Fungal ecology: principles and mechanisms of colonization and competition by saprotrophic fungi.
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SUMMARY

Decomposer fungi continually deplete the organic resources they inhabit, so successful colonisation of new resources is a crucial part of their ecology. Colonisation success can be split into (1) the ability to arrive at, gain entry into, and establish within a resource, and (2) the ability to persist within the resource until reproduction and dissemination. Fungi vary in their life-history strategies, the three main drivers of which are stress (S-selected), disturbance (ruderal, or R-selected), and incidence of competitors (C-selected); however, fungi often have combinations of characteristics from different strategies. Arrival at a new resource may occur as spores or mycelium, with successful entry and establishment (primary resource capture) within the resource largely dependent on the enzymatic ability of the fungus. The communities that develop in a newly available resource depend on environmental conditions, and in particular the levels of abiotic stress present (e.g. high temperature, low water availability). Community change occurs when these initial colonisers are replaced by species that are either more combative (secondary resource capture), or better able to tolerate conditions within the resource, either through changing abiotic conditions or due to modification of the resource by the initial colonisers. Competition for territory may involve highly specialised species-specific interactions, such as mycoparasitism, or may be more general; in both cases combat involves changes in morphology, metabolism, and ROS production, and outcomes of these interactions can be altered under different environmental conditions. In summary, community development is not a simple ordered sequence, but a complex ever-changing mosaic.
INTRODUCTION

Decomposer fungi, by their very nature, continually deplete the organic resources in which they grow and feed. They therefore rely on continual successful spread to new resources. In terrestrial ecosystems resources are distributed heterogeneously in space and time (1, 2). They are often discrete, ranging in size from small fragments, e.g. bud scales, to large tree trunks, though discrete leaves en masse can form a continuous layer on the forest floor. The processes of arrival and spread are thus crucial to the success of saprotrophic fungi. Following arrival at a resource, their competitive ability will determine whether they are successful in colonization and, also, how long they retain that territory. Colonization and competition are the main focus of this paper, and are discussed separately below, largely drawing on wood decay fungi for illustrative examples.

In view of the large number of decomposer fungi, and the variety of organic material that is available for them potentially to feed on, it is not surprising that they have evolved a range of different life history strategies to cope with the environment they inhabit, the three major drivers being: stress (S-selected), disturbance (R-selected or ruderal), incidence of competitors (C-selected), or a combination of these (3-5). Characteristics defining these life-history strategies are given in Table 1. These strategies are relative depending on the communities being considered, and they also vary in different stages of the life cycle, or between regions of the same mycelium exhibiting different physiological states. The wood decaying basidiomycete Phlebia radiata, for example, has a rapidly extending aseptate mycelial margin, that can utilize only simple carbon sources and does not recognise antagonists (R-selected characteristics), whereas the more mature septate mycelium is able to use the lignocellulose complex and is antagonistic to other mycelia (C-selected characteristics) (6). Thus, taxa should not usually be classified per se as having a specific life history strategy, but their behaviour in a particular context can be defined by these terms. Further, fungi often have combinations of characteristics from different strategies (R-C, R-S, C-S or R-C-S; Figure 1).
Arrival

Most fungi can only spread between resources by producing asexual spores, sexual spores or sclerotia. These fungi are termed resource-unit-restricted, as opposed to non-resource-unit-restricted fungi that grow out of resources and spread as mycelium. Spores can enable rapid spread, sometimes over many kilometres (7-11), though most basidiospores, for example, land within a few metres of the basidiocarp that produced them (9,11). Spores, however, usually contain only small food reserves, and the chance of landing on a suitable new resource, with an appropriate environment for germination and growth is small if spread by wind or rain, though greater if transported by animal vectors (5, 12).

Sclerotia often contain larger food reserves, and allow survival in time, though spread is more limited than for most other spore types (13). Thick-walled chlamydospores also enable survival in time, e.g. the wood decaying basidiomycetes *Botryobasidium* spp., *Hyphodontia paradoxa*, *Piptoporus quercinus* and *Trechispora* spp., allowing them to survive severe abiotic stress, e.g. desiccation (see 5 and references therein).

Though the inoculum potential of an individual spore is small, it can be considerably increased if genetically identical spores, e.g. conidia, germinate close to one another. Germ tubes home in on each other and fuse to form a network (14). In contrast, when spores are genetically different, and hence somatically incompatible, competition is likely to result (15). When basidiospores germinate, the mycelia that develop are usually homokaryotic. It is generally thought that this homokaryotic stage is short-lived, and that mycelia soon (within hours or days of germination) encounter a suitable conspecific and, following a successful mating, become heterokaryotic. However, even common fungi such as *Trametes versicolor* and *Heterobasidion annosum* can sometimes remain homokaryotic for several years (11, 15-17), and rare species, e.g. *Hericium* spp., might be expected to remain homokaryotic for much longer (18). Homokaryotic and heterokaryotic mycelia do not necessarily behave the same in terms of growth rate, decay ability, competitive ability etc., though there does not
It seems to be a general trend where one is better (has higher 'fitness') than the other (19 and references therein).

In contrast to arrival as spores, arriving as mycelium allows the fungus to draw upon a much larger supply of nutrients (20). Mycelial spread can be as individual hyphae, albeit sometimes forming dense mycelia or fronts, or as hyphae aggregated to form linear organs - mycelial cords and rhizomorphs (2, 21, 22). Some leaf litter decay fungi can form large patches, e.g. *Collybia* spp. and *Marasmius* spp., or ‘fairy rings’, e.g. *Clitocybe nebularis* (20, 23). These patch formers colonise individual fallen leaves, but spread by mycelial growth from one leaf to another as if the litter layer was one large continuous resource. In contrast to mycelial patches, that exhibit no particular pattern, fairy rings comprise a 30 – 40 cm wide annulus of mycelium which is highly polar growing outwards from an initial site of establishment, death of older mycelium forming a central zone devoid of the fairy ring-former (20, 23).

The mycelial systems of those fungi that produce linear organs of aggregated hyphae are very different from others. The structure of the linear organs covers a spectrum of complexity, from simple loose aggregations, through to hyphae highly aggregated to form cords, e.g. *Hypholoma fasciculare*, *Megacollybia platypylla*, *Phallus impudicus*, *Phanerochaete velutina* form cords with a thick outer rind that are differentiated internally, in contrast to the thick-walled melanised rhizomorphs of *Armillaria* spp. (21, 22, 24, 25). Rhizomorphs grow from the tip, whereas cords tend to form behind an actively growing front of individual hyphae. When mycelia grow out from a resource they exhibit different branching patterns which vary between species, and depend on many biotic and abiotic factors including size, quality and states of decay of the resource, soil type, micro-climatic environment, antagonistic fungi and other microbes, and grazing invertebrates (12, 20, 26, 27). Some can be considered short-range foragers, with highly dense hyphae and mycelia, yielding mass fractal dimension close to 2 in 2-dimensions, e.g. *H. fasciculare* (27; Figure 2A). They are likely to be
successful in encountering small organic food resources. Others are longer-range foragers with more open systems and a lower mycelial mass fractal dimension, e.g. *P. velutina* and *Resinicium bicolor* (Figure 2B&C). Even longer-range-forages have a mass fractal dimension of close to 1, e.g. rhizomorphs of *Armillaria* spp. (27).

When mycelia of cord-forming species encounter new resources they are able to exert considerable ‘inoculum potential’ for colonisation, being able to draw on nutrient reserves from the mycelial network which gives them considerable advantage over spores. If colonisation of the newly encountered resource is successful there is often reallocation of mycelial biomass, with thickening of cords interconnecting the original and new resource, and regression of non-connecting mycelium (2). Thus a network of cords interconnecting woody resources develops on the forest floor, and can operate a ‘sit-and-wait’ strategy colonising wood when it falls onto the network as well as an ‘active-search’ strategy (12). These networks can be extensive, though dynamic, covering many m² or even hectares; networks of rhizomorphs of *Armillaria* spp. constitute the largest organisms on the planet (20, 28-32). While in temperate and boreal forests such mycelial networks are confined to the forest floor, in tropical forests similar networks are found both on the floor and in the canopy (33), in the latter case catching small leaf litter and wood components before they reach the forest floor.

**Entry and establishment**

Plant tissues that have recently died are usually colonised initially by endophytic fungi already present, and/or by prolifically sporing R-selected species, which happened to arrive first (34, 35); so at early stages the absence of species commonly considered to be later colonisers reflects arrival time rather than an inability to colonise newly available plant tissues. On the forest floor, arrival by mycelium can, however, sometimes happen early on if the resource is located on or close to an active mycelia patch or network of mycelial cords.
Entry and establishment in an un-colonised dead organic resource, be it following arrival as spores or mycelium, will depend largely on the enzymatic ability of the fungus. If the fungus has the enzymatic capacity to use compounds available within the resource it will colonise, establish and remain present until it is (1) ousted by another species, (2) inhibited or killed by adverse abiotic conditions, (3) uses up the food supply, or (4) is triggered to fruit or grow out of the resource in search of others. If the fungus exits the resource by fruiting it may or may not commit all of its mycelial biomass to reproduction, depending on its life strategy (see above). Timing of production of reproductive structures also depends on life strategy, ruderal (R-selected) species committing themselves rapidly and prolifically to reproduction, others (C- or S-selected) tending to reproduce later in life, and not usually committing all of their mycelial biomass to reproduction (Table 1). Fungi that are able to exit by mycelial spread (those with C- and S-selected characteristics), do so at different times following colonisation, with the exact timing varying depending on species, other biotic and abiotic factors, and on the relative size/nutrient status of the resource compared with other resources in the network (2).

During colonisation simple compounds are typically used first followed by more recalcitrant cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Colonisation of the non-lignified tissues is relatively easy for mycelia, but woody tissues are more challenging. In wood most rapid spread is usually along vascular tissues; tangential and radial spread necessitates boring through lignocellulose in the cell walls (35). Plant anatomy results in the characteristic often longitudinally extensive decay columns seen in wood (Figure 3).

Community development

Communities that develop initially depend on the environmental conditions when the resource becomes available for colonisation, ranging between low environmental stress, where fungi with R-selected characteristics dominate initially, to high stress, e.g. due to extreme abiotic variables, where species that dominate have appropriate S-selected characteristics often combined with some R-
selected characteristics (3, 5; Figure 4). High stress conditions include heartwood of trees containing allelopathic compounds, extreme temperature of hot deserts and Arctic, Antarctic and alpine tundra, and the desiccating conditions of tree canopies. Though some of the initial fungal colonisers will have the enzymatic ability to completely break down the resource that they are colonising, most are usually replaced sooner or later by other species, when abiotic conditions worsen (stress aggravation) or improve (stress alleviation), when the habitat is disturbed or when competition/combat (see next section) with other fungi ensues (Figure 1). Changes to the abiotic conditions occur due to changes in microclimate, but are also brought about by fungi altering the physical and chemical environment as they metabolise the resource they are colonising (see next section). Disturbance occurs when resources are suddenly made available (enrichment disturbance) or when part or all of the resident mycobiota is destroyed, e.g. following fire. Competition/combat occurs when the expanding territory of fungi in freshly available resources overlaps, and when new colonisers arrive at a resource via spores or mycelial spread.

So, communities of primary, secondary and late secondary (or tertiary) colonisers develop. Community development is not a simple ordered sequence, but a complex ever-changing mosaic. The general order of colonising species – succession - has been determined in many types of organic substrata, but three-dimensional structure has been mostly studied in decaying wood, because the mosaic of different individual fungi is clear to see at all but the earliest and latest stages of community development (Figure 5A). Since organic substrata are opaque, they have to be destructively sectioned to reveal the individual decay columns. The patterns revealed can be mapped, isolations can be made onto agar media and subsequently identified, or DNA can be directly extracted from wood, and the three-dimensional community determined (Figure 3; Figure 5A). Isolation onto agar has the benefit that isolates of the same species can be paired to determine, in the case of basidiomycetes, whether the isolates are the same genotype/individual, based on somatic incompatibility (11, 36). The same can be done with ascomycetes, but different individuals/genotypes can sometimes belong to the same vegetative compatibility (VC) group (36, 37), so the situation is not so clear cut. Experimental
pairings can also be made between different species to give indications of relative combative ability,
which can aid understanding of community development pathways.

Sectioning is destructive, so patterns of community development cannot be followed in an individual
organic substratum, but rather must be inferred by analysing many units at different stages of decay.
Extracting DNA samples from substrata, e.g. by collecting sawdust from holes drilled into wood, can
reveal species composition (e.g. 38). Such samples could be extracted at different times to reveal a
temporal sequence of colonisation but, of course, sampling may alter abiotic conditions in the
resource and/or allow different fungi to colonise. Presence of fruit bodies provides a vague idea of
fungi present, and has been used to infer colonisation sequence. Sequences of fruit bodies occurring
on dung is an early cautionary tale (see 39). The order in which fruit bodies appear and disappear is
largely related to their simplicity: mucorales, e.g. *Mucor, Pilaria* and *Pilobolus* are usually visible
within a few days, declining after a week; after 5-6 days fruit bodies of discomycetes, e.g. *Ascobolus*
and *Coproboia* spp., are evident; these are joined fruiting after 9 – 10 days by pyrenomycetes and
loculoascomycetes, e.g. *Sordaria* and *Podospora*; finally basidiomycetes, e.g. *Coprinus, Stropharia*
and *Paneolus* fruit. The fungi are, however, often already present in the dung when it is deposited or
colonise very early. Some species have evolved adaptations to passage through the gut, and may even
be able to germinate and grow whilst still within the near-anaerobic conditions of herbivore rumens;
*Sporormiella minima*, for example, begins to grow before sheep dung is deposited, allowing it to
colonise and fruit much more quickly than other species with similarly complex fruit bodies (40).

In wood, the order of fruit body appearance depends, to some extent, on the order within succession,
but also on the ecological strategy, fungi with S- and C-selected characteristics tending to fruit
sporadically and much later in their lifecycles. However, fruit bodies of some species repeatedly and
sometimes almost exclusively follow those of other specific species (41-44). For example, *Antrodiella*
*hoehnelii* almost always fruits following *Inonotus nodulosus* and *I. radiatus*, while *Hericium*
coralloides fruits following *Inonotus obliquus, I. cuticularis* or *Fomes fomentarius* on angiosperm wood in Central Europe and Scandinavia (41, 43). This has led to the idea of predecessor-successor relationships and priority effects.

The order in which species arrive at a resource, i.e. the assembly history, affects the composition and development of the community which follows. When earlier colonising species affect the colonisation success of species that arrive later, whether as spores or mycelium, they are described as exerting priority effects (45, 46). Such effects can be stimulatory or inhibitory. Wood decay fungi again provide good examples: they change the resource they occupy both chemically and physically by utilising different components of the wood cell wall, making nutrients available, altering wood chemistry, pH and water content. This can prevent some species from capturing territory, acting as a sort of constitutive defence (35), or, can select for certain species that prefer the altered environment (44, 47-50). The actual presence of a certain species can make a resource easier to colonise by specific fungi, for example, wood colonized by *Trametes* species may be more attractive to *Lenzites betulina* than is other wood, since the latter is temporarily mycoparasitic (see next section) on the former and can gain easy access via the mycelium of its host (51). Once *L. betulina* has taken over the territory occupied by *Trametes* spp., it operates other antagonistic mechanisms (see next section) to defend and gain territory from other fungi. Similary, *Trametes gibbosa* is temporarily mycoparasitic on *Bjerkandera adusta* (51). Priority effects are common in the development of wood decay communities (e.g. 45, 46, 49, 52-55), although they may be less evident in the later stages of decay (56).

**COMPETITION**

Competition is the negative effect that one organism has on another by using up, or inhibiting access to, a resource of limited availability (57). When one organism inhibits the other and limits access to resources it is termed interference competition, whereas when one organism depletes a resource, consequently reducing its availability, it is termed exploitation competition (57). The sequestration of
nutrients by mycelia growing through soil, hence preventing other fungi from using them, is an example of exploitation competition. However, when saprotrophic basidiomycetes and xylariaceous ascomycetes are growing in and feeding on solid organic resources, e.g. wood and leaf litter, the distinction between exploitation competition and interference competition is not clear, and cannot sensibly be divorced from each other (58). This is because these fungi compete to obtain and defend 3-dimensional territory within the organic resource; within the territory the resources can be used at the fungus’ ‘leisure’. Thus, competition for nutrients is effectively brought about by competition for territory/space.

Fungal competition in organic resources is often divided into: (1) primary resource capture, when a fungus colonises and gains influence over previously unoccupied territory/resource; and (2) secondary resource capture, when a fungus captures territory from fungi that have already colonised a resource (3, 58). Another aspect to secondary resource capture is defence of territory from potential invaders. R-selected characteristics favour primary resource capture, whereas success in secondary resource capture depends on combative/antagonistic mechanisms (predominantly C-selected characteristics). Combative/antagonistic interactions can occur at a distance and following contact, comprising mycoparasitism and larger scale mycelial interactions.

**Antagonism at a distance**

Antagonism between fungi can occur in the absence of mycelial contact, through the production of volatile and diffusible organic compounds (VOCs and DOCs respectively; 59). Fungi produce a wide range of these so-called 'secondary' metabolites, spanning a variety of chemical classes, from short-chain alcohols and ketones to aromatic compounds and terpenes (60-62). Different species tend to produce a characteristic metabolite profile (63, 64), although this profile can be perturbed by growth substrate, pH, culture age, and temperature (65-67).
Whilst DOCs have antagonistic potential in circumstances where they can accumulate or diffuse through substrata (i.e. locally), VOCs function in much more heterogeneous environments and can act over greater distances (68, 69). Mycelia exposed to the DOCs or VOCs of a competitor exhibit altered spore germination, mycelial morphology, foraging behaviour, and enzyme production (47, 67, 68, 70-72). For example, the extension rate of *Trametes versicolor* was reduced when grown on media containing DOCs from *Fomes fomentarius* cultures (Figure 5B&C), and the extension of *Phallus impudicus* cords across soil was reduced as a result of exposure to VOCs from *Hypholoma fasciculare* (Figure 5D&E; 67). The antagonistic potential of VOC and DOC profiles depends on the chemical composition of that profile and the susceptibility of the combatants; effects of VOCs and DOCs may be stimulatory, and function as attractants to competitors, mycoparasites, or invertebrates (47, 72).

**Mycoparasitism**

Mycoparasitic relationships occur when one mycelium gains nutrition directly from another (35). The mycoparasite may cause the death of the host mycelium and utilise nutrients from the dead or dying hyphae (necrotrophy), or it may derive nutrition from living mycelia (biotrophy). There is a spectrum of relationships between these extremes, and some fungi may grow biotrophically on certain hosts but necrotrophically on others (73). Not only do fungi parasitise other mycelia, but they can also parasitise fruiting bodies, spores and sclerotia (73).

**Biotrophic mycoparasitic** relationships are complex, controlled, and specialised associations between mycoparasite and host. Biotrophic mycoparasites have a narrow host range, and the mycoparasite is frequently dependent on the host for survival; for example, *Coniothyrium minitans* is an obligate mycoparasite of certain *Sclerotinia* and *Botrytis* species, and lacks a free-living saprotrophic stage (74). The establishment of biotrophic associations requires high specificity in recognition between the host and the mycoparasite (73). The associations are relatively non-destructive, with the cytoplasm of the host remaining relatively healthy, but abstraction of nutrients
from the host results in reduction in host biomass, often causes distortion of host hyphae, and has adverse effects on host sporulation (73, 75, 76). Three subdivisions of biotrophic mycoparasitism have been described based on physiological characteristics. Firstly, the intracellular biotrophs function by the entire thallus entering and developing within the host cells, and absorbing nutrients directly from the host cytoplasm. Secondly, haustorial biotrophs penetrate host cell walls by the production of appressoria, and the development of specialised absorptive branches (haustoria) which invaginate the host plasma membrane. Host nutrients are absorbed across the plasma membrane into the haustorium. Thirdly, fusion or contact biotrophs produce specialised hyphae which closely adpress to the host hyphae and form channels or micropores in the host cell wall, allowing the biotroph plasmalemma to fuse with that of the host and absorb nutrients directly from the host cytoplasm (37, 76).

Necrotrophic mycoparasites tend to have a broad host range and utilise relatively unspecialised, destructive parasitic mechanisms. For many necrotrophs parasitism is more opportunistic than biotrophy and, as mentioned above, can even be temporary, providing the parasite with a means of access a different food source. As with biotrophic mycoparasites, the necrotrophs can be subdivided based on their physiological relationship with the host. Non-invasive necrotrophs make contact with, or grow very close to (within a few micrometres), host hyphae which they attack by a process known as 'hyphal interference'. The mycoparasite secretes non-enzymic diffusible toxins, which cause impaired membrane function resulting in lysis of organelles, invagination of the plasma membrane, and eventual death of the hyphal compartment (73). Death of the whole mycelium may occur if multiple contacts are made. In contrast, invasive necrotrophs coil around and penetrate host hyphae (73). Contact and recognition of a host often stimulates production of specialised structures on the mycoparasite cell wall, with which it binds to host hyphae (77). The mycoparasite produces antifungal metabolites and lytic enzymes to disrupt host cytoplasm, resulting in vacuolation and lysis of hyphal walls and organelles. For example, vigorous necrotrophs in the genus *Trichoderma* secrete antibiotic peptides called peptaibols, which disrupt cytoplasmic membranes causing hyphal leakage and eventual cell death, and they also secrete cell-wall degrading chitinases and proteases (78).
Larger scale mycelia interactions: antagonistic mechanisms

For saprotrophic fungi, the territory occupied by a mycelium is also its nutrient source, and as such mycelia attempt to maximise their territory by replacing other mycelia and defending themselves from replacement. This is clearly seen in communities of wood decay fungi; the territories occupied by different mycelia in decaying wood are often delineated by pigmented barriers, or ‘pseudosclerotal plates’, which are the interfaces between competitors (Figure 5A; 58). The establishment of physical contact between two competing mycelia, often termed ‘gross mycelial contact’, results in large-scale changes in the growth, gene expression, and metabolite production in both competitors, and the induction of antagonistic mechanisms. The outcomes of antagonistic interactions range from replacement of one competitor by another, to deadlock, where neither species can capture any territory from the other (58). Between these extremes are partial replacement, where one species is able to capture some but not all of the opponent's territory, and mutual replacement, where one species obtains some of the territory formerly occupied by the other and, simultaneously, vice versa.

Outcomes are determined by the relative abilities of the opponents to capture and defend territory, and different species may exhibit different ‘strategies’ during combat, displaying traits that may benefit them in attack and/or defence (79). Some fungi are good at both attack and defence, whereas others are good at one of these but not the other. For example, the secondary coloniser Stereum hirsutum is relatively poor at gaining new territory in decaying beech wood, but can defend the territory it occupies against more combative later secondary colonisers such as H. fasciculare and P. velutina (49). Further, the progress and outcomes of interactions can be altered and even reversed by changing environmental conditions, such as invertebrate grazing, gaseous regime, water availability, and temperature (79-82).

Morphological changes: Antagonistic mechanisms utilised by mycelia to attack or defend against competitors include morphological changes, production of enzymes and toxins, detoxification of
competitor toxins, and alteration of metabolic rate. Changes in mycelial morphology are most
dramatic in areas in direct contact with the competitor - the interaction zone. Hyphae may aggregate
to form defensive barrages to physically block invaders, or to form invasive replacement fronts or
cords to penetrate competitor defences (Figure 5F-H; 58). Different types of hyphal assemblage can
be found in different regions of the same interaction front, indicating that antagonistic mechanisms are
deployed dynamically and in response to local stimuli (68). Changes in morphology during
interactions are reflected in changes in expression of genes involved with cell division, cellular
transport, and cytoskeleton rearrangement compared to non-interacting mycelia (83-85).

Secondary metabolite production: Profiles of VOCs and DOCs alter quantitatively and qualitatively
during interactions, often involving production of interaction-specific compounds not produced by
either competitor during growth alone (66-68, 72, 86-88; Table 2). Interaction-specific VOCs are
often identified as terpenoids, frequently sesquiterpenes (66, 67, 87). Many sesquiterpenes are known
to be bioactive, displaying antifungal activity, or functioning as attractants or repellants to fungi and
invertebrates (61, 91, 92). Some compounds that were produced constitutively may be up-regulated
following contact with a competitor (Table 2), for example the production of a potentially antifungal
quinolinium-type compound by *H. fasciculare* doubled during interactions with *Trametes versicolor*
compared to during growth alone (72).

Accumulation of ROS: Reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulate at interaction zones, although
their exact role is unclear (Figure 5G; 84, 93, 94). ROS may be produced by one or both competitors
to generate a toxic oxidative environment, and increases in potential sources of ROS, such as
increases expression of genes encoding NADPH oxidase, and increases in peroxidase and
phenoloxidase activity, have been detected at interaction zones (84, 94, 95). Increases in expression of
genes encoding catalase and putative DNA repair proteins have also been detected at interaction
zones, which suggests attempts by the mycelium to mitigate ROS toxicity and repair oxidative
damage (83, 84). However, a direct role for ROS toxicity during interactions between wood decayers seems unlikely since these fungi are adapted to tolerate the oxidative stress caused by activity of their own ligninolytic enzymes. Instead, ROS accumulation may be incidental, and occur as a result of disruption of cellular metabolism caused by other antagonistic mechanisms. Alternatively, increases in ROS levels may function as a defence signalling response similar to that in plants, for example, triggering biosynthesis of pigment (94, 96). Increases in another potential signalling compound, nitric oxide (NO), have also been detected during interactions between *Phellinus morii* and *Inonotus obliquus*, triggering the production of antifungal phenylpropanoid metabolites (97).

**Oxidative enzyme activity:** Activities of peroxidases and phenoloxidases (laccases) are also up-regulated at interaction zones (19, 83, 95; Table 3). This may function to increase decomposition and could be associated with increased utilisation of the resource during combat. However, laccases and peroxidases are also secreted in response to stress, and could function during interactions to detoxify competitor VOCs and DOCs (19, 85). Other enzymes involved in detoxification are also up-regulated during interactions, for example increases in expression of genes encoding oxidoreductases, aldo/ketoreductases, and glutathione-S-transferases were detected in *Trametes versicolor* and *Pycnoporus coccineus* during interactions with various competitors (84, 85). Laccases may also function to wall off and protect hyphae during interactions through production of melanin, which insulates hyphae from ROS, toxins, temperature extremes, and hydrolytic enzymes, and may also have direct antibiotic properties (106, 107). Pigmentation is frequently observed at interaction zones (Figure 5H), and whilst there is some indication that this is the result of deposition of DOCs, this may also be the result of melanisation (72).

**Energy expenditure during interactions:** Antagonism is energetically expensive. Production of invasive mycelial cords by one or both competitors is associated with increases in respiration, indicating that this requires up-regulation of metabolic processes (49). Enhancement of nutrient
acquisition through increased production of cellulases and phosphatases occurs at interaction zones and throughout the competing mycelia (85, 103, 104). The concurrent reduction in biomass accumulation during interactions between P. coccineus and Coniophora puteana suggests that this increased nutrient acquisition functions to fund antagonistic mechanisms rather than mycelial growth (85). Metabolism was also found to increase in newly captured territories (i.e. regions where a mycelium had replaced a competitor), and it is likely that the observed increases in activity and gene expression of proteases and chitinases in these regions function to recycle the mycelium of the displaced competitor (85, 89, 105, 108). Similarly, genes whose products are involved in carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism were up-regulated in T. versicolor mycelium during interactions where it replaced competitors, but not during interactions where it was outcompeted (84).

CONCLUSIONS

Fungal community development within decaying resources is ultimately driven by the abiotic conditions the resource is subjected to, and the local pool of potential colonising species. Fungi have evolved different life-history strategies to exploit different niches during community development within decaying resources, although certain species may often have combinations of characteristics from different strategies, or vary in their strategy during different stages of the life cycle or in different contexts. The communities that develop in newly available resources depend on the levels of abiotic stress present; this determines which of the latently present colonisers, and which of those that arrive as spores or via mycelial spread from local species pools, are most likely to establish within the resource. Changing abiotic conditions, modification of the resource by colonisers, and arrival of more combative species drive shifts in community composition, and in some cases the assembly history determines subsequent community development. Acquisition of previously colonised resources by more combative species is achieved through the deployment of antagonistic mechanisms, which can begin before mycelia establish physical contact through production of volatile and diffusible organic compounds. Interacting mycelia undergo a slew of morphological and biochemical changes, which may be aggressive or defensive in function, and the changes that occur differ depending on the...
combination of species involved. Interaction outcomes, and thus community change, are determined by the relative combative abilities of the fungi involved, but these outcomes can be altered or even reversed under different environmental conditions.

Many questions remain to be answered for us to fully understand the processes underlying community development of saprotrophic fungi. Firstly, how far do spores spread, and how do they manage to establish within resources that are already colonised; what exactly is the success rate of a spore? Perhaps communities within decaying resources are determined through all initial stages of decay by propagules that are latently present; emerging sequencing technologies will allow a much more comprehensive profile of latent colonisers to assess the extent of their contribution to community development. Further, how strong are priority effects, and how resilient are these pathways of community development global environmental change? Finally, utilisation of emerging molecular and biochemical approaches will allow better understanding of the mechanisms involved in antagonism or facilitation within decay communities, which drive changes in community composition throughout the decomposition process.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** How R-C-S characteristics relate to r-K strategies

**Figure 2.** Foraging strategies of cord-forming basidiomycetes growing out of pre-colonised beech wood blocks across compacted soil. **A:** *Hypholoma fasciculare*, a short-range forager, produces highly dense hyphae and mycelia. **B:** *Phanerochaete velutina* is a longer-range forager, with a more open cord system. **C:** *Resinicium bicolor* has an even more open system than *P. velutina*, with thicker cords.
Figure 3. Sectioned beech trunk showing decay columns running longitudinally through the wood. Arrows indicate dark zone lines (pseudosclerotial plates) surrounding different decay columns.

Figure 4. Fungal community development pathways in woody resources. Newly available wood (top) becomes progressively colonised, initially through primary resource capture in an open community stage where there is still unoccupied territory, until all territory becomes occupied, resulting in a closed community where further colonisation occurs as secondary resource capture. As the community moves from open to closed, combat becomes the driving force for change. Finally, communities in well-decayed wood are characterised by substrate modification and invasion by soil invertebrates. The ecological characteristics of the dominant organisms are indicated in boxes: R, ruderal; C, combative; S, stress-tolerant. Driving forces are indicated in italic, and direction of community change indicated by arrows. The community may be driven toward the left by stress aggravation, or to the right by stress alleviation, although destructive disturbance will drive the community towards species with R-selected characteristics. (Adapted from 5, 58).

Figure 5. Interspecific interactions fungi growing in natural and artificial media. A: Cross section of a decaying beech branch with dark zone lines (pseudosclerotial plates) surrounding competing mycelia.

B&С: Growth of *Trametes versicolor* when exposed to the DOCs from uncolonised malt broth (control; B), or DOCs from *Fomes fomentarius* (C). D&Е: *Phallus impudicus* cord systems growing across compacted soil when exposed to VOCs from uncolonised soil (control; D), or VOCs from *Hypholoma fasciculare* growing across soil (E). F: Interaction between *H. fasciculare* and *Resinicium bicolor* cord systems across compacted soil. G: Accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) at the interaction zone between *Bjerkandera adusta* (left) and *T. versicolor* (left) on 2% malt agar (MA). ROS are stained purple using nitroblue tetrazolium (methods in 94). H: Three-way interaction between mycelia of *H. fasciculare* (left), *P. velutina* (centre), and *Stereum hirsutum* (right) growing on
2% malt agar (MA). *H. fasciculare* cords are beginning to encroach over the *P. velutina* mycelium, whilst *H. fasciculare* itself is overgrown by *P. velutina* cords. A thick barrage separates the mycelia of *S. hirsutum* and *P. velutina*, with a distinct orange/yellow band of pigment deposited in the agar at the regions of contact between the two mycelia.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic features</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth rate</td>
<td>Rapid spore germination and growth</td>
<td>Sometimes slow</td>
<td>Not particularly slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic ability</td>
<td>Relatively narrow ability</td>
<td>Wide ability</td>
<td>Wide ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrates utilised</td>
<td>Easily assimilable</td>
<td>More recalcitrant</td>
<td>More recalcitrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of reproduction</td>
<td>Early in life cycle</td>
<td>Later in life cycle, sometimes sporadic</td>
<td>Later in life cycle, sometimes sporadic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment of biomass to reproduction</td>
<td>Rapid and substantial</td>
<td>Relatively low</td>
<td>Relatively low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence within the resource</td>
<td>Low; easily replaced</td>
<td>Persistent while specific stress remains</td>
<td>Persistence depends on ability to capture and defend territory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical class</td>
<td>Compound name</td>
<td>VOC/DOC</td>
<td>Change in production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzenoid</td>
<td>1,2-dihydroxyanthraquinone</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-Methyl,1,3-cyclohexadiene</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Interaction specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxylic acid</td>
<td>Fusaric acid</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malic acid</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesquiterpene</td>
<td>α-bulnesene</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Increases &amp; decreases (depending on species involved)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selinene (α &amp; β)</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Interaction specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoterpene</td>
<td>Pinene</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Interaction specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ-terpinene</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Interaction specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar alcohol</td>
<td>Erythritol &amp; Meso-erythritol</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketone</td>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-octanone</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Increases &amp; decreases (depending on species involved)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bicyclo-oct-6-en-3-one</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Interaction specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkane</td>
<td>Alkanes (C7-C54)</td>
<td>VOC/DOC</td>
<td>Interaction specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>2-methyl-1-butanol</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Interaction specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldehyde</td>
<td>2,3,4-Trihydroxybutanal</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Extracellular enzyme production during antagonistic interactions. Select examples of enzymes with changes in activity detected during interspecific fungal interactions relative to growth in solo cultures. Interactions were performed in a variety of substrates, including cellulose low nutrient (CLN) broth, malt agar (MA), potato dextrose agar (PDA), wheat straw, wheat bran-neem hull-sugarcane bagasse (WNS), low nitrogen (LN) broth, spruce veneer, or across soil. The interactions reported in represent a few examples, and only a fraction of the studies that have been performed are included in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Proposed role in interactions</th>
<th>Change in activity</th>
<th>Interaction (species) reported in &amp; substrate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laccase (phenoloxidase)</td>
<td>Degradation of lignin</td>
<td>Detoxification of competitor metabolites; pigment production; ROS generation</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. Trametes versicolor vs. Stereum gausapatum on MA; Humicola grisea vs. Trichoderma harzianum in CLN broth; Pleurotus sp. vs. Dichomitus squalens on wheat straw</td>
<td>19, 95, 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxidase Manganese peroxidase</td>
<td>Degradation of lignin</td>
<td>Detoxification of competitor metabolites; pigment production; ROS generation; enhanced nutrient uptake</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. Trametes maxima vs. Paecilomyces carneus on PDA; Pleurotus ostreatus vs. Phanerochaete chrysosporium in NWS; T. versicolor vs. S. gausapatum on MA</td>
<td>19, 99, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin peroxidase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. Pleurotus ostreatus vs. Phanerochaete chrysosporium in NWS</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General peroxidase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. Phlebia radiata vs. Phlebia rufa on MA; Serpula lacrymans vs. Coniophora puteana on MA</td>
<td>101, 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulase β-glucosidase</td>
<td>Cellulose degradation</td>
<td>Enhanced nutrient uptake</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. T. versicolor vs. Bjerkandera adusta on MA</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-glucosidase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. H. fasciculare vs. P. velutina on soil</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celllobiohydrolase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. H. fasciculare vs. P. velutina on soil</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellobiase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. T. versicolor vs. T. harzianum in LN broth</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-acetyl glucosaminidase (chitinase)</td>
<td>Chitin degradation</td>
<td>Attack of competitor cell walls, degradation after secondary colonisation</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. T. versicolor vs. Hypholoma fasciculare on MA; Fomitopsis pinicola vs. Resinicium bicolor on spruce veneer</td>
<td>19, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid phosphatase</td>
<td>Phosphate release</td>
<td>Enhanced nutrient uptake</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>e.g. T. versicolor vs. Daldinia concentrica on MA; H. fasciculare vs. Phanerochaete velutina on soil</td>
<td>19, 103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>