Combined effect of toe out gait and high tibial osteotomy on knee adduction moment in patients with varus knee deformity
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A B S T R A C T

Background: Gait adaptations, including toe out gait, have been proposed as treatments for knee osteoarthritis. The clinical application of toe out gait, however, is unclear.
This study aims to identify the changes in Knee adduction moment in varus knee deformity assessing toe out gait as an alternative to high tibial osteotomy, and if any change in dynamic loading persists post operatively, when anatomical alignment is restored.
Methods: Three-dimensional motion analysis was performed on 17 patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis and varus deformity prior to undergoing high tibial osteotomy, 13 patients were assessed post-operatively, and results compared to 13 healthy controls.
Findings: Pre-operatively, there was no significant difference between natural and toe out gait for measures of knee adduction moment. Post high tibial osteotomy, first (2.70 to 1.51% BW·h) and second peak (2.28 to 1.21% BW·h) knee adduction moment were significantly reduced, as was knee adduction angular impulse (1.00 to 0.52% BW·h·s), to a healthy level. Adopting toe out gait post-operatively reduced the second peak further to a level below that of healthy controls.
Interpretation: Increasing the foot progression angle from 20° (natural) to 30° in isolation did not significantly alter the knee adduction moment or angular impulse. This suggests that adopting a toe out gait, in isolation, in an already high natural foot progression angle, is not of benefit. Adopting toe out gait post-operatively, however, resulted in a further reduction in the second peak to below that of the healthy control cohort, however, this may increase lateral compartment load.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

The medial compartment of the knee transmits the majority of load (Chang et al., 2007), and medial compartment overload is a risk factor for osteoarthritis progression (Miyazaki et al., 2002). The external knee adduction moment (KAM) has been studied as a surrogate measure of medial compartment load (Hunt and Bennell, 2011; Mundermann et al., 2005; Rutherford et al., 2008; Schache et al., 2008; Simic et al., 2011; Thorp et al., 2006; Thorp et al., 2007; Walter et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2007) and it is accepted that medial loading is predominantly reflected by the KAM (Simic et al., 2011; Thorp et al., 2006; Walter et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2007). A high KAM has been associated with disease progression (Bennell et al., 2011; Miyazaki et al., 2002), and lowering KAM has therefore been targeted to modify OA progression (Caldwell et al., 2013). Methods to offload the medial compartment include gait adaptation, mechanical walking aids and surgical re-alignment.

Alteration of the foot progression angle (FPA), notably adopting a toe out gait (TOG), is one such gait adaptation. TOG has been shown to alter the two peaks of the KAM differently, either not affecting (Andrews et al., 1996; Caldwell et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2007; Lynn and Costigan, 2008; Schache et al., 2008; Shill et al., 2013) or increasing (Bennell et al., 2011; Hurwitz et al., 2002; Lin et al., 2001; Lynn et al., 2008; van den Noort et al., 2013) the first peak and reducing the second peak KAM (Caldwell et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2007; Jenkyn et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2001; Lynn and Costigan, 2008; Schache et al., 2008; Reinholt et al., 2008; Schache et al., 2008; van den Noort et al., 2013). A reduction is observed for the second peak KAM by adopting TOG but clinical benefit has yet to be established (Hunt and Takacs, 2014; Simic et al., 2011). Knee Adduction Angular impulse has been studied as a dynamic representation of medial knee load, and has found to be predictive of cartilage loss over 12 months (Bennell et al., 2011) and is more sensitive than peak KAM at distinguishing disease severity (Kean et al., 2012).
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High tibial osteotomy (HTO) is a surgical procedure that aims to realign the lower limb and has been shown to reduce both peaks of the KAM (Amis, 2013), with some degree of longevity (Bhatnagar and Jenkyn, 2010; Weidenhielm et al., 1992). The alteration in KAM is also associated with improved pain and function scores (Birmingham et al., 2009).

This study aims to identify differences in KAM between TOG and HTO. These treatments aim to offload the medial compartment with dynamic or anatomical compensation of varus knee deformity. This study aims to compare these adaptations, and to identify if any benefit in KAM can be attained with TOG after HTO, in order to compare the benefits of this gait adaptation after the deformity is corrected. The aim is to assess TOG as an alternative to surgery or an addition to surgery by its effect on KAM, which has not previously been reported.

2. Methods

2.1. Study

This was a controlled cohort study. Healthy volunteers participated as control subjects and were compared to a patient cohort who underwent HTO. Healthy volunteers were recruited, with no current knee symptoms and no previous knee surgery. Patients were diagnosed with medial compartment knee OA on the basis of history, clinical examination and plain radiographs were graded (Kellgren and Lawrence, 1957) for disease severity. Varus deformity was confirmed on full leg weight bearing radiographs. Subsequently patients scheduled to undergo HTO were invited to participate in this study. The study was part of ongoing research associated with the Arthritis Research UK, Biomechanics and Bioengineering Centre. Local research ethics committee approval was obtained.

2.2. Motion analysis

Motion analysis was performed using a three dimensional optoelectronic motion analysis system (Qualisys, Gothenburg, Sweden) using nine Oqus optoelectronic digital infrared cameras capturing at 120 Hz. These were synchronised with ground force plates capturing at 1080 Hz (Bertec, Columbus, Ohio, USA).

Passive reflective markers were attached to the subjects in a modification of the Cleveland clinic configuration, with two additional markers on the hind foot segment of each foot as described by Reinbolt et al. (2008) resulting in a total of 37 markers (Reinbolt et al., 2008).

Participants walked along the walkway at self-selected speeds with variable starting points to ensure satisfactory striking of the force plates. After a period of practice to allow familiarity, the participants performed 6 walking trials with satisfactory force plate strikes with their natural foot progression angle. A verbal and visual demonstration of TOG was performed where there were more than two groups, in which case post hoc tests were performed to identify significant differences between the groups. Levene’s test was used to identify inequality of the variance, with Fishers least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test for groups of equal variance, and Games Howells post hoc test for groups of unequal variance. These were performed using SPSS v20.0 (IBM, Chicago, USA). Assumptions of normality were confirmed, and a P-value of 0.05 or less was considered a statistically significant result.

3. Results

3.1. Participants

The demographic details are outlined in Table 1. The only statistically significant difference between the groups was age. Patients were diagnosed with medial compartment knee OA on the basis of history, clinical examination and plain radiographs, which were graded (Kellgren and Lawrence, 1957) for disease severity. There was a trend towards selecting younger patients for HTO (Price et al., 2013), and disease severity varied on an individual basis. Thirteen healthy controls were recruited from University staff and the student population. Height and body mass were not significantly different between the groups. Seventeen patients underwent pre-operative planning is mandatory in osteotomy surgery (Elson et al., 2014) and this was performed to generate a simulated correction angle (Dugdale et al., 1992) and opening distance (Hernigou, 2002). Medial opening wedge HTO was performed (Lobenhoffer and Agneskirchner, 2003) to generate the planned opening distance before fixation with the TomoFix plate device (Depuy Synthes, Solothurn, Switzerland). Achieved correction was judged from post-operative long leg alignment radiographs by measuring the mechanical tibiofemoral angle (Paley et al., 1994) and the transection point of the weight bearing axis through the tibial plateau, expressed as a percentage of the tibial width from medial to lateral (Elson et al., 2014). Patients completed the Oxford knee score (Dawson et al., 1998).
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Demographic data for each of the groups, values reported as means with standard deviation in brackets. Statistical testing with Student’s t-test and ANOVA**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Healthy controls</th>
<th>Pre-operative patients</th>
<th>Post-operative patients</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>35.5 (7.9)</td>
<td>48.9 (8.5)</td>
<td>49.4 (6.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>171.2 (9.7)</td>
<td>173.9 (11.0)</td>
<td>173.1 (11.7)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>70.5 (14.4)</td>
<td>83.5 (25.8)</td>
<td>84.1 (28.6)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTFA (°)</td>
<td>7.3 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBA/°tibial width</td>
<td>20.5 (3.8)</td>
<td>45.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>45.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford knee score</td>
<td>26.1 (8.2)</td>
<td>39.6 (5.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gait analysis prior to HTO surgery. The average age of the HTO patients was 48.9 years (SD 8.5 years) (P < 0.001), 15 were male and 2 were female. 3 patients (17.6%) had grade 2 arthritis, 12 (70.5%) had grade 3 arthritis and 2 patients (11.7%) presented with grade 4 arthritis. The mean mechanical tibio femoral angle was 7.3° (SD 3.5°) varus with the weight bearing axis crossing at 20.5% (SD 13.8%) of the tibial width. Osteotomy surgery corrected the mean mechanical tibio femoral angle to 0.1° (SD 2.5°) valgus with the weight bearing axis now crossing at 45.7% (SD 10.9%) of the tibial width. Thirteen of these patients returned three to 24 months after surgery for further gait analysis. Clinical improvement was observed with an increase in the oxford knee score by 13.5 points (P = 0.001).

3.2. Temporal parameters

Healthy controls had a higher gait speed than the pre-operative groups (LSD P < 0.05), Table 2. There was no statistically significant difference between foot progression angles for TOG when performed pre-operatively and post-operatively, and no difference for natural gait before and after surgery (Table 2). A significant difference was identified between healthy volunteers (Mean 14.06°) and natural gait (NG) groups (Mean 19.42°) for foot progression angle (LSD P = 0.032).

3.3. First peak KAM

Pre-operative NG and TOG patients had a higher first peak KAM than post-op (Games Howells P = 0.004 for NG and P = 0.009 for TOG) and healthy controls (Games Howells P = 0.008 for NG and P = 0.016 for TOG) as charted in Table 2. HTO reduced the mean first peak KAM by 45%, to within normal limits (control range was 0.458–2.285% body weight x height (% BW h)). TOG made no significant effect to first peak KAM, before or after HTO. First peak KAM values are summarised in Table 2.

3.4. Second peak KAM

Not all patients had a clear second peak KAM. Where no clear second peak KAM existed, the value of the KAM was taken which corresponded with the second peak of the ground reaction force, as described elsewhere (Guo et al., 2007; Hurwitz et al., 2002; Lynn et al., 2008; Shull et al., 2013). The highest second peak KAM achieved was from the preoperative natural gait group, the lowest was from the post-op TOG group as charted in Table 2. HTO reduced the second peak KAM (LSD P = 0.003). TOG alone did not significantly reduce the second peak KAM, however when TOG was adopted after HTO the second peak KAM was reduced to below that of healthy controls (LSD P = 0.021). Second peak KAM values are summarised in Table 2.

3.5. Knee adduction angular impulse

The KAAI was significantly reduced with HTO from 1.00% BW·h·s (SD = 0.54) to 0.52% BW·h·s (SD = 0.29) (P = 0.004), in line with healthy controls 0.59% BW·h·s (SD = 0.22) (Table 2). TOG did not significantly alter the KAAI in preoperative groups (P = 0.72), nor did it significantly alter the KAAI in the post-operative groups (P = 0.71) (Fig. 1).

3.6. Sagittal plane data

Knee flexion moment and angle are presented in Table 2. There was no significant change in peak knee flexion moment for preoperative patients with the addition of TOG (P = 0.794) and HTO (P = 0.229), TOG and HTO in isolation, however, significantly reduced knee flexion moment to below that of healthy controls. There was a small but insignificant increase in flexion moment post op, with the addition of TOG. The adaption of TOG reduced peak flexion angle to below healthy (P = 0.002) and preoperative natural gait (P = 0.008) values, suggesting that this gait adaptation results in a smaller range of knee flexion.

4. Discussion

The effect of toe out gait on knee adduction moment has been studied previously. The first peak KAM is reported to be either increased (Bennell et al., 2011; Hurwitz et al., 2002; Lin et al., 2001; Lynn et al., 2008; van den Noort et al., 2013), is unchanged (Andrews et al., 1996; Caldwell et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2007; Lynn and Costigan, 2008; Schache et al., 2008; Shull et al., 2013) with adoption of TOG. It is

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Healthy controls</th>
<th>Pre-operative patients</th>
<th>Post-operative patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gait pattern</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>TOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPA (°)*</td>
<td>14.06 (3.78)</td>
<td>19.42 (6.59)</td>
<td>30.77 (6.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait speed (m/s)**</td>
<td>1.25 (0.15)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.25)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First peak*</td>
<td>1.59 (0.54)</td>
<td>2.70 (1.06)</td>
<td>2.85 (1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second peak**</td>
<td>1.06 (0.64)</td>
<td>2.28 (1.16)</td>
<td>1.88 (1.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAAI***</td>
<td>0.59 (0.22)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.54)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak knee flexion moment*</td>
<td>3.48 (1.24)</td>
<td>2.59 (1.36)</td>
<td>2.48 (1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee flexion angle**</td>
<td>62.15 (3.16)</td>
<td>61.27 (3.39)</td>
<td>57.85 (4.42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significance between healthy controls and pre/post-op NG (P = 0.032) and pre/post-op TOG (P = 0.000). **Significance between healthy controls and pre/post-op NG (P = 0.012)/pre/post-op TOG (P = 0.006).
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Simic et al. (2013) and associated with disease severity (Miyazaki et al., 2002 and literature, it is difﬁcult group is demonstrated in alignment. The reduction in the second peak with TOG in the pre-operative loading in patients with medial compartment OA and varus peak KAM, but a small increase in the correlate well with mechanical axis (Bennell et al., 2011; van den Noort et al., 2013).

Studies which have reported the results of KAM in % body weight x height (% BW-h) are suggestive of ﬁrst peak values between 2.70 and 3.78 and 2.84–4.70 for natural gait and toe out respectively (Guo et al., 2007; Hunt and Takacs, 2014; Jenkyn et al., 2008; Simic et al., 2013; van den Noort et al., 2013). Second peak values are between 2.05 and 5.12 and 9.91–3.34% BW-h for natural and toe out gait respectively (Guo et al., 2007; Hunt and Takacs, 2014; Jenkyn et al., 2008; Simic et al., 2013; van den Noort et al., 2013).

Toe out gait was investigated in this study because it has been extensively investigated previously, and is associated with slower disease progression (Chang et al., 2007), reduced knee pain (Hunt and Takacs, 2014), and it has been theorised as a self-adopted protective mechanism in OA (Chang et al., 2007), with beneﬁts which extend post HTO (Wang et al., 1990). Toe in gait has been shown to increase the second peak and reduce the ﬁrst peak KAM, however, toe out gait reduces second and increase ﬁrst peak KAM, but also reduces KAM impulse (Simic et al., 2013), which is predictive of cartilage loss (Bennell et al., 2011), and better distinguishes disease severity (Kean et al., 2012).

In the literature, the ﬁrst peak is reported to be clinically signiﬁcant, correlating well with mechanical axis (Andrews et al., 1996; Hurwitz et al., 2002) and radiological predictors of severity (Hurwitz et al., 2002; Miyazaki et al., 2002). This study demonstrated a reduction in second peak KAM, but a small increase in the ﬁrst peak with TOG for this patient group. Based on the increase in the ﬁrst peak, and the current published literature, it is difﬁcult to support the use of TOG to reduce medial compartment loading in patients with medial compartment OA and varus alignment. The reduction in the second peak with TOG in the pre-operative group is demonstrated in Table 2, but this was not statistically signiﬁcant.

Knee adduction angular impulse (sometimes referred to as KAM impulse) is a marker of dynamic medial joint load (Bennell et al., 2011) and associated with disease severity (Kean et al., 2012) and cartilage loss (Bennell et al., 2011). In this study, KAAI was not signiﬁcantly altered by TOG, but was reduced by HTO. In a study by Hunt and Takacs (2014), KAAI was not signiﬁcantly altered (P = 0.2) over 10 weeks, with a foot progression angle which was increased from 4.71° to 11.41° (Hunt and Takacs, 2014). Simic et al. (2013), also reported no signiﬁcant reduction in KAAI with 10° increases in target FPA, but signiﬁcant reductions in second peak KAM and KAAI when target FPA went from 0° to 30° (Simic et al., 2013).

One theory to explain the results of TOG adaptation in this study, and other papers, is the magnitude of change in FPA from patients self-selected FPA. In this study, the natural FPA of patients with medial compartment OA (19.42°, SD 6.59°) was greater than that of the healthy controls (14.06°, SD 3.78°) (Table 2), which could reﬂect the subtle use of TOG as a subconscious compensatory mechanism as speculated by Wang et al. (1990). Comparing the FPA results in this study with those published previously (Hunt and Takacs, 2014; Simic et al., 2013), the population study in this report had a naturally high FPA. It is less likely, therefore, to ﬁnd signiﬁcant improvements in second peak KAM or KAAI by increasing FPA from 20 to 30°. Similarly, Simic et al. (2013) reported no signiﬁcant difference in peak KAM or KAAI between 20° target (FPA average 12.6°) and 30° target (FPA average 20.8°) (Simic et al., 2013). The data from this study, and the study by Simic et al. (2013), suggest that any beneﬁt of TOG on medial load is dependent on the achievable increase in FPA with TOG, related to the patients pre-existing natural FPA.

The biomechanical beneﬁt of HTO on both peaks of the KAM, and KAAI, is clear and has been previously reported (Bhatnagar and Jenkyn, 2010; Birmingham et al., 2009; Briem et al., 2007; Lind et al., 2013; Prodromos et al., 1985). It has been reported that the mechanical axis (limb alignment) was the single best predictor of both KAM peaks (Hurwitz et al., 2002). Preoperative patients had a raised ﬁrst (P < 0.05) and second peak KAM (non-signiﬁcant) compared to healthy controls, this was then reduced after surgery, to a level not signiﬁcantly different to that of the healthy group, the age of which was signiﬁcantly lower than the operative groups (P < 0.001). HTO surgery signiﬁcantly reduced the ﬁrst peak, second peak KAM and KAAI, when walking with a natural FPA (P = 0.004, P = 0.003, P = 0.004).

Adopting TOG after HTO appears to lower the second peak KAM further below that of control levels (P = 0.021), with an associated but not statistically signiﬁcant increase in ﬁrst peak KAM. The effect on KAAI is not signiﬁcantly different. The implications of this are unclear, but may represent further oﬄoading of the medial compartment, possibly increasing the load to the lateral compartment. This reduction in the 2nd peak KAM would be expected to slow disease progression in the affected medial compartment, potentially prolonging the beneﬁcial effects of surgery, but the risk of this causing disease progression in the
lateral compartment is not known. Further longitudinal studies would be required to test any such assertion.

Prodromos et al. (1985) and Wang et al. (1990) reported favourable long term outcomes in those with low KAM pre-operatively compared to high KAM pre-operatively, citing TOG as an important predictor of KAM. The adoption of TOG could enhance the longevity of alteration in KAM achieved after HTO, with potential clinical benefits. This requires further study to determine that HTO with TOG reduces the second KAM peak to below that of healthy controls. This study compares the individual and combined effects of TOG and HTO on KAM in a clinically defined patient population. TOG does not significantly reduce first peak, second peak KAM or KAA. The findings highlight the biomechanical advantages of HTO in returning first and second peak KAM to within healthy limits. The aim of this study was to assess TOG as an alternative to surgery or an addition to surgery by its effect on KAM. The results show that TOG does not alter the KAM in isolation, when compared to anatomical correction of the mechanical axis, as in HTO, and is not a viable alternative to surgical management in such patients. The adoption of TOG post-operatively reduces the second peak KAM to below that of healthy controls, but this is not evidence enough to advocate this addition as excessive loading of the lateral compartment is a concern.

Limitations of this study are a non-aged matched volunteer healthy group and small cohort sizes. Although the mean age of the control group is lower than the patient cohorts, this study shows that following HTO, biomechanical measures of knee loading are improved to similar values as the younger control group, adding weight to the conclusion. A modest number of patients were assessed and this is in line with other similar studies. The investigation of just one gait modification, TOG, and the lack of longitudinal results for retention and effectiveness may also be viewed as limitations. However, with the results of this preliminary study which considers TOG with HTO patients for the first time, it would be difficult to recommend long term adoption of TOG in this patient population.

5. Conclusion

This study compares the individual and combined effects of TOG and HTO on KAM in a clinically defined patient population. TOG does not significantly reduce first peak, second peak KAM or KAA in patients with medial compartment OA and varus alignment deformity. This could be due to a higher self-selected FPA in the population of this study, and is in line with other similar studies. The investigation of just one gait modification, TOG, and the lack of longitudinal results for retention and effectiveness may also be viewed as limitations. However, with the results of this preliminary study which considers TOG with HTO patients for the first time, it would be difficult to recommend long term adoption of TOG in this patient population.
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