

ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/174963/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Matam, Santhosh K., Sharma, Preetam Kumar, Yu, Eileen H., Drivas, Charalampos, Khan, Mohammad Danish, Wilding, M., Ramanan, Nitya, Gianolio, Diego, Isaacs, Mark Andrew, Guan, Shaoliang, Davies, Philip Rosser and Catlow, C. Richard A. 2024. Operando X-ray absorption spectroscopic flow cell for electrochemical CO2 reduction: new insight into the role of copper species. Catalysis Science & Technology 10.1039/D4CY00602J

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/D4CY00602J

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: S. K. Matam, P. K. Sharma, E. H. Yu, C. Drivas, M. D. Khan, M. Wilding, N. Ramanan, D. Gianolio, M. A. Isaacs, S. Guan, P. R. Davies and C. R. A. Catlow*, Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4CY00602J.

This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors.](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp)

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the [Ethical guidelines s](http://www.rsc.org/publishing/journals/guidelines/)till apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

rsc.li/catalysis

Operando X-ray absorption spectroscopic flow cell for electrochemical CO₂^{FeW Article Online} reduction: new insight into the role of copper species

Santhosh K. Matam^{1,2,*}, P. Sharma³, E. Yu^{3,4*}, C. Drivas^{1,2}, M. D. Khan³, M. Wilding^{1,2}, N. Ramanan⁵, D. Gianolio⁵, M. A. Isaacs^{6,7}, S. Guan⁸, P. R. Davies^{2,7}, C. Richard A. Catlow^{1,2,6}

The UK Catalysis Hub, Research Complex at Harwell, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, OX11 0FA, UK Cardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AT, UK Department of Chemical Engineering, Loughborough University, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK Diamond Light Source Ltd., Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot OX11 0DE, UK Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, UK HarwellXPS, Research Complex at Harwell, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, OX11 0FA, UK Maxwell Centre, Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE Operando X-ray absorption spectroscopic flow cell for electrochemical.GO₂(2012)

reduction: new insight into the rule of Copper species.

Santosh K. Maturi²³, P. Sharar, F. Vo^{3,13}, C. Drives¹², O. Kisar), M. Whi

**Corresponding authors:* santhosh.matam@rc-harwell.ac.uk; *eileen.yu@soton.ac.uk

Abstract

We present a novel *operando* X-ray absorption spectroscopic (XAS) flow cell, consisting of a considered online

gas chamber for $CO₂$ and a liquid chamber for the electrolyte, to monitor electrochemical $CO₂$ reduction (eCO₂R) over a gas diffusion electrode (GDE). The feasibility of the flow cell is demonstrated by collecting XAS data (during $eCO₂R$ over Cu-GDE) in a transmission mode at the Cu K-edge. The dynamic behaviour of copper during $eCO₂R$ is captured by XAS which is complemented by quasi *in situ* Raman and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The linear combination analyses (LCA) of X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) indicate that copper oxides are the only species present during the first 20 min of $eCO₂R$, corroborated by complementary Raman and XPS. Significantly, the complementary spectroscopic data suggests that the copper composition in the bulk and on the surface Cu-GDE evolve differently at and above 30 min of eCO₂R. LCA indicates that at 60 min, 77% of copper occurs as metallic Cu and the remainder 23% in Cu(II) oxidation state, which is not evident from XPS that shows 100% of copper in \leq 2+ oxidation state. Thus, the Cu(II) is probably in the bulk of Cu-GDE, as also evident from Raman. The ethylene formation correlates very well with the occurrence of copper oxides and hydroxide species in Cu-GDE. The results not only demonstrate the applicability and versatility of the *operando* XAS GDE flow cell, but also illustrate the unique advantages of combining XAS with complementary Raman and XPS that enables the monitoring of the catalyst structural evolution from the bulk to surface and surface adsorbed species. We present a moved operator and a legal denote for the circulation of the constant and the circulation and the circulation and the circulation of the constrained CO, reduction (CO-R) one or a legal denote the circulation

Introduction

Electrochemical CO₂ reduction (eCO₂R) over a copper gas diffusion electrode (Cu-GDE) has the potential to generate multi carbon products (C2+ including ethylene and ethanol) using renewable electricity at a commercial scale [1-7], and it is necessary to develop the potential, selectivity towards a desired product with acceptable current densities [1-11]. Several studies have attempted to understand catalyst structure, activity and selectivity relationships by different techniques, including several employing *in situ* and/or *operando* spectroscopic techniques [5,7,12-15]. *Operando* spectroscopy is critical as it enables the simultaneous monitoring of catalyst structure, surface adsorbed species/reaction pathways and products [5,12-18]. Moreover, a combination of several spectroscopic methods in a single *operando* cell can offer complementary information under identical conditions [16-19]. While *operando* methodologies are routinely applied in the field of heterogeneous catalysis [16-19], they are still developing in the field of eCO₂R [5], with studies reporting *operando* X-ray

A modified GDE flow cell is commonly used to conduct *operando* XAS studies in a fluorescence mode, for which a Kapton window is created at the gas chamber that allows Xrays to penetrate the catalyst surface through the back of the GDE, and all other components of the cell are retained [12,13]. Using this setup, copper (Cu) sputtered on carbon paper [12] or on polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) [13] as a working electrode was studied by *operando* XAS during $eCO₂R$ and it was concluded that Cu is mainly in the metallic state during the reaction. In marked contrast, reference [13] also shows the gradual conversion of $Cu(OH)₂$ into metallic Cu during 60 min eCO₂R over Cu(OH)₂-GDE. A mixture of Cu(OH)₂ and metallic Cu was observed at 30 min of $eCO₂R$ while only metallic Cu was present at 60 min. Moreover, the study reported in reference [12] finds no clear correlation between the Cu-GDE properties and product selectivity as a function of current density. absorption percettocopy (XAS) studies of cCO-R on GDE involving flow cells 1123/https://

A modified GDE flow cell is commonly used to conduct operated A.AS studies in a

microscope model (in the case) are considered and

Unlike the above two cell designs and experimental conditions [12,13], an *in situ* grazing incidence (GI) XAS and XRD study [14], that employed a polycrystalline Cu thin film (on Cr layer containing $Si(100)$ surface) and $CO₂$ saturated electrolyte flow, concluded that only metallic Cu was present during eCO₂R. In contrast, *operando* XAS studies of KOH incorporated Cu nanoparticles (Cu-KOH) in a zero-gap flow cell show the presence of metallic Cu along with a fraction of oxide derived Cu species (i.e., $Cu₂O$ and $Cu(OH)₂$) during $eCO₂R$ [15]. Thus, the authors attributed the improved ethylene production to the synergistic effect of $Cu₂O$ and $Cu(OH)₂$ species.

It is evident that there is no consensus on the active and selective site/phase composition of copper for ethylene production. The discrepancies could be a result of varied experimental conditions and *in situ / operando* cell designs [5,12-15]. The latter could play a key role in obtaining reliable structure-activity data [16-19]. These observations emphasise the need for targeted and cell specific *operando* spectroscopic studies to unravel structure, activity and selectivity relationships [5]. In the present study, we report a novel *operando* XAS GDE flow cell with a three-phase system (i.e., gaseous $CO₂$, liquid electrolyte and solid catalyst) to conduct experiments in a transmission mode that probes the Cu-GDE from surface to bulk and provides information on the copper oxidation and coordination states during the reaction. However, as it would not be possible to distinguish between the surface and bulk composition [5], a complementary surface sensitive quasi *in situ* X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is also employed to probe copper dynamics on the Cu-GDE surface [10,20,21]. These data are then complemented by Raman spectroscopy that monitors not only the surface adsorbed

species but also the evolution of copper speciation (even very amorphous species) during $\mathcal{H}^{\text{gequark}}_{\text{EVOAGO2}}$ reaction [5,22], based on which, we derive reliable catalyst structure-activity-selectivity relationships of Cu-GDE during the complex $eCO₂R$ reaction. **2. Materials and methods** *2.1 Preparation of the copper gas diffusion electrode (Cu-GDE):*

The Cu-GDE preparation is similar to the procedure reported elsewhere [20]. Commercial gas diffusion layer (GDL) and $Cu₂O$ nanoparticles were purchased from Freudenberg (H2315 I2 C6) and EPRUI Nanoparticles & Microspheres Co. Ltd., respectively. 15 mg of $Cu₂O$ nanoparticles were dispersed in 200 μL of isopropanol (99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) and sonicated for 10 min. Subsequently, 33 μL of 5 wt % Nafion binder (Sigma-Aldrich) was added and the suspension was sonicated for another 60 min. The resulting catalyst ink was then painted layer-by-layer onto the 2 cm² surface of GDL. Between each layer, the GDL was subjected to drying at 50 °C for 2 min. The procedure was repeated until a desired catalyst loading of 5 mg cm-2 was achieved. The final Cu-GDE was dried at 50 °C for 30 min. spectra but also be revolution of copyrig spectralian (or or or we many measure of the spectralian (3.2). but of the comparison of the spectral relationships of Cu-GDE during the comparison of the copyrigon of the compari

2.2. Characterisation:

The phase composition of the as purchased commercial $Cu₂O$ nanoparticles and the fresh (i.e., the as prepared final) Cu-GDE is evaluated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D2 phaser benchtop diffractometer equipped with a Cu K α radiation source (λ = 1.5406 Å) with a 2θ range of 15 - 80°. The corresponding data are presented in Fig. S1 of the Supplementary Information (SI).

2.3. Electrochemical CO2 reduction (eCO2R):

The $eCO₂R$ experiments were conducted in a standard three-chamber GDE flow cell which was operated in a three-electrode configuration. The details of the GDE flow reactor are reported elsewhere [10]. Briefly, the cell consists of Cu-GDE as a working electrode, Pt nanoparticles coated Ti mesh as a counter electrode, and Hg/HgO (RE-5B, BASI, 1 M KOH, 0.118 V vs. RHE) as a reference electrode. 1 M KOH was used as catholyte and anolyte. To prevent the cross-over of liquid products, the catholyte and anolyte chambers were separated by a cation exchange membrane (F-1050, Fumapem). The GDE flow reactor was operated in continuous flow mode by connecting the reactor to the 1M KOH electrolyte reservoir and to a pure CO_2 gas (20 mL min⁻¹, controlled by a Bronkhorst digital mass flow controller) cylinder (99.9995% purity, BOC). The former enables the collection of liquid products at a regular

interval. Gas phase and alcoholic liquid products were analysed by a gas chromatography (Shimadzu Tracera GC-2010) equipped with Barrier discharge ionization detector (BID). The ShinCarbon ST micropacked column 80/100 (Restek) was used to quantify permanent gases and light hydrocarbons, while the Zebron ZB-WAXplus capillary column (Phenomenex) was used to quantify alcoholic liquids. An ion chromatography (Eco IC, Metrohm) equipped with a Metrohm 6.1005.200 column was used to quantify volatile fatty acids, including formic acid. A cylinder (BOC) with customised calibration mixture of $H₂$ (1.000%), CO (1.000%), CO_2 (96.000%), CH₄ (0.500%), C₂H₄ (0.500%), C₂H₆ (0.500%) and C₃H₆ (0.500%) was used to quantify the gas phase products by an area normalization method. Liquid products were quantified by an external standard method [20].

The potentials were reported vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), and the current densities were determined based on the GDE surface area of 2 cm² [20]. The current densities were described as cathodic current density and without any negative sign. The absolute Faradaic efficiencies (FE) for each product was calculated based on Faraday's law [10,20]. Liquid products were accumulated continuously and collected for 30 min reaction time, and the absolute FEs of liquid products represented average values. Differently, the gas products were collected during a short period of time in the very last minute of the 30 minute reaction, and the absolute FEs of gas products represented instantaneous values.

2.4. Operando XAS GDE cell design and fabrication:

The *operando* cell consists of a gas chamber and a liquid chamber made with a Perspex material and the chambers were separated by a working electrode (i.e., cathode) which is in contact with the electrolyte. The counter electrode (Pt nanoparticles coated Ti mesh) was placed in the electrolyte (i.e., anode) and the cell includes a slot for a reference electrode. The cell design is a simplified version of the standard three chamber electrochemical cell as described above in Section 2.3 and as reported in [10]. Computer aided design (CAD) of the *operando* cell is shown in Fig. 1. The gas chamber is sealed off with a Kapton window that faces the oncoming X-ray beam. Gaseous $CO₂$ enters through the gas chamber and then diffuses through the porous GDE on to the catalyst surface. The unreacted $CO₂$ and gas phase products mostly diffuse back through the GDE and exit through the gas chamber via the outlet that can be connected to a mass spectrometry (MS), while liquid products exit through the aqueous electrolyte which can be continuously circulated and can be collected for analyses. The liquid chamber is also sealed off with a Kapton window such that the X-ray beam passes through it to the detector, and X-ray beam probes both working electrode and merical. Gias phase and also
both liquid products were analyzed by a su chromatography
(Shamadza Tracera GC-2010) equipped with Burner discharge isomation detector (BID). The
Share turbox ST materopoided column 80-1100 Re electrolyte. Therefore, the cell is especially suitable for experiments in a transmission $\mathcal{B}_{\text{SVD}}^{\text{Mgauge Coulomb}}$ but can also be used for measurements in a fluorescence mode. Moreover, the cell can be coupled with another technique such as XRD or vibrational spectroscopy (for example Raman).

Fig. 1. CAD image of the *operando* XAS – GDE flow cell. The inset photograph shows the cell setup on the beamline.

The eCO₂R experiments were conducted using the *operando* XAS GDE flow cell to assess the effect of cell design on the product distribution as compared to the standard GDE flow cell and similar experimental conditions were employed as described in Section 2.3. The *operando* cell was operated in a galvanostatic mode at 400 mA and potentials were measured which were in a range between 2.2 and 2.7 V, comparable to the standard cell. The *operando* cell was operated in a continuous flow mode by connecting the reactor to the 1M KOH electrolyte reservoir and to a pure $CO₂$ gas (20 mL min⁻¹) cylinder (BOC). The products and unreacted $CO₂$ were analysed and quantified according to the procedure described in Section 2.3 [10,20].

2.5 In situ XAS:

In situ XAS experiments were conducted at the B18 beamline of the Diamond Light Source (DLS), UK. A photograph of the cell setup on the B18 beamline is shown in the inset of Fig. 1. XAS measurements were conducted at the Cu K-edge in a transmission mode using the

quick EXAFS (QEXAFS) setup with a fast-scanning $Si(111)$ double crystal monochromators $\frac{1}{2}$

[23]. A set of Pt coated mirrors were used to reject higher harmonics from the X-ray beam. Ionization chambers filled with appropriate gas mixtures were used to measure the incident beam intensity, I_0 , the transmitted beam through the Cu-GDE, I_t , and through the reference, $I_{ref.}$ A standard Cu foil reference was placed between I_t and $I_{ref.}$ The X-ray beam passes through the centre of the working Cu-GDE which is indicated by a red laser spot (see the inset of Fig. 1). *In situ* XAS data were collected during the eCO₂R reaction at different time intervals; at 0.5, 10, 20, 30 and 60 min. The $eCO₂R$ reaction conditions were identical to those described in section 2.3 for *operando* XAS GDE flow cell.

The raw XAS spectra were processed using the software Athena [24]. The spectra were preedge subtracted and normalised to the post-edge background. The linear combination analyses (LCA) of the normalised X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra was then performed around the Cu K-edge using the software Athena [24]. The XANES spectra of the reference samples Cu metal foil, $Cu₂O$, CuO , $CuCl₂2H₂O$ and $Cu₄(OH)₆Cl₂$ were used as standards for the LCA. Their weightings were constrained to be between 0 and 1 and their sum to be equal to 1. The initial selection of standard spectra for LCA analyses is determined based on the materials used for preparation of Cu-GDE and characterisation results and, unknown Cu species were modelled with reference copper chloride compounds to obtain a best possible fit. quick EXAPS (OEXAPS) solution with this section
angle Si(1) dools experimentation with the section of the state of th

2.6 Quasi in situ Raman spectroscopy

For quasi *in situ* studies, a series of eCO₂R experiments were conducted in a nitrogen glove box using a standard GDE flow cell as described in Section 2.3 and the Cu-GDE samples at different time intervals between 0 and 60 min were collected. At each time point, a fresh Cu-GDE was used. The as collected samples were then loaded on to a Thermo Fischer vacuum transfer stage within the glovebox and then the samples were transferred to the Raman spectroscopy. Raman data were acquired using a Thermo iXR coincident Raman spectrometer mounted inside a Thermo NEXSA XPS spectrometer. All measurements were taken using a 455 nm laser, a step size of 0.1 cm-1 and a dwell time of 20 seconds.

2.7 Quasi in situ X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

As described for quasi *in situ* Raman (Section 2.6), a series of Cu-GDE samples at different time intervals of the reaction were prepared and the samples were then transferred using a sealed shuttle holder and opened in the analysis chamber using a wobble stick. The XPS data

were acquired with a Kratos Axis SUPRA using monochromated Al K α (1486.69 eV) X-ray arc Online at 15 mA emission and 15 kV HT (225W) and a spot size/analysis area of 700 x 300 μ m. The instrument was calibrated to gold metal (Au 4f of 83.95 eV) and dispersion adjusted to give a binding energy (BE) of 932.6 eV for the Cu $2p_{3/2}$ line of metallic copper. Source resolution for monochromatic Al Kα X-rays is ~ 0.3 eV. The instrumental resolution was determined to be 0.29 eV at 10 eV pass energy using the Fermi edge of the valence band for metallic silver. Resolution with charge compensation system on <1.33 eV FWHM on PTFE. High resolution spectra were obtained using a pass energy of 20 eV, step size of 0.1 eV and sweep time of 60s, resulting in a line width of 0.696 eV for Au $4f_{7/2}$. Survey spectra were obtained using a pass energy of 160 eV. Charge neutralisation was achieved using an electron flood gun with filament current $= 0.38$ A, charge balance $= 2$ V, and filament bias $= 4.2$ V. Spectra have been charge corrected using reference values for the Cu oxides (933.1 and 932.2 eV respectively for CuO and Cu₂O) instead of the more usual carbon reference point due to the presence of multiple species attributable to the GDE. All data were recorded at a base pressure of below 9 x 10-9 Torr and a room temperature of 294 K. Data were analysed using CasaXPS v2.3.26rev1.2V [25]. Peaks were fitted with a Shirley background prior to component analyses. were equation with a knotto Action (19) EV At 19) the state of 18 Action (19) Action (19) Action (19) Action (19) Action (19) and the state of 1900 and 1900 a

3. Results and Discussion:

3.1 eCO2R over Cu-GDE using a standard GDE flow cell:

To assess the Cu-GDE efficiency in $eCO₂R$, a benchmark test is conducted using a standard GDE flow cell [10]. The normalised Faradaic efficiency (FE) of gaseous products $(C_2H_4, CO$ and $H₂$) and the sum of all liquid products is plotted as a function of reaction time in Fig. 2.

It is evident that the formation of gaseous products within the first 60 min of the reaction is almost stable with FE of 13, 28 and 22.50 % for C_2H_4 , CO and H_2 , respectively. The FE of C_2H_4 and CO continues to be steady state up to 90 min, while the FE of H_2 evolution increases from 22.5 to 25% between 60 and 120 min. In contrast, FE of liquid products decreases continuously from 37.5 to 32.5% during the reaction between 0 and 120 min. The FE of liquid products decreases dramatically whilst that of $H₂$ evolution increases rapidly above 120 min, indicating the flooding of the cell [2,10,20]. During 240 min of the reaction, the current density of the system decreases gradually from 102 to 82 mA/cm², suggesting a gradual decrease in either catalyst or cell efficiency or both. The reduced cell efficiency can be due to flooding of the GDE, especially after 120 min of the reaction, while the catalyst efficiency during the reaction is a topic of debate [5,12-15]. Both effects are entwined and cannot be decoupled. Fig. 2. Normalized EE (b) is produces (A) and current density (B) as a fraction of there is the continued EE (b) as follows.
To figure in the formal of the formal contains and current density and current and the fit is ex

3.2 eCO2R over Cu-GDE using the operando XAS GDE flow cell:

The Cu-GDE efficiency in $eCO₂R$ is tested in the *operando* XAS GDE flow cell to verify its performance against the standard cell (Fig. 2). The FE of gaseous products C_2H_4 , CO and H₂ as a function of time is shown in Fig. 3. The steady-state formation of gaseous products within the first 60 min of the reaction is evident with FE of 22.5, 10 and 17 % for C_2H_4 , CO and H2, respectively. The formation trend is consistent with the data obtained on the standard cell (Fig. 2), confirming that the *operando* cell design and Cu-GDE efficiency are optimal.

Fig. 3. eCO₂R over *operando* XAS-Cu-GDE flow cell: the FE (%) of gaseous products are plotted as a function of time. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines are included for guidance purposes. Refer to experimental section 2.4 for details.

We note that the H_2 evolution is gradually increasing with time but it is faster above 60 min which indicates the flooding of the cell, in line with the data reported in Fig. 2. Therefore, the catalyst structure activity studies are conducted within the first 60 min of the reaction during which a steady state formation of gas phase products is observed. It should also be noted that the slight variations in the FE of the products can be attributed to the simplified *operando* cell configuration (Fig. 1) as compared to the standard GDE flow cell [10].

3.3 In situ XAS:

In situ X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra collected during the eCO₂R reaction over Cu-GDE are presented along with reference samples in Fig. 4. The three reference

Fig. 4. XANES spectra of reference copper samples (A) and *in situ* XANES spectra of Cu-GDE during the $eCO₂R$ reaction (B). 'a' in the panel (B) indicates the white line of the XANES spectra collected within 20 min and inset of the panel (B) shows the overlayed and magnified region of the *in situ* XANES spectra for better visibility of the changes. Refer to experimental sections 2.4 and 2.5 for details. The vertical dashed lines in panels (A) and (B) indicate the energies of the pre-edge features, edge position and edge features. Arrows in the inset of the panel (B) indicate the change in intensities of the features and edge position.

samples exhibit unique spectroscopic features, including pre-edge features, edge position and edge features implying that the oxidation and coordination states of copper in those reference samples are distinctive [26,27]. The edge position of the XANES depends on the oxidation state of copper species, with the edge position of the metallic Cu being at a lower energy than that of copper oxides [13,26,27]. Likewise, a sharp feature on the rising edge between 8980 and 8986 eV (Fig. 4A) indicates the coordination states of cuprous oxide (Cu₂O) in linear or cupric oxide (CuO) in square planar geometry [26-28]. A similar feature on the rising edge (at 8980 eV) is also typical for metallic Cu with an fcc structure [27-29] that exhibits a

characteristic doublet (i.e., two peaks) on the white line at 8993 and 9002 eV (F_i ig. $\frac{\sqrt{F_i}}{F_i}$ Variations in the intensities of the peaks above the white line (at 9002 and 9025 eV) reflect a degree of scattering from the second coordination shell and hence can provide information on the extent of local ordering which is related to metal particle size [27-29]. Moreover, the white line intensity appears to be higher for Cu in a higher coordination state than with lower coordination [26,27,30,31]. Accordingly, the white line intensity is higher for Cu in planar than in linear geometry (Fig. 4A).

In situ XANES at different times of the $eCO₂R$ reaction are shown in Fig. 4B. By visual inspection of the spectra, it appears that copper is in oxidised state as a mixture of cupric and cuprous oxides within the first 20 min of the reaction; as the edge position, edge features and the pre-edge features are similar to the reference samples CuO and Cu₂O. Within the same period, it is also evident that the intensity of the white line decreases and the sharp feature on the rising edge increases (Fig. 4B inset) which imply a decreased coordination state of the copper from planar to linear and a change in its oxidation state from Cu(II) to Cu(I) [26-28]. At 30 min of the reaction, the intensity of the white line decreases further and the sharp feature on the rising edge increases in intensity (Fig. 4B inset) and the spectrum now appears to be a mixture of copper oxides and metallic copper (Fig. 4B). Intensities of the peaks at 8996 eV and above the white line at 9012 eV attenuate, while weak features at 9002 and 9025 eV attributable to metallic Cu appear, indicating that the scattering from the second coordination shell is weak [27-29]. These dynamic changes point to the transition of copper oxide to metallic Cu during the reaction. The transition appears to have led to a poorly ordered local environment of copper during the reaction at 30 min. At 60 min of the reaction, the XANES spectrum resembles the reference metallic Cu spectrum (compare Fig. 4A and Fig. 4B) indicating that the transition of the copper oxide phase into metallic Cu is complete and that the majority of copper now appears to be in the metallic state. The dynamic behaviour of copper is further evaluated and the evolution of different copper species during the reaction is quantified by linear combination analyses (LCA) of the *in situ* XANES spectra. The corresponding data are shown in Fig. S2 and in Table 1. characterise doublet (i.e., two posits) on the which line at 8992 and 9902 eV_x(H₂R)² ($\frac{2.000}{1000}$ (\frac

LCA indicates the presence of only oxidic copper and no detectable metallic Cu within the first 20 min of the reaction (Fig. S2 and Table 1), as expected from XANES spectra presented in Fig. 4B. The majority of the oxidic copper is in Cu(II) (82%) while a minority is in Cu(I) $(18%)$ oxidation state. It appears that a fraction of Cu(II) is in an octahedral coordination state which is different from the initial CuO phase in planar geometry. The higher coordination state of copper is not surprising under these reaction conditions involving aqueous electrolyte, reactant and products that interact with the copper and hence increase the copper coordination number. The presence of Cu(II) in an octahedral coordination state is consistent with the observed higher white line intensity of the XANES spectra as discussed above (Fig. 4B inset). These observations are consistent with reports that show the presence of copper hydroxide and/or $Cu₂O$ [13,15] but differ from the proposal that only metallic Cu is present during the reaction, irrespective of the duration [12-15]. The similarities [13,15] and differences [12-15] seem to relate directly to the nature of the initial Cu-GDE composition and experimental conditions.

LCA of the XANES spectrum collected at 30 min of the reaction shows that the majority of Cu(II) is converted into Cu(I) and metallic Cu (Table 1), and a complex mixture of Cu(II), Cu(I) and metallic Cu occurs which is also reflected in the salient features of the XANES spectrum displayed in Fig. 4B. These observations are in line with that of $Cu(OH)₂-GDE$ studied by *operando* XAS [13]. The metallic Cu content in the Cu-GDE increases to 77% at 60 min of the reaction as is evident from the LCA (Table 1). However, remarkably, 23% of the copper is in the Cu(II) oxidation state which is not obvious from the XANES spectrum presented in Fig. 4B, demonstrating the importance of LCA to obtain insights into the copper speciation during the reaction. Interestingly, it appears that the proportion of Cu(II) increases with the reaction time from 30 to 60 min, suggesting continuous evolution and interconversion of copper species, under these experimental conditions. Our results indicate the presence of a significant proportion of Cu(II), either in the subsurface or bulk of Cu-GDE, and which could not be distinguished by XANES, during the reaction (especially at 60 min of the reaction when metallic Cu dominates the bulk of the Cu-GDE) align well with theoretical studies that predict an improved C2+ production in the presence of subsurface copper oxides [32,33]. It should be noted that the LCA method provides only an indicative **Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscript** Open Access Article. Published on 02 December 2024. Downloaded on 1/3/2025 10:56:19 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) [View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cy00602j) DOI: 10.1039/D4CY00602J information on the copper speciation. Therefore, we have conducted complementary quasify the online *situ* Raman and XPS spectroscopic studies under similar conditions. Raman spectroscopy is sensitive to amorphous phases of copper species even in the bulk of the Cu-GDE, providing absolute information on the copper speciation, and surface adsorbed species while XPS is a surface sensitive technique that provides information on the chemical composition and states of copper. Together with Raman and XPS, we aim to refine further the LCA data and to define the active and selective copper species for the $eCO₂$ reaction.

3.4 Quasi *in situ* Raman:

Quasi *in situ* Raman spectra were collected between 0 and 60 min of the eCO₂R reaction, as shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5. Quasi *in situ* Raman spectra collected during the $eCO₂R$ reaction. General peak assignment is indicated by the dashed lines. $M - O$ indicates copper-oxygen bond. Bridge bound CO adsorption on metallic Cu at 1920 cm⁻¹ is depicted. Refer to experimental sections 2.3 and 2.6 for details.

The Raman spectrum of the fresh Cu-GDE (at time zero of the reaction) shows bands attributable to Cu₂O and CuO [34-38], consistent with XRD (Fig. S1) and *in situ* XANES that also indicate no detectable metallic Cu (Fig. 4B and Table 1). Within the first 10 min of the reaction, a band at 490 cm⁻¹ appears, along with another band at 295 cm⁻¹ that overlaps with CuO, indicating the formation of a $Cu(OH)_2$ phase during the reaction [34-37] which is

expected under reaction conditions involving direct contact of Cu -GDE with the aqueovasitie online electrolyte, reactants and products. Cu(OH) $_2$ is known to adsorb and react with CO₂ even under ambient conditions, though very slowly [38,39]. Hence, it can be anticipated that the hydroxide phase participates in the eCO₂R reaction [13,40]. The occurrence of the hydroxide phase rationalises the LCA of *in situ* XANES that points to the presence of octahedrally coordinated Cu(II) complexes with either water or hydroxides being one of the ligands (Table 1). Therefore, the Cu(II) complexes could be the Cu(OH)₂ phase as identified by Raman, demonstrating the critical role of complementarity of the two techniques to draw crucial insights into the complex copper speciation during the reaction. The $Cu₂O$, CuO and $Cu(OH)$ ₂ phases persist during the reaction as is evident from the Raman spectrum collected at 60 min. We note that the presence of these three phases is not obvious from the LCA of *in situ* XANES (Fig. 4B and Table 1), indicating that these species are very amorphous in nature. Thus, the Raman data is critical in identifying real copper oxide and hydroxide phases that occur during the reaction and in deriving catalyst structure activity relationships. A band at 1920 cm-1 appears at 60 min and can be attributed to CO adsorbed on metallic Cu [34,35], in line with XANES that shows a well established metallic Cu phase at 60 min. The vibrational frequency of the adsorbed CO indicates a bridge bound geometry which is typically observed for large metal particles, consistent with previous studies that conclude agglomeration of Cu particles during the $eCO₂R$ reaction [1,15,20,41,42]. expected tanter reaction and products to work to calc-DE- with the applicial section of the case of the section of t

3.5 Quasi *in situ* XPS:

XPS is a surface sensitive technique and therefore the evolution of surface copper composition during the $eCO₂R$ reaction complements the information on bulk composition obtained by XANES and Raman spectroscopy [10,20,21]. Quantitative data on the copper speciation during the reaction are obtained by deconvolution of the Cu $2P_{3/2}$ peaks of the XPS spectra using the parameters described by Beisinger [43]. The corresponding spectra are depicted in Fig. 6 and the quantitative data are shown in Table 2. Fitting parameters are described in SI (Table S1) and detailed fitting is shown in Fig. S3.

Fig. 6. High resolution quasi *in situ* XPS spectra, including peak fitting, of Cu 2p of Cu-GDE during the reaction: the Cu-GDE at time 0 (A), 20 (B), 30 (C) and 60 (D) min. Refer to experimental sections (2.3 and 2.7) for details. The sum of the components of each oxidation state is presented for clarity.

The XPS spectrum of the fresh Cu-GDE at time zero shows two prominent Cu $2p_{3/2}$ peaks between 930 and 945 eV [10,20,43]. The peaks centered at 942 eV are ascribed to the shakeup satellites of the Cu(II), as they are not present for Cu(I) or metallic $Cu⁰$, corroborating the XRD (Fig. S1), XANES (Fig. 4B) and Raman data (Fig. 5). However, a peak at 932.2 eV indicates the presence of a fraction of either $Cu(I)$ or $Cu⁰$ (shown with green peak fitting). The binding energies of Cu(I) and Cu⁰ are very similar ($\Delta_{BE} \approx 0.4$ eV) and hence it is difficult to distinguish between these two species by XPS [10,20,21,43]. Based on XRD and *in situ* XANES data that show the presence of CuO and $Cu₂O$, and the absence of metallic Cu, the XPS peak between at 932.2 eV can be tentatively assigned to $Cu(I)$ rather than $Cu⁰$. However, the sensitivity of the three techniques is indeed different and hence the presence of a fraction of metallic Cu on the Cu-GDE surface cannot be ruled out [21,43].

Table 2. Copper composition during the $eCO₂R$ reaction is derived by deconvolution of Cu 2P3/2 peak fitting of quasi *in situ* XPS spectra, reported in Fig. 6.

60 \approx 100 0

The quantitative data (Table 2) suggest that CuO dominates the surface composition of the fresh Cu-GDE which is in good agreement with the bulk composition as is evident from the *in situ* XANES (Fig. 4B and Table 1) and Raman data (Fig. 5). The XPS and quantitative data derived from Cu $2p_{3/2}$ peak fitting show that the surface composition evolves during the reaction between 0 and 60 min (Fig. 6 and Table 2). The intensities of Cu $2p_{3/2}$ peaks change during the reaction; the peaks centred at 933 and 942 eV decrease while the one at 932.2 eV increases (Fig. 6). At 20 min of the $eCO₂R$ reaction, 80% copper is in Cu(II) oxidation state and the remaining 20% is in either Cu(I) or Cu⁰, in excellent agreement with LCA (Table 1). Even at 30 min, 70% copper is still in Cu(II) and the remaining 30% is in less than Cu(II) oxidation state. The latter could be due to the occurrence of both $Cu(I)$ and $Cu⁰$ as evident from the LCA of *in situ* XANES data (Table 1). We note that the surface composition appears to evolve differently from the bulk at 30 min as evident from the quantitative data derived from XPS and XANES (Tables 2 and 1). Accordingly, the surface composition is dominated by Cu(II) while it is a minority in the bulk. This observation gains further strength at 60 min of the reaction as evident from the disappearance of the Cu(II) peaks (Fig. 6) while a sole peak attributable to either Cu(I) or Cu⁰ occurs (Fig. 6 and Table 2). The disappearance of the Cu(II) peak infers complete reduction of surface CuO on the Cu-GDE. In contrast, the LCA of *in situ* XANES data points to the presence of 23% Cu(II) species in the bulk composition of the Cu-GDE (Table 1), and Raman data show the occurrence of Cu(II) O, $Cu₂O$ and $Cu(OH)₂$ phases (Fig. 5) even at 60 min of the reaction, confirming that the bulk composition is indeed different from that of the surface. These observations further demonstrate that the powerful combination of complementary techniques is essential to unravel structure activity relationships of complex catalytic systems such as the three phase (i.e., solid-gas-liquid) $eCO₂R$ reaction over Cu-GDE. Spectra collected during the

interferior) Cuto and or Cu¹ Cuto $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$

The quantitative dust (Table 2) suggest that Cuto burning the number of the Cuto of the Cuto and Cuto

4 Structure-activity relationships

Our combination of complementary techniques has allowed us to assess the evolution of surface and bulk composition of Cu-GDE during the $eCO₂R$ reaction. To summarise, it is evident that CuO and Cu₂O occur on the fresh Cu-GDE with no detectable metallic Cu. At 20 min of eCO₂R, the LCA of XANES indicates the occurrence of ≈82% of CuO and ≈18% of $Cu₂O$ (Table 1) which is consistent with XPS that shows the presence of 80% CuO and 20% copper in less than (II) oxidation state (Table 2), potentially in the form of Cu(I). Raman data corroborates the XANES and XPS and confirms the presence of CuO and Cu₂O phases on the fresh Cu-GDE (Fig. 5), which is also in agreement with XRD (Fig. S1). These two copper oxide species persist and a new $Cu(OH)_2$ species appears during the first 20 min of the $eCO₂R$ reaction as evident from Raman data presented in Fig. 5. The results are in line with a study that report the occurrence of \approx 95% Cu(OH)₂ and the remaining \approx 5% as Cu₂O in the fresh Cu(OH)₂-GDE [13]. The absolute amounts and speciation reported in [13] differ from our results (Table 1), which can be attributed to the initial composition of the Cu-GDE. The ethylene production within the first 20 min of the $eCO₂R$ reaction (Figures 2 and 3) is therefore unambiguously attributed to copper oxides and $Cu(OH)$ ₂ species.

The conversion of copper oxides appears at 30 min of the $eCO₂R$ reaction as detected by XANES (Fig. 4). The LCA suggests that the majority of CuO is now transformed into $Cu₂O$ and metallic Cu; a phase composition of 12, 44 and 44% of CuO, $Cu₂O$ and metallic Cu, respectively (Table 1). This is in agreement with reference [13] that reports the conversion of $Cu(OH)₂$ into metallic Cu (35%) at 30 min of the reaction. The bulk composition differs from the surface at 30 min of the reaction as evident by XPS that shows the presence of 70% CuO and 30% copper in less than (II) oxidation state (Table 2). The latter could be a combination of both Cu(I) and metallic Cu as observed by Raman (shows the presence of Cu₂O, Fig. 5) and XANES (indicates the occurrence of metallic Cu, Fig. 4, Fig. S2), respectively. However, it is evident from spectroscopic data that the Cu-GDE is dominated by CuO, $Cu₂O$ and $Cu(OH)₂$ at 30 min of the eCO₂R reaction and hence the ethylene production (Figures 2 and 3) can still be mainly attributed to these oxides and hydroxide species (Figures 4, 5 and 6 and Tables 1 and 2), consistent with previous studies[13]. Although the role of metallic Cu in ethylene formation is not clear at this stage, a synergy between the copper oxides, hydroxide and metallic Cu may be necessary to produce ethylene at 30 min of the reaction. 4 Stretter-serietive relationships

our combinations of complementary rechtaignes has allowed us to assess the ovelution of

our combination of CO-GDE during the eCO/R reaction. To summarise, it is

cylical that CO and CO

> At 60 min of the reaction, the phase transition is complete, and metallic Cu is established as evident from XANES (Fig. 4B), in excellent agreement with the work of Hung et al. [13].

The LCA shows that the bulk composition consists mainly of metallic $Cu_{D}(770/)^{Vieg}_{A}$ remarkably also includes 23% copper in the (II) oxidation state (Fig. S2 and Table 1). Even more interestingly, the surface composition does not contain any detectable copper in the (II) oxidation state as evident from XPS, corroborating the data observed at 30 min of the reaction indicating that the surface composition evolves differently from that of the bulk. Raman data show the presence of CuO, Cu₂O, Cu(OH)₂ phases along with CO adsorbed on metallic Cu (Fig. 5), consistent with XANES data (Fig. 4 and Fig. S2 and Table 1) and confirms that the bulk composition is indeed different from the surface composition as observed by XPS (Fig. 6 and Table 2). Careful assessment of the data reveal that the dynamic evolution of copper species during 60 min $eCO₂R$ involve inter conversion of Cu(II) into metallic Cu and vice versa. The inter conversion may take place via intermediate species such as Cu(I) detected at 30 min of the reaction. The presence of copper oxide species along with a dominant metallic Cu at 60 min is consistent with the study that reported the presence of $Cu(OH)$ ₂ and $Cu₂O$ species along with metallic Cu in Cu-KOH and Cu-black electrodes [15]. The former contains 37.7% of Cu(OH)₂ and 25% of Cu₂O, while these species are in small amounts in Cu-black electrode. These copper hydroxide and oxide species are, however, shown to be present near the surface region of the electrodes, which is different from our findings that show them to be in the bulk composition. The differences between the two Cu-KOH and Cu-black electrodes [15] and the present study can be attributed to the nature of the initial Cu-GDE compositions, which could also explain why no detectable copper oxide species are found in other studies that employ metallic Cu films [12-14]. Therefore, direct comparison between the studies may not be difficult and it is clear that more *in situ* and *operando* spectroscopic studies are needed under commercially relevant eCO₂R conditions. The LCA above that the bulk composites consists mainly of meallie CA₁-7784Children control in the bulk composition constrained composite and the state of the state of

Interestingly, there is no correlation (Fig. 7) between the surface composition derived from XPS (Fig. 6 and Table 2) and the FE of ethylene (Figures 2 and 3), as the steady state production of ethylene is evident even when Cu-GDE surface contains either mainly copper oxides at 20 min of the reaction or only metallic Cu at 60 min (Fig. 7); suggesting that the bulk composition may be crucial for the C2+ production rather than surface composition alone [13,15]. The argument gains strength with the data that show the presence of copper oxide and hydroxide phases with Cu(II) oxidation state as a common denominator, especially in the bulk composition, at 20, 30 and 60 min, that indeed correlate very well with the ethylene production (Fig. 7). This finding is in line with an earlier *operando* XAS study that suggests a synergistic effect between the $Cu(OH)$ ₂ and $Cu₂O$ species, along with the dominating metallic Cu species in Cu-KOH and Cu-black electrodes, improves the ethylene Conline formation [15].

Fig. 7. A correlation between the copper composition (Tables 1 and 2) and FE of ethylene (Fig. 3) as a function of reaction time. The open and filled symbols reflect the bulk composition derived from *in situ* XANES and surface composition deduced from quasi *in situ* XPS, respectively. Copper composition: Cu(II) (\blacksquare ; \Box), Cu(I) (\blacktriangle ; Δ) and Cu⁰ (\bullet ; \odot).

The Cu(II) species in the bulk of Cu-GDE that contains metallic Cu as a major constituent in the present study also aligns well with theoretical studies that predict a key role of subsurface copper oxides in the C2+ production [32,33].

The role of metallic Cu in ethylene production is, however, not clear in the present study due to the coexistence of different copper oxides, hydroxide and metallic Cu phases during the reaction. However, we can assume that the metallic Cu could either facilitate $CO₂$ activation or adsorb activated $CO₂$ (perhaps as $CO[*]$ intermediate as evident from Raman). The former could promote the reaction while, the latter could block/poison the Cu surface sites to some extent (under the reaction conditions) and hence could hinder, to some degree, the C2+ formation. We note that the formation of metallic Cu and H_2 evolution rate go hand in hand; $H₂$ evolution kicks in at and above 30 min by when metallic Cu is established (see Figures 3, 4, 5, 6 and Tables 1 and 2) which coincide with a drop in CO and ethylene formation rates (see Fig. 3). Therefore, it appears that the metallic Cu promotes H_2 evolution rather than the ethylene formation under these experimental conditions. Interestingly, Raman shows CO adsorbed on the metallic Cu only at 60 min of the reaction by which time metallic Cu is well established (Figures 4, 5 and 6 and Tables 1 and 2); which in turn may suggest that the $CO₂$ dominating metallic Cu apectes in Ci-KOH and Cu-black electrocks, improves the shall
Reference in the control of the control of the control of the state of the control of the control of the control of the control of the c conversion mechanism in the presence of only copper oxides and hydroxide at 20 min 0.04 the v006023 reaction could be potentially different from the mechanism in the presence of majority of metallic Cu at 60 min [44]. The conversion mechanism requires further studies by suitable vibrational spectroscopic techniques and computational tools.

5. Summary and Conclusions

A novel *operando* X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) gas diffusion electrode (GDE) flow cell has been designed and fabricated. The applicability and versatility of the cell was demonstrated by conducting electrochemical CO_2 reduction (eCO_2R) over copper (Cu) GDE. XAS spectra at the Cu K-edge were measured in a transmission mode during the $eCO₂R$ reaction for 60 min. The dynamic behaviour of copper during the reaction was captured by Xray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra. Within the first 20 min of the reaction, copper is in oxidised state as evident from linear combination analyses (LCA) of XANES and quasi *in situ* Raman spectroscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The majority of copper oxides reduced to metallic Cu (77%) after 60 min of the reaction; remarkably, however, 23% of copper is still in Cu(II) oxidation state, which is consistent with quasi *in situ* Raman that show the presence of copper oxides and $Cu(OH)$ ₂ along with CO adsorbed metallic Cu. In a marked contrast, quasi *in situ* XPS shows 100% copper in < (II) oxidation state at 60 min implying that the surface composition is different from the bulk composition. The complementary techniques enabled us to draw the following main conclusions: convention mechanism in the presence of calp copyrigon contents and by change of the presence of majority of
receiver and the presence of majority of
media: Cu at 60 min [44]. The convention mechanism in the presence of m

- 1. Copper oxides (including CuO, Cu₂O) and hydroxide Cu(OH)₂ are responsible for the ethylene production as evident from the first 20 min of the reaction.
- 2. A synergy between the metallic Cu and copper oxides and hydroxide that are present within the bulk composition of the catalyst cannot be ruled out in the promotion of ethylene production as manifested at 30 and 60 min of the reaction.
- 3. Metallic Cu appears to promote hydrogen evolution as evident from a correlation between the complementary quantitative spectroscopic and $eCO₂R$ data.

Finally, our results demonstrate that a careful selection of complementary techniques to probe the catalyst composition from bulk to the surface is essential to deal with complex systems like Cu-GDE involving three phase reaction conditions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The UK Catalysis Hub is kindly thanked for resources and support provided via our membership of the UK Catalysis Hub Consortium and funded by EPSRC grant nos. EP/R026939/1, EP/R026815/1, EP/R026645/1, EP/R027129/1. The authors also thank the support from UKRI National Interdisciplinary Circular Chemical Economy Centre (EP/V011863/1). We thank the Diamond Light Source at the STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory for granting access to B18 beamline facilities through the Catalysis hub BAG. We are also grateful to the HarwellXPS centre for XPS and Raman studies. We thank James Finn for providing a basic CAD image of the *operando* XAS GDE flow cell shown in Figure 1. Conflicted of interests

There are conflicted of interest to declare.

The UK Catalysis Hub is kindly thanked for resources and support provided via our

The UK Catalysis Hub is kindly thanked for resources and support pr

Author contributions

All authors have contributed to the work reported in this paper and to the preparation of the manuscript.

6. References

1. S. Nitopi, E. Bertheussen, S.B. Scott et al., Progress and perspectives of electrochemical CO2 reduction on copper in aqueous electrolyte. Chem Rev. 2019,119,7610-7672

2. Tu N. Nguyen, C.T. Dinh, Gas diffusion electrode design for electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2020, 49, 7488-7504

3. B. Endrodi, E. Kecsenovity, A. Samu, T. Halmagyi, S. Rojas-Carbonell, L. Wang, Y. Yan, C. Janaky, Energy Environ. Sci., 2020, 13, 4098-4105

4. C.-T. Dinh, T. Burdyny, G. Kibria, A. Seifitokaldani, C. M. Gabardo, F. P. G. Arquer, A. Kiani, J. P. Edwards, P. Luna, O. S. Bushuyev, C. Zou, R. Quintero-Bermudez, Y. Pang, D. Sinton and E. H. Sargent, CO2 Electroreduction to Ethylene via Hydroxide-Mediated Catalysis at an Abrupt Reaction Interface, Science, 2018, 360, 783-787.

5. D. Hursan, C. Janaky, Chem. Commun. 2023, 59, 1395-1414.

- 6. Y. Hori, A. Murata, R. Takahashi, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 1989, 85, 2309-2326.
- 7. T. Burdyny, W.A. Smith, Energy Environ. Sci. 2019, 12, 1442-1453.
- 8. T. Haas, R. Krause, R. Weber, M. Demler and G. Schmid, Nat. Catal., 2018, 1, 32-39
- 9. A. J. Martín, G. O. Larrazabal, J. Perez-Ramírez, Green Chem. 2015, 17, 5114-5130.

10. H. Xiang, S. Rasul, B. Hou, J. Portoles, P. Cumpson, E. H. Yu, ACS Appl. Manufacticle Online Interfaces 2020, 12, 601-608.

11. D. Higgins, C. Hahn, C. Xiang, T. F. Jaramillo and A. Z. Weber, ACS Energy Lett., 2019, 4, 317-324

12. N. J. Firet, T. Burdyny, N. T. Nesbitt, S. Chandrashekar, A. Longo and W. A. Smith, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2020, 10, 5870-5885.

13. S.-F. Hung, F.-Y. Wu, Y.-H. Lu, T.-J. Lee, H.-J. Tsai, P.-H. Chen, Z.-Y. Lin, G.-L. Chen, W.-Y. Huang and W.-J. Zeng, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2022, 12, 2739-2743.

14. S. H. Lee, J. C. Lin, M. Farmand, A. T. Landers, J. T. Feaster, J. E. A. Acosta, J. W. Beeman, Y. Ye, J. Yano, A. Mehta, R. C. Davis, T. F. Jaramillo, C. Hahn, W. S. Drisdell, J. Am. Chem. Sco. 2021, 143, 588-592.

15. W. H. Lee, C. Lim, S. Y. Lee, K. H. Chae, C. H. Choi, U. Lee, B. K. Min, Y. J. Hwang and H.-S. Oh, Nano Energy, 2021, 84, 105859.

16. J. M. Thomas, C. R. A. Catlow and G. Sankar, Chem. Commun., 2002, 2921-2925.

17. M. A. Newton and W. van Beek, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 4845-4863.

18. S. K. Matam, M. H. Aguirre, A. Weidenkaff et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 9439- 9443.

19. B. Venezia, E. cao, S. K. Matam, C. Waldron, G. Cibin, E. K. Gibson, S. Golunski, P. Wells, I. Silverwood, C. R. A. Catlow, G. Shankar, A. Gavriilidis, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2020, 10, 7842-7856.

20. P.K. Sharma, S. Rasul, D. Li, E.H. Yu, Materials Report: Energy 2023, 3, 100196.

21. M.A. Isaacs, J.D. Jones, P.R. Davies, S. Guan, R. Lee, D.J. Morgan, R. Palgrave, Mater. Chem. Front., 2021, 5, 7931-7963.

22. S. Jiang, K. Klingan, C. Pasquini, H. Dau, J. Chem. Phys. 2019, 150, 041718.

23. A. J. Dent, G. Cibin, S. Ramos, S. A. Parry, D. Gianolio, A. D. Smith, S. M. Scott, L. Varandas, S. Patel, M. R. Pearson, L. Hudson, N. A. Krumpa, A. S. Marsch and P. E. Robbins, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser., 2013, 430, 012023 10. H. Xiang, S. Raval, B. Horolds, P. Cumpson, E. H. Yu, ACS Appl., Millettics: 200, 12, 601-261.

11. D. Higgns, C. Haha, C. Xiang, T. F. Jarmallo and A. Z. Weber, ACS Energy Lett., 2019,

11. D. Higgns, C. Haha, C. Xia

24. B. Ravel and M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2005, 12, 537-541.

25. N. Fairley, V. Fernandez, M. Richard-Plouet, C. Guillot-Deudon, J. Walton, E. Smith, D. Flahaut, M. Greiner, M. Biesinger, S. Tougaard, D. Morgan, J. Baltrusaitis, Appl. Surf. Sci. Adv., 2021, 5, 100112.

26. S. Guan, P.R. Davies, E.K. Gibson, D. Lennon, G.E. Rossi, J.M. Winfield, J. Callison, P.P. Wells, D.J. Willock, Faraday Discussions, 2018, 208, 67-85.

27. A.A. Guda, S.A. Guda, A. Martini, A.N. Kravtsova, A. Algasov, A. Bugaev, S.P. Kubrinticle Online L.V. Guda, P. Sot, J.A. van Bokhoven, C. Coperet, A.V. Soldatov, npj Comput. Mater. 2021, 7, 203. **Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscript** Open Access Article. Published on 02 December 2024. Downloaded on 1/3/2025 10:56:19 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) [View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cy00602j) DOI: 10.1039/D4CY00602J

28. R. Q. Zhang, J. S. McEwen, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9, 3035-3042.

29. Gombac, V. et al. J. Phys. Chem. A 2010, 114, 3916-3925.

30. C. Lamberti, S. Bordiga, F. Bonino, C. Prestipino, G. Berlier, L. Capello, F. D'Acapito,

F.X.L. Xamena, A. Zecchina, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2003, 5, 4502-4509.

31. C. Lamberti, C. Prestipino, F. Bonino, L. Capello, S. Bordiga, G. Spoto, A. Zecchina, S.

D. Moreno, B. Cremaschi, M. Garilli, A. Marsella, D. Carmello, S. Vidotto, G. Leofanti, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2341-2344.

32. [C. Liu](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=Chang++Liu), [M. P. Louren](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=Maicon+P.++Louren%C3%A7o)co, [S. Hedstrom,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=Svante++Hedstr%C3%B6m) [F. Cavalca,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=Filippo++Cavalca) [O. Diaz-Morales,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=Oscar++Diaz-Morales) [H. A. Duarte,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=H%C3%A9lio+A.++Duarte) [A.](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=Anders++Nilsson) [Nilsson,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=Anders++Nilsson) [L. G. M. Pettersson,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1=Lars+G.+M.++Pettersson) J. Phys. Chem. C 2017, 121, 45, 25010-25017.

33. M. Favaro, H. Xiao, T. Cheng, W. A. Goddard, J. Yano, E. J. Crumlin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2017, 114, 6706-6711.

34. S. Jiang, K. Klingan, C. Pasquini, H. Dau, J. Chem. Phys. 2019, 150, 041718.

35. Y. Deng, A.D. Handoko, Y. Du, S. Xi, B.S. Yeo, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 2473-2481.

36. J. C. Hamilton, J. C. Farmer, R. J. Anderson, J. Electrochem. Soc. 1986, 133, 739-745.

37. H. Y. H. Chan, C. G. Takoudis, M. J. Weaver, J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 357-365.

38. S. D. Solomon, S. A. Rukowsky, M. L. Mahon, E. M. Halpern, J. Chem. Educ. 2011, 88, 1694-1697.

39. S. K. Haldor, Platinum Nickel Chromium deposits, Geology, Exploration and Reserve Base, 2017, p. 1-35.

40. G. Iijima, T. Inomata, H. Yamaguchi, M. Ito, H. Masuda, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 6305-6319.

41. R. M. Aran-Ais, R. Rizo, P. Grosse, G. Algara-Siller, K. Dembele, M. Plodinec, T. Lunkenbein, S. W. Chee, B. R. Cuenya, Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 3489.

42. P. Grosse, D. Gao, F. Scholten, I. Sinev, H. Mistry, B. R. Cuenya, Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 6300-6305.

43. M. C. Biesinger, Surf Interface Anal, 2017, 49, 1325-1334.

44. M. D. Higham, M. G. Quesne, C. R. A. Catlow, Dalton. Trans., 2020, 49, 8478-8497.

Data availability Statement

Data supporting the article are included in the main text and ESI.