

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/169125/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Harrison, China, Thien Tay, Chau, Busby, Maureen A., Mousa, Aya, Teede, Helena and Boivin, Jacky 2024. What are key challenges for those with polycystic ovary syndrome when interacting with healthcare professionals? A qualitative evidence synthesis. Reproductive BioMedicine Online 10.1016/j.rbmo.2024.104293

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2024.104293

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



- 1 **Title:** What are key challenges for those with polycystic ovary syndrome when interacting with
- 2 healthcare professionals? A qualitative evidence synthesis

4 **Running title**: Patient-doctor interactions in PCOS

5

- 6 **Full author list:** China Harrison^{2,3,4,6} Chau Thien Tay¹, Maureen, A. Busby⁵, Aya Mousa¹, Helena
- 7 Teede¹ & Jacky Boivin⁶.

8

9 China Harrison, Chau Thien Tay joint first authors

10

- 11 Address for each author:
- ¹Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, Monash University, 43 Kanooka Grove,
- 13 Melbourne, Clayton, Australia 3168
- ² National Institute for Health Research Applied Research Collaboration West (NIHR ARC West), 9th
- 15 Floor, Whitefriars, Lewins Mead, Bristol BS1 2NT
- ³ National Institute for Health Research, Health Protection Research Unit (HBU) in Behavioural
- 17 Science and Evaluation. Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol,
- Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, BS8 2BN.
- ⁴Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Canynge Hall, 39
- Whatley Road, Bristol, BS8 2PS, UK
- ⁵PCOS Vitality, UK.68 Upper Movilla Street, BT23 8JP
- ⁶The present work was undertaken as honorary Research Associate with Cardiff Fertility and
- 23 Reproductive Research, School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, Wales, United Kingdom
- 24 **Corresponding author**: J Boivin
- 25 Corresponding author ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9498-1708
- 26 **Corresponding author e-mail**: boivin@cardiff.ac.uk

27

Abstract

Studies report interaction difficulties between patients with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) and healthcare professionals. This systematic review and qualitative evidence synthesis aimed to collate and synthesise the existing peer reviewed literature investigating challenges for people with PCOS when interacting with healthcare professionals (HCPs). Medline, PsycInfo, EMBASE, All EBM, and CINAHL were searched from inception to September 2022. Study risk of bias (RoB) was performed and all textual data relevant to challenging interactions between patients with PCOS and HCPs were extracted and analysed using thematic synthesis. Of the 6353 studies identified, 28 were included. Six were appraised high, four as moderate and 22 as low RoB. Four analytic themes were derived illustrating interactions were challenging when: (1) medical information (PCOS, its management) was not shared in the best way; (2) information provision and deliberation opportunities were insufficient to achieve outcomes that mattered to patients; (3) they prompt but do not support patient activation; and (4) health system level barriers (e.g., policies, guidelines) were present or made worse by HCP behaviour. Future research should examine methods for the implementation and evaluation of established frameworks for sharing medical information and supporting patient agency in the context of PCOS care.

Key words: PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome, qualitative, doctor-patient communication, decision-46 support

Introduction

48

49 PCOS affects about 12% of women globally (Bozdag et.al, 2016; Skiba et al., 2018) and is a 50 polygenic endocrine disorder characterised by hyperinsulinemia and hyperandrogenism. According to 51 the International Guideline criteria, the diagnosis in adults requires two of three features including: i) 52 oligoanovulation, ii) hyperandrogenism (HA), and iii) polycystic ovarian morphology (PCOM) on 53 ultrasound or an elevated level of anti-mullerian hormone (AMH) (Teede et al., 2023b). Adolescent 54 diagnosis requires both ovulatory disturbance and HA, but PCOM and AMH are not indicated as they 55 lack specificity for PCOS at this life stage (Kiconco et al., 2023). PCOS results in diverse and 56 significant health burdens that imply a high need for self-management, namely associated metabolic 57 (type 2 diabetes, obesity, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease), reproductive (irregular 58 periods, infertility, pregnancy complications), psychological (depression, anxiety, disordered eating), 59 and dermatological (hirsutism, acne, androgenic alopecia) features, across the lifespan. The impact of 60 the diagnosis and experience of PCOS on quality of life has been shown to be marked, similar to, or greater than other chronic conditions such as diabetes (Rempert et al., 2023). 61 62 Interactions between patients, their doctors, and the wider healthcare team about polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) (hereafter referred to as "interactions") are important for patients to learn about 63 64 their health, make informed decisions that are in line with their preferences and values, and be 65 supported to achieve their desired outcomes (e.g., quality of life, metabolic, dermatologic etc). 66 International research additionally suggests recurrent challenges in interactions of patients with PCOS with their HCPs. Patients often report that HCPs dismiss or do not take their concerns seriously 67 68 (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022; Atkinson, 2021), or they do not address them in a sensitive or empathetic 69 way (Soucie et al., 2021). Also reported is that the PCOS care pathway is often not explained with 70 sufficient clarity (e.g., information about options, referral, treatment, next steps) (Kaur et al., 2021). 71 Patients with PCOS and HCPs perceive that challenging interactions undermine the positive diagnosis 72 and treatment outcomes intended to be achieved from healthcare interactions (Copp et al., 2022). 73 Namely, patients report feeling unable to make satisfying or quality decisions about their health or

74	treatment (Weiss & Bulmer, 2011), accept or adjust to their diagnosis (Holbrey, 2013) or feel
75	competent in the management of their condition after diagnosis (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022).
76	Major gaps in research, education and dedicated care pathways have been linked to delayed diagnosis
77	and high dissatisfaction in patients with PCOS (Teede et al., 2023b). Healthcare in PCOS is
78	fragmented with few documented models of care (Melson et al. 2023). Challenges of PCOS
79	management include variation in clinical presentation due to e.g., ethnicity (Sendur & Yildiz, 2021)
80	and age (Witchel et al., 2019), varied effectiveness of treatment directed at different symptoms
81	(Hoyos et al., 2020), and differing patient priorities about the most bothersome symptoms (Martin et
82	al., 2017). There are also health system and organisational factors (e.g., limited education about
83	PCOS, limited access to secondary care) hindering effective PCOS care (Briscoe et al., 2022). Such
84	variability contributes to missed and delayed diagnosis and poor patient healthcare experiences
85	(Teede et al., 2014).
86	The 2023 International PCOS Guideline has recognised that improving patient and HCP interactions
87	about PCOS is a priority to improve care. The aim of this review was to meet this priority via a
88	systematic review and qualitative evidence synthesis (QES) of peer-reviewed literature investigating
89	challenges for people with PCOS when interacting with HCPs.
90	
91	METHODS
92	The review question, "What are the key challenges for individuals with PCOS when interacting with
93	healthcare professionals about PCOS?", was prioritised by multiple key stakeholders in the 2023
94	International Guideline, including individuals with PCOS lived experience and clinical and academic
95	experts (Teede et al., 2023b). The protocol of the review was developed a priori by experts of the
96	PCOS Guideline Development Group (HT, JB, MB) and is publicly available online in the 2023
97	PCOS Guideline technical report (Mousa et al., 2023).
98	We report the review in accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
99	Reviews and Meta-Analyses, (Tricco et al., 2016) and ENTREQ (Enhancing Transparency in
100	Reporting the Synthesis of Qualitative Research (Tong et al., 2012)) guidelines.

103 Information sources and search strategy

We searched five main bibliographic databases: MEDLINE (Ovid), PsycINFO (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), All EBM (Ovid), and CINAHL (EBSCOhost) from 1990 to 13th September 2022. The search criteria included pre-defined key words such as PCOS, patients, healthcare providers, health communications etc. Reference lists of relevant reviews were manually examined to identify any additional studies that could be eligible. Details of the search strategy are available in Supplemental Table 1.

Eligibility (inclusion and exclusion criteria)

The eligibility criteria for the studies were developed according to the PICOS framework (i.e., Participants, Intervention/exposure, Comparison, Outcomes, Study Type) (see Supplementary Table 2). The population of interest was females with PCOS, exposures were any healthcare interactions in a healthcare setting, and outcomes were patients' perspectives on interacting with health professionals for PCOS diagnosis or treatment. We excluded studies reporting on women without PCOS, interactions with non-HCPs, articles reporting the perspectives of HCPs as participants, and those focusing solely on patients' experiences or feelings related to receiving a PCOS diagnosis rather than their interactions with healthcare professionals during the diagnostic process. Unpublished studies, dissertations, theses, editorials or commentaries, conference abstracts, letters to editors, protocols, and non-English studies were excluded.

Study selection

Search results were imported into Covidence (www.covidence.org) and duplicates were removed. A single reviewer (CTT) screened each title/abstract and full text article according to the predefined inclusion/exclusion criteria. Any uncertainty with the study selection was discussed with the

Guideline Development Group (GDG) 2 (members AM, CTT, MB, JB (deputy chair GDG2), HT (chair GDG)).

Quality appraisal

We determined the value of the primary studies to the review question in two ways. A single reviewer (CTT) performed study quality appraisal using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist for qualitative or mixed methods studies and the Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation (MCHRI) Evidence Synthesis Program Critical Appraisal Tool (MCHRI, 2013) for cross-sectional studies. Study quality was ranked as low, moderate, or high risk of bias based on overall judgement by a single reviewer (CTT). Study quality did not determine study inclusion or weighting of study results. Additionally, the Confidence in the Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative research (GRADE - CERQual) assessment was used to assess confidence in the findings of the qualitative evidence synthesis by CH, JB (Lewin et al., 2015). The assessment is based on limitations of the research, the relevance of studies to the review question, the coherence of the review findings and the adequacy of the data supporting the review findings.

Data extraction and meta-synthesis

Data extraction included study characteristics (e.g., author, year, country, PICO characteristic, data collection and analysis method) and relevant research findings and implications related to challenging interactions with HCPs. To avoid omitting findings of potential value to the synthesis (Noyes & Lewin, 2011), we were inclusive in extraction of findings and included quotes from participant speech or text, and authors' interpretations (i.e., results, themes, sub-themes as relevant) even when not corroborated with participant quotes (Noyes & Lewin, 2011). Data extraction was performed by JB and CH in parallel for all studies. Any disagreements were resolved by discussion. For data synthesis, the PDFs of included studies were uploaded to NVivo 20 (NVivo, 2023), where all data relevant to the review were highlighted and directly coded.

We used qualitative evidence synthesis (QES) to establish a deeper understanding of existing peerreviewed studies (Flemming & Noyes, 2021). The most common method of synthesis, and the one used in the present OES is thematic synthesis (Thomas & Harden, 2008). Thematic analysis is an adaption for the purpose of secondary data synthesis of thematic analysis. This realist-pluralist approach assumes that it is possible to uncover people's reality from their reported experiences and understandings (Braun & Clarke, 2006), that it is possible to reconcile differences among studies (concepts, methods, theories) and possible to produce reproducible, meaningful, and novel explanation (Johnson, 2017). Our knowledge of the field also suggested this approach because we expected significant diversity in the available research (e.g., international, cross-disciplinary, varied healthcare systems, sampling, lifespan, aims). We adopted the three-stage thematic synthesis procedure (Thomas and Harden, 2008) to synthesise the data: 1) line-by-line coding; 2) development of descriptive themes; and 3) generation of analytic themes. First, CH and JB performed inductive coding of all relevant data pertaining to interactions between HCPs and patients. A coding frame was developed comprising codes derived from the data. Coding was performed by CH and then the coding framework was checked by JB. Any disparities or discrepancies in coding were resolved by discussion and the coding frame was adjusted accordingly and applied to all the included literature. In the second stage, JB and CH inductively grouped codes into descriptive themes reflecting or describing patterns of similarity among codes within and across studies. JB and CH compared descriptive themes and discussed these until consensus were agreed. Although described linearly, in practice, line by line coding and development of descriptive themes occurred in parallel, iterative fashion and new concepts identified when deemed necessary. In the final stage, JB and CH generated analytic themes to propose meta-concepts that go beyond the primary reported data and explained the challenges in interactions with health professionals for patients with PCOS. Analytic themes were deductive insofar as the interpretation of the aggregated descriptive themes was influenced by the literature included in the review, knowledge of broader psychological and health theories. This type of hybrid thematic analysis is used in mixed methods research to provide a method by which participant voices can be heard (e.g., was not consulted about options, "I

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

didn't like that they would just prescribe birth control pills without talking to me about the options", Weiss & Bulmer, 2011) yet complemented by related theoretical concepts (e.g., shared decision-making). The analytic themes were used to underpin the set of recommendations for research, policy, and training. A thematic map illustrating the proposed relationships among analytic themes was generated. Analytic themes are presented with accompanying quotes from the included studies with sufficient information provided to ensure clarity about whether the quote is from a person with PCOS or from a researcher.

Results

Study selection results

Figure 1 shows the PRISMA review flowchart. In total, 7901 records were retrieved, of which 1548 duplicates were removed. The remaining 6353 studies were screened by title and abstract, after which 173 full-text articles were retrieved and 145 were excluded (Supplemental Table 3 shows study exclusions with reasons). In total, 27 studies and 1 systematic review of 1657 women with PCOS met inclusion criteria for this specific review (See Supplemental Table 4 for a list of the included studies).

Characteristics and topics of the included studies

Table 1 presents characteristics of the included studies. Most studies were published in the last five years (n=19) and were primarily from high income, anglophone, western countries (UK n=8, Australia n=7, USA n=3, Canada n=3, France n=1). Four studies were from Iran (n=2) and India (n=2). The majority of studies (n=16) sampled women self-reporting a diagnosis of PCOS. Of 11 studies recruiting from health settings and with confirmed diagnoses, one study used National Institute of Health (NIH) criteria (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007); five used Rotterdam criteria (Bazarganipour, 2017; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Kaur et al., 2021; Lim, Smith, et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017) and five did not specify criteria used (Crete, 2011; Hajivandi et al., 2022; Sharma & Mishra, 2018; Synder, 2006; Tay et al., 2021). Most studies recruited participants from social media or community posts to PCOS support groups. Nine studies recruited women in

gynaecology or PCOS clinics (Bazarganipour, 2017; Crete, 2011; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Hajivandi et al., 2022; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Sharma & Mishra, 2018; Snyder, 2006; Tay et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017). All but two studies sampled across the lifespan (from 18 to 66 years, depending on study). The other two studies specifically sampled adolescent or emerging adults aged 15 to 21 years (Hajivandi et al., 2022) and 18 to 23 years (Weiss & Bulmer, 2011). Three studies were mixed methods (Hillman et al., 2020; Kaur et al., 2021; Pirotta et al., 2021), one a systematic review (Gibson-Helm et al., 2018) and one cross-sectional study (Lin et al., 2018), with the remaining studies being qualitative. Among the latter, the predominant data collection method was interview alone or in combination with other methods (e.g., focus groups). Duration of interviews ranged from 17 (Lim, Smith, et al., 2021) to 90 minutes (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Kitzinger, 2002; Tomlinson et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2015). One study using a citizen panel reported a three-hour duration (Lim, et al., 2021). All studies investigated and referred to experiences of having PCOS, but not all studies had the same domain of inquiry with most studies investigating domains directly related to care (see Table 1).

Study selection quality

The results of study quality assessments are presented in Table 2. Two studies were assigned a high-risk of bias (RoB) rating (Atkinson et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2015), four studies a moderate RoB (Kaur et al., 2021; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Sharma & Mishra, 2018; Wright et al., 2020), with the remaining 22 studies (78.6%) assigned a low-RoB. The low-RoB studies generally met CASP criteria for research aims, appropriate method and design for research aims, consideration of ethical standards, and clear statement of findings. However, criteria for reporting of recruitment strategy, data collection methods, relationship to participant and data analysis were more variably met in these studies.

Thematic meta-synthesis

Line by line. descriptive and analytic coding outcomes

A total of 68 codes were generated from the line-by-line coding. In the line-by-line coding, all text and quotes that could be coded were coded regardless of similarity to other codes to create the coding framework. This basic coding captured the content and diversity in representations of a similar challenge (e.g., no follow up on treatment, no follow-up with GP, not seen anyone since diagnosis, lack of follow through, no follow up after diagnosis were all given separate codes). The codes were then grouped into 18 descriptive themes reflecting or describing patterns of similarity among codes in the coding framework. The descriptive codes referred to challenges at all levels of care (primary, secondary and tertiary care), in diverse activities (screening and diagnosis, referral, treatment) and domains of interactions (e.g., relational, communication, decision-making). In the third step of data analysis, we interpreted the descriptive codes to derive four latent or meta-level explanatory concepts referred to as analytic themes. These were proposed to illustrate and capture the driving force behind the reported challenges of interacting with HCPs about PCOS.

Analytic themes

Four analytic themes combining the inductive and deductive coding were developed, namely, 'Interactions were challenging when medical information was not shared in the best way', 'Interactions were challenging when information provision and deliberation opportunities were insufficient to achieve outcomes that matter to patients', 'Interactions were challenging when they prompt but do not support patient activation' and 'Interactions were challenging when health system level barriers were present or made worse by HCP behaviour'. The thematic map in Figure 2 illustrates these analytic themes and the proposed relationships among them. According to Figure 2, the two key challenges of interacting with a HCP were the suboptimal sharing of medical news and the insufficient provision of information and deliberation opportunities. These were seen to prompt patient activation to fill gaps in knowledge, and progress toward outcomes that mattered (e.g., diagnosis, specific treatment and management aligned to preferences). However, the activation and the outputs of this activation were not perceived to be valued by HCPs, which was challenging for patients. Health system challenges were seen to restrict the care (i.e., availability, accessibility, affordability) and these system

restrictions could be made worse by the behaviour of HCPs. These challenges were seen to undermine the HCP-patient relationship and the possibility of achieving outcomes that mattered to patients. The GRADE-CERQual assessment is summarised in Table 3 with ratings indicating high confidence could be placed in two of four key findings. The remaining two key findings were assessed as having minor concerns about adequacy of data. The minor concerns were due to uncertainty about the richness of data in some primary studies rather than about the quantity of data. The analytic themes are explored in depth in next sections.

Theme 1: Interactions were challenging when medical information is not shared in the best way Interactions were challenging when medical news related to suspected or confirmed PCOS were shared in a way that did not safeguard patient wellbeing. A common definition of bad news in health is "any information that produces a negative alteration to a person's expectations about their present or future" (Buckman, 1984). Receiving news about a PCOS diagnosis, its management or long-term risks is likely to meet this definition, even if patients feel relief or validation in receiving a diagnosis (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Snyder, 2006; Tomlinson et al., 2017). Receiving information that produces a negative alteration to a person's expectations is typically understood as needing to be a process (versus single event) with the lead up, the news and the aftermath of disclosure all being important in how it is shared (Matthews et al., 2019).

PCOS news were reported as being shared in a suboptimal way due to an inappropriate setting such as inappropriate space (Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021), lack of privacy (Bazarganipour, 2017; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021), insufficient consultation time (Crete, 2011; Hajivandi et al., 2022; Hillman et al., 2020; Snyder, 2006; Soucie et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2016) or having an atmosphere that did not permit patients to voice their thoughts without fear (Crete, 2011; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017):

286	"I noticed the larger women were having trouble getting comfortable or sitting still in the
287	chairs. I don't think the chairs are big enough for them. (004, Caucasian, age 25 years)" (Tay
288	et al., 2021),
289	"When I had a vaginal ultrasound, several women were sitting inside the room, and it was
290	very inconvenient." [P aged 26]" (Bazarganipour, 2017)
291	Sharing medical news could also be suboptimal because of the HCP's communication style, for
292	example being cold or curt ["cold, callous, rude" Soucie] or showing a lack of empathy (Atkinson et
293	al., 2021; Gibson-Helm et al., 2018; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Pirotta et
294	al., 2021; Soucie et al., 2021; Wright et al., 2020), and support (Authier et al., 2020; Holbrey, 2013;
295	Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Lim, Smith, et al., 2021). This was especially in relation to discussion of
296	weight (Authier et al., 2020; Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Copp et al., 2022; Hajivandi et al.,
297	2022; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Pirotta et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al.,
298	2017; Wright et al., 2020):
299	"one of the 5 gynaecologists I met told me that if I did not lose at least 30 kg (66 pounds) I
300	would not be able to have a child. And then they came up with this sentence that makes me so
301	angry: Lose weight!" (Authier et al., 2020).
302	In discussing symptoms or the diagnosis, patients often reported feeling dismissed (Crete, 2011;
303	Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Hillman et al., 2020; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Lim, Wright, et al.,
304	2021; Soucie et al., 2021) blamed or shamed (Authier et al., 2020; Soucie et al., 2021), or that news
305	was shared in an insensitive way or insensitive to their situation, for example due to young age,
306	infertility or diet history (Authier et al., 2020; Copp et al., 2022; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017;
307	Hillman et al., 2020; Kitzinger, 2002; Lim, Smith, et al., 2021; Pirotta et al., 2021; Sharma & Mishra,
308	2018; Snyder, 2006; Soucie et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017; Weiss & Bulmer,
309	2011; Wright et al., 2020):
310	"Another participant's doctor shamed her for her weight, saying if/when she has children,
311	"you're gonna be a whale You have to start losing weight." (Soucie et al., 2021)

she was studying in 10th standard at that time and doctor straightway told her mother that
she would not be able to conceive after marriage. She said that she was terrified at the
mention of pregnancy at such tender age." (Sharma & Mishra, 2018)
"I told her that I have an eating disorder history, and then she went on in the conversation to
suggest that I might be interested in getting a gastric sleeve which was like extremely
distressing for me" (Tay et al., 2021)
As reported in the systematic review of information needs, many women felt that primary care doctors
lacked knowledge about PCOS (Gibson-Helm et al., 2018) making it difficult to receive news of a
diagnosis from their HCP (Crete, 2011; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Hillman et al., 2020; Holbrey,
2013; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022, 2023; Snyder, 2006). Patients could experience a sense of relief at
having symptoms recognised and validated especially after an extended period trying to confirm the
cause of symptoms (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Snyder, 2006; Tomlinson et al., 2017). Despite
relief, poor sharing of a PCOS diagnosis resulted in patients feeling uninformed or poorly informed
(Authier et al., 2020; Crete, 2011; Hajivandi et al., 2022; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Kaur et al., 2021;
Weiss & Bulmer, 2011), uncertain or confused about their diagnosis (Copp et al., 2022; Crete, 2011;
Kaur et al., 2021), upset, alarmed, and worried about their future, especially when told young (Authier
et al., 2020; Crete, 2011; Soucie et al., 2021). In some cases, suboptimal sharing of diagnostic news
meant patients did not take their syndrome seriously (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Ismayilova &
Yaya, 2023):
"No one really sat there and told me anything so they didn't tell me muchThe doctors
don't know much about it." (Crete, 2011)
"I told [my doctor] what was going on and she suspected PCOS but didn't explain it very
well. She basically made me think that I had cancer and was going to die." (Soucie et al.,
2021)
"the information they gathered during the consultation of the announcement (diagnosis) in
particular, the fear of not being able to have children. This sort of phrasing was very badly

338	experienced by the women, who felt very stressed and even more discouraged after the
339	medical consultations." (Authier et al., 2020)
340	"Margaret (age 33 y) detailed how her unconcerned GP, who initially diagnosed her in her
341	early 20s, affected her attitude towards her health: It's kind of really funny because even I
342	discounted it because everybody was so casual about it my GP now she actually took it
343	seriously Then I kind of clued in to like 'Hey somebody should have been doing
344	something about this like 10 years ago' (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023)
345	Also challenging were the mixed or opposing communications when sharing PCOS news of any kind
346	(Authier et al., 2020; Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Kaur et al., 2021; Soucie et al., 2021;
347	Tomlinson et al., 2017; Wright et al., 2020) and the lack of clear statements or follow-up plans when
348	sharing a new diagnosis (Copp et al., 2022; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Hillman et al., 2020;
349	Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Tomlinson et al., 2017; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011). Specifically, HCPs did
350	not always provide patients with a well formulated explanation of PCOS future management (Copp et
351	al., 2022; Crete, 2011; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Kaur et al., 2021; Soucie et al., 2021) or a detailed
352	follow-up plan (Authier et al., 2020; Copp et al., 2022; Hillman et al., 2020; Ismayilova & Yaya,
353	2023; Lim, Smith, et al., 2021; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Pirotta et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021;
354	Tomlinson et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2016), that could have helped absorb or understand whatever
355	news was being shared (e.g., diagnosis, future risks):
356	"I went through a series of doctors that undiagnosed me, re-diagnosed me, diagnosed me as a
357	possible 'maybe you have it, but you shouldn't really be that concerned if you do or don't"
358	(Wright et al., 2020)
359	"You don't just tell someone they have this and walk away. How unfair to lay a diagnosis on
360	somebody and say, 'Oh well, that's it.' Right." (P9) (Snyder, 2006)
361	"I feel like the access that you get to support and advice is not really around. I feel like there
362	should be follow-up once you have been diagnosed Maybe put a plan in place of how often
363	you need to be reviewed depending on how severe your symptoms are, that would be good.'
364	(Participant 12, age 29)." (Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017)

forward from here, whether that be diet, exercise, regular check-ups or whatever, but none of that has happened." (32 years, age diagnosed: 30)" (Copp et al., 2022)

HCPs who acknowledged their lack of PCOS expertise and who took the time to research, explain PCOS to patients and support them when sharing news were perceived positively (Snyder, 2006; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011; Wright et al., 2020):

"We were there I think for like two hours . . . the first hour, she was explaining everything to me . . . about like eating healthier and exercising because I guess it puts you at greater risk for heart disease and diabetes. She drew pictures and showed me how my uterus was and everything. And the other hour she examined me. She explained everything, I think, really well, so I understand a lot of it." (Weiss & Bulmer, 2011)

"Also, a health care provider who explained everything made women feel more in control.

'Once I found the right doctor, I felt, so much stress went away. I wanted to know. I told him, 'Explain to me what we are doing and then what the next step is. And if that doesn't work, what's the next step after that?' Then I got a sense of control.' (P1)" (Snyder, 2006)

"...lack of management options. Some sort of a management plan, you know, how do we go

Theme 2: 'Interactions were challenging when information provision and opportunities for deliberation are insufficient to achieve outcomes that matter to patients' Interactions were reported to be challenging when patients were not provided with content that could help them make decisions about unexplained symptoms before a diagnosis or, when post-diagnosis, provided content about treatment or management of confirmed PCOS. The complexity of PCOS as a syndrome (e.g., genetic, hormonal), its features and correlates (e.g., hirsutism, acne, higher weight, infertility), and future risks (e.g., diabetes, cardiovascular disease) make it highly likely that those with PCOS will need to make decisions considered suitable for shared decision-making. Namely, decisions where more than one course of action is reasonable, where the consequences of actions are

391 significant, and where HCPs and patients make decisions together using the best possible evidence 392 (G. Elwyn, 2021). 393 394 The qualitative synthesis review suggests shared decision-making was not achieved because of 395 deficits in information provision (options, pathways), deliberation opportunities (e.g., discussion of 396 options according to preferences) or support for the choices made (e.g., facilitating preferred options). 397 Once diagnosed, HCPs were often perceived to lack knowledge about PCOS (Authier et al., 2020; 398 Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Crete, 2011; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Holbrey, 2013; 399 Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022, 2023; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; J. A. Lin & Cook, 2020; Tomlinson et al., 400 2017; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011; Williams et al., 2016; Wright et al., 2020) and, consequently, 401 information provision about treatment and management options was not seen to be meeting patients' 402 needs (Crete, 2011; Hajivandi et al., 2022; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011). 403 Information was reported to be suboptimal, being far too much (Crete, 2011; Lim, Wright, et al., 404 2021) or too little (Authier et al., 2020; Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Bazarganipour, 2017; Crete, 405 2011; Hillman et al., 2020; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022; Williams et al., 2015), too complex (Authier et 406 al., 2020; Kaur et al., 2021; Soucie et al., 2021) or in the wrong format (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 407 2007; Crete, 2011; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021). Due to gaps in information provision, a preference for 408 specialist doctors was expressed (e.g., endocrinologist, gynaecologist, (Lin et al., 2018; Pirotta et al., 409 2021; Snyder, 2006; Wright et al., 2020): 410 "...no one in the health system is willing to answer their questions and concerns about their illness properly, which aggravates their worries and stress." (Hajivandi et al., 2022) 411 "One participant expressed distress at not knowing the full implications of PCOS on her 412 413 overall health... They never told me ... this is something that is part of your overall health 414 just so you know this is something you have to keep watch of. It's going to cause different 415 issues in your life. Never, never told me that." (Crete, 2011)

416	"Yeah, I think it might be helpful to see an endocrinologist, someone, especially someone
417	who does research with PCOS and could talk about the latest treatments and the latest
418	research. I think that would just be helpful to hear" (Pirotta et al., 2021)
419	Patients reported that only a subset of PCOS treatment and management options were given to them,
420	often restricted to the contraceptive pill (Atkinson et al., 2021; Bazarganipour, 2017; Kaur et al.,
421	2021; Kitzinger, 2002; Soucie et al., 2021; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011) making it difficult to make fully
422	informed decisions about all the available options:
423	"No point going to doctor, again and again, every time I go, I am given a hormonal tablet"
424	Kau et al. 2021
425	"Similarly, lean women also described frustration at their doctors' advice to "keep doing what
426	you're doing" and lack of alternative options to improve their condition due to their already
427	healthy weight." (Copp et al., 2022)
428	"Doctor only really offers to address 1 symptom at a time - Pick one. (Lucy, AU)" (Williams
429	et al., 2016)
430	"Only 33.8% (n = 23/68) of women with a BMI classified as overweight and 49.3% (n =
431	67/136) of women with a BMI classified as obese recalled that weight loss was explored by
432	their GP as a treatment option for PCOS." (Hillman et al., 2020)
433	Some thought reduced options was due to system-imposed restrictions (Williams et al., 2016) but
434	often patients perceived doctors to unjustifiably omit options due to patient's characteristics (e.g.,
435	weight, fertility, age) which also reduced their ability to consider all options (Avery & Braunack-
436	Mayer, 2007; Copp et al., 2022; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Kaur et al.,
437	2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017):
438	"They told me it was a genetic condition, there was nothing I could do about it and because
439	I'm not overweight, there's really no need to see a dietician or a diabetes educator." (Copp et
440	al., 2022)
441	"I was more or less told that you're chubby, you're overweight, there's nothing we can do,
442	we're not giving you any fertility treatment because you're overweight, that would be a

443	danger to a baby, um and 'bye-bye' and I was quite traumatized by that. (Jess)" (Tomlinson et
444	al., 2017)
445	"I consulted a doctor. But he said, 'You should wait; I cannot start hormones at this age."
446	(Kaur et al., 2021)
447	When options were given, preferences were not solicited or patient factors not integrated in option
448	presentation, reducing the possibility of patients choosing according to them. For example,
449	consideration was not given to option acceptability given the patient's social or life context (Copp et
450	al., 2022; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Kaur et al., 2021; Lim, Smith, et
451	al., 2021; Sharma & Mishra, 2018; Williams et al., 2015), past failed attempts with an option (Copp et
452	al., 2022) or experience of side effects (Copp et al., 2022; Crete, 2011):
453	"I'm from an Asian family, where obviously he [doctor] did say it was a contraceptive pill
454	and that's a very taboo thing for an unmarried girl to be taking, a contraceptive pill.'
455	(Participant 5, age 29)." (Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017)
456	"every doctor tells me that I need to start thinking about having children sooner rather than
457	later, that's quite a change'cause I'm an army wife I was hoping to establish a career, a
458	settled base and then have children." (Williams et al., 2015)
459	"I suffered from really bad headaches and so I didn't want to go back on the pill, but that
460	always seemed to be the quick fix we'll just put you on the pill and then you'll be regular.
461	(Crete, 2011)
462	"many expressed frustrations at being told to lose weight when they felt they had already
463	tried everything over several years." (Copp et al., 2022)
464	Alternatively, doctors could overly prioritise some symptoms based on their own perceptions of what
465	mattered, usually fertility, even when fertility was not the primary concern for the patient (Avery &
466	Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Hillman et al., 2020; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Sharma & Mishra, 2018;
467	Soucie et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2015). This doctor-centredness meant that other important
468	symptoms were ignored (Crete, 2011; Hillman et al., 2020; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Lim, Wright, et
469	al., 2021; Pirotta et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011), or no options were offered

470	until people were ready to have children (Atkinson et al., 2021; Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007;
471	Copp et al., 2022; Crete, 2011; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Kaur et al., 2021; Sharma & Mishra, 2018;
472	Soucie et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021; Williams et al., 2015). A focus on fertility sometimes could cause
473	worry about future fertility too (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Sharma & Mishra, 2018):
474	" a lot of doctors do see PCOS as more a fertility issue. They ignore the weight issues they
475	ignore the insulin issues." (Lim, Wright, et al., 2021)
476	"Some women were left feeling as though they were powerless to do anything about their
477	condition until they wanted children 'When I went back to see him, he said, 'Bit of a
478	hormonal imbalance, come back and see me if you ever have trouble having children".".
479	(Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007)
480	Patients often felt that the choices they made regarding diagnosis and treatment were not enabled or
481	supported. Most often this was due to being unable to progress with testing (Authier et al., 2020; Kaur
482	et al., 2021), diagnosis (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Gibson-Helm et al., 2018; Hillman et al.,
483	2020; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022; Kitzinger, 2002; Lim, Smith, et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017;
484	Weiss & Bulmer, 2011; Wright et al., 2020) or access to preferred treatments (Atkinson et al., 2021;
485	Snyder, 2006; Soucie et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017). Doctors were reported to
486	be reluctant to help get the required care needed for women to realise their choices (Ismayilova &
487	Yaya, 2023; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021):
488	"When I went to the GP and, finally, they relented and said, 'we'll send you to the specialist'
489	it wasn't until I came to see Dr X that I got offered medication for it – the doctors didn't
490	really want to give me medication. I didn't know there was any medication. (Louise)"
491	(Tomlinson et al., 2017)
492	"Participants often did not have great confidence in practitioners to fully understand their
493	circumstances, nor do they find practitioners helpful in helping them navigate the health
494	system to receive appropriate treatment, including in lifestyle management." (Lim, Wright, et
495	al., 2021)

496	"Several of the women interviewed felt they had missed out on opportunities to start
497	treatment that might have altered the course of their symptomatology. I feel like I lost a lot of
498	time and could've already been pregnant if I had had the right doctor when I had found out
499	that I had PCOS. So, I really feel like I was kind of cheated, because I didn't have the
500	information that I needed. (P9)" (Snyder, 2006)
501	As a consequence of insufficient information provision and deliberation opportunities, patients
502	reported feeling excluded from the decision-making process about treatment (Avery & Braunack-
503	Mayer, 2007; Bazarganipour, 2017; Soucie et al., 2021; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011; Williams et al., 2015)
504	or management (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Crete, 2011; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023), and this
505	often led to poor adherence with recommendations (Bazarganipour, 2017; Hajivandi et al., 2022;
506	Tomlinson et al., 2017) or erosion of trust and confidence in advice (Authier et al., 2020; Crete, 2011;
507	Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022):
508	"cut Lana out of the decision-making process" (Weiss & Bulmer, 2011)
509	"Many participants felt unsupported by healthcare providers and made health decisions
510	(short- and long-term) on their own" (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023)
511	"The participating girlsstated that if they knew the side effects of the disease and its
512	connection with nutritional behaviors, they would further follow the doctors'
513	recommendations to lose weight." (Hajivandi et al., 2022)
514	"Participants expressedmost lost trust due to the lack of information and/or involvement
515	from their physicians." (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022)
516	"None of the participants attributed their past successes in lifestyle and weight management to
517	a strong partnership with a healthcare professional, including being involved in shared
518	decision-making and participating in the co-development of health management plans." (Lim,
519	Wright, et al., 2021)
520	In contrast, interactions that engaged shared decision-making processes, although time consuming for
521	HCPs, were positively viewed (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Snyder, 2006;
522	Soucie et al., 2021), as were HCPs that referenced their limited knowledge:

"But I know even just going into her [doctor's office] and saying 'You know this is what I've heard and this is what I'm thinking for this, what lines are you coming in on?' She's open to discussing, she doesn't always like my ideas...But she's pretty involved and 'Ok, well let's think about it' ... she's pretty good." (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023)

"An open dialogue with practitioners that women feel comfortable with was considered important, this includes connecting with the individual and understanding what their personal goals are." (Lim, Wright, et al., 2021)

"She (HCP) felt that she wasn't adequately educated enough about [PCOS] to...make a diagnosis or talk to me about it. She felt it was out of her scope of practice, and so she's like "I want you to get the best information that you can, so I'm gonna refer you on." (Soucie et al., 2021)

Theme 3: 'Interactions were challenging when they prompt but do not support patient activation'

Interactions could be challenging when HCPs prompted, but did not support, patient activation or efforts to gain the knowledge needed for diagnosis, treatment or management. PCOS requires patients to actively participate in their own care (self-management). Patient activation is about patients' confidence in achieving this goal (Hibbard et al., 2004) and depends on modifiable knowledge, skills, ability, and willingness to manage one's own health and care (James, 2013). The capacity or level of patient activation could be affected by disease characteristics (e.g., cognitive impairment, fatigue, anxiety) (Newland et al., 2021). When self-initiated, patient activation is viewed as positive and critical to overall health and health-related quality of life (Hibbard & Greene, 2013). However, in the context of PCOS, patient activation was often prompted by gaps in care, which was frustrating for patients, especially when the outputs of that activation were not acknowledged or valued by HCPs.

549 Often the driving force for patient activation was to fill gaps in information-provision, due to the lack 550 of deliberation opportunities provided to patients or because of the lack of doctor involvement in their care (Copp et al., 2022; Crete, 2011; Holbrey, 2013; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Kaur et al., 2021; 551 552 Soucie et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2015, 2016). Descriptions 553 of patient activation often implied patient frustration or resentment at having to be what they 554 perceived as "my own doctor" (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022): 555 "One woman said, 'I was trying to look up my symptoms online and go into the doctor's 556 office with some sense of direction because they really didn't spend a lot of time with 557 you...They wouldn't listen." (Soucie et al., 2021) 558 "It's very frustrating because I usually have to basically tell her [doctor] 'You need to refer 559 me to this' ... I'm usually the one googling how to deal with this. I'm usually being my own 560 doctor" (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022) 561 A high level of patient activation was often reported to be required to find appropriate help (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Crete, 2011; Gibson-Helm et al., 2018; Hajivandi et al., 2022; Kaur et al., 562 563 2021; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Snyder, 2006; Soucie et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017; Williams et 564 al., 2015; Wright et al., 2020), 565 "My mother, finally after going from GP to GP, the GP just saying: "Teenagers have irregular periods", found another GP who referred me to a gynaecologist," (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 566 567 2007) "After seeing two providers, one for management of hirsutism and one for pelvic pain, she 568 was informed by a third provider that she had PCOS... Participants' ability to gain control 569 570 often meant visits to several doctors, but rarely total management." (Crete, 2011) 571 The need for patient activation (e.g., persistence, taking charge and personal research) led many 572 patients to the feeling that they had diagnosed themselves (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Crete, 573 2011; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Snyder, 2006; Tomlinson et al., 2017) or that they were more 574 knowledgeable than their doctors (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Crete, 2011; Holbrey, 2013; 575 Kitzinger, 2002; Tomlinson et al., 2017):

576	"Nobody was diagnosing me. I actually diagnosed myself." (P1) "I diagnosed myself through
577	a lot of reading and research and finding it out." (P2) (Snyder, 2006)
578	"participants had done a great deal of research on their condition with women
579	complaining that they were more knowledgeable about the condition than, for instance, their
580	GP" (Kitzinger, 2002)
581	"I am usually significantly better informed on PCOS than the registrars I see when the
582	consultant farms me out." (Holbrey, 2013)
583	Despite being effortful and a consequence of gaps in care, patient activation was perceived to not be
584	responded to positively by HCPs. Patients did not feel valued as expert witnesses of their own health.
585	Symptoms brought to a doctor's attention were discounted using unhelpful strategies (e.g.,
586	normalisation, minimising) (Hillman et al., 2020; Kaur et al., 2021; Soucie et al., 2021; Tomlinson et
587	al., 2017; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011). Patients' understanding of their symptoms were also dismissed or
588	not taken seriously (Atkinson et al., 2021; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022;
589	Kitzinger, 2002; Soucie et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2015; Wright et al.,
590	2020). This challenge could be greater for young people:
591	"I've just been told it's not bad enough. And I was like well who are you to say it's not bad
592	enough? It's bad enough for me." (Atkinson et al., 2021)
593	"Doctors said nothing about it. She is young; her hormones are changing. With time,
594	everything will be normal." (Kaur et al., 2021)
595	"I remember him just kind of looking at me and was like "Well, you're very young still,
596	you're 15, so I don't think anything's wrong with you. You're OK." I just assumed, this is
597	a doctor, he is a medical professional what do I know about it? I'm a 15-year-old girl."
598	(Soucie et al., 2021)
599	The outputs of patient activation (e.g., knowledge, treatment suggestions, self-reported comorbidities)
600	were not acknowledged or valued, and in some cases were seen to upset the doctor-patient
601	relationship (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Kitzinger, 2002; Soucie et
602	al., 2021; Wright et al., 2020):

603 "I know PCOS is potentially linked to mental health issues too. I've read it. And I remember 604 bringing these types of things up and he would just kind of shy away from it like he didn't want to deal with it." (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023) 605 606 "One participant said that "[my doctor] was angry when I brought my own information with me to the consultation, but I was frustrated not having any answers." (Soucie et al., 2021) 607 "...most of the participants had done a great deal of research on their condition, and this also 608 609 led to problems in the doctor-patient relationship, with women complaining that they were 610 considered to be acting inappropriately in being assertive in asking for specific treatments." 611 (Kitzinger, 2002) 612 A main consequence of not supporting patient activation or outputs of that activation was that patients 613 worried about what they found, especially future risks (Copp et al., 2022, Tay et al., 2021), made 614 decisions on their own or trusting popular opinion for example, tried untested or ineffective 615 treatments, without expert support (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023), and at times disengaged with 616 healthcare: 617 "By not receiving information in the face of this unknown (to her) disorder...many had to 618 instead figure out how to reconcile these fears: "I wonder what's going to change as I get 619 older, what other symptoms do I not know about that are going to rear their head?" (Soucie et al., 2021) 620 621 "...my GP knows nothing about it so I have to go and figure it out myself. So, then I trust the information in all of these books and looking at kind of what the popular opinion is on certain 622 623 things. Like testing out all these different supplements and then trial and error myself 624 (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023) 625 "I'm not going to wait for months and months and months to get an appointment with a dietician, so I went to Google and I looked up low GI diets and I found my way to a couple of 626 627 health sites ..." (Tomlinson et al., 2017) 628 HCPs were viewed positively when they supported patient agency by validating concerns (Avery & 629 Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Snyder, 2006; Soucie et al., 2021) or

630	reassurance about these (Authier et al., 2020; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Hajivandi et al., 2022;
631	Hillman et al., 2020; Tay et al., 2021) and being knowledgeable and supportive of patient preferences
632	and research (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022, 2023; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021). Also viewed positively
633	were doctors that supported patient agency in consultations (Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Hajivandi
634	et al., 2022; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Snyder, 2006; Soucie et al., 2021) and facilitated outcomes that
635	mattered to patients (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Hillman et al., 2020; Ismayilova & Yaya,
636	2022, 2023; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Soucie et al., 2021):
637	"The thing I enjoyed about talking to that doctor was that he seemed to know what I was
638	talking about. The symptoms and things like that." (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007)
639	"He sort of made me feel like this isn't some sort of like awful life sentence where you
640	neverhave a baby I think I'd catastrophized a lot through my own research so he put that
641	at ease, I felt. (013, age 33)" (Tay et al., 2021)
642	"Most women from the Monash Health PCOS clinic had a positive review of the lifestyle
643	service, with most positive comments relating to goal setting, the discussion of strategies to
644	engage in healthy lifestyle behaviors" (Lim, Wright, et al., 2021)
645	"Practitioners who were most helpful were those who were provided space for an open
646	dialogue. Dialogues were not only validating but also informed and led by the women."
647	Soucie (Soucie et al., 2021)
648	"At that point I didn't really understand terribly much. And she (HCP) also didn't, looking
649	back. But she cared enough that she sent me on these tests and she would listen to me when
650	I'd come in and say 'Okay I think I should be on this drug'. She would research it obviously
651	or look it up in the drug book. But she listened to me" (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023)
652	Patient activation could be positive and driven by patients' desire to improve discussions of their
653	symptoms or options with HCPs (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007; Crete, 2011), or to counter-argue
654	doctors' treatment decisions (Holbrey, 2013):
655	"Often the women would share the information with their doctors, in order to become
656	involved with managing their health." (Avery & Braunack-Mayer, 2007)

"I would summarize my first experience as naïve, unaware... I had a very limited view of 657 what it was, and here I'm much more educated and I think my doctors are aware and they are 658 able to talk to me a little bit more" (Crete, 2011) 659 660 "I've felt that I had [after going to patient forum] the information to challenge unfair 661 treatment, particularly from my GPs surgery who don't appear to understand PCOS." (P64) 662 (Holbrey, 2013) 663 664 665 Theme 4: 'Interactions are challenging when health system level barriers are present or made 666 worse by HCP behaviour' 667 A fourth challenge in interactions with HCPs emerged when people were confronted with system level restrictions or when these were made worse by the behaviour of HCPs. Many health system 668 669 factors (i.e., policies and guidelines, incentives, HCPs education and licensing) and organisational 670 characteristics (i.e., culture, leadership, priorities, teamwork, resources, and workflows) could 671 undermine health care delivery, even for the best HCP (Scholl et al., 2018). Diverse examples of 672 system level challenges were reported. 673 First, many patients expressed that the required or preferred treatment was not available. This could 674 be due to the lack of a care pathway (Hajivandi et al., 2022), the service not being offered in a 675 national health plan (Holbrey, 2013), long delays (Authier et al., 2020; Kaur et al., 2021; Snyder, 676 2006; Soucie et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021; Tomlinson et al., 2017) or patients having access to one or 677 678 another service, but not both (Tomlinson et al., 2017): "...although girls' health is in fact a guarantee of family health, the health system's 679 680 reproductive health programs are mainly related to prenatal, delivery, and postpartum care. 681 They stressed that there are no centers to monitor the health of adolescent girls" (Hajivandi et 682 al., 2022)

683	"the help we want (for example laser treatment on the NHS) is not available. (P30)"
684	(Holbrey, 2013)
685	Second, when services were available, participants reported that a lack of staff created long waiting
686	times (Bazarganipour, 2017; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023; Kaur et al., 2021), especially in some
687	geographic regions (Sharma, Soucie, Wright) or during the pandemic (Atkinson et al., 2021):
688	"To visit a gynecologist, I had to sit from morning to noon in the waiting room of the clinics.
689	It is extremely frustrating" (Bazarganipour, 2017)
690	" you really do have to advocate and that I think is the most frustrating part is that there just
691	aren't enough doctors to give you that quality and that level of care. So, you have to fill in the
692	gaps yourself' (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2023)
693	"Participants described experiencing reduced access to HCPs and services during the COVID-
694	19-related lockdown. This included access to their usual clinicians, as well as delays to
695	services they were waiting to receive pre-pandemic." (Atkinson et al., 2021)
696	Third, communication inefficiency between levels of care or lack of a coordinated care plan was
697	frustrating, disappointing, or expensive (Bazarganipour, 2017; Kaur et al., 2021; Lim, Wright, et al.,
698	2021; Soucie et al., 2021). Some patients preferred for their GPs to be involved but that was not
699	always possible:
700	"A fragmented system where women have to organize and attend multiple visits spread across
701	medical and allied health services and keep track of information from multiple practitioners
702	was not only difficult and exhausting but also financially expensive." (Lim, Wright, et al.,
703	2021)
704	"Every time I consult a new doctor, the tests are repeated; even when I show them previous
705	reports." (Kaur et al., 2021)
706	Although HCPs probably lacked the power to change system level challenges, they were often
707	reported to make these worse due to their approach to PCOS. HCPs were in some cases reported to be
708	inexplicably obstructive, especially to secure a referral to specialists or to specialist services within

/09	the health system (Hillman et al., 2020; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022, 2023; Soucie et al., 2021;
710	Tomlinson et al., 2017; Weiss & Bulmer, 2011; Williams et al., 2015, 2016; Wright et al., 2020):
711	"The GP was described as a gatekeeper who could be obstructive, particularly in gaining
712	access to specialist PCOS or fertility servicesletters to GPs saying "look, I've got this, this,
713	and this; it's pretty clear to me what it is, is it possible to have this referral? There seems to
714	be a bit of a barrier, for whatever reason." (Hillman et al., 2020)
715	"Many participants had long journeys to their diagnosis and described instances of PCPs
716	brushing off concerns, not providing referrals, and not ordering tests to investigate their
717	concerns." (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022)
718	"participants self-advocated for specialist referrals but were mostly unsuccessful.
719	Participants expressed that their physicians "were hesitant to refer [them]," "expressed
720	resistance to refer, despite not knowing what PCOS was," (Soucie et al., 2021)
721	Finally, personal barriers could also interact with system level factors. For instance, the geographical
722	scarcity of healthcare providers in some regions (Sharma & Mishra, 2018; Soucie et al., 2021; Wright
723	et al., 2020) with patients' inability to cover the cost of travel or treatment closer to home
724	(Bazarganipour, 2017; Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022; Kaur et al., 2021; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Wright
725	et al., 2020):
726	"I have no prescription medical coverage and I'm about to lose medical insurance all
727	together." "We could not afford to keep trying [to get pregnant]. As many of you know
728	insurance did not cover the treatments." (Wright et al., 2020)
729	"One woman recalls experiencing "a long wait list to see [the specialist], and he was an hour
730	drive away, and he was in and out and the appointment was 10 minutes long." (Soucie et al.,
731	2021)
732	Doctors that helped patients overcome system level barriers were perceived positively:
733	"She said that even though we had only been trying for 8 months, because I had had no period
734	at all she would push for an early referral to the fertility clinic.' "(Hillman et al., 2020)

"Participants believed that a knowledgeable and well-versed PCP could speed up diagnoses for patients by avoiding the need for referrals to specialists" (Ismayilova & Yaya, 2022) "When I saw my endocrinologist, she was amazing, and she would CC all my relevant allied health professionals into her letters and I'll get CC'd to them as well" (Lim, Wright, et al., 2021)

Discussion

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

The aim of this qualitative evidence synthesis was to identify and interpret the challenges people with PCOS encounter when interacting with HCPs. Coding of the 28 included studies (1657 women with PCOS) made it possible to identify four interrelated domains of challenges that cumulatively could undermine achievement of diagnosis, management, and treatment outcomes from interactions with healthcare professionals that matter to people with PCOS. These centred on suboptimal sharing of medical news; insufficient information provision and deliberation opportunities; lack of support for patient activation and its outputs; and system challenges that could be worsened by HCP behaviour. Confidence in the identified themes was high with only minor concerns in the adequacy for the findings interactions are challenging when these trigger but do no support patient activation and when health system level barriers are present (see QERQual Table 2). Future research needs to implement and evaluate well-established and effective frameworks to address challenges, most notably those for sharing medical news, shared decision-making, and support for patient activation for clinical diagnosis, management, and treatment for PCOS that matters to patients. HCPs should receive more training in these domains, and health systems should create opportunities to address these challenges. Biases within healthcare interactions that could worsen system level challenges in the availability, accessibility, and affordability of care should also be explored. To address the areas of challenging interactions identified, frameworks need to be determined but approaches known to be effective in other domains overlapping with PCOS (e.g., infertility, diabetes, cardiovascular disease) could be considered first.

760

761

Sharing medical news

Interactions with HCPs were found to be challenging when medical news related to suspected or confirmed PCOS were not shared in a way that safeguard patients' wellbeing. Much empirical research evaluates optimal ways of sharing medical news that could transfer to PCOS context. The SPIKES framework proposes six steps: Setting up the interview, assessing the patient's Perception of the situation, obtaining the patient's Invitation to deliver the news, giving Knowledge and information to the patient, addressing the patient's Emotions empathically, and providing a Summary discussing prognosis and treatment options (Baile et al., 2000). SPIKES is aligned with patient preferences (Mirza et al., 2019), including fertility care which many people with PCOS will use (Leone et al., 2017). There is significant ethnic, religious and individual variability in preferences for how medical news should be shared (e.g., amount of detail, who should be present) (Matthews et al., 2019) but systematic reviews and meta-analyses (17 studies) show the SPIKES framework for training doctors to break bad or difficult news, out-performs other approaches (e.g., observer-rated news delivery skills, doctor confidence) in many countries (e.g., Belgium, Germany, Israel, Japan, Hong Kong, UK, USA) (Johnson & Panagioti, 2018).

Shared decision-making

Interactions were also reported to be challenging when patients were not provided with content that could help them make decisions about unexplained symptoms, management, or treatment of undiagnosed and/or diagnosed PCOS. The shared decision-making (SDM) framework (Elwyn et al., 2017; Elwyn et al., 2010; NICE, 2021) could be used to address challenges in information provision and deliberation opportunities in PCOS. Shared decision-making is a collaborative process between healthcare providers and patients, where both parties contribute to healthcare decisions based on the best available evidence and the patient's values and preferences (Elwyn et al., 2010). The research on use of SDM in areas of relevance to PCOS results is positive, showing decision aids to reduce decisional conflict, and improve patient knowledge (Poprzeczny et al., 2020), 35 studies). Shared decision-making is often supported using patient decision aids (supporting patients) and decision support tools (supporting HCPs) including resources for PCOS (Poprzeczny et al., 2020)

Patient activation

The review also identified interactions to be challenging when patients' efforts to seek further information and education to fill in gaps in their knowledge often due to HCPs lack of information provision, were not supported by HCPs. To address challenges in supporting patient activation, understanding the patient activation framework (Hibbard et al., 2004) and associated assessment tool (Hibbard et al. 2005) would facilitate supporting patients through different levels of activation (low, disengaged and overwhelmed) to high (maintaining (Hibbard et al., 2004)). A narrative review indicates that greater levels of patient activation is associated with uptake of preventive behaviours (e.g., check-ups, screening), healthy behaviours (healthy diet, regular exercise), health literacy (e.g., disease knowledge) and asking questions in consultation (Hibbard & Greene, 2013; Kinney et al., 2015). A meta-analysis in chronic diseases showed benefits across a wide range of outcomes (physiological, psychosocial, behavioural and health related quality of life (Lin et al., 2020), many of which could be relevant to PCOS due to the hyperinsulinemia, hyperandrogenism and neuroendocrine disturbances caused by this disorder (Regeer et al., 2022).

Improving access to care through system level changes

An additional challenge for patients with PCOS when interacting with HCPs was identified to be system level restrictions and HCPs restrictive behaviour. Challenges included lack of access and delays in service provision, and HCPs (according to patient perspective) obstructing referrals to specialists or specialist services within the healthcare system. In the present review health system challenges restricting HCPs behaviour were not directly mentioned but could be inferred from perceived helpful actions, e.g., "...push for an early referral to an infertility clinic" (Hillman et al., 2020). Several studies recommended that more holistic or integrated care where education, screening, diagnosis, and treatment are provided together covering emotional well-being, cardiometabolic diseases, and dermatological and reproductive elements of PCOS (Atkinson et al., 2021; Hadjiconstantinou et al., 2017; Lim, Wright, et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2021). A review suggests these

models are viewed positively (Melson et al., 2023). Education and training of HCPs regarding the use of new PCOS models of care and frameworks would be needed but requires health systems to provide the opportunities as barriers are often at system level (e.g., consultation time (Legare et al., 2008)).

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

816

817

818

Recommendations from integration of primary evidence (narratives) and metal level analysis (inductive and deductive coding)

The analytic themes were used to propose meta-concepts that go beyond the primary reported data to explain the challenges reported. Although interpretive, these meta-level concepts were very much present in included studies, though not necessarily explicitly stated. For example, shared decisionmaking referred to as need to elicit preferences in all aspects of care and involve women in decisions. We see this congruence as supporting the proposed meta-level concepts. However, we also note that experts with different disciplinary backgrounds could have interpreted the data to accommodate other frameworks, for example health communication or social theories (Malikhao, 2020). We do not consider such a possibility as invalidating the meta-concepts proposed, but rather have the view that more meta-level conceptualisation – whether from social, psychological or behavioural theories – is more likely to prompt the kind of broad strategic developments needed to develop optimal PCOS care. Based on consideration of the data and analysis in this review, the Guideline Development Group 2, proposed and integrated two evidenced based recommendations in the 2023 update of the International Evidence-based PCOS Guideline (Teede et al. 2023a), namely that 'Healthcare professionals should employ shared decision-making and support patient agency or ability to take independent actions to manage their health and care' and 'The importance of being knowledgeable about PCOS, of applying evidence-based practices when sharing news on diagnosis, treatment, and health implications, and of ascertaining and focusing on patient priorities, should be recognized'. A further consensus recommendation was made 'Healthcare system leaders should enable system wide changes to support healthcare professional training, knowledge and practice in sharing news optimally, shared decision making and patient agency, including ensuring adequate consultation time

and accessible resources' with additional practice points for the use of available frameworks and resources to address these challenges (see Teede et al., 2023a, Table 4, 'Patient Care').

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

843

844

Strengths and limitations

The strengths and limitations of the included studies and the review process warrant consideration. The strengths of the review were that it addressed a novel important topic prioritised by guideline stakeholders (Teede et al, under review; Teede et al. 2023a). It also identified important positives and negatives about interactions with healthcare professionals, and frameworks for addressing these, that could be considered in future implementation. In addition, the CERQual ratings showed that many of the 28 studies contributed to each identified domain of challenge and were of sufficient robustness for analytic themes to be perceived as reliable. There were limitations in both the included studies and the review process. In the included studies there was a lack of diversity, as these mainly came from western countries. Diagnosis was often self-reported and there was possibility of recall bias in some experiences (e.g., time since diagnosis < 1 year to 17 years across studies, see Table 1). Moreover, patient related factors (e.g., family history of premature ovarian failure, low BMI) could have influenced time to diagnosis and subsequent interactions with health care professionals but these factors were not explored by the included literature. Limitations in the review process were that the initial screening for included studies and quality appraisal was performed by only one author, although any uncertainty was discussed with the GDG. We used search terms female and women and may have missed healthcare challenges experienced by non-binary or transgender populations (Wugalter et al. 2023). We perceive that our coding of the lived experience would achieve congruence among participant, researcher, and synthesiser but that was not confirmed (Noyes & Lewin, 2011). Lastly, the synthesis by design focused on patient perceptions of challenges in interactions with HCPs and therefore primarily reported on the negative aspects of care despite many examples of good practice in patient narratives. Research on PCOS is lacking. There are many unanswered questions about the cause of PCOS and treatment options are often largely inadequate, contributing to frustrations experienced by HCPs and women. Our results could be

considered alongside another review that instead focused on challenging interactions HCPSs perceived having with patients with gynaecological conditions, including PCOS (Briscoe et al., 2022). The challenges reported in that HCP review indicate that doctors know about these challenges but might interpret these differently. For example, their lack of knowledge was attributed to infrequent exposure to gynaecological conditions caused by patient preferences for female doctors. Integrating review findings could suggest that solutions and frameworks to address the challenges identified will need to be co-produced with HCPs and patients, as recommended in the few studies in the present review which examined both (Copp et al., 2022; Hajivandi et al., 2022).

Conclusion

This qualitative evidence synthesis has integrated and provided an explanatory frame for the multifaceted challenges faced by people with PCOS when interacting with HCPs. The explanatory concepts indicate that challenges can be addressed using well-established and evidenced frameworks, namely for sharing medical news, shared decision-making and patient activation. Together with facilitative system level changes allowing education, training and implementation of these frameworks, PCOS consultations could be improved. Future research needs to examine what would work best for PCOS care and identify how these could be implemented for all patients and without bias due to patient or clinical characteristics. The body of work synthesised in this review has led to inclusion of evidence-based recommendations, consensus recommendations and good practice points for refining the International Guidelines.

Data availability

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Guideline Development Group 2 members for initial discussion of the analytic themes integrated in this review at the Prato, Italy Meeting of the Group (November 2022).

Authors' Roles

JB, CTT, MAB, AM, and HT contributed significantly to the conception and design of the work, including the review question. CTT carried out the searches, selected the studies and performed the critical appraisal of the studies. JB and CH performed all data extraction and coding, CERQual evaluation, data synthesis and interpretation. JB and CH drafted the manuscript, and all authors reviewed this work critically. All authors replied to reviewer comments and provided final approval of the version to be published. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Funding

CH's time is supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research Applied Research Collaboration West (NIHR ARC West) and the National Institute for Health and Care Research Health Protection Research Unit (HPRU). The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR, UKHSA or the Department of Health and Social Care. AM and HT are supported by fellowships from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council. Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Centres of Research excellence in PCOS (APP1078444) and in Women's Health in Reproductive life CRE WHiRL (APP1171592).

Conflict of interest

CH declares no conflict of interest. AM and HT are supported by fellowships from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council. JB is the Deputy chair of the Guideline Development Group 2 for 2023 update of the International Evidence-based Guidelines (unpaid). JB and MB report travel expenses for attendance at the update meeting. JT is chair of CRE WHIRL ECR group (unpaid).

924	
925	Figure legends

Figure 1. The PRISMA flowchart detailing the process of identification, screening, eligibility for the systematic review.

Figure 2: Thematic map representing associations among analytic challenge domains. Blue boxes are key challenges when interacting with healthcare professionals (HCPs). According to the primary studies reviewed, interactions with HCPs were challenging when medical news was not shared optimally and when disease, treatment and management information provision and deliberation opportunities were insufficient. Challenges with HCPs was seen to prompt patient activation to fill gaps in knowledge and progress care and management decisions (e.g., via online searching, peer forums, medical friends, and family). Patient activation and outputs of that activation were perceived to be not well received by healthcare teams (kapow symbol). The healthcare professional was seen to be the intermediary between the health system and the patient. Although some challenges in interactions with HCPs could be attributed to health system challenges (policies, guidelines, care

References

Atkinson, L., Kite, C., McGregor, G., James, T., Clark, C. C. T., Randeva, H. S., & Kyrou, I. (2021).

restrictions) (orange box) these could be exacerbated or compounded by HCP understanding or

and the possibility to achieve outcomes that mattered to patients (purple box).

approach to PCOS (hatched orange). These challenges could undermine the HCP-patient relationship

Uncertainty, Anxiety and Isolation: Experiencing the COVID-19 Pandemic and Lockdown as

a Woman with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS). J Pers Med, 11(10).

on-line discussion forums. Ann Endocrinol (Paris), 81(5), 487-492.

https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm11100952

Authier, M., Normand, C., Jego, M., Gaborit, B., Boubli, L., & Courbiere, B. (2020). Qualitative study of self-reported experiences of infertile women with polycystic ovary syndrome through

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ando.2020.07.1110

951	Avery, J. C., & Braunack-Mayer, A. J. (2007). The information needs of women diagnosed with
952	Polycystic Ovarian Syndromeimplications for treatment and health outcomes. BMC
953	Womens Health, 7, 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6874-7-9
954	Baile, W. F., Buckman, R., Lenzi, R., Glober, G., Beale, E. A., & Kudelka, A. P. (2000). SPIKES-A
955	six-step protocol for delivering bad news: application to the patient with cancer. Oncologist,
956	5(4), 302-311. https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.5-4-302
957	Bazarganipour, F., et a. (2017). Facilitating and inhibiting factors related to treatment adherence in
958	women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A qualitative study. International Journal of
959	Reproductive Biomedicine, 553-560.
960	Bozdag G, Mumusoglu S, Zengin D, Karabulut E, Yildiz BO (2016). The prevalence and phenotypic
961	features of polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod,
962	31:2841–55
963	Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in
964	Psychology, 3(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
965	Briscoe, S., Thompson Coon, J., Melendez-Torres, G., Abbott, R., Shaw, E., Nunns, M., & Garside,
966	R. (2022). Primary care clinicians' perspectives on interacting with patients with
967	gynaecological conditions or symptoms suggestive of gynaecological conditions: A scoping
968	review of qualitative studies.
969	Buckman, R. (1984). Breaking bad news: why is it still so difficult? Br Med J (Clin Res Ed),
970	288(6430), 1597-1599. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.288.6430.1597
971	Copp, T., Muscat, D. M., Hersch, J., McCaffery, K. J., Doust, J., Dokras, A., Mol, B. W., & Jansen, J.
972	(2022). The challenges with managing polycystic ovary syndrome: A qualitative study of
973	women's and clinicians' experiences. Patient Educ Couns, 105(3), 719-725.
974	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2021.05.038
975	Crete, J. a. P. A. (2011). Managing polycystic ovary syndrome: what our patients are telling us.
976	Journal of Holistic Nursing, 29, 256-266.

9//	Elwyn, G. (2021). Shared decision making: What is the work? Patient Educ Couns, 104(1), 1591-
978	1595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2020.11.032
979	Elwyn, G., Durand, M. A., Song, J., Aarts, J., Barr, P. J., Berger, Z., Cochran, N., Frosch, D.,
980	Galasiński, D., Gulbrandsen, P., Han, P. K. J., Härter, M., Kinnersley, P., Lloyd, A., Mishra,
981	M., Perestelo-Perez, L., Scholl, I., Tomori, K., Trevena, L., Witteman, H. O., & Van der
982	Weijden, T. (2017). A three-talk model for shared decision making: multistage consultation
983	process. BMJ, 359, j4891. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4891
984	Elwyn, G., Laitner, S., Coulter, A., Walker, E., Watson, P., & Thomson, R. (2010). Implementing
985	shared decision making in the NHS. BMJ, 341, c5146. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c5146
986	Flemming, K., & Noyes, J. (2021). What is qualitative evidence synthesis?: Methodologies and
987	methods for qualitative evidence synthesis. International Journal of Qualitative Methods.
988	Gibson-Helm, M., Tassone, E. C., Teede, H. J., Dokras, A., & Garad, R. (2018). The Needs of
989	Women and Healthcare Providers regarding Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Information,
990	Resources, and Education: A Systematic Search and Narrative Review. Semin Reprod Med,
991	36(1), 35-41. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0038-1668086
992	Hadjiconstantinou, M., Mani, H., Patel, N., Levy, M., Davies, M., Khunti, K., & Stone, M. (2017).
993	Understanding and supporting women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a qualitative study in
994	an ethnically diverse UK sample. Endocr Connect, 6(5), 323-330. https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-
995	<u>17-0053</u>
996	Hajivandi, L., Noroozi, M., Mostafavi, F., & Ekramzadeh, M. (2022). Health system-related needs for
997	healthy nutritional behaviors in adolescent girls with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): a
998	qualitative study in Iran. BMC Health Serv Res, 22(1), 998. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-
999	<u>022-08334-2</u>
1000	Hibbard, J. H., & Greene, J. (2013). What the evidence shows about patient activation: better health
1001	outcomes and care experiences; fewer data on costs. Health Aff (Millwood), 32(2), 207-214.
1002	https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2012.1061

1003	Hibbard, J. H., Mahoney, R. E Stockhard, J. & Tusler, M. (2005). Development and testing of a short
1004	form of the patient activation measure. Health services research, 40(6p1), 1918-1930.
1005	Hibbard, J. H., Stockard, J., Mahoney, E. R., & Tusler, M. (2004). Development of the Patient
1006	Activation Measure (PAM): conceptualizing and measuring activation in patients and
1007	consumers. Health Serv Res, 39(4 Pt 1), 1005-1026. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-
1008	<u>6773.2004.00269.x</u>
1009	Hillman, S. C., Bryce, C., Caleyachetty, R., & Dale, J. (2020). Women's experiences of diagnosis and
1010	management of polycystic ovary syndrome: a mixed-methods study in general practice. BrJ
1011	Gen Pract, 70(694), e322-e329. https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X708881
1012	Holbrey, S. a. N. S. C. (2013). A qualitative investigation of the impact of peer to peer online support
1013	for women living with polycystic ovary syndrome. BMC Womens Health, 13, 51.
1014	Hoyos, L. R., Putra, M., Armstrong, A. A., Cheng, C. Y., Riestenberg, C. K., Schooler, T. A., &
1015	Dumesic, D. A. (2020). Measures of Patient Dissatisfaction With Health Care in Polycystic
1016	Ovary Syndrome: Retrospective Analysis. J Med Internet Res, 22(4), e16541.
1017	https://doi.org/10.2196/16541
1018	Ismayilova, M., & Yaya, S. (2022). What can be done to improve polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS)
1019	healthcare? Insights from semi-structured interviews with women in Canada. BMC Womens
1020	Health, 22(1), 157. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-022-01734-w
1021	Ismayilova, M., & Yaya, S. (2023). 'I'm usually being my own doctor': women's experiences of
1022	managing polycystic ovary syndrome in Canada. Int Health, 15(1), 56-66.
1023	https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihac028
1024	James, J. (2013). Health Policy Brief: Patient Engagement Health Affairs.
1025	Johnson, J., & Panagioti, M. (2018). Interventions to Improve the Breaking of Bad or Difficult News
1026	by Physicians, Medical Students, and Interns/Residents: A Systematic Review and Meta-
1027	Analysis. Acad Med, 93(9), 1400-1412. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.000000000002308
1028	Johnson, R. B. (2017). Dialectical pluralism: A metaparadigm whose time has come. <i>Journal of</i>
1029	Mixed Methods Research, 11(2), 156-173.

1030	Kaur, I., Suri, V., Rana, S. V., & Singh, A. (2021). Treatment pathways traversed by polycystic ovary
1031	syndrome (PCOS) patients: A mixed-method study. PLoS One, 16(8), e0255830.
1032	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255830
1033	Kiconco, S., Earnest, A., Enticott, J., Hart, R., Mori, T. A., Hickey, M., & Joham, A. E. (2023).
1034	Normative cut-offs for polycystic ovary syndrome diagnostic features in adolescents using
1035	cluster analysis. European Journal of Endocrinology, 188(6), 494-502.
1036	Kinney, R. L., Lemon, S. C., Person, S. D., Pagoto, S. L., & Saczynski, J. S. (2015). The association
1037	between patient activation and medication adherence, hospitalization, and emergency room
1038	utilization in patients with chronic illnesses: a systematic review. Patient Educ Couns, 98(5),
1039	545-552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2015.02.005
1040	Kitzinger, C. a. J. W. (2002). 'The thief of womanhood': women's experience of polycystic ovarian
1041	syndrome. <i>Soc Sci Med</i> , <i>54</i> , 349-361.
1042	Leone, D., Menichetti, J., Barusi, L., Chelo, E., Costa, M., De Lauretis, L., Ferraretti, A. P., Livi, C.,
1043	Luehwink, A., & Tomasi, G. (2017). Breaking bad news in assisted reproductive technology:
1044	a proposal for guidelines. Reproductive Health, 14(1), 1-10.
1045	Lewin, S., Glenton, C., Munthe-Kaas, H., Carlsen, B., Colvin, C. J., Gülmezoglu, M., Noyes, J.,
1046	Booth, A., Garside, R., & Rashidian, A. (2015). Using qualitative evidence in decision
1047	making for health and social interventions: an approach to assess confidence in findings from
1048	qualitative evidence syntheses (GRADE-CERQual). PLoS Med, 12(10), e1001895.
1049	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001895
1050	Lim, S., Smith, C. A., Costello, M. F., MacMillan, F., Moran, L., Teede, H., & Ee, C. (2021). Health
1051	literacy needs in weight management of women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Health
1052	Promot J Austr, 32 Suppl 1, 41-48. https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.349
1053	Lim, S., Wright, B., Savaglio, M., Goodwin, D., Pirotta, S., & Moran, L. (2021). An Analysis on the
1054	Implementation of the Evidence-based PCOS Lifestyle Guideline: Recommendations from
1055	Women with PCOS. Semin Reprod Med, 39(3-04), 153-160. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-
1056	<u>1735575</u>

1057	Lin, A. W., Bergomi, E. J., Dollanite, J. S., Sobal, J., Hoeger, K. M., & Lujan, M. E. (2018). Trust in
1058	Physicians and Medical Experience Beliefs Differ Between Women With and Without
1059	Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. J Endocr Soc, 2(9), 1001-1009. https://doi.org/10.1210/js.2018
1060	<u>00181</u>
1061	Lin, MY. Lin, WS. Weng, R.W. Apriliyasari, P. Van Truong, PS. Tsai (2020). Effects of patient
1062	activation intervention on chronic diseases: a meta-analysis. Journal of Nursing
1063	Research, 28(5), DOI: 10.1097/jnr.000000000000387
1064	Lin, J. A., & Cook, A. C. (2020). A Surgeon's Review for Difficult Conversations. Current Surgery
1065	Reports, 8(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40137-020-00247-5
1066	Malikhao, P. (2020). Health communication: Approaches, strategies, and ways to sustainability on
1067	health or health for all. Handbook of communication for development and social change,
1068	1015-1037.
1069	Martin, M. L., Halling, K., Eek, D., Krohe, M., & Paty, J. (2017). Understanding polycystic ovary
1070	syndrome from the patient perspective: a concept elicitation patient interview study. Health
1071	and quality of life outcomes, 15(1), 1-10.
1072	Matthews, T., Baken, D., Ross, K., Ogilvie, E., & Kent, L. (2019). The experiences of patients and
1073	their family members when receiving bad news about cancer: A qualitative meta-synthesis.
1074	Psycho-Oncology, 28(12), 2286-2294.
1075	Melson, E., Davitadze, M., Mousa, A., Teede, H., Boivin, J., Thondan, M., Chau Thien, T., &
1076	Kempegowda, P. (2023). A systematic review of models of care for polycystic ovary
1077	syndrome highlights a gap in the literature, especially in developing countries. Frontiers in
1078	Endocrinology.
1079	Mirza, R. D., Ren, M., Agarwal, A., & Guyatt, G. H. (2019). Assessing Patient Perspectives on
1080	Receiving Bad News: A Survey of 1337 Patients With Life-Changing Diagnoses. AJOB
1081	Empir Bioeth, 10(1), 36-43. https://doi.org/10.1080/23294515.2018.1543218
1082	Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation (MCHRI) Evidence Synthesis Program
1083	templates for critical appraisal and risk of bias (adapted from Critical Appraisal Templates,

1084	Centre for Clinical Effectiveness, Southern Health, Melbourne, 2010) Melbourne: MCHRI—
1085	Monash University and Monash Health; 2013
1086	Mousa, A., Tay, C. T., & Teede, H. (2023). Technical Report for the 2023 International Evidence-
1087	based Guideline for the Assessment and Management of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome.
1088	Newland, P., Lorenz, R., & Oliver, B. J. (2021). Patient activation in adults with chronic conditions:
1089	A systematic review. J Health Psychol, 26(1), 103-114.
1090	https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105320947790
1091	NICE. (2021). NICE 2021 standards-framework-for-shared decision making-support-tools-including-
1092	patient-decision-aids
1093	Noyes, J., & Lewin, S. (2011). Chapter 5: Extracting qualitative evidence. Supplementary guidance
1094	for inclusion of qualitative research in Cochrane systematic reviews of interventions. Version
1095	<i>1</i> , 1-24.
1096	NVivo. (2023). https://lumivero.com/products/nvivo/
1097	Pirotta, S., Joham, A. E., Moran, L. J., Skouteris, H., & Lim, S. S. (2021). Implementation of the
1098	polycystic ovary syndrome guidelines: A mixed method study to inform the design and
1099	delivery of a lifestyle management program for women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Nutr
1100	Diet, 78(5), 476-486. https://doi.org/10.1111/1747-0080.12670
1101	Poprzeczny, A. J., Stocking, K., Showell, M., & Duffy, J. M. N. (2020). Patient Decision Aids to
1102	Facilitate Shared Decision Making in Obstetrics and Gynecology: A Systematic Review and
1103	Meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol, 135(2), 444-451.
1104	https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000003664
1105	Regeer, H., van Empelen, P., Bilo, H. J. G., de Koning, E. J. P., & Huisman, S. D. (2022). Change is
1106	possible: How increased patient activation is associated with favorable changes in well-being,
1107	self-management and health outcomes among people with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A
1108	prospective longitudinal study. Patient Educ Couns, 105(4), 821-827.
1109	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2021.07.014

1110	Rempert, A. N., Sarria, I., Standeven, L. R., Nylander, E., Segars, J., & Singh, B. (2023). A
1111	Systematic Review of the Psychosocial Impact of Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome Before and
1112	After Treatment. Reproductive Sciences, 1-26.
1113	Scholl, I., LaRussa, A., Hahlweg, P., Kobrin, S., & Elwyn, G. (2018). Organizational- and system-
1114	level characteristics that influence implementation of shared decision-making and strategies
1115	to address them - a scoping review. Implement Sci, 13(1), 40. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-
1116	<u>018-0731-z</u>
1117	Sendur, S. N., & Yildiz, B. O. (2021). Influence of ethnicity on different aspects of polycystic ovary
1118	syndrome: a systematic review. Reproductive biomedicine online, 42(4), 799-818.
1119	Sharma, S., & Mishra, A. J. (2018). Tabooed disease in alienated bodies: A study of women suffering
1120	from Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS). Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health, 6(3),
1121	130-136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2017.09.001
1122	Skiba, M.A., Islam, R.M., Bell, R.J. and Davis, S.R., (2018). Understanding variation in prevalence
1123	estimates of polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Human
1124	reproduction update, 24(6), pp.694-709.
1125	Snyder, B. S. (2006). The lived experience of women diagnosed with polycystic ovary syndrome. J
1126	Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs, 35(3), 385-392. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1552-
1127	<u>6909.2006.00047.x</u>
1128	Soucie, K., Samardzic, T., Schramer, K., Ly, C., & Katzman, R. (2021). The Diagnostic Experiences
1129	of Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) in Ontario, Canada. Qual Health Res,
1130	31(3), 523-534. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732320971235
1131	Tay, C. T., Pirotta, S., Teede, H. J., Moran, L. J., Robinson, T., Skouteris, H., Joham, A. E., & Lim, S.
1132	S. (2021). Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Models of Care: A Review and Qualitative Evaluation
1133	of a Guideline-Recommended Integrated Care. Semin Reprod Med, 39(3-04), 133-142.
1134	https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1727191
1135	Teede, H., Gibson-Helm, M., Norman, R. J., & Boyle, J. (2014). Polycystic ovary syndrome:
136	perceptions and attitudes of women and primary health care physicians on features of PCOS

1137	and renaming the syndrome. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 99(1),
1138	E107-E111.
1139	Teede, H., Gibson M, Laven, J., Dokras, A., Moran, L., Piltonin, T., Costello, M., Mousa, A., Joham
1140	A, Tay, C., & Network, o. b. o. t. I. P. (under review). International research priorities for
1141	PCOS from broad surveys and evidence-based guideline processes in a neglected women's
1142	health condition. Lancet Endocrinology and Diabetes.
1143	Teede HJ, Tay CT, Laven J, Dokras A, Moran LJ, Piltonen TT, Costello MF, Boivin J, Redman LM,
1144	Boyle JA, Norman RJ, Mousa A, Joham AE; (2023a). International PCOS Network.
1145	Recommendations from the 2023 International Evidence-based Guideline for the Assessment
1146	and Management of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome†. Hum Reprod. 2023 Sep 5;38(9):1655-
1147	1679. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dead156. PMID: 37580037; PMCID: PMC10477934.
1148	Teede, H. J., Tay, C. T., Laven, J. J., Dokras, A., Moran, L. J., Piltonen, T. T., Costello, M. F., Boivin,
1149	J., Redman, L. M, Boyle, J. A. Norman, R, Mousa, A & Joham, A. (2023b). International
1150	Evidence-based Guideline for the Assessment and Management of Polycystic Ovary
1151	Syndrome 2023. Monash University. Online. https://doi.org/10.26180/24003834.v1
1152	Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in
1153	systematic reviews. BMC medical research methodology, 8(1), 1-10.
1154	Tomlinson, J., Pinkney, J., Adams, L., Stenhouse, E., Bendall, A., Corrigan, O., & Letherby, G.
1155	(2017). The diagnosis and lived experience of polycystic ovary syndrome: A qualitative
1156	study. J Adv Nurs, 73(10), 2318-2326. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13300
1157	Tong, A., Flemming, K., McInnes, E., Oliver, S., & Craig, J. (2012). Enhancing transparency in
1158	reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. BMC medical research
1159	methodology, 12(1), 1-8.
1160	Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'brien, K., Colquhoun, H., Kastner, M., Levac, D., Ng, C.,
1161	Sharpe, J. P., & Wilson, K. (2016). A scoping review on the conduct and reporting of scoping
1162	reviews. BMC medical research methodology, 16, 1-10.

1163	Weiss, T. R., & Bulmer, S. M. (2011). Young women's experiences living with polycystic ovary
1164	syndrome. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs, 40(6), 709-718. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1552-
1165	<u>6909.2011.01299.x</u>
1166	Williams, S., Sheffield, D., & Knibb, R. C. (2015). 'Everything's from the inside out with PCOS':
1167	Exploring women's experiences of living with polycystic ovary syndrome and co-morbidities
1168	through Skype interviews. Health Psychol Open, 2(2), 2055102915603051.
1169	https://doi.org/10.1177/2055102915603051
1170	Williams, S., Sheffield, D., & Knibb, R. C. (2016). A snapshot of the lives of women with polycystic
1171	ovary syndrome: A photovoice investigation. J Health Psychol, 21(6), 1170-1182.
1172	https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105314547941
1173	Witchel, S. F., Oberfield, S. E., & Peña, A. S. (2019). Polycystic ovary syndrome: pathophysiology,
1174	presentation, and treatment with emphasis on adolescent girls. Journal of the Endocrine
1175	Society, 3(8), 1545-1573.
1176	Wright, P. J., Dawson, R. M., & Corbett, C. F. (2020). Social construction of biopsychosocial and
1177	medical experiences of women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Adv Nurs, 76(7), 1728-
1178	1736. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14371
1179	Wugalter, K., Perovic, M., Karkaby, L., & Einstein, G. (2023). The double-edged sword of PCOS and
1180	gender: exploring gender-diverse experiences of polycystic ovary syndrome. International
1181	Journal of Transgender Health, 1-17.