

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/95439/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Beeton, Michael and Spiller, Owen Bradley 2017. Antibiotic resistance among *Ureaplasma* spp isolates: cause for concern? *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 72 (2) , pp. 330-337. 10.1093/jac/dkw425

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw425>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 Antibiotic resistance among *Ureaplasma* spp isolates; cause for concern?

2

3 Beeton, M. L.^{1*}, & Spiller O. B.²

4

5 ¹ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Cardiff Metropolitan University, Western Avenue, Cardiff CF5
6 2YB, United Kingdom.

7 ² Institute of Molecular and Experimental Medicine, Cardiff University, University Hospital of Wales,
8 Cardiff CF14 4XN, United Kingdom.

9

10 *Corresponding author. Tel +44 (0)2920 205557; E-mail: mbeeton@cardiffmet.ac.uk

11

12 Keywords. *Ureaplasma*, antibiotic resistance, antibiotic resistance surveillance, detection of antibiotic
13 resistance

14

15 **Synopsis:**

16 There is a growing global concern regarding the rise of antibiotic resistant organisms. Many of these
17 reports have focused on various Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens, with little attention to
18 the genus *Ureaplasma*. *Ureaplasma* spp. are associated with numerous infectious diseases affecting
19 pregnant women, neonates and the immune compromised. Treatment options are extremely limited
20 due to high levels of intrinsic resistance resulting from the unique physiology of these organisms, and
21 further restricted in cases of the developing fetus or neonate often limiting therapeutic options to
22 predominantly macrolides, or rarely fluoroquinolones. The increasing presence of macrolide and
23 fluoroquinolone resistant strains among neonatal infections may result in pan-drug resistance and
24 potentially untreatable conditions. Here we review the requirements for accurate measurement of
25 antimicrobial susceptibility, provide a comprehensive review of the antimicrobial resistance (AMR) for
26 *Ureaplasma* species in the literature, and contextualize these results relative to some investigator's

27 reliance on commercial kits that are not ~~CLSI~~~~Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute~~ compliant when
28 determining AMR. The dramatic variation in the resistance patterns and impact of high levels of AMR
29 amongst neonatal populations suggests the need for continued surveillance. Commercial kits represent
30 an excellent tool for initial antibiotic susceptibility determination and screening. However, AMR
31 reporting must utilize internationally-standardised methods as high titre samples, or *M. hominis*-
32 contaminated samples, routinely give false AMR results. Furthermore, requirement for future reports
33 to determine the underlying AMR mechanisms will determine if expanding AMR is due to spontaneous
34 mutation, transmission of resistance genes on mobile elements or selection and expansion of resistant
35 clones.

36

37 **Introduction: *Ureaplasma* as a pathogen**

38 A focus on the ESKAPE pathogens, multi-drug resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and drug resistant
39 *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* predominate both the scientific literature and the media with little attention
40 drawn to some of the less prominent pathogens. This relative lack of attention does not correlate to the
41 absence of a problem. *Ureaplasma* are some of the smallest self-replicating organisms known to inhabit
42 the human host. As the name suggests they possess a unique capacity to utilize urea as a primary carbon
43 source in the generation of ATP.¹ Within the genus two human associated species exist: *Ureaplasma*
44 *urealyticum* and *Ureaplasma parvum* and predominantly differ in the genomic coding capacity
45 (0.75–0.78 Mbp versus 0.84–0.95 Mbp genomes).² *Ureaplasma* spp. have had a controversial history
46 as a pathogen in part due the high colonization rate among healthy individuals with 40 – 80 % of healthy
47 females being colonized. *Ureaplasma* are now recognized pathogens among pregnant females,
48 neonates, sexually active individuals and the immunocompromised.^{3,4} One of the most recent reports
49 have identified a link between individuals suffering from hyperammonemia following lung
50 transplantation and systemic infection by *Ureaplasma* spp.⁵

51

52 ***Therapeutic options***

53 Treatment of *Ureaplasma* spp. infections are complicated by high levels of intrinsic resistance to many
54 commonly prescribed antimicrobials; for example the lack of a cell wall confers resistance to all beta-
55 lactam and glycopeptide antibiotics whereas the lack of *de novo* synthesis of folic acid renders cells
56 resistant to sulphonamides and diaminopyrimidines.

57

58 Only four classes of antibiotics are recognized for the treatment of *Ureaplasma* infections. These are
59 notably those which belong to the fluoroquinolone, tetracycline, chloramphenicol and macrolide
60 classes. When considering infections among pregnant females or neonates the number of therapeutic
61 options are further restricted due to accumulation of tetracyclines in developing bones, “grey baby
62 syndrome” associated with chloramphenicol and reticence in using fluoroquinolones in neonates.

63 Therefore emergence of macrolide resistant strains threaten to severely limit treatment of *Ureaplasma*
64 infections among these individuals, especially as *Ureaplasma* fluoroquinolone resistance is present and
65 expanding in Europe.⁶

66

67 Administration of antibiotics has been associated with both clinical and microbiological cure in clinical
68 presentations. In a study by Bharat *et al.*, resolution of hyperammonemia was correlated with
69 administration of azithromycin or levofloxacin resulting in subsequent microbiological cure.⁵ In a
70 single case the patient did not respond to azithromycin treatment, but this was later attributed to the
71 presence of a macrolide resistant strain. In some instances chloramphenicol has been used in the
72 treatment of *Ureaplasma* induced meningitis among both adults and neonates, although potential
73 complications surrounding toxicity in systemic use needs to be balanced with clinical outcome.^{7, 8}

74 Although favorable results have been noticed in many studies, the use of antibiotics among individuals
75 with suspected non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) as a result of *Ureaplasma* spp. infection is still
76 questionable. A study by Khosropour *et al.*, noted that 57% of individuals with NGU who were initially
77 infected with *Ureaplasma* spp. and received antimicrobial therapy with initially azithromycin (1g)

78 followed by doxycycline (100 mg twice daily for seven days), or *vice versa*, were still colonized after
79 six weeks of therapy.⁹

80

81 These data suggest in many cases it is possible to manage infections caused by *Ureaplasma*, when
82 dealing with antibiotic susceptible strains. As highlighted by this review antibiotic resistant strains of
83 *Ureaplasma* are present within the community. The mechanisms of resistance vary accordingly
84 depending on the antibiotic in question. Accumulation of point mutations in the 23S rRNA genes and
85 the quinolone resistance determining regions (QRDRs) of the *parC* genes are the predominant
86 mechanisms of resistance to macrolides and fluoroquinolones, respectively with acquisition of the gene
87 encoding the Tet(M) ribosomal protection protein on the Tn916-like mobile element being associated
88 with resistance to tetracycline.⁶ The detailed mechanisms of resistance are beyond the scope of this
89 review.

90

91 ***Determining antibiotic susceptibility profiles for *Ureaplasma* spp***
92 ***isolates using Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines***
93 ***and commercially available kits***

94 Routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) for *Ureaplasma* is rarely performed due to the
95 fastidious nature and specialized growth medium requirements. Therefore, most infections are treated
96 empirically, utilizing molecular methods for test of cure. For this reason, AST is predominantly
97 conducted for surveillance purposes, in the development of novel antimicrobials or clinical cases where
98 patients fail to respond to treatment.¹⁰

99

100 AST has been reported for *Ureaplasma* over numerous decades. In 2001, the publication Cumitech 34,
101 outlined not only diagnostic methods for ureaplasmas and Mycoplasmas, but also detailed
102 standardized methods for AST. However, in 2011 an international collaboration to standardize ASTM
103 for *Ureaplasma* spp, *M. hominis* and *M. pneumoniae* was published by the Clinical and

104 ~~Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI)~~. CLSI M43-A highlights the requirement for standardized media
105 (10B broth or A8 agar) quality control isolates (*U. urealyticum* [SV9] ATCC® 33175™ in the case of
106 *Ureaplasma*) and reference ranges for determining susceptibility or resistance.

107

108 Although standardized methodologies exist there is still a lack of routine AST. One factor which may
109 contribute to the lack of routine AST maybe the complex nature of testing regimes. Ureaplasmas are
110 unable to grow as confluent lawns on bacteriological agar plates therefore negating the use of commonly
111 used disk-diffusion assays, therefore broth microdilution and agar dilutions methods are favored,
112 although these have their drawbacks. The inability to grow *Ureaplasma* to a turbid culture, owing to
113 the self-toxic nature of metabolites produceds as well as small cell size, means that McFarland standards
114 are not available for standardizing inoculum size. Broth culture methods can utilize an increase in pH
115 in the medium which increases from pH=6.5 to pH>8.0 caused by the conversion of urea to ammonium
116 ions by *Ureaplasma*, changing the phenol red in the medium from yellow-orange to cerise red. To
117 achieve the required $10^4 - 10^5$ CFUcfu/ml-mL inoculum for reliable susceptibility testing, cultures
118 require predetermination of CFU-cfu prior to AST with freezing of the culture of known inoculum so
119 that numbers can be adjusting accordingly. This can be a lengthy process which delays reporting of the
120 isolates antibiogram. Routine clinical laboratories cannot feasibly accommodate setting these methods,
121 even if the complex routine medium can be obtained commercially, it is too labor intensive and requires
122 specialized training of staff. This is where the commercially available *Ureaplasma* AST kits find their
123 niche.

124

125 Commercial kits provide a streamlined and simplistic approach to detection of *Ureaplasma* spp and
126 AST. These kits contain dried antibiotic powders at two breakpoint concentrations which become
127 reconstituted upon inoculation. Although these kits can be sourced from a range of suppliers, caution
128 must be exercised when interpreting the results because there are a number of factors that do not comply
129 with the approved CLSI guidelines. Firstly, none of these kits utilize a dilution method of accurately
130 quantifying the inoculum which is added to the test panel. Although some kits have separate wells that
131 can differentiate inoculum levels of $\geq 10^4$ CFUcfu/specimen, they utilize an undisclosed method of

132 inhibition as no physical dilution prior to addition to these wells occurs in the sample preparation (Table
133 1). It is well established that a load greater than 10^5 will give a false-resistant result.⁶ Assay, such as
134 the MIST2, gives a semi-quantitative result of either positive or $\geq 10^4$. This assay will therefore not
135 differentiate if there is a high bacterial load of greater than the recommended 10^5 which has been
136 documented to be as high as 10^7 in a number of samples.¹¹

137

138 Secondly commercial kits cannot separate results for *Ureaplasma* and *Mycoplasma hominis* mixed
139 cultures.¹² Due to the intrinsic resistance of *M. hominis* to macrolides it is impossible to determine if
140 *Ureaplasma* sp in these mixed samples are susceptible to macrolides.¹³ This has led to the
141 unfortunate false-resistance reporting by investigators that note higher rates of macrolide resistance
142 among sample with co-isolation of both organisms¹², as they did not do follow-up investigations on
143 *Ureaplasma* isolates purified from the *M. hominis* contamination. For reliable susceptibility testing it
144 is essential to isolate a purified culture of test isolate.

145

146 The most important shortfall in the commercial AST kits is the use of test concentrations different from
147 the CLSI-determined breakpoints. Interpretation guidance provided with these kits define (1) growth
148 in growth control, with negative result in either concentration of antibiotic indicates a susceptible
149 isolate; (2) growth in the growth control and lower antibiotic concentration but not the higher suggests
150 intermediate susceptibility; and (3) growth in all conditions suggest full resistance. Unfortunately the
151 concentrations in many of these kits do not match those defined by CLSI documentation: CLSI
152 designate the erythromycin breakpoint as growth at greater or equal to 16 mg/L erythromycin suggests
153 a resistant isolate, whereas the BioMerieux kit utilizes 4 mg/L, four-fold less than recommended. This
154 may lead to over-reporting macrolide resistance among studies which have utilized the MIST2 kit, a
155 topic which is discussed later. Conversely the breakpoint for tetracycline stated by CLSI has been stated
156 as 2 mg/L whereas the lower and higher breakpoint concentrations are 4 and 8 mg/L, respectively.
157 Although this may suggest the possibility of underreporting of tetracycline among many clinical
158 isolates, in many cases with TetM mediated resistance results in high MIC values of greater than 32
159 mg/L. Exceptions to this have been noted in the situations of phenotypically susceptible strains

160 which are *tetM* positive, but are only resistant following induction with antibiotic.^{14, 15} This anomaly
161 would be missed by both commercial as well as CLSI approved protocols. With respect to testing for
162 ~~fluoroquinolone~~fluoroquinolone resistance there are again inconsistencies with CLSI protocol. The
163 primary concern is the low threshold for ciprofloxacin breakpoints at 2 mg/L. No agreed breakpoint
164 was agreed for ciprofloxacin and it is known that a much higher concentration is required to inhibit
165 growth of *Ureaplasma* than some of the newer third and fourth generation
166 ~~fluoroquinolones~~fluoroquinolones such as levofloxacin and moxifloxacin, respectively. Although
167 ofloxacin is not part of the CLSI recommended repertoire of fluoroquinolones, the breakpoint is the
168 same as suggested for levofloxacin and moxifloxacin. By taking these points into consideration it
169 maybe that investigators identify false-negative ciprofloxacin isolates with susceptibility to either
170 levofloxacin or moxifloxacin.

171

172 ***Evaluation of studies reporting antibiotic resistance***

173 Antibiotic resistance is recognized as an international issue whereby resistant strains can be imported
174 from countries with high levels of resistance. For this reason, we carried out a review of the literature
175 from the past ten years (2006 – 2016) to identify the number of studies examining resistance among
176 *Ureaplasma* spp. From this we identified 33 reports on clinical antibiotic resistance among *Ureaplasma*
177 from a collection of single case reports as well as larger studies.^{6, 8, 12, 14-43} From these reports we
178 extracted data regarding the year of publication, country in which the study was conducted, the patient
179 group examined, methods by which AST was determined, whether the species of *Ureaplasma* was
180 determined, number of isolates examined and finally, where relevant, the percentage of reported isolates
181 resistant to antibiotics stated (Table 2).

182

183 We identified, as expected, the rates of resistance varied by country and in some instances noted
184 dramatic difference in reports from within the same country. For example a study by Huang *et al.*, 2016
185 examined 1951 individuals and identified 54 % to be resistant to erythromycin.¹² This is in contrast to
186 the work by Song *et al.* and Ye *et al.*, who examined 1513 and 15594 individuals with much lower rates

187 of resistance at 11 % and 1%, respectively.^{39, 40} In some instances resistance was high to only a single
188 class of antibiotic. For example a study by Leli *et al.*, found high levels of ofloxacin resistance (27.6%)
189 among 152 *Ureaplasma* isolated in Italy, whereas no resistance any tetracycline or macrolide antibiotics
190 were detected.²⁹ The highest levels of fluoroquinolone resistance was documented in countries such as
191 China with figures of 53 % of isolates resistant to ofloxacin and 88 % of isolates resistant to
192 levofloxacin.^{12, 39} Resistance to tetracyclines were noted in high numbers in South Africa (73% of
193 isolates),³⁸ USA (34 % of isolates)²⁷ and Cuba (31 % isolates).³⁴ Many of these isolates were
194 additionally confirmed for the presence of the *tetM* mobile genetic element. Of greatest concern in
195 relation to treatment of neonatal infection are the high reported levels of macrolide resistance seen in
196 certain countries. Using erythromycin as the indicator for resistance, as suggested by the CLSI, the
197 highest levels of resistance were seen in Hungary (85 %),²⁶ South Africa (80 %),³⁸ Turkey (54 %),¹⁸
198 China (54 %),¹² Israel (46 %)²⁵ and Cuba (46 %).³⁴ Although these percentages are high in relation to
199 countries such as the UK (0 - 2 %) or Croatia (0 – 7 %) there is a real possibility of clonal strains being
200 introduced from countries of high resistance to those with low resistance. Alternatively these levels
201 reported may be an over representation as a result of the inaccuracies of commercial assays as described
202 previously.

203

204 Use of the broth microdilution technique was as prevalent as the use of the Mycoplasma-IST kit (10/33
205 studies and 11/33 studies, respectively). However, as discussed earlier there are numerous limitations
206 to commercial kits such as the Mycoplasma IST2, such as the incorporation of breakpoint levels which
207 do not agree with CLSI guidelines. This may have resulted in the over-reporting resistance for some
208 antibiotics.

209

210 Although *Ureaplasma* have been recognized as two separate species since 2000, there is still lack of
211 discrimination at the species level. Many of the diagnostic methods used in the literature review only
212 report the presence of *Ureaplasma* and do not differentiate to the species level, partly due to culture
213 based commercial kits, and in some incidences report *U. urealyticum* by default due to historic
214 taxonomic reasons. This reporting style has a negative impact on surveillance and understanding of

215 distribution of resistant species as well as understanding the role of the two species in clinical outcome.
216 For example the association between *Ureaplasma* and NGU has been controversial, but studies which
217 have looked at *Ureaplasma* as two independent species have shown that *U. urealyticum* are significantly
218 associated with NGU with an adjusted odds ratio of 2.3 compared with *U. parvum* (adjusted OR 0.4).⁴⁴
219 Nucleic acid technologies exist whereby species differentiation can be determined and should be
220 adopted for any future reporting.⁴⁵

221

222 *The role of Commercial kits in a clinical setting*

223 While the available commercial kits for *M. hominis* and *Ureaplasma* spp. detection and antibiotic
224 susceptibility testing (in their current formats) may not provide publishable antibiotic resistance data
225 without follow-up investigation, these kits provide an ideal method to investigate these emerging
226 pathogens in a busy clinical setting. Urethritis, inflammation of the urethra, is a common
227 condition which is usually sexually acquired and commonly classified into those caused
228 *Neisseria gonorrhoea* infection or other causes. The 2015 UK National Guideline on the
229 management of non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU), published by the Clinical Effectiveness
230 Group of the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (Horner et al., 2015 doi:
231 10.1177/0956462415586675) list ureaplasmas as one of the most common causes (11-26%) of
232 NGU in men, only superseded by *Chlamydia trachomatis* (11-50%) and *Mycoplasma*
233 *genitalium* (6-50%). Based on the guidelines, the first line treatment in outpatient clinics is
234 with azithromycin (single dose of 1 gram) or doxycycline (100 mg/day for 7 days). These
235 treatment levels were demonstrated to have similar efficacy in the past, 75% and 69%,
236 respectively, against ureaplasmas (Manhart LE, Gillespie CW, Lowens MS, et al. Standard
237 treatment regimens for nongonococcal urethritis have similar but declining cure rates: a
238 randomized controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 56: 934–942.; Khosropour CM, Manhart LE,
239 Colombara DV, et al. Suboptimal adherence to doxycycline and treatment outcomes among
240 men with non-gonococcal urethritis: a prospective cohort study. Sex Transm Infect 2014; 90:

241 3–7.); however, as highlighted in table 1, inadvertent treatment of ureaplasmas is likely to
242 decline with increasing global emergence of antimicrobial resistance. Furthermore, there is
243 increasing evidence that treatment with a single 1 gram azithromycin dose drives development
244 of mutations in the 23sRNA gene resulting in macrolide antimicrobial resistance in *M.*
245 *genitalium*, [Bradshaw CS, Chen MY and Fairley CK. Persistence of Mycoplasma genitalium
246 following azithromycin therapy. PLoS One [Electronic Resource]. 2008; 3: e3618.; Twin J,
247 Jensen JS, Bradshaw CS, et al. Transmission and selection of macrolide resistant Mycoplasma
248 genitalium infections detected by rapid high resolution melt analysis. PLoS One 2012; 7:
249 e35593.; Ito S, Shimada Y, Yamaguchi Y, et al. Selection of Mycoplasma genitalium strains
250 harbouring macrolide resistance-associated 23S rRNA mutations by treatment with a single 1
251 g dose of azithromycin. Sex Transm Infect 2011; 87: 412–414.], which would likely also
252 develop in the closely related ureaplasmas, and this may contribute to the failure of first line
253 therapy to treat up to 25% of patients. Treatment of these recurrent urethritis patients, requires
254 multiple follow-up appointments and may persist for up to a month with empirical treatment
255 of up to 4 different antibiotics (macrolides, doxycycline, metronidazole, and fluoroquinolones)
256 before it resolves.

257 In the clinical setting, commercial kits provide reliable sensitive detection in 24-48 hours and
258 give important guidance for therapeutic treatment in resistant infection. Furthermore, they
259 require no specialist equipment, reagents or training. Examination of the characteristics of all
260 available kits, the latest generation of commercial kits available include the Myco Well D-One kit,
261 which utilizes the CLSI breakpoints for antibiotic concentrations, and additionally specifically identify
262 *Gardnerella vaginalis*, *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Candida albicans* (all relevant to common
263 genitourinary clinical investigation). The advantage of this particular kit is that titration of
264 microbial load by traditional methods for any positive sample, to ensure the inoculum tested
265 was approximately 10⁴ cfu, would ensure that the results were performed under CLSI-

266 compliant guidelines and therefore the results could be published. Ureaplasmas are also
267 emerging as pathogens in other clinical settings as well: development of bronchopulmonary
268 dysplasia (or chronic lung disease) in premature neonates [Viscardi and Kallapur doi:
269 10.1016/j.clp.2015.08.003]; presence as the sole organism identified in histologically
270 confirmed chorioamnionitis of moderate/late preterm and term placentae [Sweeney et al. 2016;
271 doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiv587]; underlying cause of fatal hyperammonemia in lung transplant patients
272 (Bharat et al., 2015); wound infection or abscess formation in kidney transplant patients (Loupy et al,
273 2008; Eilers et al., 2007); and meningitis in adults (Geissdorfer et al., 2008). Therefore, simplistic
274 commercial kits that detect ureaplasmas and direct therapy may find expanding utility in
275 clinical settings outside of genitourinary medicine.

276
277

278 **Concluding remarks**

279 This review has highlighted that there is a need for continual surveillance in order to keep track of
280 resistance patterns. Commercial kits are an easy way for an initial screening, but indication of resistance
281 needs to be followed up appropriately, not just reported. From this we suggest the following
282 recommendations. 1) If a mixed *M. hominis* and *Ureaplasma* spp. culture is identified, isolation of
283 single *Ureaplasma* colonies and repeat AST is required in order to obtain reliable data for macrolide
284 resistance. 2) Confirm resistance with approved CLSI guidelines including quantifying the inoculum
285 and/or 3) determine the underlying mechanism of resistance. While it is tempting to attribute the low
286 antibiotic resistance rates in some countries, such as the UK, to vigilance in prescribing policies and
287 prudent use, the geographic differential in antibiotic resistance is unlikely to be maintained, particularly
288 with the degree of travel between the countries of high levels and low levels of resistance in combination
289 with the increased prescribing of macrolide antibiotics for *N. gonorrhoeae*, *Chlamydia trachomatis* and
290 *Mycoplasma genitalium* infections. The correct CLSI directed means of determining antibiotic

291 susceptibilities, or determine the underlying mechanisms of resistance among ~~Ureaplasmas~~ ureaplasmas
292 must be adhered to in order to produce reliable and comparable data for international surveillance.

293

294 ***Funding***

295 None to declare

296

297 ***Transparency declarations***

298 None to declare

299

300

301 **References**

302

- 303 1. Smith DG, Russell WC, Ingledew WJ *et al.* Hydrolysis of urea by *Ureaplasma*
304 *urealyticum* generates a transmembrane potential with resultant ATP synthesis. *Journal of*
305 *bacteriology*. *Bacteriol*1993; **175**: 3253-8.
- 306 2. Paralanov V, Lu J, Duffy LB *et al.* Comparative genome analysis of 19 *Ureaplasma*
307 *urealyticum* and *Ureaplasma parvum* strains. *BMC Microbiol*2012; **12**: 88.
- 308 3. Zhang N, Wang R, Li X *et al.* Are *Ureaplasma* spp. a cause of nongonococcal urethritis?
309 A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e113771.
- 310 4. Sweeney EL, Kallapur SG, Gisslen T *et al.* Placental Infection With *Ureaplasma* species
311 Is Associated With Histologic Chorioamnionitis and Adverse Outcomes in Moderately Preterm
312 and Late-Preterm Infants. *The Journal of infectious diseases*. *J. Infect Dis.* 2016; **213**: 1340-7.
- 313 5. Bharat A, Cunningham SA, Scott Budinger GR *et al.* Disseminated *Ureaplasma* infection
314 as a cause of fatal hyperammonemia in humans. *Science translational medicine*. *Sci. Transl.*
315 *Med.*-2015; **7**: 284re3.
- 316 6. Beeton ML, Chalker VJ, Maxwell NC *et al.* Concurrent titration and determination of
317 antibiotic resistance in *ureaplasma* species with identification of novel point mutations in
318 genes associated with resistance. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*. *Antimicrob. Agents*
319 *Chemother*-2009; **53**: 2020-7.
- 320 7. Glaser K, Wohlleben M, Speer CP. An 8-month history of meningitis in an extremely
321 low birth weight infant? - Long-lasting Infection with *Ureaplasma parvum*. *Zeitschrift fur*
322 *Geburtshilfe und Neonatologie*. *Z. Geburtshilfe Neonatol*-2015; **219**: 52-6.
- 323 8. Geissdorfer W, Sandner G, John S *et al.* *Ureaplasma urealyticum* meningitis in an adult
324 patient. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. *J. Clin. Microbiol*-2008; **46**: 1141-3.

- 325 9. Khosropour CM, Manhart LE, Gillespie CW *et al.* Efficacy of standard therapies against
326 *Ureaplasma* species and persistence among men with non-gonococcal urethritis enrolled in a
327 randomised controlled trial. ~~*Sexually transmitted infections*~~*Sex. Transm. Infect*-2015; **91**: 308-
328 13.
- 329 10. Furfaro LL, Spiller OB, Keelan JA *et al.* In vitro activity of solithromycin and its
330 metabolites, CEM-214 and N-acetyl-CEM-101, against 100 clinical *Ureaplasma* spp. isolates
331 compared with azithromycin. ~~*International journal of antimicrobial agents*~~*Int J Antimicrob*
332 *Agents* 2015; **46**: 319-24.
- 333 11. Beeton ML, Maxwell NC, Chalker VJ *et al.* Isolation of Separate *Ureaplasma* Species
334 From Endotracheal Secretions of Twin Patients. *Pediatrics* 2016;:-
- 335 12. Huang C, Long X, Jing S *et al.* *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *Mycoplasma hominis*
336 infections and semen quality in 19,098 infertile men in China. ~~*World journal of urology*~~*World*
337 *J Urol* 2015; **34**: 1039-44.-
- 338 13. Pereyre S, Gonzalez P, De Barbeyrac B *et al.* Mutations in 23S rRNA account for
339 intrinsic resistance to macrolides in *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Mycoplasma fermentans* and
340 for acquired resistance to macrolides in *M. hominis*. ~~*Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*~~
341 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002; **46**: 3142-50.
- 342 14. Degrange S, Renaudin H, Charron A *et al.* Tetracycline resistance in *Ureaplasma* spp.
343 and *Mycoplasma hominis*: prevalence in Bordeaux, France, from 1999 to 2002 and description
344 of two tet(M)-positive isolates of *M. hominis* susceptible to tetracyclines. ~~*Antimicrobial*~~
345 *agents and chemotherapy**Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52**: 742-4.
- 346 15. Beeton ML, Chalker VJ, Jones LC *et al.* Antibiotic resistance among clinical *Ureaplasma*
347 isolates recovered from neonates in England and Wales between 2007 to 2013. ~~*Antimicrobial*~~
348 *agents and chemotherapy**Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015; **60**: 52-56.-

- 349 16. Duffy L, Glass J, Hall G *et al.* Fluoroquinolone resistance in *Ureaplasma parvum* in the
350 United States. ~~*Journal of clinical microbiology*~~ *J. Clin. Microbiol* 2006; **44**: 1590-1.
- 351 17. Xie X, Zhang J. Trends in the rates of resistance of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* to
352 antibiotics and identification of the mutation site in the quinolone resistance-determining
353 region in Chinese patients. ~~*FEMS microbiology letters*~~ *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2006; **259**: 181-6.
- 354 18. Karabay O, Topcuoglu A, Kocoglu E *et al.* Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of
355 genital *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in a university hospital in Turkey.
356 ~~*Clinical and experimental obstetrics & gynecology*~~ *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol* 2006; **33**: 36-8.
- 357 19. Kechagia N, Bersimis S, Chatzipanagiotou S. Incidence and antimicrobial
358 susceptibilities of genital mycoplasmas in outpatient women with clinical vaginitis in Athens,
359 Greece. ~~*The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*~~ *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; **62**: 122-5.
- 360 20. Krause R, Schubert S. In-vitro activities of tetracyclines, macrolides, fluoroquinolones
361 and clindamycin against *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Ureaplasma* ssp. isolated in Germany over
362 20 years. ~~*Clinical microbiology and infection: the official publication of the European Society*~~
363 ~~*of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*~~ *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010; **16**: 1649-55.
- 364 21. Lucke K, Kuster SP, Berteza M *et al.* A deep sternal wound infection caused by
365 *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. ~~*Journal of medical microbiology*~~ *J med Microbiol* 2010; **59**: 1254-6.
- 366 22. Biran V, Dumitrescu AM, Doit C *et al.* *Ureaplasma parvum* meningitis in a full-term
367 newborn. ~~*The Pediatric infectious disease journal*~~ *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2010; **29**: 1154.
- 368 23. Mihai M, Valentin N, Bogdan D *et al.* Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of *Mycoplasma*
369 *hominis* and ~~*ureaplasma*~~ *Ureaplasma urealyticum* isolated during a population-based study
370 concerning women infertility in northeast romania. ~~*Brazilian journal of microbiology*~~ :
371 ~~*[publication of the Brazilian Society for Microbiology]*~~ *Braz. J. Microbiol.* -2011; **42**: 256-60.

- 372 24. Goulenok TM, Bialek S, Gaudart S *et al.* *Ureaplasma urealyticum* destructive septic
373 arthritis in a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus after rituximab therapy. *Joint;
374 boneBone- spine-Spine:-revue du rhumatisme* 2011; **78**: 323-4.
- 375 25. Samra Z, Rosenberg S, Dan M. Susceptibility of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* to
376 tetracycline, doxycycline, erythromycin, roxithromycin, clarithromycin, azithromycin,
377 levofloxacin and moxifloxacin. *Journal-of-chemotherapy-(Florence, Italy)-J Chemother* 2011;
378 **23**: 77-9.
- 379 26. Farkas B, Ostorhazi E, Pongyai K *et al.* [Frequency and antibiotic resistance of
380 *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *Mycoplasma hominis* in genital samples of sexually active
381 individuals]. *Orvosi hetilapOrv Hetil-*2011; **152**: 1698-702.
- 382 27. Xiao L, Crabb DM, Duffy LB *et al.* Chromosomal mutations responsible for
383 fluoroquinolone resistance in *Ureaplasma* species in the United States. *Antimicrobial agents
384 and chemotherapy Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2012; **56**: 2780-3.
- 385 28. Zhu C, Liu J, Ling Y *et al.* Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Ureaplasma*
386 *urealyticum* and *Mycoplasma hominis* in Chinese women with genital infectious diseases.
387 *Indian journal of dermatology, venereology and leprology-Indian J. Dermatol. Venereol. Leprol.*
388 2012; **78**: 406-7.
- 389 29. Leli C, Mencacci A, Bombaci JC *et al.* Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of
390 *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *Mycoplasma hominis* in a population of Italian and immigrant
391 outpatients. *Le infezioni in medicina : rivista periodica di eziologia, epidemiologia, diagnostica,
392 clinica e terapia delle patologie infettive-Infez Med* 2012; **20**: 82-7.
- 393 30. Govender S, Gqunta K, le Roux M *et al.* Antibiotic susceptibilities and resistance genes
394 of *Ureaplasma parvum* isolated in South Africa. *The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapyJ
395 Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; **67**: 2821-4.

- 396 31. Mardassi BB, Aissani N, Moalla I *et al.* Evidence for the predominance of a single tet(M)
397 gene sequence type in tetracycline-resistant *Ureaplasma parvum* and *Mycoplasma hominis*
398 isolates from Tunisian patients. ~~*Journal of medical microbiology*~~ *J Med Microbiol* 2012; **61**:
399 1254-61.
- 400 32. Dhawan B, Malhotra N, Sreenivas V *et al.* *Ureaplasma* serovars & their antimicrobial
401 susceptibility in patients of infertility & genital tract infections. ~~*The Indian journal of medical*~~
402 ~~*research*~~ *Indian J. Med. Res.* 2012; **136**: 991-6.
- 403 33. Ponyai K, Mihalik N, Ostorhazi E *et al.* Incidence and antibiotic susceptibility of genital
404 mycoplasmas in sexually active individuals in Hungary. ~~*European journal of clinical*~~
405 ~~*microbiology & infectious diseases : official publication of the European Society of Clinical*~~
406 ~~*Microbiology*~~ *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2013; **32**: 1423-6.
- 407 34. Diaz L, Cabrera LE, Fernandez T *et al.* Frequency and antimicrobial sensitivity of
408 *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *Mycoplasma hominis* in patients with vaginal discharge. *MEDICC*
409 *Review* 2013; **15**: 45-7.
- 410 35. Pignanelli S, Pulcrano G, Iula VD *et al.* In vitro antimicrobial profile of *Ureaplasma*
411 *urealyticum* from genital tract of childbearing-aged women in Northern and Southern Italy.
412 ~~*APMIS : acta pathologica, microbiologica, et immunologica Scandinavica*~~ 2014; **122**: 552-5.
- 413 36. Hunjak B, Sabol I, Vojnovic G *et al.* *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *Ureaplasma parvum*
414 in women of reproductive age. ~~*Archives of gynecology and obstetrics*~~ *Arch Gynecol Obstet*
415 2014; **289**: 407-12.
- 416 37. Vargovic M, Pasini M, Papic N *et al.* Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Ureaplasma*
417 *urealyticum* and *Mycoplasma hominis*. ~~*Sexually transmitted infections*~~ *Sex Transm.*
418 *Infect* 2014; **90**: 69.

- 419 38. Redelinghuys MJ, Ehlers MM, Dreyer AW *et al.* Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of
420 *Ureaplasma* species and *Mycoplasma hominis* in pregnant women. *BMC Infect Disinfectious*
421 *diseases* 2014; **14**: 171.
- 422 39. Ye G, Jiang Z, Wang M *et al.* The resistance analysis of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and
423 *Mycoplasma hominis* in female reproductive tract specimens. *Cell-biochemistry and*
424 *biophysics-Cell Biochem. Biophys* 2014; **68**: 207-10.
- 425 40. Song T, Ye A, Xie X *et al.* Epidemiological investigation and antimicrobial susceptibility
426 analysis of *ureaplasma* species and *Mycoplasma hominis* in outpatients with genital
427 manifestations. *J. Clin. Pathol.* 2014; **67**: 817-20.
- 428 41. Messano GA, Cancrini F, Marsella LT. Antibiotic resistance and defensive medicine, a
429 modern challenge for an old problem: the case of *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. *Igiene e sanita*
430 *pubblica-Ig Sanita Pubbl* 2014; **70**: 295-304.
- 431 42. Kawai Y, Nakura Y, Wakimoto T *et al.* In vitro activity of five quinolones and analysis of
432 the quinolone resistance-determining regions of *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, and *parE* in *Ureaplasma*
433 *parvum* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* clinical isolates from perinatal patients in Japan.
434 *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy-Antimicrob. Agents Chemother* 2015; **59**: 2358-64.
- 435 43. Schneider SC, Tinguely R, Droz S *et al.* Antibiotic Susceptibility and Sequence Types
436 Distribution of *Ureaplasma* Species Isolated from Genital Samples in Switzerland.
437 *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy-Antimicrob. Agents Chemother* 2015; **59**: 6026-31.-
- 438 44. Ondondo RO, Whittington WL, Astete SG *et al.* Differential association of *ureaplasma*
439 species with non-gonococcal urethritis in heterosexual men. *Sexually transmitted infections*
440 *Sex. Transm. Infect.* 2010; **86**: 271-5.

441 45. Teng LJ, Zheng X, Glass JI *et al.* *Ureaplasma urealyticum* biovar specificity and diversity
442 are encoded in multiple-banded antigen gene. ~~*Journal of clinical microbiology*~~ *J. Clin.*
443 *Microbiol.* 1994; **32**: 1464-9.

444

445

Product	Supplier	Quantification available*	CLSI recommended antibiotics (minimum inhibitory concentration for resistance)				
			Levofloxacin (≥ 4 mg/L)	Moxifloxacin (≥ 4 mg/L)	Tetracycline (≥ 2 mg/L)	Erythromycin (≥ 16 mg/L)	Telithromycin (≥ 8 mg/L)
MIST2	BioMérieux	10^4 and $\geq 10^4$	1 and 4	N/A	4 and 8	1 and 4	N/A
Complement Mycofast reveloutioN	ELiTech	10^3 , 10^4 and $\geq 10^5$	1, 2 and 4**	0.25 and 2**	1, 2, 4 and 8**	8 and 16**	N/A
SIR Mycoplasma	BioRad	$10^2 - 10^4$, $10^4 - 10^5$ and $>10^5$	N/A	N/A	4 and 8	8 and 16**	N/A
Mycoplasma system plus	Liofilchem SLR	$<10^4$, $<10^5$ and $>10^5$	N/A	N/A	4 and 8	1 and 4	N/A
MYCO WELL D-ONE	CPMI	10^4 and $\geq 10^5$	2 and 4**	2 and 4**	N/A	8 and 16**	N/A

446 **Table 1. Compliance of commercial rapid diagnostic and antimicrobial susceptibility testing kits in comparison with Clinical Laboratory Standards**
447 **Institute (CLSI) guidelines for *Ureaplasma spp.*** The antibiotics present represent those determined suitable for testing by the CLSI along with minimum
448 inhibitory concentrations (MIC). N/A, not applicable. *All assays quantify by colony count independent methods. **CLSI compliant MIC ranges included for
449 this antibiotic.

Author (reference)	Year of publication	Country	Patient group and sample type	Method of susceptibility testing	Species determined	Number of isolates examined	Isolates resistant (Percent)													
							<u>DoxDO</u>	<u>TetE</u>	<u>MinMI</u>	<u>CIp</u>	<u>OxOF</u>	<u>LVX</u>	<u>MoxM</u>	<u>AziA</u>	<u>CLRla</u>	<u>EryER</u>	<u>RoxRO</u>	<u>JosJO</u>	<u>PriP</u>	<u>ClnC</u>
							<u>X</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>p</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>ev</u>	<u>OX</u>	<u>ZI</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>RI</u>	<u>LI</u>
Beeton ⁽¹⁵⁾	2016	England and Wales	Endotracheal aspirates from neonates, cervical swabs and patients with immunological disorders	Broth microdilution	Yes	130	2	2	-	2	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	-
Huang ⁽¹²⁾	2016	China	Mix of fertile and infertile men	Mycoplasma IST	No	1951	5	-	-	94	-	88	-	39	31	54	50	5	-	-
Schneider ⁽⁴³⁾	2015	Switzerland	Genital samples	Mycoplasma IST2 and Broth microdilution	Yes	103	0	0	-	19.4	9.7	-	-	1	4.9	1.9	-	0	0	-
Kawai ⁽⁴²⁾	2015	Japan	Vaginal and placental swabs and endotracheal aspirates from neonates	Broth microdilution	Yes	28	-	-	-	93	-	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Messano ⁽⁴¹⁾	2014	Italy	Male urethral swabs	Mycoplasma IST2	No	115	2	2	-	36	16	-	-	2	2	5	-	0	0	-

Song* ⁽⁴⁰⁾	2014	China	Mix of male urethral and female cervical swabs	Mycoplasma IST2	No	1513	0 - 3	1 - 4	-	64 - 93	44 - 77	-	-	0 - 6	3 - 8	6 - 11	-	0 - 1	0 - 1	-
Ye ⁽³⁹⁾	2014	China	Female urogenital swabs	Mycoplasma IST2	No	15594	2	3	-	75	53	-	-	0.1	0.1	1	-	0	0	-
Redelingh uys ⁽³⁸⁾	2014	South Africa	Females attending antenatal clinic self-collected vaginal swabs	Complete Mycofast revelation	Yes	44	-	73	-	-	-	41	2	-	-	80	-	-	-	100
Vargovic ⁽³⁷⁾	2014	Croatia	Male and female urogenital samples	SIR Mycoplasma	No	507	3	5	-	-	22	-	-	8	-	7	-	-	-	99
Hunjak ⁽³⁶⁾	2014	Croatia	Female urogenital samples	Mycoplasma IST 2	Yes	424	0	0	-	35	5.2	-	-	0.3	0	0	-	0	-	-
Pignanelli ⁽³⁵⁾	2014	Italy	Women with cervicitis	Mycoplasma IST 2	No	899	2	3	-	40	6	-	-	6	15	19	-	4	2	-
Diaz ⁽³⁴⁾	2013	Cuba	Women with vaginal discharge	Mycoplasma System Plus	No	154	17	31	16	-	64	-	-	30	63	46	-	-	-	18
Ponyai ⁽³³⁾	2013	Hungary	Swabs from male and female patients with non-gonococcal non-chlamydial urethritis	SIR Mycoplasma	No	373	2	4	-	-	25	-	-	10	-	81	-	-	-	75
Dhawan ⁽³²⁾	2012	India	Males and females from a STD outpatients clinic	Broth microdilution	Yes	35	9	-	-	-	23	-	-	29	-	-	-	14	-	-

			patient without Hypogammaglobulinemia																	
Mihai ⁽²³⁾	2011	Romania	Endocervical swabs from infertile women	Mycoplasma IST2	No	372	2	6	-	52	16	-	-	8	9	16	-	2	3	-
Biran ⁽²²⁾	2010	France	Term neonate with CSF infection	Not stated	Yes	1 (Case study)	-	-	-	Res	-	-	Susens	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lucke ⁽²¹⁾	2010	Switzerland	Sternal wound infection	Mycoplasma IST2	Yes	1 (Case study)	Susens	Suse ns	-	Res	Int	-	-	Susen s	Susens	Susens	-	Susen s	Susen s	-
Krausse ⁽²⁰⁾	2010	Germany	Mixed patient group and sample	Agar dilution and E-test	No	179	1	3	3	16	2	-	-	7	5	21	6	2	-	43
Beeton ⁽⁶⁾	2009	UK	Neonatal lavage fluid	Broth microdilution	Yes	61	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
Kechagia ⁽¹⁹⁾	2008	Greece	Vaginal swabs from women aged 18-62	Mycoplasma IST2	No	111	0	5	-	86	20	-	-	9	7	37	-	0	9	-
Geissdörfer ⁽⁸⁾	2008	Germany	Adult male with Ureaplasma meningitis	Mycoplasma IST2	Yes	1 (Case study)	-	-	-	Res	Int	-	Int	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dégrange ⁽¹⁴⁾	2008	France	Patients in Bordeaux, France	SIR Mycoplasma	No	276	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Karabay ⁽¹⁸⁾	2006	Turkey	Women with abnormal vaginal discharge		No	193	2	14	-	41	58	-	-	-	-	54	-	2	8	-

Xie* ⁽¹⁷⁾	2006	China	Samples from outpatients clinic	Mycoplasma IST2	No	804	4 to 11	5 to 12	-	82 to 89	24 to 67	-	-	15 to 23	17 to 28	11 to 64	-	0 to 3	0 to 5	-
Duffy ⁽¹⁶⁾	2006	USA	Chronic bladder infection	Broth microdilution	Yes	1 (Case study)	Susens	-	-	-	Res	Res	Res	-	-	Susens	-	-	-	-

451 **Table 2. Summary of global antibiotic resistance among *Ureaplasma* isolates from 2006 to 2016.**

452 ~~Dox-DOX~~ – Doxycycline, ~~Tet-TET~~ – Tetracycline, ~~Min-MIN~~ – Minocycline, ~~CIP-~~ip~~~~ – Ciprofloxacin, ~~OFX-~~fx~~~~ – Ofloxacin, ~~Lev-VX~~ – Levofloxacin,
453 ~~Mox-MOX~~ – Moxifloxacin, ~~Azi-AZI~~ – Azithromycin, ~~CLR-~~lar~~~~ – Clarithromycin, ~~Ery-ERY~~ – Erythromycin, ~~Rox-ROX~~ – Roxithromycin, ~~Jos-JOS~~
454 – Josamycin, ~~Pri-PRI~~ – Pristinamycin, ~~CLI-~~in~~~~ – Clindamycin. Res = Resistant, Int = Intermediate and ~~Susens~~ = ~~Sensitive~~Susceptible. - Not
455 determined . *Incidence of resistance was broken down by year with the lowest and highest percentages recorded.

456

457