

## EDITORIALS



# Healthcare services in police custody in England and Wales

Recent government U turn leaves police healthcare adrift from the NHS

Andrew Forrester *consultant in forensic psychiatry*<sup>1 2</sup>, Lucia Valmaggia *senior lecturer in clinical psychology*<sup>1 2</sup>, Pamela J Taylor *professor of forensic psychiatry*<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London SE5 8AF, UK; <sup>3</sup>Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK

In December 2015, the UK government announced that planned changes to the commissioning of healthcare for people held in police custody in England and Wales would not proceed. These changes had been well considered (having been in planning since a key report by Lord Bradley in 2009<sup>1</sup>), set out formally, and described as one route to securing excellence.<sup>2</sup> The government announcement, however, means that the commissioning of these specialist health services will remain with police and crime commissioners instead of being transferred to the National Health Service. This sets police healthcare apart from all other healthcare services, including those that are provided in other parts of the criminal justice pathway.

The decision represents a missed opportunity. It will prevent much needed service development and could set back current healthcare delivery. It represents a policy reversal that flies in the face of several years' preparation. It seems to have been financially driven,<sup>3</sup> but had the proposed transfer to NHS commissioning driven service improvements as expected, the change could have improved health outcomes substantially, and ultimately saved money.

Forty one police and crime commissioners in England and Wales were elected in 2012 and now have a key role in setting local objectives and budgets. Their overall budget is in excess of £8bn, funding a workforce of over 200 000 people. This workforce is in place to deal with up to 6.6 million crime incidents<sup>4</sup> and over one million arrests a year, although arrests have been consistently falling since 2007.<sup>5</sup>

Many of these large numbers of people may not have sought healthcare in the community despite having a complex range of conditions that require investigation and treatment<sup>6</sup> and may be acutely life threatening.<sup>7</sup> The importance of providing healthcare screening after arrival in police custody is well established, although the screening methods currently used nationally require improvement<sup>8</sup>—something that could have been achieved through the transfer of commissioning responsibilities.

The prevalence of health disorders among people taken into police custody resembles the prevalence within the prison population—perhaps unsurprisingly given that many of them will ultimately enter prison, whether transiently to await trial or for a custodial sentence.<sup>9</sup> They are, however, often much more acutely ill than all but the newest prisoners.

In prisons, the combination of high morbidity<sup>10</sup> and commitment to equivalence of healthcare<sup>11</sup> has led to relevant healthcare services being commissioned from the NHS since 2006. Although this handover of commissioning responsibility took a decade after the publication of the landmark report *Patient or Prisoner*<sup>12</sup> in 1996, recognition that these changes identified substantial unmet needs<sup>13</sup> should weigh against abandoning, or even delaying, similar reforms for those in police custody. Furthermore, failure to see through the commissioning changes goes against current international trends in progressive thinking about healthcare systems, which highlight the need for service integration across complex clinical pathways.<sup>14</sup>

Lord Bradley's proposal for the development of liaison and diversion services—integrated across the whole criminal justice pathway and with other relevant services in order to provide information where required and transfer people away from custodial care at earlier points in the criminal justice pathway—is still government policy. There is increasing recognition that these services can be effective,<sup>15</sup> and mounting evidence that healthcare interventions that broadly sit within the liaison and diversion portfolio, such as court based mental health interventions and intensive drug treatments can ultimately save money.<sup>16</sup>

The government's U turn on commissioning health services in police custody seems set to leave these services disconnected from the NHS as a whole, and from one another, through disjointed commissioning. This is a far cry from the seamless integration that had been sought, and that is still government policy.<sup>14</sup> The decision seems more focused on a short term

financial fix than longer term strategic health and economic gain.

We believe that consistent NHS based health commissioning arrangements across the entire criminal justice pathway would result in considerable improvement in the safety of the community and those arrested as well as cost benefits for the government. We therefore hope that this position can be restored at the earliest possible opportunity.

Competing interests: We have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare AF's and LV's NHS employer provides healthcare services in the criminal justice system (including police stations, courts and prisons)

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

- 1 Bradley KJ. *The Bradley report: Lord Bradley's review of people with mental health problems or learning disabilities in the criminal justice system*. Department of Health, 2009.
- 2 NHS Commissioning Board. *Securing excellence in commissioning for offender health*. NHS Commissioning Board, 2013.
- 3 House of Lords: Police custody: health services. Written questions, 23 February 2016, 6183 [available at <http://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/written-questions-answers-statements/written-question/Lords/2016-02-11/HL6183/>]
- 4 Office for National Statistics. *Crime in England and Wales: year ending September 2015*. Office for National Statistics, 2015.

- 5 Home Office. *Police powers and procedures England and Wales year ending 31 March 2014*. Home Office, 2015.
- 6 Rekrut-Lapa T, Lapa A. Health needs of detainees in police custody in England and Wales. Literature review. *J Forensic Leg Med* 2014;27:69-75. doi:10.1016/j.jflm.2014.08.004 pmid:25287804.
- 7 Payne-James JJ, Green PG, Green N, McLachlan GM, Munro MH, Moore TC. Healthcare issues of detainees in police custody in London, UK. *J Forensic Leg Med* 2010;17:11-17. doi:10.1016/j.jflm.2007.10.011 pmid:20083045.
- 8 McKinnon IG, Grubin D. Health screening of people in police custody--evaluation of current police screening procedures in London, UK. *Eur J Public Health* 2013;23:399-405. doi:10.1093/eurpub/cks027 pmid:22539630.
- 9 Public Health England. *Health and justice health needs assessment guidance: Police custody. Part 3 of the health and justice health needs assessment toolkit for prescribed places of detention*. PHE, 2015.
- 10 Fazel S, Baillargeon J. The health of prisoners. *Lancet* 2011;377:956-65. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61053-7 pmid:21093904.
- 11 Till A, Forrester A, Exworthy T. The development of equivalence as a mechanism to improve prison healthcare. *J R Soc Med* 2014;107:179-82. doi:10.1177/0141076814523949 pmid:24566935.
- 12 Ramsbotham SD. *Patient or prisoner? A new strategy for health care in prisons*. HM Inspectorate of Prisons for England and Wales, 1996.
- 13 Steel J, Thornicroft G, Birmingham L, et al. Prison mental health inreach services. *Br J Psychiatry* 2007;190:373-4. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.106.031294 pmid:17470949.
- 14 Till A, Exworthy T, Forrester A. Integration and offender mental health. *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol* 2015;26:11-21. doi:10.1080/14789949.2014.960440.
- 15 Scott DA, McGilloway S, Dempster M, Browne F, Donnelly M. Effectiveness of criminal justice liaison and diversion services for offenders with mental disorders: a review. *Psychiatr Serv* 2013;64:843-9. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201200144 pmid:23728358.
- 16 Washington State Institute for Public Policy. *Prison, police and programs: evidence-based options that reduce crime and save money*. WSIPP, 2013.

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>