Recognition of the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) Class Ib Molecule H2-Q10 by the Natural Killer Cell Receptor Ly49C*

Received for publication, May 16, 2016, and in revised form, July 1, 2016 Published, JBC Papers in Press, July 6, 2016, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M116.737130

Lucy C. Sullivan^{‡1}, Richard Berry^{§¶1}, Natasha Sosnin^{||**}, Jacqueline M. L. Widjaja[‡], Felix A. Deuss^{§¶}, Gautham R. Balaji^{§¶}, Nicole L. LaGruta^{‡§}, Michiko Mirams[‡], Joseph A. Trapani^{||**}, Jamie Rossjohn^{§¶‡‡2}, Andrew G. Brooks^{‡3}, and Daniel M. Andrews^{§§4}

From the [‡]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia, the [§]Infection and Immunity Program and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia, the [¶]ARC Centre of Excellence in Advanced Molecular Imaging, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia, the [¶]Cancer Cell Death Laboratory, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, East Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia, **The Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Parkville, Australia, the ^{‡‡}Institute of Infection and Immunity, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XN, Wales, United Kingdom, and the ^{§§}Department of Immunology and Pathology, Central Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia

Murine natural killer (NK) cells are regulated by the interaction of Ly49 receptors with major histocompatibility complex class I molecules (MHC-I). Although the ligands for inhibitory Ly49 were considered to be restricted to classical MHC (MHC-Ia), we have shown that the non-classical MHC molecule (MHC-Ib) H2-M3 was a ligand for the inhibitory Lv49A. Here we establish that another MHC-Ib, H2-Q10, is a bona fide ligand for the inhibitory Ly49C receptor. H2-Q10 bound to Ly49C with a marginally lower affinity ($\sim 5 \mu$ M) than that observed between Ly49C and MHC-Ia (H-2K^b/H-2D^d, both $\sim 1 \mu$ M), and this recognition could be prevented by cis interactions with H-2K in situ. To understand the molecular details underpinning Lv49. MHC-Ib recognition, we determined the crystal structures of H2-Q10 and Ly49C bound H2-Q10. Unliganded H2-Q10 adopted a classical MHC-I fold and possessed a peptide-binding groove that exhibited features similar to those found in MHC-Ia, explaining the diverse peptide binding repertoire of H2-Q10. Lv49C bound to H2-Q10 underneath the peptide binding platform to a region that encompassed residues from the $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, and α 3 domains, as well as the associated β 2-microglobulin subunit. This docking mode was conserved with that previously observed for Ly49C·H-2K^b. Indeed, structure-guided mutation of Ly49C

indicated that Ly49C·H2-Q10 and Ly49C·H-2K^b possess similar energetic footprints focused around residues located within the Ly49C β 4-stand and L5 loop, which contact the underside of the peptide-binding platform floor. Our data provide a structural basis for Ly49·MHC-Ib recognition and demonstrate that MHC-Ib represent an extended family of ligands for Ly49 molecules.

The primary function of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules (MHC-I)⁵ is to capture peptides derived from self- and pathogen-derived proteins to display them on the cell surface for immune surveillance by CD8⁺ T cells. There are two broad types of MHC-I. "Classical" or "class Ia" MHC (MHC-Ia) are ubiquitously expressed on all nucleated cells and are highly polymorphic in nature, thereby allowing them to present a diverse array of peptides to CD8⁺ T cells and ensure immunity to a wide range of infections (1). In addition to the polymorphic MHC class Ia molecules, the MHC gene cluster also encodes a number of "non-classical" or "class Ib" MHC molecules (MHC-Ib). MHC-Ib share structural similarity with their MHC-Ia counterparts, but have limited polymorphism and often display a tissue-specific pattern of expression (2). In contrast to humans, where MHC-Ib are limited to three genes encoding HLA-E, HLA-F, and HLA-G, MHC-Ib genes in mice are highly divergent, with most mouse strains encoding dozens of genes in the H2-Q, H2-T, and H2-M regions (3). However, the function of many of the murine MHC-Ib currently remains unknown.

In addition to their role in activating CD8⁺ T cells, MHC-Ia also serve as ligands for receptor families that regulate the function of natural killer (NK) cells. For example, groups of HLA-B



^{*} This work was supported by National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Career Development Awards (to L. C. S., R. B, and D. M. A.), the Sylvia and Charles Viertel Charitable Foundation (to N. L. L.), and by an NHMRC Australia Fellowship (to J. R.). The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

The atomic coordinates and structure factors (codes 5J6H and 5J6G) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (http://wwpdb.org/).

¹ Both authors contributed equally to this work.

² To whom correspondence may be addressed: Infection and Immunity Program and Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia. E-mail: jamie.rossjohn@monash.edu.

³ To whom correspondence may be addressed: Dept. of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia. E-mail: agbrooks@unimelb.edu.au.

⁴ To whom correspondence may be addressed: Dept. of Immunology and Pathology, Central Clinical School, Monash University, Level 6, Burnet Institute, 89 Commercial Rd., Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia. Tel.: 613-9903-0642; E-mail: dan.andrews@monash.edu.

⁵ The abbreviations used are: MHC-I, major histocompatibility complex class I molecule(s); MHC-Ia, class Ia MHC molecule(s); MHC-Ib, class Ib MHC molecule(s); NK, natural killer; KIR, killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor; r.m.s.d., root mean square deviation; VDW, van der Waals; EC, extracellular domain; NZB, New Zealand Black; β2M, β2 microglobulin.

and HLA-C alleles in humans are recognized by inhibitory KIR3DL1 and KIR2DL1/2/3, respectively (4). Down-regulation of surface MHC-Ia, as may occur during viral infection or following malignant transformation, results in a loss of inhibitory signals and can trigger NK cell activation, a process termed "missing-self" (5). Furthermore, recognition of self-MHC-Ia by inhibitory KIR also plays a key role in the generation of NK cells capable of responding to cells that lack MHC-Ia. Indeed, NK cells expressing inhibitory receptors that have specificity for self-MHC-I proteins have elevated responses to MHC-I-deficient target cells when compared with those that lack such receptors, a process called licensing (6).

The functional homologue of KIR in mice is the Ly49 family of NK cell receptors. Like KIR, the highly polymorphic Ly49 family of ligands encodes for both activating and inhibitory isoforms that recognize MHC-I or MHC-I-like ligands (7). Also similar to KIR, the established ligands for the Ly49 family are composed of MHC-Ia. These interactions include recognition of H-2D^d by Ly49A, H-2D^k by Ly49G, and H-2K^b by Ly49C (8, 9). The ternary structures of H-2D^d·Ly49A and H-2K^b·Ly49C have been solved, providing molecular details of the interaction between Ly49 and MHC-Ia. Although the human KIR receptors bind over the $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ helices of the MHC-Ia, positioned over the C-terminal end of the peptide (10, 11), the Ly49. MHC-Ia crystal structures revealed a completely different mode of recognition. In both the Ly49A·H-2D^d and the Ly49C·H-2K^b structures, the Ly49 was bound to a concave groove underneath the peptide-binding platform (12), a location that precludes any direct contact with the peptide. Although the overall docking mode was conserved, the Ly49A·H-2D^d and Ly49C·H-2K^b structures did differ in the configuration of the Ly49 homo-dimer and the stoichiometry of the Ly49•MHC-Ia complex. These differences likely relate to the capacity of Ly49 to recognize MHC-I within the plane of the same membrane (in *cis*) and also on opposing cell membranes (in trans). Although cis interactions do not lead to productive signaling, they are proposed to restrict NK inhibition, thus facilitating NK effector functions (13).

MHC-Ib in humans and mice can perform specialized functions, including in the regulation of NK cells. Mouse Qa-1^b and its human homologue, HLA-E, play a dual role in immunity by presenting peptides to receptors expressed on NK cells as well as to the T cell receptor of CD8⁺ cells (14). In humans, the MHC-Ib HLA-G exhibits a highly restricted pattern of tissue expression, largely limited to developing trophoblast cells in the placenta (15, 16). Hence HLA-G has been proposed to play a specialized role in the maintenance of pregnancy by modulating leukocyte function, acting as a ligand for receptors of the leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor (LILR) and/or KIR receptor families (17). Furthermore, some HLA-G isoforms include secreted forms of the molecule (sHLA-G). In addition to the role that sHLA-G might play in the regulation of NK cell function (18), it has also been shown to modulate chemokine receptor expression on certain CD4 and CD8 T cell populations (19).

Although a direct homologue of HLA-G has yet to be confirmed in mice, the murine MHC-Ib H2-Q10 is the only murine MHC-I found in the serum in appreciable concentrations (20).

Ly49C Recognizes the Non-classical MHC H2-Q10

H2-Q10 has a truncation in the C terminus and the presence of several substitutions in the transmembrane region that are responsible for the soluble nature of this protein. Like HLA-G, H2-Q10 also shows a restricted pattern of expression, being overexpressed in the liver when compared with other tissues (21). In addition, both H2-Q10 (22) and HLA-G (23) have a more diverse peptide binding repertoire than many other MHC-Ib such as Qa-1^b, HLA-E, and H2-M3, where the peptide binding repertoires are highly restricted (22). These factors suggest that H2-Q10 may play a highly specialized role in immunity, yet its precise function currently remains unknown.

It was recently demonstrated that the MHC-Ib, H2-M3, is a functional, high affinity ligand for Ly49A (24). Moreover, H2-M3 played a role in licensing Ly49A-expressing NK cells. This provided the first evidence that MHC-Ib could act as ligands for Ly49 family members. However, whether additional Ly49 ligands exist within the MHC-Ib family, as well as the molecular basis underpinning these interactions, remains unclear. Here, we demonstrate that H2-Q10 is a *bona fide* ligand for Ly49C. Furthermore, we report the first crystal structures of H2-Q10 and the Ly49C·H2-Q10 complex. H2-Q10 has a structural and energetic footprint on Ly49C that overlaps significantly with that of H-2K^b. These results extend the known ligands for Ly49 receptors and suggest that the family of MHC-Ib play an important role in the shaping of NK cell responses.

Results

H2-Q10 Binds Specifically to Ly49C-Alignment of the sequence of the H2-Q10 heavy chain with classical MHC-encoded heavy chains identified regions of sequence homology between H2-Q10 (National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) accession code AAH11215.1), H-2K^b (NCBI accession code 1VAC_A) and H-2D^d (NCBI accession code 1BII_A), both of which are known ligands for Ly49C (Fig. 1A). This prompted us to determine whether H2-Q10 could also act as a ligand for Ly49C. Initially, the binding of H2-Q10 tetramers loaded with peptides derived from either T cell receptor (TCR) Vβ chain (TGTETLYF) or ribophorin (VGITNVDL) to a panel of CHO cells expressing Ly49C^{B6}, Ly49H^{B6}, and Ly49I^{B6} was assessed. Among these closely related Ly49 molecules, H2-Q10 bound to Ly49C, but not Ly49H or Ly49I, in a manner that was independent of the sequence of the bound peptide (Fig. 1B). In contrast, Qa-1^b did not bind appreciably to any of the Ly49expressing cells. These data provide the first evidence that Ly49C is able to specifically recognize H2-Q10.

cis Interactions with H-2K Prevent H2-Q10 Binding to Ly49C—In addition to recognizing H-2K^b in *trans* (on an opposing cell membrane), Ly49C is known to interact with H-2K^b through *cis* interactions (9). This *cis* binding can alter the threshold for NK cell activation by making inhibitory receptors unavailable for binding and may also be important in the education of murine NK cells (25). We next sought to determine whether H2-Q10 binding to Ly49C could be regulated by H-2K molecules expressed on the surface of NK cells from wild-type mice where *cis* interactions are present or H-2K^bD^b-deficient mice in which *cis* interactions with MHC-I are largely





FIGURE 1. **H2-Q10 is a bone-fide ligand for C57BL/6 Ly49C.** *A*, sequence alignment of H2-Q10, H-2K^b, H-2D^d, and Qa-1^b. Residues conserved in at least three of the sequences are shown as *dots*. Binding sites for Ly49C on H-2K^b are shown within *boxes*. *B*, tetramer staining of CHO cells expressing Ly49C, Ly49H, and Ly49I. *Open curves* show staining with Ly49-specific antibody (*Anti Ly49*), H2-Q10 loaded with TGTETLYF (*H2-Q10 TGT*), H2-Q10 loaded with VGITNVDL (*H2-Q10 VGI*), or Qa-1^b loaded with AMAPRTLLL (*Qa-1^b QDM*); *black filled curves* show isotype control antibody (for anti-Ly49) or irrelevant human HLA-E tetramer (for H2-Q10). Data are representative of at least 5 independent experiments. *C*, H2-Q10 binding to Ly49C *ex vivo* is impaired by *cis* interactions with MHC-I. Splenocytes were prepared from WT and H-2K^b/D^b double-deficient mice. Cells were stained with NK1.1, CD3, an irrelevant human HLA-E tetramer (control), H2-Q10 tetramer loaded with TGTETLYF (*H2-Q10 TGT*), or H2-Q10 loaded with VGITNVDL (*H2-Q10 VGI*). The dot plots show events that have been electronically gated as singlets, live and CD3⁻ (not shown), and presented as NK1.1 (*vertical*) *versus* tetramer (*horizontal*). *Numbers* in the *top right quadrant* of the H2-Q10stained panels represent the percentage of H2-Q10⁺ NK cells and are presented as mean \pm S.E. of four mice. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments using four mice per experiment (*n* = 8). *D*, Ly49C (*biger*) or Ly49A (*lower*) to streptavidin-immobilized H2-Q10-TGTETLYF, H-2K^b-SIINFEKL, H-2D^d-AGPARAAAL, or HLA-E-VMAPRTLL is shown. Results are presented in response units (*RU*) after subtraction of the baseline values. *Solid vertical* lines at 0 and 60 s indicate injection start and stop, respectively. Binding affinity (determined by equilibrium analysis): H2-Q10-TGTETLYF, Ly49C K_D = 5.0 \pm 0.5 μ %, H-2K^b-SIINFEKL-Ly49C, K_D = 1.0 \pm 0.2 μ %, H2-D^d-AGAPARAAAL-Ly49C, K_D = 1.3 \pm 0.5 μ %, H2-D^d-AGAPARAAAL

absent. Although we were unable to detect binding of H2-Q10 tetramers to NK cells from wild-type mice, a significant proportion of NK cells isolated from H-2K^b/D^b-deficient mice was stained by H2-Q10 tetramers (Fig. 1*C*). Similar to the results obtained for CHO cells (Fig. 1*B*), both tetramers yielded similar results irrespective of differences in the peptide sequence. These results demonstrate that *cis* interaction of Ly49C with H-2K molecules prevents recognition of H2-Q10 tetramers in *trans*.

H2-Q10 Binds Ly49C but Not Ly49A—Given the inability of tetrameric forms H2-Q10 to effectively compete with Ly49C ligands bound in *cis*, we sought to determine the affinity of the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interaction using SPR. As positive controls, we included known Ly49C ligands H-2K^b-SIINFEKL and H-2D^d-AGPARAAAL, both of which bound to Ly49C with high affinity (1.0 \pm 0.2 and 1.4 \pm 0.5 μ M, respectively, Fig. 1D). Using the same conditions, H2-Q10 also bound Ly49C, albeit with

slightly weaker affinity (5.0 \pm 0.5 μ M). As a further control, we also assessed the binding of Ly49A to the various MHC-I. Although Ly49A bound to H-2D^d with high affinity (0.5 \pm 0.1 μ M, Fig. 1D) in line with previously reported data (43), it did not bind to either H-2K^b or H2-Q10. Moreover, neither Ly49A nor Ly49C bound appreciably to the human MHC class Ib molecule HLA-E (Fig. 1). Overall, these data indicate that the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interaction is well within the range typically observed for Ly49 receptors interacting with class Ia MHC.

Structure of Unliganded H2-Q10—To understand the structural basis for Ly49-mediated recognition of H2-Q10, we firstly determined the structure of H2-Q10 presenting a ribophorinderived peptide (VGITNVDL) to 2.3 Å resolution (Table 1). Despite reports that it is detectable in the serum as a multivalent complex of 200–300 kDa (20, 26), H2-Q10-VGITNVDL eluted as a monomer during size-exclusion chromatography (not shown) and the crystal packing was not suggestive of

TABLE 1	
Data collection and refinement statistics	

	Ly49C-Q10	Q10
Data collection statistics		
Temperature (K)	100	100
X-ray source	MX2 Australian	MX1 Australian
	Synchrotron	Synchrotron
Space group	12	P2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁
Cell dimensions		
a, b, c (Å)	101.0, 57.8, 210.7	55.4, 81.7, 97.9
α, β, γ (°)	90.0, 96.15, 90.0	90, 90, 90
Resolution (Å)	60.45-3.3 (3.56-3.3)	42.0-2.3 (2.42-2.3)
Total no. of observations	64879 (13432)	136962 (19918)
No. of unique observations	18565 (3785)	20349 (2894)
Multiplicity	3.5 (3.5)	6.7 (6.9)
Data completeness (%)	99.9 (100)	99.8 (99.6)
I/σ_I	5.8 (2.1)	6.6 (2.2)
R_{merge}^{a} (%)	27.3 (69.1)	24.1 (95.3)
$R_{\rm pim}$ (%)	21.0 (52.1)	9.2 (36.0)
Refinement statistics		
Non-hydrogen atoms		
Protein	7879	3126
Water	0	136
Sugar	0	0
R_{factor}^{b} (%)	19.6	17.7
$R_{\rm free}$ (%)	25.7	24.7
r.m.s.d from ideality		
Bond lengths (Å)	0.009	0.01
Bond angles (°)	1.05	1.09
Ramachandran plot		
Favored regions (%)	92.8	96.8
Allowed regions (%)	6.2	2.9
Disallowed regions (%)	1.0	0.3

 $R_{\rm free}$ used for cross-validation.

higher order assemblies. The overall structure of H2-Q10 is similar to other MHC-Ia and MHC-Ib, comprising a heavy chain of $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, and $\alpha 3$ domains that is non-covalently associated with a β 2M subunit (Fig. 2*A*). The root mean square deviation (r.m.s.d) between H2-Q10 and H-2K^b, HLA-A2, H2-M3, and HLA-G was 1.87, 0.94, 0.77, and 1.02 Å over all C α atoms, respectively, further highlighting the high degree of structural conservation between these related molecules.

The H2-Q10 peptide-binding groove is formed by an antiparallel β -sheet floor flanked by $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ helices, and is lined with a myriad of aromatic side chains that support the VGITNVDL peptide in an extended conformation (Fig. 2, B and C). Previous peptide elution and substitution experiments have identified that H2-Q10 binds a heterogeneous repertoire of peptides with a preference for Gly at P2, Leu/Val at P6, and Phe/Leu at P ω (22). Accordingly, the H2-Q10 peptide-binding groove is considered to resemble that of MHC-Ia rather than those of other more specialized MHC-Ib such as Qa-1^b (27), H2-M3 (28), or HLA-E (29, 30). Overall, the unliganded H2-Q10 structure supports this proposition. For example, the main chain amine and carboxyl groups of P1-Val are hydrogenbonded to the side chains of Tyr-171 and Tyr-159 (Fig. 2, C and D). These interactions are conserved in MHC-Ia structures (31). Moreover, the C terminus of P8-Leu is similarly tethered by hydrogen bonds to Tyr-84 and Thr-143, and is tightly enshrined by Thr-143 and Trp-147, a feature of MHC-Ia that directly contrasts to the looser fit of $P\Omega$ observed in other MHC-Ib including Qa-1 and HLA-E (Fig. 2, C-E) (27, 29). In addition to the hydrogen bonding of the peptide termini, H2-Q10 has five hydrophobic pockets that serve to anchor the peptide at P2-Gly, P3-Ile, P5-Asn, P6-Val, and P8-Leu (Fig. 2, D and *E*). Although the F pocket is deep to accommodate the Leu

Ly49C Recognizes the Non-classical MHC H2-Q10

or Phe residues that are favored by H2-Q10 at P Ω , the remainder of the peptide-binding pockets are relatively shallow (Fig. 2E). In particular, the B pocket is particularly small, consisting of a high "floor" provided by Tyr-7 and narrow "walls" formed by Arg-66 and Tyr-159. Together, these residues dictate the absolute requirement for a Gly residue at P2 (22). The C and E pockets are similarly shallow, but relatively wide, thereby allowing P3-Ile and P6-Val to point laterally to the side of the groove. With the exception of P8-Leu, the only other peptide side chain residue that points directly into the H2-Q10 groove is P5-Asn, which makes extensive van der Waals interactions with Trp-97 and Phe-74, as well as hydrogen bonds to Ser-73 and Tyr-116 (Fig. 2, D and E). The extent of these interactions is somewhat surprising, because peptide elution studies suggest that H2-Q10 can accommodate a variety of amino acids at this position (22). In addition to these anchor residues, positions P4-Thr, P6-Val and P7-Asp are solvent-exposed and thus may represent candidate T cell receptor contact sites.

Overview of the Ly49C·H2-Q10 Structure-Next we determined the structure of H2-Q10-VGITNVDL bound to the lectin domain of Ly49C^{B6} to 3.3 Å resolution. The structure refined well, to an R_{factor} and R_{free} of 22.9 and 26.6%, respectively, and the electron density at the Ly49·Q10 interface was, for the most part, unambiguous (Fig. 3A), permitting a detailed understanding of the molecular interactions that underpin Ly49C·H2-Q10 recognition. During gel filtration chromatography, Ly49C eluted at a position consistent with a dimer (not shown), and in the crystal structure, two Ly49C lectin domains were related by non-crystallographic symmetry to form a homo-dimer. Our observation that Ly49C forms a homo-dimer is in line with previous studies regarding the oligomeric status of this receptor both in solution (32) and on the cell surface (33). In the structure, each of the Ly49 lectin domains engaged H2-Q10 via a concave surface underneath the peptide-binding platform that encompassed the $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$, and $\beta 2M$ subunits of the MHC-Ib (Fig. 3B). Accordingly, the entire complex comprised one Ly49 dimer bound to two H2-Q10 molecules in a butterfly arrangement that is reminiscent of the Ly49C·H-2K^b structure (32) (Fig. 3B). As observed in previous Ly49·MHC-Ia structures, the receptor does not make any direct contacts with the bound peptide (12, 32).

Variable Ly49 Configuration-Ly49 receptors can adopt markedly different configurations to recognize MHC-I within the plane of the same membrane (in cis) or on opposing cells (in trans) (33). To recognize MHC-I in trans, Ly49 molecules have adopted an "open" conformation whereby the α 2 helices are splayed apart in a configuration that allows the Ly49 stalks to backfold and interject the Ly49 homo-dimer interface, thereby orienting the lectin domains in a manner that enables simultaneous recognition of two MHC-I (as observed for Ly49C-mediated recognition of H-2K^b). However, in the "closed" cis binding conformation (as observed in the Ly49A·H-2D^d structure), the Ly49 monomers are tightly juxtaposed in a manner that precludes simultaneous engagement of two MHC-I ligands due to steric clashes. Within the Ly49C·H2-Q10 structure, the Ly49 homo-dimer most closely resembles the open conformation, in that the α 2 helices are separated by a gap of \sim 11.5 Å (Fig. 4*A*).





FIGURE 2. **Structure of unliganded H2-Q10.** *A*, overview of the unliganded H2-Q10 (VGITNVDL) complex. The heavy chain is shown in *violet*, β 2M is in *cyan*, and the VGITNVDL peptide is in *green*. *B*, the conformation of the bound peptide (stick representation). The corresponding $2F_o - F_c$ electron density is shown in mesh format contoured at 1 σ . *C*, top view showing interactions between the H2-Q10 heavy chain (*violet*) and the bound peptide (*green sticks*). *D*, interactions of each peptide anchor position with H2-Q10. Hydrogen bonds are represented by *dashed lines*. *E*, comparison of the peptide-binding groove of H2-Q10 with other MHC-la (H2-K^b) and MHC-lb (Qa-1^b). Pocket positions of H2-Q10 are labeled.

Although the Ly49C configuration is clearly open, the precise domain juxtapositioning differs by a rotation of ~9° from that observed in the unliganded and H-2K^b bound forms of Ly49C, such that the atoms on the outer surface of the homo-dimer are translated by up to ~6.5 Å (Fig. 4*B*). This effect is magnified at the level of the H2-Q10 molecule, which is translated by up to 13 Å at the N terminus of the α 1 helix when compared with the Ly49C·H-2K^b structure (Fig. 4*C*). Thus, it may be that H2-Q10 binding, but not the H-2K^b interaction, triggers a change in configuration of the Ly49 homo-dimer. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the observed differences are due to inherent plasticity at the Ly49 homo-dimer interface.

The Ly49C·H2-Q10 Interface—Each Ly49C monomer interacts with a broad region of the H2-Q10 molecule, burying a total solvent-accessible surface area of 2,200 Å². Of this, 50% is accounted for by Ly49C, 39% is derived from the H2-Q10 heavy chain, and the remaining 11% is attributed to the β 2M subunit. The total buried surface area is less than that observed for Ly49C·H-2K^b (2,400 Å²) and Ly49A·H-2D^d (2,800 Å²). Overall, the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interface is polar in nature, comprising 13 H-bonds and 9 salt bridges (Table 2), and is characterized by good charge complementarity (Fig. 5). Although the H2-Q10 surface is primarily electronegative and the Ly49C surface is overwhelmingly electropositive, most of the ionic interactions at the interface are attributed to a narrow negatively charged strip that runs down the Ly49C surface and comprises Glu-241, Asp-242, and Asp-244. Together, these residues form a network of salt bridges with an electropositive region of the MHC-Ib that includes residues from both the H2-Q10 heavy chain (Arg-111 and Lys-243) and the β 2M subunit (Lys-58) (Fig. 5).

The majority of the contacts at the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interface are derived from the Ly49C L3 and L5 loops as well as the β 4-strand. In particular, Glu-241 from the β 4-strand lies at the heart of the interface and provides a central focal point for a triad of residues from the H2-Q10 heavy chain (Arg-111 and Gln-115) and β 2M subunit (Lys-58) (Fig. 6). Adjacent to Glu-241 lies another prominent residue, Arg-239 from the L5 loop, which inserts into the H2-Q10/ β 2M juncture, forming an extensive network of both polar and non-polar interactions with Asp-122 and Gln-115 from H2-Q10 and the main chain of Lys-58 from the β 2M subunit. These central, predominantly polar interactions are supplemented by a number of additional contacts derived from the L5 loop (Ser-236, Lys-237, and Ala-



FIGURE 3. **Overview of the Ly49C H2-Q10 complex.** *A*, the $2F_o - F_c$ electron density map is shown contoured at 1σ and colored according to chain (H2-Q10 (violet); β 2M (cyan); Ly49C (magenta)). *B*, the Ly49C.H2-Q10 complex visible within the crystallographic asymmetric unit is shown in *ribbon* representation. Within the Ly49C homo-dimer (magenta), each Ly49C monomer interacts with one H2-Q10 molecule (H2-Q10 violet; β 2m (cyan)).



FIGURE 4. **Variations in Ly49 configuration.** *A*, the configuration of the Ly49 homo-dimer from the following structures is shown in ribbon representation with the α -helices in *red* and in two different orientations: Ly49C·H2-Q10 (*left*), Ly49C·H-2K^b (*middle*), and Ly49A·H2-D^d (*right*). *B*, overlay of the Ly49C homo-dimer in the H2-Q10 bound (*magenta*) and H2-K^b bound (*gray*) states showing the relative difference in domain juxtapositioning. The molecules were aligned based on the left-hand side monomer. *C*, the same structural alignment as described in *B*, but in this case, only the MHC-I are shown. The peptides are shown as sticks in *green* (Ly49C·H2-Q10) or *black* (Ly49C·H-2K^b).

TABLE 2

Comparison between Ly49C·H2-Q10 and Ly49C·H-2K^b contacts

Atomic contacts for the Ly49C·H2-Q10 structure were determined with the CCP4i implementation of CONTACT and are shown alongside those previously reported for the Ly49C·H-2K^b structure (34) (PDB ID: 3C8K). van der Waals interactions were defined as non-hydrogen bond contact distances of 4 Å or less. Hydrogen-bond interactions were defined as contact distances of 3.4 Å or less. Only amino acid residues that are well defined in electron density are included.

	Ly49C·H2-Q10			Ly49C·H-2Kb		
Ly49C	H2-Q10	β 2M	Ly49C	H2-K ^b	β2m	Туре
Lys-157		Lys-3				VDW
Ser-161	Gln-226	-	Ser-161	Ile-225		VDW
Pro-192	Arg-121		Pro-192	Cys-121		VDW
Asn-194	U	Asp-59	Asn-194		Asp-59	VDW
Asn-194		Lvs-3			1	VDW
Lvs-221	Glu-128	-/				VDW
Lvs-221	Glu-128		Lvs-221	Glu-128		H-bond
Lys-224	Arg-111		2/0 221	Giu 120		VDW
Lys-224	Glu-128					VDW
Met-225	Arg-111		Met-225	Arg-111		VDW
Met-225	Glu-128		Met-225	Glu-128		VDW
Asn-226	Lvs-102		11100 2225	Giu 120		VDW
Asn-226	Lys-102					H-bond
Asn-226	Leu-110		Asn-226	Leu-110		VDW
Asn-226	Leu-110		11311 220	Leu II0		H-bond
Dbo-227	Lea 110					VDW
I NG-227	Lys-102		L vc_228	Δsp_{-30} Δsp_{-212}		VDW
Lys-220	Lys-102		Δrg_220	Asp-212 Ile-213		VDW
			Arg-230	Asp-212, He-213		VDW U band
			Arg-230	Asp-212, ne-215		Galt bridge
Con 226	A an 122		Aig-230	Asp-212		VDW
Ser-250	Asp-122		Ser-250	Asp-122		VDW U band
Ser-250	Asp-122		Ser-250	Asp-122		H-DONG
Lys-237	Asp-122		Lys-237	Asp-122		VDW U band
1 007	41 196		Lys-237	Asp-122		H-DONG
Lys-237	Ala-136		41 000	1 100		VDW VDW
Ala-238	Asp-122		Ala-238	Asp-122		VDW U band
Ala-238	Asp-122		Ala-238	Asp-122		H-bond
Ala-238	1hr-134		Ala-238	1hr-134, Val-135		VDW
Arg-239	Asp-122		Arg-239	Asp-122		H-bond
1 222	1 100		Arg-239	lle-98		VDW
Arg-239	Asp-122		Arg-239	Asp-122		VDW
Arg-239	Asp-122					Salt bridge
Arg-239	Gln-115		1 000			VDW
Arg-239	Gln-115		Arg-239	Gln-115		H-bond
Arg-239	Ala-125		1 000			VDW
Arg-239		Lys-58	Arg-239		Lys-58, Asp-59	VDW
Arg-239		Lys-58	Arg-239		Lys-58	H-bond
Arg-239		Trp-60	Arg-239		Trp-60	VDW
Arg-239		Trp-60	Arg-239		Trp-60	H-bond
Glu-241	Arg-111		Glu-241	Arg-111		VDW
Glu-241	Arg-111		Glu-241	Arg-111		Salt bridge
			Glu-241		Lys-58	VDW
Glu-241	~	Lys-58	Glu-241	~	Lys-58	Salt bridge
Glu-241	Gln-115		Glu-241	Gln-115		VDW
Glu-241	Gln-115				_	H-bond
			Asp-242		Lys-58	VDW
Asp-242		Lys-58	Asp-242		Lys-58	Salt bridge
lle-243	_	Asp-59	lle-243		Lys-58	VDW
Asp-244	Lys-243					Salt bridge
Asn-246	Leu-230		Asn-246	Leu-230, Val-231		VDW
Asn-246	Leu-230		Asn-246	Leu-230		H-bond
Asn-246	Glu-232		Asn-246	Glu-232		VDW
Ile-247	Glu-232		Ile-247		Gln-29	VDW
Ile-247		Gln-29				VDW
Pro-248		Gln-29	Pro-248		Gln-29	VDW
Tyr-249		Asp-59	Tyr-249		Asp-59	VDW
Tyr-249		Asp-59	Tyr-249		Asp-59	H-bond

238) that are primarily non-polar in nature with the exception of a hydrogen bond from the hydroxyl group of Ser-236 to Asp-122 of H2-Q10. In contrast to the interactions with the H2-Q10 α 1/ α 2 domain and the β 2M subunit, the interface between Ly49C and the H2-Q10 α 3 domain is markedly less well defined, both in the current structure and in the 2.9 Å resolution structure of Ly49C·H-2K^b (Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID: 3C8K) (34). In particular, the electron density for some of the larger Ly49C side chain residues (*e.g.* Lys-203 and Arg-230) is poorly defined, suggesting that these residues may be flexible and not contribute significantly to the interaction. *Comparison with Ly49C*·*H*-2*K*^b *Structure*—Overall, the docking mode of H2-Q10 on Ly49C is highly similar to that of H-2K^b (r.m.s.d 0.89 Å over 470 C α atoms, based on alignment of one Ly49C monomer with one H2-Q10/H-2K^b molecule). Moreover, the interactions at the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interface mirror those found within the Ly49C·H2-K^b complex, further emphasizing the conserved nature of these related MHC molecules (Fig. 7*A*).

Although similar, a comparison of the Ly49C·H2-Q10 and Ly49C·H-2K^b binding footprint suggests that the Ly49C contacts with the $\alpha 1/\alpha 2$ chains and the $\beta 2M$ subunit are particu-

larly well conserved (Fig. 7A). For example, of the nine contact residues within the H2-Q10 $\alpha 1/\alpha 2$ region, seven are absolutely conserved and make similar interactions in the Lv49C·H-2K^b structure, one (Arg-121) differs in sequence (Cys-121 in H-2K^b) but maintains a contact with Ly49C, and only one (Asp-30) does not contact Ly49C. Notably, these conserved interactions include residues from the Ly49C β 4-strand and L5 loop that, as discussed above, are centrally located at the heart of the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interface. By comparison, the physio-chemical properties of Qa-1^b in this region differ substantially, with changes in amino acid charge (e.g. K102D and R121Q) and hydrophobicity (e.g. A125S and A136N) (Fig. 1A) providing a basis for the inability of this related MHC-Ib to bind to Ly49C. The Ly49C footprint on H2-Q10 is however substantially different from that of H-2K^b within the α 3 region, where there are sequence differences in a number of contact residues including 212, 213, and 225 (Fig. 7A).

Comparison of the Energetic Footprint of Ly49C on H-2K^b and H2-Q10—Structural analysis indicated that the footprints of H-2K^b and H2-Q10 on Ly49C are largely overlapping, and our biophysical data indicated that H2-Q10 bound with only a marginally lower affinity. Hence we sought to assess whether the

FIGURE 5. The molecular surface at the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interface. Electrostatic complementarity at the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interface is shown. Positive surfaces of Ly49C (*left*) and H2-Q10 (*right*) are shown in *red* (–5 kiloteslas), neutral surfaces are in *white* (0 kiloteslas), and negative surfaces in *blue* (+5 kiloteslas). Charge potentials were calculated with APBS (42) and are visualized in PYMOL. Amino acid residues that form salt bridges between Ly49C and H2-Q10 are labeled.

Ly49C Recognizes the Non-classical MHC H2-Q10

energetic footprints of both ligands on Ly49C were also similar. We generated 14 Ly49C transfectants that contained single site mutations at residues directly involved in interactions with H-2K^b and analyzed binding of either H-2K^b or H2-Q10 tetramers by flow cytometry. The data showed that that the energetic footprints of H-2K^b and H2-Q10 on Ly49C are largely overlapping, correlating well with the structural analysis. Notably, mutation of Ly49C residues located at the binding interface (Glu-241, Ser-236, Lys-237, Ala-238, and Arg-239) severely impacted H2-Q10 and H-2K^b binding to <50% of wild type (Fig. 7*B*), suggesting that this region represents the major energetic driving force for both interactions (Fig. 7*C*). Furthermore, mutation of Ly49C residues involved in differential contacts in the α 3 region between H-2K^b and H2-Q10 (Lys-203 and Arg-230) had little effect on binding of either tetramer (Fig. 7A). Accordingly, although some differences exist at the Ly49C- α 3 interface, these can be well tolerated as this region contributes little to the binding energy of the interaction. Therefore, in conclusion, our data demonstrate that Ly49C has an equivalent structural and energetic footprint on H-2K^b and H2-Q10. These data add great credence to the notion that H2-Q10 represents a genuine ligand for Ly49C.

Discussion

The control of murine NK cells through ligation of the inhibitory Ly49 receptors has typically been composed of interactions with classical MHC-Ia ligands. Previously, we had shown that the highly conserved MHC-Ib, H2-M3, interacts with the NK cell receptor Ly49A (24). Here we find that H2-Q10 binds to Ly49C, likely expanding the role of MHC-Ib in regulating murine NK cell responses. Our data also provide the first structure of unliganded H2-Q10, as well as the first structure of a murine NK cell receptor with an MHC-Ib ligand.

To date, the unliganded structures of several MHC-Ib have been described, including human HLA-E (29, 30) and HLA-G (35), and murine Qa-1^b (27), Qa-2 (Q9 (36)), and H2-M3 (28). At a population level, the limited polymorphism in MHC-Ib means that they present a more limited peptide repertoire than the class Ia counterparts. However, the nature of the peptidebinding groove itself further limits the repertoire in many instances. For example, although there are structural differences within the peptide-binding grooves of HLA-E and Qa-1^b, both molecules harbor five anchor positions, serving to effectively restrict the number of peptides that can be accommo-

FIGURE 6. **Molecular interaction at the Ly49C·H2-Q10 interface.** The interactions of Ly49C (*magenta*) with the H2-Q10 α 1/ α 2 domain (*violet*) (*A*) and the β 2M subunit (*cyan*) (*B*) are shown. For comparison, the equivalent side chain residues in the Ly49C·H-2K^b structure (PDB ID: 3C8K) are overlaid in *pink* (for Ly49C), *green* (for the H2-K^b heavy chain), and *gray* (for the β 2M subunit). Hydrogen bonds and salt-bridge interactions are represented by *black* and *red dashed lines*, respectively, and are shown only for the Ly49C·H2-Q10 structure.

FIGURE 7. **Comparison of the structure of H2-Q10 and H-2K^b on Ly49C.** *A*, comparison of the binding footprint on H2-Q10/H2-K^b (*left*) and Ly49C (*right*). Contacting surface residues unique to Ly49C-H2-Q10 and Ly49C·H-2K^b are shown in *red* and *yellow*, respectively. Surface contacts that are conserved in both Ly49C interfaces with H2-Q10 and H2-K^b are colored *orange*. *B*, comparison of the energetic footprint of Ly49C on H-2K^b and H2-Q10. Full-length C57/BL6 Ly49C-FLAG was mutated to Ala (or Gly were an Ala was present) at residues known to bind H-2K^b, and wild-type or mutant Ly49C was transiently transfected 293T cells. Tetramers of H2-Q10-TGTETLYF (*Q10 TGT*), H-2K^b-SIINFEKL (*Kb OVA*), and HLA-E-VMAPRTLIL were used to stain transfected 293T together with an anti-FLAG mAb and analyzed by flow cytometry. Results show tetramer binding (percentage of tetramer-positive, FLAG-positive (*% Tetramer + FLAG +*) of 3 independent transfections normalized to binding of wild-type Ly49C (showing means ± S.E.) *C*, energetic hotspot for Ly49C binding to H2-Q10. The surface of Ly49C is shown in *purple* with residues that severely (<50% of wild type), moderately (between 50 and 70% of wild type), or do not (between 70 and 100% of wild type) impact H2-Q10 binding shown in *red, orange,* and *gray*, respectively. The view shown is looking down from the top of the H2-Q10 peptide-binding platform. *D*, sequence alignment of Ly49 receptors from different mouse strains. *Dots* indicate conserved residues are shown in *dark gray*. *Asterisks* indicate residues of C57BL/6 Ly49C that interact with the H2-Q10 heavy chain (*black*) or $\beta 2M$ (*gray*) as determined by crystallographic analysis.

dated. In contrast, H2-M3 and Q9 show only one and two anchor sites, respectively, but at rather unusual positions, namely at P1 in H2-M3 and at P7 and P9 in Q9. Although HLA-G possesses five anchor sites, it has a peptide binding repertoire that is less restricted than HLA-E. Curiously, we have shown a similar situation in the H2-Q10 peptide-binding grove, which also showed five anchor positions at P2-Gly, P3-Ile, P5-Asn, P6-Val, and P8-Leu. Interestingly, HLA-G may serve a highly specialized role at the fetal/maternal interface (17). It is possible that H2-Q10 shares an analogous role in controlling liver NK cell function. Our data indicate that the mode of binding of Ly49C to classical (H-2K^b) and non-classical (H2-Q10) MHC is largely conserved. The key features that allow binding to both molecules, namely extensive contacts in the $\alpha 1/\alpha 2$ region and the lack of peptide contact, could potentially permit the interaction of Ly49C with a wide range of MHC-I. The residues of Ly49C involved in binding the H2-Q10 heavy chain are highly conserved across mouse strains (Fig. 7*D*), with 14/16 amino acids conserved between C57BL/6, BALB/c, and NZB strains. Furthermore, position 228, which is a Lys in C57BL/6 and a Thr in NZB Ly49C, did not contribute energetically to the interaction

with H2-Q10. The other different amino acid (position 247, which is an Ile in C57BL/6 and a Thr in NZB Ly49C) made only non-polar interactions with Glu-232 of H2-Q10 and is not conserved in the H-2K^b·Ly49C interface. Hence Ly49C position 247 is unlikely to be critical to the interaction with the MHC-I heavy chain. Interestingly, the same amino acids at positions 228 and 247 (a Thr in both cases) are shared between NZB Ly49C and C57BL/6 Ly49H, yet our tetramer binding data indicate that C57BL/6 Ly49H does not interact with H2-Q10 (Fig. 1). However, C57BL/6 Ly49H also differs from Ly49C at position 192, where this is a Pro in Ly49C and a Leu in Ly49H. Intriguingly, Pro-192 made a significant contribution to the binding energy between Ly49C and H2-Q10, with mutation of this residue resulting in an \sim 50% loss of tetramer binding. Therefore, Pro-192 in Ly49C may be important in allowing H2-Q10 to bind to Ly49C, whereas Leu in this position prevents H2-Q10 from binding to Ly49H. These observations emphasize the impact that even fine molecular alterations can have on Ly49 receptor-ligand specificity. Furthermore, it is not immediately apparent why H2-Q10 does not bind to Ly49I, as all of the residues that contact the H2-Q10 heavy chain are conserved between Ly49C and Ly49I, although there are some minor differences in residues that contact the β 2M subunit (e.g. the substitution of Arg-194 in Ly49C to Ser and of Ile-243 in Ly49C to Thr). Curiously, previous studies investigating Ly49I report only very weak interactions with MHC (9). It is possible that these minor differences in the residues that contact β 2M prevent the interaction of Ly49I with MHC or alternatively that Ly49I has a completely different mode of binding, such as that seen between Ly49H and m157 (37).

In contrast, only 8/16 amino acids of Ly49C that bind H2-Q10 are conserved with C57BL/6 Ly49A. Furthermore, five of these amino acids made significant energetic contributions to the interaction between Ly49C and H2-Q10 (Pro-192, Lys-221, Met-225, Ala-238, and Glu-241), most likely accounting for the inability of H2-Q10 to interact with Ly49A. Taken together, our results indicate that H2-Q10 can specifically interact with the Ly49C receptor, and this interaction is most likely conserved between different alleles of Ly49C.

Previously, studies on the role of MHC-Ib in the control of murine NK cells have been almost exclusively limited to the interaction of CD94-NKG2 receptors with Qa-1^b. Interestingly, we observed that Qa-1^b tetramers do not bind to Ly49C-expressing CHO cells. Given our structural analysis of the footprint of Ly49C on H-2K^b and H2-Q10, it is likely that differences in the α 2 region between Qa-1^b and H2-Q10/H-2K^b may account for this finding. For example, Ala-125 and Ala-136 in H2-Q10/H-2K^b both interact with energetically critical residues of Ly49C (Arg-239 and Lys-237, respectively) and are not conserved in the Qa-1^b sequence (residues Ser-125 and Asn-136). It is tempting to speculate that functionally analogous systems exist in mice and humans, whereby the CD94-NKG2 receptors exclusively bind HLA-E/Qa-1^b, whereas Ly49/KIR bind a more diverse but structurally related group of molecules extending into non-classical MHC ligands. It is interesting to note recent evidence suggests that the MHC-Ib HLA-F is a ligand for KIR3DL2 and KIR2DS4 (38). It is also possible that unlike MHC-Ia, where the need to present diverse peptide repertoires for T cell recognition has driven the acquisition of polymorphism, the capacity of a number of MHC-Ib to interact with immunoreceptors such as those of the Ly49 family may have served to limit their genetic diversification.

Although we have identified a role for H2-Q10 in NK cell interactions, our data do not necessarily preclude a function for this molecule in mediating other immune responses. Previous peptide elution and substitution experiments have identified that H2-Q10 binds a heterogeneous repertoire of peptides with a preference for Gly at P2, Leu/Val at P6, and Phe/Leu at P ω (22). Accordingly, the H2-Q10 peptide-binding groove is considered to resemble that of MHC-Ia rather than of other more specialized MHC-Ib such as Qa-1^b, H2-M3, or HLA-E. Overall, the unliganded H2-Q10 structure supports this proposition, and in addition, peptide residues P4-Thr, P6-Val, and P7-Asp are solvent-exposed and thus could represent candidate T cell receptor contact sites. Secretion of H2-Q10 was down-regulated following liver injury (20), perhaps suggesting that this molecule plays a role in mediating NK or T cell responses following cellular stress. Indeed, the biology, regulation, and precise function of this unusual MHC molecule require further investigation.

Experimental Procedures

Mice—C57BL/6 and H-2K^bD^b knock-out (39) mice were from the Department of Microbiology, University of Melbourne. All mice used were 6–10 weeks of age. All experiments were performed in accordance with the animal ethics guidelines of the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia. All experiments were approved by the University of Melbourne Animal Ethics Committee.

Flow Cytometry—For NK cells, spleens were collected from wild-type and H-2K^b/D^b-deficient mice and red blood cells removed using ACK (ammonium-chloride-potassium) lysing buffer. Nonspecific receptors were then blocked with 2.4G2 antibody. Cells (5 × 10⁶) were stained with NK1.1 (PK136; eBioscience), CD3 (17A2; BioLegend), H2-Q10 and HLA-E tetramers prior to fixation with 2% paraformaldehyde. Following washing, 5 × 10³ NK cell (NK1.1⁺/CD3⁻) events were electronically collected using an LSR-II (BD Biosciences).

For CHO cells expressing Ly49, cells were cultured for 1 day at a density of 2×10^5 cells/ml and removed from the tissue culture plastic with 50 mM EDTA. Following blockade of nonspecific binding by 2.4G2 antibody, cells were stained with antibody to Ly49 or H2-Q10 tetramers. Following fixation with 2% paraformaldehyde and subsequent washing, 5×10^4 events were electronically collected using an LSR-II or FACSCanto (BD Biosciences).

Cloning and Expression of Recombinant Proteins—For mammalian expression, the entire extracellular domains of Ly49A and Ly49C (Ly49-EC) from C57BL/6 mice were cloned into the pHLsec vector downstream of a signal peptide, His tag, and BirA site, as described previously (24, 37). For expression in mammalian cells, secreted Ly49-EC produced from transiently transfected HEK-293T cells was harvested from the culture medium 3 days after transfection, dialyzed against 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and purified using nickel affinity resin. The Ly49-EC was eluted from the nickel resin with 10 mM Tris,

pH 8.0, 500 mm NaCl, 500 mm imidazole, and the protein was purified by gel filtration chromatography using an S200 16/60 column (GE Healthcare).

For bacterial expression, the entire Ly49C EC or lectin-like domain (starting from Ser-132) was cloned into the pET30 vector and expressed in BL21 *Escherichia coli* cells as described previously (37). Inclusion bodies harboring the Ly49 protein were resuspended in 6 M guanidine, 0.5 mM Na-EDTA, and 1 mM DTT and refolded by flash dilution in a solution containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 400 mM L-arginine-HCl, 5 mM reduced glutathione, and 0.5 mM oxidized glutathione. The refolding solution was then dialyzed against 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), followed by purification by cation exchange (carboxymethyl and sulphopropyl resin) and size-exclusion chromatography.

cDNA encoding residues 1–274 of H2-Q10 was generated by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ) and cloned into a pUC57 vector. H2-Q10 was then subcloned into a pET-30-based vector that allowed for an in-frame fusion of a substrate peptide for the enzyme BirA. The H2-Q10, H-2K^b, H-2D^d, Qa-1^b, or HLA-E heavy chains and murine β_2 -microglobulin were expressed separately in *E. coli*, purified from inclusion bodies, and refolded in the presence of either TCR V β chain (TGTETLYF) or ribophorin (VGITNVDL) peptides for H2-Q10, OVA (SIINFEKL) for H-2K^b, motif peptide (AGPARAAAL) for H-2D^d, QDM (AMAP-RTLLL) for Qa-1^b, or VMAPRTLIL for HLA-E and purified essentially as described previously (40).

Surface Plasmon Resonance—A ProteOn XPR36 protein interaction array system (Bio-Rad) was used for surface plasmon resonance (SPR) as described (24). An NLC (NeutrAvidin coated sensor chip, Bio-Rad) was used to capture ~300 response units of biotinylated H2-Q10-TGTETLYF, H-2K^b SIINFEKL, H-2D^d-AGPARAAAL, and HLA-E-VMAPRTLIL. An empty flow cell containing NeutrAvidin alone served as a control surface. Serially diluted (30–0.8 μ M) Ly49A or Ly49C was injected over test and control surfaces at a rate of 100 μ l/min for 60 s. After subtraction of the response from the control flow cell, K_D was measured by non-linear regression at equilibrium using Prism (GraphPad) and ProteOn Manager software (version 3.0.1). All interactions were tested minimally in duplicate.

Crystallization, Data Collection, Structure Determination, and Refinement-All crystals were obtained at 20 °C using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method. Unliganded H2-Q10 in complex with a ribophorin peptide (VGITNVDL, VGI) was crystallized at 6.8 mg/ml from a solution containing 8% PEG 3350, 0.1 M sodium malonate, pH 5.0, and 0.01 M β -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide hydrate. For Ly49C·H2-Q10-VGI, individual proteins were mixed in a 1 Ly49 dimer/2 H2-Q10^{VGI} molar ratio at a total protein concentration of 6.8 mg/ml and crystallized from a solution containing 12% PEG 8000, 10% PEG 400, 0.1 M HEPES, pH 7.2, and 0.2 M NaCl. Prior to data collection, crystals were equilibrated in a crystallization solution supplemented with 30% PEG 3350 (H2-Q10^{VGI}) or 20% PEG 8000 (Ly49C·H2-Q10^{VGI}) for cryoprotection. Crystals were flashcooled using liquid nitrogen, and x-ray diffraction data were recorded on a Quantum-315 CCD detector at the MX1 (H2-Q10-VGI) or MX2 (Ly49C·H2-Q10^{VGI}) beamlines of the Australian Synchrotron. Data were integrated using MOSFLM and scaled using SCALA from the CCP4 program suite.

The first unliganded crystal structure of H2-Q10^{VGI} was resolved to 2.3 Å by molecular replacement using PHASER with a search model comprising the heavy chain and β 2M subunit from H-2D^b (PDB code 1YN6). Following subsequent rounds of model building in COOT and refinement using Buster, the completed structure comprised residues 1-276 of H2-Q10, 1–99 of β 2M, and 1–8 of the peptide and refined to an $R_{\rm factor}$ $R_{\rm free}$ of 17.7/24.7. The 3.3 Å structure of the Ly49C·H2-Q10^{VGI} complex was also determined by PHASER using the unliganded H2-Q10^{VGI} structure (described above) and the lectin domain of Ly49C (PDB code 3C8J) as search models. The asymmetric unit comprised two molecules of H2-O10^{VGI} and two Ly49C monomers. The contents of the asymmetric unit were reorganized using the ACHESYM algorithm (41), revealing that the Ly49C monomers formed a dimer. Thus, the entire complex was composed of one Ly49C dimer and two molecules of H2-Q10^{VGI}. Following refinement using Buster, the completed structure comprised residues 1–277 of H2-Q10, 1–99 of β 2M, 1-8 of the peptide, and 141-262 of Ly49C. Details of the data processing and refinement statistics are given in Table 1.

Mutagenesis-cDNA encoding entire C57/BL6 Ly49C fused to a C-terminal FLAG motif was generated by GenScript and cloned into a pMIG plasmid for transient transfection into HEK-293T cells. Site-directed mutants of Ly49C were generated by the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), and the sequence of the mutated cDNA was verified by DNA sequencing. The residues chosen for mutagenesis were chosen by structural analysis of the Ly49·H-2K^b complex (34) and were mutated to Ala (or Gly where an Ala was present). Wild-type or mutant Ly49C pMIG constructs were transiently transfected into HEK-293T (FuGENE), and expression of Ly49C was confirmed by an antibody to FLAG and/or Ly49C. Biotinylated monomers of H2-Q10-TGTETLYF, H-2K^b-SIINFEKL, and HLA-E-VMAPRTLIL were conjugated with streptavidin-phycoerythrin and used to stain transfected 293T together with the anti-FLAG mAb and analyzed by flow cytometry. Results were analyzed by FlowJo (TreeStar).

Author Contributions—L. C. S. made tetramers, recombinant proteins, and mutant Ly49C transfectants and conducted the SPR and mutagenesis experiments, analyzed the results, and wrote the manuscript. R. B. made recombinant proteins and conducted all of the crystallographic analysis, data collection, and structural refinement and wrote the paper. N. S. and J. M. L. W. assisted in cloning, mutagenesis, and protein production. N.L. L. and M. M. provided H-2K^bD^b-deficient mice. F. A. D. and G. R. B. assisted with cloning, produced recombinant protein, and performed crystallization experiments. J. A. T., J. R., and A. G. B assisted in drafting the manuscript and provided important intellectual input. D. M. A. conceived the idea for the project, performed flow cytometry experiments and wrote the paper with L. C. S. and R. B. All authors analyzed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments—We thank the staff at the Monash Macromolecular Crystallisation Facility and the Australian Synchrotron MX1 and MX2 beamlines for their expert assistance.

References

- Rossjohn, J., Gras, S., Miles, J. J., Turner, S. J., Godfrey, D. I., and Mc-Cluskey, J. (2015) T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 33, 169–200
- Shawar, S. M., Vyas, J. M., Rodgers, J. R., and Rich, R. R. (1994) Antigen presentation by major histocompatibility complex class I-B molecules. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 12, 839–880
- Takada, T., Kumánovics, A., Amadou, C., Yoshino, M., Jones, E. P., Athanasiou, M., Evans, G. A., and Fischer Lindahl, K. (2003) Species-specific class I gene expansions formed the telomeric 1 Mb of the mouse major histocompatibility complex. *Genome Res.* 13, 589–600
- Saunders, P. M., Vivian, J. P., O'Connor, G. M., Sullivan, L. C., Pymm, P., Rossjohn, J., and Brooks, A. G. (2015) A bird's eye view of NK cell receptor interactions with their MHC class I ligands. *Immunol. Rev.* 267, 148–166
- Kärre, K., Ljunggren, H. G., Piontek, G., and Kiessling, R. (1986) Selective rejection of H-2-deficient lymphoma variants suggests alternative immune defence strategy. *Nature* 319, 675–678
- Kim, S., Sunwoo, J. B., Yang, L., Choi, T., Song, Y. J., French, A. R., Vlahiotis, A., Piccirillo, J. F., Cella, M., Colonna, M., Mohanakumar, T., Hsu, K. C., Dupont, B., and Yokoyama, W. M. (2008) HLA alleles determine differences in human natural killer cell responsiveness and potency. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 3053–3058
- 7. Dimasi, N., and Biassoni, R. (2005) Structural and functional aspects of the Ly49 natural killer cell receptors. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* **83**, 1–8
- Hanke, T., Takizawa, H., McMahon, C. W., Busch, D. H., Pamer, E. G., Miller, J. D., Altman, J. D., Liu, Y., Cado, D., Lemonnier, F. A., Bjorkman, P. J., and Raulet, D. H. (1999) Direct assessment of MHC class I binding by seven Ly49 inhibitory NK cell receptors. *Immunity* 11, 67–77
- Scarpellino, L., Oeschger, F., Guillaume, P., Coudert, J. D., Lévy, F., Leclercq, G., and Held, W. (2007) Interactions of Ly49 family receptors with MHC class I ligands in *trans* and *cis. J. Immunol.* **178**, 1277–1284
- Boyington, J. C., Motyka, S. A., Schuck, P., Brooks, A. G., and Sun, P. D. (2000) Crystal structure of an NK cell immunoglobulin-like receptor in complex with its class I MHC ligand. *Nature* 405, 537–543
- Vivian, J. P., Duncan, R. C., Berry, R., O'Connor, G. M., Reid, H. H., Beddoe, T., Gras, S., Saunders, P. M., Olshina, M. A., Widjaja, J. M., Harpur, C. M., Lin, J., Maloveste, S. M., Price, D. A., Lafont, B. A., *et al.* (2011) Killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor 3DL1-mediated recognition of human leukocyte antigen B. *Nature* 479, 401–405
- Tormo, J., Natarajan, K., Margulies, D. H., and Mariuzza, R. A. (1999) Crystal structure of a lectin-like natural killer cell receptor bound to its MHC class I ligand. *Nature* 402, 623–631
- Doucey, M. A., Scarpellino, L., Zimmer, J., Guillaume, P., Luescher, I. F., Bron, C., and Held, W. (2004) *Cis* association of Ly49A with MHC class I restricts natural killer cell inhibition. *Nat. Immunol.* 5, 328–336
- Sullivan, L. C., Clements, C. S., Rossjohn, J., and Brooks, A. G. (2008) The major histocompatibility complex class Ib molecule HLA-E at the interface between innate and adaptive immunity. *Tissue Antigens* 72, 415–424
- Ishitani, A., Sageshima, N., Lee, N., Dorofeeva, N., Hatake, K., Marquardt, H., and Geraghty, D. E. (2003) Protein expression and peptide binding suggest unique and interacting functional roles for HLA-E, F, and G in maternal-placental immune recognition. *J. Immunol.* 171, 1376–1384
- LeMaoult, J., Le Discorde, M., Rouas-Freiss, N., Moreau, P., Menier, C., McCluskey, J., and Carosella, E. D. (2003) Biology and functions of human leukocyte antigen-G in health and sickness. *Tissue Antigens* 62, 273–284
- Rajagopalan, S. (2014) HLA-G-mediated NK cell senescence promotes vascular remodeling: implications for reproduction. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* 11, 460–466
- Morandi, F., Ferretti, E., Castriconi, R., Dondero, A., Petretto, A., Bottino, C., and Pistoia, V. (2011) Soluble HLA-G dampens CD94/NKG2A expression and function and differentially modulates chemotaxis and cytokine and chemokine secretion in CD56^{bright} and CD56^{dim} NK cells. *Blood* 118, 5840–5850
- Morandi, F., Ferretti, E., Bocca, P., Prigione, I., Raffaghello, L., and Pistoia, V. (2010) A novel mechanism of soluble HLA-G mediated immune mod-

ulation: downregulation of T cell chemokine receptor expression and impairment of chemotaxis. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e11763

- Lew, A. M., Maloy, W. L., and Coligan, J. E. (1986) Characteristics of the expression of the murine soluble class I molecule (Q10). *J. Immunol.* 136, 254–258
- Cosman, D., Kress, M., Khoury, G., and Jay, G. (1982) Tissue-specific expression of an unusual H-2 (class I)-related gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 79, 4947–4951
- Zappacosta, F., Tabaczewski, P., Parker, K. C., Coligan, J. E., and Stroynowski, I. (2000) The murine liver-specific nonclassical MHC class I molecule Q10 binds a classical peptide repertoire. *J. Immunol.* **164**, 1906–1915
- Lee, N., Malacko, A. R., Ishitani, A., Chen, M. C., Bajorath, J., Marquardt, H., and Geraghty, D. E. (1995) The membrane-bound and soluble forms of HLA-G bind identical sets of endogenous peptides but differ with respect to TAP association. *Immunity* 3, 591–600
- Andrews, D. M., Sullivan, L. C., Baschuk, N., Chan, C. J., Berry, R., Cotterell, C. L., Lin, J., Halse, H., Watt, S. V., Poursine-Laurent, J., Wang, C. R., Scalzo, A. A., Yokoyama, W. M., Rossjohn, J., Brooks, A. G., and Smyth, M. J. (2012) Recognition of the nonclassical MHC class I molecule H2-M3 by the receptor Ly49A regulates the licensing and activation of NK cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 13, 1171–1177
- Bessoles, S., Angelov, G. S., Back, J., Leclercq, G., Vivier, E., and Held, W. (2013) Education of murine NK cells requires both *cis* and *trans* recognition of MHC class I molecules. *J. Immunol.* **191**, 5044–5051
- Kress, M., Cosman, D., Khoury, G., and Jay, G. (1983) Secretion of a transplantation-related antigen. *Cell* 34, 189–196
- Zeng, L., Sullivan, L. C., Vivian, J. P., Walpole, N. G., Harpur, C. M., Rossjohn, J., Clements, C. S., and Brooks, A. G. (2012) A structural basis for antigen presentation by the MHC class Ib molecule, Qa-1^b. *J. Immunol.* 188, 302–310
- Wang, C. R., Castaño, A. R., Peterson, P. A., Slaughter, C., Lindahl, K. F., and Deisenhofer, J. (1995) Nonclassical binding of formylated peptide in crystal structure of the MHC class Ib molecule H2-M3. *Cell* 82, 655–664
- Hoare, H. L., Sullivan, L. C., Clements, C. S., Ely, L. K., Beddoe, T., Henderson, K. N., Lin, J., Reid, H. H., Brooks, A. G., and Rossjohn, J. (2008) Subtle changes in peptide conformation profoundly affect recognition of the non-classical MHC class I molecule HLA-E by the CD94-NKG2 natural killer cell receptors. *J. Mol. Biol.* **377**, 1297–1303
- O'Callaghan, C. A., Tormo, J., Willcox, B. E., Braud, V. M., Jakobsen, B. K., Stuart, D. I., McMichael, A. J., Bell, J. I., and Jones, E. Y. (1998) Structural features impose tight peptide binding specificity in the nonclassical MHC molecule HLA-E. *Mol. Cell* 1, 531–541
- Madden, D. R., Garboczi, D. N., and Wiley, D. C. (1993) The antigenic identity of peptide-MHC complexes: a comparison of the conformations of five viral peptides presented by HLA-A2. *Cell* 75, 693–708
- Dam, J., Guan, R., Natarajan, K., Dimasi, N., Chlewicki, L. K., Kranz, D. M., Schuck, P., Margulies, D. H., and Mariuzza, R. A. (2003) Variable MHC class I engagement by Ly49 natural killer cell receptors demonstrated by the crystal structure of Ly49C bound to H-2K^b. *Nat. Immunol.* 4, 1213–1222
- Back, J., Malchiodi, E. L., Cho, S., Scarpellino, L., Schneider, P., Kerzic, M. C., Mariuzza, R. A., and Held, W. (2009) Distinct conformations of Ly49 natural killer cell receptors mediate MHC class I recognition in *trans* and *cis. Immunity* **31**, 598 – 608
- Deng, L., Cho, S., Malchiodi, E. L., Kerzic, M. C., Dam, J., and Mariuzza, R. A. (2008) Molecular architecture of the major histocompatibility complex class I-binding site of Ly49 natural killer cell receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 16840–16849
- Clements, C. S., Kjer-Nielsen, L., Kostenko, L., Hoare, H. L., Dunstone, M. A., Moses, E., Freed, K., Brooks, A. G., Rossjohn, J., and McCluskey, J. (2005) Crystal structure of HLA-G: a nonclassical MHC class I molecule expressed at the fetal-maternal interface. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 3360–3365
- He, X., Tabaczewski, P., Ho, J., Stroynowski, I., and Garcia, K. C. (2001) Promiscuous antigen presentation by the nonclassical MHC Ib Qa-2 is enabled by a shallow, hydrophobic groove and self-stabilized peptide conformation. *Structure* 9, 1213–1224

- Berry, R., Ng, N., Saunders, P. M., Vivian, J. P., Lin, J., Deuss, F. A., Corbett, A. J., Forbes, C. A., Widjaja, J. M., Sullivan, L. C., McAlister, A. D., Perugini, M. A., Call, M. J., Scalzo, A. A., Degli-Esposti, M. A., *et al.* (2013) Targeting of a natural killer cell receptor family by a viral immunoevasin. *Nat. Immunol.* 14, 699–705
- Goodridge, J. P., Burian, A., Lee, N., and Geraghty, D. E. (2013) HLA-F and MHC class I open conformers are ligands for NK cell Ig-like receptors. *J. Immunol.* 191, 3553–3562
- Pérarnau, B., Saron, M. F., Reina San Martin, B., Bervas, N., Ong, H., Soloski, M. J., Smith, A. G., Ure, J. M., Gairin, J. E., and Lemonnier, F. A. (1999) Single H2K^b, H2D^b and double H2K^bD^b knockout mice: peripheral CD8⁺ T cell repertoire and anti-lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus cytolytic responses. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 29, 1243–1252
- Hoare, H. L., Sullivan, L. C., Pietra, G., Clements, C. S., Lee, E. J., Ely, L. K., Beddoe, T., Falco, M., Kjer-Nielsen, L., Reid, H. H., McCluskey, J.,

Moretta, L., Rossjohn, J., and Brooks, A. G. (2006) Structural basis for a major histocompatibility complex class Ib-restricted T cell response. *Nat. Immunol.* **7**, 256–264

- 41. Kowiel, M., Jaskolski, M., and Dauter, Z. (2014) ACHESYM: an algorithm and server for standardized placement of macromolecular models in the unit cell. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **70**, 3290–3298
- Baker, N. A., Sept, D., Joseph, S., Holst, M. J., and McCammon, J. A. (2001) Electrostatics of nanosystems: application to microtubules and the ribosome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 10037–10041
- Andrews, D. M., Sullivan, L. C., Baschuk, N., Chan, C. J., Berry, R., Cotterell, C. L., Lin, J., Halse, H., Watt, S. V., Poursine-Laurent, J., Wang, C. R., Scalzo, A. A., Yokoyama, W. M., Rossjohn, J., Brooks, A. G., and Smyth, M. J. (2012) Recognition of the non-classical MHC class I molecule H2-M3 by the receptor Ly49A regulates licensing and activation of NK cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 13, 1171–1177

Recognition of the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) Class Ib Molecule H2-Q10 by the Natural Killer Cell Receptor Ly49C

Lucy C. Sullivan, Richard Berry, Natasha Sosnin, Jacqueline M. L. Widjaja, Felix A. Deuss, Gautham R. Balaji, Nicole L. LaGruta, Michiko Mirams, Joseph A. Trapani, Jamie Rossjohn, Andrew G. Brooks and Daniel M. Andrews

J. Biol. Chem. 2016, 291:18740-18752. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.737130 originally published online July 6, 2016

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.737130

Alerts:

- When this article is cited
- When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts

This article cites 43 references, 14 of which can be accessed free at http://www.jbc.org/content/291/36/18740.full.html#ref-list-1