Title: Cell-by-cell dissection of phloem development links a maturation gradient to cell specialization.

Authors: Pawel Roszak[1]†, Jung-ok Heo[1,2]†, Bernhard Blob[1]†, Koichi Toyokura[1,3,4,5]†, Yuki Sugiyama[1,6]‡, Maria Angels de Luis Balaguer[7]‡, Winnie W. Y. Lau[8]‡, Fiona Hamey[8]‡, Jacopo Cirrone[9]‡, Ewelina Madej[10], Alida M. Bouatta[11], Xin Wang[2], Marjorie Guichard[12,13], Robertas Ursache[1], Hugo Tavares[1,14], Kevin Verstaen[15,16], Jos Wendrich[17,18], Charles W. Melnyk[19], Yoshihisa Oda[6,20], Dennis Shasha[9], Sebastian E. Ahnert[1,21,22], Yvan Saeys[15,16], Bert De Rybel[17,18], Renze Heidstra[23], Ben Scheres[23,24], Guido Grossmann[12,13], Ari Pekka Mähönen[2], Philipp Denninger[11], Berthold Göttgens[8], Rosangela Sozzani[7]*, Kenneth D. Birnbaum[25]*, Yrjö Helariutta[1,2]*

Affiliations:

[1]The Sainsbury Laboratory, University of Cambridge; Cambridge, UK.

[2]Institute of Biotechnology, HiLIFE/Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Viikki Plant Science Centre, University of Helsinki; Helsinki, Finland.

[3]Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University; Osaka, Japan.

[4]Faculty of Science and Engineering, Konan University; Kobe, Japan.

[5]GRA&GREEN Inc., Incubation Facility, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan.

[6]Department of Gene Function and Phenomics, National Institute of Genetics; Mishima, Japan

[7]Plant and Microbial Biology Department, North Carolina State University; Raleigh, USA.

[8]Wellcome Trust and MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute and Department of Haematology, University of Cambridge; Cambridge, UK.

[9]Computer Science Department, Courant Institute for Mathematical Sciences, New York University; NY, USA.

[10]Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University; Kraków, Poland

[11]Plant Systems Biology, Technical University of Munich; Freising, Germany

[12]Institute of Cell and Interaction Biology, CEPLAS, Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf; Düsseldorf, Germany

[13]Centre for Organismal Studies, Heidelberg University; Heidelberg, Germany

[14] Bioinformatics Training Facility, Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

[15]Data Mining and Modelling for Biomedicine, VIB Center for Inflammation Research; Ghent, Belgium

[16]Department of Applied Mathematics, Computer Science and Statistics, Ghent University; Ghent, Belgium

[17]Ghent University, Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics; Ghent, Belgium

[18]VIB Center for Plant Systems Biology; Ghent, Belgium

[19]Department of Plant Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences; Uppsala, Sweden.

[20]Department of Genetics, the Graduate University for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI; Mishima, Japan

[21] Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge; Cambridge, UK

[22]The Alan Turing Institute, British Library; London, UK

[23]Department of Plant Sciences, Wageningen University and Research; Wageningen, The Netherlands.

[24]Rijk Zwaan R&D, 4793 Fijnaart, The Netherlands

[25]Center for Genomics and Systems Biology, New York University; NY, USA.

†These authors contributed equally to this work

‡These authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding authors

Abstract: In the plant meristem, tissue-wide maturation gradients are coordinated with specialized cell networks to establish various developmental phases required for indeterminate growth. Here, we used single-cell transcriptomics to reconstruct the protophloem developmental trajectory from birth of cell progenitors to terminal differentiation in the Arabidopsis root. PHLOEM EARLY DNA-BINDING-WITH-ONE-FINGER (PEAR) transcription factors mediate lineage bifurcation by activating GTPase signaling and prime a transcriptional differentiation program. This program is initially repressed by a meristem-wide gradient of PLETHORA transcription factors. Only the dissipation of PLETHORA gradient permits activation of the differentiation program that involves mutual inhibition of early vs. late meristem regulators. Thus, for phloem development, broad maturation gradients interface with cell-type specific transcriptional regulators to stage cellular differentiation.

One-Sentence Summary: Single-cell analysis shows how global signals in the root meristem interact with the cell type specific factors to determine distinct phases of phloem development.

Main text: Roots consist of several concentric layers of functionally distinct cell files, which initially bifurcate and establish distinct identities around the quiescent center and its surrounding stem cells. Cells within each file mature through the distinct zones of cell proliferation and differentiation (*1*). For example, in Arabidopsis, the development of the protophloem sieve elements involves a transient period of cell proliferation, during which, in addition to amplification of cells within the file, two lineage-bifurcating events take place (Fig. 1A) (*2*). Soon after the cell proliferation ceases, cells of the protophloem sieve element lineage initiate a differentiation process which culminates in enucleation, an irreversible process that gives rise to the mature conductive cells (*3*). Because of specific modulation of the graded distribution of the key phytohormonal cue auxin, the differentiation of protophloem sieve elements occurs faster than that of the other cell files (*4*). Therefore, protophloem sieve element development offers a tractable scheme to understand how the two processes of cell specialization and maturation interact.

Phloem developmental trajectory at single-cell resolution.

In order to understand the process of protophloem sieve element development at a high resolution, we took a combination of approaches based on time-lapse confocal imaging (*5*) and single cell transcriptomics (*6*). Using phloem-specific marker (*pPEAR1::H2B-YFP pCALS7::H2B-YFP*) we precisely mapped cellular behavior of the on average of 19 cells that constitute the protophloem sieve element developmental trajectory until enucleation, which takes place every 2 hours in the final cell position. The passage of the cell from its "birth" at

the stem cell until its enucleation took a minimum of 79 hours (Fig. S1, movies S1, S2). To dissect the genetic control underlying this temporal progression, we opted for deep profiling of the 19 cells that represent the developmental trajectory of protophloem sieve element, using cell sorting and well-based single cell sequencing over higher throughput but shallower droplet-based profiling (*6–12*). We used fluorescent reporter lines whose expression represent various spatio-temporal domains within the developmental trajectory of protophloem sieve element (Fig. S2A, B). The single-cell profiles allowed us to cluster cells together with known protophloem sieve element markers to identify 758 cells that densely sampled the 19 cell positions and captured the span of protophloem sieve element maturation (Fig. 1B, Fig. S2C-G).

We sought to use the high-resolution profile of the protophloem sieve element lineage to ask how cell passage through stable signaling gradients in the meristem controls the stages of cellular specialization. In particular, while a number of regulators of either phloem cell identity or meristem zonation have been described (*13*, *14*), little is known about how these two regulatory processes interact to control organogenesis. Using Monocle 2 (*15*, *16*), we projected the 758 protophloem sieve element lineage cells into a pseudo-temporal order and investigated transcriptional transitions along the developmental trajectory (Fig. 1B-D). Rather than gradual changes, we observed four transcriptomic domains separated by three narrow transition zones (Fig. 1D, E; Table S1). Based on the alignment with the temporal expression patterns of selected genes, we were able to determine that these domains correspond approximately to cells at positions 1-7 [a], 8-11 [b], 12-15 [c] and 16-19 [d], respectively (Fig. S3). To further understand which aspects of protophloem sieve element maturation these various positions represent, we extended time-lapse confocal imaging with more temporally specific marker lines *pNAC86::H2B-YFP* and *pNEN4::H2B-YFP*, active at later developmental stages (3). We found that the differentiation time, measured from the last cell

division to enucleation takes around 20 hours with some variation up to the final stage defined by expression of *NAC45/86-DEPENDENT EXONUCLEASE-DOMAIN PROTEIN 4* (*NEN4*) (active in positions 18-19), (Fig. 1E, Fig. S1D, H, I, Movies S1-S12). In summary, based on the high congruence of the single-cell transcriptome and live imaging data, we were able to assign seven distinct developmental phases along the protophloem sieve element trajectory: (I) "stem cell", position 1; (II) "transit amplifying", position 2-9; (III) "transitioning", position 8-11; (IV) "early differentiating", position 10-15; (V) "late differentiating", position 16-17; (VI) "very late differentiating", position 18-19; (VII) "enucleating", position 19 (Fig. 1F, G, Fig. S1, Table S2).

PEARs promote lineage bifurcation via GTPase signaling.

Proximal to the stem cell (I) developmental phase, the first distinctive feature of the protophloem sieve element lineage is the bifurcation of the procambial and metaphloem cell files from the progenitor protophloem sieve element lineage through a pair of subsequent periclinal (asymmetric) cell divisions in the domain of transit amplifying cells (II). Using the single-cell lineage and imaging analysis, we sought to precisely map these divisions (Fig. 2A). We observed that the first periclinal division followed exclusively a rare event of phloem stem cell division (Movie S13, Fig. S4A). The second, more frequent, periclinal division was observed predominantly at position 3 (Fig. 1F). We have recently shown that the PEAR transcription factors (transcribed in domains I-IV) mediate early asymmetric divisions in the phloem lineage and laterally adjacent procambial cells in a cell autonomous and cell non-autonomous manner, respectively (*17*). In order to identify potential downstream effector genes for this PEAR function, we focused on the genes enriched in the expression domain of *pPEAR1Δ::erVenus* marker line (Methods) capturing the bifurcation events and the resulting protophloem, metaphloem and procambium cell lineages (Fig. 2B, Fig. S4B).

Among the sieve element enriched genes that were highly expressed in single cell profiles preceding and during the bifurcation (domain II), we identified and validated the protophloem sieve element abundant expression of Rho-related GTPase, Rho of plants 9 (ROP9) (*18*) as well as several genes encoding PRONE-type ROP guanine nucleotide exchange factors (ROPGEF) (Fig. 2B, C, D, Fig. S4B, C, F) (*19*). ROP GTPase signaling controls polarity of the multiple cell types during cell differentiation (*20-22*) and specific cell division events (*23-25*). Subsequently, we determined that ROPGEF3 and ROPGEF5 expression in the protophloem sieve element lineage is dependent upon PEAR factors, based on the spatio-temporal correlation as well as the analysis of transcriptional reporters in the *pear* sextuple mutant background (Fig. 2E). In addition, functional analysis of the PEAR binding sites previously indicated by the DAPseq technique (*26*) in the promoter region of ROPGEF genes affected their expression level (Fig. S4D) (17), suggesting a direct interaction.

In the dividing cells, ROPGEFs accumulate broadly at the cell membrane but were depleted from the expected position of cortical division zone, which demarcates the future division plane (Fig. 2F) (*25*). Indeed, observed gaps in ROPGEF localization coincided with the position of microtubule array called the preprophase band, the earliest marker of cell division plane in plants (Fig. 2G, Fig. S4E) (*25*). ROPGEFs catalyze disassociation of GDP from inactive ROP-GDP complex that enables quick binding of free cytosolic GTP and thus activates ROP signaling. In the active state, ROP-GTP interacts with a number of different effector proteins to mediate downstream signaling (*27*). In order to detect cellular position of the active ROP signaling in relation to the periclinal and anticlinal cell division planes in phloem, we utilized molecular biosensor of ROP signaling that consist of fluorescently tagged, ROP-GTP binding domain from MICROTUBULE DEPLETION DOMAIN1 (MIDD1ΔN) effector protein (*28*). Similarly to the localization of ROPGEFs, subcellular

localization of active ROP signaling was detected on the cell membrane and was absent in the cortical division zone of protophloem sieve element cells during mitosis (Fig. 2H).

In order to test whether ROP signaling plays a decisive role in the selection of cell division plane, we generated an inducible line expressing the constitutively active form of ROP9 (ROP9^{CA}) (Methods) and lines ectopically expressing phloem enriched ROPGEFs. Accumulation of ROP9^{CA}-3xYFP on the radial walls of the protophloem sieve element lineage correlated with cell expansion to the radial direction and reorientation of the cell division plane (Fig. 2I, Fig. S4F). Ectopic expression of ROPGEFs resulted in ectopic periclinal cell divisions in the outer root layers and pericycle, which rarely undergo such division (Fig. 2J, K, Fig. S4G, H). Members of PRONE-type ROPGEF gene family in Arabidopsis have been previously proposed to act redundantly in number of processes in which they activate ROP signaling (*29*). On the other hand, loss of *SPIKE1* (*SPK1*), encoding a single copy ROP interacting DOCK family GEF causes phenotypes mimicking the combinatorial *rop* mutants (*30-32*). Therefore, we focused on the loss-of-function alleles of *SPK1*, one of which we identified in the genetic screen for factors promoting formative (periclinal) cell divisions (Supplementary Materials). In the *spk1* loss-of-function mutant, we detected a significant reduction in periclinal divisions in several tissues, including protophloem sieve element cell lineage (Fig. 2L, M, Fig. S4I, J, K). We conclude that, in the transit amplifying cells (domain II, position 2-9), PEAR function promotes the bifurcation involving the emergence of the protophloem sieve element cell lineage by switching the orientation of the cell divisions at least partially through the activation of ROPGEF-ROP signaling module.

PLETHORAs stage *APL* expression and phloem differentiation.

Another distinct feature of the early protophloem sieve element developmental trajectory is the transition from cell division to cell differentiation (II-III-IV). This transition mapped closely to the first major change in the protophloem sieve element transcriptome. In the first transcriptomic domain (I-II), we detected transcripts of the PLETHORA gene family (Fig. 1E), whose relatively persistent proteins are known to spread shootward through cell-to-cell movement. This movement, together with a mitotic dilution effect, contributes to the formation of the shootward protein gradient. (*14*). Prior work has shown that PLETHORA transcription factors broadly regulate meristem development, promoting cell division at moderate concentrations, and then permitting elongation and differentiation as levels drop (*14*, *33*, *34*). However, it is not clear how individual cell files interpret the meristem-wide PLETHORA gradient for their own specialized differentiation.

We hypothesized that the PLETHORA gradient might mediate the first transcriptional shift (i.e. domain II to III) towards protophloem sieve element differentiation by permitting a new set of transcripts to be expressed (Fig. 3A). We tested this hypothesis by driving PLETHORA2 (PLT2) under several promoters that extended its expression in the protophloem sieve element in later maturation stages than its native domain (Fig. 3B, Fig. S5A). When using the *pNAC86::XVE* inducible promoter, active in domains V-VII (*3, 35*), ectopic PLT2 delayed protophloem sieve element enucleation (Fig. 3B, Fig. S5A). Transcriptional profiling of phloem cells expressing the construct showed an upregulation of genes (Table S3) that mapped to early stages of the protophloem sieve element single-cell trajectory (from domains I-II) - the known PLT2 protein gradient (Fig. 3C). These results suggest that extending the PLT2 gradient is sufficient to prolong the early stages of meristem maturation within the protophloem sieve element lineage, providing a connection between the maturation of a specific cell file and a meristem-wide protein gradient. In addition, in the pseudo-time ordered single cells, we could detect complementary oscillatory patterns of the

putative S-phase and G2-M-phase genes that were upregulated PLETHORA targets, apparently corresponding to regular progressions through the cell-cycle (Fig. 3C, Fig. S5B). Furthermore, *ALTERED PHLOEM DEVELOPMENT* (*APL*), *NAC45/86* and *NEN4,* known key regulators of the protophloem sieve element enucleation pathway (*3*), were among the PLT2-downregulated genes (Fig S5C, Table S3). This is consistent with the presence of *APL* in the large set of genes downregulated by PLETHORA overexpression (*33*). We validated the downregulation of *APL* and *NEN4* by ectopic *PLT2* expression with in situ hybridization (Fig. 3D, Fig. S5D). We also monitored a shootward shift of *APL* expression domain in the roots after conditional ectopic induction of *PLT2* expression. The induction of PLT2 in the phloem cells beyond its native domain confirmed that activation of APL-dependent genetic program requires dissipation of the PLETHORA gradient (Fig. 3E). In order to test the role of PLETHORAs in controlling the transition between transit amplification and differentiation in phloem, we used an inducible, tissue specific CRISPR/Cas9 approach to mutate *PLT2* specifically in protophloem sieve element cell file (*36*). We observed an acceleration of the protophloem sieve element differentiation as well as the expression of *pAPL::erTurq* reporter towards the QC without affecting the broader meristem size or root growth, showing that loss of PLETHORA function in its native domain allows precocious expression of mid- to late-stage protophloem sieve element differentiation regulators (Fig. 3F, Fig. S5F-H).

We sought to further test whether PLT2 directly regulates the protophloem sieve element-specific differentiation program, as we found AP2 (a member of the PLETHORA family) family binding sites in the *APL* promoter region, as defined by the DAPseq technique (*26*). Indeed, we confirmed the direct binding of PLT2 to several regions of the *APL* promoter by ChIP-qPCR (Fig. 3G). Furthermore, along with AP2 sites, the *APL* promoter is also enriched for binding sites of HANABA TANARU (HAN), a GATA transcription factor. In turn, *HAN* is a PLETHORA target (*33*) and accordingly, upon ectopic PLT2 expression we detect *HAN*

transcripts expressed in late protophloem sieve element development (Fig. S5C, I). Ectopic HAN expression under *pNAC86:XVE* led to a delay in enucleation (Fig. S5J), similar to PLT2 overexpression in the same domain. We conclude that the PLETHORA gradient directly (and possibly in a feedforward manner with HAN) orchestrates protophloem sieve element differentiation by cell autonomously repressing transcription of the phloem regulator *APL*. Overall, the results show how the PLETHORA gradient first promotes cell proliferation in the protophloem sieve element lineage and then helps to time the later stages of cellular maturation.

PEARs promote *APL* to orchestrate phloem differentiation.

Given the results above, we reasoned that an early phloem-specific transcription factor must activate *APL* expression. In order to identify genes that could fill that role, we first generated a list of sieve element genes enriched in our bulk-sorted cells from that tissue compared to published data profiling other tissue types of the root meristem (*37*, Fig. S6A, Table S4). We further narrowed the list by intersecting it with sieve element enriched genes identified in the cluster analysis of single-cell RNAseq profiles of the *pPEAR1Δ::erVenus* reporter line (Table S5; Fig. 4A, B, Fig. S6B-H). From this analysis, we identified 542 sieve element enriched genes (Table S6) and corroborated their specificity in the published whole-root scRNAseq atlas (Table S7) (*12*). We modeled gene regulation using a machine learning approach on the pseudotime-ordered 758 single-cell profiles and 4924 highly variable genes. Among 208 TFs in this dataset, the majority of known protophloem sieve element transcription factors (such as *APL*, *NAC045* and *NAC086*) were among the top 20 regulators (Table S8). We validated the model by comparing predicted targets with genes induced by *in vivo* ectopic expression of the same TFs, confirming a significant overlap of targets in 3 out of 5 cases (Table S8). Among the top 20 regulators we also identified four related genes that encode early sieve

element abundant PEAR transcription factors (*PEAR1, PEAR2, DNA BINDING WITH ONE FINGER6, TARGET OF MONOPTEROS6*) (Fig. 4C). We recently showed that simultaneous loss of six PEAR genes results in defects in protophloem sieve element differentiation (*17*). We subsequently profiled the transcriptomes of wildtype and *pear* sextuple mutant (Fig. 4D) root meristems and identified 203 downregulated genes overlapping with our protophloem sieve element specific gene list (Table S9). The expression of *APL* as well as its downstream targets – *NAC045, NAC086* and *NEN4* was lost in protophloem tissue of *pear* sextuple mutant (Fig. 4E, F Fig. S7A). Subsequently, expression of *APL* and *NAC086* reporter lines was restored in the pear sextuple mutant upon induction of *PEAR1*, corroborating that transcriptional activation of *APL* in the protophloem sieve element is dependent on activity of PEAR factors (Fig. 3F).

To test whether PEAR1 can directly regulate expression of *APL* in its endogenous expression domain (cells 1-14), we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) followed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using *pPEAR1::PEAR1-GFP* protein fusion and identified multiple PEAR1 binding sites within *APL* promoter (*pAPL*) (Fig. 4G). Truncation analysis of *pAPL* indicated presence of an enhancer element, responsible for expression of *APL* in the cells transitioning from cell division to cell differentiation, within 2039 bp to 2962 bp region upstream of *APL* open reading frame (ORF) (Fig. 4H). Our ChIP analysis detected a single strong PEAR1-GFP peak in the promoter sequence beyond 2039 bp distance from the ORF and another strong peak at the upstream end of the 2 kb region, both of which were also detected in the publicly available DAP-Seq data (Fig. 4G, Fig. S7C) (*26*). Furthermore, within the detected regions (-2672 to -2512 and -1946 to -1844) we identified multiple clusters of DOF binding motifs (AAAG) (*26*) that constitute an enhancer element required for the transcriptional activation of *APL* in the phloem transition zone (domain III) (Fig. 4H, I, Fig. S7C). Although the expression of *APL* in the protophloem sieve element is dependent on

PEARs (Fig. 4F), *APL* expression domain extends beyond *PEAR* domain (cells 15-19; Fig. 1E, Fig. S3A). It is possible that either the PEAR proteins and/or *APL* mRNA persist this period of some 10 hours before enucleation. Alternatively, there may be intermediate factors acting downstream of PEARs to promote *APL* expression during late stages of phloem development. Collectively, the data supports a role for PEARs controlling the onset of APL expression to regulate a transition in phloem differentiation. The transition is controlled by the PLETHORAs, whose role in promoting division ultimately dissipates its own gradient. When PLETHORA levels decline sufficiently, PEARs can then effectively upregulate *APL*. The opposing regulation of *APL* by positively regulating PEARS and inhibitory PLETHORAs illustrates how antagonistic mechanisms – one forming a morphogen-like gradient across the meristem – orchestrate developmental timing within a cell file.

Sequential mutual inhibition directs developmental transitioning.

The final major transcriptional transition in the phloem lineage occurs between the domains IV-V. To explore this transition, we ectopically expressed NEN4 and PLT2 at various developmental stages. When expressed in early ectopic domains, NEN4 expression causes cell death, while PLT2 expression forces cells back into the cell cycle. However, later expression of these two transcription factors, have little or no visible effect on cells, showing the developmental program of domain V appears resilient to these perturbations (Fig. 3B, Fig. S5A, Fig. S9). This indicates that the high number of protophloem sieve element specific genes during the final 8 hours of differentiation remodel the cellular behavior in an irreversible manner. We next sought to explore how widely the PEARs control transcriptional programs related to this final stage of sieve element development. We combined a gene regulatory analysis in the *pear* mutant with systematic overexpression and modelling approaches (Fig. S7A, B, Fig. S8). Our analysis revealed that - in addition to known phloem

regulators *APL, NAC045, NAC086* and *NAC028* - 10 out of 13 newly validated phloem

enriched transcription factors are dependent on PEARs (Fig. S7A, B, Fig. 4F).

Overexpression of two of these, *ZAT14* (AT5G03510), which was also the 3rd most

important TF in the machine learning model, and its close homolog *ZAT14L* (AT5G04390)

led to arrest of cell cycle and premature cell elongation (Fig. 4J, K). Transcriptional profiling

provided further evidence for a putative dual role in timing cell division and cell expansion

(that occurs largely after enucleation in this cell lineage) (Tables S10-S14). In addition, the

gene regulatory network model predicted a pattern of sequential mutual inhibition in the

target sets of high-scoring transcriptional regulators (Table S15); for example, genes

repressed by ZAT14 significantly overlap with genes activated by the earlier expressed

PEARs and *vice versa* (Fig. 4L). Overexpression analysis confirmed a significant over-

representation in the overlap between genes up-regulated by PEARs and down-regulated by

ZAT14 (Table S16) (*17*).

By combining single-cell transcriptomics with live imaging, here we have mapped the

cellular events from the birth of the phloem cell to its terminal differentiation into phloem

sieve element cells spanning a timeframe of 79 hours. In the early part of the developmental

trajectory, where cells are proliferating, the PEAR factors promote the asymmetric periclinal

divisions that result in lineage bifurcation. We pinpoint the ROPGEF-ROP regulatory module

as an effector of early PEAR function in promoting the periclinal cell divisions central to

vascular development. In addition, the PEARs activate the final 20-hour terminal

differentiation program, which highlights them as central integrators that connect early and

late phloem development. Our high-resolution phloem developmental trajectory reveals three

abrupt transitions in the gene expression program. The late, PEAR-regulated protophloem

sieve element program is directly and antagonistically controlled by the broad PLETHORA

gradient, which connects this morphogen-like gradient to cellular maturation. We propose

that mutual inhibition of target genes by sequentially expressed transcription factors represents a "seesaw" mechanism (Fig. S10) that allows rapid transitions and prevent gene expression programs with conflicting effects on cellular physiology (e.g., division vs. enucleation). Similar models have been implicated in so-called attractor states in cell fate decisions in animals (*38*). In the future it will be interesting to determine how conserved these principles of sieve element differentiation are in an evolutionary context, as well as how extensively they apply to other differentiation trajectories in plants.

Methods summary

Single-cell transcriptomic data described in the manuscript were generated from the protophloem/metaphloem sieve element and procambial cells sorted with a use of tissue specific fluorescent reporter lines. Root tips of 5 days old Arabidopsis plants were used as a tissue material for protoplasting. RNA sequencing of the sorted cells was performed following well-based Smart-seq protocol. Obtained transcriptomes, corresponding to the cells from protophloem cell lineage, were ordered in pseudotime using Monocle2 package which generated a single linear protophloem developmental trajectory. Expression profiles and pseudotime coordinates of the known phloem-expressed genes were further confirmed with in situ and reporter lines analysis.

Gene regulatory network was modelled using a random forest machine learning approach. Selected interactions, representing mutual inhibition (the "seesaw" model), were confirmed by the transcriptome analysis of lines overexpressing a candidate gene or profiling of the loss-of-function lines.

To understand cell behaviour at different developmental phases, confocal long-term live imaging was performed with the protophloem sieve element specific and nuclear localised

reporter line. Up to 5-days long movies were recorded and cell behaviour, including number and position of cell divisions, enucleation as well as the time of these events were recorded. All the details of methods including those summarized above are provided in the supplementary materials.

References

1. L. Dolan, K. Janmaat, V. Willemsen, P. Linstead, S. Poethig, K. Roberts, B. Scheres, Cellular organisation of the Arabidopsis thaliana root. *Development*. **119**, 71–84 (1993).

2. A. P. Mähönen, M. Bonke, L. Kauppinen, M. Riikonen, P. N. Benfey, Y. Helariutta, A novel two-component hybrid molecule regulates vascular morphogenesis of the Arabidopsis root. *Genes Dev.* **14**, 2938–2943 (2000).

3. K. M. Furuta, S. R. Yadav, S. Lehesranta, I. Belevich, S. Miyashima, J. Heo, A. Vatén, O. Lindgren, B. D. Rybel, G. V. Isterdael, P. Somervuo, R. Lichtenberger, R. Rocha, S. Thitamadee, S. Tähtiharju, P. Auvinen, T. Beeckman, E. Jokitalo, Y. Helariutta, Arabidopsis NAC45/86 direct sieve element morphogenesis culminating in enucleation. *Science*. **345**, 933–937 (2014).

4. P. Marhava, A. E. L. Bassukas, M. Zourelidou, M. Kolb, B. Moret, A. Fastner, W. X. Schulze, P. Cattaneo, U. Z. Hammes, C. Schwechheimer, C. S. Hardtke, A molecular rheostat adjusts auxin flux to promote root protophloem differentiation. *Nature*. **558**, 297–300 (2018).

5. R. Rahni, K. D. Birnbaum, Week-long imaging of cell divisions in the Arabidopsis root meristem. *Plant Methods*. **15**, 30 (2019).

6. I. Efroni, A. Mello, T. Nawy, P.-L. Ip, R. Rahni, N. DelRose, A. Powers, R. Satija, K. D. Birnbaum, Root Regeneration Triggers an Embryo-like Sequence Guided by Hormonal Interactions. *Cell*. **165**, 1721–1733 (2016).

7. T. Denyer, X. Ma, S. Klesen, E. Scacchi, K. Nieselt, M. C. P. Timmermans, Spatiotemporal Developmental Trajectories in the Arabidopsis Root Revealed Using High-Throughput Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. *Dev. Cell*. **48**, 840-852.e5 (2019).

8. C. N. Shulse, B. J. Cole, D. Ciobanu, J. Lin, Y. Yoshinaga, M. Gouran, G. M. Turco, Y. Zhu, R. C. O'Malley, S. M. Brady, D. E. Dickel, High-Throughput Single-Cell Transcriptome Profiling of Plant Cell Types. *Cell Reports*. **27**, 2241-2247.e4 (2019).

9. K. Jean-Baptiste, J. L. McFaline-Figueroa, C. M. Alexandre, M. W. Dorrity, L. Saunders, K. L. Bubb, C. Trapnell, S. Fields, C. Queitsch, J. T. Cuperus, Dynamics of Gene Expression in Single Root Cells of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell*. **31**, 993–1011 (2019).

10. T.-Q. Zhang, Z.-G. Xu, G.-D. Shang, J.-W. Wang, A Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Profiles the Developmental Landscape of Arabidopsis Root. *Mol Plant*. **12**, 648–660 (2019).

11. K. H. Ryu, L. Huang, H. M. Kang, J. Schiefelbein, Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Resolves Molecular Relationships Among Individual Plant Cells. *Plant Physiol.* **179**, 1444–1456 (2019).

12. J. R. Wendrich, B.-J. Yang, N. Vandamme, K. Verstaen, W. Smet, C. Van de Velde, M. Minne, B. Wybouw, E. Mor, H. E. Arents, J. Nolf, J. Van Duyse, G. Van Isterdael, S. Maere, Y. Saeys, B. De Rybel, Vascular transcription factors guide plant epidermal responses to limiting phosphate conditions. *Science*. **370**, 6518 (2020)

13. M. Bonke, S. Thitamadee, A. P. Mähönen, M.-T. Hauser, Y. Helariutta, APL regulates vascular tissue identity in Arabidopsis. *Nature*. **426**, 181–186 (2003).

14. A. P. Mähönen, K. Ten Tusscher, R. Siligato, O. Smetana, S. Díaz-Triviño, J. Salojärvi, G. Wachsman, K. Prasad, R. Heidstra, B. Scheres, PLETHORA gradient formation mechanism separates auxin responses. *Nature*. **515**, 125–129 (2014).

15. C. Trapnell, D. Cacchiarelli, J. Grimsby, P. Pokharel, S. Li, M. Morse, N. J. Lennon, K. J. Livak, T. S. Mikkelsen, J. L. Rinn, The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nature Biotechnology*. **32**, 381–386 (2014).

16. X. Qiu, Q. Mao, Y. Tang, L. Wang, R. Chawla, H. A. Pliner, C. Trapnell, Reversed graph embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. *Nature Methods*. **14**, 979–982 (2017).

17. S. Miyashima, P. Roszak, I. Sevilem, K. Toyokura, B. Blob, J. Heo, N. Mellor, H. Help-Rinta-Rahko, S. Otero, W. Smet, M. Boekschoten, G. Hooiveld, K. Hashimoto, O. Smetana, R. Siligato, E.-S. Wallner, A. P. Mähönen, Y. Kondo, C. W. Melnyk, T. Greb, K. Nakajima, R. Sozzani, A. Bishopp, B. D. Rybel, Y. Helariutta, Mobile PEAR transcription factors integrate positional cues to prime cambial growth. *Nature*. **565**, 490–494 (2019).

18. P. Winge, T. Brembu, R. Kristensen, A. M. Bones, Genetic structure and evolution of RAC-GTPases in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Genetics*. **156**, 1959-1971 (2000).

19. A. Berken, C. Thomas, A. Wittinghofer, A new family of RhoGEFs activates the Rop molecular switch in plants. *Nature*. **436**, 1176-1180 (2005).

20. Y. Fu, Y. Gu, Z. Zheng, G. Wasteneys, Z. Yang, Arabidopsis interdigitating cell growth requires two antagonistic pathways with opposing action on cell morphogenesis. *Cell*. **120**, 687-700 (2005).

21. A. J. Molendijk, F. Bischoff, C. S. Rajendrakumar, J. Friml, M. Braun, S. Gilroy, K. Palme, Arabidopsis thaliana Rop GTPases are localized to tips of root hairs and control polar growth. *EMBO J*. **20**, 2779-2788 (2001).

22. Y. Oda, H. Fukuda, Initiation of cell wall pattern by a Rho- and microtubule-driven symmetry breaking. *Science*. **337**, 1333-1336 (2012).

23. J. A. Humphries, Z. Vejlupkova, A. Luo, R. B. Meeley, A. W. Sylvester, J. E. Fowler, L. G. Smith, ROP GTPases act with the receptor-like protein PAN1 to polarize asymmetric cell division in maize. *Plant Cell*. **6**, 2273-2284 (2011).

24. P. Yi, G. Goshima, Rho of Plants GTPases and cytoskeletal elements control nuclear positioning and asymmetric cell division during Physcomitrella patens branching. *Current Biology*. **30**, 2860-2868 (2020).

25. D. Stockle, A. Herrmann, E. Lipka, T. Lauster, R. Gavidia, S. Zimmermann, S. Müller, Putative RopGAPs impact division plane selection and interact with kinesin-12 POK1. *Nature Plants*. **2**, 16120 (2016).

26. R. C. O'Malley, S. C. Huang, L. Song, M. G. Lewsey, A. Bartlett, J. R. Nery, M. Galli, A. Gallavotti, J. R. Ecker, Cistrome and Epicistrome Features Shape the Regulatory DNA Landscape. *Cell*. **165**, 1280–1292 (2016).

27. G. Feiguelman, Y. Fu, S. Yalovsky, ROP GTPases structure-function and signaling pathways. *Plant Physiology*. **176**, 57-79 (2018).

28. Y. Sugiyama, M. Wakazaki, K. Toyooka, H. Fukuda, Y. Oda, A Novel Plasma Membrane-Anchored Protein Regulates Xylem Cell-Wall Deposition through Microtubule- Dependent Lateral Inhibition of Rho GTPase Domains. *Current Biology*. **27**, 2522-2528 (2017).

29. P. Denninger, A. Reichelt, V. A. F. Schmidt, D. G. Mehlhorn, L. Y. Asseck, C. E. Stanley, N. F. Keinath, J. F. Evers, C. Grefen, G. Distinct RopGEFs successively

drive polarization and outgrowth of root hairs. *Current Biology*. **29**, 1854-1865 (2019).

30. J. L. Qiu, R. Jilk, M. D. Marks, D. B. Szymanski, The Arabidopsis SPIKE1 gene is required for normal cell shape control and tissue development. *The Plant Cell*. **14**, 101-118 (2002).

31. D. Basu, J. Le, T. Zakharova, E. L. Mallery, D. B. Szymanski, A SPIKE1 signaling complex controls actin-dependent cell morphogenesis through the heteromeric WAVE and ARP2/3 complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 4044-4049 (2007).

32. H. Ren, X. Dang, Y. Yang, D. Huang, M. Liu, X. Gao, D. Lin, SPIKE1 activates ROP GTPase to modulate petal growth and shape. *Plant Physiology*. **172**, 358-371 (2016).

33. C. Galinha, H. Hofhuis, M. Luijten, V. Willemsen, I. Blilou, R. Heidstra, B. Scheres, PLETHORA proteins as dose-dependent master regulators of Arabidopsis root development. *Nature*. **449**, 1053–1057 (2007).

34. L. Santuari, G. F. Sanchez-Perez, M. Luijten, B. Rutjens, I. Terpstra, L. Berke, M. Gorte, K. Prasad, D. Bao, J. L. P. M. Timmermans-Hereijgers, K. Maeo, K. Nakamura, A. Shimotohno, A. Pencik, O. Novak, K. Ljung, S. van Heesch, E. de Bruijn, E. Cuppen, V. Willemsen, A. P. Mähönen, W. Lukowitz, B. Snel, D. de Ridder, B. Scheres, R. Heidstra, The PLETHORA Gene Regulatory Network Guides Growth and Cell Differentiation in Arabidopsis Roots. *The Plant Cell*. **28**, 2937–2951 (2016).

35. R. Siligato, X. Wang, S. R. Yadav, S. Lehesranta, G. Ma, R. Ursache, I. Sevilem, J. Zhang, M. Gorte, K. Prasad, M. Wrzaczek, R. Heidstra, A. Murphy, B. Scheres, A. P. Mähönen, MultiSite Gateway-Compatible Cell Type-Specific Gene-Inducible System for Plants. *Plant Physiology*. **170**, 627–641 (2016).

36. X. Wang, L. Ye, M. Lyu, R. Ursache, A. Löytynoja, A. P. Mähönen, An inducible genome editing system for plants. *Nat. Plants* **6**, 766–772 (2020).

37. S. Li, M. Yamada, X. Han, U. Ohler, P. N. Benfey, High resolution expression map of the Arabidopsis root reveals alternative splicing and lincRNA regulation. *Dev Cell.* **39**, 508–522 (2016).

38. J. Shu, H. Deng, Lineage Specifiers: New Players in the Induction of Pluripotency. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*. **11**, 259–263 (2013).

39. A. Lampropoulos, Z. Sutikovic, C. Wenzl, I. Maegele, J. U. Lohmann, J. Forner, GreenGate - a novel, versatile, and efficient cloning system for plant transgenesis. *PLoS One.* **8**, e83043 (2013).

40. W. Decaestecker, R. A. Buono, M. L. Pfeiffer, N. Vangheluwe, J. Jourquin, M. Karimi, G. Van Isterdael, T. Beeckman, M. K. Nowack, T. B. Jacobs, CRISPR-TSKO: A Technique for Efficient Mutagenesis in Specific Cell Types, Tissues, or Organs in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.* **31**, 2868–2887 (2019).

41. N. M. Clark, A. P. Fisher, R. Sozzani, "Identifying Differentially Expressed Genes Using Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) and RNA Sequencing from Low Input Samples" in: *Computational Cell Biology: Methods and Protocols,* L. von Stechow, A. Santos Delgado, Eds. (Springer New York, 2018) pp. 139–151.

42. F. Zambelli, F. Mastropasqua, E. Picardi, A. M. D'Erchia, G. Pesole, G. Pavesi, RNentropy: an entropy-based tool for the detection of significant variation of gene expression across multiple RNA-Seq experiments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, e46–e46 (2018).

43. S. Picelli, O. R. Faridani, Å. K. Björklund, G. Winberg, S. Sagasser, R. Sandberg, Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-seq2. *Nature Protocols*. **9**, 171–181 (2014).

44. J. S. Dahlin, F. K. Hamey, B. Pijuan-Sala, M. Shepherd, W. W. Y. Lau, S. Nestorowa, C. Weinreb, S. Wolock, R. Hannah, E. Diamanti, D. G. Kent, B. Göttgens, N. K. Wilson, A single-cell hematopoietic landscape resolves 8 lineage trajectories and defects in Kit mutant mice. *Blood*. **131**, e1–e11 (2018).

45. F. A. Wolf, P. Angerer, F. J. Theis, SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. *Genome Biology*. **19**, 15 (2018).

46. D. Kim, B. Langmead, S. L. Salzberg, HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nature Methods*. **12**, 357–360 (2015).

47. H. Li, B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, R. Durbin, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup, The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. **25**, 2078–2079 (2009).

48. C. Trapnell, B. A. Williams, G. Pertea, A. Mortazavi, G. Kwan, M. J. van Baren, S. L. Salzberg, B. J. Wold, L. Pachter, Transcript assembly and abundance estimation from RNA-Seq reveals thousands of new transcripts and switching among isoforms. *Nat Biotechnol*. **28**, 511–515 (2010).

49. A. Fernandez, A. Drozdzecki, K. Hoogewijs, A. Nguyen, T. Beeckman, A. Madder, P. Hilson, Transcriptional and Functional Classification of the GOLVEN/ROOT GROWTH FACTOR/CLE-Like Signaling Peptides Reveals Their Role in Lateral Root and Hair Formation. *Plant Physiology*. **161**, 954–970 (2013).

50. V. D. Blondel, J.-L. Guillaume, R. Lambiotte, E. Lefebvre, Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. *J. Stat. Mech.* P10008 (2008).

51. J. Schindelin, I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.-Y. Tinevez, D. J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P. Tomancak, A. Cardona, Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*. **9**, 676–682 (2012).

52. E. K. Yoon, S. Dhar, M.-H. Lee, J. H. Song, S. A. Lee, G. Kim, S. Jang, J. W. Choi, J.-E. Choe, J. H. Kim, M. M. Lee, J. Lim, Conservation and Diversification of the SHR-SCR-SCL23 Regulatory Network in the Development of the Functional Endodermis in Arabidopsis Shoots. *Mol Plant*. **9**, 1197–1209 (2016).

53. C.-H. Yang, W.-T. Kuo, Y.-T. Chuang, C.-Y. Chen, C.-C. Lin, Cyclin B1 Destruction Box-Mediated Protein Instability: The Enhanced Sensitivity of Fluorescent-Protein-Based Reporter Gene System. *BioMed Research International.* **2013** (2013)

Authors contributions:

Conceptualization: PR, JH, BB, KT, YS, MAdLB, JC, PD, GG, RH, BS, CWM, BG, APM, RS, KDB, YH

Methodology: JC, XW, YO, AMB, MG

Investigation: PR, JH, BB, KT, YS, WWYL, RU, EM

Data analysis: PR, JH, BB, KT, YS, MAdLB, FH, JC, HT, KV, JW, YS, BDR, SEA, DS, KDB

Writing: PR, JH, BB, KDB, YH

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data are available in the supplementary material and raw RNA sequencing data for pooled (bulk sorted) protophloem sieve element cells, single protophloem sieve element cells and overexpression and mutant profiling is deposited at GEO-NCBI (GEO submissions GSE142259, GSE140778, GSE140977, respectively).

List of supplementary materials

References (39-53) are cited only in the supplementary material

Materials and Methods

Figs. S1 to S10

Tables S1 to S20

Movies S1 to S13

Figure legends:

Figure 1. Phloem development at single-cell resolution.

(**A**) Schematic of the Arabidopsis root tip depicting position of protophloem sieve element, metaphloem sieve element and procambium cell lineages originating from a single phloem stem cell. (**B**) t-SNE plot of 1242 transcriptomes of cells sorted with P1Δ, P1D, CD, P1, N57,

CALS7 and N73 reporter lines specific to different domains of the developing phloem. Indicated protophloem sieve element cells were used for the pseudotime trajectory analysis (Fig. S2, Supplementary Material). **(C)** protophloem sieve element transcriptomes ordered along developmental trajectory using Monocle 2. **(D)** Heatmap of Pearson correlation along the pseudotime trajectory. Vertical lines indicate 3 strongest correlation drops and separate four groups of transcriptomes with higher similarity [a], [b], [c] and [d]. **(E)** Gene expression heatmap of protophloem sieve element regulators and 10 most specific genes from the 4 groups defined in D) and the nested *PLT1* ("PLT1-like") or *NEN4* ("NEN4-like") expression domains in pseudotime-ordered protophloem sieve element transcriptomes. **(F)** Histogram of cell behavior based on long-term live imaging. **(G)** Seven domains and the time cells spend in each position of the developing protophloem sieve element as determined by the transcriptomics (above) and live imaging (below): (I) "stem cell", position 1 [a], t>60h; (II) "transit amplifying", position 2-9 [a], t=58h, SD+8.1h, (III) "transitioning", position 8-11 [b]; (IV) "early differentiating", position 10-15 [c], t=12h; (V) "late differentiating", position 16-17 [d], t=4h; (VI) "very late differentiating - NEN4-like", position 18-19 [d], t=4h; VII "enucleating", position 19 [d], t= 2h (Movie S1, S2).

Figure 2. PEARs control asymmetric divisions by promoting ROP signaling in the phloem pole.

(A) Schematic indicating position of the two periclinal divisions in the phloem cell lineage. **(B)** Expression of ROPGEF2 and ROPGEF3 at the time of phloem lineage bifurcation. **(C)** Peak expression of ROPGEF2, 3 and ROP9 in the early phloem cells as detected in the pseudotime-ordered single cell protophloem sieve element transcriptome data. **(D)** Expression pattern of phloem enriched ROPGEFs. ROPGEF3 and 5 share similar expression

domain – enriched in protophloem sieve element and adjacent vascular cell files; ROPGEF2 is expressed in protophloem sieve element but also in other outer procambial cells and pericycle (Fig. S4D). Scale bars: 25 µm. **(E)** Expression of ROPGEF2, 3 and 5 in the *pear* sextuple mutant background. Scale bars: 25 µm. **(F)** Protein localization of *pROPGEF5::Cit-ROPGEF5* during anticlinal (f') and periclinal (f'') cell division. Gaps in ROPGEF5 signal are indicated with an asterisk. Scale bars: 25 µm. **(G)** Depletion of Cit-ROPGEF5 membrane signal at the cortical division zone (CDZ) during cell division. CDZ is marked by accumulating cortical microtubules (mCherry-TUA5) forming pre-prophase band (white arrowheads). Scale bars: 25 µm. **(H)** Time course analysis of the dynamic pattern of active ROP signaling in the dividing phloem cells. Depletion of *pPEAR1::mScarlet-I-MIDD1ΔN* signal at the CDZ in the anticlinally (upper row) and periclinally (lower row) dividing cells (yellow arrowheads). Quantification of fluorescent signal intensity in the periclinally dividing cells. Scale bars: 10 µm. **(I)** Quantification of asymmetric cell divisions (red arrowheads) in the protophloem sieve element cell lineage after expression of constitutively active ROP9 (Q64L) (*pPEAR1::XVE>>ROP9^{CA}*). Scale bars: 25 µm. **(J)** Ectopic asymmetric cell divisions (red arrowheads) 24h after induction of ectopic Cit-GEF5 expression (*pRPS5A::XVE>>Cit-GEF5*). Scale bars: 25 µm. **(K)** Toluidine blue stanning of resin sections of Cit-GEF5 overexpressing line (*pRPS5A::XVE>>Cit-GEF5*) 24h after induction. Red arrowheads indicate ectopic periclinal cell divisions in epidermis, endodermis and pericycle. Scale bars: 25 µm. **(L)** Identification of *spk1* allele in the mutant screen of *pRPS5A::PEAR1-GR* parental line. Presented are images from non-induced plants. Scale bars: 10 µm. **(M)** Quantification of vascular cell files in the *spk1* mutant and its parental line *pRPS5A::PEAR1-GR*. Both lines were not induced.

Figure 3. PLT2 inhibits phloem differentiation by directly repressing APL expression.

(A) Quantification of fluorescent intensity of PLT2-YFP in protophloem sieve element cells of 9 roots indicated with dots of different colours. Percentage of roots expressing *APL* in a given protophloem sieve element cell is indicated as a red line (n=9). Onset of *APL* expression coincides with diminishing level of PLT2 protein. Arrowhead indicates onset of *APL* expression in protophloem sieve element. **(B)** Ectopic expression of *PLT2* under *pNAC86::XVE* promoter delays protophloem sieve element enucleation. Square brackets indicate extended expression domain of *pCALS7::H2B-RFP*, a reporter used for monitoring enucleation. **(C)** Native expression profile of PLT2 targets in protophloem sieve element cells ordered in pseudotime. Genes upregulated after 6 hours of induction of the line shown in B) are plotted. Upper panel shows gradually diminishing expression of target genes which reflects the PLT2 protein gradient. Lower panel shows PLT2 upregulated cell cycle genes with oscillatory expression pattern. **(D)** In situ hybridization of *APL* before and 6h after ectopic expression of PLT2-3xYFP. Arrowheads indicate position of protophloem sieve element enucleation beyond which point *APL* is expressed in phloem pole pericycle, companion cells and metaphloem sieve element (Fig. S5E). Brackets indicate *pNAC086* activity domain. **(E)** Time course of transcriptional repression of *APL* in cells ectopically expressing PLT2-RFP under inducible *pPEAR1::XVE* promoter. **(F)** Early activation of *APL* expression 48h after phloem specific knock-out of *PLT2*. **(G)** ChIP-qPCR of PLT2-3xYFP on *APL* promoter revealed PLETHORA binding region -2204 to -1439 bp upstream of *APL* ORF. All scale bars, 25 µm.

Figure 4. PEARs orchestrate phloem differentiation.

(**A**) Force-directed clustering of 272 single-cell transcriptomes obtained using the

pPEAR1Δ::erVenus reporter. Plotted is expression of stem cell abundant *PLT1*. Arrows:

cellular trajectories inferred from known gene expression patterns (Fig. S6). (**B**) Strong

enrichment of *PEAR1* expression in protophloem sieve element and metaphloem sieve

element trajectories confirmed by *pPEAR1::erVenus* reporter line. White arrowheads:

protophloem sieve element, red arrowheads: metaphloem sieve element. (**C**) Expression

heatmap: PEAR genes among the earliest phloem specific transcription factors. (**D**) Lack of

protophloem sieve element differentiation in the mature part of the *pear* sextuple mutant root.

Arrowheads: protophloem sieve element position. (**E**) Lack of *APL* pathway activation in the

roots of *pear* sextuple mutant based on RNASeq analysis. (**F**) Inducible expression of

PEAR1-mTurq is sufficient to activate transcription of *APL* and *NAC86* reporters in *pear*

sextuple mutant background. (**G**) ChIP-qPCR of PEAR1-YFP shows direct interaction of

PEAR1 with *APL* promoter at multiple positions. Two prominent PEAR1 binding sites are

indicated with red dashed rectangles. (**H**) Expression patterns of modified *pAPL* reporter

lines. Length of "3kb" promoter equals 2962 bp. DOF(I) and DOF(II) correspond to two

enhancer elements indicated in panel G. Details of modification are provided in Fig. S7C. (**I**)

Quantification of the onset of *pAPL* expression after modification of DOF binding motives.

Statistically significant differences between groups were tested using Tukey's HSD

test $P < 0.05$. Different letters indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$. (**J**) Expression of

ZAT14 and ZAT14L during late differentiation of protophloem sieve element. Arrowheads:

last cell before enucleation. (**K**) Ectopic expression of *ZAT14* and *ZAT14L* under

pPEAR1::XVE results in cell elongation and inhibition of cell division. Arrowheads: last cell

before enucleation. *pPEAR1::H2B-YFP* line shows regular number of protophloem sieve

element cells. (**L**) Heatmap shows significantly overlapping and oppositely regulated target

sets of the 20 most important TFs from the GRN model. Color intensity shows a fraction of overlapping target sets. The colormap represents significantly overlapping sets (Fisher Exact Test, if p<0.05, val=1) multiplied by the fraction of overlap. Asterisk indicates experimental validation of up and downregulated sets from TF OE *in vivo* (Tables S15, S16). All scale bars, 25 µm.