

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/161776/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Smith, Rae M., Dalton, Desiré L., Mwale, Monica, Nupen, Lisa Jane, Pretorius, Chantelle, Bojko, Jamie, Labuschagne, Kim, Russo, Isa-Rita M. and Osinubi, Samuel T. 2023. Assessment of genetic and morphological differentiation among populations of Diederik Cuckoo (*Chrysococcyx caprius*). *Ostrich: Journal of African Ornithology* 94 (2) , pp. 86-99. 10.2989/00306525.2023.2222230

Publishers page: <https://doi.org/10.2989/00306525.2023.2222230>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 **Assessment of genetic and morphological differentiation among**  
2 **populations of Diederik Cuckoo (*Chrysococcyx caprius*)**

3 Rae M. Smith<sup>1,2</sup>, Desiré L. Dalton<sup>1,3</sup>, Monica Mwale<sup>1,4</sup>, Lisa Jane Nupen<sup>1,6</sup>, Chantelle  
4 Pretorius<sup>1</sup>, Jamie Bojko<sup>3</sup>, Kim Labuschagne<sup>1</sup>, Isa-Rita M. Russo<sup>5</sup>, Samuel T. Osinubi<sup>6</sup>

5  
6 <sup>1</sup>South African National Biodiversity Institute, P.O. Box 754, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa

7 <sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture and Animal Health, College of Agriculture and Environmental  
8 Sciences, University of South Africa, Johannesburg, South Africa

9 <sup>3</sup>School of Health and Life Sciences, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, TS1 3BX, United  
10 Kingdom

11 <sup>4</sup>National Institute for Theoretical and Computational Sciences (NITheCS), KwaZulu-  
12 Natal, South Africa

13 <sup>5</sup>Organisms and Environment Division, Cardiff University, School of Biosciences, Sir Martin  
14 Evans Building, Museum Avenue, Cardiff, CF10 3AX, UK

15 <sup>6</sup>FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology, University of Cape Town, South Africa

16

17 \*Corresponding author: DL Dalton, d.dalton@tees.ac.uk, +44 75 777 29448

18

19 ORCID:

20 Rae Smith: 0000-0002-1379-3949

21 Desire Dalton: 0000-0001-5975-6425

22 Monica Mwale: 0000-0003-2180-8917

23 Lisa Nupen: 0000-0003-1030-6057

24 Chantelle Pretorius: 0000-0003-1396-8312

25 Jamie Bojko: 0000-0001-5972-0844

26 Isa-Rita Russo: 0000-0001-9504-3633

27 Samuel Osinubi: 0000-0002-5143-6985

28

29

30

## 31 **Abstract**

32 Diederik Cuckoo (*Chrysococcyx caprius*) is a widely distributed species that occurs south of  
33 the Sahara Desert and migrates seasonally between breeding and non-breeding sites. It is  
34 currently unknown if the species consists of a single panmictic population or if it is genetically  
35 structured. To investigate this, we analysed sequence variation in three mitochondrial and two  
36 nuclear gene regions in combination with morphological measurements in specimens from four  
37 localities. Phylogenetic relationships were estimated using maximum likelihood methods and  
38 included specimens of Klaas's Cuckoo (*Chrysococcyx klaas*), Red-chested Cuckoo (*Cuculus*  
39 *solitarius*) and African Cuckoo (*Cuculus gularis*) to characterise levels of genetic  
40 differentiation. Haplotype networks and analysis of molecular variance were used to  
41 characterize the spatial distribution of genetic diversity. Lastly, a principal component analysis  
42 was performed to investigate morphological variation among localities based on selected  
43 characters. Molecular analysis identified two mitochondrial lineages that were syntopic (i.e.,  
44 samples collected from the same locality in South Africa either grouped with clade one or two).  
45 The magnitude of divergence between- vs. within-clades was low (0.4-1%), but significant  
46 ( $F_{ST}$ : 0.84-0.88). Lack of apparent phylogeographic structure provides support for the absence  
47 of physical barriers to gene flow. Further, morphological analyses did not show any pattern of  
48 variation among lineages. The emergence and persistence of shallow mitochondrial divergence  
49 among sympatric lineages in Diederik Cuckoo may possibly be attributed to maternal  
50 divergence in host selection of these brood parasites.

51

52 **Keywords:** Phylogeny, genetic diversity, population structure, mtDNA, nuclear DNA

53

## 54 **Introduction**

55 The subfamily Cuculinae includes 54 species that are distributed across Europe, Africa, Asia  
56 and Australasia (Pratt and Beehler 2014). Thus far, only a few of these species such as Common  
57 Cuckoo (*Cuculus canorus*) and some of the Australian Cuckoos have been the subject of  
58 intensive study. Cuckoos vary in appearance but are often slender, long-tailed, zygodactyl birds  
59 (Aragón et al. 1999). The bills are stout, generally with a hooked tip. They vary considerably  
60 in size, ranging from 15 - 63 cm, with little sexual dimorphism in size. However, in some cases,  
61 depending on the genus, males or females can be larger. Old World Cuckoos are parasitic  
62 breeders that lay their eggs in the nests of other birds with some being reported to be host  
63 generalists while others are specialists (Johnsgard 1997). Host species for several cuckoos are  
64 not known, however in general, hosts are smaller than the cuckoo itself and include

65 insectivorous species of moderate size such as babblers and shrikes or of small size such as  
66 warblers, chats, pipits, weavers, and sunbirds (Davies 2010). The Common Cuckoo parasitizes  
67 over 100 species across its range, however in any single locality only a few species are  
68 parasitized (Marchetti et al. 1998). Several young cuckoos eject the host eggs or host young  
69 out of the nest. The Asian Koel (*Eudynamys scolopacea*) and Channel-billed Cuckoo  
70 (*Scythrops novaehollandiae*) are examples of cuckoos that do not eject due to the large size of  
71 the host species and may be raised alongside host young (Payne 2005). In these cases, cuckoos  
72 may outcompete host chicks for food leading to their death. In species where cuckoos are raised  
73 with host chicks, more than one cuckoo egg will be laid in each nest (Davies 2010). Depending  
74 on species, cuckoo eggs may closely match host eggs in colour and size or may not match at  
75 all.

76

77 The genus *Chrysococcyx* includes 15 species, four of which occur in Africa and the remainder  
78 occur in southeast Asia and Australasia. Several African species show seasonal movements  
79 between breeding and nonbreeding grounds within Africa known as intra-African migration  
80 (Moreau 1972). The Diederik Cuckoo is a widespread species, occurring from western to  
81 eastern Africa and most of southern Africa (Fry et al. 1988). Information on the migratory  
82 behaviour including timing and duration of migration between breeding and non-breeding  
83 grounds of this species is limited and is mainly based on species occurrence data. It has been  
84 reported that Diederik Cuckoos were almost absent in southern Ghana during September and  
85 October (Macdonald 1980). Diederik Cuckoos migrate to southern Africa from central or  
86 eastern Africa (September to October) but may arrive a month later depending on the onset of  
87 rain (Macdonald 1980, Hockey et al. 1989). They spend approximately six months in southern  
88 Africa and depart in April, breeding between November and April. Diederik Cuckoos regularly  
89 migrate seasonally, however, it is unknown if individuals from different populations in Africa,  
90 migrate to the same breeding or non-breeding areas. Satellite telemetry has revealed that the  
91 African Cuckoo (*Cuculus gularis*) breeds in central Nigeria and then migrates to Cameroon  
92 and western Central African Republic at the beginning of the dry season in central Nigeria.  
93 Migratory routes were variable between birds, but individuals demonstrated high fidelity for  
94 non-breeding grounds (Iwajomo et al. 2017). However, intra-African migration is a diverse and  
95 complex process which can vary considerably between species. In addition, intra-African  
96 migration may be severely impacted by habitat loss. The tropics are expected to lose extensive  
97 amounts of biodiversity due to anthropogenic-induced climate change (Alroy 2017). It has been  
98 reported that half of the known migratory birds are still flying historical migratory routes when

99 compared to 30 years ago (Kirby et al. 2008). Although, there are several data deficiencies in  
100 the species' assessment (IUCN 2016), the Diederik Cuckoo is currently listed as 'Least  
101 Concern' due to their large geographic range, across a wide range of habitats (forest-edge,  
102 semi-desert, and woodland habitats) in nearly all temperate and tropical biomes within Africa  
103 (Rowan 1983, Fry et al. 1988) (Figure 1). Our study aims to investigate for the first-time genetic  
104 differentiation and morphological variation among populations of Diederik Cuckoo from  
105 southern, western and eastern Africa using three mitochondrial (mtDNA) markers  
106 (Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and the rRNA genes (12S, 16S)), two nuclear genes ( $\beta$ -  
107 fibrinogen exons 5 and 6 (FIB5) and recombination activating 1 (RAG1) gene), and five  
108 morphological characters.

109

## 110 **Materials and methods**

### 111 **Study sites and sample collection**

112 Trapping was conducted using varying numbers and arrays of mist nets, with species-specific  
113 playback, during morning (06h00 – 10h00) and evening (15h00 – 18h00) sessions. Between  
114 December 2015 and January 2019, 54 Diederik Cuckoos were trapped as part of a larger study  
115 on intra-African migratory birds. Sampling localities for Diederik Cuckoos were: West Africa  
116 (Jos, Nigeria, n = 9 and Damongo, Ghana, n = 3), East Africa (Entebbe, Uganda, n = 3) and  
117 Southern Africa (Limpopo Province, South Africa, n = 39). Additionally, blood samples were  
118 also collected from three closely related African cuckoo species (Klaas's Cuckoo  
119 *Chrysococcyx klaas* (n = 4); Red-Chested Cuckoo *Cuculus solitaries* (n = 7) and African  
120 Cuckoo *Cuculus gularis* (n = 1)). Generally, samples were collected during the breeding season  
121 across the sub-regions. Trapped birds were ringed using individually coded aluminium rings  
122 that followed the ringing scheme in each country. The aluminium rings ensured individuality  
123 of samples as well as the identification of individual birds if later re-trapped. Biometrics and  
124 ring numbers were uploaded to the South African Bird Ringing Unit (SAFRING) online  
125 database. Blood samples were collected using the brachial venipuncture method with 27-gauge  
126 needles and 100  $\mu$ l capillary tubes, and the blood samples were stored in lysis buffer (Seutin et  
127 al. 1991). All collected biological materials were stored at the Biobank of the South African  
128 National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI). The project was registered and approved as P14/23  
129 by the Research and Ethics Committee (RESC) of SANBI. Permission to do research in terms  
130 of Section 20 of the Animal Diseases Act, 1984 (Act No. 35 of 1984) was issued by the  
131 Department of Agriculture, Land Reform and Rural Development (DALRRD). A dispensation  
132 on Section 20 approval in terms of the Animal Diseases Act, 1984 (Act No. 35 of 1984) was

133 also issued by DALRRD to store the samples collected for the project at the SANBI Wildlife  
134 and Conservation Biobank. The lead bird ringer on the project was licensed in accordance with  
135 the South African Bird Ringing Unit (SAFRING), and collection permits (ZA/LP/93056;  
136 ZA/LP/WMD/1257; CPM 36408) were secured from the Limpopo Provincial Department of  
137 Economic Development, Environment and Tourism during each sampling year. Blood samples  
138 were collected under South African Veterinary Council (SAVC) authorisation (AL17/15903).  
139 Additionally, support for sampling was obtained from relevant national and local authorities in  
140 Nigeria, Ghana and Uganda.

141

### 142 **Morphological data collection**

143 All trapped individuals were weighed and measured (mass (g), wing, tail, tarsus and head  
144 length (mm)) before blood samples were collected, and immediately released after sampling.  
145 Mass (g) was measured either using a spring balance (bird is weighed in the bird bag and the  
146 bird bag is weighed afterwards to determine the mass of the bird) or a digital scale (small plastic  
147 container was tared before each measurement, then the bird was placed in the container and  
148 weighed). Wing length (mm) was measured using a wing rule, placing the bend of the wing  
149 against the top of the rule, flattening the wings and feathers so that the measure is maximised,  
150 and taking the reading from the tip of the longest wing feather (the primaries). Tail length (mm)  
151 was measured using a flat rule and taking the measurement from the base of the tail to the tip  
152 of the longest tail feather. Head length (mm) was measured using a digital caliper, and the  
153 measurement was taken from the back of the skull to the front of the skull. This measure  
154 excludes the length of the culmen from the total head length. Tarsus length (mm) was measured  
155 using a digital caliper, and the measurement was taken from the notch on the metatarsus (where  
156 it meets the tibiotarsus) to the top of the bone above the bent toes. Morphological measurements  
157 have been included in SAFRING.

158

### 159 **Molecular methods**

160 Genomic DNA was successfully extracted from 56 out of 66 specimens of four species of  
161 cuckoos from two genera: 1) Diederik Cuckoo (*Chrysoccyx caprius*), 2) Klaas's Cuckoo  
162 (*Chrysoccyx klaas*), 3) Red-chested Cuckoo (*Cuculus solitaries*) and 4) African Cuckoo  
163 (*Cuculus gularis*) (Table 1). DNA was extracted from blood samples using the Quick-DNA  
164 Miniprep Plus Kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted  
165 DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop™ 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific)  
166 and samples were stored at -20°C until further laboratory work. Portions of three mitochondrial

167 DNA genes and two nuclear genes were targeted from the cuckoo DNA samples.  
168 Mitochondrial gene regions included: Cytochrome oxidase 1 (COI: BirdF1, 5'-  
169 TTCTCCAACCACAAAGACATTGGCAC-3' and BirdR1, 5'-  
170 ACGTGGGAGATAATTCCAAATCCTG-3'; Hebert et al. 2004), the 16S ribosomal RNA  
171 gene (16S: 16S-f, 5'-CGCCTGTTTAACAAAAACAT-3', and 16S-r, 5'-  
172 CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3'; Miya and Nishida 1996) and the 12S ribosomal RNA  
173 gene (12S: 12S-f, 5'-TGACTGCAGAGGGTGACGGGCGGTGTGT-3' and 12S-r, 5'-  
174 AAAAAGCTTCAAACACTGGGATTAGATACCCC-3'; Kocher et al. 1989). We targeted two  
175 nuclear gene sites, namely the  $\beta$ -fibrinogen gene, intron 5 (FIB5) using the primers FIB5 (5'-  
176 CGCCATACAGAGTATACTGTGACAT-3') and FIB6 (5'-  
177 GCCATCCTGGCGATTCTGAA-3'; Marini and Hackett 2002). Secondly, we targeted the  
178 Recombination activating gene 1 (RAG1) using the RAG-1-F1 (5'-  
179 GATTCTGTCACAACTGTTGGAGT-3'), and RAG-1-R2 primers (5'-  
180 TCCCACTTCTGTGTTAGTGGA-3'; Gardner et al. 2010). Amplification of the various gene  
181 regions was conducted using *Taq* DNA Polymerase 2x Master Mix RED (Ampliqon) at a final  
182 concentration of 1.5 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.05 M Tris/HCl pH 8.5, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>  
183 and 0.1 units  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup> *Taq* DNA polymerase. Additionally, 0.1  $\mu$ M of the forward and reverse  
184 primers and 2-4  $\mu$ l of DNA template (50 ng/ $\mu$ l) was added and the mixture was made up to  
185 final reaction volume of 15  $\mu$ l with ddH<sub>2</sub>O. Targeted gene regions were amplified in a  
186 SimpliAmp thermocycler (Thermo Scientific, California, USA). The PCR protocol consisted  
187 of an initial cycle of 5 min at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s; 50-60°C for 30 s  
188 and 72°C for 30 s, with a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. Amplification was confirmed  
189 by agarose gel electrophoresis on a 2% gel (140 volts (V) for 30 min). The PCR products were  
190 purified using 5 U of Exonuclease I (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1 U FastAP™  
191 Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) incubated at 37°C for 15  
192 min, followed by 85°C for 15 min. The cycle sequencing reactions were prepared using the  
193 BigDye™ Terminator kit 3.1 (Applied Biosystems) as per manufacturer's instructions. The  
194 amplification conditions were as follows: 4 min of initial denaturation at 94°C, followed by 40  
195 cycles of 85°C for 10 s, at 53°C for 10 s, and 60°C for 2 min 30 s. Cycle sequenced products  
196 were purified using BigDye™ Xterminator Sequencing clean-up Kit (Thermo Fisher  
197 Scientific) as per manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, samples were added to 45  $\mu$ l SAM™  
198 solution along with 10  $\mu$ l of the BigDye Xterminator™ solution and were shaken at 2000 rpm  
199 for 30 min at room temperature. Sequences were visualised on the ABI PRISM 3500 Genetic  
200 Analyser (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

## 201 **Phylogenetic and sequence comparison analyses**

202 All sequences have been deposited in GenBank (Accession no's OQ067723-OQ067764,  
203 OQ068504-OQ068540, OQ147134-OQ147180 and OQ158800-OQ158828). Forward and  
204 reverse sequences were edited and aligned to create a consensus sequence in Geneious R10.2  
205 (Biomatters inc.) using the default settings (Kearse et al. 2012). Not all gene regions were  
206 successfully sequenced for every individual (Table 1). All sequences were manually trimmed  
207 and checked for ambiguous peaks. To test for nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes (numts), we  
208 searched for stop codons, insertions and/or deletions and double peaks in the COI region, and  
209 insertions and/or deletions and double peaks in 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA. Further,  
210 phylogenetic trees of individual gene regions were assessed for long branches and/or deep  
211 divergence, outside the clade of other sequences of the same species which may identify  
212 sequence errors, incorrect sequence assembly and/or numts (Sangster and Luksenburg 2021).  
213 Sequence polymorphic sites in nuclear genes corresponding to heterozygous individuals were  
214 coded with the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) ambiguity codes.  
215 Phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed using the maximum likelihood (ML) method,  
216 separately for the nuclear and mtDNA genes. Reference sequences were obtained from the  
217 National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank. Published reference  
218 sequences used for each gene region is indicated in Table 1. The quality of the forward and  
219 reverse sequence reads was assessed using Geneious® v. 10.0.9, before being assembled into  
220 consensus sequences for alignments. We determined the best fitting substitution model in  
221 MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016). Phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed by the ML method  
222 based the Kimura 2-parameter (K2) model for 12S, the K2 model + gamma (G) for 16S and  
223 the K2 model for the concatenated mitochondrial dataset. The Tamura 3-parameter (T92)  
224 model was used for RAG1. Branch support values were estimated using non-parametric  
225 bootstrap with 1,000 replicates. To estimate phylogeny for FIB5, we used a hierarchical  
226 Bayesian inference (BI) approach as implemented in MrBayes 2.1 with the phased haplotype  
227 data only (see below) and *C. klaas* haplotypes treated as outgroup. Each run consisted of four  
228 simultaneous Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains with a length of 1 million  
229 generations. Trees were sampled every 1000 generations with the first 20% discarded as burn-  
230 in with MCMC, to approximate the posterior probabilities of the trees. The T92 + G model was  
231 subsequently used to generate the ML tree.

232

233 Inter- and intraspecific p-distances between subspecies and lineages were calculated using ML  
234 genetic distance in MEGA7. Population genetic diversity measures for the mtDNA and nDNA



235 sequence datasets for number of haplotypes (H), haplotype diversity (Hd), polymorphic sites  
236 (S), nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ), and levels of gene-flow were all calculated using DNASP 6.12.03  
237 (Rozas et al. 2003). Haplotype reconstruction for both gene regions were done using the median  
238 joining network (Bandelt et al. 1999) using POPART (Leigh and Bryant 2015). The nuclear  
239 DNA sequence was only analysed after phasing the data using the algorithms provided by  
240 Stephens et al. (2001) and Wang and Xu (2003) for heterozygous sites (polymorphic nucleotide  
241 positions) in DNASP. The pairwise number of differences which can reflect patterns of  
242 population dynamics were estimated through mismatch distributions in DNASP and the test of  
243 neutrality (Tajima's D and Fu's F statistic) in Arlequin 3.5.2.2 (Schneider et al. 2000). Analysis  
244 of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was used as implemented in Arlequin 3.5.2.2 to estimate  
245 hierarchical partitioning of genetic variation by testing hypotheses about genetic variation and  
246 geographic differentiation among the localities of the Diederik Cuckoo (South Africa versus  
247 East and West Africa using 10,000 permutations. To test for genetic and population  
248 differentiation (for populations with more than five individuals only) and among the clades of  
249 the Diederik Cuckoo,  $F_{ST}$  pairwise comparisons were computed using Arlequin with 1,000  
250 permutations.

251

## 252 **Morphometric analysis**

253 Principal component analyses (PCA) were generated using ggplot2 (Wickham 2016) in R  
254 v.4.1.3 to explore morphometric measures. The sample set included 39 (75%) males, 11 (21%)  
255 females and 2 samples were of unknown sex (4%). Morphometric measures (Tarsus length,  
256 wing length, head length, tail length, and mass) were compared between the samples collected  
257 from various localities (Ghana, Nigeria, South Africa and Uganda), the sex (male and female)  
258 of the animals, and the two 'clades' identified via our phylogenetic analysis and haplotype  
259 mapping. To determine whether there were any significant differences in the means of  
260 morphological characters between locations, sexes, and clades not evident from the PCA  
261 analyses, we conducted a Kruskal-Wallis test in Microsoft Excel for Windows XP Professional.  
262 This non-parametric test was chosen as head length and mass were not normally distributed.

263

## 264 **Results**

### 265 **Mitochondrial analysis**

#### 266 *Phylogeny, diversity and genetic distance*

267 Here, we identified double peaks and long branches for COI which were attributed to numts  
268 (Sangster and Luksenburg 2021), thus this region was excluded from further analysis as their

269 presence may confound results. Numts and sequence errors were not identified for 12S or 16S.  
270 The ML tree identified monophyly of *Chrysococcyx caprius* (99% bootstrap support) with  
271 *Chrysococcyx klaas* as a sister taxon (Figure 2). Within *C. caprius* two divergent lineages (well  
272 supported monophyletic clades) were identified for both 12S and 16S (Figure 2, Supplementary  
273 Figures 1 and 2) with high bootstrap support (100%). The first clade included birds from South  
274 Africa (Limpopo), Ghana, Uganda and Nigeria whereas the second clade only consisted of  
275 individuals from South Africa (Limpopo). Moderate to high Hd (0.4 to 0.75) and low  $\pi$  (0.0011  
276 to 0.0047) was detected (Table 2). The 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA genes showed an absence of  
277 differentiation between localities ( $F_{st} = 0.048$  to  $0.082$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ), however significant  
278 differentiation for both gene regions were identified between clades ( $F_{st} = 0.84$  to  $0.88$ ,  $p <$   
279  $0.05$ ) (Table 2). The distribution of haplotypes among localities for the concatenated dataset  
280 were associated with the two clades identified by the phylogenetic analysis. In clade 1,  
281 haplotypes H1 and H3 were shared amongst localities whereas H4 consisted of only South  
282 African individuals. Clade 2 consisted of haplotypes H2 and H5 and were only detected in birds  
283 from South Africa (Limpopo, Figure 2). For the 12S rRNA dataset, clade 1 had three  
284 haplotypes that included individuals from all four localities (Supplementary Figure 2). The  
285 most common haplotype (H4) was shared among all localities, while the other two were private  
286 being restricted to Nigeria and South Africa (Limpopo). The 16S rRNA network (Clade 1) had  
287 two shared widespread haplotypes and only one private haplotype (H3) restricted to South  
288 Africa (Limpopo). Clade 2 was more restricted, with all haplotypes in both 12S rRNA and 16S  
289 rRNA networks being detected in individuals from South Africa (Limpopo) only, suggesting  
290 some genetic sub-structure (Supplementary Figure 1). However, this clade was only  
291 represented by one haplotype in the 16S rRNA dataset while three haplotypes were identified  
292 in the 12S rRNA dataset. Tajima's D (Clade 1: -0.67 and 0.94, Clade 2: -1.23 and 0.00) and  
293 Fu's  $F_s$  neutrality tests (Clade 1: -0.63 and 0.87, Clade 2: -0.92 and 0.00) were positive and  
294 negative respectively for each clade (Table 2A) and the mismatch distribution analysis  
295 (Supplementary Figure 3A) was bimodal for both mtDNA gene regions.

296

297 Pairwise interspecific genetic distance between *Chrysococcyx klaas* and *C. caprius* varied from  
298 2.6-6.9%, depending on the mtDNA gene region analysed (Table 4A and B) whereas genetic  
299 distance between species of *Chrysococcyx* and *Cuculus* varied between 3.9-14.5%. Pairwise  
300 intraspecific genetic distance between sampling localities (South Africa, Nigeria, Uganda and  
301 Ghana) of *C. caprius* varied from 0-0.4%. The pairwise genetic distance between clades 1 and  
302 2 was 0.4% for 12SrRNA and 1% for 16S rRNA (Table 4A and B).

303

## 304 **Nuclear analysis**

### 305 *Phylogeny and diversity*

306 A total of 55 sequences from four geographic populations were analysed for the nuclear DNA  
307 sequence dataset (Table 1). The resulting ML trees for the two nuclear gene regions, FIB5 and  
308 RAG1, were similar in that there was no clustering by locality (Supplementary Figures 4 and  
309 5). For each of the nuclear trees generated, there was no clustering by sampling locality.  
310 However, as with the mtDNA trees *Chrysococcyx klaas* was placed as closely related to  
311 *Chrysococcyx caprius*. Similar to mtDNA analysis, nuclear DNA haplotype diversity was high  
312 (0.72 and 0.86). The FIB5 dataset identified 21 haplotypes connected in a star-like network  
313 with no more than eight inferred mutational changes to connect any pair of haplotypes. Six  
314 haplotypes were shared and fifteen were restricted to localities (Supplementary Figure 4). In  
315 RAG1 one haplotype (H2) was widespread being shared among all localities (Supplementary  
316 Figure 5). There was evidence of genetic substructure based on private haplotypes (H1, H3,  
317 H4, H5 and H6) identified in the South African (Limpopo) samples and in a single sample from  
318 Nigeria (H7). Tajima's D and Fu's Fs neutrality tests varied per locality and overall was  
319 positive and negative (0.60, -1.00 and -0.83, -0.08, respectively; Table 2B). As with the  
320 mtDNA dataset, the mismatch distribution analysis (Supplementary Figure 3B) was bimodal.

321

322 Phylogenetic analysis reconstructed similar topology, irrespective of the data set used (mtDNA  
323 or nuclear DNA; Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 5A), with a basal split between *Cuculus*  
324 species and a branch consisting of the two *Chrysococcyx* species (*C. klaas* and *C. caprius*). The  
325 arrangement of *Cuculus* species differed between data sets, most likely due to the absence of  
326 all related sub-family Cuculinae species reference sequences for each region to verify  
327 phylogenetic relationships.

328

## 329 **Morphometric analysis**

330 The principal component analysis used five morphometric measures and did not identify  
331 differences between locality (Figure 3A), sex (Figure 3B) and clade (Figure 3C). The sum of  
332 all the eigenvalues gave a total variance of 5 (PC1 = 1.73, PC2 = 1.19, PC3 = 0.97, PC4 = 0.74  
333 and PC5 = 0.37). The first and second principal components contained 34.67% and 23.74% of  
334 the variation. In all cases, the first and second principal components accounted for 58.41% of  
335 the variance and this percentage was considered large enough to explain the whole dataset.  
336 Other PC combinations do not show any discernible differences (Supplementary Figure 6).

337 With regards to locality (Figure 3A), there was little morphological variation with sample  
338 overlap among all localities; however, some variation is visible between Nigerian and South  
339 African birds along PC1. Some individual South African birds showed longer tarsus and wing  
340 length and higher mass, whereas certain Nigerian birds displayed shorter tarsus and wing length  
341 and lower mass. Tail and head length were similar among individuals for all locations. There  
342 was no clear cluster separation when distinguishing samples by sex (Figure 3B). Lastly,  
343 individuals from Clade 1 were highly scattered, with little morphological differentiation  
344 between the clades (Figure 3C). The Kruskal-Wallis test indicated statistical significant  
345 difference in tarsus length between Ghana and South Africa ( $p = 0.019$ ) and between Nigeria  
346 and South Africa ( $p = 0.003$ ) as well as statistical significance in wing length between Nigeria  
347 and South Africa ( $p = 0.008$ ) and Uganda and South Africa ( $p = 0.045$ ).

348

## 349 **Discussion**

350 Our study provides new insights into the population structure of Diederik Cuckoo, using  
351 samples located in the northern and southern distribution of their range and a combination of  
352 genetic markers. Nuclear phylogenetic trees were shallow and network analysis for both FIB5  
353 and RAG1 gene regions identified private and shared haplotypes that did not correlate either  
354 with geography or lineages identified by mtDNA markers. AMOVA showed non-significant  
355 genetic variation among populations, indicating that most genetic variation exists within  
356 populations (Table 3). A shallow phylogenetic tree and star-like haplotype network may  
357 suggest a recent population expansion (Richards et al. 1998) leading to homogeneity across  
358 populations. Although lack of differentiation was observed for nuclear markers, two sympatric  
359 lineages in South Africa were identified based on mtDNA analysis. This finding may be  
360 attributed to yet undetermined selective pressures acting on populations of Diederik Cuckoo.

361

### 362 *Morphological characters and lack of phylogeographic structure between geographic regions*

363 Lack of morphological differences were detected between sexes of Diederik Cuckoo. It has  
364 been previously reported that in several Old-World Cuckoos, instead of selection on males to  
365 become larger, both sexes are slightly smaller with the evolution of brood parasitism (Krüger  
366 et al. 2007). Here, there was little morphological variation with sample overlap among all  
367 localities, however, tarsus and wing length were significantly shorter in birds from Nigeria,  
368 Uganda and Ghana compared to South Africa. Larger-bodied birds have been reported at higher  
369 latitude, whereas wing length may be influenced by external factor such as adaptation to local  
370 environment. Shorter wings may be selected due to greater requirements for manoeuvrability

371 at breeding sites with high predation rates (James 1970, Alatalo et al. 1984). Here, lack of  
372 phylogeographic structure was observed between populations located in the northern and  
373 southern distribution which may be attributed to high levels of contemporary gene flow and  
374 dispersal, or populations may have only recently been isolated and still share substantial  
375 amounts of ancestral variation. Limited data is available describing the migratory behaviour of  
376 Diederik Cuckoo. Intra-African migration varies greatly between species (Cox et al. 2011, Cox  
377 et al., 2013) and certain species are altitudinal migrants while other species are long-distance  
378 migrants moving across the continent. It is likely that Diederik Cuckoo are long-distance  
379 migrants, which is supported by the identification of vagrants. Using Ethiopia as a passage  
380 (Figure 1), Diederik Cuckoo has been reported in Cyprus, Israel and Saudi Arabia (BirdLife  
381 International, 2023). It is currently unknown if extralimital records of birds in Saudi Arabia are  
382 vagrant individuals or uncommon summer breeders. Slatkin (1987) reported that even sporadic  
383 long-distance migration may be sufficient to prevent substantial genetic differentiation via  
384 genetic drift. Presence of morphological differentiation in the absence of phylogenetic structure  
385 may be attributed to morphological differences being ecophenotypic and not based entirely on  
386 genetic differences (James 1983) or may be due to rapid evolution of genes responsible for  
387 morphological differences over a time scale that is too short for mtDNA to detect geographic  
388 differentiation (Ball et al. 1988).

389

#### 390 *Cuckoo molecular divergence*

391 Phylogenetic analysis of mtDNA sequences identified the existence of two lineages (Clades 1  
392 and 2, Figures 2A and B) within *Chrysococcyx caprius*. Clade 1 includes birds that are  
393 distributed across the continent (Uganda, Nigeria, South Africa and Ghana) whereas Clade 2  
394 is limited to birds collected from South Africa. In a single location in South Africa (Limpopo),  
395 the two sympatric lineages were detected. Divergent but sympatric mtDNA lineages within a  
396 single species is considered rare; however, it has been previously described in various groups  
397 including birds (Quinn 1992, Spottiswoode et al. 2011, Hogner et al. 2012, Block et al. 2015),  
398 mammals (Hoelzer et al. 1994) and invertebrates (Xiao et al. 2012, Giska et al. 2015). In birds,  
399 divergent mtDNA lineages (approximately 2% sequence divergence) have been identified in  
400 the Savannah Sparrow (*Passerculus sandwichensis*), a widespread North American Songbird  
401 (Zink et al. 2005), and in a Madagascan bird species, the spectacled tetraka (*Xanthomixis*  
402 *zosterops*), where sympatric mtDNA haplotypes (approximately 5% sequence divergence) has  
403 been described (Block et al. 2015). In both cases, an absence of phylogenetic groupings was  
404 observed in the nuclear genome (Zink et al. 2005, Block et al. 2015), similar to what has been

405 identified in our study. Benham and Cheviron (2019) further investigated patterns of divergent,  
406 sympatric mtDNA lineages in the Savannah Sparrow and identified that divergence most likely  
407 arose from a single large and panmictic population rather than due to a pattern of isolation  
408 followed by secondary contact and admixture. In the study presented here, pairwise genetic  
409 distance was low and was estimated to be between 0.4 to 1.0% between divergent mtDNA  
410 lineages, whereas within-lineages genetic distance varied from 0-0.1%. Genetic distance  
411 identified in this study was lower than reported for other avian species with range-wide  
412 sympatry of two divergent mtDNA lineages. For example, Hogner et al (2012) identified two  
413 mtDNA haplogroups in Common Redstart (*Phoenicurus phoenicurus*) with an estimated  
414 divergence of 5%. However, genetic distances based on analysis of mtDNA is reported to be  
415 highly variable between avian sister-species (0.78% to 11.77%; Tavares and Baker, 2008)  
416 making taxonomic delineation complicated. Thus, in this study due to lack of morphological  
417 differences between clades, low genetic distance in mitochondrial genes and absence of genetic  
418 variation in nuclear genes, we currently do not support the classification of lineages as separate  
419 species or sub-species.

420

421 Here we hypothesise that genetic divergence of populations within a brood parasitic species  
422 might (1) reflect geographic divergence as in any avian species or (2) be a consequence of  
423 specialization on and adaptation to different host species. It is unlikely that geographic barriers  
424 are driving genetic divergence within *Chrysococcyx caprius* as genetic structure was not  
425 associated with geographic locality. It may additionally be unlikely that sympatric individuals  
426 in South Africa might represent different breeding populations that are temporarily in contact  
427 during part of the annual cycle. Migrant Diederik Cuckoo arrive in South Africa between  
428 September and October and approximately a month later courtship displays, and egg-laying  
429 occurs after which the males take up territories and call continually until February (Reed 1968).  
430 In this study, sampling in South Africa occurred during and directly after breeding between  
431 November and January. However, aggregation in South Africa of different populations of  
432 Diederik Cuckoo could arise due to high food availability that attract individuals from multiple  
433 breeding locations, thus it cannot be excluded that the divergent lineage may represent birds  
434 arriving in South Africa from an unknown locality. In regard to the second hypothesis,  
435 divergence could be at the population level and contribute to speciation. For example, the  
436 African Indigobirds (*Vidua* spp.) are host-specific brood parasites that have developed  
437 behavioural mechanisms (e.g. mimic mouth markings and song of their hosts) that has led to  
438 reproductive isolation and differentiation in allele frequencies in both nuclear and

439 mitochondrial DNA (Sorenson et al. 2003). Alternatively, divergence could be present only  
440 among female lineages, for example female host-specific races via mimicry of host egg  
441 coloration has been documented in Common Cuckoo and Cuckoo Finch (Fossøy et al. 2016,  
442 Gibbs et al. 2000; Spottiswoode et al. 2022).

443

444 Cuckoos are obligate brood-parasites that lay their eggs in the nest of other bird species. In  
445 order to avoid rejection by the host species, cuckoos display a strong selection for egg mimicry  
446 (Dawkins and Krebs, 1979). In general, female cuckoos are reported to show high fidelity for  
447 a single host species, whereas males will mate with females irrespective of their preferred host  
448 species. However, a further study conducted by Fuisz and de Kort (2007) suggested that in  
449 Common Cuckoos (*Cuculus canorus*), non-random mating may occur since females prefer the  
450 call of male cuckoos from their own habitat. In support of this finding, Fossøy et al. (2011) and  
451 (2016) identified significant genetic differentiation at both nuclear (microsatellites and W-  
452 linked CHD-W gene) and mtDNA markers in sympatric populations of Common Cuckoos with  
453 different host preferences resulting in the separation of immaculate blue eggs from brown  
454 speckled eggs. Furthermore, Spottiswoode (2010) reported that eggs found in the nests of  
455 different host species differed significantly in shell thickness (eggshell strength) among gentes  
456 of *Chrysococcyx caprius*. In Diederik's Cuckoo, approximately 24 authenticated host species  
457 have been described in southern Africa; however, only six species are generally parasitised:  
458 Cape Sparrow (*Passer melanurus*), Cape Weaver (*Ploceus capensis*), Southern Masked  
459 Weaver (*P. velatus*), Spotted-backed Weaver (*P. cucullatus*), Yellow Weaver (*P. subaureus*),  
460 and the Southern Red Bishop (*Euplectes orix*; Payne 1967, Reed 1968, Jensen and Vernon  
461 1970, Rowan 1983). The Red Bishop is the most commonly targeted (Payne 1967, Jensen and  
462 Jensen 1969) as this species' breeding season is similar to the Diederik Cuckoos (Craig 1982,  
463 Ferguson 1994). The breeding season of Weaver and Sparrow hosts occur a few weeks prior  
464 to the migration of Diederik Cuckoo to southern Africa (Reed 1968, Rowan 1983, Maclean  
465 1993). There is the potential that divergent lineages observed in this study may be associated  
466 with variation in host species selection. However, further analysis would be required in order  
467 to confirm this hypothesis. Genetic samples from Diederik Cuckoo collected from host species  
468 should be conducted in order to determine if divergent lineages are specific to host species.  
469 Although nuclear sequencing showed a lack of differentiation, further analysis such as  
470 sequencing of the W-linked gene (CHD-W) or next generation sequencing with a larger sample  
471 set, may determine the likelihood of divergent lineages being associated with either female

472 fidelity or non-random mating. To support this line of enquiry, analysis of variation in male  
473 calls should be conducted since no significant morphological features could be distinguished.

474

#### 475 **Acknowledgements**

476 This research was supported by the International Foundation for Science, Stockholm, Sweden  
477 (IFS; J/4611-3), with additional support from the African Bird Club (ABC; Expedition Award)  
478 and the British Ecological Society (BES; EA17/1146).

479

#### 480 **Conflict of interests**

481 The authors report that they have no conflict of interests.

482

#### 483 **References**

484 Alatalo RV, Gustafsson L, Lundberg A (1984) Why do young passerine birds have shorter  
485 wings than older birds? *Ibis*, 126: 410-415

486 Alroy J (2017) Effects of habitat disturbance on tropical forest biodiversity. *Proc Natl Acad*  
487 *Sci USA* 114: 6056–6061

488 Aragón S, Moller AP, Soler JJ, Soler M (1999) Molecular phylogeny of cuckoos supports a  
489 polyphyletic origin of brood parasitism. *J Evol Biol* 12: 495-506

490 Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Röhl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific  
491 phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 16: 37-48

492 Ball Jr RM, Freeman S, James FC, Bermingham E, Avise JC (1988) Phylogeographic  
493 population structure of red-winged blackbirds assessed by mitochondrial DNA.  
494 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 85: 1558-62

495 Benham PM, Cheviron ZA (2019) Divergent mitochondrial lineages arose within a large,  
496 panmictic population of the Savannah sparrow (*Passerculus sandwichensis*). *Mol Ecol*  
497 28: 1765-83

498 BirdLife International (2023) Species factsheet: *Chrysococcyx caprius*. Downloaded from  
499 <http://www.birdlife.org> on 16/03/2023

500 Block NL, Goodman SM, Hackett SJ, Bates JM, Raherilalao MJ (2015) Potential merger of  
501 ancient lineages in a passerine bird discovered based on evidence from host-specific  
502 ectoparasites. *Ecol Evol* 5: 3743-55

503 Craig AJ (1982) Breeding success of a Red Bishop colony. *Ostrich* 53: 182-8



504 Cox DT, Brandt MJ, Mcgregor R, Ottosson U, Stevens MC, Cresswell W (2011) Patterns of  
505 seasonal and yearly mass variation in West African tropical savannah birds. *Ibis*, 153:  
506 672-83

507 Cox DT, Brandt MJ, McGregor R, Ottosson U, Stevens MC, Cresswell W (2013) The  
508 seasonality of breeding in savannah birds of West Africa assessed from brood patch and  
509 juvenile occurrence. *Journal of Ornithology*, 154: 671-83

510 Davies N (2010) *Cuckoos, cowbirds and other cheats*. A&C Black

511 Dawkins R, Krebs JR (1979) Arms races between and within species. *Proceedings of the Royal*  
512 *Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences*, 205: 489-511

513 Ferguson JW (1994) The importance of low host densities for successful parasitism of diderik  
514 cuckoos on red bishop birds. *Afr Zool* 29: 70-3

515 Fossøy F, Antonov A, Moksnes A, Røskaft E, Vikan JR, Møller AP, Shykoff JA, Stokke BG  
516 (2011) Genetic differentiation among sympatric cuckoo host races: males matter.  
517 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 278: 1639-45

518 Fossøy F, Sorenson MD, Liang W, Ekrem T, Moksnes A, Møller AP, Rutila J, Røskaft E,  
519 Takasu F, Yang C, Stokke BG (2016) Ancient origin and maternal inheritance of blue  
520 cuckoo eggs. *Nature communications* 7: 1-6

521 Fry CH, Keith S, Urban EK (eds.) (1988) *The Birds of Africa*. Vol. 3. London, UK: Academic  
522 Press.

523 Fuisz TI, De Kort SR (2007) Habitat-dependent call divergence in the common cuckoo: is it a  
524 potential signal for assortative mating? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*  
525 *Sciences* 274: 2093-7

526 Gardner JL, Trueman JW, Ebert D, Joseph L, Magrath RD (2010) Phylogeny and evolution of  
527 the Meliphagoidea, the largest radiation of Australasian songbirds. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*  
528 55: 1087-102

529 Gibbs HL, Sorenson MD, Marchetti K, de L Brooke M, Davies NB, Nakamura H (2000)  
530 Genetic evidence for female host-specific races of the common cuckoo. *Nature* 404: 183-  
531 186

532 Giska I, Sechi P, Babik W (2015) Deeply divergent sympatric mitochondrial lineages of the  
533 earthworm *Lumbricus rubellus* are not reproductively isolated. *BMC Evol Biol* 15: 1-3

534 Hebert PD, Stoeckle MY, Zemplak TS, Francis CM (2004) Identification of birds through DNA  
535 barcodes. *PLoS biology* 2: e312

536 Hockey PAR, Underhill LG, Neatherway M, Ryan PG (1989) *Atlas of the Birds of the*  
537 *Southwestern Cape*. Cape Bird Club, Cape Town

538 Hogner S, Laskemoen T, Lifjeld JT, Porkert J, Kleven O, Albayrak T, Kabasakal B, Johnsen  
539 A (2012) Deep sympatric mitochondrial divergence without reproductive isolation in the  
540 common redstart *Phoenicurus phoenicurus*. *Ecol Evol* 2: 2974-88

541 Hoelzer GA, Dittus WP, Ashley MV, Melnick DJ (1994) The local distribution of highly  
542 divergent mitochondrial DNA haplotypes in toque macaques *Macaca sinica* at  
543 Polonnaruwa, Sri Lanka. *Mol Ecol* 3: 451-8

544 IUCN (2016) *Chrysococcyx caprius*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016:  
545 e.T22684026A93011786

546 Iwajomo SB, Willemoes M, Ottosson U, Strandberg R, Thorup K (2017) Data from: Intra-  
547 African movements of the African cuckoo *Cuculus gularis* as revealed by satellite  
548 telemetry. Movebank Digital Repository, doi:10.5441/001/1.b800b7c3

549 James FC (1970) Geographic Size Variation in Birds and Its Relationship to Climate. *Ecology*  
550 51: 365-390

551 James FC (1983) Environmental component of morphological differentiation in birds. *Science*,  
552 221: 184-6

553 Jensen RA, Jensen MK (1969) On the breeding biology of southern African cuckoos. *Ostrich*  
554 40: 163-81

555 Jensen RA, Vernon CJ (1970) On the biology of the didric cuckoo in southern Africa. *Ostrich*  
556 41: 237-46

557 Johnsgard PA (1997) The avian brood parasites: deception at the nest. Oxford University Press

558 Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A,  
559 Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A (2012) Geneious  
560 Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and  
561 analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28: 1647–1649

562 Kirby JS, Stattersfield AJ, Butchart SHM, Evans MI, Grimmett RFA, Jones VR, O’sullivan J,  
563 Tucker GM, Newton I (2008) Key conservation issues for migratory land- and waterbird  
564 species on the world’s major flyways. *Bird Conserv Int* 18: S49–S73

565 Kocher TD, Thomas WK, Meyer A, Edwards SV, Pääbo S, Villablanca FX, Wilson AC (1989)  
566 Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals: amplification and sequencing  
567 with conserved primers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 86: 196-200

568 Krüger O, Brooke MD (2007) Brood parasitism in birds. *Reproductive biology and phylogeny*  
569 of Aves. NHSP, Enfield. 2: 327-66

570 Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis  
571 version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol Biol Evol* 33: 1870-4

572 Leigh JW, Bryant D (2015) POPART: full-feature software for haplotype network  
573 construction. *Methods Ecol Evol* 6: 1110-6

574 Macdonald MA (1980) Observations on the diderik cuckoo in southern Ghana. *Ostrich* 51:  
575 75-9

576 Maclean GL (1993) Roberts' Birds of Southern Africa. Cape Town, South Africa: Trustees of  
577 John Voelcker Bird Book Fund

578 Marchetti K, Nakamura H, Gibbs HL (1998) Host-race formation in the common cuckoo.  
579 *Science* 282: 471-472

580 Marini MÂ, Hackett SJ (2002) A multifaceted approach to the characterization of an  
581 intergeneric hybrid manakin (Pipridae) from Brazil. *Auk* 119: 1114-20

582 Miya M, Nishida M (1996) Molecular phylogenetic perspective on the evolution of the deep-  
583 sea fish genus *Cyclothone* (Stomiiformes: Gonostomatidae) *Ichthyol Res* 43: 375-98

584 Moreau RE (1972) Palaearctic-African bird migration systems. [agris.fao.org](http://agris.fao.org)

585 Payne RB (1967) Interspecific communication signals in parasitic birds. *American Naturalist*  
586 101: 363-375

587 Payne RB (2005) *The cuckoos*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

588 Pratt TK, Beehler BM (2014) *Birds of New Guinea*. In *Birds of New Guinea*. Princeton  
589 University Press.

590 Quinn TW (1992) The genetic legacy of Mother Goose—phylogeographic patterns of lesser  
591 snow goose *Chen caerulescens caerulescens* maternal lineages. *Mol Ecol* 1: 105-17

592 Reed RA (1968) Studies of the diderik cuckoo *Chrysococcyx caprius* in the Transvaal. *Ibis*,  
593 110: 321-331

594 Richards MB, Macaulay VA, Bandelt H-J, Sykes BC (1998) Phylogeography of mitochondrial  
595 DNA in western Europe. *Ann Hum Genet* 62, 241-260

596 Rozas J, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Messeguer X, Rozas R (2003) DnaSP, DNA polymorphism  
597 analyses by the coalescent and other methods. *Bioinformatics*. 19: 2496-7

598 Rowan MK (1983) *The doves, parrots, louries, and cuckoos of Southern Africa* Croom Helm,  
599 London (UK)

600 Sangster G, Luksenburg JA (2021) Sharp increase of problematic mitogenomes of birds:  
601 Causes, consequences, and remedies. *Genome Biol Evol* 13: evab210

602 Schneider S, Roessli D, Excoffier L (2000) Arlequin: a software for population genetics data  
603 analysis. *User manual ver. 2*: 2496-7

604 Seutin G, White BBN, Boag PPT (1991) Preservation of avian blood and tissue samples for  
605 DNA analyses. *Can J Zool* 69: 82-90

606 Slatkin M (1987) Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. *Science*, 236:  
607 787-92

608 Sorenson MD (2003) *Avian mtDNA primers*. Boston University: Boston, MA, USA.

609 Spottiswoode CN (2010) The evolution of host-specific variation in cuckoo eggshell strength.  
610 *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 23: 1792-9

611 Spottiswoode CN, Stryjewski KF, Quader S, Colebrook-Robjent JF, Sorenson MD (2011)  
612 Ancient host specificity within a single species of brood parasitic bird. *Proc Natl Acad*  
613 *Sci USA* 108: 17738-42

614 Spottiswoode CN, Tong W, Jamie GA, Stryjewski KF, DaCosta JM, Kuras ER, Green A,  
615 Hamama S, Taylor IG, Moya C, Sorenson MD (2022) Genetic architecture facilitates  
616 then constrains adaptation in a host–parasite coevolutionary arms race. *Proc Natl Acad*  
617 *Sci USA* 119: e2121752119

618 Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P (2001) A new statistical method for haplotype  
619 reconstruction from population data. *Am J Hum Genet* 68: 978-89

620 Tavares ES, Baker AJ (2008) Single mitochondrial gene barcodes reliably identify sister-  
621 species in diverse clades of birds. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 8: 1-4

622 Wang L, Xu Y (2003) Haplotype inference by maximum parsimony. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1773-  
623 80

624 Wickham H (2016) *Programming with ggplot2*. In *ggplot2* (pp 241-253) Springer, Cham

625 Xiao JH, Wang NX, Murphy RW, Cook J, Jia LY, Huang DW (2012) *Wolbachia* infection and  
626 dramatic intraspecific mitochondrial DNA divergence in a fig wasp. *Evol Int J Org Evol*  
627 66: 1907-16

628 Zink RM, Rising JD, Mockford S, Horn AG, Wright JM, Leonard M, Westberg MC (2005)  
629 Mitochondrial DNA variation, species limits, and rapid evolution of plumage coloration  
630 and size in the Savannah Sparrow. *Condor* 107: 21-8

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640 **Tables**

641 **Table 1.** The cuckoo samples included in our genetic-based analyses, with the unique sample  
 642 and ring numbers (or Genbank accession numbers), gene regions successfully sequenced, and  
 643 sampling localities for each individual. Reference sequences obtained from National Centre for  
 644 Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank are also shown.

Common name	Latin name	Sex	Sample number	12S	16S	FIB5	RAG1	Measurements	Localities	Ring number	Co-ordinates	
African cuckoo	<i>Cuculus gularis</i>	Female	N06	No	Yes	Yes	No	---	Ghana	D69104	N 09° 05' 17 9"	W 01° 48' 33 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK030	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ghana	T000601	N 09° 05' 17 9"	W 01° 48' 33 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N05	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ghana	4A58729	N 09° 05' 17 9"	W 01° 48' 33 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK025	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nigeria	4A58728	N 09° 52' 18 9"	E 08° 58' 42 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK026	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nigeria	4A58726	N 09° 52' 41 0"	E 08° 58' 29 4"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Unknown	CK027	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nigeria	4A58730	N 09° 51' 07 3"	E 08° 58' 52 0"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK028	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nigeria	E46117	N 09° 51' 07 3"	E 08° 58' 52 0"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK029	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nigeria	4A58729	N 09° 52' 18 0"	E 08° 58' 16 6"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK031	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nigeria	4A58727	N 09° 52' 35 1"	E 08° 58' 48 4"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Female	N07	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nigeria	4A58742	N 09° 52' 35 1"	E 08° 58' 48 4"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N08	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nigeria	4A58745	N 09° 52' 35 1"	E 08° 58' 48 4"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK001	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	South Africa	4A58708	S 22° 18' 50 5"	E 29° 21' 29 0"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK002	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58709	S 22° 18' 50 5"	E 29° 21' 29 0"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK003	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58710	S 22° 19' 13 5"	E 29° 21' 29 3"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK004	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	South Africa	4A58711	S 22° 16' 02 2"	E 29° 19' 49 3"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK005	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58712	S 22° 18' 06 4"	E 29° 21' 51 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Female	CK006	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58713	S 22° 18' 06 4"	E 29° 21' 51 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK007	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58714	S 22° 20' 17 1"	E 29° 20' 03 0"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK008	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58715	S 22° 30' 14 7"	E 29° 21' 15 3"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK009	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58716	S 22° 44' 17 6"	E 28° 46' 40 5"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK010	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58717	S 24° 10' 20 9"	E 29° 01' 05 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58718	S 24° 10' 13 2"	E 29° 01' 10 4"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK012	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58719	S 24° 10' 09 4"	E 29° 01' 14 9"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK013	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58721	S 24° 10' 08 5"	E 29° 01' 19 9"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58722	S 24° 09' 39 0"	E 29° 02' 29 3"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58724	S 24° 10' 23 6"	E 29° 01' 22 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK016	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58725	S 24° 10' 52 4"	E 29° 01' 56 4"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58735	S 22° 23' 16 9"	E 29° 20' 07 7"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK021	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58736	S 22° 20' 39 5"	E 29° 19' 45 2"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	CK024	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58740	S 24° 10' 42 3"	E 29° 01' 44 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Female	N11	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	South Africa	4A58755	S 22° 19' 15 9"	E 29° 21' 28 5"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N12	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58759	S 22° 19' 15 9"	E 29° 21' 28 5"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N13	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58724	S 24° 10' 20 9"	E 29° 01' 05 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N14	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58751	S 24° 10' 20 9"	E 29° 01' 05 8"

Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N15	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	South Africa	4A58762	S 22° 43' 13 2"	E 28° 46' 09 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Female	N16	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58760	S 22° 19' 15 9"	E 29° 21' 28 5"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N18	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	---	---	---
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N20	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58753	S 22° 19' 15 9"	E 29° 21' 28 5"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58750	S 22° 19' 15 9"	E 29° 21' 28 5"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N23	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58747	S 24° 10' 20 9"	E 29° 01' 05 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Female	N24	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	South Africa	4A58768	S 22° 43' 13 2"	E 28° 46' 09 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Unknown	N26	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	South Africa	---	---	---
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Female	N27	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	South Africa	4A58769	S 22° 43' 13 2"	E 28° 46' 09 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Female	N30	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	South Africa	---	---	---
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Female	N31	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	South Africa	4A58767	S 24° 10' 20 9"	E 29° 01' 05 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N32	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	South Africa	4A58771	S 22° 43' 13 2"	E 28° 46' 09 8"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N02	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Uganda	AB6992	N 0°03'07 0"	E 32°32'06 6"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N03	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Uganda	AB6993	N 0°03'07 0"	E 32°32'06 6"
Diederik Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Male	N04	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Uganda	AB6994	N 0°03'07 0"	E 32°32'06 6"
Klaas's Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx Klaas</i>	Male	CK022	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	---	South Africa	4A58737	S 22° 19' 15 9"	E 29° 21' 28 5"
Klaas's Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx Klaas</i>	Male	CK023	No	No	Yes	Yes	---	South Africa	4A58738	S 22° 16' 02 2"	E 29° 19' 49 3"
Klaas's Cuckoo	<i>Chrysococcyx Klaas</i>	Male	N10	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	---	South Africa	CC98403	S 22° 16' 02 2"	E 29° 19' 49 3"
Red-chested cuckoo	<i>Cuculus solitaries</i>	Unknown	CK017	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	---	South Africa	4A58707	S 22° 43' 13 2"	E 28° 46' 09 8"
Red-chested cuckoo	<i>Cuculus solitaries</i>	Male	CK018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	---	South Africa	4A58720	S 24° 10' 20 9"	E 29° 01' 05 8"
Red-chested cuckoo	<i>Cuculus solitaries</i>	Unknown	CK019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	---	South Africa	4A58723	S 24° 09' 52 2"	E 29° 02' 59 2"
Red-chested cuckoo	<i>Cuculus solitaries</i>	Unknown	N29/N28	Yes	No	Yes	No	---	South Africa	4A58763	S 24° 09' 52 2"	E 29° 02' 59 2"
Common cuckoo	<i>Cuculus canorus</i>	Unknown	LN734268 1	Yes					GenBank			
Common cuckoo	<i>Cuculus canorus</i>	Unknown	MN06786 7 1		Yes				GenBank			
Common cuckoo	<i>Cuculus canorus</i>	Unknown	EU739243 1			Yes			GenBank			
Common cuckoo	<i>Cuculus canorus</i>		XM00955 7568 1				Yes		GenBank			
Lesser cuckoo	<i>Cuculus poliocephalus</i>		KT378620 1		Yes				GenBank			
Indian Cuckoo	<i>Cuculus micropterus</i>		MZ048030 1		Yes				GenBank			
Black-billed cuckoo	<i>Coccyzus erythrophthalmus</i>		AY274015 1	Yes					GenBank			

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654 **Table 2.** Genetic diversity indices for the different populations of *Chrysococcyx caprius* based  
 655 on (A) 12S ribosomal RNA subunits (369 bp) and 16S alignments (501 bp; separated by comma  
 656 respectively) and (B)  $\beta$ -fibrinogen exons 5 and 6 (FIB5, 536 bp) and recombination activating  
 657 1 (RAG1, 916 bp; separated by comma respectively). Pairwise comparisons ( $F_{ST}$ ) were only  
 658 calculated between South Africa and Nigeria. Values in **bold** are significant.

659 A

Locality/ clades	N	No. of haplotypes (H)	Haplotype diversity (Hd)	No. of polymorphic sites (S)	Nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ )	Tajima's D	Fu's F	$F_{ST}$
South Africa	24, 29	5, 4	0.66, 0.75	6, 5	0.0047, 0.0039	0.27, 1.13	0.60, 2.02	0.082, 0.048
Ghana	1, 1	1, 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigeria	5, 6	2, 2	0.40, 0.60	1, 1	0.0011, 0.0013	-0.81, 1.45	0.09, 0.80	0.082, 0.048
Uganda	2, 1	1, 1	-	0, -	0, -	-	-	-
Clade 1	24,30	3, 3	0.36, 0.62	2, 2	0.0010, 0.0016	-0.67, 0.94	-0.63, 0.87	<b>0.88, 0.84</b>
Clade 2	7,7	3, 1	0.52, 0.00	1, 0	0.0016, 0.0000	-1.23, 0.00	-0.92, -	
Overall	31, 37	6, 4	0.60, 0.72	7, 5	0.0041, 0.0035	-1.13,	0.17, 0.44	-

660

661 B

Locality	N	No. of haplotypes (H)	Haplotype diversity (Hd)	No. of polymorphic sites (S)	Nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ )	Tajima's D	Fu's F
South Africa	54, 22	18, 6	0.80, 0.41	16, 11	0.0068, 0.0584	-0.42, -1.78	<b>-6.80</b> , -1.11
Ghana	4, 1	4, 1	1, 1	10, -	0.0124, -	0.08, -	-0.40, -
Nigeria	16, 7	7, 2	0.79, 0.29	1, 2	0.0100, 0.0238	0.56, -1.24	0.62, 0.86
Uganda	4, 0	2, -	0.50, -	7, -	0.0079, -	-0.82, 0	3.25, -
Overall	78, 30	26, 8	0.86, 0.72	54, 5	0.0020, 0.0043	0.60, -1.00	-0.83, -0.08

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675 **Table 3.** Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) results ( $P < 0.05$ ) for (A) all populations  
 676 for the 12S and 16S gene dataset (separated by comma respectively), (B) the populations of *C.*  
 677 *caprius* for the FIB and RAG1 data (separated by comma respectively). Values in **bold** are  
 678 significant.

679 A

Source of variation	d.f.	Sum of squares	Variance components	Percentage of variation (ns)	Fixation Indices
Among groups	1, 1	1.81, 1.53	0.33, 0.33	44.70, 41.6	$F_{CT}$ : 0.44, 0.416
Among populations within groups	2, 2	0.08, 0.50	-0.33, -0.34	-44.70, -43.2	$F_{SC}$ : -0.81, -0.739
Within populations	28, 33	20.92, 26.67	0.75, 0.81	100.0, 101.5	$F_{ST}$ : 0.00, -0.015
Total	31, 36	22.81, 8.70	0.75, 0.80		

680

681 B

Source of variation	d.f.	Sum of squares	Variance components	Percentage of variation (ns)	Fixation Indices
Among groups	2, 1	7.81, 0.32	0.18, 0.27	10.04, 48.72	$F_{CT}$ : 0.10, 0.487
Among populations within groups	1, 1	1.28, 0.04	-0.07, -0.33	-3.50, -59.69	$F_{SC}$ : -0.42, -1.164
Within populations	74, 27	127.35, 16.44	1.72, 0.61	93, 110.97	$F_{ST}$ : 0.06, -1.110
Total	77, 29	136.44, 16.80	1.83, 0.54		

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693 **Table 4.** Pairwise genetic distance between members of the genera *Chrysococcyx*, *Coccyzus*  
 694 and *Cuculus* for mt DNA sequences (A) 12S ribosomal RNA subunits (rRNA; 369 bp), (B)  
 695 16S rRNA (501 bp) and nuclear DNA sequences, (C) recombination activating 1 (RAG1; 916  
 696 bp) and (D)  $\beta$ -fibrinogen exons 5 and 6 (FIB5, 536 bp).

697 A



	1	2	3	4	5	6
[1] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Clade 1)						
[2] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Clade 2)	0.004					
[3] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	N/A	N/A				
[4] <i>Coccyzus erythrophthalmus</i>	0.037	0.036	0.041			
[5] <i>Cuculus canorus canorus</i>	0.041	0.041	0.046	0.008		
[6] <i>Cuculus solitarius</i>	0.039	0.042	0.044	0.011	0.003	
[7] <i>Chrysococcyx klaas</i>	0.026	0.027	0.029	0.054	0.056	0.056

698

699 B

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
[1] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Clade 1)								
[2] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Clade 2)	0.010							
[3] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	N/A	N/A						
[4] <i>Cuculus solitarius</i>	0.139	0.145	0.140					
[5] <i>Cuculus gularis</i>	0.143	0.141	0.143	0.018				
[6] <i>Cuculus poliocephalus</i>	0.144	0.142	0.144	0.036	0.030			
[7] <i>Cuculus canorus</i>	0.143	0.141	0.142	0.021	0.009	0.027		
[8] <i>Cuculus micropterus</i>	0.143	0.141	0.143	0.020	0.015	0.027	0.006	
[9] <i>Chrysococcyx klaas</i>	0.069	0.068	0.069	0.121	0.118	0.126	0.117	0.118

700

701 C

	1	2	3	4	5	6
[1] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Clade 1)						
[2] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Clade 2)	0.000					
[3] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	N/A	N/A				
[4] <i>Cuculus solitarius</i>	0.029	0.029	0.029			
[5] <i>Cuculus gularis</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
[6] <i>Chrysococcyx klaas</i>	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018	N/A	
[7] <i>Cuculus canorus</i>	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.004	N/A	0.040

702

703 D

	1	2	3	4	5	6
[1] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Clade 1)						
[2] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Clade 2)	0.000					
[3] <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	N/A	N/A				
[4] <i>Cuculus solitarius</i>	0.049	0.049	0.049			
[5] <i>Cuculus gularis</i>	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.012		
[6] <i>Chrysococcyx klaas</i>	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.052	0.058	
[7] <i>Cuculus canorus</i>	0.053	0.053	0.053	0.016	0.021	0.056

704

## Figures

**Figure 1.** Map showing the distribution of Diederik's Cuckoo (*Chrysococcyx caprius*) in Africa (BirdLife International, 2023). Light green indicates native breeding sites, dark green indicates native resident sites and purple indicates the migration passage

**Figure 2.** (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree indicating distribution of haplotypes based on Diederik Cuckoo concatenated ribosomal RNA (12S and 16S) and the Kimura 2-parameter (K2) model. Numbers below branches indicate bootstrap values (B) median-joining network.

**Figure 3.** Scatter plot principal component analysis (PCA) representing the components calculated for the morphometric measurements given for each of the Diederik cuckoo samples. Every spot represents a bird, and every colour represents a (A) locality (GHA = Ghana, NIG = Nigeria, RSA = South Africa and UGA = Uganda; ellipses are a visual grouping sign), (B) sex (male, female and unknown sex) and (C) clade (clade 1, clade 2 and unknown samples).

## **Supplementary Material**

**Supplementary Figure 1.** (A) Maximum likelihood tree of Diederik Cuckoo ribosomal RNA (16S) based on the Kimura 2-parameter (K2) + gamma (G) model. Numbers below branches indicate bootstrap values. (B) Maximum likelihood tree indicating distribution of haplotypes and (C) median-joining network.

**Supplementary Figure 2.** (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based on Diederik Cuckoo ribosomal RNA (12S) and the Kimura 2-parameter (K2) model. Numbers below branches indicate bootstrap values (B) Maximum likelihood tree indicating distribution of haplotypes and (C) median-joining network.

**Supplementary Figure 3.** Mismatch distribution for the Diederik Cuckoo showing observed and expected pairwise difference frequencies for concatenated datasets (A) mitochondrial and (B) nuclear DNA.

**Supplementary Figure 4.** Maximum likelihood tree of Diederik Cuckoo based on the nuclear datasets for (A)  $\beta$ -fibrinogen exons 5 and 6 (FIB5) and (B) Median-joining network of FIB5.

**Supplementary Figure 5.** Maximum likelihood tree of Diederik Cuckoo based on the nuclear datasets for (A) recombination activating 1 (RAG1) and (B) Median-joining network of RAG1.

**Supplementary Figure 6.** Scatter plot principal component analysis (PCA) representing the components calculated for the morphometric measurements given for each of the Diederik cuckoo samples.