpression mutant (OE-KV3735). The used parasitoids were naïve (*i.e.* inexperienced to smell and food). Non_responders were eliminated from statistical analysis. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Mean parasitoid responsiveness was 72.8%.

Figure 3. Olfactory response of adult *Trichopria drosophilae* females (tested in 12 cohorts of five 685 females) when given the choice between the odor of the non-inoculated cultivation medium (blank) 686 687 and the Saccharomyces cerevisiae ATF1 deletion mutant (DEL-KV3734) fermentation medium supplemented with one or more acetate esters at concentrations that matched the levels in the 688 medium of the wild type strain (WT-Y182) (A) and the ATF1 overexpression mutant (OE-689 690 KV3735) (B). Tested esters included ethyl acetate (EA), isoamyl acetate (IA) and phenylethyl acetate (PA). The used parasitoids were naïve (i.e. inexperienced to smell and food). Non-691 692 responders were eliminated from statistical analysis. Error bars represent standard error of the 693 mean. Mean parasitoid responsiveness was 71.7%.

694

Figure 4. Olfactory response of adult Trichopria drosophilae females (tested in 12 cohorts of five 695 females) when given the choice between the odor of the wild type *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain 696 (WT-Y182) or the ATF1 overexpression mutant (OE-KV3735) and the ATF1 deletion mutant 697 698 (DEL-KV3734) fermentation medium supplemented with one or more acetate esters at concentrations that matched the levels in the medium of the wild type strain (A) or the ATF1 699 overexpression mutant (B). Tested esters included ethyl acetate (EA), isoamyl acetate (IA) and 700 701 phenylethyl acetate (PA). The used parasitoids were naïve (*i.e.* inexperienced to smell and food). Non-responders were eliminated from statistical analysis. Error bars represent standard error of 702 703 the mean. Mean parasitoid responsiveness was 69.2%.

704

Figure 5. Olfactory response of adult *Trichopria drosophilae* females (tested in 12 cohorts of five females) when given the choice between two different concentrations of ethyl acetate (0.1 ppm and 1 ppm), isoamyl acetate (0.001 ppm and 0.01 ppm) and phenylethyl acetate (0.001 ppm and

0.01 ppm) dissolved in diethyl ether and a diethyl ether blank in a Y-tube olfactometer bioassay.
The used parasitoids were naïve (*i.e.* inexperienced to smell and food). Non-responders were
eliminated from statistical analysis. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Mean
parasitoid responsiveness was 67.4%.