

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/105644/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Bura-Nakic, Elvira Bura-Nakic, Andersen, Morten, Archer, Corey, de Souza, Gregory F., Marguš, Marija and Vance, Derek 2018. Coupled Mo-U abundances and isotopes in a small marine euxinic basin: constraints on processes in euxinic basins. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 222, pp. 212-229. 10.1016/j.gca.2017.10.023

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.10.023

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1	Coupled Mo-U abundances and isotopes in a small marine
2	euxinic basin: constraints on processes in euxinic basins
3	
4	Elvira Bura-Nakic ^{1,2,} , Morten, B. Andersen ^{1,3*} , Corey Archer ¹ , Gregory F. de
5	Souza ¹ , Marija Marguš ² , Derek Vance ¹
6	
7	¹ Institute of Geochemistry and Petrology, Department of Earth Sciences, ETH Zürich,
8	Clausiusstrasse 25, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland.
9	² Division for Marine and Environmental Research, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Bijenička 54,
10	HR-10002, Zagreb, Croatia.
11	³ School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.
12	
13	* Corresponding author (andersenm1@cardiff.ac.uk)
14	
15	~9500 words in main text
16	8 figures
17	1 table

18 5 supplementary tables and one supplementary text

19 Abstract

20

21 Sedimentary molybdenum (Mo) and uranium (U) abundances, as well as their isotope systematics, are used to reconstruct the evolution of the oxygenation state of the surface Earth 22 23 from the geological record. Their utility in this endeavour must be underpinned by a thorough 24 understanding of their behaviour in modern settings. In this study, Mo-U concentrations and 25 their isotope compositions were measured in the water column, sinking particles, sediments 26 and pore waters of the marine euxinic Lake Rogoznica (Adriatic Sea, Croatia) over a two year 27 period, with the aim of shedding light on the specific processes that control Mo-U accumulation and isotope fractionations in anoxic sediment. 28

Lake Rogoznica is a 15 m deep stratified sea-lake that is anoxic and euxinic at depth. The 29 30 deep euxinic part of the lake generally shows Mo depletions consistent with near-quantitative 31 Mo removal and uptake into sediments, with Mo isotope compositions close to the oceanic 32 composition. The data also, however, show evidence for periodic additions of isotopically 33 light Mo to the lake waters, possibly released from authigenic precipitates formed in the upper 34 oxic layer and subsequently processed through the euxinic layer. The data also show evidence for a small isotopic offset ($\sim 0.3\%$ on 98 Mo/ 95 Mo) between particulate and dissolved Mo, even 35 36 at highest sulfide concentrations, suggesting minor Mo isotope fractionation during uptake 37 into euxinic sediments. Uranium concentrations decrease towards the bottom of the lake, 38 where it also becomes isotopically lighter. The U systematics in the lake show clear evidence 39 for a dominant U removal mechanism via diffusion into, and precipitation in, euxinic 40 sediments, though the diffusion profile is mixed away under conditions of increased density 41 stratification between an upper oxic and lower anoxic layer. The U diffusion-driven precipitation is best described with an effective ${}^{238}U/{}^{235}U$ fractionation of +0.6‰, in line with 42 43 other studied euxinic basins.

Combining the Mo and U systematics in Lake Rogoznica and other euxinic basins, it is apparent that the two different uptake mechanisms of U and Mo can lead to spatially and temporally variable Mo/U and Mo-U isotope systematics that depend on the rate of water renewal versus removal to sediment, the sulfide concentration, and the geometry of the basin. This study further emphasises the potential of combining multiple observations, from Mo-U enrichment and isotope systematics, for disentangling the various processes via which redox conditions control the chemistry of modern and ancient sediments.

51

52 **1. Introduction**

53 The sedimentary abundances and isotopic compositions of redox sensitive trace metals play a 54 prominent role in attempts to reconstruct the history of surface Earth oxygenation. Of all the 55 redox sensitive metals that have been used, molybdenum (Mo) and uranium (U) have perhaps 56 been the most prominent. Both are soluble under oxidizing conditions and exhibit 57 conservative behaviour in the open ocean, with residence times that are significantly longer 58 than ocean mixing times (800 and 250-500 kyr respectively, Emerson and Huested, 1991). In 59 some anoxic or euxinic (i.e. anoxic and sulfidic) settings, on the other hand, both Mo and U 60 show non-conservative behaviour in the water column and are variably removed to sediment, 61 though probably via different extraction mechanisms (Ku et al., 1977; Collier, 1985; 62 McLennan, 2001; Algeo and Tribovillard, 2009; Nakagawa et al., 2012; Tribovillard et al., 63 2012). Organic-rich, reducing sediments are the most important modern oceanic Mo and U 64 sinks (Emerson and Huested, 1991; Morford and Emerson, 1999; McManus et al., 2006; Scott 65 et al., 2008). Coupled analysis of Mo and U authigenic enrichment in reducing organic-rich sediments has been used to investigate the degree of anoxia prevailing in the past water 66 67 column (e.g., Scott et al., 2008; Algeo and Tribovillard, 2009; Tribovillard et al., 2012).

68 The Mo and parent U isotopic composition of ancient black shales are also thought to be 69 related to the redox state of the global ocean, as Mo and U isotopes are fractionated differently 70 in oxic and anoxic ocean sinks (e.g. Barling et al., 2001; Siebert et al., 2003; Arnold et al., 71 2004; Stirling et al., 2007; Weyer et al., 2008; Gordon et al., 2009; Montoya-Pino et al., 2010; 72 Scheiderich et al., 2010; Voegelin et al., 2010; Brennecka et al., 2011a; Herrmann et al., 2012; 73 Azrieli-Tal et al., 2014; Dahl et al., 2014; Westermann et al., 2014). The conservative 74 behaviour of Mo in oxygenated waters arises from the fact that the main Mo species, molybdate ($Mo^{VI}O_4^{2-}$), has a low particle affinity, leading to the relatively long residence time 75 76 of Mo in the oceans (Emerson and Huested, 1991). In such oxidizing environments, slow

77 adsorption of dissolved Mo to Mn oxide particles preferentially accumulates light Mo isotopes in the particulate phase (by $\sim 3\%$ for ${}^{98}Mo/{}^{95}Mo$; Barling and Anbar, 2004), leaving the 78 dissolved Mo pool enriched in heavy Mo isotopes (Barling and Anbar, 2004). In contrast, 79 under euxinic conditions, with significant dissolved sulfide, oxygen atoms in molybdate can 80 81 be replaced with sulfur atoms (Erickson and Helz, 2000; Vorlicek and Helz, 2002). The product thiomolybdate (Mo^{VI}O_nS₄²⁻) species are particle reactive, readily scavenged (e.g. by 82 83 particulate Fe and organic matter), and thus removed from the water column into the 84 underlying sediments (Helz et al., 1996; Vorlicek and Helz, 2002; Bostick et al., 2003). At sulfide concentrations greater than $\sim 11 \mu mol l^{-1}$ the conversion of molybdate to 85 tetrathiomolybdate (Mo^{VI}S₄²⁻) is nearly complete (Erickson and Helz, 2000). Thus, in highly 86 restricted anoxic basins, such as the Black Sea ($[\Sigma S^{-II}] \sim 300 \mu mol l^{-1}$, Emerson and Huested 87 1991) the conversion of $Mo^{VI}O_4^{2-}$ to $Mo^{VI}S_4^{2-}$ is almost complete at depth, leading to near-88 89 quantitative molybdenum removal from the water column. Accordingly, in the underlying 90 sediment, authigenic Mo records a Mo isotopic composition that is very close to the original 91 seawater composition (Nägler et al., 2011). The process of conversion to sulfidic species does, 92 however, involve Mo isotope fractionation (Tossell, 2005; Kerl et al., 2017), which may be expressed in mildly euxinic conditions when intermediate products in the $Mo^{VI}O_4^{2-}$ to 93 $Mo^{VI}S_4^{2-}$ conversion are present and when conversion to tetrathiomolybdate is not complete. 94 Furthermore, there appears to be a small isotopic difference between aqueous $Mo^{VI}S_4^{2-}$ and 95 authigenic solid Mo, with $\Delta^{98/95}$ Mo_{tetrathiomo-sediment} = +0.5 ± 0.3% (Nägler et al., 2011). 96

97 Uranium, in the form of U^{6+} , mainly forms highly soluble complexes with carbonate species 98 in oxic seawater, again leading to the relatively long residence time (Morford and Emerson, 99 1999). In contrast, the reduced U^{4+} species is highly insoluble. Large variations in the ratio of 100 uranium's long-lived isotopes ²³⁸U/²³⁵U have been observed under redox-controlled $U^{6+}-U^{4+}$ 101 exchange in low-temperature environments (Stirling et al., 2007; Weyer et al., 2008). Oxic

adsorption of U to ferromanganese oxides without redox change, and under oxic conditions, 102 results in a small fractionation of the ${}^{238}U/{}^{235}U$ ratio ($\delta^{238/235}U_{soln-MnOx} = \sim 0.2\%$; Brennecka et 103 al., 2011b). On the other hand, the incorporation of U^{4+} into anoxic sediments generally leads 104 to significant (permil level) enrichment of the heavier isotope, ²³⁸U, in sediment (e.g. Wever 105 106 et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2014). In contrast to Mo, typical processes for U removal into 107 anoxic sediments have been suggested to involve U transported with sinking particulate organic matter (Anderson et al. 1989b; Zheng et al., 2002) and diffusion of seawater U into 108 109 sediment pore waters and reduction within the sediment itself (Anderson et al., 1989a; Barnes 110 and Cochran, 1990; Klinkhammer and Palmer, 1991). Although still a matter of some 111 debate, the latter process has been determined to dominate the authigenic U flux in most 112 studied anoxic marine settings (e.g. Anderson 1987; McManus et al 2005). Furthermore, 113 the mechanistic nature of U fixation within anoxic sediment is also still debated, but likely dominated by metal- and sulfate-reducing bacteria that use U^{VI} as an electron 114 115 acceptor (Lovley et al., 1991; Bargar et al., 2013).

116 As a result, while the Mo isotopic composition of seawater may be directly recorded in 117 sediments accumulated under strongly euxinic conditions via near-quantitative Mo uptake, U 118 is taken up less quantitatively and U isotopes generally display large fractionations between 119 anoxic organic-rich sediments and seawater (Weyer et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2014; 120 Noordmann et al., 2015; Holmden et al., 2015; Andersen et al., 2016; Rolison et al., 2017). As Mo and U display significant isotope fractionations between oxic and anoxic sinks, both 121 122 isotope systems have the potential to record the redox evolution of the global ocean. However, 123 the investigation of Mo and U behaviour in different modern settings has revealed significant 124 Mo isotope fractionation in sediments deposited under suboxic as well as under anoxic water 125 columns bearing low sulfide concentrations (Siebert et al., 2003; Siebert et al., 2006; Poulson 126 et al., 2006; Poulson Brucker et al., 2009; Nägler et al., 2011). In addition to Mo removal in 127 euxinic waters, other processes have been invoked to control sedimentary Mo isotopes in 128 anoxic settings. These include the delivery of Mo with light Mo isotope compositions to 129 euxinic sediments or bottom water on Fe-Mn oxyhydroxide-rich particulates (e.g. Barling 130 and Anbar, 2004; Goldberg et al., 2009), adsorption of Mo to organic matter with an 131 isotopic fractionation (Kowalski et al., 2013) and early diagenetic redistribution of Mo 132 within sediment and pore-waters (McManus et al., 2002). Studies reporting the U isotopic 133 composition of anoxic to suboxic sediments have shown not only a significant U isotopic 134 fractionation in comparison to seawater, but also variable fractionation between these modern 135 anoxic settings. While several studies suggest an apparent U isotope fractionation factor (ϵ) for ${}^{238}\text{U}/{}^{235}\text{U}$ in the range of ~+0.5 to 0.8% during U uptake in anoxic sediments (Weyer et al. 136 137 2008; Andersen et al., 2014; Holmden et al., 2015; Noordmann et al., 2015; Andersen et al., 138 2016, Rolison et al., 2017) both significantly higher and lower U isotope compositions have 139 been observed in anoxic sediments (Weyer et al. 2008; Montoya-Pino et al. 2010; Noordmann 140 et al., 2015; Hinojosa et al. 2016). This suggests further mechanisms for U withdrawal from 141 the anoxic water columns, or variable U isotope mass-balance during non-quantitative 142 authigenic U sediment uptake (Andersen et al., 2017).

To improve our understanding of the behaviour of Mo and U and their isotopes, further studies in well-characterised modern settings are needed. In this study, Mo and U concentrations and isotope compositions of the water column, sinking particles, sediments and pore waters of the marine euxinic Lake Rogoznica, Croatia, are presented. Based on the comprehensive dataset obtained, including seasonal patterns, we shed further light on the processes controlling Mo and U isotope fractionation mechanisms in anoxic water columns and their sediments.

150

151 **2. Methods**

152 **2.1. Study site**

153 Lake Rogoznica is a small, intensely eutrophicated sea-lake situated on the eastern coast of 154 the Adriatic Sea (Ciglenečki et al., 2005; Bura-Nakić et al., 2009; Ciglenečki et al., 2015). 155 The lake is surrounded by sheer carbonate cliffs (4–23 m above mean sea-level), has a surface area of about 5300 m² and a maximum depth of \sim 15 m. Due to its stratification, and despite 156 157 permanent water exchange with the surrounding sea through porous karst, Lake Rogoznica 158 becomes anoxic at depth due to the remineralisation of organic matter produced in periods of 159 intense primary production (blooms) near the surface (Ciglenečki et al., 2005; Bura-Nakić et 160 al., 2009; Ciglenečki et al., 2015). It is therefore well-suited for studying biogeochemical 161 processes influencing redox-sensitive trace metals. Complete vertical mixing of the lake, 162 where cold oxygen-rich water from the surface mixes downwards and anoxic deep waters are 163 brought to the surface, often occurs during the dry and cold autumn period. Complete mixing 164 of the lake, leading to catastrophic anoxia in the whole water column, occurs rarely, once or 165 twice in every 10 winters depending on the meteorological conditions (Ciglenečki et al., 2005; 166 2015). Under stratified conditions, the surface water is well oxygenated while the layer below 167 approx. 9 m depth is anoxic. The anoxic deep waters become rich in sulfur (up to 5000 µmol l⁻ ¹) predominantly in the form of sulfide (Ciglenečki et al., 2005; Bura-Nakić et al., 2009; 168 169 Ciglenečki et al., 2015).

170

171 **2.2. Sampling and sample collection**

172 Prior to field work, syringes, bottles, tygon tubes and all other materials used for sediment and 173 water column sampling were pre-cleaned in \sim 3 N HCl and rinsed with MQ water (18 174 M Ω ·cm). Unless otherwise stated, all reagents used were sub-boiling distilled twice in teflon 175 stills. 176 Water column samples were collected from the middle of the lake during six campaigns 177 spanning 2013 (February, April, July and October) and 2015 (April and July). All water 178 samples were collected by lowering a custom-made (30 cm diameter) filter housing down into 179 the lake, so that filtration occurred in situ, and the water was pumped through a 0.2 µm mesh-180 sized filter (Millipore, Whatman 47 mm diameter PTFE) to the surface using silicone tubing 181 (0.9 cm outer diameter) and a Pegasus peristaltic pump. Approximately one litre was collected 182 at each depth. All filtered samples were collected in pre-cleaned HDPE bottles and acidified 183 (pH 2, using concentrated HCl). Salinity and oxygen concentration were measured in situ during the sampling using a HQ40D multimeter probe (HachLange, Germany). Sulfide 184 185 concentrations were analysed by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) within 8 hours of sampling 186 according to procedures described elsewhere (Ciglenečki et al., 2005; Bura-Nakić et al., 2009; 187 Ciglenečki et al., 2015). Electrochemical measurements were performed with µAutolab 188 Electrochemical Instruments (EcoChemie) connected with 663VA Stand Metrohm electrode. 189 In situ measurements of pH were performed during three sampling campaigns (October 2013, 190 April and July 2015) using a HQ40D multimeter probe (HachLange, Germany). The pH 191 values measured in situ were used to calculate [H₂S]_{aq} following Millero et al. (1986, 192 Supplementary Table 2).

One sediment core (~60 cm long) was collected in July 2013 from the middle and deepest part of Lake Rogoznica (~15 m) using an Uwitec gravity corer. Immediately after sampling, the core was sectioned into 5 cm segments in a glove box under N₂ overpressure. Pore water was extracted by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 30 minutes. The pore water samples (10 to 30 ml) were transferred into HPDE bottles and acidified (pH 2) using concentrated HCl. A sample of the carbonate rock surrounding the lake was collected in April 2015, stored in a plastic bag, and cut into smaller pieces (1–2 g) using a diamond-blade saw.

200

201 **2.3. Sample preparation**

202 Chemical preparation and analysis of the samples were performed in the isotope facilities at 203 the Institute of Geochemistry and Petrology, Department of Earth Sciences, ETH Zürich, 204 Switzerland. Lake Rogoznica water column dissolved and particulates, as well as pore water 205 and sediment samples were measured for selected elemental concentrations, and Mo and U 206 isotopes. Elemental concentrations were measured in all samples (section 2.5) prior to 207 preparation for isotope composition determination.

208 Water sample aliquots (varying from 20 to 150 ml) were taken for isotopic analysis, aiming 209 for a total of 20–50 ng U and 150–250 ng Mo. These were transferred into pre-cleaned Teflon jars for the isotope determination, and spiked with the IRMM-3636 ²³⁶U-²³³U double-spike 210 (Richter et al., 2008) aiming for a 236 U/ 235 U of ~4, and a 100 Mo- 97 Mo double-spike (Archer 211 212 and Vance, 2008) aiming for a 1:1 spike to sample ratio. These water sample aliquots were 213 subsequently dried down (all at 100 °C). Due to the high Na-content, a large NaCl precipitate 214 would form during this step. To obtain a more pure metal fraction, samples were leached 215 using 10 ml 7 N HCl for 24 hours, a treatment which dissolves Mo, U and other metals but 216 minimises dissolution of NaCl. The samples were then centrifuged (3500 rpm for 10 minutes), 217 and the supernatant taken for analysis. The recoveries of both U and Mo in the supernatant 218 were consistently >90% using this procedure. The supernatant was then dried down and re-219 dissolved in 5 ml 7 N HCl in preparation for column chromatography.

Filters used for the water filtration were dissolved in 10 ml of concentrated HNO₃ in precleaned 60 ml Teflon beakers and dried down (all at 100 °C). Samples were then re-dissolved and fluxed in a 2 ml mixture of conc. HNO₃ and H₂O₂ (Merck Superpure, 1:1 ratio) on a hotplate for 24 hours, before being dried down. They were then re-dissolved in 5 ml of 7 N HCl and an aliquot (100 μ L) was taken to determine elemental concentrations. The filters held 28 to 148 ng Mo and 3 to 23 ng U, while the total blanks for dissolution of clean unused filters in the same manner were ~40 pg for Mo and <1 pg for U (Supplementary Table 1). The samples were spiked with the U and Mo double-spikes as described above, and left in closed Teflon beakers to equilibrate on a hotplate (100 °C) before column chromatography.</p>

The pore water samples were weighed, dried down in pre-cleaned Teflon beakers, and pretreated with the mixture of concentrated HNO₃ and H_2O_2 in a 1:1 ratio on a hotplate for 24 hours. Samples were then re-dissolved in 5 ml 7 N HCl and an aliquot taken to determine the elemental concentrations. Samples containing 70–170 ng Mo and 4–35 ng U were then spiked with the U and Mo double-spikes and left to equilibrate on a hotplate in preparation for column chromatography.

235 Approximately 50–100 mg of the sediment samples was used for analysis. Full dissolution of 236 sediments was carried out using conventional protocols for silicates, involving mixtures of 237 HF–HNO₃–HCl and H₂O₂ in the same manner described in Andersen et al. (2013). After final 238 dissolution in 10 ml 6 N HCl, an aliquot was taken to determine elemental concentrations. An 239 aliquot containing 20-50 ng U and 150-250 ng Mo was added to pre-cleaned Teflon beakers, 240 spiked with the U and Mo double-spikes, and then left to equilibrate on a hotplate before 241 being dried down (all at 100 °C). Samples were then re-dissolved in 5 ml 7 N HCl in 242 preparation for column chromatography.

243 The carbonate rock sample was weighed and dissolved in a pre-cleaned Teflon beaker. The 244 initial carbonate rock dissolution was performed in a 5 ml mixture of conc. HCl and H₂O in a 245 1:1 ratio for 24 hours. The sample was then dried down and pre-treated on a hotplate for 24 246 hours with a mixture of conc. HNO₃ and H₂O₂ in a 1:1 ratio. After final dissolution in 10 ml 247 of 0.3 N HNO₃ an aliquot was taken to determine elemental concentrations. An aliquot 248 containing ~50 ng U was added to a pre-cleaned Teflon beaker, spiked with the U double-249 spike, left to equilibrate on a hotplate before being dried down at 100 °C. The sample was 250 then re-dissolved in 5 ml 7 N HCl in preparation for column chromatography.

251

252 2.4. Column chromatography

253 A one step purification and U-Mo separation procedure was conducted using RE Resin 254 (Triskem technologies) in custom-made shrink-fit Teflon columns (~0.2 ml resin reservoir). 255 Prior to sample loading, resin was added to the columns, pre-cleaned using 2 ml of a mixture 256 0.1 N HCl-0.3 N HF, rinsed with MQ water, and pre-conditioned with 2 ml 7 N HCl. Samples 257 were then loaded in 5 ml 7 N HCl and the matrix eluted with 10 ml 1 N HCl. The Mo and U 258 fraction were eluted separately, first with 5 ml 0.2 N HCl and then 5 ml of a 0.1 N HCl-0.3 N 259 HF mixture, respectively. The column chromatography protocol yielded highly pure Mo and 260 U fractions with only traces of major or minor elements. For example, abundant cations in the 261 pre-column extracted seawater - e.g. Mg (~1000 ppm) and Ca (~400 ppm) - were present at 262 less than 100 ppb in the purified Mo and U fractions. Column blanks were <13 pg and <22 pg for Mo and U, respectively (Supplementary Table 1). The U fractions were fluxed on a 263 hotplate for 24 hours in a 1 ml mixture of concentrated HNO3 and H2O2 in 1:1 ratio, to oxidise 264 265 any resin bleeding into the sample cut during chemistry, and dried down. The purified Mo and 266 U were then re-dissolved in 0.3 N HNO₃ and 0.2 N HCl, respectively, for mass spectrometry.

The column separation procedure was tested by processing two open Atlantic Ocean samples for U and Mo, following the dissolution and column chemistry procedure described above. The isotopic compositions of Mo and U measured are in good agreement with previously reported values (see below and Supplementary Table 1) (Siebert et al., 2003; Weyer et al., 2008; Nakagawa et al., 2012; Andersen et al., 2014; Tissot and Dauphas, 2015).

272

273 **2.5. Elemental concentration measurements**

274 The concentrations of selected elements (see Supplementary Table 2) were measured in 0.3N HNO₃ using a Thermo-Finnigan Element XR ICP-MS, following the same measurement 275 276 protocol as outlined in Andersen et al. (2013, 2016). In brief, the instrument set-up included both low and medium resolution, using a primary in-house concentration standard 277 278 interspersed with measurements of three unknowns and a secondary standard (BCR-2). The 279 BCR-2 standard was used to monitor the accuracy and reproducibility. Repeated 280 measurements of BCR-2 gave a reproducibility better than \pm 10% (1 S.D.) and mean values 281 within $\pm 10\%$ of the certified concentrations (see Andersen et al., 2016).

282

283 2.6. Molybdenum and uranium isotope measurements

284 Isotope ratios were measured on a Neptune (Thermo-Finnigan) MC-ICPMS equipped with 285 an AridusII auto-sampler (CETAC) using a PFA nebulizer and spray chamber (CPI) sample 286 introduction system. Details of instrumental set-up are given in Archer and Vance (2008) for 287 Mo isotopes and Andersen et al. (2016) for U isotopes. Molybdenum isotope ratios are presented as δ^{98} Mo = [$^{98/95}$ Mo_{sample}/ $^{98/95}$ Mo_{standard} - 1] × 1000. All Mo isotope compositions for 288 289 samples are reported relative to NIST SRM 3134 = +0.25% (Nägler et al., 2014). Uranium isotope ratios are reported relative to the CRM-145 standard and presented as $\delta^{238}U$ = 290 $[^{238/235}U_{sample}/^{238/235}U_{standard} - 1] \times 1000$ and as $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$ activity ratios compared to secular 291 292 equilibrium (Cheng et al., 2013).

The Mo double spike method was verified via the analysis of an in-house CPI standard as well as open-ocean seawater. During the period of this study, analysis of our in-house CPI standard with standard/spike ratios in the range of 0.1 to 5 gave δ^{98} Mo = -0.02± 0.04‰ (all isotope data reported as 2 S.D., Supplementary Table 1) relative to NIST SRM 3134 = +0.25‰. Four seawater samples gave δ^{98} Mo of +2.37 ± 0.03‰, in perfect agreement with previous data for

seawater δ^{98} Mo (Siebert et al., 2003; Nakagawa et al., 2012). The verification of the U double 298 299 spike method was carried out via repeated measurements of the in-house CZ-1 uraninite 300 standard and five open-ocean seawater samples (Supplementary Table 1). The long-term average and ± 2 S.D. reproducibility for the CZ-1 standard were $-0.04 \pm 0.07\%$ for δ^{238} U and 301 0.9996 ± 0.0025 for (²³⁴U/²³⁸U) (Supplementary Table 1), in agreement with previously 302 reported values (Stirling et al., 2007; Andersen et al., 2015; 2016). Uranium isotopic analysis 303 of five seawater samples gave a $\delta^{238}U = -0.39 \pm 0.04\%$ and $(^{234}U/^{238}U) = 1.147 \pm 0.003$, 304 305 again in very good agreement with reported data for seawater (Wever et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2010; 2014; Tissot and Dauphas, 2015). Finally, a set-up measuring samples with low U 306 (2–10 ng) amounts equivalent to some filter samples, yielded $\delta^{238}U = -0.02 \pm 0.23\%$ and 307 $(^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}) = 0.999 \pm 0.018$ for the CZ-1 standard (Supplementary Table 1). 308

309

310 **3. Results**

311 **3.1.** General chemical characterisation of the water column

Salinity, oxygen ($[O_2]$), sulfide ($[\Sigma S^{-II}]$), particulate Fe ($[Fe]_{part}$) and particulate Mn ($[Mn]_{part}$) 312 in the water column are presented in Supplementary Table 2 and summarised in Figure 1. 313 During the study period (2013 and 2015) the lowest salinity recorded was during winter and 314 315 spring due to increased precipitation and decreased evaporation during the colder season of 316 the year. The position of the halocline is temporally variable, from approx 5 to 9 m. Oxygen concentrations are strongly inversely correlated with the $[\Sigma S^{-II}]$, the latter reaching the highest 317 concentration of \sim 5 mmol l⁻¹ in summer 2013 at 13 m depth. Particulate Fe and Mn are higher 318 319 at the chemocline and in the deeper anoxic waters than in the upper oxic layer.

320

321 **3.2.** Molybdenum in the water column and settling particles

Depth profiles of salinity-normalised (to 35) dissolved Mo ([Mo]_{SNdiss}) and of particulate Mo 322 ([Mo]_{part}), as well as their δ^{98} Mo, are presented in Supplementary Table 2 and Figure 2. The 323 $[Mo]_{SNdiss}$ is higher than the measured [Mo] by 3 to 50% in the upper oxic waters ($O_2 > 5$ mg 324 1^{-1}) that are influenced by precipitation, but the correction has little impact on the deeper 325 326 anoxic waters. The [Mo]_{SNdiss} profiles show a strong depth gradient, mirroring changes in the redox conditions in the water column. With the exception of very high [Mo] in surface waters 327 in April and July 2013, [Mo] generally varies between ~ 100 nmol 1⁻¹ in the oxic and ~ 10 nmol 328 1^{-1} in the deeper euxinic waters. The shape of the profiles is rather different for the first three 329 330 sampling dates (February-July 2013) versus the last three (Oct 2013, April 2015, July 2015). 331 The latter three profiles show a much sharper transition across the chemocline and much more 332 homogeneous concentrations within each redox regime - the upper oxic layer and the lower euxinic layer. For the later three sampling times, [Mo]part/[Mo]diss is homogeneously low in 333 334 the upper oxic water column (generally ≤ 0.01) and higher in the lower anoxic portion (up to 335 0.19). The first three sampling events are also much more heterogeneous in this ratio.

Lake Rogoznica waters generally show dissolved Mo isotopic composition (δ^{98} Mo_{diss}) in the range +2.2 to +2.5 ‰ (Fig. 2). The exception is April 2013 and the top of the water column in July 2013, where values are much more variable and extend down to +0.8‰. The isotopic composition of the particulate Mo (δ^{98} Mo_{part}) spans a wide range, from δ^{98} Mo = +0.1 to +2.1‰. In the anoxic water column the particles were generally more enriched in heavy Mo isotopes (δ^{98} Mo_{part} from +0.6 to +2.0‰) in comparison with those from the oxic water column (from +0.1 to +1.8‰).

343

344 **3.3.** Uranium in the water column and settling particles

Salinity normalised ([U]_{SNdiss}) and particulate ([U]_{part}) U concentrations, as well as δ^{238} U, 345 are presented in Supplementary Table 3 and Figure 2. As with Mo, the salinity 346 347 normalisation increases the [U]_{SNdiss} by 2 to 50% in the upper oxic waters influenced by 348 precipitation, but has little influence on the deeper high salinity anoxic waters. The deeper anoxic waters are depleted in dissolved U ([U]_{diss}: 1.4 to 3.4 nmol l⁻¹) compared to the 349 oxic surface layer (8.9 to 11.2 nmol l^{-1}). Dissolved [U] decreases towards the bottom for all 350 351 6 sampling campaigns. Particulate U concentrations (normalised to the water volume the filters were extracted from) are generally low, ranging from 0.01 to 0.1 nmol l⁻¹ during 352 the whole sampling period, and show no significant seasonal variation. Particulate U 353 354 concentrations are consistently higher within the anoxic waters column, reaching a maximum at the chemocline and the bottom of the lake. The [U]_{part}/[U]_{diss} is significantly 355 lower than the $[Mo]_{part}/[Mo]_{diss}$ ratio, reaching max values of 0.004 for the oxic water column 356 357 and 0.03 for anoxic water column samples.

Data for the uranium isotopic composition of the dissolved pool ($\delta^{238}U_{diss}$) show lighter values than that for open-ocean seawater ($\delta^{238}U = -0.39\%$, Supplementary Table 1), ranging from -0.5 to -1.1‰ and with generally lower values with depth. The isotopic composition of particulate U ($\delta^{238}U_{part}$) is in the range -0.2‰ to -1.5‰, with the lowest $\delta^{238}U_{part}$ recorded in July 2013 at 6m depth, near the chemocline. ($^{234}U/^{238}U$)_{diss} was in the range 1.111 to 1.148 and ($^{234}U/^{238}U$)_{part} in the range 1.101 to 1.152 (Supplementary Table 3).

365

366 3.4. Molybdenum and uranium in the sediments and pore water

367 Mo and U concentrations ([Mo]_{bulk}, [U]_{bulk}) and isotopic compositions (δ^{98} Mo_{bulk}, 368 δ^{238} U_{bulk}) in the anoxic sediments are presented in Supplementary Table 4 and Fig. 3. These bulk data were used to calculate authigenic abundances using the measured Al, Mo and U in the sediment samples (Supplementary Table 4) and assumed lithogenic Mo/Al and U/Al ratios of 1.1×10^{-5} g g⁻¹ and 1.8×10^{-5} g g⁻¹, respectively (Taylor and McLennan, 1985; Tribovillard et al., 2006; Andersen et al., 2014). For the isotopic composition of the detrital component a δ^{98} Mo_{det} of +0.3‰ (Voegelin et al., 2014) and a δ^{238} U_{det} of -0.3‰ (Andersen et al., 2016), were used, with:

376
$$\delta^{98} \text{Mo}_{\text{auth}} = \frac{(\delta^{98} \text{Mo}_{\text{bulk}} [\text{Mo}]_{\text{bulk}} - \delta^{98} \text{Mo}_{\text{det}} [\text{Mo}]_{\text{det}})}{[\text{Mo}]_{\text{auth}}}$$
[1]

377

378
$$\delta^{238} U_{auth} = \frac{(\delta^{238} U_{bulk} [U]_{bulk} - \delta^{238} U_{det} [U]_{det})}{[U]_{auth}}$$
[2]

379

380 Using this approach, the detrital Mo contribution to the sedimentary budget is found to be 381 minimal, so that more than 99% of the Mo in the sediments has an authigenic origin. Thus, the 382 impact of the detrital fraction on the measured bulk Mo isotopic composition of the sediment 383 is also negligible. For the bulk U there is a higher contribution of detrital U (from 10 to 23%). Accordingly, the calculated authigenic δ^{238} U is shifted towards slightly lower values (by up to 384 0.03‰) relative to the bulk sediment. The measured $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$ ratio in the samples, along 385 386 with an assumption that the detrital material is in secular equilibrium (~ 1) and that the 387 authigenic U has a ratio = 1.147 like modern seawater, provides an alternative method for performing the detrital U correction. The sediments display high (²³⁴U/²³⁸U), ranging from 388 389 1.096 to 1.120, demonstrating the predominance of authigenic U. Removal of the detrital U component from the bulk using $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$, leads to corrected $\delta^{238}U$ authigenic values that are essentially the same as those obtained using the U/Al method (see Supplementary Table 4).

The [Mo]_{auth} and [U]_{auth} were in the range 11 to 80 μ g g⁻¹ and 2.4 to 7.3 μ g g⁻¹, 392 393 respectively, implying moderate Mo and U enrichments in the anoxic sediments. The δ^{98} Mo_{auth} is variable (1.6 to 2.2‰), but is consistently lower than the average oceanic 394 δ^{98} Mo composition (+2.36 ± 0.10‰, Siebert et al., 2003). Sedimentary δ^{238} U_{auth} is, on 395 396 average, slightly higher (~0.15‰) than the average oceanic δ^{238} U (-0.39‰), with the exception of the sample from 17.5 cm ($\delta^{238}U_{auth} = -0.42 \pm 0.07\%$). The sedimentary 397 (²³⁴U/²³⁸U) shows no significant variability throughout the investigated core 398 (Supplementary Table 4). The measured host carbonate rock sample gave a $\delta^{238}U = -0.16$ 399 $\pm 0.04\%$, a (²³⁴U/²³⁸U) of 1.012, a [U]_{bulk} of 1.12 µg g⁻¹ and [Mo]_{bulk} of 0.72 µg g⁻¹ 400 401 (Supplementary Table 4).

402 Pore water Mo and U concentrations ($[Mo]_{pw}$, $[U]_{pw}$) (Supplementary Table 5, Fig. 3) 403 were consistently low throughout the core, with average $[Mo]_{pw} = 5 \pm 1 \text{ nmol } I^{-1}$ and $[U]_{pw}$ 404 = 0.17 ± 0.04 nmol I^{-1} (n=13). The $\delta^{238}U_{pw}$ closely resembles the $\delta^{238}U_{diss}$ recorded in the 405 deepest anoxic bottom waters ($\delta^{238}U_{diss}$ in the range -0.9 to -1.1‰). In contrast, pore 406 water Mo is slightly enriched in the heavier Mo isotopes, with an average $\delta^{98}Mo_{pw}$ of 407 +2.48 ± 0.08‰ (n=13), in comparison to $\delta^{98}Mo_{diss}$ in anoxic bottom waters (see Figures 2 408 and 3).

409

410 **4. Discussion**

411 **4.1 Behaviour of Mo and its isotopes in Lake Rogoznica**

412 4.1.1 Water column Mo behaviour

The depth profiles for Mo and its isotopes in Fig. 2 split into two types of behaviour. The dissolved Mo concentration profiles for October 2013 to July 2015 closely resemble those previously reported from Lake Rogoznica (Helz et al., 2011), in showing a sharp transition across the chemocline from high in the oxic portion above to low in the anoxic part of the lake below. Profiles between Feb 2013 and July 2013, on the other hand, show more unexpected behaviour. Below we discuss these anomalous features first, before moving on to the more "typical" features of the later three sampling campaigns.

420 The first three sampling campaigns, and in particular April and July 2013, are often characterised by very high Mo concentrations ([Mo]_{SN} up to 577 nmol l⁻¹) in oxic surface 421 422 waters. (Fig. 2). Moreover, although these profiles exhibit the expected decrease of dissolved 423 Mo in anoxic waters, concentrations at depth are up to 2.5 times higher than in the later three 424 sampling campaigns. These high dissolved Mo concentrations are associated with generally lower δ^{98} Mo_{diss}, both in the oxic upper water column (as low as +0.75 ± 0.02‰) and in the 425 426 deep euxinic portion (as low as $+1.32 \pm 0.02\%$). Combined, these observations suggest an 427 additional source of isotopically light Mo to the water column before or during this period. 428 For the oxic part of the water column, the Mo abundance and isotopic data are mostly 429 explained by the mixing of a "normal" signature, typified by the analyses from October 2013 to July 2015, with an additional Mo source that has a δ^{98} Mo of around +0.4 to +0.5‰ (Fig. 430 431 4a).

The origin of this additional Mo source is more difficult to identify. The Mediterranean generally sees unusually high dust supply from the Sahara (Prospero, 1996), and one possibility is that the additional Mo derives from such a source. The Mo associated with dust is most likely to be associated with Fe-Mn oxyhydroxide-rich surfaces, which have the required light isotope compositions (e.g. Barling and Anbar, 2004; Goldberg et al., 2009). It is notable that the reservoir of Mo in particulates is also high, by one or two orders of

magnitude, during the anomalous sampling periods. The $\delta^{98}Mo_{part}$ is also consistently lower 438 439 than during the last three sampling campaigns, particularly in the upper oxic water column where the difference between the dissolved and particulate loads (Δ^{98} Mo_{diss-part}) is 440 441 up to +2.2% in the first three samplings versus a maximum of +1.3% in the second three. 442 The suggested dust source is not strongly supported by particulate Al, Fe and Mn 443 concentrations which, though variable through time and space, are not particularly 444 strongly correlated with high Mo concentrations or light Mo isotopes. On the other hand, 445 if dust particles fall quickly through the water column while their impact lingers in the 446 dissolved pool, or if Mo on Fe-Mn coatings is particularly soluble relative to Fe and Mn, 447 such a correlation might not necessarily be expected.

448 Another potential Mo source could, in principle, be leaching of Mo from the surrounding 449 carbonate karst. However, Mo concentration in the carbonate rock sample measured was low (0.71 μ g g⁻¹, Supplementary Table 4), in agreement with previously reported values 450 451 for carbonate (Vogelin et al., 2009, 2010). The main reservoir of Mo in the carbonate host 452 rock is also likely to be Fe-Mn oxyhydroxide coatings. The vertical position of the 453 halocline in Lake Rogoznica shifts seasonally, and during winter 2013 it was situated at a 454 relatively shallow level (3 to 4 m depth). This may have allowed anoxic waters to enter 455 into karst channels and dissolve Fe-Mn oxyhydroxide coatings on carbonate. Finally, the 456 vertical position of the chemocline in Lake Rogoznica also varies, by 2-4m, again 457 depending on season and meteorological conditions. Such temporal variation may also 458 periodically expose Mo sequestered to Fe-Mn oxyhydroxides, in recently deposited 459 unconsolidated sediment in the oxic portion of the lake, to reductive dissolution.

460 During the last three sampling campaigns dissolved salinity-normalised Mo 461 concentrations in the upper 5m of the water column are, at 97 ± 5 nmol kg⁻¹, close to the 462 mean oceanic [Mo]_{diss} value (107 ± 7 nmol l⁻¹, Collier, 1985; Nakagawa et al., 2012). In addition, the average δ^{98} Mo_{diss} for these samples is +2.16 to 2.34‰, similar to the measured average oceanic dissolved pool δ^{98} Mo signature (δ^{98} Mo= +2.37 ± 0.03‰, Supplementary Table 1). The δ^{98} Mo_{part} ranged from +0.98 to +1.68‰ in the oxic surface waters and δ^{98} Mo_{diss-part} = 0.65-1.53‰. This difference is consistent with a dominant role for amorphous Fe (oxyhydr)oxides (e.g. ferrihydrite, goethite), which have been shown experimentally to exhibit fractionations relative to dissolved Mo in the range of 1.1 to 1.4‰ (Goldberg et al., 2009).

At the chemocline, [Mo]_{diss} decreases and reaches steady concentrations of about 9 nmol 470 l^{-1} in the anoxic (O₂~0 mg l^{-1}) waters below, a behaviour previously observed both at 471 Lake Rogoznica (Helz et al., 2011), and in other modern euxinic basins (Emerson and 472 Huested, 1991; Colodner et al., 1995; Algeo and Tribovillard, 2009; Nägler et al., 2011). In 473 all the profiles there are minor but significant excursions in δ^{98} Mo of the dissolved pool 474 close to the chemocline. For example, in October 2013 and April 2015, δ^{98} Mo_{diss} shows a 475 476 slight increase, by about 0.3‰, just at and below the chemocline and at depths where significant removal of dissolved Mo starts. Just beneath this, $\delta^{98}Mo_{diss}$ decreases again 477 and the deepest samples are again close to those in oxic waters, at $\delta^{98}Mo_{diss} =$ 478 479 +2.31±0.14‰. The July 2015 campaign does not record the initial increase as Mo 480 concentrations begin to drop with depth. Particulate Mo concentrations increase beneath the chemocline, and though they stay beneath 2 nmol l⁻¹, the [Mo]_{part}/[Mo]_{diss} ratio 481 increases to values as high as 0.2. The $\Delta^{98}Mo_{diss-part}$ decreases with depth, with $\delta^{98}Mo_{part}$ 482 483 compositions up to +2.03% in the deeper anoxic water column.

484 To our knowledge, the only other study reporting δ^{98} Mo values for sinking particles 485 formed in anoxic water columns is that for Lake Cadagno, Switzerland, in Dahl et al. 486 (2010). This study hypothesised that Δ^{98} Mo_{diss-part} in anoxic waters is a function of both $[H_2S]_{aq}$ and the time available for equilibration between particles and water versus the scavenging lifetimes of intermediate thiomolybdate species. In this view, when sulfide levels are low enough for non-quantitative transformation of molybdate to tetrathiomolybdate, and for rapid scavenging timescales for intermediate thiomolybdate species, isotopic differences are expected between residual dissolved Mo and particulate Mo (Tossell, 2005; Kerl et al., 2017).

Consistent with this view, the data for Δ^{98} Mo_{diss-part} within the water column of Lake 493 494 Rogoznica does exhibit a strong relationship with total dissolved sulfide (Fig. 4b). But the relationship appears to become asymptotic to a value of about +0.3% at very high 495 496 dissolved sulfide levels. It is possible that general conclusions regarding the behaviour of 497 Mo and its isotopes in euxinic water columns from these Lake Rogoznica data are 498 complicated by the potential impact of Fe-Mn oxyhydroxide particulates, discussed 499 earlier with reference to the first three sampling campaigns. For example, it is possible 500 that the small excursions near the chemocline could be caused by oxidative-reductive 501 cycle involving Fe-Mn oxyhydroxides. Such a rationale is not, however, consistent with all the details of the data. Thus, the small increase in $\delta^{98}Mo_{diss}$ just below the chemocline, 502 503 where Mo is first removed from the water column, is the opposite to that which might be expected if isotopically light Fe-Mn oxyhydroxides were sinking into the euxinic layer 504 505 and undergoing reductive dissolution. Rather this feature, coupled to increases in the Mo_{part}/Mo_{diss} ratio, is much more readily explained in terms of preferential and non-506 507 quantitative removal of light Mo isotopes to particulates due to formation of intermediate 508 thiomolybdates at low dissolved sulfide concentrations. Thus, although the exact value of 509 Δ^{98} Mo_{diss-part} in the anoxic water column is difficult to estimate from our data set, it is 510 very likely that the removal of Mo from the anoxic water of Lake Rogoznica is associated with minor Mo isotope fractionation, similar to that already observed in Kyllaren Fjord,
Black and Baltic Sea anoxic water columns (Nägler et al., 2011; Noordmann et al., 2015).

513

514 4.1.2 Mo and its isotopes in sediment and pore water

515 As noted in Section 3, the detrital Mo component of anoxic Lake Rogoznica sediments is very small, and more than 99% has an authigenic origin. The overall δ^{98} Mo_{auth} is high (Fig. 3), 516 517 ranging from +1.6 to +2.2‰, and with an average of $1.95 \pm 0.17\%$, (n=13, 1SD). The 518 dissolved-particulate difference for sediment-pore water pairs shows a more scattered 519 relationship with total dissolved sulfide than data for the water column (Fig. 4b). It is again the case, however, that $\Delta^{98}Mo_{diss-part}$ is never zero, and the minimum values 520 521 observed are again about 0.3%, similar to the water column. This overall finding is again consistent with the previous suggestion in Nägler et al. (2011) that $\Delta^{98}Mo_{diss-part}$, even for 522 523 near-quantitative removal to sediment at high sulfide concentrations, does involve a small fractionation. 524

525 On the other hand, sediments at Lake Rogoznica are again more complicated than such a 526 simple picture can explain. Dissolved sulfide levels in the pore waters of Lake Rogoznica 527 are very high, and equilibration times in the sediment are presumably long. Thus, the occasionally high values of Δ^{98} Mo_{diss-part} are difficult to explain without invoking some 528 529 temporal variation in redox conditions. Most of the time, Lake Rogoznica waters are 530 characterised by a sharp chemical gradient and an anoxic layer with high [H₂S]_{aq} at 531 depths >8-9 m, but seasonal mixing is known to occur during particularly dry and cold 532 autumn periods (Ciglenečki et al., 2005; Helz et al., 2011; Ciglenečki et al., 2015). 533 During these periods cold oxygenated waters slowly sink towards the bottom, causing 534 contraction of the anoxic layer so that anoxic conditions are restricted to the deep nepheloid layer (~13 m, Helz et al., 2011). The last two such complete mixing events occurred in 2011 and 1997 (Ciglenečki et al., 2005; Ciglenečki et al., 2015). After these events, anoxia is re-established, potentially causing reductive dissolution of Fe-Mn (oxyhydr)oxides deposited at the bottom of the lake during mixing events (Helz et al., 2011). We speculate that, after these events, Lake Rogoznica bottom waters are most probably enriched in light Mo isotopes, which could potentially affect the isotopic composition of Mo extracted from such waters.

542

543 4.2 Behaviour of U and its isotopes in Lake Rogoznica

544 4.2.1 Uranium and its isotopes in the water column

545 Previous studies from the two major modern semi-restricted euxinic basins (Cariaco Basin and Black Sea) have suggested that in such settings U is not removed to sediment through 546 547 processes in the water column, but rather via reduction in sediment driving U diffusion from 548 the overlying waters into the sediments (Anderson, 1987; Anderson et al., 1989a). The first-549 order features of the Lake Rogoznica data can first be assessed in terms of this paradigm, in 550 the interests of ascertaining whether it is generally applicable to euxinic basins of different 551 sizes and, for example, at the very high dissolved sulfide concentrations seen in Lake 552 Rogoznica.

Focusing first on U concentration, the above scenario implies no removal term in the water column, so that water column depth profiles should be explained in terms of diffusion and advection processes alone. In a simplified diffusion-advection-reaction framework the precise shapes of [U] depth profiles will be dependent on the rate of U diffusion into sediment compared to the rate at which the water column is mixed by advection. Here we assess whether such a simplified model explains the first order features of the [U] data for LakeRogoznica.

560 At steady-state, any depth profile in the lake can be modelled using the ADR equation:

561

562
$$D_z \frac{d^2 C}{dz^2} - \omega_z \frac{dC}{dz} - kC = 0$$
 [3]

where z is depth, D is the rate of diffusion, ω is the rate of advection and k is a rate constant for removal *within* the water column. If there is no removal within the water column (k = 0), the solution to equation [3] for boundary conditions $C(z) = C_0$ at z = 0 (lake surface) and C(z) $= C_m$ at z = m (lake bottom) is:

567
$$C(z) = C_0 + (C_m - C_0) \frac{e^{\frac{\omega_z}{D_z} - 1}}{e^{\frac{\omega_z}{D_z} - m} - 1}$$
[4]

568

569 By setting suitable [U] at the top (C_0) and bottom (C_m), model depth profiles can be compared 570 to the data.

571 The depth profiles for [U] from the different sampling campaigns (Fig. 2,5A) vary between 572 those that are close to continuous U depletion profiles with increasing depth (diffusion-573 dominated), versus others suggesting a very strong chemocline separating well-mixed upper 574 and lower layers (advection dominated within each layer, diffusion across the chemocline). 575 For example, the entire [U] depth profiles from the early sampling campaigns (e.g. February 2013) can be modelled in terms of processes dominated by diffusion downwards into the 576 577 sediment (Fig. 5), with no requirement for removal or addition (no reaction term) within the 578 water column. The later sampling campaigns, where a more stratified water column develops, 579 better approximate to two well-mixed reservoirs above and below the chemocline, with more 580 limited mass transfer between (e.g. July 2015; Fig. 5). This type of profile requires advective 581 mixing within the upper and lower layer, coupled to slower diffusive transport across the 582 chemocline, but is also completely consistent with the lack of a removal term within the water 583 column.

584 Overall, then, the first order features for the water-column dissolved pool uranium 585 concentrations require no removal within the water column, consistent with earlier 586 conclusions for the Black Sea and Cariaco Basin that U removal occurs within sulfidic 587 sediments (Anderson, 1987; Anderson et al., 1989a). Further, more detailed, constraints on the 588 U removal process come from water column U isotopes. Uranium isotope fractionation occurs 589 during the U(VI) to U(IV) transition, as evidenced by theoretical equilibrium calculations (e.g. 590 Bigeleisen 1996) and abiotic and biotic experiments (e.g. Basu et al., 2014; Stylo et al., 2015; 591 Stirling et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). The overall isotope fractionation associated with 592 uranium reduction is about 1-1.3 ‰, with the heavy isotope preferred in the reduced species 593 (e.g. Bigeleisen, 1996; Fujii et al., 2006; Abe et al., 2008, 2010). In the modern euxinic Black Sea, δ^{238} U in sediments is generally around 0.4 ‰ higher than the open ocean value, while the 594 deeper water column is driven to lower δ^{238} U (Weyer et al. 2008; Andersen et al. 2014; 595 596 Rolison et al. 2017). Previously (Andersen et al. 2014) these data were explained in terms of 597 an effective U isotope fractionation of +0.6‰, i.e. about half the full fractionation, due to U 598 uptake and precipitation in sediments driven by diffusion from overlying seawater and 599 transport-diffusion limitation in the pore water-sediment (e.g. Bender, 1990; Clark and 600 Johnson 2008).

601 If U removal occurs in this manner, the faster removal of 238 U than 235 U into the sediment 602 should lead to systematically lower δ^{238} U in the waters above and must occur in a manner that 603 is consistent with the 0.6‰ difference in the sequestration of 238 U relative to 235 U (see supplementary text for details). On the other hand, if U were to be removed via reduction in the water column itself with no transport-diffusion limitation, the U isotope fractionation process is expected to produce water column δ^{238} U values that reflect the full ~1.2 ‰ fractionation. At steady state, the overall input of U and its isotopes to Lake Rogoznica from the open sea must equal the outputs, i.e. outflow of water from the lake to the ocean, and output to sediment:

611
$$C^{\text{ocean}}F_{\text{exch}} - C^{\text{lake}}F_{\text{exch}} - kC^{\text{lake}} = 0$$
 [5]

612

613 Where C^x is [U] in the ocean or lake, F_{exch} is the water exchange rate and k is a rate constant 614 for removal of U to sediment. Rearranging:

615

616
$$C^{lake} = F_{exch} \frac{\left(C^{ocean} - C^{lake}\right)}{k}$$
[6a]

617

- 618 The above is also true for each of 238 U and 235 U so that:
- 619

620
$$C_{238}^{\text{lake}} = F_{\text{exch}} \frac{\left(C_{238}^{\text{ocean}} - C_{238}^{\text{lake}}\right)}{k_{238}}$$
 and $C_{235}^{\text{lake}} = F_{\text{exch}} \frac{\left(C_{235}^{\text{ocean}} - C_{235}^{\text{lake}}\right)}{k_{235}}$ [6b]

621

622 Combining [6a] and [6b]:

623

624
$$\frac{C_{238}^{\text{lake}}}{C_{235}^{\text{lake}}} = \frac{k_{235}}{k_{238}} \left(\frac{C_{238}^{\text{ocean}} - C_{238}^{\text{lake}}}{C_{235}^{\text{ocean}} - C_{235}^{\text{lake}}} \right)$$
[7]

625

Thus, at steady state the average 238 U/ 235 U ratio of the lake is independent of the relative sizes of the uranium fluxes, and depends only on the isotopic composition of the output to sediment and the degree to which the lake water is modified between input and output.

629 For Lake Rogoznica, potential scenarios can be examined with the above model of steady state U removal and the δ^{238} U vs [U] systematics (Fig. 6). The ultimate input of U may be 630 approximated by the open ocean, with δ^{238} U of -0.4 ‰ and [U] of 13.4 nM. Though this may 631 be slightly modified during transport through the karst, the $(^{234}U/^{238}U)$ in the lake water is 632 close to the open ocean value, suggesting this effect is minor. Fig. 6 shows all the water 633 634 column data for all sampling campaigns. Clearly, though the data lie closer to the diffusiondriven U removal model (solid line in Fig 6A, $k_{235}/k_{238} = 0.9994$) than one involving 635 irreversible removal in the water column (dashed line in Fig 6A, $k_{235}/k_{238} = 0.9988$), there is 636 637 also considerable scatter. Some of this scatter is, however, readily explainable once more in 638 terms of the relative importance of diffusion and advection, with little requirement for 639 reaction within the water column. Thus, for example, data for February 2013 again 640 approximate most closely a situation where diffusion occurs into the sediment across the 641 whole depthscale of the lake (Fig. 6A). But where stratification of the lake occurs, again 642 typified by July 2015 (Fig. 6C), it is only the lower anoxic portion of the lake that 643 approximates the model for diffusion into sediment, whereas the well-mixed upper portion of 644 the lake, now isolated from the sediment by the strong chemocline, shows a more 645 homogeneous U isotopic composition much closer to the oceanic input.

646 The depth profiles for the U isotope data in Fig. 2 clearly point to further minor processes, 647 especially near the chemocline. For example, there is clear but minor isotope exchange with 648 particulate material in July 2013 (Fig. 2) when the dissolved U pool situated just above the 649 chemocline was isotopically light, probably due to the degradation of particulate organic 650 matter with isotopically light U, similar to observed in sediment traps from Saanich Inlet 651 (Holmden et al., 2015). But the above discussion clearly suggests that the first-order process 652 governing U removal at Lake Rogoznica, in common with the Black Sea and despite the 653 higher water column sulfide concentrations, is via U diffusion downward into sediments 654 followed by reductive U precipitation with a net U isotope fractionation of +0.6%. The dominance of this first-order U removal process should, therefore, be reflected in the 655 656 authigenic U and its isotope composition imprinted on the sediment.

657

658 4.2.2 Mass balance for U and its isotopes in the lake system

659 In principle, a diffusive removal flux for U can be calculated if the depth of U removal in the 660 pore-waters is known (e.g. Bender, 1990). This estimate could establish whether the U 661 diffusion rate across the sediment-water interface rate is fast enough to account for the 662 observed U accumulation rate in the sediments. However, the pore water data at hand are not 663 at high enough resolution to allow this calculation – the required diffusive flux dictates an e-664 folding lengthscale for pore water removal of about 0.2 cm. On the other hand, the U isotope 665 composition of the accumulated authigenic U should reflect that dictated by the diffusive U flux model if this represents the main U removal term. Thus, the $^{238}U/^{235}U$ of authigenic U in 666 sediment is expected to be $\sim 0.6\%$ heavier than the overlying bottom waters (see 667 supplementary text). At Lake Rogoznica, the average δ^{238} U of bottom waters for the six 668 669 sampling campaigns is -0.94±0.07‰ (1SD), while the average authigenic sediment 670 composition is -0.30±0.07‰ (1SD). The difference is in good agreement with the diffusion671 driven removal scenario, confirming this process as the main U removal mechanism.

672 In general, if U is to be removed by the U diffusion process from an infinitely large (or rapidly replenished) water column with an open ocean composition (-0.4‰) the δ^{238} U of 673 authigenic U is expected to be $\sim+0.2$ %. At the other extreme, if the U removal flux is 674 significantly larger than the replenishment rate, thus fully depleting the water column in U, 675 676 sedimentary authigenic U should equal the input. These systematics reflect the degree of 677 restriction of the system, and are explored in Fig. 7 for Rogoznica and other semi-restricted 678 anoxic basins for which data are available (Kyllaren Fjord, Black Sea, Saanich Inlet, Cariaco 679 Basin, see Table 1). Fig. 7 highlights a clear relationship between the U concentration in the lake bottom waters with the authigenic δ^{238} U in the sediments (open symbols and blue line). 680 This suggests that the dominant U removal mechanism - U diffusion and reduction within the 681 682 sediments - was the same in each semi-restricted basin. This includes the Saanich Inlet, in 683 contrast to a previous study (Anderson et al. 1989b) that suggested that the diffusive removal 684 U flux was of insufficient size to be the dominant source of authigenic U in the sediments. 685 However, this latter U removal flux estimate, based on one pore water profile in the anoxic 686 part of the inlet, may have been underestimated due to a lack of representativeness of this one 687 profile or to artifacts during U porewater extraction. A shallow pore water depletion profile of 688 ~0.5 cm (Anderson et al 1989b), similar to that indicated for Lake Rogoznica, would be 689 required for the diffusive U flux to be the dominant U removal process in Saanich Inlet. If the 690 diffusive U flux had indeed been underestimated, this would explain the similarities between 691 authigenic U isotope data in Saanich Inlet sediments (Holmden et al., 2015) and those for 692 other semi-restricted basins, where the diffusive removal process has been shown to be dominant (Figure 7). There is also a reasonably good correlation between authigenic δ^{238} U 693 694 and water column sulfide concentrations (closed symbols, red line). If U removal is driven by

695 diffusion into sediment, a mechanistic correlation with the reduction potential of S⁻ is not 696 expected. But this correlation may be a more-or-less co-incidental consequence of the control 697 that deepwater overturning timescales exert on both sulfide concentrations and authigenic δ^{238} U. Relatively fast water overturning rates would lead to less S⁻ buildup in the water 698 column, replenishment of U in the water column, and authigenic δ^{238} U fractionated from open 699 700 ocean seawater. In contrast, relatively slow deepwater renewal rates may lead to higher S⁻, 701 slower U replenishment rates, more quantitative removal of U from the water column and authigenic δ^{238} U less fractionated from open ocean seawater. 702

703

4.3. Mass balance for U, Mo and their isotopes in Lake Rogoznica and other euxinic basins

706 The impact of deep water renewal rates on sediment geochemistry can be further explored in 707 the context of coupled sedimentary U and Mo concentrations and isotope systematics (Fig. 8). 708 The key difference between Mo and U is the removal mechanism in a euxinic water column, 709 with U driven by diffusion from the water column into sediment where it is fixed, while Mo is 710 scavenged by particulate material in the water column and transported to the sediment in solid 711 form. These different removal mechanisms have been used to fingerprint specific redox 712 conditions using coupled U vs. Mo enrichment systematics in sediment (e.g. Algeo and 713 Tribovillard, 2009). Thus, in a closed system where Mo and U are quantitatively removed, the 714 Mo/U ratio and the Mo and U isotope composition of the sediment should equal the input 715 from the open ocean. Such behaviour is rarely the case, however, with different euxinic basins 716 showing variable Mo/U ratios and Mo and U isotope compositions (Fig. 8). Of the euxinic 717 basins with Mo and U isotope data, only Kyllaren Fjord (Noordmann et al., 2015) shows 718 Mo/U ratios and Mo and U isotope compositions close to the open ocean, indicating near 719 quantitative uptake of both Mo and U. Sediments from the deepest part of the Black Sea (Station 9 with both U and Mo isotope data; Arnold et al., 2004; Andersen et al., 2014) show a Mo/U ratio significantly below the seawater value (see also Tribovillard et al., 2006; Algeo and Tribovillard, 2009). This behaviour has been linked to the contrasting sedimentary output mechanisms for Mo and U, and the fact that the Black Sea exhibits extreme stratification and long deep water renewal times (>500 years; Algeo & Lyons, 2006), leading to deep water Mo depletion from slow resupply (Algeo &Tribovillard, 2009).

726

727 At Lake Rogoznica, Mo/U ratios are, on average, about twice the seawater value (Fig. 8A). 728 Where this has been reported, including at Saanich Inlet (Russell and Morford, 2001; 729 Holmden et al., 2015; Amini et al., 2016) and in the Cariaco Basin (Arnold et al., 2004; 730 Andersen et al., 2014), it is often interpreted as evidence for Mo addition to sediment via a Fe-Mn oxide "particulate shuttle" (e.g. Algeo and Tribovillard, 2009). This suggestion is 731 732 supported by the previous documentation of isotopically light Mo in such sediments (Fig 8B), 733 and is also consistent with light Mo isotope data at Lake Rogoznica. It is also true, however, 734 that Mo/U ratios higher than seawater are an expected feature of small reservoirs like Lake 735 Rogoznica, even in the absence of a particulate shuttle, because of the more rapid removal of 736 Mo relative to U from the water column to sediment. In fact, any measurement of the basinal 737 average Mo/U ratio of a euxinic setting must record a Mo/U ratio higher than seawater simply 738 because uranium will be lost to a greater extent by flow of water out of the basin than will 739 Mo. For a very small and simple reservoir like Lake Rogoznica, and despite uncertainties such 740 as those arising from the possible operation of a Fe-Mn oxide "particulate shuttle", the 741 different removal rates of Mo and U are likely to give higher Mo/U than that of seawater, and 742 this is likely to be recorded in these sediments. Only in the case where the water flow through 743 the euxinic reservoir is so slow that U diffusion into sediment can keep up, will the Mo/U 744 ratio approach the seawater value. In this context, also implicit in the treatment of Algeo and Tribovillard (2009), the Mo/U ratios lower than seawater in the deep, most sulfidic, portion of
the Black Sea only arise because of preferential stripping of Mo relative to U from younger "upstream" - waters.

The Lake Rogoznica isotope data show some similarities to Kyllaren Ford for δ^{238} U, but the 748 low δ^{98} Mo in both the euxinic waters (δ^{98} Mo ~2.0-2.4‰) and sediments (δ^{98} Mo ~1.6-2.2‰), 749 and relatively high Mo/U in the sediments, all seem to require conditions occasionally, though 750 751 perhaps transient, when isotopically light Mo is added to the basin. The anomalously high [Mo] and low δ^{98} Mo in April 2013 surface waters, characterised by the lowest δ^{98} Mo in 752 753 euxinic waters ($\sim 1\%$) of any of the sampling campaigns, may have caught one such transient 754 event. However, the arrows on Fig. 8B also indicate schematically how much of the paired 755 Mo-U isotope data for euxinic basins could also be rationalised in terms of (a) variable rates 756 of Mo-U removal relative to each other, possibly driven by variable sulfide concentrations 757 within and between basins, differences in water renewal rates, as well as differences in the 758 ratio of euxinic sediment-water interface (U removal) to volume of euxinic water column (Mo removal); (b) a constant effective ${}^{238}U/{}^{235}U$ fractionation factor of about 0.6‰ and; (c) Mo 759 760 isotope fractionation during the early history of Mo removal, e.g. driven by H₂S concentrations near the action point of switch for complete transformation of Mo^{VI}O₄²⁻ to 761 Mo^{VI}S₄²⁻ (Helz et al., 1996; Tossel et al., 2005; Nägler et al., 2011; Kerl et al., 2017), 762 763 followed by much more subtle fractionations under the fully euxinic conditions that old 764 waters in the Black Sea encounter (e.g. Nägler et al., 2011). Though the data for sediments 765 recovered beneath the oldest most sulfide-rich waters of the Black Sea support such a 766 scenario, we currently lack paired U-Mo isotope data from sediments deposited from younger 767 waters to fully test this schematic scenario in the Black Sea.

768

769 **5.** Conclusions

770 Data presented in this paper for the temporal variation in the marine Lake Rogoznica, for both 771 Mo and U and their isotopes, has permitted a detailed assessment of the behaviour of these 772 redox-sensitive elements in a small euxinic basin, leading to the following principle 773 conclusions.

- Both δ⁹⁸Mo_{part-diss} and δ⁹⁸Mo_{sed-porewater} converge towards a ~0.3‰ offset at high sulfide concentrations, providing further evidence for minor Mo isotope fractionation during non-quantitative Mo uptake into euxinic sediments previously suggested for the Black Sea (Nägler et al. 2011). However, Lake Rogoznica also appears to see periodic addition of significant quantities of isotopically light Mo to the lake waters, possibly from the release of Mo from Fe-Mn oxides formed in the oxic layer.
- 780

• Uranium concentration profiles in the lake waters show clear evidence for a dominant mechanism for removal from solution via U diffusion into, and precipitation within, the euxinic sediments. Periods of more intense stratification lead to well-mixed profiles in the oxic upper and euxinic lower layers, with diffusion across the chemocline only. Furthermore, δ^{238} U in both the sediments and deep water column are consistent with an effective 238 U/ 235 U fractionation of +0.6‰ during uptake in the sediments, in line with other studied euxinic basins.

788

• As a result of the different uptake mechanisms of U and Mo it is likely that sediments in different areas of a euxinic basin will show different Mo/U, δ^{238} U and δ^{98} Mo systematics. The exact Mo and U patterns represent an interplay between: (i) the size of the basin; (ii) deep water renewal rates; (iii) water-column sulfide concentrations and; (iv) processes related to the Fe-Mn shuttle. This study further emphasises the

- potential of combined Mo and U systematics to provide a better understanding of the
 redox conditions reflected in the signatures recorded in ancient sediments.
- 796

797 Acknowledgments

798 This work was supported by ETH Zürich, the Croatian Science Foundation project IP-11-799 2013-1205, SPHERE and the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7 2007-800 2013) under grant agreement n° 291823 Marie Curie FP7-PEOPLE-2011-COFUND (The new 801 International Fellowship Mobility Programme for Experienced Researchers in Croatia -802 NEWFELPRO), as part of the project "Using lakes to develop isotopic tools for 803 understanding ocean redox through Earth history (IsotopicRedoxTools)". GFdS is supported 804 by a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research Fellowship under EU Horizon2020 (SOSiC; GA 805 #708407). We would like to thank the editor, C. Holmden and two anonymous reviewers for 806 constructive comments on a previous version of the manuscript.

807
808 **References**

- Abe, M., Suzuki, T., Fujii, Y., Hada, M., Hirao, K., 2008. An ab initio molecular orbital study
 of the nuclear volume effects in uranium isotope fractionations. *J. Chem. Phys.*129,
 164309.
- Algeo, T.J. and Lyons, T.W. (2006) Mo-total organic carbon covariation in modern anoxic
 marine environments: implications for analysis of paleoredox and paleohydrographic
 conditions. *Paleoceaonography* 21, 10.1029/2004PA001112.
- Algeo, T.J. and Tribovillard, N. (2009) Environmental analysis of paleocenographic systems
 based on molybdenum-uranium covariation. *Chem. Geol.* 268, 211-225.
- Amini, M. Weis, D., Soon, M. and Francois, R. (2016) Molybdenum Isotope Fractionation in
 Saanich Inlet, British Columbia. *Goldschmidt Conference, Yokohama*, 60.
- Andersen, M., Stirling, C., Zimmermann, B. and Halliday, A. (2010) Precise determination of
 the open ocean ²³⁴U/²³⁸U composition. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 11,
 doi.org/10.1029/2010GC003318.
- Andersen, M.B., Vance, D., Keech, A.R., Rickli, J. and Hudson, G. (2013) Estimating U
 fluxes in a high-latitude, boreal post-glacial setting using U-series isotopes in soils and
 rivers. *Chem. Geol.* 354, 22-32.
- Andersen, M.B., Romaniello, S., Vance, D., Little, S.H., Herdman, R. and Lyons, T.W.
 (2014) A modern framework for the interpretation of ²³⁸U/²³⁵U in studies of anciend
 ocean redox. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 400, 184-194.
- Andersen, M.B., Elliott, T., Freymuth, H., Sims, K.W., Niu, Y. and Kelley, K.A. (2015) The
 terrestrial uranium isotope cycle. *Nature* 517, 356-359.
- 830 Andersen, M.B., Vance, D., Morford, J.L., Bura-Nakić, E., Breitenbach, S.F.M. and Och, L.
- 831 (2016) Closing in on the marine ${}^{238}/{}^{235}$ U budget. *Chem. Geol.* **420**, 11-22.

- Andersen, M.B., Stirling, C.H. and Weyer, S. (2017) Uranium isotope fractionation. *Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry* 82, 799-850.
- Anderson, R.F. (1987) Redox behaviour of uranium in an anoxic marine basin. Uranium 3,
 145-164.
- Anderson, R.F., Fleischer, M.Q. and LeHurray, A.P. (1989a) Concentration, oxidation state,
 and particulate flux of uranium in the Black Sea. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 53,
 2215-2224.
- Anderson, R.F., LeHuray, A.P., Fleisher, M.Q. and Muray, W. (1989b) Uranium deposition in
 Saanich Inlet sediments, Vancouver Island. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 53, 22022213.
- Archer, C. and Vance, D. (2008) The isotopic signature of the global riverine molybdenum
 flux and anoxia in the ancient oceans. *Nature Geoscience* 1, 597-600.
- Arnold, G.I., Anbar, A.D., Barling, J. and Lyons, T.W. (2004) Molybdenum isotope evidence
 for widespread anoxia in mid-proterozoic oceans. *Science* 304, 87-90.
- Azrieli-Tal, I., Matthews, A., Bar-Matthews, M., Almogi-Labin, A., Vance, D., Archer, C.
 and Teutsch, N. (2014) Evidence from molybdenum and iron isotopes and
 molybdenum-uranium covariation for sulphidic bottom waters during Eastern
 Mediterranean sapropel S1 formation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 393, 231-242.

Bargar, J.R., Williams, K.H., Campbell, K.M., Long, P.E., Stubbs, J.E., Suvorova, E.I.,
Lezama-Pacheco, J.S., Alessi, P.S., Stylo, M., Webb, S.M., Davis, J.A., Giammar,
D.E., Blue, L.Y. and Bernier-Latmani, R. (2013) Uranium redox transformation
pathways in acetate-amended sediments. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110(12), 45064511.

- Barling, J., Arnold, G.L. and Anbar, A.D. (2001) Natural mass-dependent variations in the
 isotopic composition of molybdenum. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 193, 447-457.
- Barling, J. and Anbar, A.D. (2004) Molybdenum isotope fractionation during adsorption by
 manganese oxides. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 217, 315-329.
- Barnes, C.E, and Cochran, J.K. (1990) Uranium removal in oceanic sediments and the
 oceanic-U balance. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 97, 94-101.
- Basu, A., Stanford, R.A., Johnson, T.M., Lundstrom, C.C. and Löfller, F.E. (2014) Uranium
 isotopic fractionation factors during U(VI) reduction by bacterial isolates. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 136, 100-113.
- 864 Bender, M.L. (1990) The δ^{18} O of dissolved O₂ in seawater: a unique tracer of circulation and 865 respiration in the deep sea. *J. Geophys. Res., Oceans* **95**, 22242-22252.
- Bigeleisen, J. (1996) Nuclear size and shape effects in chemical reactions. Isotope chemistry of heavy
 elements. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 118, 3676-3680.
- Bostick, B.C., Fendore, S. and Helz, G.R. (2003) Differential adsorption of molybdate and
 tetrathiomolybdate on pyrite (FeS₂). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 37, 285-291.
- Brennecka, G.A., Herrmann, A.D., Alego, T.J. and Anbar, A.D. (2011a) Rapid expansion of
 oceanic anoxia immediately before the end-Permian mass extinction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108, 17631-17634.
- Brennecka, G.A., Wasylenki, L.E., Bargar, J.R., Weyer, S. and Anbar, A.D. (2011b) Uranium
 isotope fractionation during adsorption to Mn-oxyhydroxides. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*45,1370-1375.

- Bura-Nakić, E., Helz, G.R., Ćosović, B. and Ciglenečki, I. (2009) Reduced sulfur species in a
 stratified seawater lake (Rogoznica Lake, Croatia); seasonal variations and evidence
 for organic carriers of reactive sulfur. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 73, 3738–3751.
- Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Sehe, C.C., Polyak, V.J., Asmerom, Y., Woodhead, Y.,
 Hellstrom, J., Wang, Y., Kong, X., Spötl, C., Wang, X. and Alexander Jr., C. (2013)
 Improvements in ²³⁰Th dating, ²³⁰Th and ²³⁴U half-life values, and U-Th isotopic
 measurements by multi-collector inductively couples plasma mass spectrometry. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **371-372**, 82-91.
- Ciglenečki, I., Janeković, I., Marguš, M., Bura-Nakić, E., Carić, M., Ljubešić, Z., Batistić, M.,
 Hrustić, E., Dupčić, I. and Garić, R. (2015) Impacts of extreme weather events on
 higly eutrophic marine ecosystem (Rogoznica Lake, Adriatic coast). *Cont. Shelf Res.*108, 144-155.
- Ciglenečki, I., Carić, M., Kršinić, F., Viličić, D. and Ćosović, B. (2005) The extintion by
 sulfide turnover and recovery of a naturally eutrophic, meromictic seawater lake. *J. Mar. Sys.* 56, 29-44.
- Clark, S.K. and Johnson, T.M. (2008) Effective isotopic fractionation factors for solute
 removal by reactive sediments: a laboratory microcosm and slurry study. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 7850-7855.
- 894 Collier, R.W. (1985) Molybdenum in the Northeast Pacific Ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 30,
 895 1351-1354.
- Colodner, D., Edmond, J. and Boyle, E. (1995) Rhenium in the Black Sea: comparison with
 molybdenum and uranium. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 131, 1-15.
- Dahl, T.W., Anbar, A.D., Gordon, G.W., Rosing, M.T., Frei, R. and Canfield, D.E. (2010)
 The behavior of molybdenum and its isotopes across the chemocline and in the

- 900 sediments of sulfidic Lake Cadagno, Switzerland. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74,
 901 144-163.
- Emerson, S.R. and Huested, S.S. (1991) Ocean anoxic and the concentration of molybdenum
 and vanadium in seawater. *Mar. Chem.* 34, 177-196.
- Erickson, B.E., and Helz, G.R. (2000) Molybdenum (VI) speciation in sulphidic waters:
 stability and lability of thiomolybdates. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 64, 1149-1158.
- Goldberg, T., Archer, C., Vance, D. and Poulton, S.W. (2009) Mo isotope fractionation during
 adsorption to Fe (oxyhydr)oxides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 73, 6502-6516.
- Fujii, Y., Higuchi, N., Haruno, Y., Nomura, M. and Suzuki, T. (2006) Temperature
 dependence of isotope effects in uranium chemical exchange reactions. *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.* 43, 400–406.
- Gordon, G.W., Lyons, T.W., Arnold, G.L., Roe, J., Sageman, B.B. and Anbar, A.D. (2009)
 When do black shales tell molybdenum isotope tales? *Geology* 37, 535-538.
- Helz, G.R., Miller, C.V., Charnock, J.M., Mosselmans, J.F.W., Pattrick, R.A.D., Garner, C.D.
 and Vaughan, D.J. (1996) Mehanism of molybdenum removal from the sea and its
 concentration in black shales: EXAFS evidence. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 60, 36313642.
- Helz, G.R., Bura-Nakić, E., Mikac, N. and Ciglenečki, I. (2011) New model for molybdenum
 behavior in euxinic waters. *Chem. Geol.* 284, 323-332.
- 919
- Herrmann, A.D., Kendall, B., Alego, T.J., Gordon, G.W., Wasylenki, L.E., and Anbar, A.D.
 (2012) Anomalous molybdenum isotope trends in Upper Pennsylvanian euxinic facies:
 Significance for use of ⁹⁸Mo as a global marine redox proxy. *Chem. Geol.* 324-325,
 87-98.

924	Hinojosa, J.L., Stirling, C.H., Reid, M.R., Moy, C.M. and Wilson, G.S. (2016) Trace metal
925	cycling and ²³⁸ U/ ²³⁵ U in New Zealand's fjords: Implications for reconstructing global
926	paleoredox conditions in organic-rich sediments. Geochim. Cosmocim. Acta 179, 89-
927	109.

- Holmden, C., Amini, M. and Francois, R. (2015) Uranium isotope fractionation in Saanich
 Inlet: A modern analog study of a paleoredox tracer. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 153,
 202-215.
- Kerl, C.F., Lohmayer, R., Bura-Nakić, E., Vance, D. and Planer-Friedrich, B. (2017)
 Experimental confirmation of isotope fractionation in thiomolybdates using ion
 chromatography and detection by multi-collector ICP-MS. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 31233129.
- Klinkhammer, G.P. and Palmer, M.R. (1991) Uranium in the oceans: where it goes and why. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 55, 1799-1806.

937 Kowalski, et al. (2013): Pelagic molybdenum concentration anomalies and the impact of

- 938 sediment resuspension on the molybdenum budget in two tidal systems of the North
- 939 Sea. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 119, 198-211. dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.05.046

- Ku, T.L., Knauss, K.G. and Mathieu, G.G. (1977) Uranium in open ocean: concentration and
 isotopic composition. *Deep-Sea Res.* 24, 1005-1017.McLennan, S.M. (2001)
 Relationships between the trace element composition of sedimentary rocks and upper
 continental crust. *Geochem.Geophys. Geosyst.* 2, doi: 10.1029/2000GC000109.
- Lovley, D.R., Phillips, E.J.P., Gorby, Y.A., Landa, E.R., 1991. Microbial Reduction of
- 946 Uranium. Nature 350, 413-416McManus, et al. (2002): Oceanic molybdenum isotope
- 947 fractionation: Diagenesis and hydrothermal ridge-flank alteration. Geochemistry,
- 948 Geophysics, Geosystems, 3 (12), 1078, doi:10.1029/2002GC000356, 2002.

- 949 McManus, J., Berelson, W.M., Klinkhammer, G.P., Hammond, D.E. and Holm, C. (2005)
- 950 Authigenic uranium: relationship to oxygen penetration depth and organic carbon rain.
 951 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 69, 95-108.
- 952 McManus, J., Berelson, W.M., Severmann, S., Poulson, R.I., Hammond, D.E., Klinkhammer,
- 953 G.P. and Holm, C. (2006) Molybdenum and uranium geochemistry in continental 954 margin sediments: paleoproxy potential. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **70**, 4643-4662.
- Millero, F.J. (1986) The thermodynamic and kinetics of the hydroge sulfide system in natural
 waters. *Mar. Chem.* 18, 121-147.
- 957 Montoya-Pino, C., Weyer, S., Anbar, A.D., Pross, J., Oschmann, W., van de Schootbruuge, B.
- and Arz, H.W (2010) Global enhancment of ocean anoxia during Anoxic Oceanic
 Event 2: A quantitative approach using U isotopes. *Geology* 38, 315-318.
- Morford, J.L. and Emerson, S. (1999) The geochemistry of redox sensitive trace metals in
 sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 63, 1735-1750.
- Nägler, T.F., Neubert, N., Böttcher, M.E., Dellwig, O. and Schnetger, B. (2011) Molybdenum
 isotope fractionation in pelagic euxinia: Evidence from the modern Black and Baltic
 Seas. *Chem. Geol.* 289, 1-11.
- Nägler, T.F., Anbar, A.D., Archer, C., Goldberg, T., Gordon, G.W., Greber, N.D., Siebert, C.,
 Sohrin, Y. and Vance, D. (2014) Proposal for an international molybdenum isotope
 measurement standard and data representation. *Geostand. Geoanal. Res.* 38, 149-151.
- 968 Nakagawa, Y., Takano, S., Firdaus, M.L., Norisuye, K., Hirata, T., Vance, D. and Sohrin, Y.
- 969 (2012) The molybdenum isotopic composition of the modern ocean. *Geochem. J.* 46,
 970 131-141.
- 971 Noordmann, J., Weyer, S., Montoya-Pino, C., Dellwig, O., Neubert, N. and Eckert, S. (2015)
 972 Uranium and molybdenum isotope systematics in modern euxinic basins: Case studies

- 973 from the central Baltic Sea and the Kyllaren fjord (Norway). *Chem. Geol.* 396, 182974 195.
- Poulson, R.L., Siebert, C., McManus, J., Severmann, S. and Berelson, W.M. (2006)
 Authigenic molybdenum isotopes signatures in marine sediments. *Geology*, 34, 617620.
- Poulson Brucker, R.L., McManus, J., Severmann, S. and Berelson, W.M. (2009)
 Molybdenum behavior during early diagenesis: insights from Mo isotopes. *Geochem. Geophy. Geosyst.* 10, doi:10.1029/2008GC002180.
- Prospero, J. M. (1996). Saharan dust transport over the North Atlantic Ocean and
 Mediterranean: an overview. In *The impact of desert dust across the Mediterranean*(eds. Guerzoni, S. and Chester R.). Netherlands, Springer, pp. 133-151.
- Richter, S., Alonso-Munoz, A., Eykens, R., Jacobsson, U., Kuehn, H., Verbruggen, A.,
 Aregbe, Y., Wellum, R. and Keegan, E. (2008). The isotopic composition of natural
 uranium samples-Measurements using the new n(²³³U)/n(²³⁶U) dobule spike IRMM3636. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* 269, 146-148.
- Rolison, J.M., Stirling, C.H., Middag, R. and Rijkenberg, M. J.A. (2017) Uranium stable
 isotope fractionation in the Black Sea: modern calibration of the ²³⁸U/²³⁵U paleo-redox
 proxy. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 203, 69-88.
- Russell, A.D. and Morford, J.L. (2001) The behaviour of redox-sensitive metals across a
 laminated massive-laminated transition in Saanich Inlet, British Columbia. *Marine Geology.* 174, 341-354.
- Scheiderich, K., Zerkle, A.L., Helz, G.R., Farquhar, J. and Walker, R.J. (2010) Molybdenum
 isotope, multiple sulfur isotope, and redox sensitive elementa behavior in early
 Pleistocene Mediterranean Sapropels. *Chem. Geol.* 279, 134-144.

- Scott, C., Lyons, T.W., Bekker, A., Shen, Y., Poulton, S.W., Chu, X. and Anbar, A.D. (2008)
 Tracing the stepwise oxygenation of the Proterozoic ocean. *Nature* 452, 456-459.
- Siebert, C., Nägler, T.F., von Blanckenburg, F. and Kramers, J.D. (2003) Molybdenum
 isotope records as a potential new proxy for paleocenography. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **211**, 159-171.
- Siebert, C., McManus, J., Bice, A., Poulson, R. and Berelson, W.M. (2006) Molybdenum
 isotope signatures in continental margin marine sediments. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*241, 723-733.
- Stirling, C.H., Andersen, M.B., Potter, E.K. and Halliday, A.N. (2007) Low temperature
 isotopic fractionation of uranium. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 264, 208-225.
- Stirling, C.H., Andersen, M.B., Warthmann, R. and Halliday, A.N. (2015) Isotope
 fractionation of ²³⁸U and ²³⁵U during biologically-mediated uraium reduction.
 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 163, 200-218.
- Stylo, M., Neubert, N., Wang, Y., Monga, N., Romaniello, S.J. and Weyer, S. (2015)
 Uranium isotopes fingerprint biotic reduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 112, 5619-5624.
- 1012 Taylor, R.N. and McLennan, S. (1985) *The Continental Crust: Its Composition and Evolution*.
 1013 Blackwell, Boston.
- 1014 Tissot, F.L.H. and Dauphas, N. (2015) Uranium isotopic compositions of the crust and ocean:
 1015 age corrections, U budget and global extent of modern anoxia. *Geochim. Cosmochim.*1016 *Acta* 167,113-143.
- 1017 Tossell, J.A. (2005) Calculating the partitioning of the isotopes of Mo between oxidic and
 1018 sulfidic species in aqueous solution. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 69, 2981-2993.

- Tribovillard, N., Alego, T., Lyons, T.W. and Riboulleau, A. (2006) Trace metals as
 paleoredox and paleoproductivity proxies: an update. *Chem. Geol.* 232, 12-32.
- Tribovillard, N., Alego, T.J., Baudin, F. and Riboulleau, A. (2012) Analysis of marine
 environmental conditions based on molybdenum uranium covariation Applications
 to Mesozoic paleocenography. *Chem. Geol.* 324-325, 46-58.
- 1024 Vogelin, A.R., Nägler, T.F., Samankassou, E. and Villa, I.M. (2009) Molybdenum isotopic
 1025 composition of modern and Carboniferous carbonates. *Chem. Geol.* 265, 488-498.
- 1026 Vogelin, R.A., Nägler, T.F., Beukes, N.J. and Lacassie, J.P. (2010) Molybdenum isotopes in
 1027 late Archean carbonate rocks: Implications for early Earth oxygenation. *Precambrian*1028 *Res.* 182, 70-82.
- 1029 Vogelin, A.R., Pettke, T., Greber, N.D., von Niderhäusen, B. and Nägler, T. (2014) Magma
 1030 differentiation fractionates Mo isotopes ratios: evidence from the Kos Plateau Tuff
 1031 (Aegean Arc). *Lithos* 190-191, 440-448.
- 1032 Vorlicek, T.P., and Helz, G.R. (2002) Catalysis by mineral surfaces: implications for Mo
 1033 geochemistry in anoxic environments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 66, 3679-3692.
- Wang, X., Johnson, T.M. and Lundstrom, C.C., 2015. Isotope fractionation during oxidation
 of tetravalent uranium by dissolved oxygen. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 150, 160–
 1036 170.
- Westermann, S., Vance, D., Cameron, V., Archer, C. and Robinson, S.A. (2014)
 Heterogeneous oxidation states in the Atlantic and Tethys oceans during Oceanic
 Anoxic Event 2. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 404, 178-189.
- Weyer, S., Anbar, A.D., Gerdes, A., Gordon, G.W., Alego, T.J. and Boyle, E.A. (2008)
 Natural fractionation of ²³⁸U/²³⁵U. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 72, 345-359.

- 1042 Zheng, Y., Anderson, R.F., van Geen, A., Fleischer, M.Q., 2002. Preservation of particulate
 1043 non-lithogenic uranium in marine sediments. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 66,
- 1044 3085-309

1046 Figure captions

Figure 1: Depth-time sections for salinity, oxygen, sulfide, and particulate Fe and Mn
concentrations in Lake Rogoznica during 2013 (February, April, July and October) and
2015 (April and July).

Figure 2: Depth profiles of dissolved (salinity normalised) and particulate Mo and U concentrations, dissolved and particulate δ^{98} Mo and δ^{238} U. The very high Mo concentration at the surface (0 m) in April and July 2013, as well as the low δ^{238} U in July 2013 (7.5 m), are given as numerical values.

Figure 3: Authigenic sedimentary and pore water Mo and U concentrations, as well as δ^{98} Mo and δ^{238} U, in the sediment core recovered from the anoxic portion of Lake Rogoznica, plotted versus depth beneath the sediment water interface. The dashed lines on all four plots show the isotopic composition of the open ocean for Mo and U.

1058 Figure 4: A: Mo isotopic composition plotted against reciprocal Mo concentration for all samples with $O_2 > 5mg l^{-1}$. Most data fall along a flat trajectory close to oceanic Mo 1059 isotope ratios at variable Mo concentrations (horizontal grey arrow). Some data for April 1060 and July 2013, however, show higher Mo concentrations and lower δ^{98} Mo, lying along a 1061 trajectory that requires an extra source of Mo with δ^{98} Mo around +0.4 to +0.5‰. B: 1062 δ^{98} Mo_{diss-part} for all dissolved-particulate pairs, including sediment-pore water, for 1063 1064 samples where sulfide is detectable. The water column data appear to become asymptotic 1065 to a value around +0.3% at very high dissolved sulfide concentrations. Though pore water data are more scattered, no δ^{98} Mo_{diss-part} is below this value. 1066

Figure 5: A: Salinity-normalised [U] data from the February 2013 campaign (red diamonds);
the modelled thick black line on the diagram is for a virtually stagnant lake (no advection) in
which U diffuses downwards into the sediment. The relative importance of advection versus

1070 diffusion is represented by the value of ω/D , which is ~0.007 for the modelled evolution. For comparison, the thin dashed line shows a profile dominated by advection, with a $\omega/D = 0.7$, 1071 two orders of magnitude greater. B: All data from the four intermediate sampling campaigns. 1072 1073 C: Data from the July 2015 campaign (purple circles). The water column is separated into two 1074 rather isolated layers above and below the chemocline. The black curve shows the impact of 1075 transport within each layer that is completely dominated by advection over diffusion ($\omega/D =$ 1076 2 ± 0.3) - both reservoirs are well mixed for [U] with much slower communication across the 1077 chemocline.

Figure 6: Salinity-normalised [U] vs. δ^{238} U for Lake Rogoznica. A: Data from the February 1078 2013 campaign (red diamonds), which, as for [U] (Fig 5), most closely follow the expected 1079 1080 trajectory for diffusion-driven U removal from a stagnant water column. The red dotted line is a regression of the data (based on 1/[U] vs δ^{238} U). The curved solid black line shows the 1081 1082 approximate trajectory expected for the waters, with diffusion-driven U removal with a +0.6‰ difference in the removal rate constants for ²³⁸U and ²³⁵U, and a starting composition 1083 1084 similar to water sample with the highest [U] (see supplementary text for details). The dashed line shows the trajectory for removal within the water column via a Rayleigh process with a 1085 1.2‰ fractionation in 238 U/ 235 U, starting from the same water sample as the diffusion model. 1086 B: All data from the four intermediate sampling campaigns. C: Data for July 2015 (purple 1087 1088 circles) which, again like the [U] data (Fig 5), show strong asymmetry. This profile is characterised by an upper oxic layer that is near homogeneous in [U] and δ^{238} U because of 1089 isolation from the sediment by a strong chemocline, and a lower anoxic layer. The $[U]-\delta^{238}U$ 1090 systematics in the lower anoxic layer $(1/[U] \text{ vs } \delta^{238} \text{U}$ regression; dotted line) follow the model 1091 1092 trajectory for diffusion-driven U removal from a stagnant water column (solid line), with the 1093 deepest oxic sample, nearest the chemocline, used as the upper boundary for both the 1094 regression line and diffusion modelling.

Figure 7: Relationship between $\delta^{238}U_{auth}$ and (i) the percentage of dissolved U removal in deep anoxic vs surface water (bottom axis, open symbols, blue regression line) and (ii) total dissolved sulfide (ΣS^{2-}) in the anoxic part of the water column (closed symbols, red regression line). Sulfide concentrations in Supplementary Table 2. See Table 1 for citations to data. The slope of the U removal vs. $\delta^{238}U_{auth}$ best fit regression line is close to that expected for diffusive removal U flux with an effective ${}^{238}U/{}^{235}U$ fractionation ~+0.6 (see supplementary text).

Figure 8: A: U vs Mo enrichment factors and, B: authigenic δ^{98} Mo vs. δ^{238} U, for Lake 1102 1103 Rogoznica sediments (individual sediment horizons, open circles; average, filled circle) 1104 compared to other euxinic basins. Key to colours for both panels as indicated in Panel B. Data 1105 sources: Kyllaren Fjord (Noordmann et al., 2015), Black Sea (Station 9 data in Arnold et al., 1106 2004; Andersen et al., 2014), Cariaco Basin (Arnold et al., 2004; Andersen et al., 2014), 1107 Saanich Inlet (Russell and Morford, 2001; Holmden et al., 2015; Amini et al., 2016). In A the 1108 seawater Mo/U is plotted as the solid line, with deviations from the seawater ratio shown as 1109 dashed lines as indicated. In B schematic solid arrows show how sediment deposited beneath 1110 euxinic waters would vary in different euxinic basins for high versus low rates of Mo removal 1111 relative to U, assuming a constant fractionation factor for uranium isotopes. These scenarios 1112 would require Mo fractionation during initial non-quantitative removal to be substantial, but 1113 more subtle during the subsequent more quantitative removal, consistent with theoretical, 1114 experimental and observational constraints (e.g. Helz et al., 1996; Tossel, 2005; Nägler et al., 1115 2011; Kerl et al., 2017).

- 1116
- 1117
- 1118
- 1119
- 1120

1123 **Table 1:** $[\Sigma S^{-II}]$, $[U]_{diss}$ and $\delta^{238}U$ of dissolved ($\delta^{238}U_{diss}$) and authigenic sedimentary ($\delta^{238}U_{auth}$) 1124 uranium in the anoxic water columns and sediments of different modern anoxic basins.

Euxinic basin	Salinity norm. [U] _{diss} nmol 1 ⁻¹ **	$\delta^{238} U_{auth}$ in sediments	δ ²³⁸ U _{diss} deep waters	[ΣS ^{-II}] μmol l ⁻¹ deep waters	Source
Lake	2.8/***	-0.30	-0.94	1214	This work
Rogoznica*					
Kyllaren Fjord	7.5/12.9	-0.22	-0.71	4316	Noordmann et al. (2015)
Black Sea	9.3/17.3	-0.03	-0.68	262	Rolison et al. (2016)
Cariaco Trench	12.0/14.5	+0.03	-	40	Anderson (1987)
					Emerson and Huested (1991) Andersen et al. (2014)
Saanich Inlet	14.7/15.4	+0.17	-0.48	30	Emerson and Huested (1991) Holmden et al. (2015)

1125 *average values for $[U]_{diss.}$, $\delta^{238} U_{auth}$, $\delta^{238} U_{diss.}$ and ΣS^{-II} in the deepest anoxic Lake Rogoznica samples from all 6 1126 sampling events.

*Salinity normalised (35) U concentrations for deep waters/surface waters. This ratio is used for the U water
column removal fraction in Figure 7.

1129 ***A [U] as the open ocean was used for the surface waters (13.4 nmol⁻¹) in this setting.

1130

Figure 1



 $[Mo]_{part} (nmol l^{-1})$ $[U]_{part}$ (nmol I^{-1}) Feb 2013 0,0 0,4 20 0,2 0 C depth (m) 10. • [Mo]_{part} $\circ \delta^{98}Mo_{part}$ • $\delta^{98}Mo_{diss}$ • [Mo]_{SNdiss} $O \delta^{238} U_{part}$ • [U]_{part} 10 10 • [U]_{SNdiss} ${}^{\bullet}\,\delta^{^{238}}{}^{U}_{_{diss}}$ 15↓ 0 15 0 120 0 12 -1,2 -0,8 -0,4 0,0 ż 3 40 80 6 1 Apr 2013 0,0 0**0** 0 20 40 0,2 0,4 0. 0 O 576 depth (m) 10. 10-10 10 15 0 12 ¹⁵-1,2 -0,8 -0,4 0,0 15 0 _____15 ______120 0 40 80 1 2 3 6 40 Jul 2013 20 0,0 0,2 0,4 0 0. 0 0-0 143 depth (m) 10 10 10 10 15↓ 0 120¹⁵ 15 0 12 -1,2 -0,8 -0,4 0,0 ż 40 80 1 ż 6 40 0,4 Oct 2013 20 0,0 0 0,2 0 0 0 depth (m) 10 5 10 10 10 15 0 15 120 ¹⁵ 15 0 12 ¹⁵-1,2 -0,8 -0,4 0,0 2 3 6 80 1 40 Apr 2015 20 40 0, 0 000 0,0 0,2 0,4 0 ٥Ę 0 depth (m) 10 10 15 L 15 0 120 ¹⁵ 12 15 -1,2 -0,8 -0,4 0,0 40 80 1 ż 3 6 Jul 2015 0,4 0 20 40 0,0 0,2 ٥Ŗ 0. 08 0 ò depth (m) 10 50 5 5 5 10 1(15| 0 15| 0 120 ¹⁵ 3 40 ż 6 80 1 $\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle 98}Mo$ [U]_{SNdiss} (nmol l⁻¹) $\delta^{^{238}}U$ [Mo]_{SNdiss} (nmol l⁻¹)

- 1138
- 1139











Figure 5











Supplementary text to 'Coupled Mo-U abundances and isotopes in a small marine euxinic basin: constraints on processes in euxinic basins' by BuraNakic et al.

1172

We constructed a simple one-dimensional water-column model in order to assess the 1173 covariation between [U] and δ^{238} U expected, when U is only removed from the very bottom 1174 1175 of the water column (by diffusion into sediment) with an effective isotope effect of +0.6%. 1176 For simplicity, the model only considers the well-mixed portion of the water column above 1177 the sediments, such that we need only consider non-directional mixing processes within the 1178 water column and can ignore unidirectional advective transport. We do this by discretizing the 1179 water column into a series of 0.1m-high cells, each of which exchanges a constant volume 1180 flux (v) with its immediate neighbours. Uranium is transported conservatively within the water column, and lost only from the lowermost cell of the simulated water column. The 1181 model carries tracers of ²³⁵U and ²³⁸U, and the isotope fractionation associated with loss to 1182 sediments is represented as a differential first-order rate constant (k) for these two tracers. 1183

1184

1185 Our model can be applied to the entire 15m depth of Lake Rogoznica in February 2013, when 1186 [U] decreases near-linearly with depth and can be well described by a water column 1187 dominated by diffusion (see Section 4.2.1 and Fig. 5 of the main text). In order to compare the 1188 model result to the data, the surface boundary condition of the model is initialised with [U] and δ^{238} U values observed at the top of the water column in February 2013. The simulated [U] 1189 and δ^{238} U profiles depend on the ratio of the exchange flux v to the loss rate-constant k. We 1190 1191 set this ratio so as to reproduce the observed [U] gradient in February 2013 (Fig. S1); the model simulates the corresponding δ^{238} U profile expected when U is lost from the very 1192 1193 bottom of the water column with an isotope effect of +0.6%. As can be seen in Fig. S1, (and 1194 Fig. 6A of the main manuscript), the model reproduces the observed co-variation between [U] and δ^{238} U. Interestingly, the simulated co-variation is essentially identical to the mixing trend 1195 predicted by linear regression of δ^{238} U against 1/[U] (blue line in Fig. S1). Simulating U-loss 1196 to sediment with an isotope effect of +0.6‰ is thus sufficient to reproduce the degree of $\delta^{238}U$ 1197 variation and observed co-variation between [U] and δ^{238} U. It is important to reiterate that the 1198 1199 model result is independent of the numerical values of v and k, being instead controlled by the 1200 ratio between them, which sets the [U] gradient across the water column.

1201 More generally, the model can be applied to study the U isotope systematics of well-mixed 1202 euxinic water columns in which U is lost to sediment via diffusion. In Fig. S2, we show results in which the model was initialized with the [U] and δ^{238} U characteristics of open-ocean 1203 seawater ([U] = 13.4nM and δ^{238} U = -0.39‰), and the degree of U drawdown at the base of 1204 the water column was varied by changing v/k. The predicted co-variation trend between [U] 1205 and $\delta^{238}U$ becomes increasingly non-linear as U loss to the sediment increases. However, it is 1206 important to note that the δ^{238} U of dissolved U at the very base of the water column is a 1207 simple linear function of the degree to which U is drawn down by loss to sediment, relative to 1208 1209 the initial open-ocean condition.

1210



Fig. S1: U isotope systematics of Lake Rogoznica in February 2013. When initialised with the observed [U] and δ^{238} U properties of the top of the water column (top right), the onedimensional water column model (red line) reproduces the degree of δ^{238} U variability expected when [U] is drawn down to ~3.5 nM at the base of the water column, due to diffusion-driven loss to the sediments. The model solution is virtually indistinguishable from a linear regression to the data (δ^{238} U versus 1/[U]) that describes the co-variation expected purely as the result of conservative mixing of U within the water column (blue line).





1220 Fig. S2: U isotope systematics for varying degrees of U drawdown. Each curve represents the water-column co-variation between [U] and δ^{238} U predicted by the model for varying 1221 degrees of U drawdown at the base of the water column with an isotope effect of +0.6%. The 1222 1223 starting point (blue circle) represents open-ocean seawater. As can be seen, this relationship 1224 becomes increasingly non-linear as the [U] difference between the top and bottom of the 1225 water column increases. However, the isotope composition of dissolved U at the very base of 1226 the water column is a simple linear function of [U], i.e. of the extent to which U has been 1227 drawn down relative to the open-ocean initial of 13.4 nM.