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#### Abstract

Troger's $€$ base (TB) is often used as a building block for the synthesis of Polymers of Intrinsic Micropo-rosity (PIMs) due to its rigid bicyclic V-shaped structure. In this study the TB component in the structure of a PIM is replaced by 2,3:6,7dibenzobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane, a purely carbocyclic analogue of TB. This modification results in only a slightly reduced amount of microporosity as determined using nitrogen adsorption. Further comparisons with previously reported PIMs indicate that this building unit (and therefore TB) is significantly less effective for the generation of intrinsic microporosity than spirobisindane, a commonly used structural unit for PIM synthesis. It appears that the V-shape of the 2,3:6,7dibenzobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane and TB units allows closer contact between polymer chains thereby enhancing packing efficiency


## 1. Introduction

Over recent years there has been increasing interest in the preparation of new microporous materials using organic compo-nents [1]. For example, there are a number of different types of porous organic polymers including structurally ordered Covalent-Organic-Frameworks (COFs) [2] and amorphous network poly-mers such as Hypercrosslinked Polymers (HCPs) [3], Microporous Conjugated Polymers (MCPs) [4] and Porous Aromatic Frameworks (PAFs) [5]. Polymers of Intrinsic Microporosity (PIMs) differ in that they do not possess a network structure and, hence, are often so-lution processable materials [6]. PIMs generate porosity from their rigid and contorted macromolecular chains that do not pack effi-ciently in the solid state [7,8]. The solubility of PIMs allows them to be processed into self-standing films and coatings and, therefore, they are suitable for making devices such as sensors $[9,10]$ or for the fabrication of polymer membranes, particularly for gas separations [11]. It is well established that increasing the rigidity of polymers used for gas separation membranes enhances their selectivity for
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one gas over another [12]. This prompted the recent development of PIMs derived from highly rigid bridged bicyclic structural units such as triptycene [13e16], ethanoanthracene [17] and the amine-based bicyclic system 6 H , $12 \mathrm{H}-5,11$-methanodibenzo[b,f ][1,5] diazocine [17], which is more commonly known as Troger's€ base (TB). The V-shaped TB unit is used in numerous applications including making components for supramolecular chemistry [18]. TB has been introduced into PIMs both by using polymerisation reactions that incorporate monomers that are TB derivatives, such as diamines suitable for PIM-polyimide synthesis [19e26], or by using TB formation as the polymerisation reaction [12,14,16,27e29]. The resulting TB-based PIMs have demonstrated excellent potential as gas separation membranes with high permeability and good selectivity for one gas over another, for which the latter can be partially attributed to the rigidity of TB.

Therefore, it is of interest to determine the structural contri-bution to the generation of intrinsic microporosity by the TB unit. With this objective, we report the synthesis of monomers and PIMs based on a purely hydrocarbon analogue of the TB unit e i.e. 2,3:6,7-dibenzobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane [30e32]. Comparisons are made between these polymers, structurally related TB PIMs [21], and the more typical spirobisindane PIMs that have been described previously [33].

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials and methods

Commercially available reagents were used without further purification. Anhydrous dichloromethane was obtained by distil-lation over calcium hydride under a nitrogen atmosphere. Anhy-drous N,N-dimethylformamide was bought from Aldrich. All reactions using air/moisture sensitive reagents were performed in oven-dried or flame-dried apparatus, under a nitrogen atmosphere. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60A (35e70 mm ) chromatography grade (Fisher Scientific). Melting points were recorded using a Gallenkamp Melting Point Apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded in the range $4000 \mathrm{e} 600 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$ using a Perkin-Elmer 1600 series FTIR instrument either as a thin film or as a nujol mull between sodium chloride plates. The positions of absorption bands are quoted in $\mathrm{cm}{ }^{1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded in the solvent stated using an Avance Bruker DPX 400 instrument ( 400 MHz ), with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra recorded at 100 MHz . Chemical shifts ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and d C ) were recorded in parts per million ( ppm ) from tetramethylsilane (or chloroform) and are corrected to 0.00 (TMS) and $7.26\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and $77.00\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, centre line, for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. The abbreviations $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{m}$ and br. denote singlet, doublet, triplet, quartet, multiplet and broad-ened resonances; all coupling constants were recorded in Hertz (Hz). Low-resolution mass spectrometric data were determined using a Fisons VG Platform II quadrupole instrument using electron impact ionization (EI) unless otherwise stated. High-resolution mass spectrometric data were obtained in electron impact ioniza-tion (EI) mode unless otherwise reported, on a Waters Q-TOF micromass spectrometer.

Low-temperature ( 77 K ) nitrogen adsorption/desorption mea-surements of PIM powders were made using a Coulter SA3100. Samples were degassed for 800 min at 120 C under high vacuum prior to analysis. Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) was per-formed using the device Thermal Analysis SDT Q600 at a heating rate of $10 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ from room temperature to 1000 C . Single crystal XRD data were collected at Cardiff University using a Bruker-Nonius Kappa CCD area-detector diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryostream low temperature cooling device operating at 150(2) K, Mo $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{a})$ radiation (1 $1 / 40.71073 \AA$ ).

### 2.2. Synthetic procedures

The key diketone intermediate 2,3,8,9-tetramethoxy-6,12-methanodibenzo[a,e]cyclooctene- $5,11(6 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{H})$-dione 1 was pre-pared using a literature procedure [32] (see Supplemental Data). Crystallographic data for 1 is deposited in the Cambridge Structural Database (CCDC 1529466).

### 2.2.1. General procedure for demethylation using $\operatorname{BBr} 3$

The tetramethoxy compound (i.e. one of $1,5,6,8$ ) was dissolved in DCM $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ under a nitrogen atmosphere at 0 C . Boron tri-bromide ( 5 molar equivalents) was added slowly and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The reaction was quenched with water ( 5 ml ) and the solid was collected by suction filtration and dried under vacuum for 12 h at 30 C to give the desired biscatechol product.

### 2.2.2. 2,3,8,9-Tetrahydroxy-6,12-methanodibenzo[a,e]cyclooctene-

## 5,11(6H,12H)-dione [2]

Using the general procedure diketone 1 [32] gave $2(0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ as a grey solid, m.p. $>300 \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \mathrm{d} 7.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}\right), 6.76$ (s, 2H), $3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ; MeOD) d 197.1, $153.8,147.6,136.8,122.5,115.8,115.2,57.1,35.3$.

HRMS Calc for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6} 312.0634$, found 312.0631. IR (DCM): 3200, 1653, 1596, 1517, 1348, $1299 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$.

### 2.2.3. 5,6,11,12-Tetrahydro-2,3,8,9-tetrahydroxy-5,11-

methanodibenzo[a,e]cyclooctene [3]
Using the general procedure diketone 5 gave $3(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)$ as a white solid, m.p. > $300 \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) d $6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \mathrm{d}$ $144.1,143.9,133.4,126.6,116.0,115.9,39.8,33.3,26.0$. HRMS Calc for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O} 4284.1049$, found 284.1047. IR (DCM): 3386, 1630, 1520, 1266 $\mathrm{cm}^{1}$.
2.2.4. Tetracyclo[7.7.1.0 $0^{2,7} .0^{10,15}$ ]heptadecane- $16^{0}, 9^{00}$-fluorene]$2^{0}\left(7^{0}\right), 3^{0}, 5^{0}, 10^{0}, 12^{0}, 14^{\prime}$-hexaene- $4^{0}, 5^{0}, 12^{0}, 13^{\prime}$-tetrol [4]

Using the general procedure diketone 6 gave $4(0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ as a light brown solid, M.p. $>300 \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ d $8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 100 MHz ; (CD3) 2SO) d 156.7, 152.2, 144.2, 142.6, 140.3, 138.6, $130.8,128.0,127.9,127.2,127.1,127.0,125.9,124.0,120.0,119.3,119.1,114.3$, 60.0, 42.6, 25.8. HRMS Calc for ${\mathrm{C} 41 \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O} 4 \text { 584.1988, found 584.1989. IR }}_{2}$ (DCM): 3523, 3046, 2914, 1602, 1516, 1443, $1267 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$.

### 2.2.5. 2,3,8,9-Tetrahydroxy-6H,12H-5,11-methanodibenzo[1,5]-

 diazocine [7]Using the general procedure diketone 8 gave 7 ( $2.57 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). Mp > 300 $\mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz; MeOD) d 6.53 (s, 2H), 6.32 (s, 2H), 4.45 (d, 2H, J ¼ $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz; MeOD) d $145.9,145.2,144.0,139.9,119.4,113.6,112.2,111.4,67.8,58.9,49.0$; HRMS Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 287.1032, found 287.1026.

### 2.2.6. 5,6,11,12-Tetrahydro-2,3,8,9-tetramethoxy-5,11methanodibenzo[a,e]cyclooctene [5] [32]

Potassium hydroxide ( $3.04 \mathrm{~g}, 54.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethylene glycol $(75 \mathrm{ml})$ were heated to 80 C and to this mixture hydrazine mon-ohydrate ( $2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 54.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and diketone $1(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the mixture was refluxed at 200 C for 16 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature, quenched with water ( 200 ml ) and acidified slowly with aqueous hydrochloric acid until neutral. The solid was collected by suction filtration and purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 7:3) and recrystallized
from MeOH to give the desired product $(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%)$ as a white solid, m.p. $206 \mathrm{e} 208 \mathrm{C}(209 \mathrm{e} 210 \mathrm{C})$ [32]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) d $6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{d} 147.7,147.4,133.0,126.5,112.0$, 111.9, 56.0, 55.6, 38.9, 32.7, 29.2. HRMS Calc For C21H24O4 340.1675, found 340.1664. IR (DCM): 2907, 1609, 1514, 1464, 1355, 1258, 1216, 1125, $1033 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$. Crystals were pre-pared by a slow diffusion of hexane into THF solution of monomer. Crystal size: 0.40 .150 .02 mm , orthorombic, space group P 21
21 21, a $1 / 4.6363(4)$, b $1 / 4$ 8.2848(6), c $1 / 427.736(2) \AA$, a $1 / 4 \mathrm{~b}_{1 / 4} \mathrm{~g}_{1 / 4} 90 \mathrm{~V}_{1 / 4} 1754.7(2) \AA^{3}, \mathrm{Z}^{1 / 4} 4: \mathrm{m}_{1 / 4} \quad 0.088 \mathrm{~mm}^{\mathrm{I}}, 1828$ reflections measured, 1828 unique reflections (Rint $1 / 40.0000$ ), 1596 reflections with $\mathrm{I}>2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{R} 1 / 40.057$ and $\mathrm{UR} 21 / 40.1179$ (observed data), $\mathrm{R} 1 / 4$ 0.0706 and UR2 $1 / 40.1127$ (all data). Crystallographic data was deposited in the Cambridge Structural Database (CCDC 1529467).
2.2.7. $4^{0}, 5^{0}, 120,13^{\prime}$-tetramethoxydispiro[ fluorene-9, 8'-tetracyclo [7.7.1. $0^{2,7} .0^{10,15}$ ]heptadecane- $16^{0}, 9^{00}$-fluorene]- $2^{0}\left(7^{0}\right), 3^{0}, 5^{0}, 10^{0}, 12^{0}, 14^{\prime}$ hexaene [6]

In a two-necked round bottom flask was added diketone $1(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4$ mmol ) and dry THF ( 60 ml ). 2-Biphenyl magnesium bromide $(5.54 \mathrm{~g}, 21.6$ mmol ) in dry THF ( 60 ml ) was added slowly at

0 C under vigorous stirring and the mixture was refluxed for 48 h . The reaction was quenched with water $(150 \mathrm{ml})$ and the THF was evaporated under reduced pressure. The precipitate was filtered under suction and dried. The crude product was stirred in Eaton's reagent ( 50 ml ) for 16 h , quenched carefully with water ( 150 ml ) and extracted with DCM ( 2150 ml ). The organic layers were evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product. Pu-rification by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) yielded 6 $(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%)$ as a white solid. M.p. $175 \mathrm{e} 180 \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) d $6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}$, J $1 / 43.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 1 / 43.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) d 156.1, 152.1, 148.1, 145.8, 140.8, 139.0, 132.4, 129.4, 128.3, 127.2, 127.1, $127.0,126.2,124.3,120.0,119.4,114.9,110.5,61.1,55.6,55.0,43.9,25.5$. HRMS Calc for $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 640.2614, found 640.2636. IR (DCM): 3059, 2936, 1507, 1443, 1361, 1256, $1212 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$. Crystals were prepared by a slow diffusion of hexane into Toluene solution of monomer. Crystal size: 0.40 .15 0.02 mm , mono-clinic, space group P 21, a $1 / 414.221$ [5], b $1 / 410.214$ [5], c $1 / 4$ 15.384 [5] A, b $1 / 4115.536$ [5] V $1 / 42016.3$ [14] $\AA^{3}, Z^{1 / 4} 2: \mathrm{m}^{1 / 4} 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{1}$, 4640 reflections measured, 4640 unique reflections (Rint $1 / 40.0000$ ), 3809 reflections with $\mathrm{I}>2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{R} 1 / 40.070$ and $\mathrm{UR} 21 / 40.1834$ (observed data), $\mathrm{R} 1 / 4$ 0.0895 and UR2 $1 / 40.1666$ (all data). Crystal-lographic data was deposited in the Cambridge Structural Database (CCDC 1529468).

### 2.2.8. 2,3,8,9-Tetramethoxy-6H,12H-5,11-methanodibenzo[1,5]diazocine [8] [34]

3,4-Dimethoxyaniline $(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 19.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ and para-formaldehyde ( 1.17 $\mathrm{g}, 39.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added in portions and under vigorous stirring to trifluoroacetic acid $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ at 0 C . The mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature and left under stirring for 16 h . The mixture was quenched with water and a $30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution was added until $\mathrm{pH} \sim 9$. The resulting precipitate was collected under suction and repeatedly washed with water and MeOH . The solid was dried under vacuum to obtain 8 as light purple powder ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). Mp $198 \mathrm{e} 200 \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) d $6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J} 1 / 416.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz; CDCl3) d $148.3,146.1,140.4,118.8,108.8,107.9,67.1,57.9,55.9$; HRMS Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} 343.1658$, found 343.1669 .

### 2.3. General procedure for polymer synthesis

In a two-necked round bottom flask was added, under inert atmosphere, equimolar amount of the bis-catechol monomer (2, 3, 4 or 5 ) and $2,3,5,6$ tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile, were added to anhydrous dimethylformamide (25 ml per g of catechol). The mixture was heated to 65 C , until the two starting materials were completely dissolved, then dry potassium carbonate ( 8 equivalents) was added and the mixture kept to stirring for 96 h . The solution was quenched with water ( 80 ml per g of catechol), filtrated and washed repeatedly with water and acetone. For the insoluble polymers P1, P2 and P4, the crude polymer was washed under reflux using solvent in the following sequence: THF, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, acetone and methanol. The product was then refluxed overnight in meth-anol, filtered off and dried under vacuum. For soluble polymer P 3 , the solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 15 ml per g of solid), the solution filtered through cotton wool and poured into a mixture of acetone/ methanol $(2 / 1,40 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{g})$. The product was dried and refluxed with methanol overnight, collected and dried under vacuum.

### 2.3.1. Polymer P1

Monomer 2 ( $0.503 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile $(0.322 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry
potassium carbonate $(1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 12.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted according to the general procedure to give a yellow solid ( $521 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ based on the molecular weight of the repeated unit). Apparent BET sur-face area $1 / 446 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ $\mathrm{g}^{1}$; total pore volume $1 / 40.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{1}$ estimated from nitrogen adsorption at P/P0 $1 / 40.98$; TGA analysis (nitrogen): $4.55 \%$ loss of weight occurred at $\sim$ 100 C . Initial weight loss due to thermal degradation commences at $\sim 416 \mathrm{C}$. IR (DCM): 3200, 2250 (CN), 1653, 1596, 1517, 1348, 1299.

### 2.3.2. Polymer P2

Monomer 3 ( $0.304 \mathrm{~g}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile $(0.214 \mathrm{~g}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry potas-sium carbonate $(1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 12.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted according to the general procedure to give a yellow solid (367 $\mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ based on the molecular weight of the repeated unit). Apparent BET surface area $1 / 4437 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{1}$; total pore volume $1 / 40.36 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{1}$ estimated from nitrogen adsorption at $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{0} 1 / 40.98$; TGA analysis (nitrogen): $5.51 \%$ loss of weight occurred at $\sim 100 \mathrm{C}$. Initial weight loss due to thermal degradation commences at $\sim 505$ C. IR (Nujol): 2924, 2238 (CN), $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$. SS ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.5 MHz): d ¼ 138.3, 133.9, 94.0, 67.8, 39.1, 32.3, 25.7.

### 2.3.3. Polymer P3

Monomer $4(0.338 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile $(0.116 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry potas-sium carbonate $(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 4.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted according to the general procedure to give a yellow solid $(0.224 \mathrm{~g}$, $55 \%$ based on the molecular weight of the repeat unit). Apparent BET surface area $1 / 4684 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{1}$; total pore volume $1 / 40.63 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{1}$ estimated from nitrogen adsorption at $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{0} 1 / 40.98$; TGA analysis (nitrogen): $0.80 \%$ loss of weight occurred at $\sim 100 \mathrm{C}$. Initial weight loss due to thermal degradation commences at $\sim 477 \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): d $1 / 46.6 \mathrm{e} 7.2$ (br m, 20H), 2.5e3.3 (br m, 6H). Analysis by GPC (THF): $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{n}} 1 / 43700 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}} 1 / 4$ $10,300 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{1}$ relative to polystyrene. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 3062,2923,2852,2240$ (CN), 1501, 1450, 1324, $1265 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$.

### 2.3.4. Polymer P4

Monomer $7(1.000 \mathrm{~g}, 3.49 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile ( $698 \mathrm{mg}, 3.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and dry potas-sium carbonate ( $3.85 \mathrm{~g}, 27.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were reacted according to the general procedure to give a yellow solid (1.13 $\mathrm{g}, 80 \%$ based on the molecular weight of the repeated unit). Apparent BET surface area $1 / 4570 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{1}$; total pore volume $1 / 40.35 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{1}$ estimated from nitrogen adsorption at $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{0} \quad 1 / 40.98$; TGA analysis (nitrogen): $5 \%$ loss of weight occurred at $\sim 380$ C. Initial weight loss due to thermal degradation commences at $\sim 440 \mathrm{C}$.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Monomer synthesis

Following the work of Ogura et al. [32], the key intermediate diketone 1 was conveniently prepared from commercially available (3,4dimethoxyphenyl)acetonitrile in three steps in a good overall yield of $40 \%$ (Scheme 1; Supplementary Data).

Biscatechol monomers 2e4, which are suitable for PIM syn-thesis via polymerisation using dibenzodioxine formation, were prepared from diketone 1 as outlined in Scheme 2. Simple removal of the methyl groups from 1 using boron tribromide gave the diketone monomer 2. Wolff-Kishner reduction was successfully performed on 1 to give 5 followed by methyl group removal $\left(\mathrm{BBr}_{3}\right)$ to give biscatechol monomer 3, which contains the simplest carbocyclic analogue to the TB unit. Fused fluorene substituents were introduced by the reaction of 1 with the Grignard reagent derived from 2bromobiphenyl to give 6 , which was demethylated


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions. i. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I} 2, \mathrm{NaOMe}$, THF, reflux 2 h ; ii. $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 88 \%$. iii. PPA, 80 C .


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions. i. BBr3, DCM, 4 h; ii. Hydrazine, KOH , ethylene glycol, $200 \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; iii. Grignard reagent from 2-bromobiphenyl, THF, reflux, 24 h ; iv. Eaton's reagent 12 h $30 \%$.
to give monomer 4.
To allow the direct comparison between a TB PIM and a PIM derived from a carbocyclic TB analogue, the biscatechol-containing TB monomer 7 was prepared using a previously reported procedure (Scheme 3) via tetramethoxy-substituted TB derivative 8 [19,21,34].

The structure of the 2,3:6,7-dibenzobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane units within the novel monomers was confirmed by growing crystals suitable for x-ray diffraction analysis from intermediates 5 and 6 and this was compared with the structure reported by Bu et al. [34] of the related tetramethoxy derivative of Troger's $€$ base 8 (Fig. 1).

There is a clear similarity between the TB unit (Fig. 1a) and the TB analogous structures (Fig. 1b and c). For example, for 5 and 8 the angle between the two planes formed by the dimethoxy benzene moieties was found to be 88 for both structures. For monomer 6, instead, the same angle was found of 114 presumably due to the bulky fluorene substituents.

### 3.2. Polymer synthesis

Monomers $2 e 4$ and 7 where polymerised using the typical conditions optimised previously for PIM preparation using diben-zodioxin formation to give polymers P1eP4, respectively (Scheme 4) [6,35]. With the exception of P3, all of the polymers proved to be insoluble in common organic solvents preventing their structural characterisation via solution-based techniques such as Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC). In contrast, P3 is fully soluble in THF and chloroform, presumably due to the bulky fused fluorene groups enhancing solubility. Hence P3 was readily characterised by ${ }^{1}$ H NMR and GPC (THF), which revealed a value for $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}$ of $10,300 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{1}$ relative to polystyrene standards (Table 1). This modest molecular mass was insufficient for the formation of robust self-standing films.


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions. i. Dimethoxymethane, TFA, RT; ii. BBr3, DCM.


Fig. 1. Solid state crystal structures of (a) tetramethoxy Troger's€ base 8, (b), 5 (b) and (c) 6.


Fig. 2. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms for polymers P 1 eP 4 obtained at 77 K .

### 3.3. Analysis of microporosity

Nitrogen adsorption isotherms obtained at 77 K from powdered samples of the polymers (Fig. 2; Supplementary Data) allowed apparent BET surface area and pore volumes to be estimated (Table 1). Isotherms from polymers P2, P3 and P4 all show signif-icant nitrogen adsorption at low relative pressure $\left(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}}<0.01\right)$, which indicates the presence of intrinsic microporosity. In contrast, polymer P1 proved non-porous, which may be attributed to the large cohesive interactions between polymer chains due to the polar ketone groups. Polymer P3 displays relatively high surface area, which suggests that the rigid, spiro-fused fluorine units in-crease free volume by maintaining a larger distance between polymer chains. The larger hysteresis between the nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms (Fig. 2: Supplemental data)
for Polymer P3 relative to P 2 or P 4 suggests swelling during anal-ysis that indicates weaker cohesion between chains, which is consistent with the solubility of Polymer P3 in organic solvents. A direct comparison of the nitrogen adsorption isotherms of the structurally related polymers P2 and P4, shows that 2,3:6,7-dibenzobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane of P2 appears to be only slightly less efficient at generating intrinsic microporosity than the TB unit of P4. In addition, previous work demonstrated that the equivalent spirobisindane-based PIMs to polymers P1 and P3, containing ke-tone and fused fluorene substituents, had apparent BET surface areas of 501 and 895 $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{1}$, respectively [33]. Therefore, it can be deduced that 2,3:6,7dibenzobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane unit is signifi-cantly less efficient at inducing intrinsic microporosity than the spirobisindane unit, which is an often used component in the synthesis of PIMs.

## 4. Conclusions

Replacing the TB component in the structure of a PIM with 2,3:6,7dibenzobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane, a purely carbocyclic analogue of TB, results in only a slightly reduced microporosity as deter-mined using nitrogen adsorption. Indeed direct comparison with previously reported PIMs indicates that thus building unit (and therefore TB) is significantly less effective for the generation of intrinsic microporosity than spirobisindane, a common structural unit used in PIM synthesis. It appears likely that the shape of the 2,3:6,7-dibenzobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane unit allows closer contact between polymer chains thereby enhancing packing efficiency. Greater cohesive interaction between chains is apparent from the lack of solubility of the resulting polymers, although placing bulky fused fluorene substituents onto the polymer improves solubility and microporosity, presumably by increasing the distance between chains in the solid state.





Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions. i. 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $65 \mathrm{C}, 3$ days.

Table 1
Physical properties of polymers P1eP4.

| Polymer | Monomer | Solubility | BET surface area $\left(m^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{1}\right)$ | Pore Volume ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ $\left(\mathrm{ml} \mathrm{~g}^{1}\right)$ | Mw | PDI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | 2 | No | 45 | 0.15 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| P2 | 3 | No | 440 | 0.36 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| P3 | 4 | Yes ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) | 685 | 0.63 | 10,300 | 2.78 |
| P4 | 7 | No | $570{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.35 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |

[^0]
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