Therapy Professionals in Critical Care: A UK wide workforce survey

Authors:

Name: Paul Twose

Job Title: Consultant Therapist for Critical Care (Physiotherapist)

Contact Address: Physiotherapy Department, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff CF14 4XW

Telephone: 02920 747747

Email: Paul.Twose@wales.nhs.uk

Name: Ella Terblanche MBE

Job Title: Research Associate

Contact Address: Applied Technologies for Clinical Care, King's College London

Email: Ella.Terblanche@kcl.ac.uk

Name: Dr. Una Jones

Job Title: Reader, Cardiff University

Contact Address: School of Healthcare Sciences, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XN

Email: JonesUF@cardiff.ac.uk

Name: James Bruce

Job Title: Rehabilitation Co-ordinator / Occupational Therapist

Contact Address: Torbay Hospital, Torbay

Email: James.Bruce1@nhs.net

Name: Penelope Firshman

Job Title: Clinical Lead Occupational Therapist

Contact Address: Occupational therapy department, Denmark Hill, Kings College Hospital NHS

Foundation Trust

Email: Penelope.Firshman@nhs.net

Name: Dr. Julie Highfield

Job Title: Consultant Clinical Psychologist

Contact Address: Critical Care Department, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff CF144XW

Email: Julie.Highfield@wales.nhs.uk

Name: Gemma Jones

Job Title: Clinical Lead Speech and Language Therapist Contact Address: University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff

Email: Gemma.Jones30@wales.nhs.uk

Name: Dr. Judith Merriweather

Job Title: Lead Critical Care Dietitian/NRS Clinician

Contact Address: Dietetic Department, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH16 4SA

Email: Judith.Merriweather@nhslothian.scot.nhs.uk

Name: Vicky Newey

Job Title: Clinical Lead Respiratory Physiotherapist

Contact Address: Physiotherapy Department, St Marys Hospital, London

Email: Vicky.newey1@nhs.net

Name: Helen Newman

Job Title: Clinical Specialist Speech and Language Therapist – Critical Care/NIHR Clinical Doctoral

Research Fellow (UCL)

Contact Address: Therapists Department, Barnet Hospital, Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust

Email: helen.newman3@nhs.net

Name: Claire Rock

Job Title: Critical Care Occupational Therapist

Contact Address: Occupational Therapy Department, Mid and south Essex NHS foundation, Basildon,

Essex SS16 5NL

Email: ClaireRock@nhs.net

Name: Sarah Wallace OBE

Job Title: Consultant Speech and Language Therapist

Contact Address: Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust

Email: Sarah.Wallace@mft.nhs.uk

Manuscript Type:

Survey

Data Availability:

Raw data available by direct request to the corresponding author.

Conflict of Interest:

None of the authors have any declarations of conflict of interest

Ethics Approval:

This study was discussed with the local research and development department and Health Care Research Wales and deemed not to require full ethical application. All information collected was routinely collected data and no identifiable data was used within the analysis or manuscript.

Key Words:

- Allied health professionals
- Critical care
- Staffing
- Workforce ratios
- Direct patient care
- Indirect patient care

Word Count:

2913 including tables

Therapy Professionals in Critical Care: A UK wide workforce survey

Abstract

Introduction:

National guidelines suggest recommended staffing levels for therapies. The aim of this study was to capture information on existing staffing levels, roles and responsibilities and service structures.

Methods:

An observational study using online surveys distributed to 245 critical care units across the United Kingdom (UK). Surveys consisted of a generic and five profession specific surveys.

Results:

862 responses were received from 197 critical care units across the UK. Of those that responded, over 96% of units had input from dietetics, physiotherapy and SLT. Whereas only 59.1% and 48.1% had an OT or psychology service respectively. Units with ring fenced services had improved therapist to patient ratios.

Discussion:

There is significant variation in access to therapists for patients admitted to critical care in the UK, with many services not having services for core therapies such as psychology and OT. Where services do exist, they fall below the recommended guidance.

1.0 Introduction:

The role of allied health professionals (AHPs), including Practitioner Psychologists, within critical care has received increasing attention over the last decade[1]. As critical care services place greater emphasis on recovery and rehabilitation, the need for access to specialist AHPs has become ever more apparent[2]. Recent guidelines for the provision of intensive care services (GPICS v2) provide clear recommendations regarding the AHP roles alongside guidance on staffing structures and therapist to patient ratios[3]. Furthermore, there is a dearth of research into the role of AHPs within critical care and recent, yet to be published, evidence gathered by the current authors has emphasised both the lack of existing literature regarding staffing levels, role, and the perceived impact of AHPs on rehabilitation.

Data regarding AHP workforce in critical care have previously been captured via local evaluations and national workforce studies. In 2016 the Critical Care Network National Nurse Leads (CC3N)[4] identified that many critical care units had limited access to AHPs with very limited access to services

such as occupational therapy and psychology. More recent guidance, however, supports the role of AHPs within critical care [2, 3, 5-10]. The 'first wave' of the covid-19 pandemic highlighted the significant role of AHPs [11]. A recent Intensive Care Society publication, 'Recovery and Restitution of Critical Care Services during the COVID-19 pandemic[12] highlighted the extreme demands that were placed on the critical care workforce resulting in worsening AHP to patient ratios. However, these extended ratios assumed that existing GPICS standards were being reached pre-pandemic, which based on available data was unlikely[4].

The aim of this survey-based study therefore, was to explore the existing AHP (dietetics, occupational therapy, physiotherapy, psychology, and speech & language therapy) workforce. The objectives were to gain information regarding service availability, funding, and workforce structure, as well as obtaining insights into profession specific roles.

2.0 Methods:

2.1 Design and Methods of Data Collection and Data Analysis:

An observational study using an online survey was used to collect data.

2.2 Development of the Surveys

A 'generic' survey was devised to gather data on the type of critical care unit and the number of critical care beds. Five profession specific surveys were also developed to capture information regarding AHP's roles. The chief investigator devised the surveys based on previous examples [4, 13-15] with each survey containing identical overarching questions. Once developed by the chief investigator, all draft surveys were reviewed and adapted based on feedback from the research group with profession specific surveys being reviewed by relevant professionals. All surveys were managed by Online Surveys (https://www.onlinesurveys.ac.uk/).

2.3 Pilot Study

A pilot study was completed within two NHS critical care networks (NHS Wales Critical Care Network & North-West London Critical Care Network) to ensure the method of distribution and the survey content were appropriate. During the pilot phase, all sites completed both the generic and profession specific surveys and provided feedback on content, structure, and ease of use. Minor changes were subsequently required to the surveys with no major changes indicated. As no questions were added or removed, the pilot data were also included within the main analysis.

2.4 Participants:

Participants were AHPs working within critical care in the UK and were not excluded based on years of post-graduate or critical care experience to ensure comprehensive data collection. No demographic data were collected.

2.5 Distribution Method:

Hospitals with at least one critical care unit were identified by the lead AHP of each critical care network, who provided a contact for each hospital. The research team contacted each named hospital contact and provided information regarding the survey, process for distribution to each allied health profession and links to each survey. Only one response was required per profession per critical care unit. The surveys were open for a 12-week period from November 2020 to February 2021. Reminders were sent at 4 and 8 weeks via email to the named hospital contact.

2.6 Analysis:

Survey data were analysed in Excel (Microsoft Excel, Redmond, WA, USA) and were analysed descriptively. No qualitative data was received.

Critical care beds in the United Kingdom are designated as Level 2 or Level 3[16]. Unlike previous surveys[4], total bed numbers were used rather than 'level 3 bed equivalents'. This was to ensure more accurate staff to patient ratios especially for units tending to have more level 2 admissions. One whole time equivalent (1.0 WTE) was 37.5 hours/week. For questions exploring direct and non-direct roles, participants were able to respond as 'never', 'rarely', 'sometimes', 'fairy often' and 'very often'. For analysis these were further categorised in two distinct categories, 1) for 'never' and 'rarely', and 2) for 'sometimes', 'fairy often' and 'very often'.

3.0 Results:

3.1 Demographics

A total of 862 responses were received for all AHPs. These contained data for 197 of the 245 (80.4%) hospitals identified through the initial recruitment process.

3.2. Staffing

AHPs provided a service to most critical care units involved in this study. Physiotherapy services were provided most frequently (99.5% of critical care units), with psychology least frequently (48%). Other services ranged between 60% and 98% (table 1).

Of professions that provided a service, there was variation as to whether these services were funded directly by the critical care unit or were in any way ringfenced to ensure service to critical care. Physiotherapy was most frequently funded by the critical care unit (62%) with SLT least funded (23%), (table 1).

Therapist to bed number ratios are shown in table 1, with comparison of those sites with services directly funded by critical care units with those where services were provided via generic resources. For all professions, services directly funded by critical care units had better staff to patient ratios. This

was particularly apparent for SLT and OT, although none were compliant with GPICSv2[3] recommendations.

Table 1: AHP services and staffing in critical care units

	Number of	Percentage	Of those	Staff :	Staff :	GPICS
		_	_			GFICS
	responses	of units	with	Bed	Bed	
		with	provision,	Number	Number	
		provision	percentage	ratio –	ratio – <i>No</i>	
			of units	Ring-	Ring-	
			with ring	fenced	fenced	
			fenced	funding	funding	
			funding	·		
	N	% (N)	% (N)			
Dietetics	169	97.6% (165)	55.8% (92)	1:24.7	1:29.8	1:10
Psychology	131	48.1% (63)	58.7% (37)	1:37.2*	1:179.1*	1:10
ОТ	176	59.1% (104)	36.5% (38)	1:41.5	1:90.1	1:10
01	170	33.170 (104)	30.370 (30)	1.41.5	1.90.1	1.10
Physiotherapy	213	99.5% (212)	61.8 (131)	1:6.8	1:17.3	1:4
SLT	173	96.5% (168)	22.6% (38)	1:30.0	1:157.6	1:10

^{*} Services involving direct patient contact only

Physiotherapy most consistently provided a service 7-days a week (97.2%) which included on-call and emergency duty rotas. Only dietetics reported no weekend service. OT, SLT and psychology provided weekend input in 7.7%, 6.5% and 1.6% of hospitals respectively.

Variation was observed across all professional groups regarding agenda for change banding of therapy workforce (table 2). These frequencies refer to the number of individual staff not WTE, whereas the percentages represent the number of units with staff at these agenda for change bands. Psychology reported the highest frequency of staff at band 8 level (8a to 8c) although this reflects standard staff grades for qualified psychologists. Both physiotherapy and SLT reported approximately 25% of critical care units having at least one staff member at band 8a or above. Physiotherapy also reported the highest number of support worker staff at bands 2-4.

Table 2: Percentage of staff based on agenda for change banding

Agenda for	Dietetics	Psychology	ОТ	Physio	SLT
change band	(n=122)	(n=31)	(n=54)	(n=187)	(n=121)
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
8c	0 (0)	12 (38.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1(0.8)
8b	0 (0)	17 (54.8)	0 (0)	3(1.6)	7 (5.8)
8a	15 (12.3)	25 (80.6)	8 (14.8)	55 (29)	41(33.9)
7	120 (98.4)	11 (35.5)	54 (100)	184 (98.4)	120 (99.2)
6	104 (85.2)	0 (0)	37 (68.5)	187 (100)	82(67.8)
5	6 (4.9)	0 (0)	8 (14.8)	161 (86.1)	11 (9.1)
4	1 (0.8)	0 (0)	2 (3.7)	47 (25.1)	2 (1.7)
3	3 (2.5)	0 (0)	9 (16.7)	34 (18)	0 (0)
2	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (1.6)	2 (1.7)

3.3 Direct Roles and Responsibilities

Participants were asked how often they used pre-defined treatment modalities in critical care including those carried out with other professionals. The highest five reported are shown in table 3. Appendix 1 reports the frequency of Interventions completed per profession.

Table 3: Highest frequency interventions completed per profession

Profession	Interventions			
Dietetics	Estimating energy and protein targets			
	Developing enteral feeding regimens			
	 Assessing biochemistry 			
	 Assessing gastrointestinal function & 			
	enteral feed tolerance			
	Advising on indications and			
	contraindications of oral nutritional intake			
	and adequacy			
Occupational Therapy	Sitting out in a chair			
	Position			
	Personal activities of daily living (e.g.			
	washing / feeding)			
	Family engagement			
	Sitting on the edge of the bed			
Physiotherapy	Airway suctioning			

	 Mobilising a patient who is self-ventilating, out of bed
	 Mobilising a patient who is self-ventilating,
	in the bed
	Mobilising a patient who is ventilated
	(tracheostomy), in the bed
	Peripheral muscle strengthening exercises
Psychology	Provide psychological assessment and
	interventions to inpatients on critical care
	• Individual staff consultations on staff
	wellbeing
	Teaching skills to support wellbeing of staff
	Offering psychological assessment and
	interventions to inpatients on ward post critical care
	Reflective groups of any kind (e.g., case)
	discussion, post incident, supervision)
Speech and Language Therapy	Communication assessment
	Alternative and augmented communication
	Dysphagia exercises
	 One-way valve trials (tracheostomy)
	 Secretion management strategies

3.4 Non-direct Roles and Responsibilities

Table 4 displays the frequency that therapy staff are involved (response either 'sometimes', 'fairly often' or 'very often') in non-direct roles including critical care departmental meetings. Multi-disciplinary team (MDT) meetings were most frequently attended (range 57.7% to 85.4% across all professions), followed by complex case meetings (44.1% to 80.7%) and meetings with non-critical care professions (21.2% - 69.3%). Attendance at research meetings was least reported (9.5% - 29.8%) and tracheostomy rounds, although these are more likely to be attended by physiotherapy and speech & language staff.

Table 4: Frequency of involvement in non-direct roles

		Dietetics	ОТ	Physio	Psychology	SLT
Clinical Care	Morning handover rounds with the MDT	32.7%	31.4%	68.4%	24.6%	25.6%
	Ward rounds	53.9%	15.7%	50.9%	19.3%	20.8%
	Tracheostomy rounds	3.0%	2.0%	29.2%	N/A	31.5%
	Multi-disciplinary team meetings	74.5%	49.0%	85.4%	61.4%	57.7%
	Complex case meetings	57.0%	44.1%	80.7%	45.6%	50.6%
	Family meetings	29.7%	37.3%	51.4%	29.8%	41.7%
	Discharge meetings	28.5%	36.3%	51.9%	19.3%	26.8%
Clinical governance	Morbidity and mortality processes	24.8%	12.7%	43.9%	19.3%	13.7%
	Clinical governance processes	55.2%	18.6%	67.5%	24.6%	26.2%
	Business processes	33.3%	9.8%	34.9%	29.8%	11.3%
	Clinical incidence meetings	32.1%	12.7%	44.3%	17.5%	12.5%
	Clinical guideline development	46.7%	12.7%	47.2%	21.1%	19.6%
Research, audit & quality improvement	Research processes	26.1%	10.8%	23.1%	29.8%	9.5%
	Quality or audit processes	47.9%	22.5%	60.4%	28.1%	23.2%

3.5 Critical care follow-up and post hospital discharge exercises classes:

There was variation in involvement in critical care follow-up clinic and post hospital discharge critical care rehabilitation programmes. Physiotherapy was most frequently involved in both follow-up and post hospital discharge critical care rehabilitation programmes (58.2% and 10.3% respectively). Figure 1 shows the responses from all professions.

70%
60%
50%
40%
30%
20%
10%
Dietetics OT Psychology Physio SLT

Critical care follow-up clinic

Post hospital discharge critical care rehabilitation programme

Figure 1: Involvement in critical care follow-up clinic and rehabilitation programmes.

4.0 Discussion:

Despite recent increased attention, this survey indicates that therapy services to critical care do not meet national recommendations[3], with many critical care units not providing key services particularly occupational therapy and psychology. Where services do exist, none met the suggested therapist to patient ratios.

4.1 Staffing:

In 2016, the national critical care non-medical workforce survey[4] identified the shortfall in therapy services. At the time, critical care units reported that 86% of units had access to a dietitian, 14% to OT, 17% for psychology and 30% for SLT (physiotherapy figure not stated). These numbers have now improved, with >90% access to dietetics, physiotherapy and SLT demonstrated in our survey. The current study has also identified the variation in sources of funding particularly whether the therapy service is funded directly by critical care or at least ringfenced in some format. As can be seen in the results, whilst access to services may have improved, these are frequently not directly funded e.g., whilst 96.6% of units that responded may have access to a SLT, in only 23% of those is the service protected.

Therapy services that received direct funding from critical care reported better therapist to patient ratios, with the lowest ratio seen for physiotherapy. However, all therapies reported much higher i.e. worse ratios than those recommended in the guidelines for the provision of intensive care services (GPICS)[3].

Variation was observed across the professional groups regarding agenda for change banding. To our knowledge this is the first study exploring staffing frequency based on UK agenda for change and therefore comparison with existing findings is not possible. Critical care therapy support workers are becoming increasingly recognised[3], as reflected in the physiotherapy results indicating staff within bands 2-4. Support workers were not previously included in critical care workforce surveys and therefore comparison is not possible.

National guidance documents [3, 5, 17] highlight the need for critical care input across the recovery pathway including follow-up clinics and ongoing rehabilitation post discharge. The development of the Post-ICU Presentation Screen (PICUPS) tool has shown potential to better identify rehabilitation needs at varying stages of patient's recovery and suggests when AHP intervention is necessary[18, 19]. However, the current survey shows variation across professions regarding involvement in follow-up clinics or post discharge rehabilitation classes. Although all professions reported some involvement in follow-up clinic, it is not known if this is regular attendance or ad-hoc. This finding is also supported in a recent review of recovery, rehabilitation and follow up services[20]. Whilst improvements in AHP involvement in follow-up clinic has improved since previous findings[21], psychology remains most frequently cited as lacking[20], with the current study suggesting only 31.2% (n=41) of services having any form of involvement. Additionally, involvement in post hospital critical care rehabilitation programmes remains low with physiotherapists most frequently involved but only at 22 (10.3%) sites across the UK.

4.2 Direct roles and responsibilities:

The role of therapists within critical care has previously been explored [4] however details and clinical frequency of interventions were not investigated. The minimum standards of clinical practice by physiotherapists have been explored in three countries each reporting similar outcomes [22-24]. In the UK version, 107 assessment or treatment interventions were deemed as essential skills [22]. Whilst not all of these were explored in the current survey, the role of physiotherapy within airway clearance and rehabilitation remains clear. Similarly, other therapy professional groups suggested regular use of interventions previously recognised within the literature [25-28] e.g., estimating energy and protein targets (dietetics), personal activities of daily living (OT), offering psychological assessment and interventions to inpatients on critical care (psychology) and communication assessments by SLT. These results can now be used as a baseline for future studies and to guide ongoing discussions on roles within critical care.

4.3 Indirect roles and responsibilities:

Whilst therapists are recognised as core members of the critical care multi-professional team [2, 3, 17], their involvement in non-direct elements of services e.g., research, business meetings etc., was previously not known. Based on the current findings, physiotherapists most frequently attended and / or participated in indirect elements of the service. Specifically, involvement in research and business processes remain low. SLT reported the lowest frequency of involvement across all categories, which may reflect staffing ratios and the low percentage of roles directly funded by critical care. All these aspects require further investigation particularly around the impact of direct 'ring-fenced' funding and the need for therapy staff to be involved in these non-direct activities [3]. Whilst not reflected in this survey, it is also likely that therapists have line management responsibilities within their respective departments e.g., appraisals and recruitment as seen previously (4).

4.4 Limitations:

A total of 197 of the 245 (80.4%) hospitals identified through the initial distribution process completed at least one of the surveys. All UK-nations responded with representation from every critical care network. However, few hospitals completed all surveys and there were lower response rates within certain professions e.g., psychology. There are many potential reasons for this. The survey was distributed to a therapist in every hospital; however, it was then reliant on that person to forward to appropriate people. In hospitals where services do not exist, it may not have been clear to the local link, who it should be forwarded to, therefore resulting in non-completion. If these units do not have therapy services to critical care, then the true UK-picture is likely to be worse, with a lower percentage of units having any form of input from each of the therapy professions. Furthermore, this survey was initiated towards the start of a peak of the covid-19 pandemic and remained open for 12 weeks. Increased clinical workload, staff sickness and self-isolation, and participant fatigue may have contributed to reduced completion.

4.5 Future research:

This study has shown that existing AHP workforces within critical care fall below national recommendations. Furthermore, despite increasing guidance and evidence of the importance of integration of AHPs into critical care services, this does not appear to be translating into existing services especially regarding in-direct aspects of patient care and clinical governance. Future research must now focus on the impact of AHP services to critical care, and on patient outcomes.

5.0 Conclusion:

There is significant variation in access to therapists for patients admitted to critical care in the UK, with many services not having services for core therapies such as psychology and occupational therapy.

Furthermore, despite national guidance suggesting therapist to staff ratios, the results of this survey suggest that even where services exist, these do not meet recommendations.

6.0 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Heleen van Aswegen, Claire Mills and Mark Borthwick for sharing previous surveys exploring therapy workforces and all staff who completed the questionnaire surveys.

7.0 References:

- 1. Connolly, B., et al., *Physical rehabilitation interventions for adult patients during critical illness: an overview of systematic reviews.* Thorax, 2016. **71**(10): p. 881-890.
- 2. FICM. Recovery and Rehabilitation for Patients Following the Pandemic. 2020 [cited 2021 19th August]; Available from: https://www.ficm.ac.uk/sites/default/files/ficm_rehab_provisional_guidance.pdf.
- 3. FICM. *Guidelines for the Provision of Intensive Care Services Version 2.* 2019 [cited 2021 18th October]; Available from: https://www.ficm.ac.uk/sites/default/files/gpics-v2.pdf.
- 4. CC3N. National Critical Care Non-Medical Workforce Survey. 2016 [cited 2021 18th October]; Available from:

 https://www.cc3n.org.uk/uploads/9/8/4/2/98425184/national_critical_care_nmws_report_2016_v2.pdf.
- 5. NICE. Rehabilitation after critical illness in adults Quality standard158 2017 [cited 2021 18th August]; Available from:

 https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs158/resources/rehabilitation-after-critical-illness-in-adults-pdf-75545546693317.
- 6. Bonvento, B., et al., *Role of the multidisciplinary team in the care of the tracheostomy patient*. J Multidiscip Healthc, 2017. **10**: p. 391-398.
- 7. ICS. Allied Health Professionals: Critical Care Professional Development Framework. 2018 [cited 2021 19th August]; Available from:

 https://www.ics.ac.uk/ICS/Resources AHP Framework.aspx.
- 8. ICS. The Dietetic Pillar: A supplementary resource of the Allied Health Professionals Critical Care Professional Development Framework. 2020 [cited 2021 19th August]; Available from: https://www.ics.ac.uk/ICS/Resources AHP Framework.aspx.
- 9. ICS. The Speech and Language Therapy Pillar: A supplementary resource of the Allied Health Professionals (AHP) Critical Care Professional Development Framework 2021; Available from: https://www.ics.ac.uk/Society/Guidance/PDFs/SLT Pillar.
- 10. ICS. Responding to COVID-19 and beyond: A framework for assessing early rehabilitation needs following treatment in intensive care. 2020 [cited 2021 7th September]; Available from: https://www.ics.ac.uk/Society/Guidance/PDFs/Rehabilitation_Framework.
- 11. ffrench-O'Carroll, R., et al., *Psychological impact of COVID-19 on staff working in paediatric and adult critical care.* British Journal of Anaesthesia, 2021. **126**(1): p. e39-e41.
- 12. ICS. Recovery and Restitution of Critical Care Services during the COVID-19 Pandemic. 2021 [cited 2021 23rd August]; Available from:

 https://www.ics.ac.uk/Society/Policy and Communications/Articles/Recovery and Restitut ion of intensive care.
- 13. Borthwick, M., et al., *Critical care pharmacy workforce: UK deployment and characteristics in 2015.* International Journal of Pharmacy Practice, 2017. **26**(4): p. 325-333.
- 14. CC3N. *National Critical Care Nursing and Outreach Workforce Survey*. 2018 [cited 2021 23rd August]; Available from:

- http://www.cc3n.org.uk/uploads/9/8/4/2/98425184/national critical care nursing and ou treach workforce survey report 2018 final.pdf.
- 15. van Aswegen, H. and M. Lottering, *Physiotherapy practice in South African intensive care units*. 2016. Vol. 32. 2016.
- 16. ICS. Levels of Adult Critical Care Second Edition: Consensus Statement 2021; Available from: https://www.cc3n.org.uk/uploads/9/8/4/2/98425184/2021-03 levels of care second edition.pdf.
- 17. NICE. *Rehabilitation after critical illness in adults*. 2009 [cited 2021 18th October]; Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg83.
- 18. Puthucheary, Z., et al., *The Post-ICU presentation screen (PICUPS) and rehabilitation prescription (RP) for intensive care survivors part II: Clinical engagement and future directions for the national Post-Intensive care Rehabilitation Collaborative*. Journal of the Intensive Care Society. **0**(0): p. 1751143720988708.
- 19. Turner-Stokes, L., et al., *The post-ICU presentation screen (PICUPS) and rehabilitation prescription (RP) for intensive care survivors part I: Development and preliminary clinimetric evaluation.* Journal of the Intensive Care Society. **0**(0): p. 1751143720988715.
- 20. Connolly, B., et al., Recovery, rehabilitation and follow-up services following critical illness: an updated UK national cross-sectional survey and progress report. BMJ Open, 2021. **11**(10): p. e052214.
- 21. Connolly, B., et al., A UK survey of rehabilitation following critical illness: implementation of NICE Clinical Guidance 83 (CG83) following hospital discharge. BMJ Open, 2014. **4**(5): p. e004963.
- 22. Twose, P., U. Jones, and G. Cornell, *Minimum standards of clinical practice for physiotherapists working in critical care settings in the United Kingdom: A modified Delphi technique*. Journal of the Intensive Care Society, 2019. **20**(2): p. 118-131.
- 23. Skinner, E.H., et al., *Minimum standards of clinical practice for physiotherapists working in critical care settings in Australia and New Zealand: A modified Delphi technique*.

 Physiotherapy Theory & Practice, 2016. **32**(6): p. 468-482.
- 24. van Aswegen, H., et al., *Developing minimum clinical standards for physiotherapy in South African ICUs: A qualitative study.* J Eval Clin Pract, 2017. **23**(6): p. 1258-1265.
- 25. Terblanche, E., *The role of dietitians in critical care*. Journal of the Intensive Care Society, 2019. **20**(3): p. 255-257.
- 26. Wade, D. and D. Howell, *What can psychologists do in Intensive Care*. ICU Management and Practice, 2016. **16**(4): p. 242-244.
- 27. McRae, J., et al., *The role of speech and language therapists in the intensive care unit.* Journal of the Intensive Care Society, 2020. **21**(4): p. 344-348.
- 28. Algeo, N. and L.M. Aitken, *The evolving role of occupational therapists in adult critical care in England.* Irish Journal of Occupational Therapy, 2019. **47**(2): p. 74-94.