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#### Abstract

The Knight group has for some time been utilising the acid-catalysed hydroamination to synthesise pyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 4 0}$. This method was also utilised to produce poly-cyclic systems, of either a spiro$\mathbf{2 2 0}$ or a fused-nature 12, through cascade reactions using a sulfonamide as a terminator. This was examined further to establish some scope and limitation. In particular, we have shown that the reaction is not limited to the formation of tertiary carbenium ions but could be implemented for cyclisations via secondary carbenium ions $\mathbf{1 5 5}$. The hydroamination was also shown to be most successful at forming highly hindered, bridged compounds 194 , which would be difficult to synthesise through other means.

The hydroamination reaction was then investigated in terms of its potential as a variant of the classical Pictet-Spengler reaction towards dihydroisoindoles 363 and tetrahydroisoquinolines 361. This was briefly explored in terms of the type of remote functional groups that would be compatible with this method. The formation of the isoindoles and isoquinolines was successful and further investigations of compatible functional groups need to be performed.

The synthesis of the trisubstituted piperidine 447 was attempted using the acid-catalysed hydroamination method, believing that this would be a simple extension of the pyrrolidine synthesis. Unfortunately, this was not successful; instead the corresponding pyrrolidine 449 was isolated. This led us to further investigate the sensitivity of sterically crowded piperidines towards acid. Successful synthesis of trisubstituted piperidine 501 was achieved by changing the $N$-protecting group.


## Contents

Chapter 1 - Introduction to Acid-Catalysed Hydroaminations ..... 1
1.1 Hydroamination Development ..... 2
1.2 Brønsted Acid-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes and Alkynes ..... 3
1.2.1. Intermolecular Brønsted Acid-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes ..... 3
1.2.2. Intramolecular Brønsted Acid-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes ..... 5
1.3. Development of Acid-Catalysed Hydroamination in the Knight Group ..... 7
1.4. Conclusion ..... 10
Chapter 2 - Acid-Catalysed Hydroaminations - Model Studies ..... 11
2.1. Introduction ..... 12
2.1.1. Intermolecular Methods for Pyrrolidine Formation ..... 12
2.1.2. Intramolecular Methods to Afford Pyrrolidines ..... 17
2.1.3. Reaction of Amino Alkenes ..... 21
2.2. Background to Current Work ..... 25
2.3. Results and Discussion ..... 26
2.3.1. Simple Pyrrolidines ..... 26
2.3.2. Terminal Double Bonds - Secondary vs. Primary Carbenium Ions ..... 30
2.3.3. Investigations into Ring Opening and Re-closure ..... 34
2.3.3.1. Investigations of the Mechanism ..... 39
2.3.4. Transannular Cyclisations ..... 40
2.3.5. Cascade \& Fused Systems ..... 42
2.3.6. Indene ..... 44
2.3.7. O-N Compounds ..... 47
2.3.7.1. Isoxazolidine $v s$. Morpholine ..... 47
2.3.7.2. Transannular Cyclisations ..... 53
2.3.7.3. Spiro-Isoxazolidines ..... 54
2.4. Conclusion ..... 57
Chapter 3 - A Hydroamination Variant of the Pictet-Spengler Reaction ..... 58
3.1. Introduction ..... 59
3.1.1 Development of the Pictet-Spengler Reaction ..... 59
3.1.2. Tetrahydroisoquinolines ..... 59
3.1.3. Pictet-Spengler Reaction of Indoles ..... 64
3.1.4. Conclusion ..... 67
3.2. Hypothesis ..... 69
3.3. Results and Discussion ..... 70
3.3.1. Proposed Synthetic Route ..... 70
3.3.2. Suzuki Reaction ..... 71
3.3.3. Cyclisations ..... 74
3.3.3.1. Dihydroisoindoles ..... 74
3.3.3.2. Tetrahydroisoquinolines ..... 79
3.4. Remote Functional Groups ..... 83
3.4.1. Alternatives to Suzuki ..... 84
3.5. Conclusion ..... 90
Chapter 4 - Ring-Contraction of Piperidines ..... 93
4.1. Introduction ..... 94
4.2. Results and Discussion ..... 99
4.2.1. Ring-Contraction of Piperidines ..... 99
4.2.2. Synthesis towards Piperidines ..... 110
4.3. Conclusion ..... 115
Chapter 6 - Experimental ..... 117
6.1. General Details ..... 118
6.2. General Procedures ..... 119
6.3. Experimental Data ..... 120
References ..... 206
Appendix ..... 220

## Abbreviations

Abbreviations in this text are:

| Ac | Acetyl |
| :---: | :---: |
| APCI | Atmospheric Pressure Chemical Ionisation |
| Aq. | Aqueous |
| Ar | Aromatic |
| Boc | Butoxycarbonyl |
| 9-BBN | 9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane |
| Conc. | Concentrated |
| Cbz | Benxyl carbamate |
| DBU | 1,8-Diazobicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene |
| DCM | Dichloromethane |
| d.e. | Diastereomeric excess |
| DIAD | Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate |
| DMAP | Dimethylaminopyridine |
| DMF | $N, N$-Dimethylformamide |
| dtbpf | Di-tert-butyl phosphine ferrocene |
| e.e. | Enantiomeric excess |
| Eq. | Equivalents |
| EI | Electro Ionisation |
| ES | Electro Spray |
| hr | Hour |
| hrs | Hours |
| HRMS | High Resolution Mass Spectrometry |
| IR | Infra red |
| LDA | Lithium diisopropylamine |
| LRMS | Low Resolution Mass Spectrometry |
| m.p. | Melting point |
| M | Molar (moles $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) |
| mins | Minutes |
| MsCl | Mesyl chloride/ methanesulfonyl chloride |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| Ns / nosyl | para-Nitrobenzenesulfonyl |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | iso-Propyl |


| Ppm | Parts per million |
| :--- | :--- |
| Py | Pyridine |
| r.t. | Room temperature |
| Sat. | Saturated |
| TBAF | tetra- $n$-Butylammonium fluoride |
| TBS | tert-Butylsilyl |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | Thin Layer Chromatography |
| TfOH | Trifluoromethane sulfonic acid |
| PCy | Tricyclohexylphosphine |
| TsNHBoc | $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-p-toluenesulfonamide |
| Ts / tosyl | $p$-Toluenesulfonyl |
| TsCl | $p$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride |

Chapter 1

## Chapter 1

# Introduction to Acid-Catalysed Hydroamination 

"Hydroamination is a highly atom-economical process in which an amine N-H functionality is added to an unsaturated carbon-carbon linkage."

### 1.1. Hydroamination Development

Nitrogen-containing saturated heterocyclic systems are important core structures in organic chemistry because of their presence in many natural products; ${ }^{2}$ making the development of simple procedures for the formation of heterocycles, such as pyrrolidines and piperidines, highly desirable. ${ }^{3}$ Other nitrogen containing compounds including amines, enamines and imines, are valuable and commercially important bulk chemicals, speciality chemicals and pharmaceuticals. ${ }^{4}$ Amongst various synthetic routes, hydroamination, the direct formation of a new carbon-nitrogen bond by addition of an amine to an unsaturated carbon-carbon bond, is of particular significance. ${ }^{5}$ The reaction offers a potentially atom-efficient pathway starting from readily accessible alkenes and alkynes. ${ }^{6,7,8,9,10}$

The hydroamination of alkenes is more difficult compared to that of alkynes because of the lower reactivity and electron density of carbon-carbon double bonds. ${ }^{11}$ A particular challenge is the reversal of stereochemistry to obtain the anti-Markovnikov product. ${ }^{12}$ During recent years, hydroamination became a widely explored operation in the synthesis of nitrogen heterocycles and complex nitrogenous molecules in general. The Markovnikov addition of protected amines to alkynes is also now an established synthetic strategy. In early studies, hydroaminations were mostly triggered by alkali and lanthanide metals, followed by a shift in focus to the use of zirconium, titanium ${ }^{5,13}$ and late transition metal catalysts. ${ }^{14}$ Most of these are employed as homogenous catalysts, though new strategies for the immobilisation of these have gained and will undoubtedly continue to gain increased importance. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$

Acid-catalysed hydroaminations have been developed from related, well studied methods of carbon-oxygen bond formation using acid catalysts. Alkenes undergo hydration in moderately concentrated aqueous sulfuric acid to generate saturated alcohols. ${ }^{15}$

### 1.2. Bronsted Acid-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes and Alkynes

Except for solid acids, Brønsted acids had not been used extensively as catalysts in hydroamination processes involving alkenes and alkynes because of the more basic character of the nitrogen compared with the $\pi$-system of unsaturated compounds. This resulted in the preferential formation of ammonium salts instead of the carbenium ions, which result from proton addition to carbon-carbon double or triple bonds. In this way, the nucleophilic character of the nitrogen is removed and thus attack of the nucleophile on the $\pi$-system cannot occur. Despite these general considerations, it was found that catalytic amounts of Brønsted acids favoured the inter-and intramolecular hydroamination of alkenes and alkynes with homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts. ${ }^{16,17,18}$ A reaction pathway via intermediate protonation of styrenes was proposed by Hii for copper-catalysed hydroaminations. ${ }^{19}$ In nearly all hydroamination reactions, the basicity of the amine or amine derivative plays a key role: more basic amines lead to lower rates of reaction. ${ }^{20}$

### 1.2.1. Intermolecular Bronsted Acid-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes

Hydroamination/hydroarylation of olefins 1 with anilines 2 was performed using $\left.\mathrm{PhNH}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right)_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Scheme 1.1). ${ }^{21}$


Scheme 1.1. a) $\mathrm{PhNH}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ b) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$
The addition reactions tolerate substitution on the nitrogen as well as in the aromatic ring of the aniline 2. Electron-withdrawing substituents on the aniline ring increase the overall yield of the reaction and favour the formation of the hydroamination product. Stabilized carbocations 3 were postulated to act as a reaction intermediate, and either the nitrogen or the aromatic ring could act as the nucleophile to give, after proton loss, the hydroamination or hydroarylation products $\mathbf{4}$ or 5, respectively. ${ }^{21}$ Dupont found that intermolecular hydroamination or hydroarylation reactions of norbornene and cyclohexadiene performed with catalytic amounts of Brønsted acids in ionic liquids provided higher selectivity and yields than those obtained in classical organic solvents. ${ }^{22}$

He and co-workers reported the hydroamination of simple olefins 6 with tosylamide 7 under relatively mild conditions mediated by trifluoromethanesulfonic acid at low concentrations to give compounds 8 (Scheme 1.2 and Table 1.1). Under the same reaction conditions, $\mathrm{CbzNH}_{2}$ could be added to 1,3 -dienes to afford allylamines in good yields. ${ }^{23}$ Hartwig reported a very similar result, at almost the same time. ${ }^{24}$


Scheme 1.2. a) 5\% TfOH, PhMe
Table 1.1. Examples of hydroamination of simple olefins with $5 \%$ trifluomethanesulfonic acid

| Olefin 6 | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | Time /hrs | Yield $/ \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ph $_{\text {Ph }}$ | 85 | 15 | 70 |
|  | 85 | 15 | 85 |
|  | 60 | 15 | 88 |

Marcseková and Doye found that substituted anilines 2 reacted with styrenes 1 in the presence of catalytic amounts of aqueous hydrogen iodide to give a mixture of the corresponding hydroamination and hydroarylation products, 4 and 5, respectively (Scheme 1.3 and Table 1.2). ${ }^{25}$


Scheme 1.3. a) $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{PhMe}$

Table 1.2. Examples of hydroamination/hydroarylation of simple olefins with hydrogen iodide

| Olefin 1 | Anilines 2 | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time / hrs | Ratio of 4:5 | Yield / \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 135 | 4 | 3:2 | 98 |
|  |  | 135 | 24 | 2:3 | 86 |
|  |  | 135 | 24 | $5: 4$ | 80 |
|  |  | 135 | 24 | 7:3 | 82 |

The hydroamination reaction is the predominant process; however, the hydroarylation reaction becomes more important in the case of aryl-substituted olefins. The electronic properties of the amine and the olefin play important roles in the selectivity of the reaction. The proposed mechanism for this reaction involved an initial addition of hydrogen iodide to the olefin followed by a nucleophilic substitution.

### 1.2.2. Intramolecular Bronsted Acid-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Alkenes

Intramolecular hydroamination is of great interest, because nitrogen-containing heterocycles are synthesized from amino olefins. The first example of a Brønsted acid-catalyzed intramolecular hydroamination was reported by Schlummer and Hartwig. ${ }^{2}$ Aminoalkenes 9 bearing an electron withdrawing group at the nitrogen atom led to pyrrolidines and piperidines 10 in excellent yields in the presence of a substoichiometric amount of triflic acid in hot toluene (Scheme 1.4). Lactams $(9, X=O)$ were also prepared under the same reaction conditions starting from amides.


Scheme 1.4. a) $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{TfOH}$, Toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
During studies on palladium-catalyzed hydroamination ${ }^{26}$ of tosylamides, ${ }^{27}$ they discovered from control experiments that this Brønsted acid catalyzed cyclisation generates five- and six-membered heterocycles in good yield. The initial experiments showed that tosyl-protected aminoalkene 9
cyclised in the presence of catalytic $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and triflic acid. However, this reaction, in contrast to reactions of free amines, occurred in the absence of palladium to give heterocycles $\mathbf{1 0}$ in good yield. In the absence of acid, no product was observed, even upon prolonged heating at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene. ${ }^{2}$

In the case of using sulfuric acid as catalyst, yields were generally lower. In a proposed mechanism, the alkenyl tosylamide is protonated first at the tosylamide group, the proton then transferred intramolecularly to the double bond, and finally, the resulting carbenium ion is trapped by the sulfonamide, which regenerates a protond rendering the process catalytic in acid. The regiochemistry of the process is determined mostly by the stability of the carbenium ion intermediate, thus, most of these transformations are overall unfavourable 5-endo-trig cyclisations, according to Baldwin's rules. ${ }^{28}$ Yin and Zhao applied the former methodology to the synthesis of indolines and quinolines using $N$-arylsulfonyl-2-allylanilines as starting materials, the process being carried out in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of triflic acid. ${ }^{29}$ Contemporaneously to the study of Schlummer and Hartwig, ${ }^{2}$ Haskins and Knight demonstrated that triflic acid was an excellent catalyst for inducing overall 5-endo-trig cyclisation of homoallylic sulfonamides to give pyrrolidines. ${ }^{30}$ They applied this methodology to the synthesis of polycyclic compounds through a cationic cascade terminated by a sulfonamide group. For instance, geranyl derivative 11 underwent rapid cyclisation at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $90 \%$ isolated yields of the trans-annulated pyrrolidine 12, as a 3:2 epimeric mixture at the amino ester stereogenic centre (Scheme 1.5). This assignment of structure was confirmed by a single crystal X-ray crystallographic determination of a separated sample of the major isomer of the glycine derivative 12a.


Scheme 1.5. a) 0.4 eq. $\mathrm{TfOH}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Intramolecular hydroamination reactions of non-activated alkenes with basic alkylamines using Brønsted acid catalysis were unknown until Ackermann et al. found that ammonium salts of weakly coordinating anions enabled efficient catalysis but at elevated temperatures. The reaction conditions allowed the use of substrates bearing a variety of valuable functional groups, so bis-homoallyl amines $\mathbf{1 3}$ were converted into pyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 4}$ in high yields (Scheme 1.6). ${ }^{31}$


Scheme 1.6. a) $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{R}_{3}$ NHX, 1,4-dioxane, $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{hrs}$
This protocol is not restricted to gem-disubstituted substrates and can be applied to primary alkylamines.

### 1.3. Development of Acid-Catalysed Hydroamination in the Knight

## Group

The development in acid-catalysed hydroaminations in the Knight group resulted directly from research into iodocyclisations. Sharland ${ }^{32}$ of the Knight group discovered that iodopyrrole-2-carboxylates 17 could readily be obtained by base-induced elimination of the elements of p-toluenesulfinic acid upon exposure of the initial iodocyclisation product 16 to two equivalents of 1,8-diazobicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) in DMF at ambient temperature (Scheme 1.7).


Scheme 1.7. a) 3 eq. $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}$ b) 2 eq. $\mathrm{DBU}, \mathrm{DMF}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \sim 2 \mathrm{hrs}$
However, in their initial investigations of this elimination reaction, they found that heating the iodo-dihydropyrrole 18 with one equivalent of DBU in DMF at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the formation of a mixture of the desired iodopyrrole 19 together with the deiodinated pyrrole 20 (Scheme 1.8).


Scheme 1.8. a) 1 eq. DBU, DMF, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \sim 2 \mathrm{hrs}$
It was reasoned that the dehalogenation was probably a result of protonation of the iodo-dihydropyrrole 18 at the nitrogen to give cation 21, followed by ring opening with loss of an iodonium ion and subsequent acid-catalysed cyclisation of the starting material 22 to give the
observed deiodopyrrole 20 (Scheme 1.9). Initially, it was thought that some kind of radical chemistry was involved in this deiodination. However, extensive experimentation showed this not to be the case. Independent proton sources were shown to induce the same reaction, so it was concluded that protonated DBU was acting as such a proton source.

Another possibility is that the mechanism could involve a [1,5]-hydride shift of the initial elimination product 23 to the isomeric structure 24 , where the iodine could be removed by a nucleophile to give deprotonated 20 (Scheme 1.9).


## Scheme 1.9.

These observations suggested that it might be possible to trigger 5-endo-dig cyclisations using a proton source.

Sharland then found that treatment of representative $\gamma$-alkynyl- $\beta$-hydroxy- $\alpha$-amino esters 27 with p-toluenesulfonic acid could be used to achieve the synthesis of highly substituted pyrroles. The precursors 27 were obtained from condensation of the corresponding ynals 25 with tin(II) enolates (Scheme 1.10). ${ }^{32}$


25


26
27

## Scheme 1.10.

These precursors 27 were treated with 0.5 equivalents of $p$-toluenesulfinic acid resulting in excellent yields of about 70-90\% of $N$-tosyl derivative of the pyrroles 28 (Scheme 1.11).


Scheme 1.11. a) 0.5 eq. TolSOH, toluene, reflux, 6 hrs
It was also shown that $p$-toluenesulfonic acid could be used with equal efficiency, which is a further support for an acid-catalysed mechanism (Scheme 1.9).

Amjad ${ }^{33}$, also of the Knight group, showed that in pyrrolidine syntheses from ( $E$ )-homoallylic sulfonamides 29 using iodocyclisations in the presence of a proton source, the thermodynamically more stable 2,5 -cis diastereoisomers 32 were formed, whereas exposure to excess iodine in the presence of potassium carbonate showed a distinct preference for the formation of the 2,5-trans isomers 31. These results were thought to be due to an initial cyclisation via a chair-like transition state conformation 30, which leads to the 2,5-trans isomers 31, and which can then be followed by proton-induced cyclo-reversion and re-closure and hence equilibrium towards, and eventually only, the more thermodynamically stable 2,5-cis isomers 32 (Scheme 1.12).


Scheme 1.12. a) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$

The stereochemical outcomes appear to follow largely the chair-like conformation 30, wherein the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-bonded group ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) adopts an equatorial position during the initial cyclisation, under basic conditions, hence leading to kinetic products, the 2,5-trans isomers 31 . Omission of the base leads to only the 2,5-cis isomers 32 . The pathways were proven by exposing a 2,5 -trans-pyrrolidine 31 to a mixture of hydrogen iodine and iodine, when conversion into the cis-isomer 32 occurred rapidly. Acid-catalysed cyclo-reversion and equilibration to the thermodynamically more stable cis-isomers 32 then follows (Scheme 1.13).


Scheme 1.13. a) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ b) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$c) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{HI}$
Developing this theme, which clearly shows that a tosylamide group remains sufficiently nucleophilic in the presence of a strong acid (HI), Haskins ${ }^{30}$ of the Knight group then later discovered that trifluoromethanesulfonic acid is an excellent catalyst for inducing such overall 5-endo cyclisations of homoallylic sulfonamides to give pyrrolidines in excellent yields (usually over $90 \%$ ). Motivated by the prospects for discovering a rapid approach to highly substituted proline-derivatives, attempts were made to cyclise more highly substituted examples.

### 1.4. Conclusion

From the results shown, in particular those reported by Hartwig and Haskins, the acid-catalysed hydroamination has a great potential to be a powerful method for the synthesis of nitrogen containing heterocycles. This potential is explored in the following chapters.

Chapter 2

## Chapter 2

## Acid-Catalysed Hydroaminations - Model Studies

### 2.1. Introduction

Pyrrolidines are important compounds, as their motif often appears in natural products, such as alkaloids: hygrine, nicotine, tropine, or cocaine (Figure 2.1), which showed significant biological activities. ${ }^{34,35,36,37,38}$


Figure 2.1. Structures of alkaloids containing pyrrolidine
Other compounds having this moiety include the amino acids and related derivatives, such as kainic acid or proline. In addition, prolinol derivatives, such as the $(S) /(R)-1$-amino-2-(methoxymethyl)-pyrrolidines (SAMP/RAMP), have found important applications as chiral auxiliaries, mainly in asymmetric alkylations (Figure 2.2). ${ }^{39}$

$(+)-\alpha$-kainic acid

proline


RAMP


SAMP

Figure 2.2. Structures of amino acid pyrrolidines and chiral auxiliaries
Due to their importance, many different strategies towards the synthesis of pyrrolidines have been developed. The methods to construct the pyrrolidine ring can be divided into intermolecular and intramolecular reactions. ${ }^{3 b, 40,41,42,43}$

### 2.1.1. Intermolecular Methods for Pyrrolidine Formation

Some of the oldest methods for pyrrolidine formation rely upon the disconnection of the two bonds alpha to the nitrogen in the final product, meaning that the nitrogen can be introduced as a primary amine.

The reductive amination of 1,4-diketones 33 is one of the oldest methods for the preparation of 2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines 34 (Scheme 2.1); this method has been used for the preparation of natural non-symmetrical 2,5-dialkyl-pyrrolidines. ${ }^{44}$


## Scheme 2.1.

This method is not stereoselective, since a 1:1 mixture of cis and trans isomers is obtained. Further optimisation showed that treatment of 1,4-diketones 33 with an excess of ammonium carbonate allowed the formation of the not isolated pyrrole intermediates 35, which were hydrogenated to give the trans isomers of the major compound (d.r. $=85: 15$ ) (Scheme 2.2). ${ }^{45}$

a: $\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
b: $R=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
c: $\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
Scheme 2.2. a) $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
Several factors, such as the size of the ring formed (i.e. pyrrolidine or piperidine), the nature of the substituents as well as the nature of the reducing agent, influences the cis : trans diastereomer ratio. ${ }^{46}$

The nucleophilic attack of primary amines upon 1,4-dihydroxy derivatives, results in direct cyclisation to form trans 2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines (Scheme 2.3). This is a well known reaction directly derived from the studies on the aminocyclisations of 2,5-dibromoadipic acid esters, where trans : cis stereoselectivity can be improved by chiral auxiliaries. ${ }^{47,48,49,50}$


Scheme 2.3. a) R-NH2
Usually the stereoselectivity and the stereospecificity of these reactions are excellent when non-racemic starting materials are used. Chirality may be introduced in non-racemic cases by two methods: i) use of enantiomerically pure diols - both stereogenic centres are inverted, due to the cyclisation occurring through stereospecific $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$-type reactions; ii) stereoselective formation of
enantiomerically pure pyrrolidines from racemic mixtures of 1,4-dihydroxy derivatives can be achieved through the implementation of chiral auxiliaries. ${ }^{51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58}$

In another approach, chiral allylsilanes 39 were treated with $N$-acylimines 40, generated in situ from arylacetals or aldehydes to form cis $N$-acylpyrrolidines 41 as the major isomers at temperatures between $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereas at temperatures between $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $N$-acylhomoallylic amines 42 were obtained (Scheme 2.4). ${ }^{59}$


39



41


42

Scheme 2.4. a) - $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Arylamines were generally more reactive and gave higher yields of pyrrolidines in comparison to acetals; aliphatic aldehydes, on the other hand, did not give rise to the corresponding pyrrolidines.
[1,3]-Dipolar cycloadditions are amongst the most efficient methods for the preparation of pyrrolidines and pyrrolines. ${ }^{60,61}$ The reactions are usually concerted, regioselective and highly stereoselective. Imines of $\alpha$-amino esters 43 are known for reacting with electron deficient alkenes 44 in the presence of a Lewis acid to give polysubstituted pyrrolidines (Scheme 2.5).


43

| $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{5}=\mathrm{Me}$ | Lewis acid $=\mathrm{LiBr}$ | Yield $=100 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{5}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Lewis acid $=\mathrm{AgOTs}$ | Yield $=81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{R}^{1}=$ naphth | $\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{5}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} M e$ | Lewis acid $=\mathrm{AgOAc}$ | Yield $=100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{4}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{5}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Lewis acid $=\mathrm{AgOAc}$ | Yield $=90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{4}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{5}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | Lewis acid $=\mathrm{LiBr}$ | Yield $=85 \%$ |

Scheme 2.5. a) $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, Lewis acid
AgOAc appeared to be the most efficient catalyst, for the stereospecific preparation of 2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines. ${ }^{62}$ It has been shown that the use of LiBr as a Lewis acid reverses the exo-endo selectivity of the reaction, compared with those achieved with AgOTf. ${ }^{63}$ Three main strategies for asymmetric [1,3]-dipolar cycloadditions of azomethine ylides have been exemplified by Grigg: i) use of chiral dipolarophiles, ${ }^{64,65,66,67}$ ii) use of chiral azomethine ylides, ${ }^{68,69,70}$ iii) use of chiral catalysts. ${ }^{61,71}$

Highly diastereoselective [3+2] cycloadditions of azomethine ylides linked to planar arene chromium complex 46 and methyl acrylates have been found where only one diastereomer 47 was detected in the products. These were then oxidatively decomplexed to yield pyrrolidines 48 (Scheme 2.6). The use of a titanium Lewis acid resulted in reverse product regiochemistry. ${ }^{72}$


Scheme 2.6. a) $\mathrm{LiBr}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ b) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ c) $h v$, air, MeCN
Nucleophilic opening of aziridines by phenylthiolate ions or azides, followed by cyclisation into pyrrolidines, usually gives a mixture of polysubstituted pyrrolidines and piperidines, mostly forming pyrrolidines as the major product, with yields ranging from 51 to $84 \% .{ }^{73}$ This was improved upon by the reaction of enantiopure aziridines, 50 with an allylsilyllithium species 49 and has been used in the chiral synthesis of 2,3,5,5-tetrasubstituted pyrrolidines 52, via the predominant syn-intermediates 51 (Scheme 2.7). ${ }^{74}$ Silypyrrolidine 52 can easily be converted to the corresponding alcohol $\mathbf{5 3}$, as the silyl is essentially a masked hydroxyl group.


Scheme 2.7. a) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

One-pot tandem Michael addition-cyclisation reactions of protected propargylamines 54 and Michael acceptors, catalysed by copper iodide, have successfully yielded pyrrolidines $\mathbf{5 6}$ in good yields, ranging from $19-89 \%$ with good stereoselectivity (Scheme 2.8). ${ }^{75}$

d.r. $=85: 15$ to $100: 0$
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Ts}$
$\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Me}$
$\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{c}$-hex
Scheme 2.8. a) $n$-BuLi ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), CuI ( $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), THF
A formal [5+2] cycloaddition between chromium complex 57 and a bulky terminal alkyne 58, proceeded via cycloadduct 60. This decomplexed in pyridine to diones 61. Cyclisation and elimination of water then yielded pyrrolidines 59 (Scheme 2.9). ${ }^{76}$


Scheme 2.9. a) Pyridine, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Silver acetate has been used as a catalyst for the cycloaddition of methyl isocyanoacetate $\mathbf{6 2}$ with activated alkenes 63, yielding pyrrolidines 64 (Scheme 2.10). A stepwise mechanism was proposed, explaining the partial loss of stereochemistry observed when disubstituted alkenes were used. ${ }^{77}$


Scheme 2.10. a) cat. AgOAc, MeCN

### 2.1.2. Intramolecular Methods to Afford Pyrrolidines

The reactions of amino-alcohol 65 derivatives are usually stereospecific and very little epimerisation occurs (Scheme 2.11). ${ }^{78,79,80}$


Scheme 2.11.

The reaction of different $N$-(3-chloropropyl) imines 69 with an excess of lithium powder and a catalytic amount of 4,4-di-tert-butylbiphenyl (DTBB) gave the expected pyrrolidines 70 (Scheme 2.12).


Scheme 2.12. a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (or MeOH ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ b) LiDTBB (5\%) c) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

From a mechanistic point of view, it is hypothesised that a chlorine-lithium exchange takes place (without affecting the imine) giving an organolithium intermediate 71 (Figure 2.3), in which an intramolecular addition occurs via an 5-endo-trig process, generating the expected pyrrolidines after work-up.


71
Figure 2.3. Proposed intermediate for endo-trig cyclisation to occur

In the case of imines derived from aliphatic ketones and aldehydes, this method gave significantly lower yields. ${ }^{3 b}$

Samarium iodide has been used in two procedures to facilitate pyrrolidine formation by the addition of $\alpha$-aminoalkyl radicals to alkenes. Radicals 73 generated from $\alpha$-amino-benzotriazoles 72 exist in equilibrium with cyclised radicals 74 (Scheme 2.13). ${ }^{81}$


Scheme 2.13. a) $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$, THF-HMPA
Modest diastereoselectivity was generally observed in radical pyrrolidine cyclisations, induced by a chiral perhydro-1,3-benzoxazine moiety (Scheme 2.14). ${ }^{82}$


Scheme 2.14. a) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{AIBN}$ b) $\mathrm{AlH}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}$ c) PCC d) KOH
The major diastereomer from the reaction shown was product 79. Cleavage of the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-acetal moiety and two step removal of the chiral auxiliary, resulted in enantiopure 3-substituted pyrrolidines 81 and 82.

A synthesis of kainic acid 86 involved a nickel-catalysed allene cyclisation yielding product 85 in good yield and excellent stereoselectivity (d.r. = 98:2) (Scheme 2.15). The reaction mechanism was proposed to be identical to the extensively studied nickel-catalysed cyclisation of alkenes. ${ }^{83}$


Scheme 2.15. a) $\mathrm{MeLi} / \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}$
Ring-closing metathesis has often been employed for the synthesis of pyrrolidines. Recent substrates have included phenyl-substituted dienes ${ }^{84}$ and the products from enantioselective allylic aminations of allylic carbonates. ${ }^{85,86}$ Pyrrolidines prepared as part of a study on the rhodiumcatalysed domino silylformylation of enynes showed that the nature of the $N$-substituent greatly affects the outcome of the reaction (i.e. 90 showed no incorporation of carbon monoxide) (Scheme 2.16). ${ }^{87}$



Scheme 2.16. a) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PhSiH}, \mathrm{CO}\left(20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right), \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Buchwald and Sturla reported an asymmetric cyclocarbonylation of enynes, catalysed by an enantiomerically pure titanocene complex 93. For the best enantioselectivity, an electron-rich nitrogen centre was required in the starting enyne 91 (Scheme 2.17). ${ }^{88}$


Scheme 2.17. a) 107 ( $10-15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CO}(14 \mathrm{psig})$, toluene, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

During the course of these studies, Buchwald and co-workers also discovered a titanocenecatalysed cycloisomerisation of dienes 94 , one example of which yielded a pyrrolidine. ${ }^{89}$ This method was used for the stereoselective preparation of syn-3,4-disubstitited 95 and syn,syn-2,3,4-trisubstituted pyrrolidine 96, which was exploited in a new total synthesis of $(-)-\alpha$-kainic acid (Scheme 2.18). ${ }^{90}$


## Scheme 2.18.

The Knight group employed a reverse-Cope elimination in the synthesis of (-)-hygroline 102 and $(+)$-pseudohygroline 101 (Scheme 2.19). ${ }^{91}$


Scheme 2.19. a) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Contrary to earlier studies, which suggested that substituents at the distal end of the participating alkene greatly inhibited reaction, allylic oxygen groups were found to facilitate the cyclisation, though the stereoselectivity was found to be low.

### 2.1.3. Reaction of Amino Alkenes

Numerous syntheses of substituted pyrrolidines by intramolecular cyclisation of 5-alkenyl amines via the aminyl radical have been reported: photolysis, ${ }^{92}$ thermolysis of N -chloroamines ${ }^{93}$ and anodic oxidation of lithium amides and hydroxylamines ${ }^{94,95}$ are among the most encountered methods. Two procedures to note involve: i) the anodic oxidation of $\gamma, \delta$-unsaturated lithium amides leading stereospecifically to cis 2,5 -disubstituted pyrrolidines $104,{ }^{96}$ and ii) the intramolecular cyclization of $N$-chloroalkenylamine in the presence of tributyltin hydride and azoisobutyronitrile ( $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}-\mathrm{AIBN}$ ) giving rise almost exclusively to trans-2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines 106 (Scheme 2.20). ${ }^{97}$


103


104

Yields $=2$ to $52 \% \quad$ d.e. $=100 \%$ cis
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$
$\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$
Yields $=66$ to $85 \%$ d.e. $=100 \% \mathrm{cis}$
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
$R^{2}=M e$
$R^{3}=H$
$\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$

Yields $=19$ to $63 \% \quad$ d.e. $=100 \%$ trans
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
$\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$

Scheme 2.20. a) BuLi, $\mathrm{e}^{-}$b) NCS, $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN
Tokuda reported the stereoselective synthesis of cis-2,5-disubstituted $N$-methyl-pyrrolidines 108 as single diastereomers by treating $\delta$-alkenyl amines 107 with a catalytic amount of $n$ - BuLi (i.e. 0.1 equivalents of $n$-BuLi) (Scheme 2.21). ${ }^{96}$


107
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{H}$


108

## Scheme 2.21.

Aminomercuration (with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ) of $\delta$-alkenylamines 109 resulted in a mixture of 2,5 -disubstituted pyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ and piperidines $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ being obtained. ${ }^{97}$ The regio- and stereoselectivities were better for the amidomercuration than for the aminomercuration and the trans isomer was always the major isomer formed (cis: trans $2: 98$ ) (Scheme 2.22). ${ }^{98}$



Scheme 2.22. a) $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ c) HCl
Harding also showed that 5 -alkenylamines, when treated by $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, led to 2,6 -disubstituted piperidines; and observed an equilibrium between the trans and cis products. ${ }^{99}$ Takahata used this to synthesise trans-2,5-dialkylpyrrolidines 115 from L-norleucine 112 (with d.r. cis : trans =1:25 and e.e. $=98 \%$ ) and has since then been used toward the synthesis of numerous 2,5 -disubstituted pyrrolidines (Scheme 2.23). ${ }^{100,101,102}$


## Scheme 2.23.

Diastereoselective iodoamination of 3-acetyloxybut-1-enylamine 116 and 118 have been performed, yielding pyrrolidines 117 and 119. ${ }^{103}$ The biphasic conditions shown in Scheme 2.24 were essential for the high yields and short reaction times, as was the very large $N$-substituent.


Scheme 2.24. a) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3 \text { (aq) }}$ : THF : $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1: 1)$

A strategy related to the preparation of tetrahydrofurans was used in the stereoselective formation of trans-pyrrolidines (Scheme 2.25). ${ }^{104}$


Scheme 2.25. a) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{MeCN}$ b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Selenocyclisations have largely been used for the synthesis of 2,5-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans, ${ }^{105}$ though Clive used this method for the preparation of pyrrolidines substituted at C-2. ${ }^{106}$ Selenocyclisations of homoallylic sulfonamides $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ have also been reported, but stereochemical outcome of the pyrrolidine products 127 were somewhat unpredictable (Scheme 2.26). ${ }^{\text {107,108 }}$


Scheme 2.26. a) $\mathrm{PhSeCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5-1 \mathrm{hr}$ b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ c) cat. 10 M HCl
Yoshikoshi described the synthesis of 2,5-dialkylated pyrrolidines 131 by hydrogenation of acetylnitronates 130 prepared from enolates 128 and nitroalkenes 129. Unfortunately, diastereoselectivity is low: 64 to $72 \%$ in favour of the cis isomer (Scheme 2.27). ${ }^{109}$


Scheme 2.27. a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PtO}_{2}$
This was improved by synthesising the pyrrolidines via cyclic and chiral nitrones obtained by electrophilic $\alpha$-hydroxyamination of a chiral sultam. The pyrrolidines obtained were enantiomerically pure with overall yields ranging from 48 to $60 \%$, depending on the nature of the substituents and with d.e. $=87$ to $99 \%$ in favour of the trans isomers. ${ }^{110}$

The hydrogenation of $\gamma$-nitroketones to give cis-2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines, ${ }^{111,112}$ was achieved through the syn-addition of hydrogen on the intermediate pyrroline, resulting in the formation of cis pyrrolidines. ${ }^{113,114}$

Most syntheses of 2,3,4,5-tetrasubstituted pyrrolidines 135 proceed via an azidoketone 134 to give enantiomerically pure pyrrolidines 135 (Scheme 2.28). ${ }^{15,116,117}$


Scheme 2.28.
Aminoketones 136 can be hydrogenated in the same way as nitroketones and azidoketones Hydrogenation of aminoketone 136 in the presence of $10 \%$ palladium on charcoal in methanol cleaved the protecting group from the amino function as well as reduction of the ketone and the cyclisation leading to 2,5 -disubstituted pyrrolidines 137 of exclusive cis configuration, whereas treatment of aminoketones $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ with ammonium formate in the presence of $10 \%$ palladium on charcoal in methanol under reflux, gave a mixture of cis- and trans-2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines 138 (3:2) (Scheme 2.29). ${ }^{118}$


Scheme 2.29. a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ b) $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$

### 2.2 Background to Current Work

The synthesis of pyrrolidines through acid-catalysed hydroaminations has previously been developed within the Knight group. Pyrrolidines, such as 140 and 142 had been successfully isolated through cyclisation of homoallylic sulfonamide 139 and 141 with triflic acid (Scheme 2.30). ${ }^{30}$


Scheme 2.30. a) 0.4 eq. TfOH, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) 0.4 eq . $\mathrm{TfOH}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4.5 \mathrm{hrs}$
This cyclisation has also been used in the implementation of cascade reactions, where a multitude of rings can be formed, with the sulfonamide acting as the terminator (Scheme 2.31).


Scheme 2.31. a) 0.4 eq. $\mathrm{TfOH}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.25 \mathrm{hrs}$
This all developed from previous work conducted in the Knight group on iodocyclisations (see Chapter 1).

The acid-catalysed hydroamination follow an overall 5-endo-trig process, but this does not mean that they are subject to Baldwin's rules. Because the cyclisation involves the formation of a carbocation, which is the driving force for the cyclisation, ring formations that are disfavoured according to Baldwin's rules are still possible under these conditions. Baldwin's rules are relevant to iodocylisations that are not driven by the formation of carbocations (Scheme 2.32).


Scheme 2.32. a) 3 eq. $\mathrm{I}_{2}$

### 2.3 Results and Discussion

### 2.3.1 Simple Pyrrolidines

To further expand the work performed by Haskins, simple pyrrolidines were to be synthesised where the substitution patterns and the type of substituent are varied. This would give a good indication as to the scope of these hydroaminations. Previous work by Haskins always included an ester group, used in precursor synthesis, adjacent to the amine for synthetic ease. ${ }^{30,119}$ A question that needs to be answered is whether the presence of the ester group actually necessary for the cyclisation to occur.

As a general limitation, a synthetic route was to be no more than five steps to the pyrrolidines (v). This generally involved the synthesis or purchase of either an alcohol (i) or the amines (iii). The alcohols (i) were reacted under Mitsunobu conditions ( $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24$ hours) with the Weinreb reagent (TsNHBoc) to give the desired precursors (ii). The amines (iii) were tosylated to sulfonamides (iv) and then both precursors were reacted under acidic conditions to afford the pyrrolidines (v) (Scheme 2.33).


Scheme 2.33. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{DCM},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight c ) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The first pyrrolidine synthesised was synthetically straightforward: 4-methyl-3-penten-1-ol 148 was converted into the corresponding sulfonamide 149 followed by cyclisation to dimethylpyrrolidine 150 (Scheme 2.34).


Scheme 2.34. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{hrs}$

An unexpected bonus was the observation that both the Boc deprotection and cyclisation occurred in a single step. In hindsight, this was a reasonable observation, as standard removal of a Boc
group employs the use of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) ( pKa 0.3 ). ${ }^{120}$ Since the hydroaminations are acid-catalysed, it is reasonable to expect that the triflic acid $(\mathrm{pKa}-13)$ would indeed be successful in removing the Boc group. The removal of the Boc group was achieved successfully with full conversion to pyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 5 0}$. Another advantage of this cyclisation is that provided pure precursor (ii) is used and the reaction reaches completion, no purification of the produced pyrrolidine (iii) is required.

It seemed prudent to see whether this method could be expanded beyond the use of tertiary carbenium ion formation. Haskins had performed cyclisations on secondary and tertiary carbenium ions, though all of these had an ester adjacent to the nitrogen. ${ }^{30,119}$ The result above (Scheme 2.34) shows that an ester is unnecessary for the cyclisation of tertiary carbenium ions to occur.

A simple example was sought that would be easily comparable to 150. 2-Methyl-1tosylpyrrolidine 155 is a viable and comparable target and was synthesised from commercial trans-3-pentenenitrile 151 (Scheme 2.35).



Scheme 2.35. a) $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr then $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr b) $\left.\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq . TfOH, DCM, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{hrs}$

The cyclisation was successfully completed with an excellent yield of $91 \%$. Heating was needed to reach full conversion to the pyrrolidine 155 , as well as a longer reaction time of 48 hours compared with that required for formation of pyrrolidine 150 . The requirement for moderate heating and the longer reaction time could be a symptom of the secondary carbenium ion generated from 154. Could the inclusion of a charge stabilising group, such as a phenyl, help reduce the reaction time? Sulfonamide 158 was synthesised in a similar fashion to sulfonamide 154, from commercial trans-styrylacetic acid 153 (Scheme 2.36). ${ }^{121}$


Scheme 2.36. a) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$ b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight c) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{hrs}$

The cyclisation was successful for the formation of 2-phenylpyrrolidine 159 and occurred at lower temperature than the cyclisation to $\mathbf{1 5 5}$. This appears to reflect the relative carbenium ion stabilities.

Secondary carbenium ions are more challenging to form than tertiary examples. There are two possible secondary carbenium ions that can form from sulfonamide 154; these are both equally likely to form (Scheme 2.37). Trapping the secondary carbenium ion 154a will form the observed pyrrolidine 155 through a formally disfavoured overall 5-endo-trig process. Carbenium ion 154b would form the severely strained 4-membered ring 160, though an overall 4-exo-trig process.


## Scheme 2.37.

Two possible carbenium ions can form from 158; however the benzylic carbenium ion 158a is much more likely to form than 158b due to the stabilisation afforded by the phenyl group, resulting in the exclusive formation of pyrrolidine 159 (Scheme 2.38).


## Scheme 2.38.

Hence, cyclisations can occur without an ester in examples of both secondary and tertiary carbenium ions; though cyclisations involving secondary carbenium ions require higher temperatures and longer reaction times than tertiary carbenium ions. As reasonably expected, the presence of the ester was not necessary for the key cyclisation.

The next stage was to increase the substitution around the pyrrolidine ring by including a methyl group in the 5-position of the anticipated products to hopefully give 2,2,5-trisubstituted pyrrolidine 162 and 2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidine 163 (Figure 2.3).
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163

Figure 2.3. Structures of pyrrolidines with increased substitution
2,2,5-Trimethylpyrrolidine 162 was synthesised successfully via alcohol 166 , resulting from opening of propylene oxide 164 with 2-methyl-propenylmagnesium bromide 165 (Scheme 2.39). ${ }^{122}$


Scheme 2.39. a) CuI, THF, $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight c) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{hrs}$

The cyclisation was high yielding and complete conversion was achieved without the need for purification of the product. Extra substitution had slightly increased the time required for the
production of 2,2,5-trimethylpyrrolidine 162 compared with 2,2-dimethylpyrrolidine 150 (Scheme 2.34), although both reactions proceeded relatively rapidly at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The same synthetic approach was used for the synthesis of 2-methyl-5-phenyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine $163^{122}$ using styrylmagnesium bromide 168 to open propylene oxide 164 (Scheme 2.40).


Scheme 2.40. a) CuI, THF, $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight c) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24$ hrs

The ring opening of the epoxide 164 was successful, though not as high yielding as for the previous example 166. The cyclisation was achieved in $100 \%$ yield, an improvement on the synthesis of 2-phenylpyrrolidine 159 . The reaction was performed at a lower temperature $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ rather than $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and had a shorter reaction time of 24 hours.

### 2.3.2 Terminal Double Bonds - Secondary vs. Primary Carbenium Ions

Formations of primary carbenium ions are expected to be so unfavourable that they would be most unlikely to participate in this type of hydroamination. This was a relatively easy concept to investigate, involving the movement of the double bond to a terminal position (172) (Figure 2.4). ${ }^{123}$
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Figure 2.4. Structure of homoallylic sulfonamide 171 and bis-homoallylic sulfonamide 172
If the primary carbenium ion was formed and underwent cyclisation via a favoured 6-endo-trig process, then the corresponding piperidine would be observed. If, as was expected, the primary carbenium ion was not formed, and instead the secondary was formed, then pyrrolidines 174 would be formed via a favoured 5-exo-trig process.

The terminal alkene sulfonamide $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ was synthesised from 5-hexen-2-ol $173^{124}$ using a Mitsunobu reaction (Scheme 2.41).


Scheme 2.41. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight b) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24-72 \mathrm{hrs}$

The results for the cyclisation of $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ are depicted in Table 2.1 below, along with the cis : trans ratios observed, which were estimated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Table 2.1. Table showing cis : trans ratio for cyclisation of terminal alkene 172

| Acid $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | ${\text { Temperature } /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}_{\text {Time } / \text { hrs }}^{\text {trans }: \text { cis ratio }}$ | Yield $/ \%$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TfOH | 20 | 24 | Boc removal | 0 |
| TfOH | 40 | 24 | $3.0: 1.0$ | 99 |
| TfOH | 40 | 72 | $3.0: 1.0$ | 88 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 72 | $1.0: 1.0$ | 88 |

${ }^{a}$ either 0.5 eq. TfOH in DCM or 2 drops of sulfuric acid in DCM

The cyclisation of (R)-tert-butyl hex-5-en-2-yl(tosyl)carbamate 172 at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, showed successful formation of the desired products 174, with a diastereomer ratio of $3.0: 1.0$. Leaving the cyclisation with triflic acid for 72 hours showed no change in the diastereomer ratio. Treating sulfonamide 172 with concentrated sulfuric acid at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours resulted in a diastereomer ratio of $1.0: 1.0$.

The two diastereomer were separated by recrystallisation from dichloromethane, where the major isomer 174b crystallised as a colourless solid and the minor isomer 174a remained as a viscous oil. X-ray diffraction confirmed that the major diastereomer was trans-pyrrolidine 174b (Figure 2.5).


Figure 2.5. X-ray structure of major trans-pyrrolidine 174b. Full crystallographic data is included in the appendix.
The structure in Figure 2.5 showed bond lengths and angles consistent with the five membered ring structure depicted in Figure 2.5.

The trans diastereomer was formed in greater abundance than the cis diastereomer when using triflic acid to catalyse the cyclisation. Sulfuric acid on the other hand showed an equal mixture of the two diastereomers, which may be due to the reaction having reached equilibrium.


Figure 2.6. ${ }^{1}$ NMR spectrum showing diastereomers 174 a and $\mathbf{1 7 4 b}$ as a mixture

The CHs either side of the nitrogen for pyrrolidines 174a and 174b, were observed at 3.98 - 3.93 ppm for the trans and $3.62-3.59 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the cis-diastereomer, respectively. The two tosyl Me groups were observed at 2.35 ppm and 2.34 ppm , respectively (Figure 2.6 and 2.7).


Figure 2.7. Expanded section of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for diastereomers 174 a and 174b

Determination of the identity of each diastereomer was also possible by comparison with NMR data amassed on similar examples synthesised by Jones (Figure 2.8). ${ }^{125} \mathrm{He}$ had synthesised diastereomers 175 and 176 by iodocyclysation, followed by removal of the iodine (Figure 2.8). When the reactions had been performed under basic conditions then the major diastereomer isolated were the trans 2,5 -disubstituted pyrrolidines 175 , however, when the reactions were conducted under acidic conditions then the major diastereomers isolated were the cis 2,5disubstituted pyrrolidines, as was observed for 174 (Scheme 2.41).


175


176

| $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Et | Bu |
| Et | Pent |
| Me | Bu |
| Et | Ph |

Figure 2.8. Structure of diastereomers synthesised by Jones ${ }^{125}$
Jones stated that for the 2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines in Figure 2.7 "the resonance corresponding to the $2-\mathrm{CH}$ and the $5-\mathrm{CH}$ (i.e. the CHs alpha to the nitrogen) for the trans-pyrrolidines have a downfield shift of $c a .0 .3 \mathrm{ppm}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR relative to the corresponding cis-2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidine". This same phenomenon was observed for 174a and 174b.

### 2.3.3. Investigation intoRing Opening and Re-closure

Work previously carried out by Haskins showed the possibility of ring opening and re-closure. This is most obvious when there are groups either side of the nitrogen, as these can either be in cis or trans with respect to one another (Figure 2.9). ${ }^{30,119}$.


R = Ph 177
$R=M e 178$

Figure 2.9. Structures of pyrrolidines used by Haskins to investigate ring-opening and re-closure
This observation was investigated further, using the forgoing examples and, in addition, an example where $\mathrm{R}^{1}=i$-Pr. These compounds are amino acid derivatives, which were synthesised from glycine, alanine or valine.

Amino acids 179 were converted into the corresponding methyl esters 180, followed by formation of the benzyl imines 181 (Scheme 2.42). The imine acts as a protecting group for the next step of the synthesis. ${ }^{30,119,126}$


Scheme 2.42. a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) Benzaldehyde, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{hrs}$
Imines 181 were deprotonated with in situ generated LDA and then reacted with either cinnamyl or crotyl bromide (Scheme 2.43).


Scheme 2.43. c) LDA, crotyl or cinnamyl bromide, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$, then r.t., 1 hr
$N$-Protecting group exchange was carried out, where imines 182 and 183 were hydrolysed to the corresponding amines, followed by immediate tosylation to give sulfonamides 184 and 185 (Scheme 2.44).


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R=P h 182 & R=P h 184 \\
R=\operatorname{Me~} 183 & R=M e 185
\end{array}
$$

Scheme 2.44. d) $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{hrse}$ ) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight
Sulfonamides 184 and 185 were cyclised with varying amounts of triflic acid to determine the effect this would have on the diastereomer ratio (Scheme 2.45).


Scheme 2.45. f) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The standard conditions for the cyclisation with triflic acid involve the use of 0.5 equivalents of acid. ${ }^{119}$ The amount of acid was then increased to 1,2 and 5 equivalents. The data shown in the Tables 2.2 to 2.4 below have been calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.

The major isomer should be the 2,5 -cis isomer. Due to what is known about energetic stability, the large substituents should be in the "pseudo-equatorial" position meaning that they would be cis to one another (Figure 2.10).


Figure 2.10. Structural explanation of possible favourable cis diastereomer formation
The cinnamyl derivatives were cyclised and the diastereomer ratios evaluated (Table 2.2). ${ }^{127}$


Scheme 2.46. a) 0.5 eq. $\mathrm{TfOH}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 2.2. Isomer ratios for the cinnamyl derivatives

| $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}$ | Equivalents | Isomer Ratios after 1 hr <br> trans :cis | Isomer ratio after 24 hrs <br> trans $:$ cis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.5 | $1.0: 1.2$ | $1.0: 1.1$ |
| H | 1 | $1.0: 2.4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.0: 4.0$ |
| H | 2 | $1.0: 1.3$ | $1.0: 1.1$ |
| H | 5 | $1.0: 1.0$ | $1.0: 1.0$ |
| Me | 0.5 | $1.0: 3.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.0: 3.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Me | 1 | $1.0: 3.1^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.0: 2.2$ |
| Me | 2 | $1.5: 1.0$ | $1.0: 1.8$ |
| Me | 5 | $1.6: 1.0$ | $1.0: 2.2$ |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 0.5 | $1.0: 38$ | $1.0: 4.3$ |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 1 | $1.0: 5.5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.0: 3.6$ |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 2 | $1.0: 4.0$ | $1.0: 4.0$ |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 5 | $5.0: 1.0: 5.0^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $2.0: 1.8: 1.0^{\mathrm{b}}$ |

${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ Starting material observed ${ }^{\mathbf{b}}$ second ring opened product observed
The glycine derivative overall, showed little change in diastereomer ratio when increasing the equivalents of acid added, stirring for either 1 hour or 24 hours. The reaction using 1 equivalent of acid for 24 hours had a diastereomer ratio of $1.0: 4.0$, the largest ratio observed for 177 .

It was difficult to compare the three amino acid derivatives to one another. No apparent correlation seemed obvious between the use of the same equivalents or times exposed to the acid.
In comparison to Haskins' observations, the results obtained were rather different (Table 2.3). ${ }^{119}$

Table 2.3. Comparison with the isomer ratios observed by Haskins

|  | Haskins |  | New |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derivative | Equivalents | Isomer ratio after 1 h <br> cis ; trans | Equivalents | Isomer ratio after 1 h <br> cis ; trans |
| Glycine | 2 | $>20: 1.0$ | 2 | $1.3: 1.0$ |
| Glycine | 5 | $>20: 1.0$ | 5 | $1.0: 1.0$ |
| Alanine | 0.5 | $2.9: 1.0$ | 0.5 | $1.3: 1.0$ |
| Alanine | 1 | $3.5: 1.0$ | 1 | $1.3: 1.0$ |
| Alanine | 5 | $9.0: 1.0$ | 5 | $1.6: 1.0$ |

Haskins obtained isomer ratios of $20.0: 1.0$ with the glycine derivative when using 2 and 5 equivalents of TfOH. This could not be repeated; instead an isomer ration of 1.3:1.0 was observed for all the equivalents used apart from 1 equivalent of TfOH where a ratio of $1: 4$ was observed. For the alanine derivative, Haskins observed a $9.0: 1.0$ ratio for 5 equivalents, which again could not be repeated. Instead, a diastereomer ratio of $1.6: 1.0$ was observed. This difference in isomer ratio is difficult to explain but highlights the inherent difficulty associated with this method. The difference in diastereomer ratio observed by Haskins and those reported in Table 2.2 could possibly be due to varying levels of water present in the reaction.

The valine derivative had not been cyclised previously. Unfortunately, no significant differences in the isomer ratios were observed. This changed when using 5 equivalents; a third compound appeared. This new compound was tentatively identified as a ring opening product 186a or 186b by NMR, as essentially a single isomer (Figure 2.11).


186a


186b

Figure 2.11. Possible structure for ring opening of valine derivative 177c
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed a distinct NH peak as a doublet, suggesting that it must be adjacent to another proton. This was not the case in the synthesised starting material 184c wherein the NH appeared as a broad singlet. To confirm that this doublet was in fact the NH , a $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ shake was performed, resulting in the disappearance of the doublet and a change in the multiplicity of the CH adjacent to the NH , from a double double doublet (ddd) to a double doublet (dd). The lack of $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ and alkene protons in the NMR spectra also suggesting that ring opened product 186b had been isolated and not 186a. The methyl groups of the $i$-Pr group also show significant difference in
chemical shift than observed for the starting alkene 184c and those expected for ring opened byproduct 186a. The methyl groups appeared as doublets at 1.05 and 0.89 ppm for alkene 184 c ; a similar value and splitting would be expected for 186a. The resonance observed, however, were two singlets at 2.37 and 1.96 ppm , giving further supporting the deduction that the minor ring opened byproduct observed is indeed $\mathbf{1 8 6 b}$.

Investigations into the cis/trans diastereomer ratios for the crotyl derivatives were also performed.


Scheme 2.47. a) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
As for the cinnamyl derivative, the cis isomer was expected to be the major isomer observed, at least for $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$, due to steric interactions (see Figure 2.9); and the results are depicted in Table 2.4.

Table 2.4. Isomer ratios for crotyl derivatives

| $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | Equivalents | Isomer ratio <br> after 1 hour <br> trans $:$ cis | Isomer ratio <br> after 2 hours <br> trans $:$ cis | Isomer ratio <br> after 3 hours <br> trans $:$ cis | Isomer ratio <br> after 24 hours <br> trans $:$ cis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.5 | $1.0: 2.0$ | $1.0: 1.3$ | $1.6: 1.0$ | $1.0: 2.1$ |
| H | 1 | - | $1.0: 3.0$ | $1.0: 2.6$ | $1.0: 2.6$ |
| H | 2 | $1.0: 2.7$ | $1.0: 2.7$ | $1.0: 2.6$ | $1.0: 3.9$ |
| H | 5 | $1.0: 2.3$ | $1.0: 2.3$ | $1.0: 3.6$ | $1.0: 2.8$ |
| Me | 0.5 | $2.0: 1.0$ | $1.4: 1.0$ | $2.0: 1.0$ | $1.9: 1.0$ |
| Me | 1 | $1.6: 1.0$ | $1.6: 1.0$ | $1.3: 1.0$ | $1.1: 1.0$ |
| Me | 2 | $1.7: 1.0$ | $1.5: 1.0$ | $1.7: 1.0$ | $1.5: 1.0$ |
| Me | 5 | $1.1: 1.0$ | $1.1: 1.0$ | $1.6: 1.0$ | $1.1: 1.0$ |

The glycine derivative showed inversion of ratios after 3 hours, which reverted back to the ratio observed after 1 hour using 0.5 equivalents of acid. This inversion was not observed for 1,2 and 5 equivalents of acid added. The alanine derivative showed a gradual decline in diastereomer ratio over 24 hours although this could be due to experimental error. The valine derivative did not cyclise under any of the cyclisation conditions shown in Table 2.2. Presumably, the cyclisation could not occur because the short lived carbenium ion is difficult for the bulky sulfonamide to approach. The cyclisation for the valine cinnamyl derivative can occur, due to the generated
carbenium ion being stabilised by the phenyl group adjacent to it, meaning it will have a longer life time, thus giving the bulky sulfonamide a chance to approach it.

### 2.3.3.1 Investigations of the Mechanism

Investigating the reaction mechanism was performed with a deuterium study. This involved the implementation of deuterated triflic acid to perform the reaction with (Scheme 2.48). Deuterated triflic acid was diluted in dry DCM to give a 0.695 M stock solution to be used for the cyclisation.


## Scheme 2.48.

Cyclo-reversion is believed to occur from the beginning of the reaction, so double deuterium incorporation should be observed. Carbon-deuterium bonds are generally much stronger than carbon-hydrogen bonds; meaning that hydrogen would be preferentially lost over a deuterium (Scheme 2.49).


Scheme 2.49.
Unfortunately, there was no evidence of deuterium incorporation at all. This was rather unexpected as deuterated triflic acid was the only source of protonation and the cyclisations still occurred. The lack of deuterium incorporation was possibly due to the deuterium exchanging with hydrogen of DCM, thus meaning that the cyclisations were conducted with non-deuterated triflic acid.

These deuteration experiments should be repeated with a solution of deuterated triflic acid in deuterated chloroform. A saturated solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ should be used in the quenching of the reaction, to prevent further exchange. The strength of the nitrogen-deuterium bonds must also
be taken into consideration, as these are stronger than nitrogen-hydrogen bonds. The difference in bond strength could slow the removal of this and thus slow the rate of reaction. If this is still not observed, than one could conclude that $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage is not a rate determining step.

### 2.3.4 Transannular Cyclisations

The synthesis of pyrrolidines and other cyclic systems that have been discussed employed a planar mode of addition. Does this always have to be the case? Can the synthesis of bridged compounds through transannular hydroaminations be achieved?
Commercially available (4-methylcyclohex-3-enyl)methanol $\mathbf{1 9 2}^{128}$ was converted into sulfonamide 193, followed by cyclisation to give only the sterically crowded bridged piperidine 194 (Scheme 2.50); there is no evidence of formation of the alternative secondary carbocation, which would have resulted in the less hindered product.


Scheme 2.50. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{hrs}$
This is an example of trans-annular cyclisation and the compound formed 194 is an example of an isoquinuclidine, which are very important precursors to natural products, such as ibogaine, dioscorine and ( + )-catharanthine (Figure 2.12). ${ }^{129,130,131}$

ibogaine

discorine

(+)-catharinthine

Figure 2.12. Structures of natural products containing an isoquinuclidine moiety
Since they are important, a new synthetic method of forming these hindered compounds using the hydroamination technique was investigated. The incorporation of different R groups, in place of the methyl group shown in 194 (Scheme 2.50) was desired. Ideally, the synthesis should allow access to different isoquinuclidines in as few steps as possible, meaning incorporation of these different functional groups should occur late on in the synthesis. This new methodology would be most suited for the elaboration of non-natural analogues substituted at a bridgehead carbon adjacent to the nitrogen, thus giving access to many new types of potentially bioactive derivatives.

The proposed synthetic approach to the desired isoquinuclidine derivatives 201 is outlined in Scheme 2.51.


Scheme 2.51. a) Hydroquinone, toluene, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 24 hrs b) i) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF ii) $\left.15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 30 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) RMgBr, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ e) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The synthetic route up to keto-alcohol 198 followed literature procedure by Borden; ${ }^{132}$ unfortunately the Mitsunobu reaction leading to sulfonamide 199 was not successful. An alternative route was sought starting from keto-alcohol 198 and is outlined in Scheme 2.52.


Scheme 2.52. a) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}^{133}$ b) $\left.p-\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}, \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{hrs} \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{RMgBr}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ d) 0.5 eq. $\mathrm{TfOH}, \mathrm{DCM} 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Conversion of the hydroxyl group of 198 into mesylate 202 was successful, though the subsequent reaction with $p$-toluenesulfonamide was not. The synthesis of isoquinuclidines 201 was abandoned and a new synthetic route towards 200 or 204 needs to be devised. The syntheses above (Schemes 2.51 and 2.52 ) could possibly be successful if the probable interference from the ketone was overcome through implementation of a protecting group, such as a dithiane. Alternatively, a completely different synthetic approach could be taken. Formation of the amine 211 through ring closing metathesis, where the substitution was incorporated prior to the ring closure (Scheme 2.53).


Scheme 2.53.

The synthetic approach shown in Scheme 2.53 could allow for additional substitution around the ring.

### 2.3.5 Cascades \& Fused Systems

Cascade reactions, where multiple ring systems were formed in one step (Scheme 2.31) result in formation of compounds with interesting structural scaffolds, such as spiro- and fused systems. These are compounds that can be difficult to synthesise in just a few steps through means other than a hydroamination. ${ }^{134}$
Targeting a 4,6-fused system, lavandulol 212 was converted to sulfonamide 213 via a Mitsunobu reaction, followed by cyclisation (Scheme 2.54).


Scheme 2.54. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) 0.5 eq. TfOH, $\mathrm{DCM}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24$ hrs

It was hoped that a four membered ring would be formed, despite the overall 4 -exo-trig cyclisations being disfavoured by Baldwin's rules (Scheme 2.55). Cyclisations have already been proven to be possible despite being disfavoured by Baldwin's rules, such as $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ in Scheme 2.35.


Scheme 2.55.

The synthesis of 214 was unsuccessful, which was not particularly surprising, as the four membered ring formed would have been under considerable strain.

Formation of a 5,5 -fused system was attempted next, through formation of the pyrrolidine ring upon an already present cyclopentane (Scheme 2.56).


Scheme 2.56. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{hrs}$

The cyclisation was not successful, possibly due to steric crowding or due to the carbenium ion 216a being formed at the ring junction rather than the isopropyl as expected. Also protonation at the isopropenyl would result in formation of two isomers 216b and 216c; where 216b would favour cyclisation due to its proximity to the nitrogen required for the cyclisation to occur (Figure 2.13).

216a

261b

216c

Figure 2.13. Three possible carbenium ions formed from 216

If the carbenium ion at the ring junction 216a and 216c were favoured, then this would explain the lack of cyclisation occurring. The resulting 4-membered ring formed from carbenium ion 216a would be too strained to make its formation feasible.

A study was undertaken on the cyclisation of geranyl derivatives of glycine and valine (Scheme 2.57). These were synthesised by the same method as the cinnamyl and crotyl derivatives in Figure 2.8, employing geranyl bromide in the substitution reaction. ${ }^{135}$


Scheme 2.57. a) 0.5 eq . TfOH, DCM

Table 2.5. Comparison of genaryl glycinate and valinate derivatives

| $\mathbf{R}$ | Equivalents | Isomer ratio after 1 hour <br> trans $:$ cis | Isomer ratio after 24 hours <br> trans $:$ cis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2 | $1.0: 10.0$ | $1.0: 2.5$ |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 2 | $1.0: 10.0$ | $1.0: 5.0$ |

The diastereomer ratios observed for the fused-cycle 219 were higher than those for the pyrrolidines 177 \& 178; reminiscent of the ratios observed by Haskins for the cinnamyl glycinate 177a and alaninate 178a derivatives. ${ }^{119}$ The glycinate derivative 219 diastereomer ratio decreased from $1.0: 10.0$ after 1 hour to $1.0: 2.5$ after 24 hours. A similar but less marked decrease was observed for the alanine derivative, where the ratio decreased from $1.0: 10.0$ to $1.0: 5.0$. It is believed that the ratio shifts in favour of the trans-diastereomer, the thermodynamic product, after prolonged exposure to acid. ${ }^{136}$

### 2.3.6. Indene

As well as synthesising simple pyrrolidines, previous work by Haskins has shown that spiro-cycles can be formed through acid-catalysed hydroaminations. These had ester functionality adjacent to the formed hindered amine (Scheme 2.58). ${ }^{30}$


Scheme 2.58. a) 0.5 eq. $\mathrm{TfOH}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.25 \mathrm{hrs}$
The ester adjacent to the sulfonamide is not needed for acid-catalysed hydroamination to occur. Is this also the case for spiro-cycles, such as $\mathbf{2 2 1}$, which would also represent an extension to the present methods (Figure 2.14)?


221
Figure 2.14. Indanone derived spiro-cycle
This was to be tested in the synthesis of indene-derived spiro-cycle 221. The original synthetic route is outlined in Scheme 2.59.


Scheme 2.59. a) $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$, allyl MgBr , $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, conc. $\mathrm{HCl}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) 9 - BBN , aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ c) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The synthesis of alcohol 225 followed a known literature procedure. ${ }^{137}$ The cerium chloridemediated Grignard reaction was successful, but problems were encountered with the 9-BBN hydroboration/oxidation reaction. Alcohol 225 proved difficult to isolate, which is not something observed by Pearson. The problem of isolating alcohol 225 was eventually overcome by omitting its purification and instead carrying it forward to the Mitsunobu reaction to give sulfonamide 226. Unfortunately, the cyclisation to the desired spiro-pyrrolidine 221 could not be achieved.

An alternative synthetic approach from indanone 223 involved the formation of an ethyl ester through a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction ${ }^{138}$ was attempted, as outlined in Scheme 2.60.



Scheme 2.60. a) triethyl phosponoacetate, NaH , THF b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ c) TsCl , pyridine, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ d) $\mathrm{NaCN}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ e) $p-\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ f) 0.5 eq . TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Reduction to the alcohol 228 resulted in isolation of a residue that was deemed beyond purification.

An alternative Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction, to give acid 232, which would negate the need for a cyanide reaction was attempted (Scheme 2.61). ${ }^{139,140}$


Scheme 2.61. a) 2-carboxyethyltriphenylphosphonium bromide, NaH, THF:DMSO (1:1) $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ c) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction was unsuccessful, with no discernable amount of 232 visible in the proton spectra.

1-Chloro-3-iodopropane was reacted with indene 234. ${ }^{141}$ Chloride 235 was treated with potassium phthalimide through a Gabriel synthesis, ${ }^{142}$ and converted to sulfonamide 237 (Scheme 2.62).



Scheme 2.62. a) $n$-BuLi, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ b) $\mathrm{K}^{+}$phthalimide c) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$, EtOH, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ d) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, DCM, -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ e) 0.5 eq . TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Sulfonamide 237 was not successfully cyclised to the desired spiro-pyrrolidine 221, as had been observed for sulfonamide 226 (Scheme 2.59). Why the cyclisation did not occur is still unknown. Possibly harsher conditions are needed for the reaction to occur. There is also the distinct possibility that the sulfonamide is unable to approach the reaction site due it being too hindered. If this is the case then the cyclisation should be attempted with a smaller $N$-protecting group, such as a mesylate or methoxycarbonyl.

### 2.3.7. O-N Compounds

### 2.3.7.1 Isoxazolidine vs. Morpholine

Proctor investigated whether suitably protected hydroxylamines could be used to trap an acidgenerated carbenium ion. The first substrates that Proctor synthesised were isoxazolidines, such as 241 in Scheme 2.63. ${ }^{143}$


Scheme 2.63. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxyphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ b) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$, $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{c}\right) p$ - TsCl , DMAP, pyridine, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq. TfOH, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$,

Complete conversion of the starting material was observed, though a minor product was also observed. The structure of the isoxazolidine 241 was confirmed by X-ray crystallography and the minor product was identified as a regioisomer of product 241, isoxazolidine 242.

The structure of this regioisomer was further confirmed by synthesis of 246; the actual regioisomer was not synthesised, due to the possibility of the same kind of rearrangement occurring, especially during [1,3]-dipolar addition. Instead a derivative was synthesised, the corresponding aminoalcohol 246 resulting from nitrogen-oxygen bond cleavage, as laid out in Scheme 2.64.


Scheme 2.64. a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ b) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{DCM} \mathrm{c)} \mathrm{MeMgCl}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The cleavage of the nitrogen-oxygen bond was then attempted through hydrogenation; ${ }^{144}$ this proved difficult, as after 7 days, proton spectrum of the crude product revealed only $40 \%$ conversion to the amino alcohol 246 (Scheme 2.65).


Scheme 2.65. a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{MeOH}, 48$ hrs
Although a pure sample of the hydrogenolysed product was not isolated, the correlation of the new resonance in the proton NMR spectrum of this mixture of compound with the those found in the authentic sample of the amino alcohol 246, showed an exact match. This provided excellent support for the structural assignment of the minor product and the hypothesis that the products of the cyclisation reaction were the isoxazolidine 241 and regioisomer 242 (Scheme 2.63).

Previous observations suggested that the major cyclisation product resulted from trapping of a carbenium ion generated by the protonation of an alkene bond in the tertiary position 240a, under acidic conditions. ${ }^{143}$ Protonation may also occur at the nitrogen nucleophile; however, although this would initially block its ability to act as a nucleophile, this may also assist in protonation of the alkene by allowing for intramolecular proton transfer. In the case of the $O$-allylic hydroxylamines, the hydroxylamine oxygen is a further potential site for protonation. Protonation at the oxygen 240b would result in the hydroxylamine becoming a considerably better leaving group, generating $N$-tosyl hydroxylamine 248 and a relatively stabilised, allylic carbenium ion 247 (Scheme 2.66).


## Scheme 2.66.

The loss of the hydroxylamine 248 was hypothesised to be a reversible process, with this portion of the molecule able to reattach through the nucleophilic oxygen and regenerate the starting
material 240, which could then cyclise to give the expected product 241 . The hydroxylamine could also reattach to the carbenium ion through the nitrogen instead, resulting in the rearranged starting material 249. This compound could then cyclise through generation of a tertiary carbenium ion 249a followed by attack of the oxygen, yielding the minor product 242 (Scheme 2.66).

Studies into acid-catalysed cyclisations of sulphonamides, conducted by Haskins, showed a limitation in an apparent inability to synthesise piperidines via overall 6-endo-trig cyclisations. In one notable example, the sulfonamide 250, designed for the synthesis of piperidine 251, instead cyclised to yield pyrrolidine 252, an overall 5-exo-trig cyclisation, presumably occurring via rearrangement to a secondary carbenium ion intermediate and cyclisation through this species (Scheme 2.67). ${ }^{119}$


252

Scheme 2.67. Cyclisation of sulfonamide 250 resulting in unexpected 5-exo-trig product 252

In order to test whether a similar phenomenon would be observed in the cyclisation of hydroxylamines, suitable tosyl-protected hydroxylamine 254 was synthesised from the homoprenyl alcohol 148, which is commercially available (Scheme 2.68). ${ }^{143}$


Scheme 2.68. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxyphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) $\left.\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs} \mathrm{c}\right) p$ - TsCl , DMAP, pyridine, $\mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq . TfOH, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$

Application of the standard cyclisation conditions returned not only the desired six-membered cyclic product $\mathbf{2 5 5}$, but delivered it as a single product and with no need for further purification.

In the case of the homoprenyl analogue 254, loss of the hydroxylamine portion of the molecule would leave a primary carbenium ion, which is far less stable than the allylic carbenium ions shown in Scheme 2.67, thus resulting in none of the regioisomer being produced.

These findings suggested that if the hydroxylamine is not in an allylic (or perhaps similary stabilised) position, the rearrangement process does not occur and a single product is obtained. This effect was examined in the synthesis of isoxazolidine 241, where the alkene bond was repositioned so that the hydroxylamine oxygen was no longer in an allylic position, taking care that the same tertiary carbenium ion was generated.

From commercially available, iso-prenyl alcohol 256, the tosyl-protected hydroxylamine $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ was synthesised (Scheme 2.69). Exposure to triflic acid delivered the desired isoxazolidine 241, as a single product and with no further purification required.


Scheme 2.69. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxyphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) $\mathrm{NeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ c) pyridine, DMAP, $p$-TsCl, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq. TfOH, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$

Proctor had attempted the synthesis of the isoxazolidine 262 with a phenyl substituent, from (E)-cinnamyl alcohol 259 (Scheme 2.70).


Scheme 2.70. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxyphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ c) pyridine, DMAP, $p-\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq . TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Unfortunately treatment with triflic acid resulted in the decomposition of the substrate. The decomposition could be attributed to the sensitivity of either the starting material or the reaction products to the acidic conditions. It was possible that the same kind of regioisomerisation was occurring for 261 as had been observed previously with allylic hydroxylamine 240 (Scheme 2.66). In this case an unstable intermediate carbenium species may have been generated; unfortunately, the possibility of synthesising an alternative non-allylic isomer did not exist.

Thus, in order to complete these model studies, some additional experiments were carried out at the outset of the present project. Homoallylic analogue 264 was synthesised, as this was not expected to participate in the isomerisation and could potentially deliver a 6-membered product by addition to a benzylic carbenium ion. This idea was vindicated by the isolation of the morpholine 265 in a very successful yield (Scheme 2.71).



Scheme 2.71. a) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$ b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxyphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight c) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$, $\left.\mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs} \mathrm{d}\right) p-\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight e) 0.5 eq.TfOH, DCM, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{hrs}$

The successful isolation of morpholine $\mathbf{2 6 5}$ prompted the investigation into whether its formation was due to the stability of the benzylic carbenium ion generated or if this outcome would be true for all possible morpholine syntheses involving a secondary carbenium ion. The analogue of sulfonamide 254 (Scheme 2.68) was therefore synthesised from commercially available trans-3-pentenitrile 151 as shown in Scheme 2.72.


Scheme 2.72. a) $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ then $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$ b) $\left.\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxyphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$, EtOH, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrse}$ e) $p$ - TsCl , DMAP, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, $\mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight

Unfortunately, the anticipated morpholine 268 was not isolated; instead isoxazolidine 269 was formed as a single product (Scheme 2.73).


Scheme 2.73. 0.5 eq. TfOH, $24 \mathrm{hrs}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Both the morpholine and isoxazolidine would have been formed through a secondary carbenium ion. The formation of isoxazolidine 269 was due to the 5 -exo-trig cyclisation being favoured over the 6 -endo-trig formation of morpholine 268. Again, although not strictly a Baldwin-type cyclisation as it is cationic, when the formation of the two possible carbenium ions is equally likely, the reaction will follow the pattern set out by Baldwin. Presumably morpholine $\mathbf{2 6 8}$ would be made from the 4-hexenyl analogue. Hence, despite the sensitivity and weakness of an N-O bond, with the exception of the cinamyl (and possibly crotyl) examples, they all worked well with secondary carbenium cations.

This needs to be expanded further to see if other groups, such as electron donating and withdrawing, would favour the formation of a morpholines or isoxazolidines; as well as investigating the effect of substitution pattern.

### 2.3.7.2 Transannular Cyclisations

As transannular cyclisations were successful for sulfonamide 193 (Scheme 2.50), the possibility of this occurring with hydroxylamines derivatives was investigated (Scheme 2.74).



Scheme 2.74. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{hrs} \mathrm{c}\right) p$ - TsCl , $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq.TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$

The cyclisation to oxazepane 272 was successfully achieved in a good yield without further need for purification. No evidence of the alternative product, resulting from trapping of a secondary carbenium ion, was observed.

Examples of bridged-morpholines 276, through trans-annular cyclisation were achieved from 3-methylcyclohex-2-enol 273a and 3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enol 273b, as shown in Scheme 2.75.


Scheme 2.75. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrsc}$ ) $p$ - TsCl , $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight d) 0.5 eq.TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{hrs}$

Both cyclisations were successful and high yielding. This was unexpected for isoxazolidine 276b, as it was feared that the methyl groups may cause steric hindrance and therefore prevent the cyclisation from occurring. Both examples would be extremely difficult to synthesise in such a short sequence with excellent yields.

### 2.3.7.3 Spiro-Isoxazolidines

Haskins demonstrated the stability of the sulfonamides to trap acid-generated carbenium ions could be extended to the synthesis of fused ring systems via acid catalysed poly-ene cascade reactions (Scheme 2.31), with sulfonamides acting as terminators. ${ }^{30,119}$ Both Brønsted and Lewis acid-catalysed cascade reactions had recently received considerable interest and have found applications in numerous total syntheses. ${ }^{145}$ Proctor investigated this further and achieved the synthesis of a suitable cascade precursor, starting from commercially available geraniol 277 (Scheme 2.76). ${ }^{143}$


Scheme 2.76. a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$-hydroxyphthalimide, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ c) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight

Exposure to a catalytic amount of triflic acid leads to rapid consumption of the starting material 279 and the formation of two products 280 a and 280 b , in the ratio $\sim 4: 1$ (as judged by integration of resonance in the proton NMR of crude product), which were separated by silica gel chromatography (Scheme 2.77).


Scheme 2.77. f) 0.5 eq. TfOH, $\mathrm{DCM}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{hrs}$
Working on the assessment that, in each of the cascade reactions described, the minor product resulted from isomerisation of the allylic $N$-tosyl hydroxylamine subunit, it seemed a logical approach to attempt the same tactic for preventing this isomerisation (see Scheme 2.66 on p .46 ) as had proved successful previously, i.e. repositioning of the double bond so that the hydroxylamine was no longer in the allylic position. The geraniol isomer 283 was synthesised using the method of Chong and elaborated in the standard manner. ${ }^{146}$ Application of triflic acid resulted in an initially
surprising, yet in retrospect predictable result: synthesis of the spiro-cyclic isoxazolidine 286 (Scheme 2.78).


Scheme 2.78. a) TMEDA, 2 eq. $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hrs , then $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $N$ hydroxphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight c) $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs} \mathrm{d}\right) p-\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight e) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$

For formation of the carbocyclic ring to occur, this must take place prior to the formation of the isoxazolidine ring. Protonation of the double bond furthest from the hydroxylamine moiety, followed by attack by the second double bond, leaves a tertiary carbenium species 285a that can be attacked by the hydroxylamine nucleophile to form the spirocyclic isoxazolidine 286 (Scheme 2.79).


## Scheme 2.79.

The protonation seems unlikely to be so regiospecific that a series of rapid equilibria may be present to give the presumably thermodynamic product 286.

Next the spiro-cyclic bridged isoxazolidine of homolimonenol was synthesised, through a cascade reaction to form both the bridge and the isoxazolidine. Homolimonenol 288 was first synthesised from ( $R$ )-limonene 287 utilising the method described by Blomquist, ${ }^{147}$ using paraformaldehyde in an inefficient ene reaction. The original stereochemistry was destroyed in the cyclisation to bridge spiro-cycle 291 (Scheme 2.80).


Scheme 2.80. a) paraformaldehyde, $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{IV}) \mathrm{Cl}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3$ days b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, $\mathrm{DIAD}, N$-hydroxphthalimide, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight c) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ d) $p$-TsCl, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight e) 0.5 eq . TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$

The spirocyclic bridged isoxazolidine 291 was isolated as a single isomer. The cyclisation could have occurred in either an endo- or exo-manner to give either 291a or 291b, respectively (Figure 2.15).
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Figure 2.15. Two possible isomers of $\mathbf{x 1 3 0}$
According to NMR analysis it would appear that the single isomer is in fact the exo-product 291b, which is sensible considering the reaction mechanism as shown in Scheme 2.81 .


Scheme 2.81.

### 2.4. Conclusion and Future Work

From the eclectic mixture of reactions that have been performed in this chapter some conclusions can be drawn. First and foremost, it has been shown that an ester adjacent to the nitrogen involved in the cyclisation is not required. The acid-catalysed hydroamination cyclisations as a whole have been achieved in good yields without any need for purification. In those cases where the sulfonamide nitrogen is further protected with a Boc group; this can be removed in situ in the cyclisation step, thus negating a deprotection reaction.

The hydroamination has also been shown to be applicable to the formation of bridged compounds through a trans-annular cyclisation, such as isoquinuclidine 194. Unfortunately, the designed synthetic approach to give a wider variety of isoquinuclidine derivatives was unsuccessful due to difficulties in forming sulfonamides 200 and 204. An alternative approach for these is needed to allow cyclisation to isoquinuclidines 201 (Scheme 2.52 and 2.53).

The cyclisation has also been used in the synthesis of isoxazolidines as well as morpholines, as a continuation of work started by Proctor. This also allowed the synthesis of spiro-isoxazolidines 286 and 291, which were isolated cleanly and as single isomers.

Though as a whole, the syntheses of the targeted compounds in this chapter have been successful, more still needs to be accomplished with this acid-catalysed hydroamination method. The synthesis of 3,4-disubstituted 292 and 2,3,4,5-tetrasubstituted 293 pyrrolidines still needs to be investigated (Figure 2.16).
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Figure 2.16. 3,4-Disubstituted and 2,3,4,5-tetrasubstitued pyrrolidines
Difficulties with the use of triflic acid, in terms of its shelf-life and ease of use, resulted in the use of concentrated sulfuric acid in some syntheses, which have been successful. Further investigation into the use of sulfuric acid needs to be made, especially in terms of accuracy of measurement of the acid; and insolubility in dichloromethane. This will most likely evolve into testing of other acids, most likely chiral super-acids, which would hopefully allow control of stereochemistry in the synthesised pyrrolidines.

## Chapter 3

## Chapter 3

# A Hydroamination Variant of the Pictet-Spengler Reaction 

### 3.1. Introduction

### 3.1.1. Development of the Pictet-Spengler Reaction

The condensation of $\beta$-phenethylamine $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ with carbonyl compounds in the presence of an acid catalyst to give 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines 301 (Scheme 3.1) is known as the Pictet-Spengler reaction and is a special case of the Mannich reaction. ${ }^{148,149,150,151,152}$


Scheme 3.1. a) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{HCl}$
The reaction was extended by Decker and Becker to the condensation of substituted phenethylamines 302 with various aliphatic and aromatic aldehydes $303 .{ }^{153}$


Scheme 3.2.

### 3.1.2. Tetrahydroisoquinolines

The Pictet-Spengler reaction has been used widely for the synthesis of a variety of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines 309 because of the generally mild reaction conditions. ${ }^{151,154,155}$ The proposed mechanism shown in Scheme 3.3, is believed to involve formation of a Schiff's base 308. This intermediate Schiff's base 308 has in some cases been isolated and subsequently cyclised to the isoquinoline derivative 309 by exposure to acid. ${ }^{155}$


## Scheme 3.3.

The electrophilic ring closure is induced by electron-donating substituents; this is illustrated by the cyclisation of phenylalanine 310a and its meta hydroxyl derivative 310b to the corresponding tetrahydroisoquinoline 312a and 312b by treatment with formaldehyde 311 and hydrochloric acid (Scheme 3.4). The fact that even unactivated phenethylamines can be cyclised under these conditions suggests that only a low activation energy is required for this cyclisation to occur.


## Scheme 3.4.

In general, alkoxyl groups direct the cyclisation to the para position. Thus the reaction of 3-methoxyphenethylamine 313 with formaldehyde yields only 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 314 and none of the 8 -methoxy compound $\mathbf{3 1 5}$; the same observation is made in the related Bischler-Napieralski reaction. ${ }^{152,156}$


## Scheme 3.5.

If the alkoxy groups are replaced by hydroxyl groups, the orientation rule becomes invalid and the ring closure proceeds to both ortho and para positions, such as for the treatment of phenolic compound 316 with acetaldehyde afforded a mixture of 317 and 318 , which are derivatives of xylopinine, in equal amounts (Scheme 3.6). ${ }^{\text {157,158 }}$


Scheme 3.6.

Hydrochloric acid has been the most commonly employed dehydrating agent, but sulfuric acid and acetic acid have found occasional use. ${ }^{159}$ For preparation of isoquinolines sensitive to strong acid, a modification of the Pictet-Spengler reaction has been reported using formic acid and formaldehyde, conditions of the Eschweiler-Clarke reaction. ${ }^{159,160}$ However, undesired N methylisoquinolines are formed as minor products if primary amines are used. Preparation of acidsensitive isoquinolines with a Pictet-Spengler reaction, employing a base (e.g. pyridine or triethylamine), have been reported. For example the condensation of $N$-methyl-3'hydroxyphenethylamine 319 with benzaldehyde 320 in the presence of pyridine or triethylamine gives tetrahydroisoquinoline 321 (Scheme 3.7). ${ }^{161,162}$


Scheme 3.7.

Formaldehyde, most frequently employed as the carbonyl compound in the Pictet-Spengler reaction, ${ }^{155}$ generally gives the product in excellent yield and is used preferably to methylal or sodium hydroxymethanesulfonate. ${ }^{163}$ However, pyruvic acid 323 reacts much more easily than aldehydes (Scheme 3.8). ${ }^{164}$


## Scheme 3.8.

A biogenetically patterned asymmetric synthesis of (+)-laudanosine 326 from (-)-dopa 325 has been reported by Yamada. ${ }^{165}$ Similar results were reported by Brossi, ${ }^{166}$ and a stereospecific isoquinoline synthesis has also been achieved from amino acids. ${ }^{167}$


Scheme 3.9.
Stereopecificity in the Pictet-Spengler reaction was achieved for the synthesis of 7,8-dioxygenated isoquinoline; one of the cyclisation position was blocked with bromine (see Scheme 3.6), and the methoxy group replaced by a hydroxyl group to offset the inactivation of the nucleus caused by the $-I$ effect of the bromine atom. These manipulations were anticipated to promote ring closure ortho to the hydroxyl group and the reaction of bromophenethylamine 327 with aldehyde 328 and hydrochloric acid gave the expected 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-8-hydroxy-7-methoxyisoquinoline 329 (Scheme 3.10). ${ }^{168}$ This tactic is now widely used for protoberberine alkaloid syntheses. ${ }^{169}$


## Scheme 3.10.

Asymmetric syntheses, where the chirality transfer occurs from a chiral auxiliary introduced to either the $\beta$-arylethylamine or the aldehyde component were continued from earlier studies on the Pictet-Spengler asymmetric synthesis of isoquinoline alkaloids. ${ }^{170}$ Comins investigated the influence of a chiral auxiliary, e.g. ( + )-trans-2-( $\alpha$-cumyl)cyclohexyl, appended to the amine nitrogen in 330 as well as in the aldehyde equivalent 331, which was substituted with a bromine at $\mathrm{C}-2$, on the degree of stereoselectivity in the cyclisation step (Scheme 15). ${ }^{171,172}$ The C-2 bromine not only caused an increase in stereoselectivity during the cyclisation step (tetrahydroisoquinoline 332 was formed as a mixture of diastereomers with $77 \%$ d.e.) but was helpful for separation of the diastereomeric products. The major (+)-332 was converted into aporphine alkaloid (+)-glaucine 333.
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Scheme 3.11.
The diastereoselective Pictet-Spengler reaction has also been applied to the construction of various tetrahydroisoquinoline moieties of ecteinascidine and saframycine types of antitumor alkaloids. ${ }^{173}$ ,174,175, 176,177,178,179,180

A number of asymmetric syntheses of isoquinoline alkaloids mediated by sulfur containing auxiliaries have been reported. The efficient synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinoline 337 carried out under very mild conditions has been developed from chiral $N-p$-tolylsulfinylphenylethylamine 335 and aliphatic aldehydes with excellent selectivity (e.e. $=93 \%$ and e.e. $=92 \%$ for 336a and 336b, respectively) (Scheme 3.12). ${ }^{181}$


## Scheme 3.12.

The synthesis of 1-trifluoromethyl tetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloids 340 and 341, based on the Pictet-Spengler cyclisation using a sulfinyl auxiliary to generate $\mathrm{C}-1$ quaternary stereogenic centre, was reported by Bravo (Scheme 3.13). ${ }^{182}$ The stereoselectivity achieved during the cyclisation of the sulfoxide 338 to give the tetrahydro derivative 339 was as a $6: 1$ mixture of $(1 S / R) /(1 R / R)$
diastereomers which were postulated to result from a cis geometry of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond in 338, with the two aromatic rings on the same side of this bond, thus minimising the dipole-dipole interactions between the $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bonds, and hindering the $s i$ face of the molecule.


Scheme 3.13.

### 3.1.3. Pictet-Spengler Reaction of Indoles

The reaction was originally utilised exclusively to prepare tetrahydroisoquinolines and became the standard method for their formation. ${ }^{154}$ The use of an indole base was first shown by Tatsui in 1928 during the preparation of carboline 343 (Scheme 3.14). ${ }^{183,184,185}$


Scheme 3.14.
The development of the enantioselective Pictet-Spengler reaction in recent years has rendered this condensation an important synthetic method for formation of macroline/sarpagine/ajmaline indole alkaloids. ${ }^{186,187}$ The interest in the total synthesis of indole alkaloid natural products stems from their complex structures and diverse medicinal properties. For example, vincristine and vinblastine from Catharanthus roseus ${ }^{188}$ have long been established as antitumor alkaloids ${ }^{189}$ of clinical significance, while reserpine ${ }^{190}$ as well as ajmaline ${ }^{191}$ exhibit very important cardiovascular effects (Figure 3.1). ${ }^{192}$

vincristine
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Figure 3.1.

Research on the stereospecific Pictet-Spengler condensation has lead to enantioselective intermediates, such as Cook's tetracyclic ketone 345 (Figure 3.2). ${ }^{193}$


345
Figure 3.2. Cook's tetracyclic ketone intermediate
Work in this area was preceded by the discovery that the Pictet-Spengler reaction could be effected in non-acidic aprotic media as well as under the classical conditions of acid catalysis.

The synthesis of $N_{b}$-benzyltryptophan methyl ester 347 was achieved from tryptophan methyl ester 345 and benzaldehyde at room temperature, followed by reduction of the imine $346 .{ }^{194}$ To improve conversion of ester 345 into imine 346, benzaldehyde and amine 345 were heated at reflux. Although the imine 346 was initially observed, after prolonged heating the products were the cis and trans diastereomers of 1-phenyl-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline 348 in 95\% yield (Scheme 3.15).
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## Scheme 3.15.

This result was surprising, for generally the Pictet-Spengler reaction had been carried out in a protic solvent with acid catalysts. ${ }^{195}$ It was presumed that the Pictet-Spengler reaction with the tryptophan methyl ester 345 had occurred without the aid of acid catalysts, and detailed studies were carried out.

Excellent yields of tetrahydro- $\beta$-carbolines were obtained from tryptophan methyl ester 345 and a variety of aldehydes. It was apparent from examination of the data that yields of the PictetSpengler reaction could be improved in non-acidic aprotic media, which was even more apparent when acid-labile aldehydes were used as substrates, having substituents such as acetals, esters, amides and acetonides, heretofore too labile to be used in this condensation. ${ }^{196,197}$ The yields for cyclisations carried out under non-acidic aprotic conditions were generally two or three times better than in aqueous acidic conditions.

There are two pathways for the Pictet-Spengler reaction between tryptamine derivatives 349 and carbonyl compounds (Scheme 3.16). ${ }^{198}$ The Pictet-Spengler reaction (see 349-354) has generally been thought to proceed via a spiroindolenine intermediate 352 as shown in Scheme 3.16 (path A), although Casnatiso has shown that cyclization can occur by direct attack at position 2 of the indole (path B) when very reactive electrophiles are employed. ${ }^{199}$ No matter which path occurs, it is the electrophilic nature of the carbon double bond in 351 that is the driving force of the cyclisation. ${ }^{200}$ Performing the reaction in non-acidic, aprotic media permitted the study of the correlation between the electron density on the aliphatic nitrogen atom with the ease of cyclisation, since protonation of the nitrogen atom by solvent was no longer a complicating factor. ${ }^{201}$


Scheme 3.16.
Several groups had investigated the cis/trans isomer ratios in the Pictet-Spengler reaction, all of which showed mixtures of cis and trans-isomers. ${ }^{202}$ Ungemach discovered that the reaction of $N_{b}$-benzyltryptophan methyl ester 347 with salicylaldehyde 355 provided a single diastereomer 356 in 97\% yield (Scheme 3.17). Steric interactions in this $1,2,3$-trisubstituted $\beta$-carboline were too complex to permit an unequivocal assignment using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{203}$ Removal of the $N_{b}$-benzyl function of this indole permitted comparison of the properties with authentic cis- and trans-1,3,-disubstituted $\beta$-carbolines prepared by an independent route. ${ }^{200}$ In this case, the $N_{b}$-benzyl group clearly directed this condensation in a trans stereospecific manner. ${ }^{204}$ This represented the first completely stereoselective result in the $N_{a}-\mathrm{H}$ tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline methyl ester series.


## Scheme 3.17.

The possibility that hydrogen bonding through the hydroxyl group of the salicylaldehyde 355 could have played a role in the stereoselectivity of this reaction led to the use of aldehydes devoid of the hydroxyl group. Examination of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum indicated the presence of only the trans-isomer in the reaction mixture, demonstrating that the hydroxyl group played no role in directing the stereochemical outcome of the condensation. In previous examples of this reaction
when performed in the absence of the $N_{b}$-benzyl function, formation of the cis and trans-isomer resulted. ${ }^{200}$

Previous studies have shown that strain between position 1 of the tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline and the $N_{a}$-substituent could be the dominant factor in determining the cis/trans ratio in the PictetSpengler reaction in the $N_{b}$-series. ${ }^{205}$ Smaller aldehydes were condensed with amine 347 and the cis/trans ratio examined, but no evidence of the cis isomer was seen.

### 3.1.4. Conclusion

Tetrahydroquinoline alkaloids, due to their widespread occurrence in nature, diverse biological activity (including the unnatural congeneres), ${ }^{206}$ and useful chemical properties, have become attractive targets for organic synthesis. In the past decade, a wide range of synthetic methods have been reported for the synthesis of chiral non-racemic alkaloids.
Most of these methods have been based on diastereoselective syntheses using chiral auxiliaries, usually derived from natural products, appended either to or around the nitrogen of isoquinoline (or its precursor) or in the $\mathrm{C}-1$ substituent (or its equivalent). In this context, the traditional Bischler-Napieralski cyclisation/reduction and Pictet-Spengler syntheses, as well as the addition of carbon nucleophiles to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond have been the most explored strategies. ${ }^{207}$

The major limitation of the classic Pictet-Spengler reaction towards tetrahydroisoquinolines is that only a small number of phenylamines can successfully be used in the reaction (Figure 3.3). ${ }^{151,208}$
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Figure 3.3. Phenylamines used in the classic Pictet-Spengler reaction
The detailed study of the Pictet-Spengler reaction has progressed from the discovery of improved non-acidic aprotic reaction conditions to probing the mechanistic phenomena involved. It is clear that enantiomerically pure $N_{b}$-benzyltryptophan alkyl esters can be condensed with aldehydes (acid labile or otherwise) to provide trans-1,3-disubstituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro- $\beta$-carbolines in stereospecific fashion. Pictet-Spengler reaction of these same aldehydes and esters under acidic conditions should also provide the trans isomer with $100 \%$ diastereoselectivity, albeit with slightly lower yields. ${ }^{154}$

Although the 1-substituted tetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloids are readily synthesised in an asymmetric manner, there is no one general method that would secure preparation of all types of isoquinoline alkaloids with high optical purity employing simple procedures. Usually the applied
methodologies suffer from various limitations, such as, e.g. moderate to poor yields, unsatisfactory regio- and stereoselectivity, non-availability or cost of starting materials or reagents, multistep procedures, etc. Therefore, the question of finding a more efficient and/or simpler synthetic strategy that also has greater functional group compatibility is still open. ${ }^{207}$

### 3.2. Hypothesis

Due to the limitations seen with the Pictet-Spengler reaction for the production of tetrahydroisoquinolines 361, a new synthetic approach was needed, preferably one that did not require the involvement of a directing group. It was hypothesised that if the appropriate precursors 360 could be synthesised, then a hydroamination variant of the Pictet-Spengler reaction should be possible (Scheme 3.18). ${ }^{30}$


## Scheme 3.18.

This method could potentially also be used to form dihydroisoindoles 363, through the same chemistry, with an appropriate precursor 362. This is especially interesting, since formation of dihydroisoindoles 363 utilising a Pictet-Spengler reaction are not noted in the literature (Scheme 3.19).


Scheme 3.19.

### 3.3. Results and Discussion

### 3.3.1. Proposed Synthetic Route

To arrive at the desired precursors needed for the cyclisation to give dihydroisoindoles and tetrahydroisoquinolines, a synthetic route was proposed that started from 2-bromobenzylamine 364 and 2-bromophenethylamine 365, respectively (Figure 3.4).


364


365

Figure 3.4.
These amines would be $N$-protected by tosyl groups to give sulfonamides 366 and 367 , which would then be treated with vinylboronic acids 369 to give the desired precursors. These were then hopefully to be cyclised using the hydroamination method developed by the Knight group to give either the dihydroisoindoles $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ or the tetrahydroisoquinolines $\mathbf{3 6 1}$ (Scheme 3.20).


## Scheme 3.20.

2-Bromobenzylamine 364 was readily available through commercial sources and converted into the corresponding sulfonamide 366 in excellent yield by reaction with $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride. Commercial alcohol 368 was reacted under standard Mitsunobu conditions (see Chapter 1) to give the corresponding Boc-protected sulfonamide; the Boc group was removed to give sulfonamide 367 in excellent yield (Scheme 3.21)



Scheme 3.21. a) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, TsNHBoc, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ c) TFA, DCM

### 3.3.2. Suzuki Reaction

The sulfonamides were required to undergo Suzuki reactions with various vinylboronic acids to give the precursors needed for the cyclisations. The Suzuki reaction of sulfonamide 366 with trans-2-phenylvinylboronic acid 369a was first tried with $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]_{4} \mathrm{Pd}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{DMF},{ }^{209}$ however, this reaction yielded none of the desired product 362a even when heated at reflux for 72 hours or when the reaction was performed in a microwave oven (Scheme 3.22).


## Scheme 3.22.

The failure of the Suzuki reaction may have been due to the electron rich nature of sulfonamide 366 and possibly also due to the steric hindrance that would be present at the reaction site as it is ortho to the sulfonamide.

The Eli Lilly Catalyst Laboratory provided a range of "pre-mixes" to trial for these Suzuki reactions. These pre-mixes consist of different combinations of catalyst, ligand and base and are designed to aid in the weighing of the small quantities of catalyst and ligand actually used in each reaction, especially when performed on a small scale. A selection of "pre-mixes" were screened on the reaction between sulfonamide 366 and trans-2-phenylboronic acid 369a (Scheme 3.22) and the results are shown in Table 3.1. These reactions were all carried out in a microwave oven, as recent literature had showed this to be very successful for triggering Suzuki reactions. ${ }^{246 a, 210}$

Table 3.1. Results for a variety of catalyst, ligand and base mixtures for the Suzuki reaction

| Catalyst / ligand / base mixture ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Solvent | Crude Yield of 362a / \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} / \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}{ }^{\text {2II }}$ | DMF | $0^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{PCy}_{3} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {d }}$ | $61^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{dtbpf}^{212} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMF / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {d }}$ | $63^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2} / \mathrm{dtbpf} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMF / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {d }}$ | $43^{\text {a,b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\right.$ Quinoline-8-carboxylate) $2_{2} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2 / 3}$ | DMF / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {d }}$ | $58^{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} / \mathrm{NaOH}$ | DMF / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {d }}$ | $47^{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $37^{6}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \mathrm{~W}, 30 \mathrm{~min} ;{ }^{b}$ starting material visible; ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ clean product isolated, no purification required, ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} 1: 1$ mixture
Though all reactions apart from the one involving $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ gave some moderate yields of the desired product, the best conditions for this transformation was the reaction using a combination of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 1,1$ '-bis(di-tert-butylphosphine)-ferrocene (dtbpf) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, though the yield was far from ideal. It was reasoned that a change of solvent system could possibly improve this; ${ }^{214}$ the results of this investigation are shown in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2. Effect of Solvent upon the Suzuki reaction

| Catalyst / ligand / base mixture $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Solvent | Crude Yield / \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{dtbpf} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | Dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $92^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{dtbpf} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | Toluene $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $89^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{dtbpf} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | Ethanol $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $90^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{dtbpf} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $72^{\mathrm{b}}$ |

${ }^{a} 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \mathrm{~W}, 30 \mathrm{~min} ;{ }^{b}$ starting material visible, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ clean product isolated, no purification required
All of the new solvent combinations resulted in improved yields; however, all but one of these contained starting material. The reaction performed in ethanol and water gave an excellent yield, and most importantly none of the starting materials were visible by NMR.

This optimised Suzuki reaction was then applied to the synthesis of more dihydroisoindole and tetrahydroisoquinoline precursors $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ respectively (Scheme 3.23).


Scheme 3.23. b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, dtbpf, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH} /$ Water, $100 \mathrm{~W}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$
The boronic acids chosen for these reactions were a selection of styrylboronic acids with electron withdrawing and electron donating groups 369a-e (Figure 3.5).


Figure 3.5. Styrylboronic acids for the Suzuki reaction
This allowed the assessment as to whether the electron withdrawing or donating nature of the substituents would have an effect upon the rate of cyclisation.

Another set of boronic acids to be used in the Suzuki reaction were the alkylboronic acids $369 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{h}$, including a cyclohexenyl boronic acid 369 g , which upon cyclisation, if successful, would result in formation of a spiro-compound (Figure 3.6).
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Figure 3.6. Alkyboronic acids for the Suzuki reaction
The difference in the rates of cyclisation of the aryl and alkyl derivatives, if any, can be determined by comparing these two sets of compounds.

The boronic acids $369 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{h}$ were reacted with sulfonamides 366 and 367 , to give the precursors 362 and 360 for the cyclisation hopefully to give the various dihydroisoindoles 363 and tetrahydroisoquinolines 361. The yields for the Suzuki reactions are shown in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3. Yields of the Suzuki reaction for dihydroisoindol and tetrahydroisoquinoline precursors

| Boronic Acid $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Yield / \% (n = 1) 362 | Yield / \% (n = 2) 360 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 369a | 90 | 99 |
| 369b | 81 | 85 |
| 369c | 91 | 60 |
| 369d | 99 | 99 |
| 369e | 95 | 96 |
| 369f | 83 | 69 |
| 369g | 97 | 94 |
| 369h | 95 | 86 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{dtbpf}, \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \mathrm{~W}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$

The Suzuki couplings for both the dihydroisoindole 362 and tetrahydroisoquinoline 360 precursors were all achieved in excellent yield. No purification was required and they were able to be taken directly onto the new cyclisation step. Not much difference was observed in the yields between the dihydroisoindole precursors 362 and the tetrahydroisoquinoline precursors $\mathbf{3 6 0}$; indeed, any difference observed may simply be due to experimental error.

### 3.3.3. Cyclisations

### 3.3.3.1. Dihydroisoindols

The successful synthesis of precursor 362a-h meant that cyclisation to the dihydroisoindols 363 could be tested. This was expected to proceed through benzylic carbenium ions 370a, which are then attacked by the lone pair of the sulfonamide nitrogen to give the desired product $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ via an overall 5-exo-trig process (Scheme 3.24).


## Scheme 3.24.

Of course, there is no guarantee that the carbenium ion formed is that depicted in Scheme 3.24: the protonation could occur at the other end of the double bond. In precursors $\mathbf{3 6 2 a} \mathbf{a}$ e this would also form a benzylic carbenium ion, 370b, which would result in the formation of a tetrahydroisoquinoline 371, through an overall 6-endo-trig process (Scheme 3.25).


Scheme 3.25.
There was also the distinct possibility that this cyclisation would not occur, due to $N$-protonation to 372 , followed by loss of tosylamine and cyclisation to indene 375 (Scheme 3.26).


## Scheme 3.26.

Compounds 362f-h (Scheme 3.23) would most likely form carbenium ion 370a as this would be the more stable carbenium ion, as they do not include the benzyl functionality that 362a-e possess. It was believed that the overall 5 -exo-trig cyclisation would be the favoured process, resulting in formation of the dihydroisoindols shown in Figure 3.7.
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Figure 3.7.
Compounds 363a-h were cyclised with triflic acid, the standard method for cyclisations performed by the Knight group ${ }^{30}$ and the results are shown in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4. Yields and conditions for cyclisation to afford dihydroisoindols with TfOH

| Starting <br> Material | Product | Acid $^{\text {a }}$ | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time /hrs | Yield / \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 362a | 363a | TfOH | 0 | 24 | 98 |
| 362b | 363b | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 81 |
| 362c | 363c | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 84 |
| 362d | 363d | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 71 |
| 362e | 363e | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 86 |
| 362f | 363f | TfOH | 40 | 2 | 93 |
| 362g | 363g | TfOH | 0 | 6 | 70 |
| 362h | 363h | TfOH | 40 | 3 | 100 |
| a 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM |  |  |  |  |  |

In general, the cyclisations occurred in excellent yields and no starting material was visible. Some of the lower yields may be due to loss in the work up of the reaction, a relatively standard hazard when working on a small scale. All but two of the reactions needed heating to reflux; these were the phenyl and pentenyl derivatives 363 a and 363 h . All of the aryl derivatives $363 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ required reaction times of 24 hours, whereas the alkyl substituted precursors all required less time to react. From the reaction of aryl derivatives, it can be deduced that the electron donating and withdrawing nature of the substituents have little overall effect equally, as these all required heating in comparison to the "neutral" phenyl derivative 363a.

Some difficulties were encountered with the use of triflic acid as the catalyst for the hydroamination in these reactions. Triflic acid is very water sensitive, so the stock solution used would become less efficient over time as water contamination occurred. This was frustrating as it removed the certainty that what was being added to all the reactions over time was of the same concentration. It was observed in cyclisations in Chapter 1, in reactions that involved Boc removal as well as cyclisation in the same step, that "old" stock solutions would achieve the Boc removal but then stall at the cyclisation stage. The cyclisation could be achieved if more acid was added. If the reaction of the Boc compound was then carried out with a freshly made up stock solution of triflic acid, then the Boc deprotection and cyclisation would occur in one pot. One approach to solving this problem was to replace triflic acid with an acid that was not so water sensitive, such as sulfuric acid. Sulfuric acid is cheap and readily available in any organic laboratory, it is easier to handle that triflic acid and the reactions seemed less sensitive to the presence of water. To determine the effectiveness of sulfuric acid in comparison to triflic acid, the cyclisations were
repeated using concentrated sulfuric acid as the catalyst for the hydroaminations to give the desired dihydroisoindols (Table 3.5).

Table 3.5. Yields and conditions for cyclisation to afford dihydroisoindols with concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$

| Starting <br> Material | Product | Acid $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Temperature / ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time /hrs | Yield / \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 362a | 363a | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 20 | 48 | 92 |
| 362b | 363b | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 48 | 70 |
| 362c | 363c | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 24 | 73 |
| 362d | 363d | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 24 | 67 |
| 362e | 363e | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 24 | 67 |
| 362f | 363f | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 4 | 100 |
| 362g | 363g | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 0 | 24 | 78 |
| 362h | 363h | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 3 | 98 |

${ }^{\text {a }} 2$ drops of sulfuric acid, DCM
When comparing the cyclisations using triflic acid and sulfuric acid, not much difference in the reaction conditions can be seen. Some of the reaction times have increased but the reactions still proceeded cleanly, without any by-product formation. This was very encouraging as this showed that sulfuric acid was just as potent for these cyclisations as triflic acid, despite its insolubility in dichloromethane.

Showing that the products isolated are in fact the dihydroisoindoles 363 as expected and not the tetrahydroisoquinolines 371 is difficult to do. The indene 375 alternatives (Scheme 3.26) were quick to eliminate as the spectra would lack the tosyl group attached to the nitrogen; these were clearly present in all of the isolated compounds. But how can the dihydroisoindoles 363 and their isomers tetrahydroisoquinoline 371 be distinguished? As they are isomers, they have the same mass, though there is the possibility of a difference in fragmentation between the two isomers, it could be difficult to empirically assign the two. Unfortunately, none of the compounds are solids so X-ray crystallography was ruled out. One crude method involves analysis of the NMR spectra, looking at the likely resonances and coupling patterns for the isomers.

The simplest isomers to distinguish are those of spiro-dihydroisoindole $\mathbf{3 6 3 g}$ and fused bicyclic tetrahydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{3 7 1 g}$ (Figure 3.8). The most obvious distinction would be visible in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum; the dihydroisoindole $\mathbf{3 6 3 g}$ would have a quaternary for the spiro-center that would not be present in the fused compound $\mathbf{3 7 1}$. This quaternary is very clearly present in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum at 74 ppm .


Figure 3.8. Relevant resonances for spiro-dihydroisoindole 363g and bicyclic tetrahydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{3 7 1 g}$
From this structure we can also extrapolate the possible resonances for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ adjacent to the nitrogen and benzene ring; which resonated as a singlet at 4.53 ppm for $\mathbf{3 6 3 g}$.

The next compound that was examined was $\mathbf{3 6 3 h}$. If the tetrahydroisoquinoline 371 h had been isolated instead of the desired isoindole derivative $\mathbf{3 6 3 h}$, then four benzylic protons would be visible, the four proton at positions 3 and 5 (Figure 3.9), rather than the three expected for $\mathbf{3 6 3 h}$, potions at positions 1 and 2 (Figure 3.9). This is true for all the of the dihydroisoindole derivatives 363, apart from spiro-dihydroisoindole 363g. The proton spectrum showed that only three benzylic protons were present; the two protons at position 2 were observed at 4.58 and 4.51 ppm as doublets with a spliting of 14.8 Hz . The proton adjacent to the nitrogen resonated at 4.92 as an apparent singlet. The resonance for the CH alpha to the nitrogen would also shift significantly upfield to around 3 ppm , if it were the tetrahydroisoquinoline 371.
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Figure 3.9. Relevant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance for dihydroisoindole 363 h and tetrahydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{3 7 1 \mathrm { h }}$
A more challenging structure to assign was that of phenyl derivative 363a (Figure 3.10). No significant difference in the resonances would be apparent between the two isomers. The assignment as to which isomer had been isolated was based purely on comparison to the resonances and splitting patterns observed for compounds that have been positively identified as dihydroisoindoles. The two protons at position 2, alpha to the nitrogen, resonated at 4.53 and 4.12 ppm as doublets with a coupling constant of 15.9 Hz , which is consistent with the coupling constant measured for dihydroisoindole 363 h and others.
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Figure 3.10. Relevant resonaces for dihydroisoindole 363a and tetrahydroisoquinoline 371a

### 3.3.3.2. Tetrahydroisoquinolines

The success of the cyclisations towards the dihydroisoindole derivatives 363 gave hope that these cyclisations would also be successful for the tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives 361 (Scheme 3.18).

As for the dihydroisoindole precursors 362, two carbenium ions could be formed, after protonation of the double bond of $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ (Scheme 3.27). Carbenium ion 376a would give the desired tetrahydroisoquinoline product 361 through an overall 6 -exo-trig process, which is favoured according to Baldwin's rules; whereas carbenium ion 376b would give the 7 -membered ring 377, which would occur through an overall 7-endo-trig process, which is also favoured by Baldwin's rules (Scheme 3.27).



## Scheme 3.27.

For precursors 360 there was little concern that $N$-protonation could lead to the loss of tosylamine and thus give rise to alternative cyclisation products 380a and/or 380b. The carbenium ion 379 generated (Scheme 3.28) would not be stabilised as would have been the case for the dihydroisoindole precursors 362 as shown in Scheme 3.25.


Scheme 3.28.
Due to 6 -membered rings generally being formed preferentially over 7 -membered rings, the synthesis of the tetrahydroisoquinolines 361 (Figure 3.11) was believed to possible.
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Figure 3.11.
The results for the cyclisation of $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ with triflic acid to give tetrahydroisoquinolines $\mathbf{3 6 1}$ are shown in Table 3.6.

Table 3.6. Yields and conditions for cyclisation to afford tetrahydroisoquinolines with TfOH

| Starting <br> Material | Product | Acid $^{\text {a }}$ | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time /hrs | Yield / \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 360a | 361a | TfOH | 0 | 24 | 100 |
| 360b | 361b | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 87 |
| 360c | 361c | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 72 |
| 360d | 361d | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 75 |
| 360e | 361e | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 54 |
| 360f | 361f | TfOH | 40 | 24 | 90 |
| 360g | 361g | TfOH | 0 | 24 | 83 |
| 360h | 361h | TfOH | 0 | 24 | 92 |

${ }^{2} 0.5$ eq. TfOH, DCM

As with the dihydroisoindoles 363 , the cyclisations were successful, high yielding and produced no discernable by-products. The reaction conditions, in terms of temperatures for the cyclisations to give both 363 and 361 were, overall, much the same, although the alkyl derivatives of the dihydroisoindoles $363 \mathrm{f} \mathbf{- g}$ were formed considerably faster than those of the tetrahydroisoquinolines 361f-g. Again the aryl compounds showed no difference in reaction conditions when comparing the electron withdrawing and donating nature of the substituents. The alkyl derivatives $\mathbf{3 6 1 f}-\mathrm{h}$ reacted under milder conditions that the aryl derivatives $\mathbf{3 6 1 a} \mathbf{- e}$, with the notable exception of $\mathbf{3 6 1 f}$, which requires heating, unlike the equivalent dihydroisoindole 363f.

Some of the cyclisations were repeated with concentrated sulfuric acid, as with the dihydroisoindole 363; the results are shown in Table 3.7.

Table 3.7. Yields and conditions for cyclisation to afford tetrahydroisoquinolines with concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$

| Starting <br> Material | Product | Acid $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time /hrs | Yield / \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 360a | 361a | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 20 | 48 | 99 |
| 360b | 361b | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | - | - | - |
| 360c | 361c | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | - | - | - |
| 360d | 361d | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 48 | 56 |
| 360e | 361e | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 48 | 80 |
| $\mathbf{3 6 0 f}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1 f}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{3 6 0 g}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1 g}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 20 | 81 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 6 0 h}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1 h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 40 | 4 | 89 |

${ }^{a} 2$ drops of sulfuric acid, DCM
Again, when comparing the cyclisations with TfOH to those using concentrated sulfuric acid, the reactions are comparable except that the reaction times have again doubled in length, as was observed with the dihydroisoindols 363.

Evidence for the formation of the desired tetrahydroisoquinolines 361 over the 7-membered system 377 and the carbocycles $\mathbf{3 8 0}$, relied solely upon NMR data, as for the dihydroisoindoles 363 (Scheme 3.7). The carbocycles 380 could be eliminated quickly as the tosyl groups were clearly visible in the spectra. This was not unsurprising as the formation of carbocycles $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ would be mechanistically unreasonable. Formation of the 7 -membered systems 377 also seems unfeasible, as generally six-membered ring formation is favoured over 7-membered rings.

For spiro-cyclic compound $\mathbf{3 6 1 g}$, the obvious difference to that of the fused 7-ring system $\mathbf{3 7 7} \mathbf{g}$ would be the absence of the quaternary in the carbon spectra (Figure 3.12). The quaternary carbon is quite clearly present in the spectrum ( 50.0 ppm ) and thus confirming the successful synthesis of 361g. The splitting pattern for the two protons adjacent to the nitrogen was expected to show a double double doublet for each proton in this type of system. The protons would have excibited large axial-axial couplings ( $c a .14 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), two axial-equatorial interactions ( $c a .4-10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and two equatorial-equatorial interactions ( $1-4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). However, the two protons resonated at similar chemical shift to give the appearance of an apparent double double with $J=13.6$ and 7.0 Hz .
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Figure 3.12 Relevant resonance for tetrahydroisoquinoline 361 g and the 7 -membered derivative $\mathbf{3 7 7} \mathrm{g}$
Analysis of the NMR data of the reaction to give tetrahydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{3 6 1 h}$ showed that there were only three benzylic protons present, positions 1 and 3, rather than the four that would have been expected if the 7 -membered ring 377h had been isolated, position 4 and 7. Another important piece of evidence is the splitting for the CH adjacent to the nitrogen; a triplet was observed at 4.88 ppm with coupling constants of 6.5 Hz , which fits with the data that was expected for tetrahydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{3 6 1 h}$. A more complicated splitting pattern would have been present if 377h had been isolated for this proton, position 4, as it is adjacent to two $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups. The two protons adjacent to the nitrogen, position 2, showed resonances between 3.81 and 3.35 ppm as multiplets.
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Figure 3.13. Relevant resonance for tetrahydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{3 6 1 h}$ and the 7 -membered derivative $\mathbf{3 7 7 h}$
As for dihydroisoindoles 363, the phenyl derivative of the tetrahydroisoquinolines was the most challenging to assign, due to the similarity in shifts between the two isomers 361a and 377a (Figure 3.14). The triplet at 5.12 ppm with a coupling constant of 6.6 Hz , was attributed to the proton adjacent to the nitrogen of tetrahydroisoquinoline, position 1 as a more complicated splitting pattern would have been observed if 377a had been isolated.


361a


377a

Figure 3.14. Relevant resonance for tetrahydroisoquinoline 361a and the 7-membered derivative 377a

### 3.4. Remote Functional Groups

Though the derivatives of the dihydroisoindols 363 and tetrahydroisoquinolines 361 demonstrated the successful application of the acid-catalysed cyclisation from vinyl-precursors 362 and 360 , the functional groups were not overly inspiring and the scope of the reaction needed to be investigated further. This was to be done by determining if remote functional groups would successfully survive the acidic reaction conditions and not interfere where possible. Commercially available boronic acids 369i-n (Figure 3.15) were reacted under the conditions used for the Suzuki reactions with boronic acids $369 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{h}$ with sulfonamides 366 and 367 . Unfortunately the reactions were unsuccessful for boronic acids $\mathbf{3 6 9 i} \mathbf{- m}$.
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Figure 3.15. Boronic acids containg usefull remote functional groups
Some interest was seen in having a remote alcohol group present during the cyclisation to give the dihydroisoindols 363 and tetrahydroisoquinolines 361 . The Knight group has not performed any model cyclisations with an alcohol group present in the molecule. The Pictet-Spengler reaction seemed an ideal candidate to test this out. First of all, a method for the introduction of this remote alcohol group had to be devised. Recent literature discussed the conversion of alkynes 381 into allylboronates $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ using either a rhodium catalysed hydroboration ${ }^{215}$ or a zirconium- mediated
hydroboration; ${ }^{216}$ both were tried and the rhodium-catalysed reaction was successful (Scheme 3.29).


Scheme 3.29. a) $\mathrm{RhHCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$, pinacol borane, toluene, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4$ hrs
Unfortunately, the reaction of boronic acid pinacol ester 382 with sulfonamide 366 was unsuccessful. This could possibly have been due to the alcohol group interfering with the reaction. To avoid this, the alcohol was protected with a silyl group and then transformed into the corresponding pinacol ester 382a (Scheme 3.30). ${ }^{216}$


Scheme 3.30. a) carbonchlorohydridotris(triphenylphȯspahen)Rh, pinacol borane
Unfortunately, the Suzuki reaction with the TBDMS protected alcohol 382a was again unsuccessful (Scheme 3.31).


Scheme 3.31. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{dtbpf}, \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{Water}, 100 \mathrm{~W}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$
An alternative method for the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 8 3}$ is through the utilisation of a Wittig reaction and will be discussed later.

### 3.4.1. Alternatives to Suzuki

The difficulties encountered with the Suzuki reaction and its subsequent optimisation highlights the need to look at alternate methods for the formation of these vinyl precursors. The most obvious alternatives are other palladium-catalysed cross-coupling reactions, such as the Hiyama, Kumada, Negishi, Sonogashira, Stille and Heck reactions, which all belong to the same category of Pd-catalysed cross-coupling reactions of organic halides, triflates or other electrophiles with organometallic reagents and follow a general mechanistic cycle. There are slight variations for the Hiyama and Suzuki reactions, for which an additional activation step is required for the transmetallation to occur. ${ }^{217}$ The Kumada and Negishi reaction have shown some incompatibility
with amines, therefore these reactions would require further protection of the amines 364 and 365 . Another viable reaction is the Wittig reaction

## Kumada

The Kumada coupling was developed in 1972 and was the first Pd or Ni-catalyzed cross coupling reaction. It involves the coupling of Grignard reagents 385 with alkyl, vinyl or aryl halides (or triflates) $\mathbf{3 8 4}$ under Ni-catalysis provides an economic transformation, but the reaction was limited to halide partners that do not react with organomagnesium compounds. The advantage of this reaction is the direct coupling of Grignard reagents, which avoids additional reaction steps such as the conversion of Grignard reagents into zinc compounds, as for the starting materials in the Negishi coupling. ${ }^{218,219}$


Scheme 3.33.

The ready availability and low cost of Grignard reagents make the Kumada coupling a valuable reaction for the formation of carbon-carbon bonds. Iron-catalysed Kumada coupling reactions of secondary alkyl halides encompass nearly entire reaction spectrum through bond formation with aryl, alkenyl, and alkyl Grignard reagents. The successful coupling of secondary alkyl halides with aryl Grignard reagents under iron catalysis were demonstrated by Nakamura, to produce excellent yields. ${ }^{220}$ The iron-catalysed activation of the alkyl bromide out competes the nucleophilic attack of the Grignard reagent on functional groups such as keto, ester, chloride, and nitrile groups, which makes this method extremely powerful. ${ }^{221}$

Cobalt-catalysis has a great deal of potential with regard to the cross-coupling of alkyl halides. The coupling of primary, secondary and even tertiary alkyl electrophiles with Grignard reagents was developed, ${ }^{222}$ though functional groups, such as amides, esters, and carbamates did not survive the reaction conditions. The scope of the cobalt-catalysed coupling of secondary alkyl halides and aryl Grignard reagents is limited when a phosphine ligand is used, ${ }^{223}$ but with a diamine ligand ${ }^{224}$ the reaction is quite efficient. ${ }^{221}$

## Negishi

The Negishi coupling, published in 1977, was the first reaction that allowed the preparation of unsymmetrical biaryls in good yields. The versatile nickel- or palladium-catalyzed coupling of organozinc compounds with various halides (aryl, vinyl, benzyl, or allyl) has broad scope. ${ }^{218,225}$ It is compatible with many functional groups including ketones, ester, amides, and nitriles. ${ }^{226}$



## Scheme 3.34.

The reaction of a variety of secondary alkyl electrophiles with organozinc reagents at room temperature using $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] / s B u-p y b o x,{ }^{227}$ proceeds in the presence of various functional groups, such as sulfonamides, ethers, acetals, esters, and amides. Alkyl chlorides, alkyl tosylates, and tertiary alkyl bromides do not react under these conditions. ${ }^{228}$

## Hiyama

The Hiyama coupling is a palladium-catalyzed C-C bond formation between aryl, alkenyl, or alkyl halides or pseudohalides (e.g. triflates) 390 and organosilanes 391; an activating agent such as fluoride ion or a base is required. The transmetallation step is reluctant to occur without the effect of an activating agent and lead to a pentavalent silicon compound 394 (Scheme 3.33). For the transmetallation to occur in the Hiyama coupling, fluoride activation and the formation of pentavalent silicon is essential. ${ }^{218,229}$




Scheme 3.35.

Organosilane reagents have many of the attractions of organoborane reagents, including availability, low toxicity, and high functional group compatibility. To further increase the scope of the reaction with secondary alkyl electrophiles, a nickel(II)-catalsyed coupling of aryl trifluorsilanes was developed. ${ }^{230}$ A variety of cyclic and acyclic secondary bromides and cyclic
iodides can be used, and the substrates can contain ether, imide, ketone, and carbamate functional groups. ${ }^{221}$

The Hiyama-Denmark coupling is a modification of the Hiyama Coupling, in which the palladium-catalyzed coupling of deprotonated silanols 397 with vinyl and aryl halides leads to cross-coupled products $\mathbf{4 0 0}$. Fluoride is not needed as activator, so the reaction is compatible with substrates bearing silyl-protecting groups and can be performed in large-scale reactors. The Hiyama-Denmark Coupling occurs in the presence of a base and strongly depends on the steric and electronic properties of the silicon centre. It was proposed that the mechanism for the transmetallation involves the formation of a pentavalent silicon species, suggesting that the in situgenerated silanolate forms an organopalladium complex, which is activated by a second equivalent of the silanolate prior to transmetallation. ${ }^{218,231}$



Scheme 3.36.

## Sonogashira

The Sonogashira reaction is a $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Cu}$-catalysed cross-coupling reaction of organohalides with terminal alkynes. This is closely related to the Cadiot-Chodkiewicz coupling and Castro-Stephens reaction; In contrast to the Castro-Stephens coupling, which uses stoichiometric copper, the Sonogashira variant uses catalytic palladium and copper. ${ }^{225,232,233,234}$

In 2006, the first Sonogashira coupling of unactivated secondary alkyl bromides was reported. ${ }^{235}$ The reaction proceeded well in the presence of a palladium complex with a $N$-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligand at elevated temperatures in polar solvents. ${ }^{221}$
The Sonogashira reaction could be a possibility for the synthesis of the trans-2-(4-methoxy)phenyl derivative 362 n . ${ }^{236,237,238}$ trans-2-(4-Methoxy)phenylboronic acid $\mathbf{3 6 9 n}$ is expensive to, whereas the corresponding alkyne is relatively inexpensive. The produces alkyne could then be selectively reduced to the trans-alkene (Scheme 3.31).


Scheme 3.37. a) $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ b) Lindlar catalyst, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$

## Stille

The Stille coupling was first reported in the 1970s and is a versatile C-C bond forming reaction between stannanes 403 and halides or pseudohalides (e.g. triflates) 402, with very few limitations on the R-groups (Scheme 3.38). ${ }^{239}$ Well-elaborated methods allow the preparation of different products from all of the combinations of halides and stannanes depicted below (Scheme 3.38). The main drawback is the toxicity of the tin compounds used, and their low polarity, which makes them poorly soluble in water. Stannanes are stable, but boronic acids and their derivatives undergo much the same chemistry in what is known as the Suzuki coupling. ${ }^{218}$ The triflates are more widely used, as they are readily prepared from phenols or enolisable aldehydes or ketones. In these reactions, the presence of a source of halide is needed, such as LiCl . ${ }^{240,241}$

| R-X | $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 402 | 403 | 405 | 405 |

## Scheme 3.38.

Nickel-catalysed processes with secondary electrophiles were also extended to the Still reaction by using monoorganotin reagents. ${ }^{242}$ Monoorganotin reagents are especially useful, as they are not as toxic as triorganotin reagents and do not make product purification as difficult. ${ }^{221}$

There are many known side-reactions for the Stille reaction, which include homocoupling, transfer of "non-transferable" ligands, destannylation, cine substitution and aryl migration. The most common side-products are those involving a homocoupling event. ${ }^{243,244,245,246,247,248,249}$ When electron-rich aryl- or heteroarylstannanes are used, destannylation can be a problem; ${ }^{250,251}$ however, usually the volatile side-products can be removed during work-up. Some scattered examples of cine substitution have been reported in the case of 1 -substituted 1 -stannylethylenes. ${ }^{252,253,254}$ A proposed mechanism involves insertion of the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$-aryl species across the double bond of the alkene followed by $\beta$-elimination and protodestannylation. ${ }^{225}$

## Heck

The palladium-catalyzed C-C coupling between aryl or vinyl halides and activated alkenes in the presence of a base is referred to as the Heck Reaction. The Heck reaction involves the reaction of an aryl or alkenyl halide or triflate with an alkene, resulting in an alkene product, whereby one of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds has been substituted; ${ }^{255,256}$ formally, this represents a direct functionalisation reaction. ${ }^{225}$ Recent developments in the catalysts and reaction conditions have resulted in a much broader range of donors and acceptors being amenable to the Heck Reaction. One of the benefits of the Heck Reaction is its outstanding trans selectivity. ${ }^{241,257}$


Scheme 3.39.
Oshima et al developed a cobalt-catalysed version for the coupling of secondary alkyl bromides with styrene derivatives. ${ }^{258}$ Secondary alkyl chlorides also participated in the coupling reaction, as well as a variety of styrene derivatives. A tert-butoxybarbonyl group and a carbamate group were tolerated. ${ }^{221}$

## Wittig

The Wittig Reaction allows a completely different disconnection approach compared to that used in the cross-coupling reactions (Figure 3.16).


Figure 3.16. Disconnection for both the cross-coupling approach and the Wittig reaction
Alkenes are prepared by the reaction of an aldehyde or ketone with the ylide generated from a phosphonium salt. For the synthesis towards the cyclisation precursors 362 and 360 this means that the Wittig reaction could be performed with either the aldehyde $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ or the ketone $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$, thus allowing the inclusion of greater steric hindrance (Scheme 3.40). ${ }^{259}$


Scheme 3.40.
The geometry of the resulting alkene depends on the reactivity of the ylide. Stabilized ylides give $(E)$-alkenes whereas non-stabilized ylides lead to ( $Z$ )-alkenes, but it seems unlikely that this feature affects the outcome of the present acid-catalysed conditions. ${ }^{217,241}$
Any potential difficulties that could be encountered are most likely to be possible to overcome by using the Wittig modifications, such as the Julia and Peterson Olefinations.

### 3.5. Conclusion and Future Work

The hydroamination variant of the Pictet-Spengler reaction has been successful for the synthesis of both the dihydroisoindoles 363 and tetrahydroisoquinolines 361 . Some problems were encountered with the Suzuki reaction but overcome by the use of a ferrocene bi-phosphine ligand (dtbpf) and heating in a microwave in an equal measure of ethanol and water. The inclusion of remote functional groups through a Suzuki cross-coupling failed ( $\mathbf{3 6 9 i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{m}$ ) and needs to be addressed. Further investigations into determining whether remote functional groups can survive the cyclisation reaction conditions will need to be performed.
A viable synthetic route towards precursors $\mathbf{3 6 2 i} \mathbf{- m}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 0 i} \mathbf{- m}$ needs to be developed allowing an assessment of which groups will be able to withstand the cyclisation conditions. This will most likely involve the use of one of the alternatives to the Suzuki reaction, discussed above. Ideally, a reaction starting from sulfonamides 366 and 367 , such as other cross-coupling reactions, would be preferred. The chances are that more than one of these cross-coupling will need to be used to arrive at the various remote functional groups, due to commercial availability and synthetic ease. Most likely, any reaction chosen will involve more steps towards 362 and $\mathbf{3 6 0}$, in comparison to the Suzuki.

Functional groups that are known to survive the cyclisations include esters, sulfones not connected to the reaction site, and remote allyl groups (see Chapter 2). One particular remote functional group of interest is the hydroxyl group. Can this survive the acidic cyclisation conditions? It is also of interest to see which alcohol protecting groups would be able to survive (Scheme 3.41).



Scheme 3.41.
As discussed in the introduction to this chapter, Pictet-Spengler reactions towards tetrahydroisoquinolines contain a directing methoxy group. This is not necessary for the hydroamination variant of the Pictet-Spengler reaction to occur, as the reaction proceeds through a carbenium ion, which dictates the reaction site. The plan is to include this methoxy group, not as a directing group, but instead to possibly allow further substitution after the cyclisation has been achieved (Scheme 3.42).


Scheme 3.42.

This could then also be expanded to the inclusion of other functionalities, which are incompatible with the classic Pictet-Spengler reaction, such as a nitro group 415a or a methyl ester 415b (Scheme 3.43).


Scheme 3.43.

Another area to investigate is the possibility of performing these reactions with nitrogen protecting groups that are easier to remove than the tosyl group that has been used for both the dihydroisoindols 363 and tetrahydroisoquinolines 361 . The tosyl group could easily be substituted with a nosyl group or a carbamate, both of which are fairly simple to remove (Scheme 3.44).


## Scheme 3.44.

If the hydroamination variant of the Pictet-Spengler reaction can be shown to not only be successful in the classic Pictet-Spengler constraints but to also be able to overcome these limitations, then this could be a real alternative of the Pictet-Spengler reaction.

In the future, natural product synthesis may also be on the cards using the hydroamination variant of the Pictet-Spengler reaction.

The stereochemical implications of the acid-catalysed hydroamination variant of the PictetSpengler reaction need to be investigate (Figure 3.17). Would the inclusion of a substituent adjacent to the sulfonamide affect the cyclisation? Would the predominant isomer have the substituents either side of the nitrogen be syn to one another as has, on the most part, been observed for the pyrrolidines (see Chapter 2)?
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Figure 3.17. Inclusion of substituents adjacent to the nitrogen

Chapter 4

## Chapter 4

## Ring-Contraction of Piperidines

### 4.1. Introduction

The synthesis of piperidines was deemed an important addition to the acid-catalysed hydroamination method, due to the synthetic importance of such compounds. Functionalised piperidines are among the most common building blocks in natural products, and, more interestingly, in many biologically active compounds such as pergoline, scopolamine and morphine (Figure 4.1). ${ }^{260}$

pergoline

scopolamine

morphine

Figure 4.1. Natural products containing a piperidine moitey
In Chapters 2 and 3, the acid-catalysed hydroamination had successfully been used in the formation of pyrrolidines and morpholines, as well as in the synthesis of dihydroisoindoles and tetrahydroisoquinolines. Surely this synthetic method should also be applicable to the synthesis of piperidines, especially if the distal terminus of the alkenes were fully substituted (Scheme 4.1).


## Scheme 4.1.

The anticipated synthesis of 2,2,6-trimethylpiperidine 447 from 6-methylhept-5-en-2-one 442, via the oxime $443^{261}$ and amine $444^{262}$ to sulphonamide 445 was used to test this (Scheme 4.2).


Scheme 4.2. a) Hydroxylamine. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) $\left.\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{hrs} \mathrm{c}\right) p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight

This particular example was chosen due to the tertiary carbenium ion 446 expected to form, which would facilitate an overall 6-endo-trig cyclisation, to hopefully give piperidine 447 (Scheme 4.3).


## Scheme 4.3.

Most surprisingly, little of the desired 447 was isolated; instead the corresponding isopropyl pyrrolidine 449 was formed, which would have involved formation of a secondary carbenium ion 448 and an overall 5-exo-trig cyclisation (Scheme 4.4)


## Scheme 4.4.

Though both cyclisations are favoured by Baldwin's rules, it was thought that formation of tertiary carbenium ion 446 would be favoured over secondary carbenium ion 448. However, it would appear that this is not the case.

This was unexpected as this very principle had in fact been used by Hartwig to produce piperidines, as well as pyrrolidines. ${ }^{2}$ Due to the small quantity of 447 formed in the reaction further investigations were needed. Was piperidine 447 formed initially and then converted to 449 during the course of the cyclisation reaction? The small quantity of 447 produced during the reaction was separated from pyrrolidine 449 and was exposed to the cyclisation conditions again (Scheme 4.5).


Scheme 4.5. a) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

If pyrrolidine 449 was isolated, then this would suggest that piperidine 447 must ring open and re-close to give pyrrolidine 449 . The reaction was sampled at regular intervals (Table 4.1) and analysed by proton NMR. ${ }^{263}$

Table 4.1. Exposure of 2-methylpiperidine 447 to acidic conditions ${ }^{a}$

| Time / mins | Major product | $445: 447: 449$ ratio $/ \%^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Piperidine 447 | $\sim 10: 85: 5$ |
| 15 | Pyrrolidine 449 | $\sim 0: 25: 75$ |
| 45 | Pyrrolidine 449 | $0: 7: \geq 93$ |
| 75 | Pyrrolidine 449 | $0: 0: 100$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} 0.5$ ( ${ }^{\text {TOH }}$ |  |  |

${ }^{a} 0.5$ eq. TfOH, dichloromethane, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{b}$ as approximated from ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra
As can be seen in Table 4.1, piperidine 447 was still present after 5 minutes at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but after just 15 minutes pyrrolidine 449 was the major product present in the reaction mixture. During the subsequent hour, piperidine 447 was fully converted into the pyrrolidine 449. This conversion could possibly occur though a series of equilibria, where piperidine 447 is the kinetic product and pyrrolidine 449 the thermodynamic product (Scheme 4.6).


## Scheme 4.6.

This is very similar to the ring opening and re-closure mechanism proposed for the pyrrolidine formation from amino acids discussed in Chapter 2.

In hindsight, this had already been seen in work produced by the Knight group. Haskins had attempted the synthesis of piperidine $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ and spiro-piperidine 451 (Figure 4.2); in both cases the corresponding pyrrolidines were isolated cleanly. This was surprising as both pyrrolidines were again formed via a less stable secondary carbenium ion intermediate.
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Figure 4.2. Piperidines 450 and 451

The pyrrolidine 453 was isolated instead of $\mathbf{4 5 0}$, with a cis : trans ratio of $c a .3: 2$ (from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) (Scheme 4.7). ${ }^{119}$


Scheme 4.7. (a) 0.4 eq. TfOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ no cyclisation (b) $0.6 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{TfOH}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{hr}$
Due to this unexpected result, confirmation of the pyrrolidine structure 453 was sought; the compound 453 was synthesised through an alternative method featuring an iodocyclisation (Scheme 4.8).


Scheme 4.8. a) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}$ b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$
Iodopyrrolidine 455 was isolated (cis : trans ratio of ca. 1:4) and was deiodinated to give pyrrolidine 453 and showed the same spectroscopic data as that generated by the acid-catalysed cyclisation, although the diastereomeric isomer ratios differed.

The cyclohexenyl derivative 456 also failed to give the desired spiro-piperidine 451 when treated with triflic acid; instead pyrrolidine 457 was formed essentially as a single isomer (Scheme 4.9).


## Scheme 4.9.

The lack of piperidine formation was evident from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ data, as the quaternary carbon expected for the spiro-centre was not discernable. In addition two CH signals were present at 64.8 and 40.5 ppm . Originally, the possibility of a $6 / 7$ fused system 459 was considered which would have formed via secondary carbocation 458 (Scheme 4.10).


## Scheme 4.10.

The pyrrolidine structure was, however, confirmed by X-ray crystallography. Pyrrolidine 457 was isolated as a single isomer, where the cyclohexyl and methyl ester substituents were cis to one another, as would be expected from this type of reaction and a result that was observed in the cinnamyl and crotyl derivatives 177 and 178 described in Chapter 2 (p. 35 and 37).


Figure 4.3. X-ray structure of $\mathbf{4 5 7}{ }^{119}$
Haskin's observations, as well as the failure to cleanly synthesise trimethylpiperidine 447 from sulfonamide 445, prompted further inspections of Hartwig's results. ${ }^{2}$ These showed a successful, high yielding synthesis of 2-phenylpiperidine 460 ; however, for the synthesis of 2-benzylpiperidine 461, a very low yield was noted (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2. Hartwig's yields for the synthesis of piperidines; ${ }^{2}$

| Starting Material | Product | Acid | Time | Yield $^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{TfOH}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}} 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{c}}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography
The yields for the production of 2-phenylpiperidine 460 were high for the reactions with both acids, however, the 2-benzylpiperidine 461 yield was relatively low for the cyclisation with triflic acid and none of this product 461 was isolated when using sulfuric acid. No mention was made as to what was isolated for the sulfuric acid-catalysed reaction, or the nature of other product, which was formed during the reaction with triflic acid. All that was noted was that neither any starting material nor the alternate 7 -membered product related to piperidine 461, was recovered.

### 4.2. Results and Discussion

### 4.2.1. Ring Contraction of Piperidines

Since it has been observed that 2,2,6-trimethylpiperidine 447 was rapidly converted into the corresponding isopropyl pyrrolidine 449 under hydroamination conditions, it was felt that it would be worthwhile investigating if other piperidines would undergo the same transformation (Scheme 4.11).


Scheme 4.11.
A variety of piperidines were chosen to see what effect substitution type and pattern would have on the putative ring contraction under acidic conditions (Figure 4.4).
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Figure 4.4. Piperidines proposed for rearrangement to pyrrolidines
Most of these piperidines 472 are commercially available and were treated with $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride to give the corresponding tosylpiperidines 441 (Scheme 4.12).


Scheme 4.12. a) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DMAP, DCM

Tetramethylpiperidine 468 was unfortunately not successfully tosylated. Even a strong base, such as $n$ - BuLi ( pKa 51 ), was not able to successfully affect tosylation (Scheme 4.12). This was most likely due to the steric hindrance presented by the four methyl groups surounding the nitrogen. This is consistent with the literature. ${ }^{264}$


Scheme 4.12. a) $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}, p-\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{THF}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{hrs}$
The failure in the tosylation of piperidine 468 gave the indication that crowding due to $\alpha$-substituents may have played a role in the ring-contraction of piperidine 447 to pyrrolidine 449 (Scheme 4.5).

2-Methyl-1-tosylpiperidine 474 was believed to be the very unlikely to rearrange to the related pyrrolidine 475. 2-Methylpiperidine 465 is commercially available and was tosylated and then exposed to acid at a variety of temperatures (Scheme 4.13).


Scheme 4.13. a) $p$-TsCl, DMAP, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}^{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ b) TfOH, DCM $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, toluene, $20-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
As Hartwig had synthesised piperidines with both triflic acid and sulfuric acid, both of these were used for the attempted rearrangement of piperidines to pyrrolidines. The results for 2-methylpiperidine 474 at a variety of temperatures and times, are shown in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3. Exposure of 2-methylpiperidine to acid at different temperatures

| Acid $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Solvent | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time /hrs | Conversion $/ \%^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TfOH | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| TfOH | DCM | 40 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 40 | 3 | 0 |
| TfOH | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 72 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{TfOH}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{\text {b }}}$ | Toluene | 110 | 72 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 21 |
| - | Toluene | 110 | 72 | 6 |
|  | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }} 0.5$ eq. Of TfOH or 2 drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ unless otherwise stated; ${ }^{b} 6$ drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ;{ }^{\text {c }}$ amount of pyrrolidine formed from piperidine

At relatively low temperatures, none of piperidine 474 was ring contracted to the corresponding pyrrolidine 475. However with increasing temperatures and the length of reaction time, then some conversion into pyrrolidine 475 was observed, the most pronounced example of which was a $21 \%$ conversion 475 with sulfuric acid when heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Oddly, when the reaction was left for 72 hours the amount of pyrrolidine $\mathbf{4 7 5}$ seen was lower, almost a quarter less. What is important to note is the fact that this piperidine 474 was not expected to ring contract at all; nevertheless it had, and under fairly standard acidic conditions to which piperidines are often exposed. A control reaction was performed which omitted both acids to show that the contraction was not thermally induced.

The appearance of the pyrrolidine 475 peaks can clearly be seen between 3.0 and 3.5 ppm in the proton NMR spectra shown below (Figure 4.5). There is also clear evidence of doubling of the tosyl peaks both in the aromatic region as well as of the tosyl methyl, which appears at 2.33 ppm for the pyrrolidine 475 and 2.32 ppm for piperidine 474.



Figure 4.5. Appearance of pyrrolidine 475 peaks
Since 2-methylpiperidne 474 was partly converted into pyrrolidine 475 , would moving the methyl group to the 3-position improve or hinder this conversion (Scheme 4.14)?


Scheme 4.14. a) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ b) TfOH, DCM $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, toluene, $20-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 4.4. Exposure of 3-methylpiperidine to acid at different temperatures

| Acid $^{\text {a }}$ | Solvent | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time /h | Conversion / \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{TfOH}^{\text {b }}$ | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| TfOH | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 0 |
| TfOH | Toluene | 110 | 72 | 0 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 0.5$ eq. Of TfOH or 2 drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ amount of pyrrolidine formed from piperidine
As can be seen in Table 4.4, none of piperidine 476 was ring contracted to pyrrolidine 477. This was surprising, as it had been surmised that as a tertiary carbenium ion 479 could be formed in the 3-position of the piperidine at the methyl substitution this would then favour the formation of the pyrrolidine 477 (Scheme 4.15).


## Scheme 4.15.

The ring contraction could not even be persuaded to occur when heating the reaction mixture at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. As this was felt to be the most extreme conditions that a piperidine is likely to be exposed to under acidic conditions, it was conceded that the rearrangement was unlikely to occur when a piperidine is substituted in the 3-position, rather than at its 2-position. Inital and presumably necessary carbon-nitrogen rupture by $N$-protonation to give secondary carbenium ion 478 would not be particularly favourable as there was no special steric inducement. From this it was concluded that it may not be possible to ring contract piperidines substituted at the 3-position. This is something that needs to be investigated further; unfortunately for this study no other piperidines substituted in the 3-position were available.

Returning to piperidines substituted at the 2-position, the ring contraction was then attempted on 2-phenylpiperidine 460 . This was synthesised by the reduction of 2-phenylpyrridine 480 with the aid of Adam's catalyst, ${ }^{265}$ followed by conversion into the sulfonamide 460 (Scheme 4.16). ${ }^{2}$


Scheme 4.16. a) $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}$ b) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Compound 460 was of particular interest, as it had been synthesised by Hartwig using hydroamination with an $83 \%$ yield using TfOH and $80 \%$ using sulfuric acid, meaning that in theory, the piperidine 460 should not rearrange to pyrrolidine 481, or if it does, then only to a very small extent (Scheme 4.17).


Scheme 4.17. c) TfOH, DCM 0-40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, toluene $20-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 4.5. Exposure of 2-phenylpiperidine to acids at a variety of temperatures

| Acid $^{\text {a }}$ | Solvent | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time/h | Conversion/\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TfOH | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| TfOH | DCM | 20 | 24 | 0 |
| TfOH | DCM | 40 | 24 | 0 |
| TfOH | Toluene | 110 | 72 | decomposed |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 72 | decomposed |

${ }^{\text {a }} 0.5$ eq. Of TfOH or 2 drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ;{ }^{b}$ amount of pyrrolidine formed from piperidine; decomposed: no piperidine detected

Exposure of piperidine 460 at low temperatures resulted in no conversion into pyrrolidine 481, as was also observed when heating to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. An unexpected result occurred when piperidine 460 was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours with either acid: all of piperidine 460 had disappeared according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. At first it was though that pyrrolidine $\mathbf{4 8 1}$ had been formed, however upon closer inspection of the NMR data, it became apparent that this was not the case. 2-Benzylpyrrolidine 481 had recently been synthesised by Zhang and co workers ${ }^{266}$ and comparing their spectroscopic data to that observed for the products obtained after 72 hours confirmed that the pyrrolidine $\mathbf{4 8 1}$ had definitely not been formed. This now left the issue of trying to determine what had been produced in the reaction. None of the starting piperidine 460 was evident in the spectra nor was any of the $N$-protected piperidine present. ${ }^{267}$ In fact tosyl groups were clearly visible in the spectra, which could not be the tosylate salts due to the basic work up used. The piperidine had clearly changed and the only hypothesis that seemed reasonable was that the piperidine either ring opened in some fashion, or that the tosyl group may have come off the nitrogen and then added to the phenyl. This latter theory would fit best with the observations made in the NMR spectra, as there was clearly more than one tosyl group present; which could be explained by the phenyl have ortho- and para-tosyl substitution, and possible some meta-tosyl substitution, 482a-c (Scheme 4.18).


## Scheme 4.18.

The failure in the ring contraction of 460 was most curious, considering that 2-methylpiperidine 474 had rearranged and led to a further investigation of its structure to find an explanation of this. When searching the literature a NMR study on the conformational aspects of the acyl derivatives
of cis-2,6-dimethylpiperidines by Chow came to light. They found that the interaction between the oxygen of the acyl group and the $\alpha$-equatorial methyl in 483a was severe and thus the favoured structure was 483b, where the acyl and $\alpha$-methyl groups were in an axial orientation to one another (Figure 4.6). ${ }^{268}$


Figure 4.6. Structures of axial and equatorial $\alpha$-methyl of cis-2,6-dimethylpiperidine 483
Comparison of the chemical shifts of the $\alpha$-protons for acylpiperidine 483 with the corresponding data of $N$-benzoyl-4- $t$-butylpiperidine left no doubt that the $\alpha$-protons were equatorially orientated in the former compound. The coupling patterns of the $\alpha$-protons also agreed fully with this, showing coupling constants of $c a .8 .8 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

Examination of the proton NMR data for $\mathbf{4 6 0}$ showed that the phenyl group had not adopted an axial orientation towards the $N$-tosyl group 460b but rather an equatorial orientation 460a. This was confirmed by the coupling patterns, which showed that the $\alpha$-proton at 3.84 ppm had a coupling constant of 15.4 Hz , very characteristic of an axial interaction (Figure 4.7).


Figure 4.7. Structures showing axial and equatorial $\alpha$-phenyl of 2-phenyl piperdine 460
This equatorial orientation of the phenyl group was a little surprising due to the steric crowding that must be present. When considered this further, however, it seemed logical to presume that this structure may be favoured due to the possible $\pi$-stacking of the phenyl and tosyl groups (Figure 4.8).


Figure 4.8. Possible $\pi$-stacking between the phneyl and tosyl group
During the synthesis of 2-phenylpiperidine 469, using the conditions described by Overberger and Herin, ${ }^{265}$ 2-phenylpyridine 480 over reduced to give 2-cyclohexylpiperidine 470. Though not a
compound that it had originally been planned to use in the testing of the ring contraction theory, 484 was also an appropriate compound to use and would be analogous to using an iso-propyl substituted piperidine.


Scheme 4.19. a) $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, Etanol, 2 - 3 atm . b) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

After piperidine 470 was tosylated, it was exposed to both triflic and sulfuric acid (Scheme 4.20), the results of which are shown in Table 4.6.


Scheme 4.20. c) TfOH, DCM 0-40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in toluene $20-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 4.6. 2-cyclohexylpiperidine exposed to acid at different temperatures

| Acid $^{\text {a }}$ | Solvent | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time /h | Conversion $/ \%^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TfOH | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| TfOH | Toluene | 110 | 24 | $32 \%$ |
| TfOH | Toluene | 110 | 72 | $48 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 72 | $23 \%$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 0.5$ eq. Of TfOH or 2 drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ;{ }^{b}$ amount of pyrrolidine formed from piperidine
When the cyclohexyl piperidine 484 was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with both triflic and sulfuric acid, conversion into the pyrrolidine 485 was observed. In the case of triflic acid, longer exposure resulted in more pyrrolidine 485 being formed, $48 \%$ after 72 hours, however, when the compound 484 was refluxed with sulfuric acid for 72 hours only half that amount of pyrrolidine 484 was observed. From this it can be concluded that for this type of system, triflic acid appears to be more effective at inducing ring contraction, perhaps due to it being much more soluble in toluene.

Hartwig had also synthesised the 2-benzylpiperidine 461 using a hydroamination, although only in disappointing yields (see Table 4.2). He was unable to account for alternative products other than the lack of starting material present, so compound 461 was also exposed to the acids at different temperatures (Scheme 4.21) and the results are shown in Table 4.7.


Scheme 4.21. a) $p$-TsCl, DMAP, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ b) TfOH, DCM $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, toluene, $20-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 4.7. Exposure of 2-benzylpiperidine to acid at different temperatures

| Acid $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Solvent | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time/h | Conversion/ \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TfOH | DCM | 20 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 20 | 24 | 0 |
| TfOH | DCM | 40 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 40 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 27 |
| $\mathrm{TfOH}^{\mathrm{TfOH}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Toluene | 110 | 72 | 44 |
| $\mathrm{TfOH}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 30 |
| Toluene | 110 | 24 | 57 |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 0.5$ eq. Of TfOH or 2 drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ unless otherwise stated; ${ }^{\text {b }} 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$. Of TfOH; ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 2.0$ eq. Of TfOH; ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ amount of pyrrolidine formed from piperidine

As expected, ring contraction occurred when the reaction was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours with sulfuric acid, giving a $27 \%$ conversion to pyrrolidine 486 . Triflic acid gave a $44 \%$ conversion when heated for 72 hours in toluene; increasing the amount of triflic acid but with shortened reaction time also resulted in conversion, the largest overall yield of $57 \%$ being seen for 2 equivalents of triflic acid for 24 hours at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Piperidine 487 was exposed to the acidic hydroamination conditions in order to investigate the stereochemical aspects of the contraction. The pure cis-piperidine 467 was purchased and converted into the tosylated piperidine 487 . Would the stereochemistry be retained when the ring contraction occurred or would a mixture of products be seen? From previous experience with pyrrolidine ring formation (Chapter 2), it is known that the cis-pyrrolidine is usually favoured, though both the cis- and trans-diastereomers can easily be formed. The ratio of these diastereomers can also be affected by length of reaction time and the amount of acid used in the reaction. This same phenomenon was expected for cis-2,6-dimethyl-1-tosylpiperidine 487 (Scheme 4.22); the results shown in Table 4.8.


Scheme 4.22. a) $p$-TsCl, DMAP, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ b) TfOH, DCM $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, Toluene, 20-110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Table 4.8. Exposure of 2,6-dimethylpiperine to acid at different temperatures

| Acid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Solvent | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time / h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conversion / } \%^{b} \\ & \text { 487: 488a : 488b } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TfOH | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 40 | 3 | 0 |
| TfOH | DCM | 20 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 20 | 24 | 0 |
| TfOH | DCM | 40 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 3 | 46:28:26 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 28:50:22 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Toluene | 110 | 72 | 43: 43 : 14 |
| TfOH | Toluene | 110 | 72 | 62:15:23 |
| - | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 0 |

${ }^{a} 0.5$ eq. Of TfOH or 2 drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ;{ }^{;}$amount of pyrrolidine formed from piperidine
As expected, 487 ring contracted to give two pyrrolidines, which were diastereomers of one another. From observations made by Haskins and those discussed in Chapter 2, the major pyrrolidine diastereomer was expected to be the cis-isomer 488a. The major pyrrolidine isomer when the piperidine was reacted with concentrated sulfuric acid was pyrrolidine 488a, however when using trifilic acid and refluxing in toluene for 72 hours this ratio was inverted. A control reaction was performed which omitted both acids to show that the contraction was not thermally induced.

The full assignment of these pyrrolidines cannot be made due to the difficulty in separating them from the remaining piperidine, however, from work carried out by Jones (Figure 4.9) ${ }^{269}$ and comparison with pyrrolidine 174a and 174b synthesised in Chapter 2 (Figure 4.10), a provisional assignment was made. Jones had been able to separate the two diastereomers (Figure 4.9) and assign relevant NMR resonances, ${ }^{269}$ which assisted in the assignment of diastereomer peaks for 174 (p. 31).


175


176

Figure 4.9. Structure of diastereomers synthesised by Jones ${ }^{269}$

The two methine groups alpha to the nitrogen in the pyrrolidine ring, were determined through careful integration. For the cis-isomer these appeared at $3.55-3.45 \mathrm{ppm}$, whereas in the trans-isomer, the corresponding values were $3.84-3.70 \mathrm{ppm}$. There was also a minor difference in the shift of tosyl methyl peaks for the two diastereomers. The cis isomer methyl resonates at 2.42 ppm whereas the trans-isomer methyl appeared at 2.40 ppm . This concurred with trend in data exhibited by pyrrolidines 174a and 174b in Chapter 2 (Figure 4.10).


174a


174b

Figure 4.10. Diastereomers 174a and 174b
From this it was concluded that major diastereomer is cis-pyrrolidine 488 and the minor diastereomer is trans-pyrrolidine 488b.

Was substitution even necessary for this type of ring contraction to occur? Evidence that changing the substitution pattern had an effect upon the ring contraction was seen, but did that really mean that it was necessary? Therefore, the ring contraction on the parent piperidine 489 with both acids at varying temperatures was examined (Scheme 4.23). The resulting pyrrolidine 155 (p. 26) was synthesised in Chapter 2, and therefore its characteristic NMR spectroscopic data were known.


Scheme 4.23. a) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{DIAD}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ b) TfOH, DCM $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, toluene $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Table 4.9. Exposure of piperidine to acid at different temperatures

| Acid $^{\text {a }}$ | Solvent | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Time /h | Conversion/ \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{TfOH}^{\text {b }}$ | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 20 | 3 | 0 |
| TfOH | DCM | 20 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | DCM | 20 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{TfOH}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}$ | DCM | 40 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{TfOH}^{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}$ | DCM | 40 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{TfOH}^{-}$ | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 0 |
|  | Toluene | 110 | 24 | 0 |

[^0]A pattern appeared to be emerging: as substitution $\alpha$ to the tosyl protected nitrogen increased and as the substitution increased in size, the contraction to the corresponding pyrrolidines appears to occur more readily. Thus the failure to contract the parent piperidine 489 to the corresponding pyrrolidine 155 was perhaps unsurprising. Could the ring-contraction of piperidines be mostly due to steric crowding $\alpha$ to the bulky tosyl group?

### 4.2.2. Synthesis towards Piperidines

As discussed in Schemes 4.3 and 4.4, the synthesis of piperidines 447 from bis-homoallylic sulfonamides 445 was unsuccessful, as the piperidine 447 ring contracted to give the corresponding pyrrolidine 449 (Scheme 4.24).


Scheme 4.24. a) Hydroxylamine, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{EtOH}$ b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}$ c) $p$ - $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ d) TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$

We have shown that this ring contraction can occur for other piperidines as well. The original purpose of the work described in this Chapter, however, was the synthesis of piperidines from bis-homoallylic sulfonamides. Steric factors seem key as the tosyl group is a fairly large group. It seemed reasonable that if the steric bulk of the tosyl group was playing a role in the favoured synthesis of pyrrolidines over the piperidines then surely changing the nitrogen protecting group could improve the chances of synthesising a piperidine. Returning to the proposed synthesis of 2,2,6-trimethylpiperidine (Scheme 4.3), two alternate protecting groups were tried. The first, a mesylate, was chosen due to its similarity to the tosyl protecting group. The mesylate belongs to the sulfonamide family of protecting groups but has less steric bulk and is less electron withdrawing than the tosyl. The mesyl sulfonamide 490 was to be prepared in the same manner as the tosyl sulfonamide 445 (Scheme 4.25).


Scheme 4.25. a) Hydroxylamine, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{hrs} \mathrm{c)} \mathrm{MsCl}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, DCM, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

As the tosylpiperidine 447 had been isolated after 5 minutes under the acidic cyclisation conditions but had then fully converted to the corresponding pyrrolidine 449 after 1 hour (Scheme 4.26), these two times were chosen to test the performance of the mesylate as a protecting/activating group.


Scheme 4.26. d) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \min \& 1 \mathrm{hr}$
Unfortunately, mesylate 490 gave neither clean piperidine 491 nor pyrrolidine 492. In fact all that was observed was starting sulfonamide 490 and some of amine 444 , where the mesyl group had been removed, thus making it a totally inappropriate protecting/activating group for this type of reaction.

The second protecting group, a carbamate group (Moc group), was then tried, as this is a very versatile protecting group. Haskins had investigated the possibility of using the Moc group for the acid-catalysed hydroamination of homoallylic amides to give pyrrolidines, with disappointing results. When the cyclisation involved the formation of a tertiary carbenium ion, such as that generated from the prenyl derivative 493, the cyclisation to pyrrolidine 494 occurred with a moderate yield of $69 \%$. However, when the crotyl and cinammyl derivatives 495 were subjected to the acidic-conditions, no cyclisation occurred (Scheme 4.27). ${ }^{119}$



Scheme 4.27. a) 2 eq. $\mathrm{TfOH}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) 5 eq. $\mathrm{TfOH}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{hrs}$
In the enantioselective cyclisation towards 5,5-dimethylproline 499 from $N$-protected prenylglycines 497, Jackson encountered a similar protecting group issue. ${ }^{270}$ Only the cyclisation of $N$-acetyl protected prenylglycine 497a give 5,5 -dimethyproline methy ester 498a, which was successful deprotected to give enantiomerically pure proline analogue 499 (Scheme 4.28).


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a } R=M e & R^{1}=M e & \text { Yield }=96 \% \\
b R=M e & R^{1}=H & \text { Yield }=0 \% \\
c R=(M e)_{3} C O & R^{1}=M e & \text { Yield }=0 \% \\
\text { d } R=(M e)_{3} C O & R^{1}=H & \text { Yield }=0 \%
\end{array}
$$

Scheme 4.28. a) $20 \% \mathrm{TfOH}$, Toluene, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) 1 M HCl , reflux, 90 min
Since cyclisations of $N$-carbamate protected, highly substituted homoallylic amines had been successful for both Haskins ${ }^{119}$ and Jackson, ${ }^{270}$ piperidine 501 was believed to be accessible with this type of protecting group. Carbamate 500 was synthesised in a similar manner to 445 and 490 (Scheme 4.29).


Scheme 4.29. a) Hydroxylamine, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ c) Methyl chloroformate, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 1: 1 \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{hrs}$

The carbamate 500 was exposed to sulfuric acid for 5 minutes and 1 hour at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction after 5 minutes showed the appearance of piperidine 501 and starting carbamate 500 (Scheme 4.30).


Scheme 4.30. d) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}$
Piperidine 501 was successfully isolated after 1 hour and no rearrangement to pyrrolidine 502 nor the starting sulfonamide $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ were observed. The reactions did not require any chromatography as the reaction proceeded cleanly without any by-products visible in the NMR spectra (see Figures 4.11 to 4.13 ). Both ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra support the successful synthesis of piperidine 501 ; as can be seen from Figures 4.11 through to 4.13 , carbamate 500 is clearly disappearing and piperdine 501 peaks are appearing. Most obvious is the disappearance of the allylic CH of $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ at 4.41 ppm and the appearance of the CHN of piperidine $\mathbf{5 0 1}$ at 4.14 ppm . Tantalisingly, Figure 4.12 shows the possible transient intermediate.


Figure 4.11. ${ }^{1}$ NMR spectrum of carbamate 500


Figure 4.12. Reaction of carbamate 500 in acid after 5 minutes


Figure 4.13. Reaction of carbamate 500 in acid after 1 hour, showing that only piperidine 501 was visible.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra in particular, showed that the characteristic quaternary carbon next to the nitrogen present in piperidine 501, was visible at 54.3 ppm , as was the CH next to the nitrogen at 48.3 ppm (Figure 4.14).


Figure 4.14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of piperidine 501

The quaternary carbon at 54.3 would not have been present at all if the pyrrolidine $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ had been isolated instead.

### 4.3. Conclusion and Future Work

From the work carried out in this chapter it can be concluded that piperidines will easily rearrange to the corresponding pyrrolidine under fairly standard acidic conditions, when contending with steric interference from large groups such as the tosyl group present in 464-471. This should be taken as a synthetic warning when treating crowded piperidines to acidic conditions. More work need to be carried out on the ring-contraction of piperidines, especially piperidines substituted in the 3-position, and more highly substituted piperidines.

It has also been shown that the synthesis of 2,2,6-trimethylpiperidine 501 was achieved by changing from $N$-protecting group from a sulfonamide 445 to a carbamate 500 . This allowed piperidine 501 to be isolated cleanly without any visible traces of pyrrolidine 502. This had directly lead from observations made by Haskins where a carbamate had successfully been used as a $N$-protecting group to effect the cyclisation of a prenyl derivative 493 to pyrrolidine 494, through a tertiary carbenium ion. However, when a cyclisation was to be initiated through a secondary carbenium ion, as for derivatives 495 , none of the desired pyrrolidines 496 were observed (Scheme 4.27). This seems to indicate that the synthesis of piperidines through this method may be limited to highly substituted piperidines (Figure 4.15).


Scheme 4.31. a) 0.5 eq. TfOH, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

If the synthesis of highly hindered piperidines 504 can be successfully accomplished, then the synthesis of spiro-piperidines $\mathbf{5 0 5}$ should also be attempted. The synthesis of these spiro-cycles should also include cyclohexanes that contain heteroatoms (Figure 4.15).


R = H, Me, alky, Ph
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$

505

Figure 4.15. Possible spiro-piperidines

If this can all be conducted successfully, as has been suggested by Jackson ${ }^{270,271}$ then the synthesis of a natural product should be attempted, such as the spiro-piperidine histrionicotoxin (Figure 4.16).


Figure 4.16. Structure of histrionicotoxin

Retrosynthetic analysis of this compound shows that the spiro-piperidine core of the compound could be generated by acid-catalysed hydroamination. The location of the double bond is ambigous as either regioismer 507a or 507b would hopefully give the desired spiro-piperidine 506 (Scheme 4.32). The nature of the protecting group would need further investigations.


Scheme 4.32. Retrosynthetic analysis of histrionicotoxin

Chapter 5

## Chapter 5

## Experimental

### 6.1. General Details

All non-aqueous reactions were, unless otherwise stated, conducted using oven or flame-dried glassware and under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Reactions conducted at "-78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ " were cooled using an acetone-solid carbon dioxide bath. The triflic acid (TFOH) used in all cyclisation reaction was made as 0.695 M stock solution in dichloromethane. Reactions conducted at " $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ " were cooled using an ice-water bath. Heated reactions were conducted in a stirred oil bath heated on a hotplate. Unless otherwise stated, reactions were stirred magnetically. Dry tetrahydrofuran was obtained by fresh distillation from sodium wire and a benzophenone indicator. Dry dichloromethane was obtained by fresh distillation from calcium hydride. All other dry solvents were obtained commercially from Fisher Scientific Ltd. Silica gel chromatography and filtration was performed using Matrex Silica (35-70 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). All reactions were monitored by tlc, using Merck silica gel 60 F254 pre-coated aluminium-backed plates and were visualised using ultraviolet light, potassium permanganate or ammonium molybdate. All melting points ( $\mathrm{mp}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were determined on a Kofler hot-stage apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were obtained using a Perkin Elmer 1600 series Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer, as nujol mulls on sodium chloride plates [nujol], as liquid films on sodium chloride plates [film] or as a solution in dichloromethane [DCM]. Unless otherwise stated, NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX 400 instrument with proton $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ NMR spectra recorded at 400 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra recorded at 100 MHz . Proton $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ NMR spectra recorded at 500 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra recorded at 125 MHz were obtained using a Bruker DRX500 instrument. Unless otherwise stated, spectra were obtained from dilute solutions in deuteriochloroform and at 298 K . Abbreviations used for the multiplicities are: singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), pentet (pent.), septet (sept.), unresolved multiplet (m) or combinations thereof. Apparent (app.) refers to overlapping peaks appearing to display a given multiplicity. Chemical shifts are reported relative to residual, undeuterated solvent (e.g. residual chloroform, 7.27 ppm in proton NMR). Mass spectra were recorded on a Fisons VG Platform II Mass Spectrometer using atmosphere pressure chemical ionisation [APCI]. Accurate high resolution mass spectral data were obtained at Cardiff University, using a Micromass Q-ToF Micromass Mass Spectrometer. "Evaporated" refers to solvent removal using a Buchi rotary evaporator with water pump vacuum and water bath at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactions performed in a microwave, were performed in a CEM Discover Microwave.

### 6.2. General Procedures

General Procedure A: Mitsunobu Reaction
Triphenylphosphine ( 1.2 eq.) was added to tetrahydrofuran ( 50 ml per mmol), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred for 10 min . Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( 1.01 eq .) was added and the solution stirred for 15 minutes after which it turned milky-white. Alcohol ( 1.0 eq.) was added and stirred for 20 min , followed by $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-p-toluenesulfonamide or N -hydroxyphthalimide ( 1.01 eq .). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature over night; and the solvent was then evaporated.
Work up 1: ${ }^{272}$ The residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{ml})$, filtered through silica and the silica, washed with dichloromethane $(500 \mathrm{ml})$. The solution was reduced in volume to 200 ml and washed the solution with $15 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ hydrogen peroxide in water ( 40 ml ). The two layers were separated and the organic layer washed with saturated aqueous sodium sulphite $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ to neutralise excess hydrogen peroxide. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated.

Work up 2: The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 15 ml ) and washed with $15 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ hydrogen peroxide in water ( 40 ml ). The two layers were separated and the organic layer washed with saturated aqueous sodium sulphite ( 30 ml ) to neutralise excess hydrogen peroxide. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated.

## General Procedure B: Preparation of Sulfonamides from Phthalimide

Phthalimide ( 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in ethanol $\left(10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mml}^{-1}\right)$, to which was added hydrazine monohydrate ( 1 eq.) and the mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the hydroxylamine, which was used in the next step without purification.
The hydroxylamine ( 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mml}^{-1}\right)$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Triethylamine ( 1.01 eq.) was added, followed by DMAP (a few crystals) and p-toluenesulphonyl chloride ( 1.0 eq.). The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature over night. The reaction mixture was extracted with water $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ and the combined organic layers washed with copper sulfate ( 30 ml ), water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and evaporated.

## Genreal Procedure C: Tosylation of Amines

The amine ( 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Triethylamine ( 1.01 eq .) was added, followed by DMAP (a few crystals) and $p$-toluenesulphonyl chloride ( 1.0 eq.). The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature over night. The reaction
mixture was extracted with water $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}), 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated.

## General Procedure D: Suzuki Reaction

Sulfonamide ( 1.0 eq.) and vinylboronic acid or pinacol ester ( 1.3 eq.) were suspended in a $1: 1$ mixture of water and ethanol ( 3 ml per 100 mg of sulfonamide). To this suspension was then added the Suzuki premix ( $0.544 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 1.11 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{dtbpf}$ and $\left.98.4 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)(0.425 \mathrm{~g}$ per 100 mg of sulfonamide) and the reaction placed in the microwave at $100 \mathrm{~W}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The cooled mixture was then extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated.

### 6.3. Experimental Data

tert-Butyl 4-methylpent-3-enyl(tosyl)carbamate 149a


4-Methyl-3-penten-1-ol $148(0.58 \mathrm{ml}, ~ 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was reacted with N -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-p-toluenesulfonamide ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 5.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) following general procedure A using work up 1. The crude product was recrystallised from diethyl ether to give the title compound 149a as a white solid ( 741 mg , Yield: $42 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H$ ), $7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H$ ), $5.10-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$, 3.74-3.68 (m, 2H, CH2N), 2.38-2.35 (m, 5H, Ts CH $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ CH2), 1.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.3\left(C_{q}\right), 143.9\left(C_{q}\right), 137.6$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 135.0\left(C_{q}\right), 129.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 119.8(\mathrm{CH}), 84.0\left(C_{q}\right), 46.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 29.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2981,1717$, 1456, 1380, 1132, 986, 864. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]^{+}=297.1035$; found 297.1042.

## 2,2-Dimethyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine 150a



A solution of tert-butyl 4-methyl-3-enyl(tosyl)carbamate 149a ( $741 \mathrm{mg}, 20.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane ( 20 ml ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, after which triflic acid $(1.50 \mathrm{ml}$, $10.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the resulting solution stirred for 2.5 hours. The solution was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 ml ), and the products extracted into dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organic extracts were washed with water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 150 a as a colourless solid $(0.504 \mathrm{~g}$, Yield: 95\%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{273}$ m.p.: $68-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.31\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.76-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.2\left(C_{q}\right), 132.0\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 65.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 49.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.3\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 21.5(Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). IR (nujol) v/cm ${ }^{-1}: 2926,1331,1151,1093,1010,871,811,711,677,643$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=253.1136$; found 253.1134 .

## tert-Butyl 4-methylpent-3-enyl(2-nitrophenylsulfonyl)carbamate 149b



4-Methyl-3-penten-1-ol $148(0.58 \mathrm{ml}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was reacted with tert-butyl-2nitrophenylsulfonylcarbamate ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 5.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) following general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 1. The crude product was recrystallised from diethyl ether to give the title compound 149b as a yellow oil ( 0.762 g , Yield: $40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.25-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ns, CH ), 7.68-7.64 (m, 3H, $3 \times \mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.11-5.06 (m, 1H, CH), 3.69-3.64 (m, 2H, CH2N), 2.38-2.32 (m, 2H, CH2), $1.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.5\left(C_{q}\right), 135.2\left(C_{q}\right), 134.0(\mathrm{Ns} C H) 133.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ns} C \mathrm{H}), 131.7$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 124.3(\mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH}), 119.6(\mathrm{CH}), 84.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 47.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 29.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.8\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 25.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2981,1717,1456,1380,1350,1132,986,750$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]^{+}=328.0729$; found 328.0736.

## 2,2-Dimethyl-1-(2-nitrophenylsulfonyl)pyrrolidine 150b



A solution of tert-butyl 4-methylpent-3-enyl(2-nitrophenylsulfonyl)carbamate 149 b ( 50 mg , $0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dichloromethane ( 5 ml ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, after which triflic acid ( $1.50 \mathrm{ml}, 10.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the resulting solution stirred for 1.75 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 ml ), and the products extracted into dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic extracts were washed with water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the title compound $\mathbf{1 5 0 b}$ as a colourless solid ( 36 mg Yield: 97\%).

A solution of tert-butyl 4-methylpent-3-enyl(2-nitrophenylsulfonyl)carbamate 149 b ( 50 mg $0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) in dry dichloromethane ( 5 ml ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, after which concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added and the resulting solution stirred 1.75 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 ml ), and the products extracted into dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic extracts were washed with water $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the title compound $\mathbf{1 5 0 b}$ as a colourless solid ( 22 mg Yield: 60\%).
Both samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ס 7.97-7.94 (m, 1H, Ns CH), 7.61-7.57 (m, 1H, $2 \times$ Ns CH), 7.52-7.48 (m, 1H, Ns CH), 3.45 $\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 1.87-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.5\left(C_{q}\right), 135.0\left(C_{q}\right), 133.2(\mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH}), 131.4(\mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH}), 130.2(\mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH})$, $123.8(\mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH}), 66.2\left(C_{q}\right), 50.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : 2970, 1545, 1456, 1380, 1350, 750. HRMS (APCI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=285.0909$; found 285.0897.
(E)-Pent-3-enoic acid 152 ${ }^{121}$

$30 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ hydrogen peroxide ( $13.00 \mathrm{ml}, 125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise over 20 minutes to a stirred solution of trans-3-pentenitrile $151(5.00 \mathrm{ml}, 48.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 3 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}\left(41.60 \mathrm{ml}, 125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6\right.$ eq.). The reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours and
then stirred for a further hour at room temperature. The solution was washed with diethyl ether ( 30 ml ), which was discarded. The aqueous solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the pH adjusted to $4-5$ with 6 M HCl . The suspension was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{ml})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the title compound 152 as a yellow oil ( 2.075 g , Yield: $43 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{121}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.68-5.50$ (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
( E)-Pent-3-en-1-ol 153 ${ }^{121}$


A solution of ( $E$ )-pent-3-enoic acid $152(2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in diethyl ether ( 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred ice-cooled suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(945 \mathrm{mg}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.) in diethyl ether ( 30 ml ) over 20 minutes and then stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. Water $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was added carefully and the mixture was poured into ice-diluted $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solution was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the ether extracts were washed with water ( 30 ml ) and brine ( 30 ml ), then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound 153 as an orange oil ( 1.40 g , Yield: $78 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{121}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.62-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 3.63$ ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $\left.2.29-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{2}\right), 1.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## (E)-tert-Butyl pent-3-enyl(tosyl)carbamate 154


( $E$ )-Pent-3-en-1-ol 153 ( $990 \mathrm{mg}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was reacted with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $p$ toluenesulfonamide ( $3.14 \mathrm{~g}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general procedure A using work up 2. The crude product was purification by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the title compound 154 as a white solid ( 1.95 g , Yield $50 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{274}$ m.p.: $45-48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ CH ), $5.51-5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.38-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.73-3.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts}$
$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.59\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.8\left(C_{q}\right), 141.4\left(C_{q}\right), 139.6\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(C H), 126.0$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 82.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 42.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 28.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2979,2935,2361,1729,1452,1356,1288,1258,1160,1089,971$. HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}=357.1848$; found 357.1833 .

## 2-Methyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine 155


( $E$ )-tert-Butyl pent-3-enyl(tosyl)carbamate 154 ( $64 \mathrm{mg} 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 5 ml ), to which was added triflic acid ( $0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resultant solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{ml})$. The two layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and concentrated to give the title compound 155 as a white solid ( 41 mg Yield: $91 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{275} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .: 84-87{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ CH ), 3.67-3.60(m, 1H, CHN), 3.42-3.32(m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.11-3.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.36$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.85-1.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}\right), 1.68-1.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{D}\right), 1.52-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.2\left(C_{q}\right), 134.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 56.1(\mathrm{CH}), 49.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=240.1058$; found 240.1070.
(E)-4-Phenylbut-3-en-1-ol $157^{276}$

trans-Styrylacetic acid 156 ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 9.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dry diethyl ether ( 20 ml ), added to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(460 \mathrm{mg}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.) in diethyl ether ( 40 ml ) and stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction was then quenched by the slow addition of water $(20 \mathrm{ml})$. The mixture was then poured into ice-diluted $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(20 \mathrm{ml})$, which was extracted with
diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic fractions were washed with water ( 30 ml ) and brine ( 30 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the alcohol 157 as a yellow oil $(1.36 \mathrm{~g}$, Yield: 99\%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{277}$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, $6.14(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H} J=7.2,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.76$ (app. t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.50-2.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 1.77 (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 137.7\left(C_{q}\right), 132.9(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 62.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 36.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : $3375,3026,2934,1721,1598,1462,1115,965,750,690$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{+}=146.0732$; found 146.0731 .

## (E)-tert-Butyl 4-phenylbut-3-enyl(tosyl)carbamate 158


(E)-4-Phenylbut-3-en-1-ol $157(1.37 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 9.25 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was reacted with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-p-toluenesulfonamide $(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, ~ 9.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 2. The crude product was purification by flash column chromatography (elitung silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the sulfonamide 158 as a colourless oil ( 889 mg , Yield: $24 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.38-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.51-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.24-6.14$ (m, 1H, CH), 4.01-3.91 (m, 2H, CH2N), 2.69-2.63 (m, 2H, CH2), 2.42 (s, 3 H Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.30 (s, 9 H , Boc $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 151.0\left(C_{q}\right), 144.1\left(C_{q}\right), 137.4\left(C_{q}\right), 137.3$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 132.6(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.3(C H), 126.1$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.0(\mathrm{CH}), 84.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 46.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 34.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2980,1733,1597,1462,1152,1100,965,845,750,690$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{23} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}=424.1559$; found 424.1542.

## 2-Phenyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine 159


(E)-tert-Butyl 4-phenylbut-3-enyl(tosyl)carbamate 158 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added triflic acid ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and the$ resultant solution stirred at room temperature for 48 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two layers were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 159 as a yellow solid ( 53 mg Yield: $70 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{278}$ m.p: $77-82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.14-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 4.72$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{~N}$ ), $3.59-3.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.39-3.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{CH}_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), $1.94-1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 1.83-1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.63-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{CH}_{B}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.3\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 129.3\left(C_{q}\right), 128.4$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.1\left(C_{q}\right), 127.0(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 126.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 63.3(C H N), 49.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2961,1598,1462,1152,845$, 750,690 . $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{APCl}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=302.1215$; found 302.1228.

## 5-Methylhex-4-en-2-ol 166 ${ }^{122}$



164


165


166

A 0.5 M solution of 2-methyl-1-propenylmagnesium bromide $165(35.0 \mathrm{ml}, 17.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) in tetrahydrofuran was cooled to $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Copper iodide ( $170 \mathrm{mg} 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$.) was introduced followed 15 minutes later by propylene oxide 164, which was added dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 30 minutes, the flask was then removed from the cold bath and allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 45 minutes. The reaction was quenched by adding the reaction mixture into a separating funnel containing brine ( 100 ml ) and 12 N HCl . After extracting with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ the combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to give alcohol 166 as a colourless oil ( 1.97 g , Yield: $100 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{122}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.23-5.17$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.14-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 3.67-3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 3.47-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}\right), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{3}\right), 1.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
tert-Butyl 5-methylhex-4-en-2-yl(tosyl)carbamate 167


5-Methylhex-4-en-2-ol 166 ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 17.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was treated with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-$p$-toluenesulfonamide ( $3.52 \mathrm{~g}, 17.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general method $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 2. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 167 as a yellow oil ( 3.53 g , Yield: $56 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 5.09-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.52-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 2.71-2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.43$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.34-2.36(m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$ ), $1.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 150.7\left(C_{q}\right), 143.6\left(C_{q}\right)$, $138.2\left(C_{q}\right), 134.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 121.3(\mathrm{CH}), 83.8\left(C_{q}\right), 55.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $29.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) v/cm ${ }^{-1}: 2977,1733$, 1598, 1462, 1152, 1100, 965, 845. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=368.1896$; found 368.1905 .

## 2,2,5-Trimethyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine 162



The sulfonamide 167 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added triflic acid ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{ml})$. The two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 162 as a colourless oil ( 48 mg Yield: 66\%).
The sulfonamide 167 ( $125 \mathrm{mg} 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The reaction
was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{ml})$. The two layers were separated, the aqueous layer extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and concentrated to give the title compound 162 as a colourless oil ( 71 mg Yield: 78\%).
Both samples show identical spectroscopic and analytical data. All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{279}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 3.97-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN})$, 2.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.04-1.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.71-1.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.48-1.43 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$ ), $1.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.5\left(C_{q}\right), 139.9\left(C_{q}\right), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 66.2\left(C_{q}\right)$, $57.6(\mathrm{CHN}), 40.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2968,1728,1599,1462,1152,845$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[M]^{+}=267.1293$; found 267.1296.

## (E)-5-Phenylpent-4-en-2-ol $169^{122}$



A 0.5 M solution of $(E)$-styrylmagnesium bromide 168 was formed by the following method: Magnesium fillings ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 16.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) were stirred under nitrogen for 24 hours and then suspended in tetrahydrofuran ( 33 ml ). This suspension was treated with a crystal of iodine and $\beta$-bromostyrene ( $2.10 \mathrm{ml}, 16.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the suspension was stirred vigorously until decolourisation was observed. Copper iodide ( $160 \mathrm{mg} 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the freshly prepared grignard reagent 168 ( 1.01 eq.) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed 15 minutes later by the dropwise addition of propylene oxide $164(1.10 \mathrm{ml}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) . After 30$ minutes the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for a further 45 minutes. The reaction was quenched by pouring the reaction mixture into a separating funnel containing brine $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ and 12 N HCl . After extracting with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ the combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ as a yellow oil ( 1.32 g , Yield: $48 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{280}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8$, CH ), 6.23 (app. dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.96-3.89 (m, 1H, CHO), 2.45-2.30 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 137.3\left(C_{q}\right), 133.3(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H})$,
$128.6(2 \times \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 67.4(\mathrm{CHO}), 43.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3054,1719,1446,1380,965,750,690$ HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=162.1045$; found 162.1041.
(E)-tert-Butyl 5-phenylpent-4-en-2-yl(tosyl)carbamate 170

(E)-5-Phenylpent-4-en-2-ol $\quad 169 \quad(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 8.2 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-p-toluenesulfonamide ( $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 8.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general method A using work up 2. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ as a colourless oil ( 1.39 g , Yield: $41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.35-7.20 (m, 5H, $5 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), 7.07 (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.39 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH ), 6.05 (app. dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.75-4.66 (m, 1H, CHN), 2.96-2.87 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), 2.60-2.52 (m, 1H, CH $H_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.2\left(C_{q}\right), 143.7\left(C_{q}\right), 137.7\left(C_{q}\right), 136.8$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.2(C H)$, $127.1(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 124.9(\mathrm{CH}), 84.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 40.6(\mathrm{CH}), 38.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2979,1786,1725,1598,1446,1380,1135,1112,965,827,750,690$. LRMS (ES) $433.16\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, 47 \%\right), 438.18\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}, 90 \%\right), 479.20(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeCNNa}, 100 \%)$.

## 2-Methyl-5-phenyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine 163



The sulfonamide 170 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added triflic acid ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{ml})$. The two layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 163 as a colourless oil (50 mg Yield: 66\%).

The sulfonamide 170 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for overnight. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{ml})$. The two layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 163 as a colourless oil ( 75 mg Yield: 99\%).
Both samples show identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.39-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.74-4.68$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{N}$ ), 3.96-3.89 (m, 1H, CH N ), $2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.90-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.26$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 141.7\left(C_{q}\right), 140.7\left(C_{q}\right), 137.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 126.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 124.9(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 50.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 49.6(\mathrm{CH}), 40.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2966,1785$, $1599,1446,1380,1135,827,750,690$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[M]^{+}=315.1293$; found 315.1303.
tert-Butyl hex-5-en-2-yl(tosyl)carbamate 172


5-Hexen-2-ol 173 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-$p$-toluenesulfonamide ( $2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general method $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 2. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting the silica gel with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 172 as a clear oil ( 2.63 g , Yield: $75 \%$ ). Compound reported in the literature but not spectroscopic data given. ${ }^{281}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz / $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 5.91-5.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.10-4.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.64-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.14-2.03 (m, 2H, CH2 ), 1.86-1.75 (m, 2H, CH2), $1.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, Boc $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 150.8\left(C_{q}\right), 143.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.9\left(C_{q}\right), 137.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $129.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 115.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 84.0\left(C_{q}\right), 55.0(\mathrm{CH}), 34.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.0\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2978,1729,1641,1598,1462$, 1380, 1145, 1100, 828. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]^{+}=297.1035$; found 297.1039.
(2S,5R)-2,5-Dimethyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine (cis) 174a \& (2S,5S)-2,5-dimethyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine (trans) 174b


The sulfonamide 172 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$. ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ) and cooled $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to which was added TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resultant solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$, dried the combined organic layers over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give pyrrolidines 174 as a clear oil ( 71 mg , Yield: 99\%). Cis : trans 1.0 : $\mathbf{3 . 0}$
The sulfonamide 172 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ) and cooled $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to which was added $\mathrm{TfOH}(0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resultant solution was heated to $40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried the combined organic layers over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give pyrrolidines 174 as a clear oil ( 63 mg , Yield: 88\%). Cis : trans 1.0 : $\mathbf{3 . 0}$
The sulfonamide $172(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ) and cooled $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resultant solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried the combined organic layers over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give pyrrolidines 174 as a clear oil ( 63 mg , Yield: 88\%). Cis : trans 1.0 : 1.0
See Table 2.1 on p. 31 for more details. All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{282}$ All samples show identical spectroscopic and analytical data: m.p.: 99-102 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ trans isomer: $\delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ CH ), 7.19 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.03-3.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHN}\right.$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.18-2.04 (m, 2H, CH2), 1.46-1.41(m, 2H, CH2), $1.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; cis isomer: $\delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 3.64-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), 1.54-1.47(m, 2H, CH2), $1.46-1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ trans isomer: $\delta 142.6\left(C_{q}\right), 139.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 56.2(2 \times \mathrm{CHN}), 31.2\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; cis isomer: $\delta 143.1\left(C_{q}\right), 135.3\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 57.6(2 \times C H N), 32.0$
$\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.49\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.45\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{IR}$ (neat) $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2972,1599,1462,1380,1145,828$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NSO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]=253.1137$; found 253.1141.

## Preparation of methyl esters $180{ }^{126}$



The amino acid 179 ( 1.0 eq.) was suspended in methanol ( $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ of amino acid) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thionyl chloride ( 1.5 eq.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated to give the amino acid methyl ester 180. All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{126}$

## ( $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$ ) Methyl 2-aminoacetate 180a

Glycine $179 \mathrm{a}(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 53.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) gave the title compound 180 \mathrm{a}$ as a white solid ( 4.75 g , Yield: $100 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathbf{M e}\right)$ Methyl 2-aminopropanoate 180b
Alanine 179 b ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 44.9 \mathrm{mml}, 1.0$ eq.) gave the title compound $\mathbf{1 8 0 b}$ as a clear oil ( 4.09 g , Yield: $100 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 4.06(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}) 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ) $1.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
( $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\boldsymbol{i}$-Pr) Methyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanoate 180c
Valine $179 \mathrm{c}(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 34.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) gave the title compound 180 \mathrm{c}$ as a clear oil $(4.48 \mathrm{~g}$, Yield: $100 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $2.25-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## Preparation of imines $1811^{126}$



Amino acid methyl ester 180 ( 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ of methyl ester); $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\left(0.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right.$ of methyl ester) and triethylamine ( 2.0 eq.) are added and the resultant suspension is treated with benzaldehyde ( 0.95 eq.) and stirred for 30 hours. The suspension was
filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between water ( 30 ml ) and ether ( 30 ml ), the two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 30 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated to give the imine 181. All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{126}$
( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{H}$ ) (E)-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)acetate 181a
Methyl ester 180a ( $4.75 \mathrm{~g}, 53.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compound 181 a as a colourless oil ( 5.83 g , Yield: $62 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.26$ (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $7.79-7.72$ (m, 2 H , $2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.43-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 4.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{M e}\right)(E)$-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)propanoate 181b
Methyl ester 180 b ( $4.08 \mathrm{~g}, 44.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compound 181a as a colourless oil ( 5.66 g , Yield: $65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.29$ (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $7.79-7.76$, (m, 2 H , $2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $7.43-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.52$ (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
$\mathbf{( R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\boldsymbol{i}$-Pr) (E)-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)-3-methylbutanoate 181c
Methyl ester 180a ( $4.474 \mathrm{~g}, 34.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compound 181a as a colourless oil ( 3.19 g, Yield: $43 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.24$ (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $7.81-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.44-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $\left.2.44-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})_{3}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## Cinnamyl Derivatives $182^{119,126}$



Lithium diisopropylamine was prepared by the dropwise addition of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes $(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in hexanes, 1.2 eq .) to a solution of diisopropylamine ( 1.2 eq .) in tetrahydrofuran ( $2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ of diidopropylamine) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes the solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of the $N$-(benzlidine)amino ester 181 ( 1.0 eq.) in tetrahydrofuran ( $2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) was added dropwise via a syringe, and the resulting deep red solution was stirred for 30 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

An solution of cinnamyl bromide ( 1.1 eq.) in tetrahydrofuran $\left(1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$ was added dropwise and the resulting solution stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 hour the now orange solution was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred for 1 hour, quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( $4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) and diluted with ether ( $3 \times 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ). The combined organic solutions were dried, filtered and evaporated to give the crude imines 182, which were characterised by proton NMR only.
$\left(\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathbf{H}\right)(E)$-Methyl 2-( $(E)$-benzylideneamino)-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 182a
(E)-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)acetate $181 \mathrm{a}(3.02 \mathrm{~g}, 17.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compound 182a as an orange oil ( 5.01 g , Yield: $100 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN})$, 7.82-7.13 (m, 10H, $10 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.48(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH ), $4.06\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}\right.$ ), $3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.97-2.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathbf{M e}\right)(E)$-Methyl 2-((E)-benzylideneamino)-2-methyl-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 182b ( $E$ )-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)propanoate $\mathbf{1 8 1 b}(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 5.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compund 182b as an orange oil ( 900 mg , Yield: $50 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN})$, $7.82-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 10 \times \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.47(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH ), $\left.3.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})_{2}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\mathbf{( R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\boldsymbol{i}$-Pr) (E)-Methyl 2-((E)-benzylideneamino)-2-isopropyl-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 182c
(E)-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)-3-methylbutanoate $181 \mathrm{c}(2.97 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compound 182c as an orange oil ( 2.50 g , Yield: $55 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.26$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $7.71-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 10 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.41(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.3(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.84-2.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.48-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.04$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## Crotyl Derivatives 183 ${ }^{119,126}$



Lithium diisopropylamine was prepared by the dropwise addition of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes $(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in hexanes, 1.2 eq .) to a solution of diisopropylamine ( 1.2 eq .) in tetrahydrofuran ( $2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}{ }^{-1}$ of diidopropylamine) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes the solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of the $N$-(benzlidine)amino ester 181 ( 1.0 eq.) in tetrahydrofuran ( $2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) was added dropwise via a syringe, and the resulting deep red solution was stirred for 30 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of crotyl bromide ( 1.1 eq.) in tetrahydrofuran ( $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) was added dropwise and the resulting solution stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 hour the now orange solution was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred for 1 hour, quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride $\left(4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and diluted with ether $\left(3 \times 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$. The combined organic solutions were dried, filtered and evaporated to give the crude imines 183 , which were characterised by proton NMR only.
$\left(\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathbf{H}\right)(E)$-Methyl 2-( $(E)$-benzylideneamino)hex-4-enoate 183a
(E)-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)acetate $181 \mathrm{a}(5.83 \mathrm{~g}, 32.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compound 183a as an orange oil ( 6.88 g , Yield: $90 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 7.69$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 5.53-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$, $5.34-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.69-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{M e}\right)(E)$-Methyl 2-( $(E)$-benzylideneamino)-2-methylhex-4-enoate 183b
( $E$ )-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)propanoate $181 \mathrm{~b}(5.66 \mathrm{~g}, 29.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compouns 183b as an orange oil ( 4.68 g , Yield: $65 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN})$, 7.71-7.66 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.36-7.30 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.55-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$, 5.36-5.19 (m, 1H, CH), $3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.65-2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.57(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.39 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ).
( $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\boldsymbol{i}$-Pr) (E)-Methyl 2-((E)-benzylideneamino)-2-isopropylhex-4-enoate $\mathbf{1 8 3 c}$
( $E$ )-Methyl 2-(benzylideneamino)-3-methylbutanoate $181 \mathrm{c}(3.19 \mathrm{~g}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compound 183c as an orange oil ( 1.93 g , Yield: $49 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.24$ (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $7.82-7.76$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $7.44-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.55-5.40$ (m, 1H, CH), 5.36-5.19 (m, 1H, CH), 3.74 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.65-2.45 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2}$ ), 2.44-2.35 (m, 1H, CH), $1.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

## Preparation of homoallylic sulfonamides of cinammyl derivatives $184^{119,126}$



The crude imine 182 ( 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in ether ( $8 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) and 1 M hydrochloric acid ( $8 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) was added slowly. The resulting mixture was then stirred vigorously for 2 hours. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether $\left(2 \times 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$; these organic solutions were discarded. The aqueous layer was adjusted to pH 9 with 2 M aqueous sodium hydroxide and the resulting solution extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to yield the corresponding amine, assigned by proton NMR. The amine ( 1.0 eq.) was then immediately dissolved in dichloromethane ( $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}{ }^{-1}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with tosyl chloride ( 1.2 eq .), triethylamine ( 1.2 eq.) and a few crystals of DMAP. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, quenched by addition of 2 M hydrochloric acid ( $4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}{ }^{-1}$ ) and the organic phase separated. The aqueous phase was further extracted with dichloromethane $\left(2 \times 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$. The combined organic solutions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by recrystallisation from ethyl acetate / hexanes to give the sulfonamide 184.
$\left(\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{H}\right)(\boldsymbol{E})$-Methyl 2-(4-methylphenylsulfonamido)-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 184a
182a ( $3.99 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the title compound 184 a as a white solid ( 300 mg Yield: $8.5 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30,283} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .: 88-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH})$, 7.22-7.16(m, $7 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.94$ (app. ddd, 1 H ,
$J=15.7,7.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.03$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9,5.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{~N}), 3.57$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.67-2.54 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $171.4\left(C_{q}\right), 143.0\left(C_{q}\right), 136.8\left(C_{q}\right), 136.6\left(C_{q}\right), 134.6(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ CH), $127.7(\mathrm{CH}), 127.27(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 126.3(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 122.6(\mathrm{CH}), 55.5(\mathrm{CHN}), 52.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $36.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (nujol) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3279,1744,1596,1458,1326,1212,1158,1091$, 968, 905, 857, 817, 744, 690, 665. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+1]=360.1270$, found 360.1261 .
( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{M e}$ ) (E)-Methyl 2-methyl-2-(4-methylphenylsulfonamido)-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 184b 182b ( $2.09 \mathrm{~g}, 6.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) gave the sulfonamide 184 b as a white solid ( 700 mg Yield: 27.5\%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}$ m.p.: $130-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH})$ $7.22-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.91(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$, $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 3.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right), 2.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.55$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$ ), $2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), $1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $173.5\left(C_{q}\right), 143.3\left(C_{q}\right), 139.5\left(C_{q}\right), 136.8\left(C_{q}\right), 134.8(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.5$ ( $2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}$ ), $127.6(\mathrm{CH}), 127.11(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 122.7(\mathrm{CH}), 62.5\left(C_{q}\right), 52.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (nujol): 3297, 1724, 1339, 1261, 1220, 1157, $1122,1091,973,849,820,747,696,673,656$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+1]=374.1462$, found 374.1425
( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{i}$-Pr) ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-Methyl 2-isopropyl-2-(4-methylphenylsulfonamido)-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 184c 182c ( $2.48 \mathrm{~g}, 7.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) gave the sulfonamide 184 \mathrm{c}$ as a white solid $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}$, Yield: 67.4\%). m.p: $146-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH})$, 7.34-7.16 (m, 7H, $5 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 6.46(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.40-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts}$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \& \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.4\left(C_{q}\right), 143.1\left(C_{q}\right), 140.0\left(C_{q}\right), 137.1\left(C_{q}\right), 133.5(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.4$ ( 2 x Ar CH ), $127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 124.3(\mathrm{CH}), 71.0\left(C_{q}\right), 52.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 36.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.8(\mathrm{CH}), 21.4\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (nujol): 3282, 1708, 1596, 1457, 1325, 1261, 1154, 1094, 1006, 969, 910, 855, 813, 747, 693, 666. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+1]=402.1739$, found 402.1730.

## Preparation of homoallylic sulfonamides of cinammyl derivatives $\mathbf{1 8 5}{ }^{119,126}$



The crude imine 183 ( 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in ether ( $8 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) and 1 M hydrochloric acid ( $8 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) was added slowly. The resulting mixture was then stirred vigorously for 2 hours. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ); these organic solutions were discarded. The aqueous layer was adjusted to pH 9 with 2 M aqueous sodium hydroxide and the resulting solution extracted with dichloromethane $\left(3 \times 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to yield the cbrresponding amine, assigned by proton NMR. The amine ( 1.0 eq .) was then immediately dissolved in dichloromethane ( $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}{ }^{-1}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with tosyl chloride ( 1.2 eq .), triethylamine ( 1.2 eq .) and a few crystals of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, quenched by addition of 2 M hydrochloric acid ( $4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}{ }^{-1}$ ) and the organic phase separated. The aqueous phase was further extracted with dichloromethane $\left(2 \times 4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$. The combined organic solutions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the sulfonamides 185.

183 a ( $6.88 \mathrm{~g}, 29.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the sulfonamide 185 a as a white solid ( 3.63 g , Yield: $41 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH})$, 5.65-5.44 (m, 1H, CH), 5.29-5.08 (m, 1H, CH), 4.04-3.95 (m, 1H, CHN), $\left.3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{3}\right)$, 2.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.41-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.4\left(C_{q}\right), 142.0\left(C_{q}\right), 136.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 123.4(\mathrm{CH}), 55.5(\mathrm{CHN}), 52.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 36.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{M e}\right)(E)$-Methyl 2-methyl-2-(4-methylphenylsulfonamido)hex-4-enoate 185b
$\mathbf{1 8 3 b}(4.68 \mathrm{~g}, 19.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{1 8 5 b}$ as a beige solid $(1.30 \mathrm{~g}$, Yield: 22\%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 5.55-5.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.26-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.51-2.44$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.39-2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}\right), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3), 2.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.4\left(C_{q}\right), 142.3\left(C_{q}\right), 138.6\left(C_{q}\right), 131.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 123.6(\mathrm{CH}), 62.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 53.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{i}$-Pr) ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-Methyl 2-isopropyl-2-(4-methylphenylsulfonamido)hex-4-enoate 185c 183c ( $1.93 \mathrm{~g}, 7.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) gave the sulfonamide 185 c as a beige solid ( 815 mg , Yield: $34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}) 7.22-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 6.36(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.91(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.76-2.53 (m, 2H, CH2), $2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts}$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \& \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.6\left(C_{q}\right), 142.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.5\left(C_{q}\right), 131.5(\mathrm{CH}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H})$, $124.1(\mathrm{CH}), 69.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 52.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(\mathrm{CH}), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=340.1538$; found 340.1536 .

## Cyclisations of cinnamyl derivatives $\mathbf{1 7 7} 7^{30,119}$



See Table 2.2 on p .36 form more details.
The sulphonamide 184 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( 0.5 eq .) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 hour after which a sample ( $c a .3 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was taken, which was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated. The remaining reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated to give the title compound 177 .

The sulphonamide 184 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( 1.0 eq.) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and
stirred for 1 hour after which a sample ( $c a .3 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was taken, which was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated. The remaining reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated the title compound 177 .
The sulphonamide 184 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( 2.0 eq .) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 hour after which a sample ( $c a .3 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was taken, which was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated. The remaining reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated the title compound 177 .
The sulphonamide 184 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( 5.0 eq .) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 hour after which a sample ( $c a .3 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was taken, which was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated. The remaining reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated the title compound 177 .

## $\left(\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{H}\right)(\mathbf{2 R S}, 5 R S)$ and (2RS, 5SR)-Methyl 5-phenyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine-2-carboxylate 177a

 All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}$ All samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data of inseperable diastereoisomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.10-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 6.96-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.52-2.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.83-1.67$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; minor isomer: $\delta 7.12-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $5.22(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.6,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.57-2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.13-2.04$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.66-1.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR$\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.8\left(C_{q}\right), 143.4\left(C_{q}\right), 141.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.2(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.8$ $(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 62.0(\mathrm{CH}), 61.9(\mathrm{CH}), 52.4\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ (due to ratio between isomers, minor isomer was not visible in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum). IR (nujol) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 1261,1095,800$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+}$ $=360.1270$, found 360.1266 .
$\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}\right) \quad(2 R S, 5 R S)$ and (2RS, 5SR)-Methyl 2-methyl-5-phenyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine-2carboxylate 177b
All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}$ All samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data of inseperable diastereoisomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.11-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 6.94-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.52-2.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.83-1.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; minor isomer: $\delta 7.12-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $5.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.57-2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.13-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.66-1.57 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$ ), $2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} /$ $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm})$ major isomer: $\delta 175.4\left(C_{q}\right), 142.8\left(C_{q}\right), 142.2\left(C_{q}\right), 139.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.1(2 \mathrm{x}$ Ts $C H$ ), $128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C H), 126.9(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 70.4\left(C_{q}\right), 65.9$ $(\mathrm{CH})$, $53.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; minor isomer: $\delta 175.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$, $143.3\left(C_{q}\right), 142.4\left(C_{q}\right), 137.3\left(C_{q}\right), 129.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $127.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.0(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 71.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 63.5(\mathrm{CH}), 53.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat): 2951, 1740, 1598, 1495, 1454, 1379, 1336, 1271, 1154, 1090, 1065, $996,916,876,815,757,733,702,668$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+1]=374.1426$, found 374.1412.
( $\left.\mathbf{R}^{1}=\boldsymbol{i}-\mathrm{Pr}\right)(2 R S, 5 R S$ ) and (2RS, 5SR)-Methyl 2-isopropyl-5-phenyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine-2carboxylate 177c
All samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: $\delta 7.25-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $7.08-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.14 (app. dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.06-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.68-2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$, 2.24-2.21 (m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{C}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), 2.05-2.00(m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.89-1.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{D} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; minor isomer: $\delta$ 7.25-7.16(m, 5H,5 $\times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.08-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}), 4.96(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$, minor), 3.77 (s, 3H, CH3 $), 3.37-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.51-2.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{C}\right), 2.36-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.17-2.12 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{C}$ ), $1.99-1.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{D} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, 1.17 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, minor), $0.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, minor). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 500 MHz /
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major $\&$ minor isomers: $\delta 173.4\left(C_{q}\right), 141.6\left(C_{q}\right), 141.5\left(C_{q}\right), 136.9\left(C_{q}\right), 127.6(2 \mathrm{x}$ Ts $C H$ ), $127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}) 126.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}),. 65.5\left(C_{q}\right), 51.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.7(\mathrm{CH}), 29.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (nujol): 1748, 1597, 1463, 1377, 1347, 1242, 1152, 1089, 1043, 1002, 819, 762, 722, 702, 677. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+1]=402.1739$, found 402.1739 .

## Cyclisations for isomer ratio determination for crotyl derivatives 178



See Table 2.4 on p. 38 for further details.
The sulphonamide 185 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( 0.5 eq .) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature; samples ( $c a .3 \mathrm{ml}$ ) were taken after for 1 hour, 2 hours and 3 hours, which were quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated. The remaining reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated to give the title compound 178.

The sulphonamide 184 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( 0.5 eq .) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature; samples ( $c a .3 \mathrm{ml}$ ) were taken after for 1 hour, 2 hours and 3 hours, which were quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated. The remaining reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated to give the title compound 178.
The sulphonamide 184 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( 0.5 eq .) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature;
samples (ca. 3 ml ) were taken after for 1 hour, 2 hours and 3 hours, which were quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated. The remaining reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated to give the title compound 178.
The sulphonamide 185 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( 0.5 eq.) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature; samples (ca. 3 ml ) were taken after for 1 hour, 2 hours and 3 hours, which were quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated. The remaining reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and evaporated to give the title compound 178.
 All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}$ All samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data of inseperable diastereoisomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ major isomer: $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.30(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.79-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.35$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.35-1.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; minor isomer: $\delta 7.72$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.39(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH ), 4.07-4.05 (m, 1H, CH), $3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.27-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: $\delta 174.4\left(C_{q}\right), 148.7\left(C_{q}\right), 142.6\left(C_{q}\right), 128.8$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 66.5(\mathrm{CH}), 58.2(\mathrm{CH}), 52.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; minor isomer: $\delta 174.8\left(C_{q}\right), 148.9\left(C_{q}\right), 142.8\left(C_{q}\right), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.3$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 68.4(\mathrm{CH}), 57.6(\mathrm{CH}), 52.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}\right)(2 R S, 5 R S)$ and (2RS, 5SR)-Methyl 2,5-dimethyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine-2-carboxylate 178b
All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{30}$ All samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data of inseperable diastereoisomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.87-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.32-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ minor isomer: $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.07-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21-1.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ) , $1.03(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) major isomer: $\delta 174.6\left(C_{q}\right), 149.2\left(C_{q}\right), 143.9$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 129.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 67.4(\mathrm{CH}), 57.8(\mathrm{CH}), 52.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{Ts}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; minor isomer: $\delta 175.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 149.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 143.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$, $129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 70.4(\mathrm{CH}), 57.9(\mathrm{CH}), 52.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$.
tert-Butyl (4-methylcyclohex-3-enyl)methyl(tosyl)carbamate 193


4-Methylenecyclohexyl methanol 192 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was reacted with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-p-toluenesulfonamide ( $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general procedure A using work up 2. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the title compound 193 as a white solid ( 940 mg , Yield 63\%). m.p.: 99-101 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ CH ), $7.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}\right.$ ), 5.37 (app. br. s, 1H, CH), $3.79-3.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), 2.43 (s, 3 H , Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.16-1.72 (m, $7 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}$ ), $1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc}$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 151.2\left(C_{q}\right), 144.0\left(C_{q}\right), 137.5\left(C_{q}\right), 134.0\left(C_{q}\right), 129.2$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 119.6(\mathrm{CH}), 84.1\left(C_{q}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 34.3(\mathrm{CH}), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{3}\right.$ ). IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3020,2923$, 1728, 1598, 1459, 1380, 1138, 1100, 839. HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NaS}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeCNNa}]^{+}=443.1980$; found 443.1999.

## 1-methyl-2-tosyl-2-azabicyclo[2.2.2]octane 194



The sulfonamide 193 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added triflic acid ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{ml})$ and the two layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 194 as a colourless oil ( 70 mg Yield: $96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.21 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.75 (app. d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 2.34 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.98-1.90(m, 1H, CH), 1.84-1.77 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), $1.69-1.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.50-1.44 (m, 3H, CH \& $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$ ), 1.33-1.24 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.18-1.07 (m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, 0.95-0.75 (m, 1H, CH $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.6\left(C_{q}\right), 134.1\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $C \mathrm{H}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 52.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 48.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 34.6(\mathrm{CH}), 33.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $25.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2928,1710,1598,1459,1380,1138,839$. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=280.1371$; found 280.1380 .

## 4-(Trimethylsilyloxy)cyclohexanecarbaldehyde $197{ }^{132}$



195


2-Trimethylsilyloxy-1,3-butadiene $195(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 17.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was mixed with acrolein 196 ( $2.40 \mathrm{ml}, 35.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$.), hydroquinone ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$.) and dry toluene ( 70 ml ). The resulting solution was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The solvent and any unreacted starting materials were evaporated and the residue distilled by Kugelrohr at $67-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1.2 \mathrm{~mm})$ to give the title compound 197 as an orange oil ( 2.75 g , Yield: 79\%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{132}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.46$ (br. s, 1 H , CH ), 6.46 (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $2.32-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH} / \& \mathrm{CH}), 0.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 202.4(\mathrm{CHO}), 149.6\left(C_{q}\right), 116.2(\mathrm{CH}), 47.3(\mathrm{CH}), 39.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.5$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.09\left(\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

## 4-(Hydroxymethyl)cyclohexanone $198{ }^{132}$



4-(Trimethylsilyloxy)cyclohexanecarbaldehyde $197(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 20.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 20 ml ) and added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(420 \mathrm{mg}, 11,1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.55 eq.) in tetrahydrofuran ( 40 ml ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 minutes. The suspension was allowed to warm to room temperature over 2 hours; the solution was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and water ( 12 ml ), $15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ and water $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ were added sequentially. The solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give a viscous orange oil. This oil was dissolved in methanol ( 50 ml ), a few drops of 2 M HCl and were added and the resulting suspension stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The methanol was evaporated and the residue dissolved in diethyl ether ( 30 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 198 as a clear oil ( 1.54 g , Yield: $60 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{132}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 3.51 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.52 (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), $2.40-1.84$ (m, $7 \mathrm{H} 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}$ ), 1.44-1.34(m, 2H, CH2). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 47.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 39.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $39.5\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $25.5\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=128.0837$; found 128.0837 .

## (4-Oxocyclohexyl)methyl methanesulfonate $202{ }^{133}$



4-(Hydroxymethyl)cyclohexanone $198(500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and triethylamine ( 0.82 ml , $5.86 \mathrm{ml}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) were stirred in dichloromethane ( 20 ml ), to which was added mesyl chloride ( $0.36 \mathrm{ml}, 4.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ eq.) slowly and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The solution was then washed with water ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{ml})$, water ( 30 ml ), brine ( 30 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 202 as a clear oil ( 769 mg , Yield: $96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ), $2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ms} \mathrm{CH} 3$ ), $2.42-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 210.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 72.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 39.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.5$
(Ms $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $35.9(\mathrm{CH}), 28.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=206.0613$; found 206.0612.
tert-Butyl 5-methyl-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)hex-4-enyl(tosyl)carbamate 213


Lavandulol 212 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-$p$-toluenesulfonamide ( $890 \mathrm{mg}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general method $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 2. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the title compound 213 as a clear oil ( 509 mg , Yield: $41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.12-5.05 (m, 1H, CH), $4.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}\right), 4.11-3.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, 3.61-3.48 (m, 1H, CH), 2.46 (s, 3H, Ts CH3), 2.39-2.27 (m, 1H, CH $H_{E} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ), 2.13-1.99 (m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{E}} H_{F}\right), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.1\left(C_{q}\right), 144.6\left(C_{q}\right), 143.9\left(C_{q}\right), 137.6\left(C_{q}\right), 132.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H})$, $131.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 123.1(\mathrm{CH}), 114.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 84.0\left(C_{q}\right), 49.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 47.7(\mathrm{CH}), 27.8$ $\left(\right.$ Boc $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : 2979, 1732, 1645, 1598, 1459, 1380, 1138, 1100, 965, 839. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NaS}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeCNNa}]^{+}=471.2293$; found 471.2270.
((1S,2R)-2-Methyl-5-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclopentyl)methanol 215

( $1 S, 2 R$ )-2-Methyl-5-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclopentanecarboxylic acid 215 a ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.95 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 eq.) in dry diethyl ether ( 15 ml ) was added dropwise to a suspension of lithium aluminium hydride ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 7.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dry diethyl ether ( 40 ml ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours; the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aqueous 2 M NaOH
was added dropwise until a white precipitate had formed. The suspension was filtered and washed with diethyl ether ( 30 ml ), the filtrate was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give alcohol 215 as a clear oil ( 916 mg , Yield: 100\%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{284}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.46-3.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.33$ (br. $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, OH ), 2.28-2.21 (m, 1H, CH), 2.11-1.98(m, 2H, CH2), 1.86-1.74 (m, 1H, CH), $1 . .65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.56 (s, 3H, CH3), $1.25-1.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 0.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## tert-Butyl ((1R,2R)-2-methyl-5-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclopentyl)methyl(tosyl)carbamate 216



Alcohol 215 ( $916 \mathrm{mg}, 5.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with according to general method $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 1 to give the title compound 216 as a clear oil ( 376 mg , Yield: $15 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz $/ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.90-3.57$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \& \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.73-2.69 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), 2.39-2.35 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$ ), 1.79-1.67 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}$ ), $1.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.6\left(C_{q}\right), 142.3\left(C_{q}\right), 140.1\left(C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 123.3\left(C_{q}\right), 84.6\left(C_{q}\right), 44.7(\mathrm{CH}), 41.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 32.8(\mathrm{CH}), 29.5$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]=425.2474$; found 425.2480 .

Preparation of anhydrous cerium (III) chloride from cerium (III) chloride heptahydrate ( $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} . \mathbf{7 H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ )
A 250 ml two-necked flask, round bottomed flask was equipped with a glass stopper and threeway stopcock, the flask is connected to a trap that is cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and attached to a vacuum pump. The flask is charged with powdered cerium (III) chloride heptahydrate $\left(\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ $(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ and evacuated to $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. After gradual warming to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 minutes with an oil bath, the flask is heated at $90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours with intermittent shaking. The system is filled with dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and cooled to room temperature. The solid is transferred to a mortar and quickly pulverised with a pestle. The resulting white powder and magnetic stirring bar are placed in the original flask. Gradual warming to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ over 30 minutes,
followed by evacuating at $90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 hours with intermittent shaking, gives cerium (III) chloride monohydrate $\left(\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. The cerium (III) chloride monohydrate is gradually warmed to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 minutes under reduced pressure ( $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) without stirring. Heating at $140-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ for 2 hours with gentle stirring affords a fine, white powder of anhydrous cerium (III) chloride. While the flask is still hot, the area that was not immersed in the oil bath was heated with a heat gun in order to remove the last traces o water. After introduction of nitrogen gas into the flask, the resulting anhydrous cerium (III) chloride was cooled to room temperature. One of the glass stoppers is replaced by a rubber septum under a stream of dry nitrogen.
(E)-1-Allylidene-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene and 3-allyl-1H-indene 224

$\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ ( $3.70 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ eq.) was suspended in tetrahydrofuran ( 30 ml ) and stirred overnight. The suspension was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and indanone $223(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in tetrahydrofuran ( 10 ml ) was added and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. Allylmagnesium grignard ( $10 \mathrm{ml}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 eq.) was added, resulting in an orange colour. After 30 minutes the suspension was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triethylamine ( $10.0 \mathrm{ml}, 72 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.2 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $1.60 \mathrm{ml}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 eq.) were added sequentially and the suspension was warmed to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 hour. Water $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ were added, and stirred for 30 minutes. The yellow mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic phase was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to afford the title compounds 224 as a brown oil ( 1.55 g , Yield: 99\%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{285}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)($ major $=\mathbf{2 2 4 b}$, minor $=\mathbf{2 2 4 a}) \delta 7.69(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$, major), 7.52 (dt, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.1,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$, major), $7.44-7.10$ (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$, major \& $4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$, minor), 6.59-6.23 (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}$, minor), 6.18-6.16 (m, 1H, CH, major), 6.03-5.91 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{C} H$, major), 5.20 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$, minor) 5.11 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$, major), $5.06\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}\right.$, minor), 5.04 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$, major), 3.70-3.65 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, major), 3.11-3.05 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, minor), 2.65-2.60 (m, 2 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, minor), $1.80-1.75$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, major). IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3020,2931,1711,1608,1459,750$. LRMS (APCI) 174.09 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}, 100 \%$ ).
(E)-3-(2,3-Dihydro-1H-inden-1-ylidene)propan-1-ol and 3-(1H-inden-3-yl)propan-1-ol 225


9-BBN ( $21.0 \mathrm{ml}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to 224 ( $800 \mathrm{mg} 5.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and carefully treated with $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ followed by $30 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ hydrogen peroxide in water ( 5 ml ) and heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and the aqueous layer was saturated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; the organic layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give crude 225, which was used in the next step without further purification.
(E)-tert-Butyl 3-(2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ylidene)propyl(tosyl)carbamate and tert-butyl 3-(1H-inden-3-yl)propyl(tosyl)carbamate 226


Crude alcohol 225 ( $2.29 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-$p$-toluenesulfonamide ( $3.60 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$, using work up 2. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with 20-40 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes followed dichloromethane) to give the sulfonamides 226 as an orange oil ( 588 mg , Yield: $11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (major $=\mathbf{2 2 6 b}$, minor $=\mathbf{2 2 6 a}$ ) $\delta 7.85$ (d, 4H, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$, major and minor), $7.73-7.65$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$. CH , major and minor), 7.60-7.56 (m, 2H, Ar. CH , major and minor), 7.48 (m, 2 H , Ar. CH , major and minor), 7.31-7.10 (m, 6H, Ar. CH, major; Ar CH, minor; $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$, major; $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$, minor), $5.70-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ minor isomer), $4.60(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ major isomer), 3.15-3.12 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$, major and minor), 2.70-2.67 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$, major and minor), $2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, major and minor), 2.21-2.12 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, major and minor), 1.82-1.80(m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, major and minor), 1.68-1.47 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, major and minor), $1.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right.$, major and minor). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (only the major isomer was visible) $\delta 155.2\left(C_{q}\right), 150.7$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 143.9\left(C_{q}\right), 137.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.1\left(C_{q}\right), 134.6(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 133.6\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.7$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.3(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.7(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.2(\mathrm{CH}), 123.7(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 84.0\left(C_{q}\right), 36.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$,
$34.3\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.0\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=328.1371$; found 328.1371.

## 3-(3-Chloropropyl)-1H-indene 235



Indene $234(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 ml ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2.5. M $n$-BuLi in hexanes ( $3.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$-chloro-3-iodopropane 233 ( $2.30 \mathrm{ml}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 235 as an orange oil ( 1.25 g , Yield: $75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41$ - 7.12 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $6.26-6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.64\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 3.33(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.25-2.19 (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), 2.19-2.13 (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.1\left(C_{q}\right), 144.5\left(C_{q}\right), 142.8\left(C_{q}\right), 128.7(\mathrm{ArCH}), 126.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 124.7(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 123.9$ ( Ar CH ), $118.9(\mathrm{CH}), 44.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 37.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3068$, 2923, 1712, 1602, 1459, 750, 700. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=192.0706$; found 192.0705.

## 2-(3-(1H-Inden-3-yl)propyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione 236



The chloride 235 ( $796 \mathrm{mg}, 4.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 50 ml ), treated with phthalimide potassium salt ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 8.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, water ( 30 ml ) was added and the
aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), brine ( 30 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 236 as orange oil ( 1.23 g , Yield: $98 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{286}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, 7.35-7.09 (m, 4H, $4 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), 6.23-6.21 (m, 1H, CH), $3.75\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.23$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ) , 2.55 (app. dt, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.08-2.01 (m, 2H, CH2). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.5\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 145.1\left(C_{q}\right), 144.4\left(C_{q}\right), 143.1\left(C_{q}\right), 134.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H})$, $132.3\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 128.3(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 126.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 124.6(\mathrm{ArCH}), 123.8(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 123.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H})$, $118.9(\mathrm{CH}), 38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 37.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3063,2939,1712$, 1612, 1459, 750. HRMS (CI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=304.1338$; found 304.1336.

## $N$-(3-(1H-Inden-3-yl)propyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 237




237

The phthalimide 236 ( $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 4.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general method $\mathbf{B}$ to give the sulfonamide 237 as a viscous orange oil ( 584 mg , Yield: $44 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{287}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.55$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H$ ), 7.28 (br. d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar} . \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.36-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{Ar}$. $\mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H), 5.70-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 3.15-3.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 2.70-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$ ), $2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21-2.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.82-1.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.68-1.47$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.9\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 137.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.1\left(C_{q}\right), 133.4\left(C_{q}\right)$, $134.6(\mathrm{ArCH}), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.3(\mathrm{ArCH}), 126.7(\mathrm{ArCH}), 123.7(\mathrm{Ar}$ $\mathrm{CH}), 60.0(\mathrm{CH}), 36.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 34.3\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3280$, 3064, 2926, 1712, 1598, 1459, 1380, 1139, 854, 750. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=328.1371$; found 328.1359.

## (E)-2-(4-Phenylbut-3-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 263


E)-Phenylbut-3-en-1-ol 157 ( $1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 9.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-hydroxyphthalimide $(1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 9.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.$) according to general procedure \mathbf{A}$ using work up 2 . The crude product purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 263 as a yellow solid ( 535 mg , Yield: $20 \%$ ). m.p.: $74-76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76$ (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.67 (app. dd, 2 H , $J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.32-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.21$ $(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.27\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.70-2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.7\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 140.3\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(C_{q}\right), 134.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C H), 132.7(\mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 128.5(2 \times \operatorname{Ar} C H), 127.3(C H), 126.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 124.7(\mathrm{CH}), 123.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 77.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. HRMS (APCI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=294.1130$; found 294.1133.

## ( $E$ )-4-Methyl- $N$-(4-phenylbut-3-enyloxy)benzenesulfonamide 264



The phthalimide 263 ( $535 \mathrm{mg}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general method $\mathbf{B}$ and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the sulfonamide 264 as a yellow solid ( 462 mg , Yield: $62 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.38-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ CH ), $6.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.16(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.54-2.49 (m, 2H, CH2), $2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.9$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(C_{q}\right), 133.4\left(C_{q}\right), 132.2(\mathrm{CH}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 76.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 29.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=318.1164$; found 318.1170.

## 3-Phenyl-2-tosylmorpholine 265



The sulfonamide 264 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.) and the resulting solution stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 265 as a white solid ( 70 mg , Yield: $70 \%$ ). m.p.: $92-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times$ Ts CH ) , $7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.89(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN})$, 4.39-4.31(m, 1H, CH $\left.H_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.10-4.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} H_{3}\right), 2.27-2.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ), 2.06-1.98(m,1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{D}\right), 1.65-1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.1\left(C_{q}\right), 139.3\left(C_{q}\right), 133.6\left(C_{q}\right), 129.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 128.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $\mathrm{CH}), 127.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.2(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 71.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 59.7(\mathrm{CHN}), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{Ts}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{3}\right)$, $20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2954,1598,1462,1139,1100,825,750,690$. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=318.1164$; found 318.1171.
(E)-2-(Pent-3-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 266

(E)-Pent-3-en-1-ol 153 ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 13.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was treated with $N$-hydroxyphthalimide ( $2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 13.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general method $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 2. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the title compound 266 as a clear oil ( 1.29 g, Yield: $41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77$ (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.66 (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.49-5.59$ (m, 1H, CH), $\left.5.37-5.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.14\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.40-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{2}\right)$, $1.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. LRMS (ES) $232.09\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}, 86 \%\right), 288\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, 59 \%\right)$.

## ( $E$ )-4-Methyl- $N$-(pent-3-enyloxy)benzenesulfonamide 267


(E)-2-(Pent-3-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione $266(1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 5.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general method $\mathbf{B}$ and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the sulfonamide 267 as a yellow solid ( 716 mg , Yield: $51 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H), 5.63-5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.42-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.15-4.10$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $2.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.7\left(C_{q}\right), 133.3\left(C_{q}\right), 131.5(C H), 130.41(C H), 129.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H})$, $127.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 66.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=256.1007$; found 256.1019.

## 3-Ethyl-2-tosylisoxazolidine 269



The sulfonamide 267 ( $50 \mathrm{mg} 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 5 ml ), to which was added triflic acid ( $0.15 \mathrm{ml}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.). The resulting solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{ml})$. The two layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 269 as an orange oil ( 32 mg Yield: $64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.27 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.13-3.87(m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.38 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.31-2.23 (m, 1H, CHN), 1.92-1.84 (m, 2H, CH2), $1.69-1.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.14\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.6\left(C_{q}\right), 139.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H})$, $69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 66.8(\mathrm{CHN}), 34.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 10.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : $2965,1597,1459,1380,1138,1100,839$. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=256.1007$; found 256.1009.

## 2-((4-Methylcyclohex-3-enyl)methoxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 270



4-Methyl-cyclohex-3-enyl)-methanol 192 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-hydroxyphthalimide ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 4.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 1 to give the title compound 270 as a clear oil ( 1.08 g , Yield: $100 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz $/ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.60$ (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.47 (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 5.40-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.70-3.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.21-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 1.81-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43-1.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.0\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 134.4\left(3 \times C_{q}\right), 132.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $123.5(\mathrm{CH}), 82.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 32.5(\mathrm{CH}), 29.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3020,2980,1733,1458,1380,1100,964,750$.

4-Methyl-N-((4-methylcyclohex-3-enyl)methoxy)benzenesulfonamide 271


270
271
2-((4-Methylcyclohex-3-enyl)methoxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 270 ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 3.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was$ treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ and the crude product purified by flash column chromatography to give the sulfonamide 271 as a white solid ( 749 mg , Yield: 64\%). m.p: 119-124 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.20 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.36-5.32$ (m, 1H, CH), 4.11-3.97 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) 2.42 (s, 3 H , Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.10-1.71 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36-1.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3060,2956,1597,1459,1380,1100,964,839$. HRMS (ES) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}=294.1164$; found 294.1151 .


The sulfonamide 271 ( $50 \mathrm{mg} 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 5 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.13 \mathrm{ml}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the resulting solution stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 272 as an orange oil ( 43 mg Yield: $86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.04-3.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.00-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 134.0\left(C_{q}\right), 132.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 73.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 54.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 36.7(\mathrm{CH}), 32.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.6$ $\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. R (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2925,1597,1469,1380,1148,1100,845$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=295.1208$; found 295.1217.

## 2-(3-Methylcyclohex-2-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 274a



3-Methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol 273a ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 4.46 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-hydroxyphthalimide ( $735 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general method $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 2 and purified the crude product by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 274a as a white solid ( 606 mg , Yield: $53 \%$ ). m.p: $105-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78$ (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.71 .3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), 7.68 (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $5.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.70-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 1.66$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.65-1.56 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). HRMS (ES) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}=275.1396$; found 275.1399.

## 4-Methyl- N -(3-methylcyclohex-2-enyloxy)benzenesulfonamide x275a



The phthalimide 274a ( $606 \mathrm{mg}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the sulfonamide 275a as a yellow oil ( 414 mg , Yield: $65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.51-447 (m, 1H, CH), 4.51-4.45 (m, 1H, CHO), $2.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.93-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), $1.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.67-1.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $143.6\left(C_{q}\right), 140.7\left(C_{q}\right), 136.4\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 125.6(\mathrm{CH}), 77.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $36.6(\mathrm{CH}), 30.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS (ES) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=282.1164$; found 282.1154 .
(1R,5S)-1-Methyl-7-tosyl-6-oxa-7-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane 276a


The sulfonamide 275a ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added $\mathrm{TfOH}\left(0.26 \mathrm{ml}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5\right.$ eq.) and the resulting solution stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and concentrated to give the title compound 276a a yellow oil 86 mg Yield: $86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.39-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), $2.21-1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.67-1.52 (m, 2H, CH2), 1.35-1.21 (m, 2H, CH2), 1.13 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.96-0.90 (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.9\left(C_{q}\right), 132.4\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H})$, $85.9\left(C_{q}\right), 60.4(\mathrm{CHO}), 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2930,1710,1597,1449,1380,1138,1100,839$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NSO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=282.1164$ observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=282.1171$.

## 2-(3,5,5-Trimethylcyclohex-2-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 274b



3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol 273b $(0.60 \mathrm{ml}, 3.57 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0$ eq. $)$ was treated with $N$-hydroxyphthalimide ( $0.588 \mathrm{~g}, 3.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general procedure A using work up 2 and purified the crude product by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 274b as a white solid ( 504 mg , Yield: 54\%). m.p.: $91-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.78$ (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), 7.68 (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $5.63-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.75-4.67$ (m, 1H, CHO), $1.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.65-1.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 160.1\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 140.0\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 134.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 129.0$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 123.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 118.1(\mathrm{CH}), 84.1(\mathrm{CHO}), 44.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.2\left(C_{q}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left[(2 \times \mathrm{M})+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]^{+}=588.3074$; found 588.3062.

## 4-Methyl- $N$-(3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enyloxy)benzenesulfonamide 275b



The phthalimide 274b ( $488 \mathrm{mg} 1.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the sulfonamide 275b as an orange oil ( 526 mg , Yield: $90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.54-5.48 (m, 1H, CH), 4.74-4.69 (m, 1H, CHO), 2.44 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3$ ), $1.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.67-1.52(m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $0.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.5\left(C_{q}\right), 143.7\left(C_{q}\right), 136.7\left(C_{q}\right), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 123.1(C H), 77.0$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 46.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 29.4\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : 3054, 2961, 1712, 1598, 1449, 1380, 1138, 1100, 839. LRMS (ES) 308 ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}, 86 \%$ ), 294 ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 73 \%$ ).
(1R,5S)-1,3,3-Trimethyl-7-tosyl-6-oxa-7-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane 276b


The sulfonamide 275b ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.26 \mathrm{ml}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the resulting solution stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and concentrated to give the title compound 276b a yellow oil ( 90 mg Yield: $90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.40-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}\right.$ ), $2.21-1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.67-1.52 (m, 2H, CH2), $1.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96-0.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.9\left(C_{q}\right), 132.4\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 85.9$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 60.4(\mathrm{CHO}), 48.2\left(C_{q}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.5\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2930,1710,1597,1449,1380,1138,1100,839$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=310.1432$; found 310.1438 .

## 7-Methyl-3-methyleneoct-6-en-1-ol 283 ${ }^{288}$



TMEDA ( $9.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.604 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6 \mathrm{eq}$.) and diethyl ether ( 50 ml ) were stirred together and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this was then added $1.6 \mathrm{M} n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes ( $31.0 \mathrm{ml}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the resulting pale yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The solution was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3-methyl-3-buten-1-ol 282 ( $2.40 \mathrm{ml}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise and the solution turned to an intense yellow colour. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 6 hours to give the desired dianion as a yellow slurry. The slurry was the cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3,3-dimethylallyl bromide 281 ( $3.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.279 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$.) in ether ( 6 ml ) was added slowly. The reaction was then allowed to warm to room temperature slowly over 16 hours. Stirred at room temperature for another further 3 hours; the reaction was then quenched by the careful addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{ml})$. The resulting layers were then separated and
the aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. 1 g of the 3.587 g of the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the title compound 283 as a clear oil ( 348 mg , Yield: $97 \%$ ). All data obtained were in accordance with those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{288}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.13-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$, 4.86 (br. s, 1H, CH), 4.82 (br. s, 1H, CH), 3.69 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.29 (t, 2H, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.15-2.00(m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $145.9\left(C_{q}\right), 132.0\left(C_{q}\right), 123.8(\mathrm{CH}), 111.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 60.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. LRMS $m / z(\mathrm{APCI}) 137.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 100 \%\right)$.

2-(7-Methyl-3-methyleneoct-6-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 284


7-Methyl-3-methyleneoct-6-en-1-ol $283(348 \mathrm{mg}, 2.259 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-hydroxyphthalamide ( $372 \mathrm{mg}, 2.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general method $\mathbf{F}$. The crude product $\mathbf{2 8 4}$ was not purified before use in the next step.

## 4-Methyl-N-(7-methyl-3-methyleneoct-6-enyloxy)benzenesulfonamide 285 ${ }^{289}$



Crude phthalimide 284 ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 3.512 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general method $\mathbf{F}$ and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the sulfonamide 285 as a clear oil ( 340 mg Yield: $30 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H)$, 7.12 (br, s, 1H, NH), 5.08 (br. t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.80 (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.10$ (t, 2H, J=6.8 Hz, CH2O), $2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.13-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 144.8$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 133.6\left(C_{q}\right), 131.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 123.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 111.1(\mathrm{CH}), 75.6$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) . \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{EI})$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=324.1633$; found 324.1636.

## 6,6-Dimethyl-1-tosyl-2-oxa-1-azaspiro[4.5]decane 286



The sulfonamide 285 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.31 \mathrm{ml}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.) and the resulting solution stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organic layers dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica gel with dichloromethane) to give the title compound 286 as a yellow oil ( 70 mg Yield: $70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Ts}$ CH ), 7.32 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.23-4.11$ (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.47 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.8,9.4$, $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), $2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 2.21 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.8,9.4,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B}$ ), 2.17-2.09 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ), $2.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{E} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}\right), 1.97-1.88\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{D}\right), 1.77$ (app. dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.7,7.9,3.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{G} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ ), $1.57-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{G}} H_{H}\right), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.4$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{E}} H_{F}$ ), 1.40-1.29(m,2H, CH2), $1.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.2\left(C_{q}\right), 136.0\left(C_{q}\right), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 71.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 69.5\left(C_{q}\right)$, $47.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 20.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2952,1598,1455,1327,1156,1089,814,670$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=323.1555$; found 323.1552.

## 3-(4-methylcyclohex-3-enyl)but-3-en-1-ol $288{ }^{147}$



A mixture of ( $R$ )-limonene $287(25.0 \mathrm{~g}, 183.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.), paraformaldehyde ( 2.65 g , $88.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.48 \mathrm{eq}$.) and dichloromethane ( 200 ml ) were stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. Then fuming stannic chloride ( $0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 222.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise, forming a heterogeneous orange solution. This solution was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days, after which the solution had turned a tan colour. Under vigorous stirring, dilute aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ was added. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The resulting oil was filtered through silica with petroleum ether to remove any residual starting materials, followed by methanol to give the title compound 288 as a clear oil ( 3.70 g , Yield: $12 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{290}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 5.43-5.37 (m, 1H, CH), 5.17-4.98 (m, 2H, CH2), $3.48\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.40-2.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.15-1.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.83-1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.52-1.41 (m, 2H, CH2).

## 2-(3-(4-Methylcyclohex-3-enyl)but-3-enyloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione 289



Alcohol 288 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated with $N$-hydroxyphthalimide ( 495 mg , $3.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 1 to give the title compound 289 as a yellow solid ( 576 mg , Yield: $62 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.85$ (app. dd, 2 H , $J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.76 (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.41-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), 4.98-4.96 (m, 2H, CH2), $3.59\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.19-1.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \&\right.$ CH ), $1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.54-1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2962,2921,1786,1730,1641$, $1449,1380,1138,1100,839$. LRMS (ES) $310.14\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}, 54 \%\right)$.

## 4-Methyl- N -(3-(4-methylcyclohex-3-enyl)but-3-enyloxy)benzenesulfonamide 290



The phthalimide 289 ( $576 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure B and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting silica with dichloromethane) to give the title compound 290 as a yellow solid ( 218 mg , Yield: $24 \%$ ). m.p.: $74-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H), 5.33-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.76-4.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.70-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.04\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 2.09-1.60(m, 7H, $\left.3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 150.0\left(C_{q}\right)$, $133.8\left(C_{q}\right), 133.6\left(C_{q}\right), 129.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 120.5(C H), 109.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.7(\mathrm{CH}), 33.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.7$ (Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3222,3069,2921,1641,1597,1449,1380,1138,1100,839$. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=336.1633$; found 336.1629.

## 4-Methyl-2'-tosylspiro[bicyclo[2.2.2]octane-2,3'-isoxazolidine] 291



The sulfonamide 290 ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triflic acid ( $0.40 \mathrm{ml}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour, after which the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, the two layers were separated, the aqueous layer extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined organics dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 291 as a yellow oil ( 174 mg , Yield: $87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.25 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.39-4.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.73-0.84$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}\right), 0.75\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.3\left(C_{q}\right), 130.4\left(C_{q}\right)$, $129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 128.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 69.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 62.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 42.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8(\mathrm{CH}), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(C_{q}\right) . \mathrm{IR}$ (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2959,1597,1449,1380,1138,1100,839$. HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=336.1633$; found 336.1635 .
tert-Butyl 2-nitrophenylsulfonylcarbamate ${ }^{291}$

$\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 8.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added in one portion to a stirred solution of 2-nitrobenzenesulfonamide ( $1.49 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 7.38 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0$ eq.), triethylamine $(1.14 \mathrm{ml}, \quad 8.17 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 eq.) and DMAP ( 92 mg mmol, eq.) in dichloromethane ( 20 ml ) under nitrogen. After 2 hours the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 60 ml ), washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{ml})$, water $(50 \mathrm{ml})$, brine $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was recrystallised from ethyl acetate / petrol to give the title compound as a white solid ( 982 mg , Yield: $44 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{291}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.39-8.365 (m, 1H, Ns CH), 7.91-7.88 (m, 1H, Ns CH), 7.84-7.80 (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 1.45 (s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.5\left(C_{q}\right), 135.6\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 134.7(\mathrm{Ns} C \mathrm{H})$, 133.3 (Ns CH ), $132.5(\mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH}), 125.1(\mathrm{Ns} \mathrm{CH}), 84.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Boc}]^{+}=202.0048$; found 202.0049.

## $N$-(2-Bromobenzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 366



2-Bromobenzylamine 364 ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure C and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the sulfonamide 366 as a white crystalline solid ( 3.17 g , Yield: $87 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{292}$ m.p.: $78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.24$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.90 (app. t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.26 (app. d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.5\left(C_{q}\right), 137.0\left(C_{q}\right), 135.5\left(C_{q}\right)$, $132.8(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 130.6(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 129.6(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.7(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $C H), 123.5\left(C_{q}\right), 47.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ cm ${ }^{-1}: 3290,1441,1328,1158,1093$, 1026, 814, 750. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=341.9908\left(\mathrm{Br}^{81}\right)$ and $339.9929\left(\mathrm{Br}^{79}\right)$; found $341.9982\left(\mathrm{Br}^{81}\right)$ and $339.9998\left(\mathrm{Br}^{79}\right)$.
tert-Butyl 2-bromophenethyl(tosyl)carbamate 368a


2-Bromophenylethanol $368(2.20 \mathrm{ml}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was treated with N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-p-toluenesulfonamide ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 16.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using work up 2 . The crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 368a as a colourless oil ( 6.89 g , Yield: $94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.57-7.54 (m, 1H, Ar CH), 7.34-7.32 (m, 1H, Ar CH), $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH})$, 7.25-7.23 (m, 1H, Ar CH), 7.14-7.11 (m, 1H, Ar CH), 4.09 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 3.21 (t, 2H, J=7.4 Hz, CH2), $2.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHZ} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 150.9\left(C_{q}\right), 144.2\left(C_{q}\right), 137.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(C_{q}\right), 132.9(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 131.6(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 129.2$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.3(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 128.1(2 \mathrm{x}$ Ts CH$), 127.7(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 84.2\left(\mathrm{Boc} C_{q}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 46.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 36.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.8\left(\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2932,1728,1448$,

1247, 815, 753, 539. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}=471.0953\left(\mathrm{Br}^{79}\right)$; found $471.0956\left(\mathrm{Br}^{79}\right)$.

## $N$-(2-Bromophenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide $367^{120}$



Trifluoroacetic acid ( $3.00 \mathrm{ml}, 40.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise to the forgoing sulfonamide 368a ( $3.61 \mathrm{~g}, 7.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) in dichloromethane ( 20 ml ) and stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Water ( 20 ml ) was then added and the organic layer washed with water $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the sulfonamide 367 as a white solid ( 2.79 g , Yield: $99 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{293}$ m.p.: $62-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.43-7.391(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH})$, 7.20 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.14-7.01$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), 4.38 (app. br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $3.16\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), $2.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.5\left(C_{q}\right), 132.2\left(C_{q}\right), 131.8\left(C_{q}\right), 133.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 131.2(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $C H), 128.7(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.6(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 126.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 124.4\left(C_{q}\right), 42.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 36.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5$ (Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). IR (neat), v $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3062,2932,1448,815,753,539$. HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=354.0163\left(\mathrm{Br}^{79}\right)$; found $354.0176\left(\mathrm{Br}^{79}\right)$.

## (E)-4-Methyl- $N$-(2-styrylbenzyl)benzenesulfonamide 362a



By the general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, reaction between $N$-(2-bromobenzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 366 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and trans-2-phenylvinylboronic acid 369a ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.384 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 eq.) to give the title compound 362a as a brown oil ( 107 mg , Yield: $99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz $\left./ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.55-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.42-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar CH), $7.32-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 7 \mathrm{x}$ Ar CH \& $2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& \mathrm{CH}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.40$ (app. t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N} H$ ), 4.16 (app. d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
$\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.5\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 137.0\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 132.5\left(C_{q}\right), 132.0(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 129.9(\mathrm{Ar} C H)$, $129.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.9(\mathrm{ArCH}), 128.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 128.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.9(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.2$ $(2 \mathrm{x}$ Ts CH$), 126.8(2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Ar} C H), 126.2(\mathrm{CH}), 124.5(\mathrm{CH}), 45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v / $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3290,1598,1327,1158,1092,1042,963,815,761,691$ HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=364.1371$, found 364.1389.
(E)-N-(2-(4-Chlorostyryl)benzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 362b


Reaction according to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, between $N$-(2-bromobenzyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide 366 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} \quad 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and trans-2-(4chlorophenyl)vinylboronic acid 369b ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.384 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.) gave the title compound 362b as a brown oil ( 116 mg , Yield: 99\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 x Ts CH ), 7.54-7.52 (m, 1H, Ar CH), 7.41-7.05 (m, 10H, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}, 7 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{ArCH} \& \mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.89 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H), 4.39$ (app. t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.15\left(\operatorname{app} . \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), 2.37 (s, 3H, Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.7\left(C_{q}\right), 134.6\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 133.6\left(C_{q}\right), 133.0$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 132.6\left(C_{q}\right), 132.0(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 130.5(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 129.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.8(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 128.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 128.0(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.3(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.4(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{CH}), 124.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $45.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v / cm ${ }^{-1}: 3277,3026,2921,1597,1448,815,753,658$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=397.0903\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)$; found $397.0906\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)$.

## (E)-N-(2-(4-Fluorostyryl)benzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 362c



By general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, reaction between $N$-(2-bromobenzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 366 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and trans-2-(4-fluorophenyl)vinylboronic acid 369 c ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.384$ mmol, 1.3 eq.) gave the sulfonamide 362c as a dark brown oil ( 111 mg , Yield: $99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.55-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.27-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.08-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH} \&$ $2 \times \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.16 (app. d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 162.3\left(C_{q}\right), 134.3\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 133.7\left(C_{q}\right), 133.0\left(C_{q}\right), 132.6\left(C_{q}\right), 132.2(\mathrm{ArCH}), 130.5(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.5(\mathrm{ArCH}), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.6(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.3(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.2(\mathrm{Ar} C H)$, $126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.4(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 124.6(\mathrm{CH}), 45.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v / cm ${ }^{-1}: 3280$, 3026, 2922, 1598, 1448, 1074, 815, 750, 706. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=382.1277$; found 382.1268 .
(E)-4-Methyl-N-(2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)styryl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide 362d


Reaction according to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ between $N$-(2-bromobenzyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide $\quad 366 \quad(100 \mathrm{mg} \quad 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and trans-2-[4-$ (fluoromethyl)phenyl]vinylboronic acid 369d ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.384 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.), gave the product 362d as a dark brown oil ( 121 mg , Yield: $95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ Ts $\mathrm{C} H$ ), $7.58-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 4 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C} H), 7.39-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Ar}$ $\mathrm{CH} \& \mathrm{CH}$ ), $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.41 (app. t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.16 (app. d, 2H, $\left.J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.2\left(C_{q}\right), 144.5\left(C_{q}\right)$, $142.5\left(C_{q}\right), 135.8\left(C_{q}\right), 135.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C H), 129.6\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4\left(C_{q}\right), 128.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.7$ $(2 \times C H), 126.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 125.7(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 125.5\left(C_{q}\right), 125.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 123.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $\mathrm{CH}), 45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ cm ${ }^{-1}: 3274,3028,2928,1614,1598,1448,1274$, 815, 750. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]=431.1167$; found 431.1169.


By general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, reaction between $N$-(2-bromobenzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 366 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and trans-2-(4-methylphenyl)vinylboronic acid 369 e ( 62 mg , $0.384 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.), gave the sulfonamide 362e as dark yellow oil ( 106 mg , Yield: 96\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.30-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.10-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& \mathrm{CH}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.16 (app. d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 146.5\left(C_{q}\right), 136.9\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 135.5\left(3 \times C_{q}\right), 132.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 130.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 129.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H)$, $123.5(\mathrm{CH}), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 21.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3289,3021,2921,1598,1512$, 1448, 1274, 815, 750. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=377.1450$; found 377.1438.

## (E)-N-(2-(2-Cyclohexylvinyl)benzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 362 f



Reacted according to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ between $N$-(2-bromobenzyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide 366 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and 2-cyclohexylvinylboronic acid $369 \mathrm{f}(59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.384 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.) to give the sulfonamide 362 f as a light brown oil ( 78 mg , Yield: $72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.33-7.30$ (m, 1H, Ar CH), 7.25 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.17-7.14$ (m, 1H, Ar CH), $7.07-7.01$ (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.23 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.94 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.08 (app. d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.99-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.74-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, $5 \times \mathrm{CH}), 1.29-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{CH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.5\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 140.6(\mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 137.0\left(C_{q}\right), 132.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.6(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $C H), 127.1(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.4(\mathrm{CH}), 123.4(\mathrm{CH}), 45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 41.4(\mathrm{CH}), 32.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.1$
$\left(3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3271,2924,2851,1704,1598,1448,1274,815,750$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=369.1763$; found 369. 1762.

## $N$-(2-Cyclohexenylbenzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 362 g



By general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, reaction between $N$-(2-bromobenzyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 366 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and 1-cyclohexen-1-yl boronic acid pinacol ester $\mathbf{3 6 9 g}$ ( 80 mg , $0.384 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.) gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 2 g}$ as a light brown oil ( 94 mg , Yield: $93 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times$ Ts CH ) , 7.16-7.07 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ) , 7.02-6.99 (m, 1H, CH), 4.01 (app. d, 2H, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.98-1.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.57-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.5\left(C_{q}\right), 143.5\left(C_{q}\right), 137.5\left(C_{q}\right), 136.8\left(C_{q}\right), 132.9$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.2(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 128.8(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.9(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.0$ $(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 126.9(\mathrm{CH}), 45.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6(\mathrm{Ts}$ $C_{3}$ ). IR (neat), $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3279,3026,1498,1448,1274,990,815,750$. HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=342.1528$; found 342.1520 .

## (E)-4-Methyl-N-(2-(pent-1-enyl)benzyl)benzenesulfonamide 362h



Reaction according to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, between $N$-(2-bromobenzyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide 366 ( $100 \mathrm{mg} 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and 1-penten-1-ylboronic acid pinacol ester 369 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.382 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.) to gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 2 h}$ as a brown oil ( 68 mg , Yield: $70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.34-7.31 (m, 1H, Ar CH), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.18-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), 6.31-6.28 (m, 1H, CH), 6.04-5.95 (m, 1H, CH), 4.05 (app. d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 2.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.06-1.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.43-1.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 134.8(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 132.1\left(C_{q}\right)$, $129.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 129.6(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $125.8(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 13.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v / $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3029,1648,1598,1441,1328,1158,1093,1026,814,750$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=329.1450$; found 329.1449 .

## (E)-4-Methyl- $N$-(2-styrylphenethyl)benzenesulfonamide 360a



By general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, reaction between $N$-(2-bromophenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 367 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and trans-2-phenylvinylboronic acid 369a ( 55 mg , $0.367 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.) gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 0 a}$ as a brown oil ( 106 mg , Yield: $99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.35-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 9 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& \mathrm{CH}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.79\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 137.5$ $\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 136.5\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 135.4\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H)$, $127.3(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 126.7(2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{ArCH}), 126.4(\mathrm{ArCH}), 126.3(\mathrm{CH}), 125.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3286,3028,2922,1598,1448$, 1274, 990, 815, 750. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=377.1450$; found 377.1450.
(E)-N-(2-(4-Chlorostyryl)phenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 360b


Reaction according to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ between $N$-(2-bromophenethyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide $\quad 367 \quad(100 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and trans-2-(4-chlorophenyl)vinylboronic acid 369b ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.367 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the sulfonamide 360b as an orange oil ( 66 mg , Yield: $85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.23-7.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.05-7.03 (m, 1H, Ar CH), $6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.4\left(C_{q}\right), 137.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.3\left(C_{q}\right), 135.5\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 134.9\left(C_{q}\right), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.6$ $(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.9(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 126.4(\mathrm{ArCH}), 125.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 125.7(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 125.2(\mathrm{CH}), 43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{Ts}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{IR}$ (neat), v/ cm${ }^{-1}$ : $3285,3064,2924,1703,1598,1448,1274,990,815,750,657$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=412.1138\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)$; found $412.1136\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)$.
(E)-N-(2-(4-Fluorostyryl)phenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 360c


By general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, reaction between $N$-(2-bromophenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 367 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and trans-2-(4-fluorophenyl)vinylboronic acid 369 c ( 60 mg , $0.367 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.) gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 0 c}$ as an orange oil ( 44 mg , Yield: $60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.66-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.51-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $7.44-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.23-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.04-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& \mathrm{CH}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.6\left(C_{q}\right), 137.8\left(C_{q}\right)$, $137.5\left(C_{q}\right), 135.5\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 134.9\left(C_{q}\right), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H})$, $127.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 125.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.7(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 125.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{Ts}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ cm${ }^{-1}: 3283,2924,1718,1598,1508,1448$, 1274, 1074, 990, 815, 750. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=395.1355$; found 395.1354.
(E)-4-Methyl-N-(2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)styryl)phenethyl)benzenesulfonamide 360d


Reaction according to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ between $N$-(2-bromophenethyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide $\quad 367 \quad(100 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0$ eq.) and trans-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]vinylboronic acid $\mathbf{3 6 9 d}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.367 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.) gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 0 d}$ as a brown oil ( 125 mg , Yield: $99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.62-7.49$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.23-7.09$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.06-6.87$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), $2.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.29$ (s, 3H, Ts CH3). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.5\left(C_{q}\right), 140.9\left(C_{q}\right), 140.5\left(C_{q}\right), 137.7\left(C_{q}\right)$, $135.7\left(C_{q}\right), 130.3\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.5(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 128.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.7(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.5$ $(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.6(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.3(\mathrm{CH}), 125.7(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 125.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 123.7\left(C_{q}\right), 43.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 34.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ cm $1613,1599,1448,1274,815,750$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=445.1323$; found 445.1329.
(E)-4-Methyl- $N$-(2-(4-methylstyryl)phenethyl)benzenesulfonamide 360e


By general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, reaction between $N$-(2-bromophenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 367 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and trans-2-(4-methylphenyl)vinylboronic acid 369 e ( 60 mg , $0.367 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.) to give the sulfonamide 360e as a brown oil ( 109 mg , Yield: $99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.65-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.45-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ CH ), 7.23-7.21 (m, 2H, Ar CH \& CH), 7.17-6.99 (m, 6H, $3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.84 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.4\left(C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 137.0\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 135.3\left(C_{q}\right), 133.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 131.2$ $(\mathrm{ArCH}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.4$
$(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH} 3) . \mathrm{IR}$ (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3286,2922,1598,1513,1448,1274,815,750$. LRMS (EI) $274.09\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}, 68 \%\right)$.

## (E)-N-(2-(2-Cyclohexylvinyl)phenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 360f



Reaction according to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ between $N$-(2-bromophenethyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide 367 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and 2 -cyclohexyl vinyl boronic acid $369 \mathrm{f}(57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.367 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 0 f}$ as a yellow oil ( 73 mg , Yield: $69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.31-7.28$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.17-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.95-6.93$ (m, 1H, Ar CH), 6.37 (br. d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.89 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.08 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.77\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.07-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 1.74-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{CH}), 1.30-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{CH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $138.4\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 137.6\left(C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.5(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H)$, $127.7(\mathrm{ArCH}), 126.8(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 125.8(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 123.8(\mathrm{CH}), 43.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 38.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $33.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.4\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3283$, 2924, 2850, 1707, 1598, 1448, 1274, 815, 750. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=384.1997$; found 384.1993.

## $N$-(2-Cyclohexenylphenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 360g



By general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, reaction between $N$-(2-bromophenethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 367 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and 1-cyclohexen-ylboronic acid pinacol ester $\mathbf{3 6 9 g}(76 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.367 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.) gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 0 g}$ as a yellow oil ( 94 mg , Yield: $94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.32-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.15$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.13-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.02-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 6.90$
(app. t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), $2.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.30$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.04-1.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.64-1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$ $\left./ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.0\left(C_{q}\right), 138.2\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 131.7\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $C H), 127.1(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.0\left(C_{q}\right), 125.1(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 123.7(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}) 115.0(\mathrm{CH}), 43.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) v/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3292$, 2926, 1598, 1448, 1274, 815, 750. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=355.1606$; found 355.1612 .
(E)-4-Methyl- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-(pent-1-enyl)phenethyl)benzenesulfonamide 360h


Reaction according to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ between $N$-(2-bromophenethyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide 367 ( 100 mg , mmol, 1.0 eq ) and penten-1-yl boronic acid 369 h ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.367 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq.) gave the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 0 h}$ as a brown oil ( 83 mg , Yield: $97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.14-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.43-4.380(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH ), $3.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), $2.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.12-2.03 (m, 2H, CH2 $), 1.43-1.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.88-0.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.0\left(C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(C_{q}\right), 137.0\left(C_{q}\right), 133.8(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 133.1\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H)$, $127.7(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.8(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.5(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 125.1(\mathrm{CH}), 123.7(C H), 43.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 35.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3291$, 3028, 2957, 2926, 1598, 1448, 1274, 815, 750. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=343.1606$; found 343.1600.

## 1-Benzyl-2-tosylisoindoline 363a



The sulfonamide 362a ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic solutions were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363a as a yellow oil ( 19.5 mg , Yield: $98 \%$ ).
The sulfonamide 362 a ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363a as a yellow oil ( 94 mg , Yield: 92\%).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.54-7.52(\mathrm{md}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.37-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ CH ), 7.27-7.24 (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.21-7.14$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $7.10-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ CH ), $6.95-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 5.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}$ ), $4.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), $2.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts}$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.2\left(C_{q}\right), 139.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.0\left(C_{q}\right), 132.9\left(C_{q}\right), 132.6\left(C_{q}\right)$, $129.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C H), 128.8(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 128.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.8(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.1$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.9(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.5(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 125.9(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 124.6(\mathrm{ArCH}), 54.7(C H N), 45.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3278,30593029,2917,2848,1599,1448$, 1274, 815, 750. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=364.1371$; found 364.1386 .

## 1-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-tosylisoindoline 363b



The sulfonamide 362b ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.238 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363b as a yellow oil ( 77 mg , Yield: $81 \%$ ).
The sulfonamide 362b ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363b as a yellow oil ( 74 mg , Yield: 70\%).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.35-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 6 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C} H)$, $5.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 4.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CHN ), 2.98 (app. br. s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.2$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 139.8\left(C_{q}\right), 137.0\left(C_{q}\right), 132.6\left(C_{q}\right), 132.4\left(C_{q}\right), 132.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H})$, $128.6(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 128.5(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 128.4(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.4(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.2(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H)$, $126.5(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 125.9(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 54.7(\mathrm{CHN}), 44.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR v / cm${ }^{-1}$ : 3277, 3062 3028, 2923, 2855, 1598, 1448, 1274, 815, 750, 658. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=398.0982\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)$; found $398.0983\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)$.

## 1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-2-tosylisoindoline 363c



The sulfonamide 362 c ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.255 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.127 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363 c as a brown oil ( 81 mg , Yield: $84 \%$ ).
The sulfonamide 362c ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.302 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole $\mathbf{3 6 3} \mathrm{c}$ as a brown oil ( 84 mg , Yield: $73 \%$ ).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2,2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.33-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.20-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ CH ), $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.10-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.90-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ CH ), 6.75-6.72 (m, 1H, Ar CH), $5.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $4.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}$ ), $4.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.5\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 137.0\left(C_{q}\right), 135.6\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 132.8$ $(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 132.4\left(C_{q}\right), 130.5(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H})$, $127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.5(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 115.2(\mathrm{ArCH}), 70.2(\mathrm{CHN}), 54.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5$ (Ts $C_{3}$ ). IR v $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3278,30593029,2917,2848,1599,1448,1274,815,750,706$. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=382.1277$; found 382.1268.

## 2-Tosyl-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)isoindoline 363d



The sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 2 d}$ ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.305 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added $\mathrm{TfOH}(0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.153 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363d as a yellow oil ( 93 mg , Yield: $71 \%$ ).
The sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 2 d}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.265 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363d as a yellow oil ( 67 mg , Yield: $67 \%$ ).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.01-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $6.86-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.76-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 5.20(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.98$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}$ ), $4.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right.$ ), $3.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.3\left(C_{q}\right), 141.7\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 135.5$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 135.2\left(C_{q}\right), 133.6\left(C_{q}\right), 130.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C H), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.9(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.1(\mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 126.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 125.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 125.0(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 123.9\left(C_{q}\right), 70.7$ $(\mathrm{CHN}), 52.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ cm${ }^{-1}: 3357,3261,3063,2924$, $1615,1599,1448,1274,815,750$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]=431.1167$; found 431.1168.

## 1-(4-Methylbenzy)-2-tosylisoindoline 363e



The sulfonamide 362 e ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.103 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363e as a brown oil ( 67 mg , Yield: $86 \%$ ).

The sulfonamide 362 e ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.265 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363 e as a brown oil ( 67 mg , Yield: $67 \%$ ).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.38-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.25-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ CH ), 7.17 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.14-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.09-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ) , $5.27(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{~N}), 4.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 2.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.5\left(C_{q}\right), 140.5\left(C_{q}\right), 137.1\left(C_{q}\right), 135.1\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 132.0\left(C_{q}\right), 132.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 130.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $C H), 77.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 49.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v/ cm ${ }^{-1}: 329,3054$, 3023, 2922, 2864, 1598, 1512, 1448, 1274, 815, 750. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[M]^{+}=377.1450$; found 377.1448 .

## 1-(Cyclohexylmethyl)-2-tosylisoindoline $363 f$



The sulfonamide $362 \mathrm{f}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.250 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363 f as a brown oil ( 84 mg , Yield: $93 \%$ ).
The sulfonamide 362 f ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.265 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole $\mathbf{3 6 3 f}$ as a brown oil ( 64 mg , Yield: $100 \%$ ).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.20-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.85(\mathrm{app} . \mathrm{br}$. $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{~N}), 4.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 4.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.87$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) $2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.74-1.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \& 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.13-0.76 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.4\left(C_{q}\right), 141.2\left(C_{q}\right), 136.8\left(C_{q}\right)$, $134.9\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.5(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.5(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.4(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H})$, $122.6(\mathrm{ArCH}), 64.4(\mathrm{CHN}), 53.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 44.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.7(\mathrm{CH}), 33.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.5$ $\left(C H_{2}\right), 26.2\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3031,2922,2850,1707,1598,1448$, 1274, 815, 750. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=370.1841$; found 370.1843.

## 2'-Tosylspiro[cyclohexane-1,1'-isoindoline] 363g



The sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 2 g}$ ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.283 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363 g as a yellow oil (68 mg, Yield: 70\%).

The sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 2 g}$ ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.240 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363 g as a brown oil ( 64 mg , Yield: 78\%).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.57-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ \& $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H$ ), $7.10-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 4.53\left(\right.$ app. s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.74-2.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), $1.82-1.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.8\left(C_{q}\right)$, $142.9\left(C_{q}\right), 138.6\left(C_{q}\right), 134.3\left(C_{q}\right), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.4(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.0$ $(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 124.0(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 122.5(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 74.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 52.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 36.4\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.4\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $22.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v / cm ${ }^{-1}: 3045,2927,2879,1728,1598,1498,1448,1274$, $990,815,750$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=342.1528$; found 342.1528.

## 1-Butyl-2-tosylisoindoline 363h



The sulfonamide 362 h ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.185 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.13 \mathrm{ml}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363h as a brown oil ( 66 mg , Yield: $100 \%$ ).
The sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 2 h}$ ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoindole 363 h as a brown oil ( 27 mg , Yield: $98 \%$ ).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.12-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.04-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 4.92$ (app. br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $4.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}$ ), $4.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.10-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}\right), 1.80-1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{D}\right), 1.32-1.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}_{E} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}\right), 0.91-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{E}} H_{F}\right), 0.73\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.3\left(C_{q}\right), 140.2\left(C_{q}\right)$, $135.9\left(C_{q}\right), 135.1\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.6(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.5(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H})$, $122.4(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 122.3(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 66.1(\mathrm{CHN}), 54.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR v / $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3281,3054,2957,2871,1728,1597,1448,1274,815,750$. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=330.1528$; found 330.1523 .

## 1-Benzyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 361a



The sulfonamide 360a ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361a as a yellow oil ( 22 mg , Yield: 100\%).
The sulfonamide 360a ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.257 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361a as a brown oil ( 96 mg , Yield: 99\%).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.13-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 8 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.75-6.71$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $5.12(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.48-3.42\left(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{1H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.36-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.07-3.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.64-2.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}\right), 2.42-2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{D}\right)$, $2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.0\left(C_{q}\right), 137.7\left(C_{q}\right), 136.9\left(C_{q}\right), 135.6$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 133.5\left(C_{q}\right), 129.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.7(\mathrm{ArCH}), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.3$ $(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 126.9(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 126.6(\mathrm{ArCH}), 125.0(\mathrm{ArCH}), 57.9(\mathrm{CHN}), 44.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 40.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v / cm ${ }^{-1}: 3290,3060,3027,2925,2874$, 1652, 1598, 1448, 1274, 990, 815, 750. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=378.1528$; found 378.1539 .

## 1-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 361b



The sulfonamide 360b ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was then added $\mathrm{TfOH}(0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361b as a brown oil ( 44 mg , Yield: $87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.65-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.16-6.99$ (m, 4H, $4 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $6.93-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 5.07(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.53-3.47$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.37-3.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.09-3.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.93-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.1\left(C_{q}\right), 143.2\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 138.0\left(C_{q}\right)$, $136.0\left(C_{q}\right), 134.8\left(C_{q}\right), 131.2(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 129.7(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.8(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 128.3$ $(\operatorname{Ar} C H), 127.2(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.0(\mathrm{ArCH}), 126.1(\mathrm{ArCH}), 125.1(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 57.9$ $(\mathrm{CHN}), 43.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 40.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH})$. IR (neat), v/ cm ${ }^{-1}: 3062,3028,2925$, 2874, 1916, 1694, 1598, 1448, 815, 753, 658. HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=412.1138\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)$; found $412.1135\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{35}\right)$.

## 1-(4-Fluorobenzy)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 361c



The sulfonamide 360 c ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added $\mathrm{TfOH}\left(0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}\right.$.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361 c as a brown oil ( 30 mg , Yield: $72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$,
$J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, 7.16 - $6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 6.91-6.76(\dot{\mathrm{~m}}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN})$, 3.89-3.82 (m, 2H, CH2N), 3.20-3.14(m, 2H, CH2), $3.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.5\left(C_{q}\right), 143.5\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 138.4\left(C_{q}\right), 137.1\left(C_{q}\right), 133.7$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 133.1(\mathrm{ArCH}), 131.2(\mathrm{ArCH}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.3(\mathrm{ArCH}), 128.6(\mathrm{Ar} C H), 127.7(\mathrm{Ar}$ $C H), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 126.0(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 115.2(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 114.9(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 58.1(\mathrm{CHN}), 42.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat), v $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3063,2926,2874,1599,1509$, $1448,1274,1074,990,815,750$. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=382.1277$; found 382.1268 .

## 2-Tosyl-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 361d



The sulfonamide 360 d ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361d as a brown oil ( 35 mg , Yield: $75 \%$ ).
The sulfonamide 360 d ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.261 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361d as a brown oil ( 65 mg , Yield: $56 \%$ ).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C} H), 7.01-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{C} H)$, 6.86-6.73 (m, 1H, Ar CH), 6.76-6.73 (m, 1H, $\operatorname{ArCH}), 5.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN})$, 3.46-3.43 (m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.31-3.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 2.60-2.57 (m, 1H, CH $H_{C}$ ), 2.37-2.24 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{C} H_{D}$ ), $2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.3\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 141.7\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 135.5\left(C_{q}\right), 135.2\left(C_{q}\right), 133.6\left(C_{q}\right), 130.2$
$(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.9(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.1(\mathrm{ArCH}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $C \mathrm{H}), 125.1(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 125.0(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 57.7(\mathrm{CHN}), 44.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 40.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.4(\mathrm{Ts}$ $C H_{3}$ ). IR (neat), v / $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3063,2931,2874,1617,1599,1555,1448,1274,815,750$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=445.1323$; found 445.1312.

## 1-(4-Methylbenzyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 361e



The sulfonamide 360 e ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.133 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added TfOH ( $0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361 e as a brown oil ( 28 mg , Yield: $54 \%$ ).
The sulfonamide $360 \mathrm{e}(121 \mathrm{mg}, 0.309 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was then added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was heated to $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361e as a brown oil ( 97 mg , Yield: $80 \%$ ).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.45-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ) , 7.12-6.99 (m, 4H, $4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.06(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.42-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 3.29-3.14(m, 2H, CH2), $3.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.34-2.21(m, 2H, CH2). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.4\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 137.2\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 136.9$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 133.0\left(C_{q}\right), 131.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H})$, $127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 124.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 77.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 36.4\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $C H_{3}$ ). IR (neat) $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3051,3020,2922,2867,1598,1512,1448,1274,815,750$. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=392.1684$; found 392.1689.

## 1-(Cyclohexylmethyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 361f



The sulfonamide 360 f ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was then added $\mathrm{TfOH}(0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361f as a brown oil ( 35 mg , Yield: $90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.06-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 3 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 6.79-6.76$ (m, 1H, Ar CH), 4.98 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $3.85-3.79$ (m, 2H, CH2N), $3.44-3.35$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), $1.72-0.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \& 6 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $137.6\left(2 \times C_{q}\right), 137.4\left(C_{q}\right), 134.0\left(C_{q}\right), 129.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 127.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $126.4(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 15.9(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 57.6(\mathrm{CHN}), 48.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 38.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $25.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.2\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) v $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3061,3024,2922,2850,1699$, $1598,1448,1274,815,750$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=384.1997$; found 384.1980 .

## 2'-Tosyl-3',4'-dihydro-2'H-spiro[cyclohexane-1,1'-isoquinoline] 361g



The sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 0 g}$ ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.115 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was then added TfOH ( $0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 361 g as a brown oil ( 33 mg , Yield: 83\%).
The sulfonamide 360 g ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.253 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was added concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was stirred at 20
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline 360 g as a brown oil ( 73 mg , Yield: 81\%).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.23-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 4 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 3.17$ (app. dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=13.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 2.61-2.85 (m, 2H, CH2), $2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, $5 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.0\left(C_{q}\right), 140.0\left(C_{q}\right), 134.0\left(C_{q}\right), 131.8\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 129.4(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.9(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.7(\mathrm{ArCH}), 126.5(\mathrm{ArCH})$, $50.0\left(C_{q}\right), 44.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3063,3028,2926,2857,1598,1448,1274,815,750$. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ cald. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=355.1606$; found 355.1601 .

## 1-Butyl-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 361h



The sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 6 0 h}$ ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.157 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was then added TfOH ( $0.10 \mathrm{ml}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.). The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline $\mathbf{3 6 1 h}$ as a brown oil ( 50 mg , Yield: 92\%).
The sulfonamide 360 h ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.209 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ), to which was then added concentrated sulfuric ( 2 drops). The resulting suspension was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give the isoquinoline $\mathbf{3 6 1 \mathrm { h }}$ as brown oil ( 64 mg , Yield: $89 \%$ ).
The two samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.07-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 6.82-6.79$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.88(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.81-3.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.43-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}_{B} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.46-2.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.78-1.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 1.44-1.16$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.9\left(C_{q}\right), 137.9$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 137.0\left(C_{q}\right), 132.6\left(C_{q}\right), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 128.9(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 126.9(\mathrm{Ar}$ $\mathrm{CH}), 126.5(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 126.0(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 56.8(\mathrm{CHN}), 38.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 37.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) v / $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3063,3025,2955,2930,1598$, 1448, 1274, 815, 750. HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=344.1684$; found 344.1694.

## 2-Methyl-1-tosylpiperidine $\mathbf{4 7 4}{ }^{\mathbf{2 9 4}}$



2-Methylpiperidine 465 ( $2.40 \mathrm{ml}, 20.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure C to give the title compound 474 as a white solid ( 4.57 g , Yield: $90 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{294} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .: 56-58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.16 (app. pent, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), 3.66-3.59 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}$ ), 2.93-2.87 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 2.34 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.60-1.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$ $\left./ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.8\left(C_{q}\right), 138.3\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 126.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 48.5(C H N), 40.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 15.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2939$, 1597, 1263, 1037, 995. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=253.1137$; found: 253.1133.

## 2-Ethyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine $475^{295}$



2-Methyl-1-tosylpiperidine $474(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and to this concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and was then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous
layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a white solid ( 72 mg , Yield: $72 \%$ ). $9 \%$ pyrrolidine 475. (11.24 : 1 ratio)

2-Methyl-1-tosylpiperidine 474 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in Toluene ( 10 ml ) and to this TfOH ( $0.30 \mathrm{ml}, 0.197 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq.) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and was then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a white solid ( 66 mg , Yield: $66 \%$ ). 5\% pyrrolidine 475. (19 :

## 1 ratio)

2-Methyl-1-tosylpiperidine 474 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in Toluene ( 10 ml ) and to this concentrated sulfuric acid ( 6 drops) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 24 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and was then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a white solid ( 72 mg , Yield: $72 \%$ ). 21\% pyrrolidine 475. (4.62: 1.0 ratio)

2-Methyl-1-tosylpiperidine 474 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in Toluene ( 10 ml ) and to this concentrated sulfuric acid ( 6 drops) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and was then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $K_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a white solid ( 21 mg , Yield: 21\%). 6\% pyrrolidine 475. (15.98: 1 ratio)

See Table 4.3, p. 101 for further details. All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{295}$ The four samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: m.p.: $49-51{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) piperidine: $\delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH})$, $7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.17-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.63-3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right)$, 2.90-2.85 (m, 1H, CH $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}$ ), $2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.55-1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; pyrrolidine : $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times$ Ts CH ), $3.49-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.33-3.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.20-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}_{B} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, minor), $1.82-1.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.55-1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $0.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ). IR (neat) $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2939,2866,1598,1038,996$.

## 3-Methyl-1-tosylpiperidine $476^{296}$



3-Methylpiperidine $466(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 20.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to give the title compound 476 as a white solid ( 4.27 g , Yield: $84 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{296}$ m.p.: $104-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{C} H)$, 3.58-3.36(m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), $1.74-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}\right), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2939,1597,1263,1037$, 995. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=253.1137$; found: 253.1134.

## 2-Phenylpiperidine $469^{297}$



A mixture of 2-phenylpiperidine $480(4.60 \mathrm{ml}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{ml})$ and ethanol ( 20 ml ) with $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04$ eq.) was placed in a Paar apparatus and subjected to catalytic hydrogenation at a pressure of 1 atmosphere at room temperature for 24 hours. The catalyst was removed by filtration through a plug of celite and washed with EtOH $(100 \mathrm{ml})$. The solvent was evaporated to dryness. The solid residue was treated with 2 M NaOH $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and the free base extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$. The ether extracts were combined and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 469 as a white solid ( 4.04 g , Yield: $78 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{297}$ m.p.: $105-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $3.61(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.25-3.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 2.82(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5,2.5$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}_{B} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 1.98-1.65(m,5H,2× $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NH}$ ), $1.60-1.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.6\left(C_{q}\right), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.1(\mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 126.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 62.4(\mathrm{CHN}), 47.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 35.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR neat $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3026,2932,2851,2787,1602,1106$, 996, 698. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=161.1204$; found: 161.1200.

## 2-Phenyl-1-tosylpiperidine $\mathbf{4 6 0}{ }^{\mathbf{2 9 8}}$



3-Methylpiperidine 469 ( $4.04 \mathrm{~g}, 25.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to give the title compound 460 as a white solid ( 7.11 g , Yield: $90 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{298}$ m.p.: $109-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.35-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 4 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ CH ), 7.26-7.22 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.04-2.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right)$, 2.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.26-2.21 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $1.67-1.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H_{C} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}\right), 1.47-1.43$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{D}\right), 1.42-1.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.33-1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz} /$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.9\left(C_{q}\right), 138.9\left(C_{q}\right), 138.7\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.05(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $C \mathrm{H}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 126.8(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 55.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 41.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 27.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6$ (Ts $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR neat $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2939,2866,1598,1106,996,698$. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=316.1371$; found: 316.1363.

## 2-Benzyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine $481^{299}$



2-Phenyl-1-tosylpiperidine $460(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.317 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene ( 10 ml ) and stirred under nitrogen. TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.159 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 80 mg , Yield: $80 \%$ ).
2-Phenyl-1-tosylpiperidine 460 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.317 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene ( 10 ml ) and stirred under nitrogen. . Concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 2 drops) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was
washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 80 mg , Yield: $80 \%$ ).
Both samples showed decomposition of the piperidine and no isolation of the desired pyrrolidine 481. This was shown by comparison with literature spectroscopic data for 2-benzyl-1tosylpyrrolidine. ${ }^{299}$

## 2-Cyclohexylpiperidine $47 \mathbf{}^{\text {265,300 }}$



A mixture of 2-phenylpiperidine $480(4.60 \mathrm{ml}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{ml})$ and ethanol ( 20 ml ) with $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04 \mathrm{eq}$.) was placed in a Paar apparatus and subjected to catalytic hydrogenation at a pressure of 2 to 3 atmospheres at room temperature for 48 hours. The catalyst was removed by filtration through a plug of celite and washed with ethanol ( 100 ml ). The solvent was evaporated to dryness. The solid residue was treated with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and the free base extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The ether extracts were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the title compound 470 as a yellow oil ( 4.358 g , Yield: $81 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{300}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.08$ (app. dq, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $2.62-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, 2.23-2.16 (m, 1H, CH $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 1.83-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.43-1.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.24-1.05$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.02-0.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 62.0(\mathrm{CHN})$, $47.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 43.5(\mathrm{CH}), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR neat $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2927,2852,1629,1106,996$. HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=167.1674$; found: 167.1677.

## 2-Cyclohexyl-1-tosylpiperidine 484



2-Cyclohexylpiperidine $470(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to give the title compound 484 as a clear oil ( 1.71 g , Yield: $89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz $\left./ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 3.80-3.77$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.69-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{~N}), 3.00-2.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.85-1.08 (m, $17 \mathrm{H}, 8 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and CH ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.5\left(C_{q}\right), 139.5$ $\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 58.6(\mathrm{CHN}), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 34.8(\mathrm{CH}), 30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.4\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.6$ $\left(C_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2929,2852,1716,1598,1106,996$. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$322.1841; found: 322.1845.

## 2-(Cyclohexylmethyl)-1-tosylpyrrolidine 485



2-Cyclohexyl-1-tosylpiperidine 484 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.312 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene ( 10 ml ) and stirred under nitrogen. TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.156 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 31 mg , Yield: 31\%). 32\% pyrrolidine 485.

## (2.41 : 1.0 ratio)

2-Cyclohexyl-1-tosylpiperidine $484(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.312 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was dissolved in toluene$ $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and stirred under nitrogen. TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.156 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over
solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 73 mg , Yield: $73 \%$ ). $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ pyrrolidine 485 .

## (1.10: 1.0 ratio)

2-Cyclohexyl-1-tosylpiperidine $484(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.312 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and stirred under nitrogen. Concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 56 mg , Yield: $56 \%$ ). 23\% pyrrolidine 485. (3.29: 1.0 ratio)

See Table 4.6, p. 106 for further deatils. The three samples showed identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ piperidine: $\delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH})$, $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 3.73-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.57-3.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right)$, 2.92-2.85 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.79-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.72-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.64-1.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; pyrrolidine: $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.23$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 3.64-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.34-3.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.14-$ $3.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.72-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.64-1.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ piperidine: $\delta 142.6\left(C_{q}\right), 139.5\left(C_{q}\right), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 58.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 41.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 34.9(\mathrm{CH}), 30.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.0\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, pyrrolidine: $\delta 143.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$, $139.1\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 58.4(\mathrm{CHN}), 48.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 35.0(\mathrm{CH}), 34.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.1\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.326 .6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2926,2853,1598,1106,996$.

## 2-Benzyl-1-tosylpiperidine $\mathbf{4 6 1}^{\mathbf{2}}$



2-Benzylpiperidine 471 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure C to give the title compound $\mathbf{4 6 1}$ as a colourless solid ( 346 mg , Yield: $92 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{2}$ m.p.: $78-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$ $\left./ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.23-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 4 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, 7.09-7.06 (m, 1H, Ar CH), 4.22 (app. t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $3.74-3.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right)$,
3.04-2.97 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 2.76 (app. q, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $1.61-$ $1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.40-1.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$, $138.7\left(C_{q}\right), 138.5\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH}), 127.0(2 \times \mathrm{Ts}$ $\mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 54.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 40.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 35.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5(\mathrm{Ts}$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR neat $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2940,2864,1598,1108,996,698$. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=330.1528$; found: 330.1522 .

## 2-Phenethyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine $486^{301}$



2-Benzyl-1-tosylpiperidine 461 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in toluene ( 10 ml ) and stirred under nitrogen. Concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( 3 x 10 ml ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 85 mg , Yield: $85 \%$ ). 27\% pyrrolidine 486.

## (2.71 : 1 ratio)

2-Benzyl-1-tosylpiperidine 461 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene ( 10 ml ) and stirred under nitrogen. TfOH ( $0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 61 mg , Yield: $61 \%$ ). 43.7\% pyrrolidine 486.

## (1.29: 1 ratio)

2-Benzyl-1-tosylpiperidine 461 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene ( 10 ml ) and stirred under nitrogen. TfOH ( $0.40 \mathrm{ml}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over
solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 80 mg , Yield: $80 \%$ ). 30\% pyrrolidine 486.

## (2.43 : 1 ratio)

2-Benzyl-1-tosylpiperidine 461 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene ( 10 ml ) and stirred under nitrogen. TfOH ( $0.90 \mathrm{ml}, 0.608 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 83 mg , Yield: $83 \%$ ). 57\% pyrrolidine 486. (1 :

### 1.33 ratio)

See Table 4.7, p. 107 for further details. All samples show identical spectroscopic and analytical data: All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{301}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) piperidine: $\delta 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $7.14-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.22-4.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{~N}), 3.73-3.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 3.04-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.73(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), $1.62-1.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.39-1.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; pyrrolidine: $\delta 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3 \times \mathrm{ArCH}$ ), $7.14-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} \& 2 \times \mathrm{Ar}$ $\mathrm{CH}), 3.00-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 2.69-2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{A} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{N}\right), 2.60-2.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}} H_{B} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, 2.50-2.39 (m, 2H, CH2), 2.28 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.69 (app. br. s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.39-1.25 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.24-0.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ piperidine: $\delta 142.8\left(C_{q}\right)$, $138.7\left(C_{q}\right), 138.6\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 129.2(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 128.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} C \mathrm{H}), 127.0$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 54.4(\mathrm{CHN}), 40.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.4$ (Ts $\left.C_{3}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; pyrrolidine: $\delta 139.3\left(C_{q}\right), 139.1\left(C_{q}\right), 138.7\left(C_{q}\right), 129.9(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 129.3$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}), 127.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 126.2(\mathrm{ArCH}), 58.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 47.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $44.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2938,2861$, 1598, 1108, 996, 698.
(2S,6R)-2,6-Dimethyl-1-tosylpiperidine $487^{302}$


2,6-Dimethylpiperidine $467(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ and the crude product was purified using column chromatography (eluting silica gel
with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 487 as a white solid $(1.50 \mathrm{~g}$, Yield: 32\%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{302}$ m.p: 63-65 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.11 (app. p, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHN}$ ), 2.34 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.73-1.61$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.40-1.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.6\left(C_{q}\right), 139.0\left(C_{q}\right), 129.6(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 126.7(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C H), 47.9$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CHN}), 29.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.4\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3026$, 2924, 1599, 1265, 1108, 996. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=367.1293$; found: 367.1284 .
(2S,5R)-2-Ethyl-5-methyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine 488a \& (2R,5R)-2-ethyl-5-methyl-1tosylpyrrolidine 488b


2,6-Dimethyl-1-tosylpiperidine $487(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was dissolved in toluene$ $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and stirred under nitrogen. Concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 77 mg , Yield: 77\%). 487: $\mathbf{1}$ (28\%); 488a: $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ (50\%); 488b: 0.81 (22\%)
2,6-Dimethyl-1-tosylpiperidine $487(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and stirred under nitrogen. Concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 78 mg , Yield: 78\%). 487: $\mathbf{1}$ (46\%); 488a: 0.61 (28\%); 488b: 0.58 (26\%)
2,6-Dimethyl-1-tosylpiperidine $487(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was dissolved in toluene$ $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and stirred under nitrogen. Concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous
layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 77 mg , Yield: 77\%). 487: $\mathbf{1}$ (23\%); 488a: 2.46 (54\%); 488b: 1(23\%)

2,6-Dimethyl-1-tosylpiperidine 487 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene ( 10 ml ) and stirred under nitrogen. Concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 59 mg , Yield: $59 \%$ ). 487: 1 (43\%); 488a: 1 (43\%); 488b: 0.43 (14\%)

2,6-Dimethyl-1-tosylpiperidine 487 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in toluene $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and stirred under nitrogen. TfOH $(0.20 \mathrm{ml}, 0.187 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the solution and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and evaporated to give a yellow oil ( 69 mg , Yield: 69\%). 487: 4 (62\%); 488a: 0.25 (15\%); 488b: 0.37 (23\%)

See Table 4.8, p. 108 for further details. All samples show identical spectroscopic and analytical data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) piperidine: $\delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.09 (app. p, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHN}$ ), 2.32 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.70-1.57$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.40-1.29\left(\mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; cis pyrrolidine 488a: $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 3.62-3.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), 3.45-3.39(m, 1H, CHN), $2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH} 3\right.$ ), $2.02-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 1.51-1.28$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .0 .83\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; trans pyrrolidine 488b: $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 3.96-3.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), 3.69-3.63 (m, 1H, CHN), $2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.02-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.51-1.28$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.71\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : 2968, 1599, 1265, 1108, 996.

## 1-Tosylpiperidine $489^{303}$



Piperidine 464 ( $1.20 \mathrm{ml}, 11.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to give 1-tosylpiperidine 489 as a colourless solid ( 2.61 g , Yield: $92 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{304}$ m.p.: 89-92 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$ / $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.89 (app. t, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.60-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.37-1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.3\left(C_{q}\right), 133.2\left(C_{q}\right), 129.5(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} C \mathrm{H}), 127.8$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 47.0\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 25.1\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3056$, 2938, 2925, 1596, 1154, 994. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=240.1058$; found: 240.1059.

## (E)-6-Methylhept-5-en-2-one oxime $443{ }^{261}$



6-Methylhept-5-en-2-one 442 ( $2.90 \mathrm{ml}, 1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was suspended in ethanol ( 30 ml ), to this suspension was then added hydroxylamine hydrochloride salt ( $2.60 \mathrm{~g}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and sodium acetate (small spatula). The suspension was heated to reflux for 2 hours. Once the reaction had cooled, the ethanol was evaporated off and the residue was partitioned between water ( 30 ml ) and diethyl ether ( 30 ml ). The aqueous layer was then extracted with ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ and the combined organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrate in vacuo. The oil was purified by column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $20 \%$ ethyl actetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 443 as a clear oil ( 1.66 g , Yield: $60 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{305}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.07-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$, $2.24-2.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.7\left(C_{q}\right), 132.7\left(C_{q}\right), 123.12(\mathrm{CH}), 35.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $17.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3246,2924,1736,1262$. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=142.1232$; found: 142.1230.

## 6-Methylhept-5-en-2-amine $444^{262}$



Oxime 443 ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 3.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 20 ml ) and added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}\left(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.6\right.$ eq.) in tetrahydrofuran $(40 \mathrm{ml})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The suspension was then heated to reflux for 3 hours and the subsequently cooled to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When cooled, water ( 4 ml ), $15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(4 \mathrm{ml})$ and water $(4 \mathrm{ml})$ were added sequentially and the reaction then stirred for a further hour. The reaction mixture was filtered and washed with tetrahydrofuran ( 40 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the title compound 444 as a clear oil. ( 383 mg , Yield: $100 \%$ ). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{306}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.99-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.75$ (app. sext, 1 H , $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $1.91-1.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.29-1.18$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 131.8\left(C_{q}\right), 124.0$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 46.8(\mathrm{CHN}), 39.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 17.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : 3472, 2925, 1649, 1262.

## 4-Methyl-N-(6-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide 445



6-Methylhept-5-en-2-amine 444 ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ and the crude product was purified using column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 445 as a yellow oil ( 155 mg , Yield: 50\%). \%). All data obtained was in accordance with that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{307}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 4.87-4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.28-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}$ ) , 1.90-1.71 (m, 2H, CH2), $1.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.40-1.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.2\left(C_{q}\right), 138.2\left(C_{q}\right), 132.4\left(C_{q}\right), 129.7$ $(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}), 123.2(\mathrm{CH}), 49.8(\mathrm{CHN}), 37.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3279,2924,1918,1598,1262$. HRMS (APCI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=282.1528$; found: 282.1530.

N-(6-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)methanesulfonamide 490


6-Methylhept-5-en-2-amine $444(383 \mathrm{mg}, 3.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. To this was then added triethylamine ( $0.43 \mathrm{ml}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.01 eq.), DMAP ( 4 crystal) and $\mathrm{MsCl}(0.23 \mathrm{ml}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 16 hours. The mixture was washed with water $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}), 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Purified using column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 490 as a clear oil ( 305 mg , Yield: $49 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz / $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.04-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.46-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 2.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ms} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.05-1.95$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.47-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\left.\delta 137.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 123.1(\mathrm{CH}), 50.1(\mathrm{CHN}), 44.2(\mathrm{Ms} \mathrm{CH})_{3}\right)$, $37.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 17.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3291,2926,1673$, 1262. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[M]^{+}=205.1137$; found: 205.1138.

## Methyl 6-methylhept-5-en-2-ylcarbamate 500 ${ }^{308}$



Methyl chloroformate ( $0.75 \mathrm{ml}, 9.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to a suspension of 6-methylhept-5-en-2-amine 444 ( $1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 8.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 26.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in a $50 / 50$ mixture of tetrahydrofuran and water ( 60 ml ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature over night and then diluted with water $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and washed with diethyl ether $(30 \mathrm{ml})$. The aqueous layer was acidified with 2 M HCl and washed with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water ( 30 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrates to a viscous oil. This was purified by column chromatography (eluting silica gel with $0-40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound 500 as a clear oil ( 0.469 g , Yield: $29 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz / $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H), 5.08-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.41(\mathrm{app} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{N}), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.99-1.94 (m, 2H, CH2), $1.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39-1.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.06$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.5\left(C_{q}\right), 132.1\left(C_{q}\right), 123.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $51.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 46.9(\mathrm{CHN}), 37.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 17.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR (neat)
$\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3329,2967,1699,1538,1262$. HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 186.1494; found: 186.1491 .

## Methyl 2,2,6-trimethylpiperidine-1-carboxylate 501



Methyl 6-methylhept-5-en-2-ylcarbamate $500(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. Concentrated sulfuric acid ( 2 drops) was added and the reaction stirred for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The quenched solution was then separated and the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound 501 as a clear oil ( 36 mg , Yield: $72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.14$ (app. dp, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $3.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.65-1.48(m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.43-1.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.02$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.9\left(C_{q}\right), 54.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 51.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.3$ $(\mathrm{CHN}), 39.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. IR (neat) $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : 3328, 2965, 1699, 1538, 1262. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}=185.1416$; found: 185.1411.
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## Appendix



Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for dwk0901.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=27.46^{\circ}$
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)
Absolute structure parameter
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
dwk0901
$\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$
253.35

150(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Orthorhombic
P212121
$a=6.2975(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{b}=11.1070(3) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=18.1443(5) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$.
1269.13(6) $\AA^{3}$

4
$1.326 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.245 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
544
$0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
2.24 to $27.46^{\circ}$.
$-8<=\mathrm{h}<=8,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-23<=\mathrm{l}<=23$
2863
2863 [ $\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0000]$
98.3 \%
0.9301 and 0.9301

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
2863/0/158
1.049
$R 1=0.0327, w R 2=0.0786$
$R 1=0.0348, w R 2=0.0803$
0.07(7)
0.159(10)
0.213 and -0.317 e. $\AA^{-3}$

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for wk0901. U(eq) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $5856(3)$ | $2754(2)$ | $6489(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $7415(3)$ | $3770(2)$ | $6661(1)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $9597(3)$ | $3244(2)$ | $6472(1)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $9472(3)$ | $1949(2)$ | $6769(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $7342(2)$ | $-285(2)$ | $5783(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $9402(3)$ | $-742(2)$ | $5754(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $10151(3)$ | $-1222(2)$ | $5096(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $8894(3)$ | $-1267(1)$ | $4466(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $6828(3)$ | $-803(2)$ | $4510(1)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $6059(2)$ | $-308(2)$ | $5158(1)$ | $23(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $5134(3)$ | $2760(2)$ | $5687(1)$ | $32(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $10234(3)$ | $1847(2)$ | $7559(1)$ | $31(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $9696(4)$ | $-1830(2)$ | $3764(1)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $7153(2)$ | $1678(1)$ | $6694(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $4066(2)$ | $341(1)$ | $6567(1)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $7316(2)$ | $-386(1)$ | $7194(1)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $6344(1)$ | $309(1)$ | $6620(1)$ | $21(1)$ |

Table 3. Bond lengths [ $\AA \AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for dwk0901.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $1.494(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.524(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.528(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.532(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.537(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $1.497(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.516(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.392(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.394(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $1.7720(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.390(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.391(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.402(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.506(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.386(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $1.6098(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $1.4379(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $1.4333(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $113.78(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $100.85(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $112.56(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $104.43(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $103.40(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $112.32(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $101.90(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $112.68(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $120.19(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $119.91(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $119.89(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $119.22(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $121.72(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $117.97(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $121.21(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $120.80(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $121.21(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $119.69(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $113.26(13)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $124.19(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $120.42(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $119.22(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $108.25(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $107.32(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $105.72(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $107.81(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $108.10(8)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for dwk0901. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U^{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U^{12}\right]$

|  | $\mathrm{U}^{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $34(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $36(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $29(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $18(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $23(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $24(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $22(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $28(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $29(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $21(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $32(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $34(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $28(1)$ | $38(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $-5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $37(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $19(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $32(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $36(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $21(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for dwk0901.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(1) | 4594 | 2814 | 6822 | 31 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 7342 | 3998 | 7188 | 36 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 7110 | 4488 | 6357 | 36 |
| H(3A) | 10747 | 3701 | 6717 | 32 |
| H(3B) | 9843 | 3249 | 5933 | 32 |
| H(4) | 10313 | 1398 | 6446 | 26 |
| H(8) | 10283 | -726 | 6179 | 29 |
| H(9) | 11559 | -1527 | 5075 | 31 |
| H(11) | 5939 | -827 | 4087 | 31 |
| H(12) | 4662 | 13 | 5177 | 27 |
| H(13A) | 6375 | 2690 | 5364 | 49 |
| H(13B) | 4386 | 3514 | 5582 | 49 |
| H(13C) | 4178 | 2078 | 5601 | 49 |
| H(14A) | 9467 | 2427 | 7867 | 47 |
| H(14B) | 11760 | 2018 | 7581 | 47 |
| H(14C) | 9970 | 1030 | 7740 | 47 |
| H(15A) | 11238 | -1937 | 3796 | 49 |
| H(15B) | 9358 | -1305 | 3347 | 49 |
| H(15C) | 9015 | -2615 | 3694 | 49 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 0.5$ eq. Of TfOH or 2 drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ;{ }^{b}$ amount of pyrrolidine formed from piperidine

