OPA1 analysis in an international series of probands with bilateral optic atrophy

Petra Liskova,^{1,2} Marketa Tesarova,³ Lubica Dudakova,¹ Stepanka Svecova,³ Hana Kolarova,³ Tomas Honzik,³ Sharon Seto^{4,5} and Marcela Votruba^{4,5}

¹Institute of Inherited Metabolic Disorders, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

²Department of Ophthalmology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

³Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

⁴Cardiff Eye Unit, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK

⁵School of Optometry & Vision Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

ABSTRACT.

Purpose: To determine the molecular genetic cause in previously unreported probands with optic atrophy from the United Kingdom, Czech Republic and Canada. *Methods: OPA1* coding regions and flanking intronic sequences were screened by direct sequencing in 82 probands referred with a diagnosis of bilateral optic atrophy. Detected rare variants were assessed for pathogenicity by *in silico* analysis. Segregation of the identified variants was performed in available first degree relatives. *Results:* A total of 29 heterozygous mutations evaluated as pathogenic were identified in 42 probands, of these seven were novel. In two probands, only variants of unknown significance were found. 76% of pathogenic mutations observed in 30 (71%) of 42 probands were evaluated to lead to unstable transcripts resulting in haploinsufficiency. Three probands with the following disease-causing mutations c.1230+1G>A, c.1367G>A and c.2965dup were documented to suffer from hearing loss and/or neurological impairment.

Conclusions: OPA1 gene screening in patients with bilateral optic atrophy is an important part of clinical evaluation as it may establish correct clinical diagnosis. Our study expands the spectrum of *OPA1* mutations causing dominant optic atrophy and supports the fact that haploinsufficiency is the most common disease mechanism.

Key words: DOA *plus* syndrome – dominant optic atrophy – haploinsufficiency – novel mutations – *OPA1*

Acta Ophthalmol.

© 2016 The Authors. Acta Ophthalmologica published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica Foundation and European Association for Vision & Eye Research. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use,

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

doi: 10.1111/aos.13285

Introduction

Autosomal dominant optic atrophy (DOA, OMIM 165500) is the most common hereditary optic neuropathy with an estimated prevalence of 1:12 000–1:50 000 (Lyle 1990; Kjer et al. 1996). The disease is genetically heterogeneous, with five mapped loci (Votruba et al. 1997; Kerrison et al. 1999; Anikster et al. 2001; Barbet et al. 2005; Carelli et al. 2011). To date however, only two genes have been identified as disease causing: optic atrophy gene 1 (*OPA1*; MIM 165500) (Alexander et al. 2000; Delettre et al. 2000) and optic atrophy gene 3 (*OPA3*; MIM 606580) (Anikster et al. 2001; Reynier et al. 2004). *OPA1* is the most frequently mutated gene causing DOA accounting for up to 60% of cases with inherited optic atrophies (Yu-Wai-Man et al. 2009).

Autosomal dominant optic atrophy (DOA) shows highly variable expression, onset and progression. In most patients, the disease manifests by the end of the first decade of life. Typically, loss of central vision, optic nerve pallor, a centrocaecal scotoma and colour vision deficit are observed (Votruba et al. 1998; Rönnbäck et al. 2015). In some individuals, DOA plus phenotype is found, characterized by variable presence of sensorineural hearing loss, ataxia, axonal sensory-motor polyneuropathy, multiple sclerosis-like phenotype, chronic progressive external ophthalmoplegia and mitochondrial (Amati-Bonneau myopathy et al. 2009; Yu-Wai-Man et al. 2010; Liskova et al. 2013). Most recently association of OPA1 mutations with a multisystem disorder characterized by

1

age-related parkinsonian features as well as cognitive deterioration has been described (Carelli et al. 2015).

The OPA1 protein is a mitochondrially targeted dynamin-related GTPase located on the inner mitochondrial membrane functioning mainly in mitochondrial fusion and regulation of apoptosis (Cho et al. 2010). Initial studies suggested that OPA1 dysfunction leads to primary retinal ganglion cell degeneration (Olichon et al. 2007); however, recent evidence proposes that more diverse mechanisms are implicated in the disease pathogenesis (Bertholet et al. 2013).

The aim of our study was to explore the involvement of *OPA1* mutations in a large cohort of probands with bilateral optic atrophy.

Material and Methods

Patients

In this study, we have included samples of 81 probands of white British, Canadian and Czech origin and one proband of Czech Roma origin referred with the diagnosis of bilateral optic atrophy to the All Wales Genetic Testing Service; University Hospital of Wales and General University Hospital in Prague for laboratory investigation. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was authorized by local Ethical boards. All investigated individuals signed informed consent.

Clinical referral notes of the patients indicated bilateral impairment of best corrected visual acuity, pallor of the optic nerve head, evidence of colour vision deficit and no evidence of other factors causing compressive or optic neuropathy. In some probands but not all clinical data included also family history for optic atrophy and results of neurological and audiometry examinations.

Molecular genetic analysis

Prior to the start of the study, participating probands were tested negative for the three most prevalent mitochondrial mutations associated with Leber hereditary optic neuropathy (Yu-Wai-Man et al. 2011). Positive family history of the disease was not a prerequisite for the initiation of *OPA1* molecular genetic testing.

Genomic DNA was extracted from venous blood samples using

conventional protocols. PCR amplification and bidirectional Sanger sequencing of the *OPA1* coding regions and intron–exon boundaries were performed in probands as previously described (Thiselton et al. 2002). Primer sequences are listed in Table S1. DNA samples from available first degree relatives were also collected and used for targeted genetic testing of variants considered as potentially pathogenic.

Sequence variants were described as per the Human Genome Variation Society recommendations (den Dunnen & Antonarakis 2000), and with reference to NG 011605.1 and OPA1 transcript variant 8 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NM 130837.2) containing two additional exons 4b and 5b compared to transcript variant 1 (NM 015560.2) maintaining however the same reading frame and encoding a protein of 1015 amino acids.

Interpretation of mutation pathogenicity

Frequency of the changes detected in this study was searched in the following population databases: The Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) (http://exac.braoadinstitute.org) showing exome sequencing data from more than 60 000 unrelated individuals and The Exome Variant Server (EVS, NHLBI Exome Sequencing Project; http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/), which includes data from more than 6000 individuals (both accessed 7 May 2016). Only rare variants (i.e. minor allele frequency ≤ 0.001) were further evaluated for potential pathogenicity. Identified sequence changes were further cross-referenced with published literature and the eOPA1 mutational database (http://mitodyn.org, accessed December 2015).

As it has been convincingly documented that reduction in OPA1 protein levels is a disease mechanism in DOA (Marchbank et al. 2002; Schimpf et al. 2008; Fuhrmann et al. 2009) variants predicted to lead to an absence of the gene product due to the mRNA nonsense-mediated decay mechanism (e.g. nonsense or frameshifting mutations located 50–55 bp upstream from the last intron–exon junction) were considered as pathogenic.

The pathogenicity of missense variants was predicted using various algorithms; Sorting Intolerant From Tolerant (SIFT) (Kumar et al. 2009),

Polymorphism Phenotyping v2 (Poly-(Adzhubei et al. Phen-2) 2010) MutPred (Li et al. 2009), Mutation Taster (Schwarz et al. 2010). SNPs&GO (Calabrese et al. 2009), PhD-SNP (Capriotti et al. 2006), PRO-VEAN (Choi et al. 2012) and Panther (Capriotti et al. 2006). Novel OPA1 missense variants with no entry in ExAC and EVS and predicted harmful by at least three tools were regarded as pathogenic. Heterozygous missense variants previously observed in DOA patients and not present in population databases were also considered pathogenic, regardless of their scoring by in silico algorithms.

As cDNA was not available to experimentally evaluate whether canonical $(\pm 1 \text{ or } 2)$, non-canonical splice variants and coding variants close to intron-exon boundaries (i.e. +1, +2 or -1, -2) affect pre-mRNA splicing, the wild-type and mutated sequences were analysed by splice site prediction tools Human Splicing Finder (Desmet et al. 2009), NNSPLICE (Reese et al. 1997), MaxEntScan (Yeo & Burge 2004) and NetGene2 (Brunak et al. 1991). Mutations leading to the disruption of splice site predicted by at least three of the four tools used were considered pathogenic. Conservation analysis of affected amino acid residues across 16 species was performed using T-coffee (Di Tommaso et al. 2011).

Sequence variants not segregating with the disease phenotype, that is not present in all affected members of a given family were considered benign. As penetrance of DOA maybe as low as 43% (Toomes et al. 2001), the presence of a mutation in clinically unaffected family members was not considered to be excluding its pathogenic nature.

Results

Summary of the study cohort demographics and rare variants identified is provided in Table 1. In total, 32 rare sequence changes in a heterozygous state were detected in *OPA1* coding region and intron–exon boundaries in 44 probands, of 82 tested. Pathogenicity scores of missense variants and effect predictions of variants potentially affecting splicing are provided in Tables S2, S3, respectively. All novel pathogenic variants were submitted to the *OPA1* locus specific database (http:// opa1.mitodyn.org/) (Ferre et al. 2015).

| | | Mutation description | on | mRNA | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Proband no/origin | Family history | DNA | Protein domain (missense mutations) | transcript alteration | Position at chromosome 3 | ExAC | Mutation classification | Reference |
| 1/B | Y | c.678+1G>T | p.? | Splicing | 3:193336726 | z | Pathogenic | Novel |
| 2/B | Υ | c.800 801del | p.(Lys267Argfs*4) | Frameshift | 3:193349411 | 1/120600 | Pathogenic | (Toomes et al. 2001) |
| 3/CZ | Z | c.943C>T | p.(Leu315Phe) | Missense | 3:193353306 | Z | Unknown significance | Novel |
| 1 | ; | | Downstream from coiled coil 1 | : | | ; | - | |
| 4/B | Z Z | c.949-1G>C | p.? | Splicing | 3:193354983 | z | Pathogenic | Novel |
| B/c | Z Z | C.949-ZA>G | p.7 | Splicing | 3:193354982 | Z Z | Pathogenic | |
| 0/B | Z | C.9801>C | p.(Leusz/Pro) Downstream from coiled coil 1 | MISSense | C10CCCC61:C | 2 | Fatnogenic | (Barls et al. 2005; Alming et al. 2012) |
| | | c.1805C>A | p.(Ala602Glu) | Missense | 3:193364904 | Z | Pathogenic | Novel |
| | | | Dynamin, GTPase domain | | | | • | |
| 7/CZ 8/CZ | ΥY | c.1034G>A | p.Arg345Gln Dynamin, GTPase domain | Missense | 3:193355069 | Z | Pathogenic | (Lunkes et al. 1995; Alexander et al. 2000; Gallus et al. 2012; Russo et al. 2013) |
| 9/B | Y | | | : | | | | |
| 10/B 11/B | ≻z | c.1035+5G>A | p.Lys317_Arg345del | Splicing | 3:193355075 | 1/121286 | Pathogenic | (Toomes et al. 2001) |
| 12/B | NA | c.1148A>G | p.Val346 Phe383del | Splicing | 3:193355853 | Z | Pathogenic | (Baris et al. 2003) |
| 13/CZr | Z | c.1230+1G>A | p.Val384_Asp410del | Splicing | 3:193360635 | Z | Pathogenic | (Schimpf et al. 2008) |
| 14/C | Y | c.1305+5G>C | p.Leu411_Glu435del | Splicing | 3:193360843 | Z | Pathogenic | (Schimpf et al. 2008) |
| | | c.2355G>C** | p.(Leu785Phe) Middle domain | Missense | 3:193376699 | Z | Unknown significance | Novel |
| 9 | Z | V - 01361 - | | Missing | 0102012001.0 | V | Dothoconic | |
| g /c1 | Z | C.130/U>A | p.(Gly430Asp) Dynamin, GTPase domain | Missense | 5:195501225 | Z | Fathogenic | (Kim et al. 2005; Ke et al. 2006; Leruez et al. 2013) |
| 16/B | z | c.1377_1377+4del | p.? | Splicing | 3:193361233 | Z | Pathogenic | (Puomila et al. 2005) |
| 17/B | Y | c.1673C>A | p.(Thr558Lvs) | Missense | 3:193363406 | Z | Pathogenic | (Toomes et al. 2001: Thiselton et al. 2002) |
| 18/B | Υ | | Dynamin, GTPase domain | | | | 0 | |
| 19/B | Υ | | • | | | | | |
| 20/B | Z | | | | | | | |
| 21/B | Υ | c.1681+1G>T | p.? | Splicing | 3:193363415 | Z | Pathogenic | (Toomes et al. 2001) |
| 22/B | NA | | | | | | | |
| 23/B | Y | | | | | | | |
| 24/B | Υ | | | | | | | |
| 25/B | z | | | | | | | |
| 26/B | Υ | c.1834C>T | p.(Arg612*) | Nonsense | 3:193364933 | 1/114298 | Pathogenic | (Toomes et al. 2001) |
| 27/B | Z | c.1835G>C | p.(Arg612Pro) | Missense | 3:193364934 | Z | Pathogenic | (Kim et al. 2005) |
| | Λ | | Dynamin, OIFase domain | C-1: : | 200326001.6 | 202001/1 | IIII min | $(T_{2}, \dots, T_{2}, 2, 1, 2001)$ |
| 28/B | × > | C.1932+3A>U | p.7 • (1648 D) | Splicing | 3:193363926 2.102266501 | 1/120350 N | Unknown significance | (Loomes et al. 2000) Managed al. 2015) |
| 29/B 30/B | - 2 | 0.17471/0 | p.(| INTISSCIESC | 160000061.0 | 2 | rautogenic | (1.6116 CI al. 2003, Mallileis CI al. 2013) |
| 31/B | NA | c.1945 1948del | p.(Phe649Lysfs*14) | Frameshift | 3:193366593 | Z | Pathogenic | (Toomes et al. 2001) |
| A 00 | | | | ļ | | | 0 | |

3 -

| mRNA | | | | |
|--|---|--------|----------------------------|---|
| Protein domain (missense mutations) alter: | transcript Position at alteration chromosome 3 | 3 ExAC | Mutation classification | Reference |
| p.(Ser701*) Non: p.Val958Glyfs*3 Fran | Nonsense 3:193372740 Frameshift 3:193384959 | ZZ | Pathogenic Pathogenic | Novel (Delettre et al. 2000, 2001; Murton et al. 2001; Pesch et al. 2001; Toomes et al. 2001; Baris et al. 2003: Schimmet et al. 2008) |
| p.(Arg766*) Non: p.(Arg766*) Non: | Nonsense 3:193374986 Nonsense 3:193374986 | ZZ | Pathogenic Dathogenic | (Delettre et al. 2001) (Delettre et al. 2001) |
| |) | ΖZ | Pathogenic | (Toomes et al. 2001) |
| Splicing | ing 3:193384084 | Z | Pathogenic | (Ferre et al. 2009) |
| p.(Thr956Leufs*9) Fran | Frameshift 3:193384164 | Z | Pathogenic | Novel |
| | Frameshift 3:193384959 | Z | Pathogenic | (Delettre et al. 2000, 2001; Murton et al. |
| | | | • | 2001; Pesch et al. 2001; Toomes et al. 2001; |
| | | | | Baris et al. 2003; Schimpf et al. 2008) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| p.(Gln989Profs*10) Fran | Frameshift 3:193385051 | Z | Pathogenic | Novel |
| / = ves. N | not available data FvA | | entov | = none NA = not available data EvAC = Evone A teneration Consortium |

NM 130837.2 was used as the reference sequence. Chromosomal position corresponds to the human genome build GRCh37/hg19 and in case of a deletion or duplication of multiple nucleotides the position of the first nucleotide is indicated Altogether, 29 mutations observed in 42 probands were evaluated as pathogenic and three sequence variants were classified as being of unknown significance, of these one was present in a proband carrying a different *OPA1* disease-causing mutation. The predicted and/or in previous studies already functionally verified effect of disease-causing variants was missense (n = 7), splicing (n = 11), nonsense (n = 4) and frameshifting (n = 7)(Table 1).

Eight mutations identified are in bases of canonical dinucleotides at the splice-acceptor or splice-donor site. In addition, three other mutations found in the current study c.1035+5G>A, c.1305+5G>C and c.1148A>G were previously experimentally shown to cause a splicing defect (Baris et al. 2003; Schimpf et al. 2008). *In silico* analysis supported deleterious effect of nine mutations on pre-mRNA splicing (Table S3).

Six mutations were found in more than one proband, c.1034G>A in two Czech and one UK proband, c.1035+5G>A in two UK probands, c.1673C>A in four UK probands, c.1681+1G>T in five UK probands, c.1943T>C in two UK probands, c.2873_2876del in one Czech and four UK probands.

In three patients, two rare variants were detected; p.(Leu327Pro) and p.(Ala602Glu) in proband 6; p.Leu411_ Glu435del and p.(Leu785Phe) in proband 14; p.(Ser701*) and p.Val958Glyfs*3 in proband 33. Unfortunately, in families of probands 6 and 33, we were not able to determine whether these mutations were present on the same allele or in a compound heterozygous state due to the unavailability of DNA samples from other family members. In family of proband 14, targeted mutational screening of similarly affected proband's mother confirmed their cis position. In silico analysis (Table S2) suggested that p.(Leu785Phe) is of unknown significance therefore less likely to be disease causing.

None of the identified 32 rare sequence *OPA1* variants was found in the EVS database. Three pathogenic mutations and one variant of unknown significance had an entry in the ExAC database (Table 1).

Altogether, 16 probands of 39 did not report a family history of visual loss, and in five probands this

4 -

Table 1. (Continued)

information was not available. Mutational screening and clinical evaluation to assess possible *de novo* occurrence and penetrance could be performed (due to sample unavailability) only in two-first degree relatives from pedigrees of probands 8 and 14. Both had signs of bilateral optic atrophy and carried c.1034G>A and c.1305+5G>C mutations, respectively.

DOA plus phenotype was documented in three individuals. Proband 13 harbouring c.1230+1G>A noticed gradual visual loss since childhood and hearing loss since 23 years of age, which was confirmed by brainstem auditory evoked potential examination. Analysis of cerebrospinal fluid at the age of 22 years revealed four positive oligoclonal bands of immunoglobulin G and slightly decreased protein level which is consistent with multiple sclerosis-like phenotype. The patient denied permission to undergo brain MRI. CT scan of the brain revealed only the presence of bilateral optic atrophy. Proband 15 harbouring c.1367G>A had hearing loss, peripheral neuropathy and proband 44 with c.2965dup had hearing loss and peripheral sensitive axonal neuropathy. Interestingly, she reported that her mother also suffered from severe neurological impairment and that she was diagnosed with multiple sclerosis. Unfortunately, her mother could not be examined as she had died of cancer. In addition, proband 3 found to carry c.943C>T (classified as variant of unknown significance) was reportedly diagnosed elsewhere with peripheral neuropathy.

Discussion

Herein, we report on rare OPA1 sequence variants identified in a large cohort of international patients (white British, Canadian, Czech and one proband of Czech Roma origin) with bilateral optic atrophy. Ten novel sequence changes were detected, of these seven were considered pathogenic and three as variants of unknown significance. Two probands carried two different pathogenic mutations. Similarly, to other studies aiming at the identification of the underlying cause of bilateral optic atrophy (Toomes et al. 2001; Ferre et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2014), all probands with no paternal family history were prescreened for common mitochondrial mutations associated with Leber hereditary optic neuropathy prior to *OPA1* screening.

The great majority 22 (76%) of the detected 29 pathogenic mutations observed in 30 (71%) of 42 probands (including one individual with two frameshifting mutations) were predicted to lead to unstable transcripts likely to be degraded by mRNA nonsense-mediated decay cell mechanism (Pesch et al. 2001; Schimpf et al. 2006; Zanna et al. 2008) confirming that lack of functional protein product underlies the great majority of DOA cases (Pesch et al. 2001; Marchbank et al. 2002).

Only seven pathogenic missense mutations in this study were found in 12 (29%) of 42 probands (including one individual with two different missense mutations). This corresponds to frequency estimated in other studies concluding that about 30% of patients with DOA carry missense *OPA1* mutations (Amati-Bonneau et al. 2008; Ferraris et al. 2008; Hudson et al. 2008; Yu-Wai-Man et al. 2010).

Two mutations c.1034G>A and c.1148A>G in near proximity to intron-exon boundaries (2nd exonic 3' nucleotides) were predicted to lead to an amino acid substitution. While c.1034G>A was indeed experimentally verified by Schimpf et al. (2006) to cause p.Arg345Gln, c.1148A>G leads to in-frame skipping of exon 11 changing p.Val346 Phe383del at the protein level (Baris et al. 2003) highlighting the fact that interpretation of mutations needs always to be put into context of nucleotide position within the open reading frame so that variants interfering with splicing process are not wrongly indicated as substitutions.

The clear limitation of the current study is that the majority of first degree relatives were not available for our investigation; in part, information on family history was also missing. Negative family history for DOA was reported by 38% of probands with pathogenic mutation in *OPA1* which we attribute to *de novo* occurring changes and incomplete penetrance.

Three previously reported mutations in association with DOA and one variant of unknown significance are recorded in ExAC database, each with an occurrence 1 allele of \sim 120 000. As it has been shown that penetrance of *OPA1* mutations may be as low as 43% (Toomes et al. 2001), in addition to mild phenotypes that may remain unnoticed if subjects do not undergo comprehensive neuro-ophthalmological examination, we think that the presence of these mutations in public datasets does not exclude their pathogenic nature. Variant c.1935+3A>G previously reported as benign (Toomes et al. 2001) has been regarded as of unknown significance as functional study could not be performed due to sample unavailability.

Further limitations of this study were that results of examinations to assess extraocular neurodegenerative impairment and hearing loss were not available for all individuals tested. Autosomal dominant optic atrophy (DOA) plus phenotype was therefore documented in only three probands of 42 with OPA1 disease-causing mutations and in one proband with a missense variant classified as of unknown significance. Of the four sequence variants detected in our patients with DOA plus syndrome only p.(Gly456Asp) in Dynamin, GTPase domain has been previously reported to cause this phenotype (Leruez et al. 2013). Although the remaining probands were not aware of any other than visual symptoms, it needs to stressed out that mild forms of hearing impairment or peripheral neuropathy might have not been noticed.

In summary, our study expands the *OPA1* mutational spectrum and shows that the proportion of pathogenic variants leading to insufficient OPA1 protein expression level may be higher than it has been anticipated to date.

References

- Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, Kondrashov AS & Sunyaev SR (2010): A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods 7: 248– 249.
- Alexander C, Votruba M, Pesch UE et al. (2000): *OPA1*, encoding a dynamin-related GTPase, is mutated in autosomal dominant optic atrophy linked to chromosome 3q28. Nat Genet **26**: 211–215.
- Almind GJ, Ek J, Rosenberg T, Eiberg H, Larsen M, LuCamp L, Brøndum-Nielsen K & Grønskov K (2012): Dominant optic atrophy in Denmark – report of 15 novel mutations in *OPA1*, using a strategy with a detection rate of 90%. BMC Med Genet **13**: 1–7.

5 -

- Amati-Bonneau P, Valentino ML, Reynier P et al. (2008): OPA1 mutations induce mitochondrial DNA instability and optic atrophy 'plus' phenotypes. Brain 131: 338–351.
- Amati-Bonneau P, Milea D, Bonneau D et al. (2009): OPA1-associated disorders: phenotypes and pathophysiology. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 41: 1855–1865.
- Anikster Y, Kleta R, Shaag A, Gahl WA & Elpeleg O (2001): Type III 3-methylglutaconic aciduria (optic atrophy plus syndrome, or Costeff optic atrophy syndrome): identification of the OPA3 gene and its founder mutation in Iraqi Jews. Am J Hum Genet 69: 1218–1224.
- Barbet F, Hakiki S, Orssaud C et al. (2005): A third locus for dominant optic atrophy on chromosome 22q. J Med Genet **42**: e1.
- Baris O, Delettre C, Amati-Bonneau P, Surget MO, Charlin JF & Catier A (2003): Fourteen novel *OPA1* mutations in autosomal dominant optic atrophy including two de novo mutations in sporadic optic atrophy. Hum Mutat **21**: 656.
- Bertholet AM, Millet AM, Guillermin O, Daloyau M, Davezac N, Miquel MC & Belenguer P (2013): OPA1 loss of function affects in vitro neuronal maturation. Brain **136**: 1518–1533.
- Brunak S, Engelbrecht J & Knudsen S (1991): Prediction of human mRNA donor and acceptor sites from the DNA sequence. J Mol Biol 220: 49–65.
- Calabrese R, Capriotti E, Fariselli P, Martelli PL & Casadio R (2009): Functional annotations improve the predictive score of human disease-related mutations in proteins. Hum Mutat **30**: 1237–1244.
- Capriotti E, Calabrese R & Casadio R (2006): Predicting the insurgence of human genetic diseases associated to single point protein mutations with support vector machines and evolutionary information. Bioinformatics 22: 2729–2734.
- Carelli V, Schimpf S, Fuhrmann N, Valentino ML, Zanna C & Iommarini L (2011): A clinically complex form of dominant optic atrophy (OPA8) maps on chromosome 16. Hum Mol Genet 20: 1893–1905.
- Carelli V, Musumeci O, Caporali L et al. (2015): Syndromic parkinsonism and dementia associated with OPA1 missense mutations. Ann Neurol **78**: 21–38.
- Chen J, Xu K, Zhang X, Jiang F, Liu L, Dong B, Ren Y & Li Y (2014): Mutation screening of mitochondrial DNA as well as OPA1 and OPA3 in a Chinese cohort with suspected hereditary optic atrophy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 55: 6987–6995.
- Cho DH, Nakamura T & Lipton SA (2010): Mitochondrial dynamics in cell death and neurodegeneration. Cell Mol Life Sci 67: 3435–3447.
- Choi Y, Sims GE, Murphy S, Miller JR & Chan AP (2012): Predicting the functional effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. PLoS ONE 7: e46688.
- Delettre C, Lenaers G, Griffoin JM, Gigarel N, Lorenzo C & Belenguer P (2000):

Nuclear gene *OPA1*, encoding a mitochondrial dynamin-related protein, is mutated in dominant optic atrophy. Nat Genet **26**: 207– 210.

- Delettre C, Griffoin JM, Kaplan J, Dollfus H, Lorenz B & Faivre L (2001): Mutation spectrum and splicing variants in the *OPA1* gene. Hum Genet **109**: 584–591.
- Desmet FO, Hamroun D, Lalande M, Collod-Beroud G, Claustres M & Beroud C (2009): Human Splicing Finder: an online bioinformatics tool to predict splicing signals. Nucleic Acids Res 37: e67.
- Di Tommaso P, Moretti S, Xenarios I, Orobitg M, Montanyola A, Chang JM, Taly JF & Notredame C (2011): T-Coffee: a web server for the multiple sequence alignment of protein and RNA sequences using structural information and homology extension. Nucleic Acids Res **39**: W13–W17.
- den Dunnen JT & Antonarakis SE (2000): Mutation nomenclature extensions and suggestions to describe complex mutations: a discussion. Hum Mutat 15: 7–12.
- Ferraris S, Clark S, Garelli E et al. (2008): Progressive external ophthalmoplegia and vision and hearing loss in a patient with mutations in *POLG2* and *OPA1*. Arch Neurol **65**: 125–131.
- Ferre M, Bonneau D, Milea D, Chevrollier A, Verny C & Dollfus H (2009): Molecular screening of 980 cases of suspected hereditary optic neuropathy with a report on 77 novel OPA1 mutations. Hum Mutat **30**: E692–E705.
- Ferre M, Caignard A, Milea D et al. (2015): Improved locus-specific database for OPA1 mutations allows inclusion of advanced clinical data. Hum Mutat **36**: 20–25.
- Fuhrmann N, Alavi MV, Bitoun P et al. (2009): Genomic rearrangements in *OPA1* are frequent in patients with autosomal dominant optic atrophy. J Med Genet **46**: 136–144.
- Gallus GN, Cardaioli E, Rufa A et al. (2012): High frequency of *OPA1* mutations causing high ADOA prevalence in south-eastern Sicily, Italy. Clin Genet **82**: 277–282.
- Hudson G, Amati-Bonneau P, Blakely EL et al. (2008): Mutation of *OPA1* causes dominant optic atrophy with external ophthalmoplegia, ataxia, deafness and multiple mitochondrial DNA deletions: a novel disorder of mtDNA maintenance. Brain **131**: 329–337.
- Ke T, Nie SW, Yang QB et al. (2006): The G401D mutation of *OPA1* causes autosomal dominant optic atrophy and hearing loss in a Chinese family. Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi **23**: 481–485.
- Kerrison JB, Arnould VJ, Ferraz Sallum JM, Vagefi MR, Barmada MM, Li Y, Zhu D & Maumenee IH (1999): Genetic heterogeneity of dominant optic atrophy, Kjer type: Identification of a second locus on chromosome 18q12.2-12.3. Arch Ophthalmol **117**: 805– 810.
- Kim JY, Hwang JM, Ko HS, Seong MW, Park BJ & Park SS (2005): Mitochondrial DNA content is decreased in autosomal

dominant optic atrophy. Neurology **64**: 966–972.

- Kjer B, Eiberg H, Kjer P & Rosenberg T (1996): Dominant optic atrophy mapped to chromosome 3q region. II. Clinical and epidemiological aspects. Acta Ophthalmol Scand **74**: 3–7.
- Kumar P, Henikoff S & Ng PC (2009): Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nat Protoc 4: 1073– 1081.
- Leruez S, Milea D, Defoort-Dhellemmes S et al. (2013): Sensorineural hearing loss in OPA1-linked disorders. Brain **136**: e236.
- Li B, Krishnan VG, Mort ME, Xin F, Kamati KK, Cooper DN, Mooney SD & Radivojac P (2009): Automated inference of molecular mechanisms of disease from amino acid substitutions. Bioinformatics 25: 2744–2750.
- Liskova P, Ulmanova O, Tesina P, Melsova H, Diblik P, Hansikova H, Tesarova M & Votruba M (2013): Novel *OPA1* missense mutation in a family with optic atrophy and severe widespread neurological disorder. Acta Ophthalmol **91**: e225–e231.
- Lunkes A, Hartung U, Magarino C et al. (1995): Refinement of the *OPA1* gene locus on chromosome 3q28-q29 to a region of 2-8 cM, in one Cuban pedigree with autosomal dominant optic atrophy type Kjer. Am J Hum Genet **57**: 968–970.
- Lyle W (ed.) (1990): Genetic risks. Waterloo, Ontario. University of Waterloo Press.
- Manners DN, Rizzo G, La Morgia C et al. (2015): Diffusion Tensor Imaging Mapping of Brain White Matter Pathology in Mitochondrial Optic Neuropathies. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 36: 1259–1265.
- Marchbank NJ, Craig JE, Leek JP et al. (2002): Deletion of the *OPA1* gene in a dominant optic atrophy family: evidence that haploinsufficiency is the cause of disease. J Med Genet **39**: e47.
- Murton NJ, French L, Toomes C, Joseph SS, Rehman I, Hopkins BL, Inglehearn CF & Churchill AJ (2001): A high-density transcript map of the human dominant optic atrophy *OPA1* gene locus and re-evaluation of evidence for a founder haplotype. Cytogenet Cell Genet **92**: 97–102.
- Olichon A, Landes T, Arnaune-Pelloquin L et al. (2007): Effects of *OPA1* mutations on mitochondrial morphology and apoptosis: relevance to ADOA pathogenesis. J Cell Physiol **211**: 423–430.
- Pesch UE, Leo-Kottler B, Mayer S, Jurklies B, Kellner U & Apfelstedt-Sylla E (2001): *OPA1* mutations in patients with autosomal dominant optic atrophy and evidence for semi-dominant inheritance. Hum Mol Genet **10**: 1359–1368.
- Puomila A, Huoponen K, Mantyjarvi M et al. (2005): Dominant optic atrophy: correlation between clinical and molecular genetic studies. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 83: 337–346.
- Reese MG, Eeckman FH, Kulp D & Haussler D (1997): Improved splice site detection in Genie. J Comput Biol **4**: 311–323.

6

- Reynier P, Amati-Bonneau P, Verny C, Olichon A, Simard G & Guichet A (2004): *OPA3* gene mutations responsible for autosomal dominant optic atrophy and cataract. J Med Genet **41**: e110.
- Rönnbäck C, Nissen C, Almind GJ, Gronskov K, Milea D & Larsen M (2015): Genotypephenotype heterogeneity of ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer deficit in autosomaldominant optic atrophy. Acta Ophthalmol 93: 762–766.
- Russo A, Delcassi L, Marchina E & Semeraro F (2013): Correlation between visual acuity and OCT-measured retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in a family with ADOA and an OPA1 mutation. Ophthalmic Genet **34**: 69–74.
- Schimpf S, Schaich S & Wissinger B (2006): Activation of cryptic splice sites is a frequent splicing defect mechanism caused by mutations in exon and intron sequences of the OPA1 gene. Hum Genet **118**: 767–771.
- Schimpf S, Fuhrmann N, Schaich S & Wissinger B (2008): Comprehensive cDNA study and quantitative transcript analysis of mutant *OPA1* transcripts containing premature termination codons. Hum Mutat 29: 106–112.
- Schwarz JM, Rodelsperger C, Schuelke M & Seelow D (2010): MutationTaster evaluates disease-causing potential of sequence alterations. Nat Methods 7: 575–576.
- Thiselton DL, Alexander C, Taanman JW, Brooks S, Rosenberg T & Eiberg H (2002): A comprehensive survey of mutations in the *OPA1* gene in patients with autosomal dominant optic atrophy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 43: 1715–1724.
- Toomes C, Marchbank NJ, Mackey DA, Craig JE, Newbury-Ecob RA & Bennett CP (2001): Spectrum, frequency and

penetrance of *OPA1* mutations in dominant optic atrophy. Hum Mol Genet **10**: 1369–1378.

- Votruba M, Moore AT & Bhattacharya SS (1997): Genetic refinement of dominant optic atrophy (OPA1) locus to within a 2 cM interval of chromosome 3q. J Med Genet **34**: 117–121.
- Votruba M, Moore AT & Bhattacharya SS (1998): Clinical features, molecular genetics, and pathophysiology of dominant optic atrophy. J Med Genet **35**: 793–800.
- Yeo G & Burge CB (2004): Maximum entropy modeling of short sequence motifs with applications to RNA splicing signals. J Comput Biol 11: 377–394.
- Yu-Wai-Man P, Griffiths PG, Hudson G & Chinnery PF (2009): Inherited mitochondrial optic neuropathies. J Med Genet 46: 145–158.
- Yu-Wai-Man P, Griffiths PG, Burke A, Sellar PW, Clarke MP & Gnanaraj L (2010): The prevalence and natural history of dominant optic atrophy due to *OPA1* mutations. Ophthalmology **117**: 1538: 1546 e1531.
- Yu-Wai-Man P, Shankar SP, Biousse V, Miller NR, Bean LJ, Coffee B, Hegde M & Newman NJ (2011): Genetic screening for *OPA1* and *OPA3* mutations in patients with suspected inherited optic neuropathies. Ophthalmology **118**: 558–563.
- Zanna C, Ghelli A, Porcelli AM et al. (2008): *OPA1* mutations associated with dominant optic atrophy impair oxidative phosphorylation and mitochondrial fusion. Brain **131**: 352–367.

Received on May 23rd, 2016. Accepted on September 2nd, 2016. Correspondence: Marcela Votruba, BM BCh, FRCOphth, PhD School of Optometry and Vision Sciences Cardiff University Maindy Road Cathays Cardiff CF24 4HQ UK Tel: +44 (0) 29 2087 0117 Fax: +44 (0) 29 2087 4859 Email: votrubam@cardiff.ac.uk

We thank referring physicians and all family members who took part in this study. This work was supported by UNCE 204011, PRVOUK-P24/ LF1/3 and GAUK 38515/2015 programs of the Charles University, AZV 16-32341A and RVO-VFN 64165 grants from the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic and MRC Grant G0700949.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Primer sequences used formutation analysis of OPA1.

Table S2. In silico analysis of OPA1missense variants identified in patientswith optic atrophy in the current study.Table S3. In silico analysis of OPA1variants potentially affecting splicingidentified in the current study.

Figure S1. Evolutionary conservation of the OPA1 protein.

7