

ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/95740/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Sinnadurai, Paul , Jones, Thomas Hefin and Ormerod, Stephen James 2016. Squeezed out: the consequences of riparian zone modification for specialist invertebrates. Biodiversity and Conservation 25 (14) , pp. 3075- 3092. 10.1007/s10531-016-1220-9

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10531-016-1220-9

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

In press: Biodiversity and Conservation 28/09/2016

Squeezed out: the consequences of riparian zone modification for specialist invertebrates 5 Paul Sinnadurai^{1,2}, T. H. Jones¹ and S.J. Ormerod¹ 7 1. Cardiff School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Sir Martin Evans Building, Museum Avenue, 8 Cardiff, CF10 3AX, UK. 10 2. Brecon Beacons National Park Authority, Plas y Ffynnon, Cambrian Way, Brecon, Powys LD3 11 7HP, UK. +441874 625 992; +447854 997 544; paul.sinna@talktalk.net Acknowledgements The landowners Chris Alford, Helen and Richard Roderick, Geoff Williams and Sue Williams gave permission for fieldwork. Brian Levy, National Museum of Wales, provided assistance with beetle identification. The Brecon Beacons National Park Authority supported all research. Insightful and helpful comments were provided by two anonymous reviewers. Abstract While anthropogenic biodiversity loss in fresh waters is among the most rapid of all

- ecosystems, impacts on the conservation of associated riparian zones are less well
- documented. Riverine ecotones are particularly vulnerable to the combined 'squeeze'

between land-use encroachment, discharge regulation and climate change. Over a three-22 year period of persistent low discharge in a regulated, temperate river system (River Usk, Wales, UK; 2009-11), specialist carabid beetles on exposed riverine sediments (ERS) were used as model organisms to test the hypotheses that catchment-scale flow modification affects riparian zone invertebrates more than local habitat character, and that this modification is accompanied by associated succession among the Carabidae.

Annual summer discharge during the study period was among the lowest of the preceding 28 12 years, affecting carabid assemblages. The richness of specialist ERS carabids declined, while generalist carabid species' populations either increased in abundance or remained stable. Community composition also changed, as three (Bembidion prasinum, B. decorum 31 and B. punctulatum) of the four dominant carabids typical of ERS increased in abundance while B. atrocaeruleum decreased.

Despite significant inter-annual variation in habitat quality and the encroachment of ground vegetation, beetle assemblages more closely tracked reach-scale variations between sites or catchment-scale variations through time.

These data from multiple sites and years illustrate how ERS Carabidae respond to broad-

scale discharge variations more than local habitat character. This implies that the

maintenance of naturally variable flow regimes is at least as important to the conservation

- of ERS and their dependent assemblages as are site-scale measures.
- Key words: Beetles, Climate change, Bembidion, Discharge, Exposed Riverine Sediments, Regulation.

Introduction

Much conservation emphasis in river systems has focussed on the wetted channel, where global rates of anthropogenic extinction and impairment are faster than in nearly any other 45 ecosystem (Paetzold et al. 2008; Tockner et al. 2010). Species and habitats in the riparian zone are, however, also at risk from impairment through processes ranging in scale from local 47 to regional (Ballinger & Lake 2006; Jonsson et al. 2013; Capon et al. 2013; Mantyka-Pringle et *al.* 2014). As with river channels, riparian zones are hotspots for human activity (Strayer & Dudgeon 2010) that cause 'squeeze' from several directions. For example, terrestrial land-use change alters habitat extent from the landwards direction at local to catchment scales (Strayer & Findlay 2010); flow modification, impaired water quality and flood-risk management, on the other hand, alter habitat quality at the water-body to catchment scale 53 (e.g., Bates et al. 2006; Paetzold et al. 2008; Larsen et al. 2009). Climate change alters thermal 54 regimes and flow patterns over whole regions (Capon et al. 2013; Mantyka-Pringle et al. 2014). Although the ecological importance of riparian zones is recognised (Strayer and Findlay 2010), the consequences of 'riparian squeeze' and flow stabilisation for specialist riparian organisms are poorly understood.

Exposed riverine sediments (ERS; i.e., sand and shingle bars exposed above a river's typical base flow) and their specialist Carabidae have been the focus of recent efforts to understand the importance of the conservation of riparian habitats and their vulnerability to change (e.g., Eyre & Luff 2002; Sadler et al. 2004; Bates et al. 2009; O'Callaghan et al. 2013). Formed from fluvial sediment transfer, and river bed movements during regular flood events and high discharge (Bates & Sadler 2005; Bates et al. 2005; Bates et al. 2006; O'Callaghan et al. 2013), the distribution and extent of these habitats has declined in temperate regions (e.g., Baiocchi

et al. 2012; O'Callaghan et al. 2013) with consequences for their specialist arthropods (Greenwood & McIntosh 2010; McCluney & Sabo 2012). Specifically, areas of ERS epitomise habitats at risk from riparian squeeze, where changing flood frequency affects their stability and dynamics (e.g., Amoros & Bornette 2002; Van Looy et al. 2005; Bates et al. 2006; Rolls et 69 al. 2012). Whilst there have been studies of succession within ERS carabid assemblages along 70 environmental gradients (Gray 1989; Braun et al. 2004; Ulrich et al. 2008), few studies have considered assemblage character and dynamics over several years, particularly with the aim of appraising the relative 'squeeze' effects of flow stabilisation and habitat encroachment on ERS carabid dynamics. Persistent low river flows are expected to i) expose new areas of riverine sediment and inhibit dynamics, while ii) allowing the development of terrestrial 75 vegetation growth (Gergely et al. 2001; Bates et al. 2006), with consequences for the extent and condition (e.g., wetness) of available habitat for arthropod functional ecology (Fowles 2004).

Ideally, assessing ecological succession among ERS Carabidae demands an in-depth understanding of individual species's ecology and life history traits. Whilst limited literature does exist on single species or narrow groups of carabids (e.g., Andersen 1968, 1989; Manderbach & Hering 2001; Bates & Sadler 2005; Gerisch 2011; Fowles 2004), this is not comprehensive. Carabid life histories remain generally poorly understood (Luff 2005, 2007). Consequently, species succession within assemblages in response to habitat change cannot 84 be supported with evidence of functional succession, though aspects such as body size offer some clues. Studies have shown that mean individual body size of carabids decreases along 86 gradients of increasing environmental disturbance (Gray 1989; Braun et al. 2004; Ulrich et al. 2008), and might therefore be inferred to increase with increasing environmental

88 homogeneity. Mean Individual Biomass (MIB; Schwerk et al. 2006), defined as the average of total biomass from the total number of individuals in the sample (Schwerk & Szyszko 2007), can reveal differences among assemblages in habitats of different successional age, quality or natural state (Cardenas & Hidalgo 2007; Schwerk & Szyszko 2007; Jelaska et al. 2011; 92 Kwiatkowski 2011). In previous studies (Cardenas & Hidalgo 2007; Jelaska et al. 2011), significant temporal changes in MIB values have been used to indicate faunal ecological succession, with higher MIB indicating more mature habitats or later succession stage. On ERS, similar patterns are anticipated where, over time, smaller, specialist Carabidae are replaced by larger, generalist species.

Here, we report a study investigating changes in the distribution and abundance of carabid beetles on ERS in the catchment of the River Usk, Wales (UK), over a three-year period during which annual river discharge declined year-on-year and no inundation events occurred. We tested the hypotheses that i) catchment scale changes in flow affect carabid assemblages more than local habitat character, and ii) that successive periods of low river discharge are accompanied by ecological succession within ERS carabid assemblages.

Study Area and Methods

Rising on the Black Mountain in the Great Forest European Geopark (51.90 N, 3.72 W; 500m above ordnance datum), the River Usk flows through the temperate and relatively maritime Brecon Beacons National Park in Wales, UK (Figure 1). It forms an important near-natural feature often lined with ash (Fraxinus excelsior), alder (Alnus glutinosa), oak (Quercus 108 petraea) and willow (Salix species) trees, within a pastoral and afforested landscape. The River Usk is classified as over-licensed for water abstraction, meaning that if all abstraction licences issued were used to their full allocation, unacceptable environmental damage would

occur in the river at low flows (EAW 2007). The river's morphology has been modified by dredging and river bank alterations (EAW 2009). At the time of study, river water quality was classified as 'very good' with respect to its chemistry, biology and pollutants (EAW 2008). With its steep upper catchments, discharge in the Usk closely tracks rainfall patterns 115 (Supplementary Material A) but flows are also regulated by impoundment and abstraction (DCWW 2014). Large sections of the river are designated as a Special Area of Conservation (SAC, EC 1992) and a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI, for a range of conservation features, including rare invertebrates.

119 By inspection, six sites were selected for detailed study (51.9N, 3.00W, Figures 1, 2) within 120 the middle reaches of the River Usk. They ranged in area from *circa* 600 m² to 14,500 m². Each area of ERS, formed of point or side bars of exposed, deposited bed material, was selected for study based on likely extent of exposure, inundation following rainfall, accessibility and close proximity to other sites. Each site was formed by areas of shingle isolated by flowing water and hence could be considered to be distinct.

Beetle Sampling and Collection

During the summers of 2009, 2010 and 2011, searches for Carabidae were made among ERS sediments at 50 m intervals along each shoreline using a hand rake, collecting all beetles found using an aspirator (Sinnadurai 2014). The zone within a few metres of a river's wetted perimeter provides an "activity zone" where ERS specialists are present in higher densities (Bates & Sadler 2005; Bates et al. 2005; Sadler et al. 2006; Bates et al. 2007b; Paetzold et al. 2008). Samples were taken from locations positioned perpendicularly and adjacent to the water's edge, extending 2 to 3 m up-shore during a 10-minute search period at each sample location. The 50 m intervals and 10-minute searches achieved a standardised sampling

intensity irrespective of patch size (Sinnadurai 2014). Sample visits to the same locations were repeated on three occasions each year during early, mid- and late summer (April/May, June/July and August/September, respectively). Beetles were preserved on site in labelled glass vials, and subsequently identified to species wherever possible (Luff 2007). All individuals were counted to determine assemblage composition.

Determining Ecological Succession: Composition and Mean Individual Biomass

A species' Mean Individual Biomass (MIB) was examined using the equation:

141 ln y = -8.92804283 + 2.55549621 x ln x

where y is an individual beetle's live estimated body weight (mg) and x the body length of that individual (Schwerk & Szysko 2007). Species' Mean Individual Biomass (MIB) were determined by incorporating Luff (2007)'s average body lengths into this formula. Mean Individual Biomass was determined for: species abundance from each site each year; all ERS' specialists sampled each year; generalist species sampled each year; all species present in > 5% of sample locations each year; ERS specialists present in > 5% of sample locations each year; and generalist species present in > 5% of sample locations each year. Specialist Carabidae of ERS were identified after Fowles (2004) on the basis of both stenotypic species as well as other species for which bare sediment is fundamental to some stage of their life cycle. All other Carabidae were treated as generalists. All larvae found were from the wetted activity zone, within 2 m from the water's edge. Given that larval distribution is dependent upon female beetles selecting habitat suitable for egg-laying and larval survival (Kleinwaechter and Rickfelder 2007), we considered the species represented to be ERS

specialists. They were grouped as a single group ("larvae") to confirm the presence of 156 breeding ERS specialist species. (Supplementary Material B).

Environmental Data

To assess flow during the beetle surveys and to compare to conditions during preceding years, daily river discharge values on the River Usk were obtained for 2000-11 from the UK National River Flow Archive, using records from the closest available source at the Llandetty Gauging Station, 4 km downstream of the survey area at 51.87 N, 3.27 W.

For each site, ERS dimensions (length, width and area of exposed sediments) were measured 163 at the start of each survey season. Following the methodology of previous studies (Bates et 164 al. 2005; Bates et al. 2006; Sadler et al. 2006), at each beetle sampling location, the percentages of bare exposed sediment, ground cover, scrub and overhanging canopy were estimated and recorded. The physical profile at each location was estimated using the 167 percentage of "flat" (low angle, low-lying ERS approximating 0° to 5°), "gentle" (more 168 elevated angles approximating 5° to 15°, without avalanches at the bar edge) and "steep" (avalanche faces present, obvious steeper break of slope) sediment slopes within 50 m. The topographic variation of each site was scored as "simple" if there was no obvious break of slope within a uniformly flat area, "humped" if there were clear mounds or breaks in slope, and "complex" if there was a combination of slopes, humps, backwaters and flatter areas 173 (Sadler et al. 2006). British Ordnance Survey grid references were recorded $(\pm 6 \text{ m})$ for an approximate centroid at each sample location using a Garmin Etrex 12 Channel geographic positioning system (GPS). Habitat heterogeneity at each site was categorised on a scale ranging from 1 to 5 (low to high heterogeneity) using a matrix devised from the preceding environmental data (Supplementary Material C).

Statistical Analysis

Daily river discharge data were summarised to provide mean monthly discharge per year between 2000 and 2012. Both inter-annual and seasonal variation were then investigated using general linear models (GLM), using year and month as independent predictors.

Data on the distribution and abundance of beetles, species richness and habitat variables were summarised by year and sample location within sites, pooling abundance per species for each sample location. Species' abundances from all samples were ordinated using Principal Components Analysis (PCA) on the correlation matrix to identify major variations that represented the entire beetle assemblage, including rarities and singletons. Habitat data were similarly ordinated using PCA to provide variates that summarised habitat characteristics across years and sample locations.

Variation in the abundances of the main species was examined using GLM and least squares means (LSM), using year and site as independent predictors. Inter-annual variations in PCA variates describing habitat factors were investigated using GLM and LSM. Principal component variates describing species composition across samples were then related to principal habitat variates, as well as year and site, using GLM and LSM, treating year and site as independent predictors and principal habitat variables as sequential covariates. For succession analysis, species richness, abundance and MIB were investigated by GLM and LSM, using year and site as independent predictors. The best fitting general linear models explaining species responses were identified using Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike 1974).

With the exception of analyses of assemblage succession, any species occurring in less than 5% of samples were excluded to minimise chance associations. In this widely applied approach,

201 excluding species occurring in less than 5% of samples reduces the stochastic detection of 202 chance associations among singletons or scarcer taxa (Gauch 1982).

203 All abundance analyses were carried out on data transformed by $log(n + 2)$ to normalise 204 distributions. All statistical analyses were completed using Minitab 16[®]; with AIC calculations 205 completed in Excel.

206 Results

207 River Discharge and Physical Habitat

208 During 2009-2011, seasonal river discharge varied and annual summer discharge (April to 209 September) declined successively to some of the lowest values recorded during the preceding 210 12-year period (F_{12, 77} = 1.73, p = 0.08, R² adj' = 11.57%, Figure 3a, b). This mirrored the overall 211 pattern between 2000 and 2011 when annual discharge varied ($F_{12, 155}$ = 1.93, p < 0.05), with 212 pronounced differences between winter and summer ($F_{11, 155} = 10.29$, $p < 0.001$, R^2 adj' = 213 42.46%, Figure 3c, d).

214 Principal components analysis of the habitat data revealed three major sources of variation, 215 explaining 60.2% of the habitat pattern (Figure 4). The first principal component, PC1, 216 reflected increasing site area, shore length, heterogeneity, and a shift from flat to gently 217 sloping sediments. The second, PC2, reflected a trend from bare ground to vegetated cover 218 on sloping and humped topography, while PC3 reflected a shift from steep or sloping, bare 219 sediments to flatter ground exposed by retreating river discharge over which vegetation 220 might colonise during low flow. Viewed on these axes, Sites 1 and 6 were characterised by 221 their larger size, flatter profile and heterogeneity; Sites 3 and 4 were smaller with most bare

222 ground; Site 5 varied most in vegetation cover, while Site 2 varied most in size of exposure 223 under a combination of different discharge conditions and encroaching vegetation.

224 During the years of progressively retreating river levels, clear spatio-temporal variation in 225 habitat character were maintained between sites ($F_{5, 131}$ = 1479.82, p < 0.001), but clear 226 variations also emerged among years ($F_{2, 131}$ = 12.58, p < 0.001, R² adj' = 98.26%; Figure 5). In 227 particular, ERS area fluctuated in response to the dynamic relationship between increasing 228 ground cover as shoreline exposure increased at lower flow, accompanied by increasingly 229 simple site topography.

230 Beetle Species

A total of 4,393 beetles was recorded over the period 2009-11, with 27 species and 11 ERS specialists identified (Fowles 2004). Seventeen species, over half of all those recorded, 233 occurred in less than 5% of samples (Supplementary Material D), including four ERS specialists that occurred in low numbers or as singletons. Collectively, the four most abundant and 235 frequently occurring species, also ERS' specialists, Bembidion atrocaeruleum, B. prasinum, B. decorum and B. punctulatum, contributed 89%, 77% and 86%, respectively, of total abundance in 2009, 2010 and 2011.

238 In response to habitat features, six species increased in abundance along Habitat PC1 239 (increasing shore length, ERS area and heterogeneity), including four ERS' specialists, B. 240 atrocaeruleum, B. decorum, B. monticola and B. tibiale; and two riparian generalists, B. 241 tetracolum and Paranchus albipes. Bembidion prasinum, by contrast, increased along Habitat 242 PC2, where vegetation encroached and beetles tracked the fresh exposures revealed by the 243 retreating river flow. Together with B. prasinum, B. punctulatum increased along Habitat PC3

244 (exposure of flatter ground) with the generalist species B. tetracolum and Agonum muelleri 245 (Table 1). Inter-annual variations in abundance were revealed with B. atrocaeruleum 246 declining between 2009 and 2011 (F_{2, 78} = 2.85, p = 0.064, R² adj' = 32.69%), whilst *B. prasinum*, 247 B. decorum and B. punctulatum increased (Figure 6).

248 Beetle Assemblages in Relation to Habitat and Succession

249 There was no significant variation among years in species richness. Generalist species 250 richness increased between 2009 and 2010, however $(F_{2, 236} = 3.62, p < 0.05)$, while ERS' 251 specialist species richness declined ($F_{2, 236} = 3.04$, $p < 0.05$; *Figure 7* and *Table 2*). This latter 252 species richness also varied among sites ($F_{5, 236} = 2.54$, $p < 0.05$). Whole-assemblage 253 abundance varied between sites ($F_{5, 236}$ = 3.75, p < 0.01), but abundance values for generalist 254 (but not specialist) ERS species also increased through time $(F_{2, 236} = 5.62, p < 0.01)$.

Eleven species, of which seven were ERS specialists, were included in multivariate analyses with the environmental factors. Principal components' analysis revealed three components (Figure 8) explaining 47.3% of the spatio-temporal variation in beetle assemblage composition among samples. Most variations (PC1) reflected increasing abundance of all the 259 Bembidium spp. (except B. prasinum), while PC2 reflected a shift from B. prasinum to 260 Agonum, Nebria and larval-rich locations. Despite links between beetle assemblages and habitat character as revealed on these axes, assemblage variations between years were far 262 stronger no matter what habitat measures were used as covariates (Table 1).

263 Mean Individual Biomass revealed an increase in body size accompanying increasing species 264 richness among generalist species ($F_{2, 17}$ = 3.52, p = 0.07). For both specialists and generalists, 265 MIB varied among sites (F_{5, 17} = 3.56, p < 0.05 and F_{5, 17} = 2.85, p = 0.075, respectively). More

striking was a sharp increase in MIB for all species and generalist species between 2009 and 267 2010 (F_{2, 17} = 6.16, p < 0.05 and F_{2, 17} = 5.59, p < 0.05, respectively), tracking the increasing representation of generalists. This was not accompanied by any inter-annual increase of ERS specialist abundance.

Discussion

During a period of reduced variation in successive summer river discharge, the riparian 272 habitats in this study stabilised as a consequence of reduced re-sorting of sediments and more ground cover encroachment. These processes are likely to inhibit the dynamics and 274 development of ERS (Bates et al. 2009; Henshall et al. 2011). During the three-year study period, habitat conditions changed significantly in ways that reflected terrestrialisation as catchment-scale flow patterns changed, local river flows retreated, and the dynamics of ERS 277 and associated river bed features were arrested. Over the same time period, conditions appeared to favour generalist carabids over specialists. There was a lower overall specialist riparian Carabidae abundance in response to an apparent 'riparian squeeze' where encroaching vegetation and retreating river flow reduced the availability of suitable freshly disturbed ERS habitat (Strayer & Findlay 2010). Together, these outcomes supported both hypotheses tested.

Although there was significant inter-annual and inter-site variability in habitat character, principally the balance between exposed sediment and vegetation encroachment, no influence on species composition was apparent. This was despite the expectation that 286 specialist life history traits should interact with habitat structure (Gerisch 2011; Gerisch et al. 287 2012). Following previous work on ERS (Sadler & Bell 2000; Sadler et al. 2006), variation in macro-habitat conditions were recorded based on the percentage cover, dimensions and

heterogeneity of habitat features. It is possible that such an approach was too crude to detect finer-scale patterns, for example humidity, surface temperature and aquatic food subsidies 291 (Desender 1989; Paetzold et al. 2005; Bates et al. 2007b), or precise sediment size, vegetation 292 cover, shade and livestock trampling (e.g., Bates & Sadler 2005; Bates et al. 2007a; Lambeets 293 et al. 2008; Henshall et al. 2011; Baiocchi et al. 2012). Regardless, the overall conclusion that ERS beetles were influenced by large-scale variations between years more than local habitat character is supported by experimental manipulations carried out at the same sites (P. 296 Sinnadurai et al. unpublished data).

As well as changes in species composition, Mean Individual Biomass among carabids in the Usk system also changed during the study, responding to ERS homogenisation and flow stability. Over the three years, the transition from smaller specialist to larger generalist species was consistent with more stable flow conditions. These indicated a shift away from dynamic conditions more favourable to specialist species on regularly disturbed ERS. On such sites, naturally disturbed habitats would be expected to favour smaller r-strategists, rather 303 than the larger K-strategists expected to characterise more stable conditions (Kotze et al. 2003). Changes of this nature, specifically increasing mean carabid body-size on ERS through 305 time, have the potential to indicate ERS ecosystem change (Buchholz et al. 2013) from a more-to a less-regularly disturbed environment. Mean carabid body-size has been used to investigate changing environments; revealing, for example, progressively smaller individuals 308 on stressed sites but larger individuals in stable locations (Braun et al. 2004). Several studies have recorded such trends along environmental gradients, from larger individuals at rural locations to smaller individuals with apparently greater dispersal ability at urban or human-311 disturbed locations (Gray 1989; Alaruikka et al. 2002; Ulrich et al. 2008).

312 At an autecological level, the persistent distribution of B. prasinum highlighted the association of the species with new exposures and freshly disturbed ERS. By contrast, the decline of the most abundant species, B. atrocaeruleum, an ubiquitous specialist of ERS (Bates et al. 2006), 315 tracked overall declining ERS availability, whilst B. prasinum and B. punctulatum persisted probably at the interface between exposed river-bed and encroaching vegetation. Given the importance of ERS for dynamic interactions between terrestrial and aquatic habitats (Henshall 318 et al. 2011), a decline in ERS extent within a river system is likely to affect species dependent on such interactions. Alterations in the balance between nutrient or energy flux, from terrestrial and aquatic energy, and nutrient exchanges, are likely under prolonged low flows 321 (Collier et al. 2002; Ballinger & Lake 2006; Rolls et al. 2012). These, in turn, provide some clues 322 about the possible effects of future climate change (Capon et al. 2013).

Conclusions and Management Implications

Other studies of riparian sediments in the UK have focussed either on relatively unmodified and unregulated rivers, or on particular stretches of rivers, improving the understanding of 327 the distribution and habitat selection of specialist ERS species (e.g., Sadler et al. 2006; Bates 328 et al. 2009; O'Callaghan et al. 2013). In contrast, the River Usk is regulated by impoundment, abstraction and entrainment, experiencing successive low summer discharge as typified by this study. Our within- and between-site investigations were intra- and inter-annual over a period without significant inundation events or sediment resorting. Such environmental perturbations are essential to the formation and maintenance of ERS. The resulting faunal responses to inter-annual flow stability indicated that large-scale factors influenced carabid 334 assemblages more than local factors. In turn, specialist ERS beetles such as B. prasinum appeared to act as important indicators of trend and condition.

The conservation ramifications from our study are clear: any habitat management or restoration aimed at maintaining these organisms would ideally be executed at a reach or catchment scale, and over a prolonged timeframe. Localised management within sites would, at least on the evidence of this study, be less likely to retain the range and scale of environmental variables required for the favourable conservation status of ERS and their specialist fauna. We advocate further long-term studies of entire river catchments, and nested reaches within them, to determine whether the patterns seen in the regulated Usk 343 are representative (e.g., Larsen et al. 2009; Clews et al. 2010). Other parallels from management and restoration in river ecosystems already exist, for example, where catchment-scale hydrology or geomorphology subsumes smaller-scale attempts at restoration (Ormerod 2004). Given current emphasis on wider catchment management for climate change adaptation, flood risk reduction and conservation, we strongly advocate that the conservation of specialist riparian organisms be included in current thinking.

References

- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control AC19:716-723.
- Alaruikka, D., Kotze, D. J., Matveinen, K. and Niemela, J. (2002). Carabid beetle and spider 353 assemblages along a forested urban-rural gradient in southern Finland. Journal of Insect Conservation 6:195-206.
- Amoros, C. and Bornette, G. (2002). Connectivity and biocomplexity in waterbodies of riverine floodplains. Freshwater Biology 47:761-776.
- Andersen, J. (1968). The effect of inundation and choice of hibernation sites of Coleoptera

358 living on river banks. Norsk Entomologisk Tidsskrift 15:115-133.

- Andersen, J. (1989). Photoresponse of carabid beetles depends on experimental design. Oikos 54:195-200.
- Andersen, J. (2011). Hibernation sites of riparian ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in
- Central and Northern Norway. Norwegian Journal of Entomology 58:111-121.
- Baiocchi, S., Fattorini, S., Bonavita, P. and Taglianti, A. V. (2012). Patterns of beta diversity in
- riparian ground beetle assemblages (Coleoptera Carabidae): A case study in the River Aniene
- (Central Italy). Italian Journal of Zoology 79:136-150.
- Ballinger, A. and Lake, P. S. (2006). Energy and nutrient fluxes from rivers and streams into 367 terrestrial food webs. Marine and Freshwater Research 57:15-28.
- Bates, A. and Sadler, J. P. (2005). The ecology and conservation of beetles associated with
- exposed riverine sediments. Countryside Council for Wales, Contract Science Report No. 688.

Bates, A., Sadler, J. P., Fowles, A. P. and Butcher, C. R. (2005). Spatial dynamics of beetles living on exposed riverine sediments in the upper River Severn: Method development and 372 preliminary results. Aquatic Conservation-Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 15:159-174.

Bates, A. J., Sadler, J. P. and Fowles, A. P. (2006). Condition-dependent dispersal of a patchily 374 distributed riparian ground beetle in response to disturbance. Oecologia 150:50-60.

Bates, A. J., Sadler, J. P. and Fowles, A. P. (2007a). Livestock trampling reduces the conservation value of beetle communities on high quality exposed riverine sediments. Biodiversity and Conservation 16:1491-1509.

Bates, A. J., Sadler, J. P., Perry, J. N. and Fowles, A. P. (2007b). The microspatial distribution

379 of beetles (Coleoptera) on exposed riverine sediments (ERS). *European Journal of Entomology* 104:479-487

Bates, A. J., Sadler, J. P., Henshall, S. E. and Hannah, D. M. (2009). Ecology and conservation

382 of arthropods of exposed riverine sediments (ERS). Terrestrial Arthropod Reviews 2:77-98.

Bertoldi, W., Gurnell, A. M. and Drake, N. A. (2011). The topographic signature of vegetation

development along a braided river: Results of a combined analysis of airborne lidar, color air

385 photographs, and ground measurements. Water Resources Research 47:13.

Bornette, G. and Amoros, C. (1996). Disturbance regimes and vegetation dynamics: Role of floods in riverine wetlands. Journal of Vegetation Science 7:615-622.

Bouchard, P., Goulet, H. and Wheeler, T. A. (1998). Phenology and habitat preferences of three species of ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae) associated with alvar habitats in

southern Ontario. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Ontario 129:19-29.

Braun, S. D., Jones, T. H. and Perner, J. (2004). Shifting average body size during regeneration 392 after pollution - a case study using ground beetle assemblages. Ecological Entomology 29:543-554.

Buchholz, S., Hannig, K. and Schirmel, J. (2013). Losing uniqueness - shifts in carabid species 395 composition during dry grassland and heathland succession. Animal Conservation 16:661-670.

Capon, S. J., Chambers, L. E., Mac Nally, R., Naiman, R. J., Davies, P., Marshall, N., Pittock, J. et *al.* (2013). Riparian ecosystems in the 21st Century: hotspots for climate change adaptation? Ecosystems 16:359-381.

Cardenas, A. M. and Hidalgo, J. M. (2007). Application of the mean individual biomass (MIB) of ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) to assess the recovery process of the Guadiamar 402 Green Corridor (Southern Iberian Peninsula). Biodiversity and Conservation 16:4131-4146.

Clews, E., Vaughan, I. P. and Ormerod, S. J. (2010). Evaluating the effects of riparian restoration on a temperate river-system using standardized habitat survey. Aquatic Conservation-Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 20:S96-S104.

Collier, K. J., Bury, S. and Gibbs, M. (2002). A stable isotope study of linkages between stream

407 and terrestrial food webs through spider predation. Freshwater Biology 47:1651-1659.

DCWW. (2014). Final Water Resources Management Plan. Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water.

del Camino Pelaez, M. and Salgado, J. M. (2007). Ecology and biology of some species of Carabidae (Coleoptera) from the Sueve Massif (Asturias, Spain): phenology and annual

fluctuation. Boletin de la S.E.A. 333-350.

- Desender, K. (1989). Heritability of wing development and body size in a carabid beetle,
- 413 Pogonus-chalceus Marsham, and its evolutionary significance. Oecologia 78:513-520.
- EAW. (2007). River Usk Catchment Management Abstraction Strategy [Online]. Environment
- Agency Wales. Available at: http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/business/topics/water
- /119927.aspx [Accessed: February 8 2008]
- EAW. (2008). River Quality Data River Usk. Environment Agency Wales.
- 418 EAW. (2009). Severn River Basin District. River Basin Management Plans. Environment Agency Wales.
- 420 EC. (1992). Council Directive 92/43/EEC May 19 1992 on the conservation of habitats and of wild fauna and flora.
- Eyre, M. D. (2006). A strategic interpretation of beetle (Coleoptera) assemblages, biotopes,
- 423 habitats and distribution, and the conservation implications. Journal of Insect Conservation
- 10:151-160.
- Eyre, M. D. and Luff, M. L. (2002). The use of ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae) in conservation assessments of exposed riverine sediment habitats in Scotland and northern England. Journal of Insect Conservation 6:25-38.
- Fowles, A. P. (2004). Specialist Coleoptera of Exposed Riverine Sediments (ERS) [Online].
- Available at: http://yrefail.net/Coleoptera/ersqi.htm [Accessed: 8 May 2009].
- Gauch, H.G. (1982). Multivariate Analysis in Community Ecology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.

Gergely, A., Hahn, I., Meszaros-Draskovits, R., Simon, T., Szabo, M. and Barabas, S. (2001). 433 Vegetation succession in a newly exposed Danube riverbed. Applied Vegetation Science 4:35-40.

Gerisch, M. (2011). Habitat disturbance and hydrological parameters determine the body size 436 and reproductive strategy of alluvial ground beetles. Zookeys 100:353-370.

Gerisch, M., Agostinelli, V., Henle, K. and Dziock, F. (2012). More species, but all do the same: contrasting effects of flood disturbance on ground beetle functional and species diversity. Oikos 121:508-515.

Gray, J. S. (1989). Effects of environmental-stress on species rich assemblages. Biological 441 Journal of the Linnean Society 37:19-32.

Greenwood, M. J. and McIntosh, A. R. (2010). Low river flow alters the biomass and 443 population structure of a riparian predatory invertebrate. Freshwater Biology 55:2062-2076.

Guareschi, S., Laini, A., Racchetti, E., Bo, T., Fenoglio, S. and Bartoli, M. (2014). How do hydromorphological constraints and regulated flows govern macroinvertebrate communities 446 along an entire lowland river? Ecohydrology 7:366-377.

Gurnell, A. M., Bertoldi, W. and Corenblit, D. (2012). Changing river channels: The roles of hydrological processes, plants and pioneer fluvial landforms in humid temperate, mixed load, 449 gravel bed rivers. Earth-Science Reviews 111:129-141.

Henshall, S. E., Sadler, J. P., Hannah, D. M. and Bates, A. J. (2011). The role of microhabitat and food availability in determining riparian invertebrate distributions on gravel bars: a 452 habitat manipulation experiment. Ecohydrology 4:512-519.

Jaskula, R. and Soszynska-Maj, A. (2011). What do we know about winter active ground 454 beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in Central and Northern Europe? Zookeys 100:517-532.

Jelaska, L. S., Dumbovic, V. and Kucinic, M. (2011). Carabid beetle diversity and mean individual biomass in beech forests of various ages. Zookeys 100:393-405.

Jonsson, M., Deleu, P. and Malmqvist, B. (2013). Persisting effects of river regulation on 458 emergent aquatic insects and terrestrial invertebrates in upland forests. River Research and Applications 29:537-547.

Jonsson, M., Strasevicius, D. and Malmqvist, B. (2012). Influences of river regulation and 461 environmental variables on upland bird assemblages in northern Sweden. Ecological Research 27:945-954.

463 Kivimagi, I., Ploomi, A., Metspalu, L., Svilponis, E., Jogar, K., Hiiesaar, K., Luik, A. et al. (2009). 464 Overwintering physiology of a carabid beetle Platynus assimilis. Agronomy Research 7 (Special Issue I):328-334.

Kleinwaechter, M. and Rickfelder, T. (2007). Habitat models for a riparian carabid beetle: their 467 validity and applicability in the evaluation of river bank management. Biodiversity and Conservation 16:3067-3081.

Kotze, D. J., Niemela, J., O'Hara, R. B. and Turin, H. (2003). Testing abundance-range size 470 relationships in European carabid beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae). Ecography 26:553-566.

Kwiatkowski, A. (2011). Assemblages of carabid beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in humid forest habitats of different stages of succession in the Puszcza Knyszynska Forest (northeastern Poland). Zookeys 100:447-459.

Lambeets, K., Hendrickx, F., Vanacker, S., Van Looy, K., Maelfait, J. P. and Bonte, D. (2008). Assemblage structure and conservation value of spiders and carabid beetles from restored 476 lowland river banks. Biodiversity and Conservation 17:3133-3148.

Larsen, S., Vaughan, I. P. and Ormerod, S. J. (2009). Scale-dependent effects of fine sediments 478 on temperate headwater invertebrates. Freshwater Biology 54:203-219.

Luff, M. L. ed. (2005). Biology and ecology of immature stages of ground beetles (Carabidae). European Carabidology 2003. Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Arhus. Dias Report Plant Production 114.

Luff, M. L. (2007). The Carabidae (ground beetles) of Britain and Ireland. 2nd ed. Royal Entomological Society.

Manderbach, R. and Hering, D. (2001). Typology of riparian ground beetle communities 485 (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Bembidion spec.) in Central Europe and adjacent areas. Archiv Fur Hydrobiologie 152:583-608.

Mantyka-Pringle, C. S., Martin, T. G., Moffatt, D. B., Linke, S. and Rhodes, J. R. (2014). Understanding and predicting the combined effects of climate change and land-use change 489 on freshwater macroinvertebrates and fish. Journal of Applied Ecology 51:572-581.

McCluney, K. E. and Sabo, J. L. (2012). River drying lowers the diversity and alters the 491 composition of an assemblage of desert riparian arthropods. Freshwater Biology 57:91-103.

Noordhuis, R., Thomas, S. R. and Goulson, D. (2001). Overwintering populations of beetle larvae (Coleoptera) in cereal fields and their contribution to adult populations in the spring. Pedobiologia 45:84-95.

- O'Callaghan, M. J., Hannah, D. M., Williams, M. and Sadler, J. P. (2013). Exposed riverine sediments (ERS) in England and Wales: distribution, controls and management. Aquatic 497 Conservation-Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 23:924-938.
- Ormerod, S.J. (2004), A golden age of river restoration science? Aquatic Conservation: Marine 499 and Freshwater Ecosystems, 14: 543-549.
- Paetzold, A., Schubert, C. J. and Tockner, K. (2005). Aquatic terrestrial linkages along a 501 braided-river: Riparian arthropods feeding on aquatic insects. *Ecosystems* 8:748-759.
- Paetzold, A., Yoshimura, C. and Tockner, K. (2008). Riparian arthropod responses to flow
- regulation and river channelization. Journal of Applied Ecology 45:894-903.
- RDCT. (2008). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. In: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, V., Austria. ed. R Development Core Team.
- Rolls, R. J., Leigh, C. and Sheldon, F. (2012). Mechanistic effects of low-flow hydrology on riverine ecosystems: ecological principles and consequences of alteration. Freshwater Science
- 31:1163-1186.
- Sadler, J. and Bell, D. (2000). A comparative site assessment of exposed riverine sediment (ERS) beetle faunas in south-west England. English Nature Research Reports 383:1-90.
- Sadler, J. P., Bell, D. and Bates, A. (2006). The abundance and dynamics of Coleoptera populations on exposed riverine sediments on the River Severn in Wales. CCW Contract Science Report No. 754, Countryside Council for Wales, Bangor.
- Sadler, J. P., Bell, D. and Fowles, A. (2004). The hydroecological controls and conservation 515 value of beetles on exposed riverine sediments in England and Wales. Biological Conservation 118:41-56.
- Saska, P. and Honek, A. (2003). Temperature and development of central European species 518 of Amara (Coleoptera: Carabidae). European Journal of Entomology 100:509-515.
- Schwerk, A., Salek, P., Duszczyk, M., Abs, M. and Szyszko, J. (2006). Variability of Carabidae in 520 time and space in open areas. Entomologica Fennica 17:258-268.
- Schwerk, A. and Szyszko, J. (2007). Increase of Mean Individual Biomass (MIB) of Carabidae
- 522 (Coleoptera) in relation to succession in forest habitats. Wiadomości Entomologiczne 26:195-206.
- Sinnadurai, P. (2014) The Ecology of Riparian Carabidae (Coleoptera) in a regulated river system. PhD Thesis. Cardiff University.
- Strayer, D. L. and Dudgeon, D. (2010). Freshwater biodiversity conservation: recent progress
- 527 and future challenges. Journal of the North American Benthological Socieity. 29, 1: 344-358.
- Strayer, D. L. and Findlay, S. E. G. (2010). Ecology of freshwater shore zones. Aquatic Sciences 72:127-163.
- Tabacchi, E., Steiger, J., Corenblit, D., Monaghan, M. T. and Planty-Tabacchi, A.-M. (2009).
- Implications of biological and physical diversity for resilience and resistance patterns within
- Highly Dynamic River Systems. Aquatic Sciences 71:279-289.

Thorp, J. H., Thoms, M. C. and Delong, M. D. (2006). The riverine ecosystem synthesis: 534 Biocomplexity in river networks across space and time. River Research and Applications 22:123-147.

Tockner, K., Pusch, M., Borchardt, D. and Lorang, M. S. (2010). Multiple stressors in coupled 537 river-floodplain ecosystems. Freshwater Biology 55:135-151.

Traugott, M. (1998). Larval and adult species composition, phenology and life cycles of carabid beetles (Coleoptera : Carabidae) in an organic potato field. European Journal of Soil Biology 34:189-197.

Ulrich, W., Komosinski, K. and Zalewski, M. (2008). Body size and biomass distributions of

542 carrion visiting beetles: do cities host smaller species? Ecological Research 23:241-248.

- Van Looy, K., Jochems, H., Vanacker, S. and Lommelen, E. (2007). Hydropeaking impact on a riparian ground beetle community. River Research and Applications 23:223-233.
- Van Looy, K., Vanacker, S., Jochems, H., De Blust, G. and Dufrene, M. (2005). Ground beetle
- 546 habitat templets and riverbank integrity. River Research and Applications 21:1133-1146.
- Zhang, J. X., Drummond, F. A., Liebman, M. and Hartke, A. (1997). Phenology and dispersal of

Harpalus rufipes DeGeer (Coleoptera: Carabidae) in agroecosystems in Maine. Journal of Agricultural Entomology 14:171-186.

Figures and Tables

Fig 1 The study area situated on the River Usk Special Area of Conservation, within the Brecon

558 Beacons National Park, Wales. Study Sites 1 – 6, illustrating upstream – downstream flow and 1 km

⁵⁵⁹ grid. See detail in Figure 2

Fig 2 Location of ERS Study Sites $1 - 6$ on the River Usk Special Area of Conservation, illustrating the approximate distribution of exposed sediments and recorded habitat features during three years 2009 to 2011

Fig 3 Discharge (cumecs, mean +/- s.e.) (least squares means - LSM) in the River Usk at Llandetty, SO126203, for 2000 to 2011. a) Monthly river discharge 2009 to 2011, illustrating winter:summer variation; and b) summer each year (April to September) 2000 to 2011; c) annual river discharge 2000 to 2011; d) monthly river discharge 2000 to 2011, illustrating winter:summer variation. Data from Environment Agency Wales

Minitab 16© Fig 4 a) The position of samples from the six study sites on principal components describing habitat conditions over a three-year study in the Usk river system. b) Correlation between samples and habitat distribution on each site; Sites 1 and 6 were most coincident with the co-linear habitat variables

Fig 5 Annual distribution of the dominant habitat variables (as least squares means LSM +/- s.e.) within principal components. a) Ground cover; b) flat ERS profile; c) simple ERS topography; d) humped ERS topography; e) ERS shore length m; f) ERS width m; g) ERS area $m²$

Fig 6 Contribution of four principal species to beetle assemblages on 6 ERS sites in the River Usk, 2009-2011 (LSM +/- s.e.): a) each year; b) each site over three years. **Bembidion atrocaeruleum, B** B. prasinum, \blacksquare B. decorum, \blacksquare B. punctulatum. c) – f) LSM for these species each year and on each site over three years: c) B. atrocaeruleum, d) B. prasinum, e) B. decorum and f) B. punctulatum

Fig 7 Inter-annual and inter-site gradients in species richness, beetle abundance and Mean Individual Biomass (MIB, mg live weight) (LSM +/-s.e.). Where gradients for all species and for those present in > 5% of samples were equivalent, only those for species in > 5% of samples are illustrated (see also Tables 5.1 and 5.2 for GLM and AIC values). a) ERS specialist species richness > 5% of samples; b) generalist species richness > 5% of samples; these species showed a similar pattern for abundance; c) ERS specialist species richness > 5% of samples (inter-site variation); d) MIB all species, with generalist species dominating this pattern; e) MIB ERS specialists (inter-site variation); f) MIB generalist species (inter-site variation)

Minitab 16© Fig 8 Species distribution on the first two principal components of beetle abundances over three years at six sites in the Usk river system, Wales

Table 1 Species responses to variations among years, between sites and within-sites during three years, based upon general linear models (log(n + 2) transformation) and Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). AIC values ranked for a) species richness, b) abundance, c) four principal species and d) species principal components. Significance levels indicate * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 and *** p < 0.001. See data displays in Figures 3 - 6

Table 2 GLM results showing variations in carabid species richness, abundance and Mean Individual Biomass (MIB) following three years of sample visits across six sites visited three times per year. Significance levels indicate * p < 0.05 and ** p < 0.01; NS = not significant

Supplementary appendices etc

MS Excel 2010 Figure A1 Variations in river discharge and rainfall on the River Usk during the study season April to September in a) 2009, b) 2910 and c) 2011. Log₁₀ mean weekly river discharge (cumecs) recorded at Llandetty (Ordnance Survey grid ref SO31262203) approximately 5 km downstream of the study area; and Log₁₀ total weekly rainfall (mm) recorded at the Natural Resources Wales weather station at Velindre, approximately 12 km north-east of the study area (SO31842367).

Table A2 Specialist profile of species recorded during three years across six ERS sites on the Usk river system, Wales, UK, summarising the ERS specialists and other early succession specialists (Fowles 2004). Where evidence was unavailable, an assumption of habitat preference has been made.

Habitat heterogeneity score		Uniformly flat	Bare	Some ground vegetation	More than 1 sediment size	Scrub and/or trees	Pools or backwaters of slope		Breaks Eroding banks/ river cliffs
1 (low)	At least 2 of:								
$\overline{2}$	At least 2 of:		✓						
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	At least 4 of:		✓		✓				
4	All of:		✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark		✓	
5 (high)	All of:					\checkmark		✓	

Table A3 Matrix to assign habitat heterogeneity on ERS within the River Usk study area; a score of 1 indicates lower heterogeneity than a score of 5.

	a	b	2009	2010	2011	Abundance	No. samples present	ERS specialist?
1.	Bembidion atrocaeruleum		✓	\checkmark	✓	2185	91	
2.	B.prasinum			✓	✓	589	59	
3.	B.punctulatum			✓	✓	530	80	
4.	B.decorum			✓		420	83	
5.	Paranchus albipes					205	65	
6.	B.tetracolum			✓	✓	195	59	
7.	Agonum muelleri			✓	✓	84	38	
8.	Larvae			✓	✓	59	30	
9.	B.tibiale			✓	✓	38	22	
10.	B.monticola				✓	29	16	
11.		B.lunatum				10	5	
12.		Bracteon littorale	✓			10		
13.	Nebria brevicollis				✓	8		
14.		Clivina collaris	✓			6		
15.		A.lugens				4		
16.		Platynus assimilis	✓			3		
17.		Pterostichus nigrita				3		
18.		Amara sp.						
19.		B.guttala						
20.		B.properans						
21.		Chlaenius vestitus						
22.		Amara aenea						
23.		B.dentellum	✓					
24.		Harpalus rufipes						
25.		Patrobus atrorufus						
26.		Pterostichus melanarius						
27.		Pterostichus vernalis						
28.		Trechus quadristriatus						
		TOTAL	16	19	19	4393		11

Table A4 The abundances of beetle species recorded during a three-year study of exposed riverine sediments in the Usk river system, Wales, a) identifying the species used in multivariate analyses and b) those excluded because they occurred in < 5% of samples.

Table A5 Ranked Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) values for the GLM of variations in a) species richness, b) abundance and c) MIB. Smallest AIC values indicate the strongest effect.

Table A6 Loading values of dominant habitat variables (shaded) onto three principal components (correlation matrix) describing habitat character at six ERS sites in the Usk river system over three years.

Table A7 Loading values of dominant beetle species (shaded) on three principal components derived from correlation among their abundances (see Figure 7 for graphical display). \checkmark indicates ERS specialist.

