



[View products](#)

Skin Metabolites Define a New Paradigm in the Localization of Skin Tropic Memory T Cells

This information is current as
of June 23, 2015.

Michelle L. McCully, Paul J. Collins, Timothy R. Hughes,
Christopher P. Thomas, Jaak Billen, Valerie B. O'Donnell
and Bernhard Moser

J Immunol 2015; 195:96-104; Prepublished online 22 May
2015;

doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1402961

<http://www.jimmunol.org/content/195/1/96>

**Supplementary
Material** [http://www.jimmunol.org/content/suppl/2015/05/22/jimmunol.140296
1.DCSupplemental.html](http://www.jimmunol.org/content/suppl/2015/05/22/jimmunol.140296.1.DCSupplemental.html)

References This article **cites 50 articles**, 24 of which you can access for free at:
<http://www.jimmunol.org/content/195/1/96.full#ref-list-1>

Subscriptions Information about subscribing to *The Journal of Immunology* is online at:
<http://jimmunol.org/subscriptions>

Permissions Submit copyright permission requests at:
<http://www.aai.org/ji/copyright.html>

Email Alerts Receive free email-alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up at:
<http://jimmunol.org/cgi/alerts/etoc>

Skin Metabolites Define a New Paradigm in the Localization of Skin Tropic Memory T Cells

Michelle L. McCully,* Paul J. Collins,* Timothy R. Hughes,* Christopher P. Thomas,* Jaak Billen,[†] Valerie B. O'Donnell,* and Bernhard Moser*

The localization of memory T cells to human skin is essential for long-term immune surveillance and the maintenance of barrier integrity. The expression of CCR8 during naive T cell activation is controlled by skin-specific factors derived from epidermal keratinocytes and not by resident dendritic cells. In this study, we show that the CCR8-inducing factors are heat stable and protease resistant and include the vitamin D₃ metabolite 1 α ,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ and PGE₂. The effect of either metabolite alone on CCR8 expression was weak, whereas their combination resulted in robust CCR8 expression. Elevation of intracellular cAMP was essential because PGE₂ could be substituted with the adenylyl cyclase agonist forskolin, and CCR8 expression was sensitive to protein kinase A inhibition. For effective induction, exposure of naive T cells to these epidermal factors needed to occur either prior to or during T cell activation even though CCR8 was only detected 4–5 d later in proliferating T cells. The importance of tissue environments in maintaining cellular immune surveillance networks within distinct healthy tissues provides a paradigm shift in adaptive immunity. Epidermal-derived vitamin D₃ metabolites and PGs provide an essential cue for the localization of CCR8⁺ immune surveillance T cells within healthy human skin. *The Journal of Immunology*, 2015, 195: 96–104.

The localization of memory T cells to distinct, nonoverlapping peripheral tissues requires the coordinated expression of specific adhesion molecules and chemokine receptors (1, 2). However, the mechanisms underlying the induction of these specific tissue-homing programs are only beginning to be elucidated. Once these mechanisms are identified, the expression of such factors can be targeted to either promote (vaccination) or dampen (autoimmunity) immune responses at specific tissue sites.

Recent studies have implicated vitamins A and D in the control of T cell homing to the small intestine and skin tissue, respectively (3, 4). Vitamin A is highly concentrated in the gut (5), and retinoic acid, an active metabolite of vitamin A, has been shown to play a crucial role in the induction of the “gut-homing” receptors CCR9 and α 4 β 7 in murine and human T cells (6–8). Conversely, vitamin D₃, which is produced in the skin in response to UV exposure (9), has been implicated in the regulation of a “skin-homing” mechanism because its active metabolite, 1 α ,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃

(1,25(OH)₂D₃), was shown to induce expression of the chemokine receptor CCR10 in human T cells (10). However, the conditions required to induce CCR10 expression did not correlate with induction of other skin-homing receptors, including the adhesion molecule cutaneous lymphocyte-associated Ag, and for naive T cells, the effect was dependent on the presence of IL-12.

We recently reported that the chemokine receptor CCR8 is highly expressed by memory T cells localized in healthy human skin and a small fraction of CLA⁺ memory T cells in blood (11, 12). Further investigation revealed that the induction of CCR8 expression during in vitro T cell activation depended on the addition of soluble skin factors that were produced by epidermal tissue (12). Moreover, cultured keratinocytes but not dermal fibroblasts or skin-unrelated epithelial cell lines produced CCR8-inducing factors, emphasizing the skin selectivity of the CCR8 induction process. Because the epidermis-derived factors responsible for the observed CCR8 induction in T cells were not known, we undertook a detailed investigation into the nature of these factors and their mode of action during T cell activation. In this study, we report that the active vitamin D₃ metabolite 1,25(OH)₂D₃ and PGE₂ work in concert to induce CCR8 expression in human T cells and that these factors need to be present at the beginning of culture during in vitro T cell activation. Murine skin also produces CCR8-inducing factors, and CCR8-expressing cells are also enriched in mouse skin tissue, indicating that the CCR8-controlled localization of skin-specific memory T cells underlies a conserved mechanism and emphasizes the importance of the skin tissue environment in the homeostasis of the local memory T cell compartment.

Materials and Methods

Media and reagents

Complete RPMI (cRPMI) medium consisted of RPMI 1640 plus 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, 1% sodium pyruvate, 50 μ g/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 20 mM HEPES, and 10% FBS (Life Technologies). AB-RPMI consisted of cRPMI supplemented with 10% pooled human AB serum. Human T-Activator CD3/CD28 Dynabeads and CFSE were purchased from Life Technologies. Purified anti-mouse CD3 (145-2C11)

*Institute of Infection and Immunity, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff CF14 4XN, Wales, United Kingdom; and [†]Department of Laboratory Medicine, Leuven University Hospital, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

Received for publication December 11, 2014. Accepted for publication May 1, 2015.

This work was supported by grants from the Wellcome Trust and the Medical Research Council. B.M. is the recipient of a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Prof. Bernhard Moser, Institute of Infection and Immunity, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Henry Wellcome Building, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XN, Wales, U.K. E-mail address: moserb@cardiff.ac.uk

The online version of this article contains supplemental material.

Abbreviations used in this article: CLA, cutaneous lymphocyte Ag; cRPMI, complete RPMI; DC, dendritic cell; ECM, epidermis-conditioned medium; EP, prostaglandin E; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; KCM, keratinocyte-conditioned medium; 1,25(OH)₂D₃, 1 α ,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃; 25(OH)D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; PKA, cAMP-dependent protein kinase A; PKI, PKA inhibitor peptide.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY 3.0 Unported license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

Copyright © 2015 The Authors 0022-1767/15

and CD28 (37.51) Abs and recombinant mouse IL-2 were obtained from BioLegend. Recombinant human IL-12 and IFN- γ were purchased from PeproTech; TNF- α and IL-6 were from Miltenyi Biotec, whereas IFN- α was purchased from Roche. 1,25(OH) $_2$ D $_3$, 25-hydroxyvitamin D $_3$, and PGE $_2$ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Forskolin, 19R-OH-PGE $_1$, CAY10598, Butaprost, L-161,982, AH6809, and SC19220 were purchased from Cayman Chemical. The cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) inhibitor peptide (PKI) $_{14-22}$ was obtained from Tocris Bioscience, whereas Raf1 kinase inhibitor 1 and wortmannin were from Enzo Life Sciences. 2-Cl-8-MA-cAMP, N6-MBC-cAMP, and 8-Piperidino-cAMP were purchased from BioLog.

Human cell isolation and culture

All research involving work with human blood and tissue samples were approved by the local Research Ethics Commission. Informed consent was obtained from each participating subject before sampling in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. PBMCs were isolated from healthy donors by density gradient centrifugation using Lymphoprep (Axis-Shield), according to the local ethical guidelines on experimentation with human samples. T cell subsets were purified by MACS, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Miltenyi Biotec). Purified human T cells were isolated by negative selection using Pan T Cell Isolation Kit II. Naive T cells were further isolated by depleting CD45RO $^+$ cells using CD45RO microbeads. Purity was evaluated by flow cytometry and ranged between 92 and 99%.

Mouse tissue cell isolation

Male and female C57BL/6 Thy1.1 $^+$ (B6) mice were bred in-house and used between 6 and 12 wk of age. All studies complied with institutional guidelines and the U.K. Home Office for Laboratory Animal Care regulations. Peripheral blood was harvested by cardiac puncture into heparinized tubes and subsequently layered on Lymphoprep to obtain mononuclear cells. Thymus, lymph nodes, and spleen were harvested and mashed through a 40- μ m nylon cell strainer to prepare single-cell suspensions. Skin tissue was excised after hair removal, scraped to remove s.c. fat, cut into strips, and floated with the dermis side down in Dispase II buffer at 37°C. After 90 min, the epidermis was separated from dermis, and the epidermal sheets were floated on cRPMI to generate epidermis-conditioned medium (ECM). Alternatively, the whole skin was chopped and incubated in 1 \times PBS supplemented with 25 μ g/ml Liberase TM and 250 μ g/ml DNase I (Roche) at 37°C shaking for 30 min. Single-cell suspensions were subjected to Lymphoprep separation prior to staining for flow cytometry or sorted based on CD45 expression using CD45 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec). Purified naive splenic T cells were isolated by negative selection using the Easysep Mouse Pan Naive T Cell Isolation Kit (Stemcell Technologies), according to manufacturer's instructions.

Epidermis-conditioned medium

Freshly isolated epidermal sheets were cultured on AB-RPMI for 3 d. After 3 d, supernatants were harvested, centrifuged, 0.22 μ M filtered and either used directly or stored frozen until use. Keratinocyte-conditioned medium (KCM) was generated as described previously (12). To inactivate proteins, conditioned medium was subsequently heated (80°C) or heated and digested with either 0.2–10 U/ml trypsin-agarose beads or proteinase K–Eupergit beads (20 U/ml) for 6 h at 37°C (Sigma-Aldrich). Effectiveness of digestion was determined based on loss of HRP enzymatic activity in spiked medium. For the preparation of mouse skin conditioned medium, ears were split into dorsal and ventral halves and floated dermis side down on cRPMI for 3 d at 37°C. For ECM, dorsal epidermal sheets were prepared and incubated as described previously. Cell-free supernatant was then collected, filtered through a 0.22- μ m filter, and stored until use.

T cell stimulations

Naive T cells (1×10^5 cells/well) were stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 Dynabeads at a ratio of 4:1 in cRPMI in the presence of various additives for 5 d. For prostaglandin E (EP) receptor inhibition or signaling inhibitor assays, cells were preincubated with inhibitors for 30 min prior to the addition of ECM or PGE $_2$ and left overnight. The following day, cells were washed and stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 Dynabeads at a ratio of 4:1 in cRPMI. For priming experiments, cells were incubated with 100 nM 25(OH)D $_3$ or 1,25(OH) $_2$ D $_3$ with or without IL-12 (12.5 ng/ml) overnight and then washed and stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 Dynabeads in the presence of PGE $_2$ or EP receptor agonists.

Murine naive T cells were stimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (1 μ g/ml) plus soluble anti-CD28 (1 μ g/ml) and 30 U/ml recombinant mouse IL-2 in cRPMI supplemented with 50 μ M 2-ME for 5 d either alone or in the presence of conditioned medium generated from whole ear skin or epidermal sheets from dorsal skin.

Flow cytometry

Cells were acquired using a FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star). The following anti-human mAbs were used: anti-CD3 (UCHT1), anti-CD4 (SK3), anti-CD8 (SK1), anti-CD45RA (HI100), anti-CD45RO (UCHL1), and anti-CCR7 (3D12) from BD Biosciences; and anti-CXCR3 (49801) and anti-CCR10 (314305) from R&D Systems. The following anti-mouse mAbs were used: anti-CD3 (145-2C11), anti-CD4 (RM4-4), anti-CD8 (53-6.7), anti-CD11b (M1/70), anti-CD11c (HL3), anti-CD27 (LG.3A10), anti-CD44 (IM7), anti-CD45 (30-F11), and anti-CD62L (MEL-14). Human CCR8 expression was detected as reported previously (12). Mouse CCR8 expression was detected using a custom-made AF647-labeled murine CCL1 (AF647-muCCL1; Almac). Appropriate isotype controls were included in all cases. Live cells were gated based on their light scatter properties, the exclusion of doublets on forward scatter area/height plots, and the "dumping" of dead cells using Aqua Live/Dead staining (Life Technologies).

RNA isolation and quantitative PCR

Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA was removed by treatment with recombinant DNase I (Ambion Life Technologies), and RNA quality and quantity were determined with a nanodrop spectrophotometer. cDNA was synthesized from 200 ng RNA using the High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit from Applied Biosystems. For quantitative RT-PCR, the CCR8 mRNA level was determined using TaqMan Gene Expression assays and TaqMan Universal Master Mix II (Applied Biosystems), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reactions were performed using a ViiA7 real-time PCR system (Life Technologies) and were run in triplicate. Water (no template control) and mRNA without reverse transcriptase were used as negative controls. Relative quantification of CCR8 mRNA levels was performed using the comparative Ct method with β -actin as the reference gene and the equation $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$.

Intracellular cAMP detection

Intracellular cAMP levels were determined using the cAMP Biotrak EIA kit (GE Life Sciences). Briefly, naive T cell were incubated for 30 min in either media alone or media plus 15% ECM, then washed, and lysed using the supplied buffer. The amount of intracellular cAMP present in each sample was determined based on the standard curve and calculated as the fold increase in ECM-treated cultures over media alone.

PGE $_2$ level determination by mass spectrometry

For ECM lipid extraction, a modified Bligh and Dyer extraction was performed. An internal standard of PGE $_2$ -d $_4$ (10 ng) was added to account for variances in sample extraction. A 1-ml sample of ECM was added to 3.75 ml chloroform:methanol (1:2) in a glass extraction vial (13). The mixture was vortexed thoroughly for 1 min before the addition of 1.25 ml chloroform and vortexing for an additional minute. HPLC-grade water (1.25 ml) was added, and the solution was vortexed thoroughly for 1 min. The sample was centrifuged at $500 \times g$ for 5 min at 20–22°C before the lower layer was carefully removed with a glass Pasteur pipette and transferred to a clean, labeled glass vial. The samples were dried using a RapidVap or Dryblock with a nitrogen stream at a temperature of 30°C.

Lipids were then analyzed using a C18 Spherisorb ODS2, 5 μ m, 150 \times 4.6-mm column (Waters, Hertfordshire, U.K.). The mobile phase was composed of 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B), with flow rate 1 ml.min $^{-1}$. Solvent B was increased from 20 to 42.5% over 50 min, then increased to 90% over 10.5 min, held for 4 min, and then returned to 20% over 1 min. Equilibration time between runs was 14 min. Mass spectroscopy was performed using an ABSciex 4000 Q-Trap, using DP-55V, CE-26V monitoring the parent to daughter m/z of 351–271 (14).

Statistics

Significance testing was performed using the Mann–Whitney U test, Dunn's Multiple Comparison Test, one-way or two-way ANOVA with Dunnett posttest in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). A difference between groups was considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

Results

Imprinting of CCR8 expression occurs early during T cell activation

We previously reported that human epidermal keratinocytes release soluble factors that synergize with TCR-activating signals to induce CCR8 expression by naive T cells (12), but the kinetics of their

action is not known. First, we determined at which time point CCR8 expression was induced by analyzing RNA and protein expression in activated naive T cells treated with ECM. We found that CCR8 mRNA and protein expression began to rise after 3 d of culture (Fig. 1A, 1B) coinciding with entry into cell division (data not shown). CCR8 was not detected on undivided T cells, irrespective of the length of culture but reached peak expression by day 4–5 on T cells that had undergone the most cell divisions (Fig. 1C).

To determine when the CCR8-inducing factors were most active in relation to TCR triggering, ECM was added either simultaneously with anti-CD3/CD28 beads (day 0) or on days 1, 2, or 3 following treatment with anti-CD3/CD28 beads (Fig. 1D). We found that these factors are most potent when combined with TCR triggering and that sensitivity to the skin-derived factors is lost as the cells begin to divide (day 3). This suggests that the early signaling events mediated by ECM factors and TCR stimuli imprint a homing phenotype that only becomes apparent after 4–5 d in culture (Fig. 1B, 1C); reminiscent of the intricate link between cell proliferation and differentiation as a result of epigenetic alterations (15). Remarkably, even pulsing naive T cells with ECM (i.e., 18-h culture followed by a washing step) prior to TCR triggering resulted in significant CCR8 induction, indicating that the active factor(s) could “imprint” resting T cells for skin homing (Fig. 1E). Therefore, for the effective imprinting of a skin-homing phenotype, exposure of T cells to the CCR8-inducing factor(s)

needs to occur either before or during T cell priming. As reported previously, the addition of ECM to naive T cells did not affect their survival (Supplemental Fig. 1A) or proliferation during short-term culture (Supplemental Fig. 1B).

Intracellular cAMP is an important regulator of CCR8 expression

We next sought to identify the soluble factor(s) in ECM that are responsible for this activity. Interestingly, the ability to induce CCR8 expression was maintained in ECM or KCM cultures that had been heat treated (80°C) or digested with either proteinase K or trypsin (Fig. 2A, 2B), whereas the enzymatic activity of HRP was abolished under these conditions (data not shown). This indicates that proteins and larger peptides are not responsible for inducing CCR8 expression and implicated lipids and other small molecules as primary candidates.

Because many lipids and small molecules act via G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), we next tested whether ECM induced the activation of second messengers commonly associated with GPCR-mediated signaling. Incubating naive T cells with ECM for 30 min resulted in a transient 2.5-fold increase of intracellular cAMP levels over media alone (Fig. 3A), suggesting that cAMP-mediated signaling events may be involved in the regulation of CCR8 expression. To test this further, naive T cells were stimulated in the presence of the adenylate cyclase agonist forskolin and found a dose-dependent upregulation of CCR8 expression that peaked at 25 μM (Fig. 3B, 3C), indicating that cAMP is an essential regulator of CCR8 expression. Similar to ECM, forskolin induced a higher percentage of CD4⁺ T cells to express CCR8 than CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 3C), suggesting that the mechanisms regulating CCR8 expression differ between these two T cell subsets. Importantly, TCR triggering itself induces an elevation in cAMP levels (16) but fails to induce CCR8 expression, suggesting that the effects of ECM and forskolin on cAMP levels are qualitatively/quantitatively different from those derived from the TCR.

We next tested GPCR agonists that are known to activate G_{αs} and found that the addition of PGE₂, but neither the A₂ adenosine receptor agonist CV1808 nor the β₂ adrenergic receptor agonist isoproterenol, resulted in increased CCR8 expression by activated T cells (Fig. 4A). PGE₂ exerts its activity through four GPCRs (EP1–4) with EP2 and EP4 being the most highly expressed by naive T cells (17). By incubating naive T cells with EP-specific antagonists, we found that the EP4 receptor-selective antagonist L161,982 inhibited PGE₂-induced CCR8 expression more consistently and potently than either SC19220 (EP1) or AH6809 (EP1–3) (Fig. 4B), suggesting that EP4 was primarily responsible




FIGURE 1. CCR8 expression is imprinted early in activated T cells by skin-derived factors. Naive T cells were stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 beads in the presence of 15% ECM, and CCR8 expression was determined examined on each day at the RNA level by quantitative PCR (A) and at the protein level by flow cytometry (B). (C) T cell proliferation was monitored in these cultures by CFSE dilution. Representative dot plots of CCR8 expression on CFSE-labeled CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ naive T cells stimulated for 4 d in the presence of ECM are shown. The percentage of proliferating CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ cells expressing CCR8 for each cell division on day 4 is plotted as mean ± SD from three experiments. (D) Percentage of activated T cells expressing CCR8 after 5 d in culture when ECM is added either together with anti-CD3/CD28 beads (day 0) or on days 1, 2, or 3 post-stimulation. (E) Naive T cells were pretreated with ECM for 18 h then washed prior to stimulation with αCD3/CD28 beads. The percentage of T cells expressing CCR8 was assessed after 5 d in culture. Results are plotted as mean ± SD from two to four independent experiments. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01.




FIGURE 2. CCR8 induction is mediated by conserved, nonproteinaceous factors. Naive T cells were stimulated for 5 d with anti-CD3/CD28 beads in the presence of ECM or KCM that had been heated to 80°C or digested with trypsin or proteinase K and analyzed for CCR8 expression by flow cytometry after 5 d in culture. (A) Representative dot plots are shown for gated CD4⁺ T cells, whereas in (B) the CCR8-inducing activity of treated ECM/KCM relative to untreated for CD3⁺ T cells is plotted as mean ± SD from five independent experiments.




FIGURE 3. Intracellular cAMP is an important regulator of CCR8 expression. **(A)** Fold increase in intracellular cAMP levels for naive T cells cultured with 15% ECM for 30 min at 37°C compared with media alone from two independent experiments using ECM preps from six healthy skin donors. **(B)** Representative dot plots for CCR8 expression by gated CD4⁺ T cells activated for 5 d in the absence (media) or presence of 10 μM forskolin. **(C)** Percentage of gated CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 after 5 d in culture following stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28 beads in the presence of increasing concentrations of forskolin, plotted as mean ± SD from three independent experiments. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01.

for regulating CCR8 expression. In support, only the EP4-specific agonist CAY10598 was capable of mimicking the PGE₂ effect, whereas the EP1/EP3 agonist 19R(OH)-PGE₁ and the EP2 agonist butaprost failed to do so (Fig. 4C).

Although both EP2 and EP4 activate cAMP signaling, EP4 has also been shown to activate the PI3K signaling pathway (18). As such, we next tested which pathway was primarily responsible for inducing CCR8 expression by preincubating cells with increasing concentrations of either the PKA-selective inhibitory peptide PKI, the PI3K inhibitor wortmannin, or the Raf1 inhibitor Raf1 kinase inhibitor 1 prior to the addition of PGE₂. For this experiment, the pulsing of naive T cells with PGE₂ with or without the signaling inhibitors prior to the addition of anti-CD3/CD28 beads was

necessary to prevent the signaling inhibitors from interfering with T cell activation. In accordance with our forskolin data (Fig. 3), we found that only incubation with PKI significantly reduced PGE₂-induced CCR8 expression on activated T cells (Fig. 4D, 4E).

The downstream effects of cAMP are thought to be mediated by the various isoforms of PKA (19). Therefore, we tested whether there was a preference for PKA type I or type II in the regulation of CCR8 expression by comparing the effect of PKA subtype-specific cAMP analogs (20). Interestingly, whereas the preferential activation of either PKA-I or PKA-II failed to induce significant CCR8 expression, combining these analogs resulted in a strong synergy indicating that the activation of both isoforms is essential




FIGURE 4. PGE₂ mediated activation of PKA results in CCR8 expression. **(A)** Naive T cells were stimulated for 5 d with anti-CD3/CD28 beads in the presence of 0.01–10 μM PGE₂, isoproterenol or CV1808. The percentage of gated CD3⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 was determined by flow cytometry and plotted as mean ± SD from two to six independent experiments. **(B)** Naive T cells were incubated with PGE₂ alone or PGE₂ in the presence of 0.01–10 μM of antagonists against EP1 (SC19220), EP1/2 (AH6809), or EP4 (L161,982) prior to stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28 beads. After 5 d, the percentage of cells expressing CCR8 was determined by flow cytometry and plotted as a percentage of cells expressing CCR8 relative to PGE₂ alone. Results are plotted from two independent experiments. **(C)** Naive T cells were stimulated in the presence of 0.01–1 μM PGE₂ or the EP-selective agonists 19R(OH)-PGE₁ (EP1), butaprost (EP2), or CAY10598 (EP4). After 5 d, the percentage of CD3⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 was determined by flow cytometry, and results are plotted as mean ± SD from four independent experiments. **(D and E)** Naive T cells were pretreated with 0.01–1 μM of the inhibitors Raf1 kinase inhibitor 1 (RKI1), wortmannin, or PKA inhibitor peptide PKI_{14–22} (PKI) prior to stimulation in the presence of PGE₂. Representative dot plots for CCR8 expression by gated CD3⁺ T cells stimulated in the presence of PGE₂ alone or PGE₂ + 1 μM PKI are shown in (D), whereas bar graphs depicting the percentage of CD3⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 for inhibitor-treated groups relative to PGE₂ alone are plotted in (E). **(F)** Naive T cells were stimulated for 5 d in the presence of 0.1 mM of site-specific cAMP agonists selective for activation of PKA-I (2-Cl-8-MA-cAMP + 8-Pip-cAMP) and PKA-II (N6-MBC-cAMP + 8-Pip-cAMP) (20). The percentage of CD3⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 was determined by flow cytometry after 5 d and plotted as mean ± SD from two independent experiments. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01.

for CCR8 expression (Fig. 4F). This finding clarifies why neither TCR triggering alone nor activation of the β_2 adrenergic receptor are effective at inducing CCR8 expression as these receptors preferentially activate PKA-I (21, 22). We conclude that the cAMP elevating agents PGE₂ and forskolin are potent CCR8-inducing agents when added at micromolar concentrations.

Active vitamin D₃ synergizes with PGE₂ to induce CCR8 expression

PGE₂ alone is not as effective as ECM at inducing CCR8 expression, and PGE₂ levels in ECM are below micromole concentrations (44.8 ± 33.9 nM; $14.95\text{--}83.72$ nM; $n = 5$), suggesting that other factor(s) may work in concert with PGE₂. Previous reports highlight the critical role for vitamins A and D in the regulation of tissue-homing receptor expression (3). However, neither of these vitamins alone showed significant activity in our previous human CCR8 induction experiments. To our surprise, we found that the combination of 1,25(OH)₂D₃, a metabolite typically found in ECM cultures, with suboptimal levels (0.1 μ M) of PGE₂ resulted in a significant increase in the percentage of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 over PGE₂ alone ($p < 0.0001$) or 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 5A, 5B). Interestingly, combining these metabolites resulted in a synergistic increase in CCR8 expression for five of the nine responding T cell donors tested, whereas the remaining four donors only showed an additive response (Fig. 5C). The reason for this difference is unclear but may reflect donor variability in the responsiveness to these metabolites as well as the timing of delivery. However, it is important to note that for some donors the response to 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone was relatively high when compared with the median (Fig. 5B) or when compared with previously published experi-

ments (12). For these donors, it is conceivable that the effect of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone precluded any synergistic effect when combined with PGE₂. Of note, and in line with our findings with ECM, CCR8 expression in CD4⁺ T cells routinely exceeded the levels observed in CD8⁺ T cells (data not shown). This effect was specific for the active metabolite of vitamin D₃ because neither the precursor 25(OH)D₃ nor the vitamin A metabolite, all-trans-retinoic acid, showed synergistic activity (Fig. 5A; data not shown). This synergistic effect could also be seen when 1,25(OH)₂D₃ was combined with suboptimal levels of the EP4 receptor agonist CAY10598 (Fig. 5D) as well as forskolin (data not shown) but not the EP1/EP3 agonist 19R(OH)-PGE₁ or the EP2 agonist butaprost (Fig. 5D).

We previously reported that the addition of IL-12 to T cell-keratinocyte cocultures inhibited the keratinocyte-mediated CCR8 induction (12). In agreement, in this paper, we report that the addition of IL-12 inhibited the synergy between 1,25(OH)₂D₃ and 0.1 μ M PGE₂ during induction of CCR8 expression (Fig. 5E), which is in contrast to CCR10, whose modest expression depended on this cytokine (Fig. 5F). Likewise, the addition of IFN- α also significantly reduced the percentage of activated T cells expressing CCR8 after treatment with PGE₂ plus 1,25(OH)₂D₃, whereas IFN- γ , IL-6, and TNF- α did not have this effect. The inhibitory effect of IL-12 and IFN- α could be seen for both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (Supplemental Fig. 2A), and similar data were obtained when using only 50 nM PGE₂ in combination with 1,25(OH)₂D₃ (Supplemental Fig. 2B) or when T cells were stimulated in the presence of ECM (Supplemental Fig. 2C).

Because ECM possessed the ability to “imprint” a skin-homing phenotype in responding T cells (Fig. 1E), we next examined whether 1,25(OH)₂D₃ could prime naive T cells for CCR8 ex-




FIGURE 5. Ability of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ to enhance PGE₂-mediated CCR8 induction. (A–C) Naive T cells were stimulated in the presence of 0.1 μ M PGE₂ alone, 0.1 μ M 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone, or PGE₂ in combination with either 0.1 μ M 1,25(OH)₂D₃ or 0.1 μ M 25(OH)D₃. (A) Representative dot plots for CCR8 expression on gated CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells after 5 d are shown, whereas in (B) the percentage of gated CD3⁺ T cells, expressing CCR8 is plotted for each responding donor ($n = 9$). Line (—) denotes geometric mean. (C) The response of each donor to these treatments is plotted according to whether the resulting percentage of CCR8 expressing T cells in response to PGE₂ + 1,25(OH)₂D₃ exceeded the sum of either metabolite alone (synergy; left panel) or whether it was equal to the sum of the responses induced by each metabolite alone (additive; right panel). (D) Naive T cells were stimulated in the presence of various EP receptor agonists at 0.01 or 0.1 μ M in media alone or in combination with 0.1 μ M 1,25(OH)₂D₃. The percentage of CD4⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 was determined after 5 d and plotted as mean \pm SD from two independent experiments. (E and F) Naive T cells were stimulated in the presence of 0.1 μ M PGE₂ + 0.1 μ M 1,25(OH)₂D₃ in the absence or presence of 12.5 ng/ml IL-12, 100 U/ml IFN- α , 12.5 ng/ml IFN- γ , 50 ng/ml IL-6, or 20 ng/ml TNF- α . After 5 d, the percentage of CD3⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 (E) or CCR10 (F) was determined by flow cytometry. The percentage of cells expressing CCR8 is plotted in (E) as mean \pm SD relative to T cells treated with PGE₂ + 0.1 μ M 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone from five independent experiments. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

pression. To do so, naive T cells were first pulsed with either 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone, 1,25(OH)₂D₃ plus IL-12, or its precursor 25(OH)D₃ for 18hrs, washed and then stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 beads in the presence of a suboptimal concentrations of PGE₂ (0.025–0.1 μM). Consistent with our findings with ECM, the pulsing of T cells with 1,25(OH)₂D₃, but not its inactive precursor, 25(OH)D₃, resulted in a significant increase in CCR8 expression over either PGE₂ alone (*p* < 0.001 for 0.025 μM PGE₂) or 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone (*p* < 0.001; Fig. 6A, 6B), indicating that skin-derived 1,25(OH)₂D₃ does not need to be present at the time of activation and plays an important role in conditioning T cells for skin homing. Interestingly, and in contrast to data shown in Fig. 5C, pulsing naive T cells with 1,25(OH)₂D₃ prior to activation in the presence of PGE₂ resulted in a synergistic response for all donors tested (Fig. 6C), whereas pulsing with 1,25(OH)₂D₃ in the presence of IL-12 inhibited this synergy, revealing an early inhibitory effect of IL-12. These findings are in line with the view that the active metabolite of vitamin D₃ acts on local T cells before they interact with local DCs or migratory DCs in the draining lymph nodes following their exit from the skin.


Regulation of CCR8 expression by skin tissue is conserved between mice and humans

A recent study suggested that CCR8 expression is also restricted to skin tissue in mice (23). As a result, we next examined tissues from healthy B6 mice and found that CCR8 mRNA expression is largely restricted to the CD45⁺ fraction of immune cells localized in the skin and skin-draining lymph nodes, and consistent with a previous report, CCR8 mRNA was also detected at high levels in murine thymocytes (Fig. 7A) (24, 25). Further examination using custom-made AF647-labeled murine CCL1 (AF647-muCCL1) determined that, as in humans, CCR8 is primarily expressed by memory (CD44^{hi}) T cells present in the skin and skin-draining

lymph nodes (Fig. 7B) as well as by some single-positive and double-negative thymocytes (Supplemental Fig. 3). In murine skin, expression was detected on both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ skin T cells, whereas expression in draining lymph nodes was restricted to the CD4⁺ subset, consistent with previous reports showing that the recirculation patterns of the two memory T cell subsets differ (26–28). In contrast, significant levels of CCR8 were not detected on lymphocytes isolated from the spleen, mesenteric lymph nodes, or even peripheral blood (Fig. 7B, Supplemental Fig. 3).

On the basis of the comparatively conserved expression patterns of CCR8, we next asked whether the mechanism regulating human CCR8 expression would also regulate murine CCR8 expression. Activation of naive mouse T cells in the presence of mouse skin-conditioned medium resulted in the upregulation of murine CCR8 mRNA (Fig. 7C) and surface protein expression (Fig. 7D) signifying a conserved cross-species regulation of CCR8 expression by skin tissue. As for induction of human CCR8, this effect was more prominent on murine CD4⁺ than CD8⁺ T cells and could be replicated using ECM prepared from dorsal epidermal sheets (data not shown). However, unlike human T cells, the elevation of intracellular cAMP was not required for murine CCR8 expression as neither forskolin (Fig. 7E) nor cAMP analogs (data not shown) were capable of inducing CCR8 expression at the mRNA (Fig. 7E) or protein level (data not shown) in activated mouse T cells. In agreement, the addition of either PGE₂ alone or PGE₂ plus 1,25(OH)₂D₃ were also ineffective in inducing CCR8 expression (Fig. 7F). Inactivity of these factors may reflect differences in human and mouse T cell responsiveness to 1,25(OH)₂D₃ as was reported in the regulation of CCR10 expression (10). Of note, vitamin D synthesis is absent in murine skin (29), explaining why this metabolite cannot participate in immune modulatory processes in the skin of mice and possibly other nocturnal verte-

FIGURE 6. 1,25(OH)₂D₃ primes PGE₂-mediated CCR8 induction. Naive T cells were left untreated or pulsed with either 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone, 1,25(OH)₂D₃ + IL-12, or 25(OH)D₃ for 18 h, washed, and then stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 beads in the absence or presence of suboptimal concentrations of PGE₂ (0.025, 0.05, or 0.1 μM). (A) Representative dot plots for CCR8 expression on gated CD3⁺ T cells 5 d poststimulation for T cells pretreated overnight with media, 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone, or 1,25(OH)₂D₃ + IL-12, followed by stimulation in the absence (media) or presence of 0.025 μM PGE₂ are shown. (B) The percentage of CD3⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 after 5 d for all treatment groups is plotted as mean ± SD from three to six independent experiments. (C) The percentage of CD3⁺ T cells expressing CCR8 for T cells stimulated in the presence of 0.1 μM PGE₂ (i.e., pulsed with media alone), T cells pulsed with 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone, followed by stimulation in the absence of PGE₂ or T cells pulsed with 1,25(OH)₂D₃, followed by stimulation in the presence of PGE₂ is plotted for each donor (*n* = 6). The effect on CCR8 expression of pulsing T cells with 1,25(OH)₂D₃, followed by stimulation in the presence of PGE₂ exceeds the sum of the effects of either metabolite alone. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001, *****p* < 0.0001.






FIGURE 7. Conserved regulation of murine CCR8 expression by skin tissue. **(A and B)** Expression of murine CCR8 was evaluated by quantitative PCR in purified CD45⁺ and CD45^{neg} cell populations isolated from the skin, spleen, skin-draining lymph nodes, and thymus (A) and by flow cytometry on gated CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ memory (CD44^{hi}) T cells isolated from mouse skin, skin-draining LN (dLN), mesenteric LN (mLN), and spleen (B) from wild-type B6 mice stained with AF647-muCCL1. Data in (A) are plotted relative to the skin CD45-negative population. **(C and D)** Naive splenic T cells were stimulated in vitro with plate-bound anti-CD3 plus soluble anti-CD28 in the absence or presence of mouse skin-conditioned medium (SCM). After 5 d, cells were harvested and assayed for CCR8 expression at the RNA level by quantitative PCR (C) or stained with AF647-muCCL1 ± excess unlabeled muCCL1 (D) to detect surface CCR8 expression by flow cytometry on gated CD4⁺ T cells. Results in (C) are plotted as mean ± SD from two independent experiments ($n = 2-3$ mice) and dot plots in (D) are representative of four independent experiments from cells pooled from two to three mice each. **(E)** Naive splenic T cells were stimulated in vitro with plate-bound anti-CD3 plus soluble anti-CD28 in the absence or presence of 10 or 25 μM forskolin. After 5 d, cells were harvested and assayed for CCR8 expression at the RNA level by quantitative PCR. **(F)** Naive splenic T cells were stimulated in vitro with plate-bound anti-CD3 plus soluble anti-CD28 in the presence of 1 μM PGE₂, 0.1 μM 1,25(OH)₂D₃ alone, or PGE₂ + 1,25(OH)₂D₃. After 5 d, cells analyzed for CCR8 expression by flow cytometry. nd, not detected.

brates. However, the possibility that other factors combine with 1,25(OH)₂D₃ in the regulation of mouse CCR8 cannot be excluded. Nevertheless, it is clear that CCR8-expressing memory T cells accumulate in the skin of mice and humans and that skin tissue-derived factors regulate CCR8 expression in both species and, as such, underlies a conserved mechanism in the localization of skin-specific memory T cells.

Discussion

Control of tissue-resident memory T cells by distinct tissue environments represents a new paradigm in peripheral immune surveillance. The importance of this paradigm is underscored by the fact that healthy peripheral tissues harbor vast numbers of memory T cells whose presence is essential for maintaining tissue integrity and longevity. In this study, we show a mechanism for the control of human CCR8 expression involving the skin-produced metabolites 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ and PGE₂. Activation of the cAMP/PKA-signaling pathway was found to be essential for the induction of CCR8, and in agreement, PGE₂ alone could induce CCR8 expression. However, this effect was only observed at high (micromolar) concentrations of PGE₂, whereas induction of CCR8 at concentrations of PGE₂ produced by steady-state epidermis only occurred in the presence of the active vitamin D metabolite, 1,25(OH)₂D₃. Our data highlight an important role for vitamin D₃ in modulating the expression of skin-homing chemokine receptors in a context-driven manner. In the presence of the inflammatory cytokines IL-12 (10) or TNF- α plus IL-6 (30), 1,25(OH)₂D₃ induces low-level expression of the chemokine receptor CCR10 in T cells, whereas in the presence of basal levels of PGE₂, we found that 1,25(OH)₂D₃ synergistically enhanced expression of CCR8. Importantly, we also found that the addition of the type 1 proinflammatory cytokines IL-12 and IFN- α inhibited CCR8 expression, suggesting that CCR8 and CCR10 are induced

under different circumstances, although further investigation is needed. In support, we have reported that CCR8 is expressed by a majority of memory T cells isolated from healthy human skin, whereas CCR10 is largely absent (12).

Skin is the primary site for vitamin D₃ synthesis because its precursor 7-dehydrocholesterol (or provitamin D) is uniquely produced at high levels in this tissue (31). Upon exposure to sunlight, this precursor is converted to previtamin D₃, which then isomerizes spontaneously over time to vitamin D₃. This conversion occurs almost exclusively within the epidermis because UVB penetration is limited to this layer (31). Although the majority of vitamin D₃ is then transported to the liver and kidney for conversion to 25(OH)D₃ and its active form 1,25(OH)₂D₃, respectively, basal keratinocytes also express the necessary enzymes (25-hydroxylases and 1-hydroxylase) to convert vitamin D₃ to 1,25(OH)₂D₃, indicating that skin tissue itself is a source of the active metabolite (32). Local production is essential as 1,25(OH)₂D₃ is required for keratinocyte differentiation (33) and skin tissue defense (34), but the levels found in circulation are low (<100 pM) and are unlikely to sufficiently supply the epidermis. Interestingly, the photosynthesis of vitamin D appears to be an adaptation of diurnal vertebrates to sunlight because nocturnal animals, including rodents, do not possess this ability (29, 35). This provides an explanation for why certain skin tissue-expressed immune-associated genes such as cathelicidin (36), CCR10 (10, 37), and CCR8, as shown in this study, are positively regulated by 1,25(OH)₂D₃ in humans but not in mice.

Vitamin D₃ plays an important immune-modulatory role because deficiency in this vitamin in humans is associated with increased incidence of infection and autoimmunity (38). In terms of T cell differentiation, 1,25(OH)₂D₃ is thought to play a predominant inhibitory role because it indirectly promotes the differentiation of regulatory T cell subsets through its actions on dendritic

cells (DCs) (39, 40), whereas it primarily inhibits the differentiation of other Th cell subsets (41). Whether the effects of $1,25(\text{OH})_2\text{D}_3$ on T cell differentiation are due solely to indirect actions via DCs is unclear, but our findings along with those from Sigmundsdottir et al. (10) clearly show that $1,25(\text{OH})_2\text{D}_3$ acts directly on human T cells to promote skin homing receptor expression. On the basis of these data, it is possible that the decreased production of vitamin D_3 in aged skin could be a factor in the age-related decline in the local skin immune memory response (42, 43).

PGE_2 has also been previously implicated in the regulation of skin immune cell migration. Specifically, PGE_2 was shown to promote the maturation and migration of Langerhans cells, and as in our study, this effect was dependent on signaling through EP4 (44). More recently, PGE_2 was shown to indirectly promote a skin-homing phenotype in responding T cells as a result of its action on DCs. A study by Stock et al. (45) demonstrated that skin-produced PGE_2 was responsible for inhibiting the expression of retinoic acid-producing enzymes by DCs. As a consequence, T cells primed with these “skin-conditioned” DCs had higher expression of P-selectin ligands and accumulated in murine skin tissue (45). However, it remains unclear as to how interference with vitamin A metabolism in DCs promotes skin tropism in activated T cells. In human T cells, retinoic acid, the active form of vitamin A, did not interfere with CCR8 expression (12).

Like vitamin D_3 , PGs are also important for skin tissue homeostasis (46). In fact, PGE_2 is one of the main cutaneous eicosanoids produced by both epidermal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts. However, only primary cultures of epidermal keratinocytes are capable of producing both PGE_2 and $1,25(\text{OH})_2\text{D}_3$, consistent with their unique ability to support CCR8 expression (12). Many of the effects of PGE_2 on immune cells have been attributed to the activation and accumulation of intracellular cAMP and its downstream activation of cAMP-dependent PKA. In agreement, in this paper, we document that the PGE_2 -mediated induction of CCR8 expression in naive T cells required PKA signaling. Although inhibitory at high levels (47, 48), the generation of intracellular cAMP has also been shown to be necessary in the differentiation of Th1 and Th17 cells (49) and also to promote the responsiveness of primed T cells to restimulation (50).

Our study emphasizes the unique role played by tissues in maintaining the tissue-specific immune surveillance T cell repertoire. We propose that the skin tissue environment provides signal 3 in the multistep process of immune memory T cell formation. TCR triggering during adhesive interaction of naive T cells with Ag-presenting DCs provides signal 1 and leads to primed T cells receptive for costimulatory signals (signal 2) that drive T cell differentiation and expansion. Epidermis-derived factors, including $1,25(\text{OH})_2\text{D}_3$ and PGE_2 , as shown in this study, play an ambassador role in relaying the tissue-homing information (signal 3) to local, emigrating, and/or skin-draining lymph node T cells. Because CCR8⁺ T cells predominate in healthy skin, we suggest that signal 3 is primarily active during the steady state (i.e., under conditions of immune homeostasis). This suggests that signal 3 could occur prior to T cell activation (signal 1 and 2), consistent with our priming data. Under inflammatory conditions, the effect of skin factors may be overridden by inflammatory mediators (i.e., IL-12 and IFN- α) that ensure the recruitment of newly generated effector T cells in response to inflammatory chemokines. We do not yet know how CCR8⁺ T cells relate to inflammatory T cells and, indeed, when during the course of primary immune responses CCR8⁺ T cells are being formed. We have noted that excessive TCR triggering (i.e., high anti-CD3 concentrations neutralized the effect of CCR8-inducing factors [unpub-

lished observations]), which agrees with the view that CCR8 becomes expressed during the resolution phase of inflammation when the Ag density on DCs becomes limited. A recent study examining the differentiation of skin-resident memory T cells in mice found that CCR8 mRNA levels increased in tissue-resident T cells during the resolution phase of an immune response (>7 d postchallenge) (23). It remains to be investigated whether CCR8 expression occurs locally (i.e., at the late stage of inflammation) or in tissue-specific lymph nodes that drain the necessary signal 3 tissue factors. Even though the CCR8-inducing factors appear to differ between mice and humans, our findings show that the localization of CCR8⁺ memory T cells and the regulation of CCR8 expression by skin tissue are conserved, indicating that it is now possible to investigate the kinetics of CCR8 expression in mouse models of skin infections and vaccination.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jessica Strid (Imperial College London) for providing protocols for the isolation of mouse skin T cells.

Disclosures

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

References

- Butcher, E. C., and L. J. Picker. 1996. Lymphocyte homing and homeostasis. *Science* 272: 60–66.
- Woodland, D. L., and J. E. Kohlmeier. 2009. Migration, maintenance and recall of memory T cells in peripheral tissues. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 9: 153–161.
- Mora, J. R., M. Iwata, and U. H. von Andrian. 2008. Vitamin effects on the immune system: vitamins A and D take centre stage. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8: 685–698.
- Sigmundsdottir, H., and E. C. Butcher. 2008. Environmental cues, dendritic cells and the programming of tissue-selective lymphocyte trafficking. *Nat. Immunol.* 9: 981–987.
- Jaensson-Gyllenbäck, E., K. Kotarsky, F. Zapata, E. K. Persson, T. E. Gundersen, R. Blomhoff, and W. W. Agace. 2011. Bile retinoids imprint intestinal CD103⁺ dendritic cells with the ability to generate gut-tropic T cells. *Mucosal Immunol.* 4: 438–447.
- Iwata, M., A. Hirakiyama, Y. Eshima, H. Kagechika, C. Kato, and S.-Y. Song. 2004. Retinoic acid imprints gut-homing specificity on T cells. *Immunity* 21: 527–538.
- Jaensson, E., H. Uronen-Hansson, O. Pabst, B. Eksteen, J. Tian, J. L. Coombes, P.-L. Berg, T. Davidsson, F. Powrie, B. Johansson-Lindbom, and W. W. Agace. 2008. Small intestinal CD103⁺ dendritic cells display unique functional properties that are conserved between mice and humans. *J. Exp. Med.* 205: 2139–2149.
- Eksteen, B., J. R. Mora, E. L. Haughton, N. C. Henderson, L. Lee-Turner, E. J. Villablanca, S. M. Curbishley, A. I. Aspinall, U. H. von Andrian, and D. H. Adams. 2009. Gut homing receptors on CD8 T cells are retinoic acid dependent and not maintained by liver dendritic or stellate cells. *Gastroenterology* 137: 320–329.
- Holick, M. F., J. A. MacLaughlin, M. B. Clark, S. A. Holick, J. T. Potts, Jr., R. R. Anderson, I. H. Blank, J. A. Parrish, and P. Elias. 1980. Photosynthesis of previtamin D_3 in human skin and the physiologic consequences. *Science* 210: 203–205.
- Sigmundsdottir, H., J. Pan, G. F. Debes, C. Alt, A. Habtezion, D. Soler, and E. C. Butcher. 2007. DCs metabolize sunlight-induced vitamin D_3 to “program” T cell attraction to the epidermal chemokine CCL27. *Nat. Immunol.* 8: 285–293.
- Schaerli, P., L. Ebert, K. Willmann, A. Blaser, R. S. Roos, P. Loetscher, and B. Moser. 2004. A skin-selective homing mechanism for human immune surveillance T cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 199: 1265–1275.
- McCully, M. L., K. Ladell, S. Hakobyan, R. E. Mansel, D. A. Price, and B. Moser. 2012. Epidermis instructs skin homing receptor expression in human T cells. *Blood* 120: 4591–4598.
- Bligh, E. G., and W. J. Dyer. 1959. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Can. J. Biochem. Physiol.* 37: 911–917.
- Aldrovandi, M., V. J. Hammond, H. Podmore, M. Hornshaw, S. R. Clark, L. J. Marnett, D. A. Slatter, R. C. Murphy, P. W. Collins, and V. B. O'Donnell. 2013. Human platelets generate phospholipid-esterified prostaglandins via cyclooxygenase-1 that are inhibited by low dose aspirin supplementation. *J. Lipid Res.* 54: 3085–3097.
- Bird, J. J., D. R. Brown, A. C. Mullen, N. H. Moskowitz, M. A. Mahowald, J. R. Sider, T. F. Gajewski, C. R. Wang, and S. L. Reiner. 1998. Helper T cell differentiation is controlled by the cell cycle. *Immunity* 9: 229–237.
- Ledbetter, J. A., M. Parsons, P. J. Martin, J. A. Hansen, P. S. Rabinovitch, and C. H. June. 1986. Antibody binding to CD5 (Tp67) and Tp44 T cell surface molecules: effects on cyclic nucleotides, cytoplasmic free calcium, and cAMP-mediated suppression. *J. Immunol.* 137: 3299–3305.

17. Boniface, K., K. S. Bak-Jensen, Y. Li, W. M. Blumenschein, M. J. McGeachy, T. K. McClanahan, B. S. McKenzie, R. A. Kastelein, D. J. Cua, and R. de Waal Malefyt. 2009. Prostaglandin E₂ regulates Th17 cell differentiation and function through cyclic AMP and EP2/EP4 receptor signaling. *J. Exp. Med.* 206: 535–548.
18. Fujino, H., W. Xu, and J. W. Regan. 2003. Prostaglandin E₂ induced functional expression of early growth response factor-1 by EP4, but not EP2, prostanoid receptors via the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and extracellular signal-regulated kinases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278: 12151–12156.
19. Skälhegg, B. S., and K. Tasken. 2000. Specificity in the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway: differential expression, regulation, and subcellular localization of subunits of PKA. *Front. Biosci.* 5: D678–D693.
20. Nguyen, E., G. Gausdal, J. Varennes, F. Pendino, M. Lanotte, S. O. Døskeland, and E. Ségäl-Bendirdjian. 2013. Activation of both protein kinase A (PKA) type I and PKA type II isozymes is required for retinoid-induced maturation of acute promyelocytic leukemia cells. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 83: 1057–1065.
21. Laxminarayana, D., and G. M. Kammer. 1996. Activation of type I protein kinase A during receptor-mediated human T lymphocyte activation. *J. Immunol.* 156: 497–506.
22. Bauman, G. P., M. M. Bartik, W. H. Brooks, and T. L. Roszman. 1994. Induction of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) activity in T cells after stimulation of the prostaglandin E₂ or the β -adrenergic receptors: relationship between PKA activity and inhibition of anti-CD3 monoclonal antibody-induced T cell proliferation. *Cell. Immunol.* 158: 182–194.
23. Mackay, L. K., A. Rahimpour, J. Z. Ma, N. Collins, A. T. Stock, M.-L. Hafon, J. Vega-Ramos, P. Lauzurica, S. N. Mueller, T. Stefanovic, et al. 2013. The developmental pathway for CD103⁺CD8⁺ tissue-resident memory T cells of skin. *Nat. Immunol.* 14: 1294–1301.
24. Kremer, L., L. Carramolino, I. Goya, A. Zaballos, J. Gutiérrez, C. Moreno-Ortiz M del, C. Martínez-A, and G. Márquez. 2001. The transient expression of C-C chemokine receptor 8 in thymus identifies a thymocyte subset committed to become CD4⁺ single-positive T cells. *J. Immunol.* 166: 218–225.
25. Tiffany, H. L., L. L. Lautens, J. L. Gao, J. Pease, M. Locati, C. Combadiere, W. Modi, T. I. Bonner, and P. M. Murphy. 1997. Identification of CCR8: a human monocyte and thymus receptor for the CC chemokine I-309. *J. Exp. Med.* 186: 165–170.
26. Mackay, C. R., W. L. Marston, and L. Dudler. 1990. Naive and memory T cells show distinct pathways of lymphocyte recirculation. *J. Exp. Med.* 171: 801–817.
27. Gebhardt, T., P. G. Whitney, A. Zaid, L. K. Mackay, A. G. Brooks, W. R. Heath, F. R. Carbone, and S. N. Mueller. 2011. Different patterns of peripheral migration by memory CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells. *Nature* 477: 216–219.
28. Bromley, S. K., S. Yan, M. Tomura, O. Kanagawa, and A. D. Luster. 2013. Recirculating memory T cells are a unique subset of CD4⁺ T cells with a distinct phenotype and migratory pattern. *J. Immunol.* 190: 970–976.
29. Liu, P. T., S. Stenger, H. Li, L. Wenzel, B. H. Tan, S. R. Krutzik, M. T. Ochoa, J. Schaubert, K. Wu, C. Meinken, et al. 2006. Toll-like receptor triggering of a vitamin D-mediated human antimicrobial response. *Science* 311: 1770–1773.
30. Duhén, T., R. Geiger, D. Jarrossay, A. Lanzavecchia, and F. Sallusto. 2009. Production of interleukin 22 but not interleukin 17 by a subset of human skin-homing memory T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 10: 857–863.
31. Holick, M. F. 1988. Skin: site of the synthesis of vitamin D and a target tissue for the active form, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 548: 14–26.
32. Lehmann, B., and M. Meurer. 2003. Extrarenal sites of calcitriol synthesis: the particular role of the skin. *Recent Results Cancer Res.* 164: 135–145.
33. Hawker, N. P., S. D. Pennypacker, S. M. Chang, and D. D. Bikle. 2007. Regulation of human epidermal keratinocyte differentiation by the vitamin D receptor and its coactivators DRIP205, SRC2, and SRC3. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 127: 874–880.
34. Wang, T.-T., F. P. Nestel, V. Bourdeau, Y. Nagai, Q. Wang, J. Liao, L. Tavera-Mendoza, R. Lin, J. W. Hanrahan, S. Mader, and J. H. White. 2004. Cutting edge: 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ is a direct inducer of antimicrobial peptide gene expression. *J. Immunol.* 173: 2909–2912.
35. Segaert, S. 2008. Vitamin D regulation of cathelicidin in the skin: toward a renaissance of vitamin D in dermatology? *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 128: 773–775.
36. Gombart, A. F., N. Borregaard, and H. P. Koeffler. 2005. Human cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide (CAMP) gene is a direct target of the vitamin D receptor and is strongly up-regulated in myeloid cells by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃. *FASEB J.* 19: 1067–1077.
37. Shirakawa, A.-K., D. Nagakubo, K. Hieshima, T. Nakayama, Z. Jin, and O. Yoshie. 2008. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D₃ induces CCR10 expression in terminally differentiating human B cells. *J. Immunol.* 180: 2786–2795.
38. Kongsbak, M., T. B. Levring, C. Geisler, and M. R. von Essen. 2013. The vitamin D receptor and T cell function. *Front. Immunol.* 4: 148.
39. van der Aar, A. M. G., D. S. Sibiryak, G. Bakdash, T. M. M. van Capel, H. P. M. van der Kleij, D.-J. E. Opstelten, M. B. M. Teunissen, M. L. Kapsenberg, and E. C. de Jong. 2011. Vitamin D₃ targets epidermal and dermal dendritic cells for induction of distinct regulatory T cells. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 127: 1532–1540.e7.
40. Chu, C. C., N. Ali, P. Karagiannis, P. Di Meglio, A. Skowera, L. Napolitano, G. Barinaga, K. Grys, E. Sharif-Paghalah, S. N. Karagiannis, et al. 2012. Resident CD141 (BDCA3)⁺ dendritic cells in human skin produce IL-10 and induce regulatory T cells that suppress skin inflammation. *J. Exp. Med.* 209: 935–945.
41. Peelen, E., S. Knippenberg, A.-H. Muris, M. Thewissen, J. Smolders, J. W. C. Tervaert, R. Hupperts, and J. Damoiseaux. 2011. Effects of vitamin D on the peripheral adaptive immune system: a review. *Autoimmun. Rev.* 10: 733–743.
42. Vukmanovic-Stejić, M., M. H. Rustin, J. Nikolich-Zugich, and A. N. Akbar. 2011. Immune responses in the skin in old age. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 23: 525–531.
43. Holick, M. F., L. Y. Matsuoka, and J. Wortsman. 1989. Age, vitamin D, and solar ultraviolet. *Lancet* 2: 1104–1105.
44. Kabashima, K., D. Sakata, M. Nagamachi, Y. Miyachi, K. Inaba, and S. Narumiya. 2003. Prostaglandin E₂-EP4 signaling initiates skin immune responses by promoting migration and maturation of Langerhans cells. *Nat. Med.* 9: 744–749.
45. Stock, A., S. Booth, and V. Cerundolo. 2011. Prostaglandin E₂ suppresses the differentiation of retinoic acid-producing dendritic cells in mice and humans. *J. Exp. Med.* 208: 761–773.
46. Nicolaou, A. 2013. Eicosanoids in skin inflammation. *Prostaglandins Leukot. Essent. Fatty Acids* 88: 131–138.
47. Skälhegg, B. S., B. F. Landmark, S. O. Døskeland, V. Hansson, T. Lea, and T. Jahnsen. 1992. Cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase type I mediates the inhibitory effects of 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate on cell replication in human T lymphocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 267: 15707–15714.
48. Aandahl, E. M., W. J. Moretto, P. A. Haslett, T. Vang, T. Bryn, K. Tasken, and D. F. Nixon. 2002. Inhibition of antigen-specific T cell proliferation and cytokine production by protein kinase A type I. *J. Immunol.* 169: 802–808.
49. Li, X., F. Murray, N. Koide, J. Goldstone, S. M. Dann, J. Chen, S. Bertin, G. Fu, L. S. Weinstein, M. Chen, et al. 2012. Divergent requirement for G α s and cAMP in the differentiation and inflammatory profile of distinct mouse Th subsets. *J. Clin. Invest.* 122: 963–973.
50. Vig, M., A. George, R. Sen, J. Durdik, S. Rath, and V. Bal. 2002. Commitment of activated T cells to secondary responsiveness is enhanced by signals mediated by cAMP-dependent protein kinase A-I. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 62: 1471–1481.