

# ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/106155/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Nater, Alexander, Mattle-Greminger, Maja P., Nurcahyo, Anton, Nowak, Matthew G., de Manuel, Marc, Desai, Tariq, Groves, Colin, Pybus, Marc, Sonay, Tugce Bilgin, Roos, Christian, Lameira, Adriano R., Wich, Serge A., Askew, James, Davila-Ross, Marina, Fredriksson, Gabriella, de Valles, Guillem, Casals, Ferran, Prado-Martinez, Javier, Goossens, Benoit, Verschoor, Ernst J., Warren, Kristin S., Singleton, Ian, Marques, David A., Pamungkas, Joko, Perwitasari-Farajallah, Dyah, Rianti, Puji, Tuuga, Augustine, Gut, Ivo G., Gut, Marta, Orozco Ter Wengel, Pablo, van Schaik, Carel P., Bertranpetit, Jaume, Anisimova, Maria, Scally, Aylwyn, Marques-Bonet, Tomas, Meijaard, Erik and Krützen, Michael 2017. Morphometric, behavioral, and genomic evidence for a new orangutan species. Current Biology 27 (22), pp. 3487-3498. 10.1016/j.cub.2017.09.047

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.09.047

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 Report

# 2 Morphometric, behavioral, and genomic evidence

# **3 for a new orangutan species**

Authors: Alexander Nater<sup>1,2,3</sup><sup>§</sup>\*, Maja P. Mattle-Greminger<sup>1,2§</sup>, Anton Nurcahyo<sup>4§</sup>, Matthew G. 4 Nowak<sup>5,6§</sup>, Marc de Manuel<sup>7</sup>, Tariq Desai<sup>8</sup>, Colin Groves<sup>4</sup>, Marc Pybus<sup>7</sup>, Tugce Bilgin Sonay<sup>1</sup>, Christian 5 Roos<sup>9</sup>, Adriano R. Lameira<sup>10,11</sup>, Serge A. Wich<sup>12,13</sup>, James Askew<sup>14</sup>, Marina Davila-Ross<sup>15</sup>, Gabriella 6 7 Fredriksson<sup>5,13</sup>, Guillem de Valles<sup>7</sup>, Ferran Casals<sup>16</sup>, Javier Prado-Martinez<sup>17</sup>, Benoit Goossens<sup>18,19,20,21</sup>, Ernst J. Verschoor<sup>22</sup>, Kristin S. Warren<sup>23</sup>, Ian Singleton<sup>5,24</sup>, David A. Marques<sup>1,25</sup>, Joko Pamungkas<sup>26,27</sup>, 8 Dyah Perwitasari-Farajallah<sup>26,28</sup>, Puji Rianti<sup>28,26,1</sup>, Augustine Tuuga<sup>20</sup>, Ivo G. Gut<sup>29,30</sup>, Marta Gut<sup>29,30</sup>, 9 Pablo Orozco-terWengel<sup>18</sup>, Carel P. van Schaik<sup>1</sup>, Jaume Bertranpetit<sup>7,31</sup>, Maria Anisimova<sup>32,33</sup>, Aylwyn 10 Scally<sup>8</sup>, Tomas Margues-Bonet<sup>7,29,34</sup>, Erik Meijaard<sup>4,35</sup>\* and Michael Krützen<sup>1</sup>\* 11

- 12 <sup>§</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 13 \*Correspondence to: michael.kruetzen@aim.uzh.ch (MK, lead contact), alexander.nater@uzh.ch (AlN),
- 14 emeijaard@gmail.com (EM),

# 15 Affiliations:

- 16 <sup>1</sup>Evolutionary Genetics Group, Department of Anthropology, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse
- 17 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland.
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich,
   Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland.
- 20 <sup>3</sup>Lehrstuhl für Zoologie und Evolutionsbiologie, Department of Biology, University of Konstanz,
- 21 Universitätsstrasse 10, 78457 Konstanz, Germany.
- <sup>4</sup>School of Archaeology and Anthropology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.
- <sup>5</sup>Sumatran Orangutan Conservation Programme (PanEco-YEL), Jalan Wahid Hasyim 51/74, Medan
- 24 20154, Indonesia.
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Anthropology, Southern Illinois University, 1000 Faner Drive, Carbondale, IL 62901,
  USA.
- <sup>27</sup> <sup>7</sup>Institut de Biologia Evolutiva (UPF-CSIC), Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Doctor Aiguader 88, Barcelona
- 28 08003, Spain.
- <sup>29</sup> <sup>8</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EH, UK.

- 30 <sup>9</sup>Gene Bank of Primates and Primate Genetics Laboratory, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for
- 31 Primate Research, 37077 Göttingen, Germany.
- <sup>10</sup>Department of Anthropology, Durham University, Dawson Building, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE,
   UK.
- <sup>34</sup> <sup>11</sup>School of Psychology & Neuroscience, St. Andrews University, St Mary's Quad, South Street, St.
- 35 Andrews, Fife, KY16 9JP, Scotland, United Kingdom.
- <sup>12</sup>School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, James Parsons
   Building, Byrom Street, L33AF Liverpool, UK.
- 38 <sup>13</sup>Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Sciencepark 904,
- 39 Amsterdam 1098, Netherlands.
- 40 <sup>14</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, 3616 Trousdale Parkway, Los
- 41 Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- 42 <sup>15</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Portsmouth, King Henry Building, King Henry 1st Street,
- 43 Portsmouth, PO1 2DY, UK.
- <sup>16</sup>Servei de Genòmica, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Doctor Aiguader 88, Barcelona 08003, Spain.
- <sup>17</sup>Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton CB10 1SA, UK.
- <sup>18</sup>School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Sir Martin Evans Building, Museum Avenue, Cardiff CF10
  3AX, UK.
- <sup>19</sup>Danau Girang Field Centre, c/o Sabah Wildlife Department, Wisma Muis, 88100 Kota Kinabalu,
- 49 Sabah, Malaysia.
- <sup>20</sup>Sabah Wildlife Department, Wisma Muis, 88100 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.
- <sup>51</sup> <sup>21</sup>Sustainable Places Research Institute, Cardiff University, 33 Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3BA, UK.
- <sup>52</sup> <sup>22</sup>Department of Virology, Biomedical Primate Research Centre, Lange Kleiweg 161, 2288GJ Rijswijk,
- 53 The Netherlands.
- <sup>23</sup>Conservation Medicine Program, College of Veterinary Medicine, Murdoch University, South Street,
- 55 Murdoch 6150, Australia.
- <sup>56</sup> <sup>24</sup>Foundation for a Sustainable Ecosystem (YEL), Medan, Indonesia.
- <sup>25</sup>Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland.
- <sup>58</sup> <sup>26</sup>Primate Research Center, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor 16151, Indonesia.
- <sup>59</sup> <sup>27</sup>Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Bogor Agricultural University, Darmaga Campus, Bogor 16680,
- 60 Indonesia.

- <sup>28</sup>Animal Biosystematics and Ecology Division, Department of Biology, Bogor Agricultural University,
- 62 Jalan Agatis, Dramaga Campus, Bogor 16680, Indonesia.
- 63 <sup>29</sup>CNAG-CRG, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology
- 64 (BIST), Baldiri i Reixac 4, Barcelona 08028, Spain.
- <sup>65</sup> <sup>30</sup>Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Plaça de la Mercè, 10, 08002 Barcelona, Spain.
- <sup>66</sup> <sup>31</sup>Leverhulme Centre for Human Evolutionary Studies, Department of Archaeology and Anthropology,
- 67 University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.
- <sup>32</sup>Institute of Applied Simulations, School of Life Sciences and Facility Management, Zurich University
- 69 of Applied Sciences ZHAW, Einsiedlerstrasse 31a, 8820 Wädenswil, Switzerland.
- <sup>33</sup>Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Quartier Sorge Batiment Genopode, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.
- <sup>34</sup>Institucio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona 08010, Spain.
- <sup>35</sup>Borneo Futures, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam.

### 73 Summary

74 Six extant species of non-human great apes are currently recognized: Sumatran and Bornean orangutans, 75 eastern and western gorillas, and chimpanzees and bonobos [1]. However, large gaps remain in our 76 knowledge of fine-scale variation in hominoid morphology, behavior, and genetics, and aspects of great 77 ape taxonomy remain in flux. This is particularly true for orangutans (genus: Pongo), the only Asian 78 great apes, and phylogenetically our most distant relatives among extant hominids [1]. Designation of 79 Bornean and Sumatran orangutans, *P. pygmaeus* (Linnaeus 1760) and *P. abelii* (Lesson 1827), as distinct 80 species occurred in 2001 [1, 2]. Here, we show that an isolated population from Batang Toru, at the 81 southernmost range of extant Sumatran orangutans south of Lake Toba, is distinct from other northern 82 Sumatran and Bornean populations. By comparing cranio-mandibular and dental characters of an 83 orangutan killed in a human-animal conflict to 33 adult male orangutans of similar developmental stage. 84 we found consistent differences between the Batang Toru individual and other extant Ponginae. A 85 second line of evidence provided our analyses of 37 orangutan genomes. Model-based approaches 86 revealed that the deepest split in the evolutionary history of extant orangutans occurred  $\sim$ 3.38 Ma ago 87 between the Batang Toru population and those to the north of Lake Toba, while both currently 88 recognized species separated much later about 674 ka ago. Our combined analyses support a new 89 classification of orangutans into three extant species. The new species, Pongo tapanuliensis, 90 encompasses the Batang Toru population, of which fewer than 800 individuals survive.

# 91 Results and Discussion

Despite decades of field studies [3] our knowledge of variation among orangutans remains limited as 92 93 many populations occur in isolated and inaccessible habitats, leaving questions regarding their 94 evolutionary history and taxonomic classification largely unresolved. In particular, Sumatran 95 populations south of Lake Toba had long been overlooked, even though a 1939 review of the species' 96 range mentioned that orangutans had been reported in several forest areas in that region [4]. Based on 97 diverse sources of evidence, we describe a new orangutan species, Pongo tapanuliensis, which 98 encompasses a geographically and genetically isolated population found in the Batang Toru area at the 99 southernmost range of extant Sumatran orangutans, south of Lake Toba, Indonesia.

### 100 Systematics

101 Genus Pongo Lacépède, 1799

102 Pongo tapanuliensis sp. nov. Nurcahyo, Meijaard, Nowak, Fredriksson & Groves

103 Tapanuli Orangutan

*Etymology.* The species name refers to three North Sumatran districts (North, Central, and South
 Tapanuli) to which *P. tapanuliensis* is endemic.

Holotype. The complete skeleton of an adult male orangutan that died from wounds sustained by local
 villagers in November 2013 near Sugi Tonga, Marancar, Tapanuli (Batang Toru) Forest Complex
 (1°35'54.1"N, 99°16'36.5"E), South Tapanuli District, North Sumatra, Indonesia. Skull and
 postcranium are lodged in the Museum Zoologicum Bogoriense, Indonesia, accession number
 MZB39182. High-resolution 3D reconstructions of the skull and mandible are available as
 supplementary material.

*Paratypes.* Adult individuals of *P. tapanuliensis* (P2591-M435788 – P2591-M435790) photographed
 by Tim Laman in the Batang Toru Forest Complex (1<sup>0</sup>41'9.1"N, 98<sup>0</sup>59'38.1"E), North Tapanuli
 District, North Sumatra, Indonesia. Paratypes are available from http://www.morphobank.org (Login:
 2591 / Password: tapanuliorangutan).

Differential diagnosis. We compared the holotype to a comprehensive comparative data set of 33 adult 116 male orangutans from 10 institutions housing osteological specimens. Unless otherwise stated, all units 117 are in [mm]. Summary statistics for all measurements are listed in Tables S1-3. Pongo tapanuliensis 118 119 differs from all extant orangutans in the breadth of the upper canine (21.5 vs. <20.86); the shallow face 120 depth (6.0 vs. >8.4); the narrower interpterygoid distance (at posterior end of pterygoids 33.8 vs. >43.9; at anterior end of pterygoids, 33.7 vs. >43.0); the shorter tympanic tube (23.9 vs. >28.4, mostly >30); 121 the shorter temporomandibular joint (22.5 vs. >24.7); the narrower maxillary incisor row (28.3 vs. 122 123 >30.1); the narrower distance across the palate at the first molars (62.7 vs. >65.7); the shorter horizontal

length of the mandibular symphysis (49.3 vs. >53.7); the smaller inferior transverse torus (horizontal

- length from anterior surface of symphysis 31.8 compared to >36.0); and the width of the ascendingramus of the mandible (55.9 vs. >56.3).
- 127*Pongo tapanuliensis* differs specifically from *P. abelii* by its deep suborbital fossa, triangular pyriform128aperture, and angled facial profile; the longer nuchal surface (70.5 vs. <64.7); the wider rostrum,</td>129posterior to the canines (59.9 vs. <59); the narrower orbits (33.8 vs. <34.6); the shorter (29.2 vs. >30.0)130and narrower foramen magnum (23.2 vs. >23.3); the narrower bicondylar breadth (120.0 vs. >127.2);131the narrower mandibular incisor row (24.4 vs. >28.3); the greater mesio-distal length of the upper canine132(19.44 vs. <17.55). The male long call has a higher maximum frequency range of the roar pulse type (>
- 133 800 Hz vs. <747) with a higher 'shape' (>952 Hz/s vs. <934).
- 134 *Pongo tapanuliensis* differs from *P. pygmaeus* by possessing a nearly straight zygomaxillary suture; the

lower orbit (orbit height 33.4 vs. >35.3); the male long call has a longer duration (>111 seconds vs. <90)

136 with a greater number of pulses (>52 pulses vs. <45), and is delivered at a greater rate (>0.82 pulses per

137 20 seconds vs. <0.79).

138 Pongo tapanuliensis differs specifically from Pongo 'pygmaeus' palaeosumatrensis in the smaller size

139 of the first upper molar (mesio-distal length 13.65 vs. >14.0, buccolingual breadth 11.37 vs. >12.10,

140 crown area 155.2 mm<sup>2</sup> vs. >175.45, Figure S1).

141 *Description.* Craniometrically, the type skull of *P. tapanuliensis* (Figure 1B) is significantly smaller 142 than any skull of comparable developmental stage of other orangutans; it falls outside of the interquartile 143 ranges of *P. abelii* and *P. pygmaeus* for 24 of 39 cranio-mandibular measurements (Table S1). A 144 principal component analysis (PCA) of 26 cranio-mandibular measurements commonly used in primate 145 taxonomic classification [5, 6] shows consistent differences between *P. tapanuliensis* and the two 146 currently recognized species (Figs. 1C and S2).

- 147 The external morphology of *P. tapanuliensis* is more similar to *P. abelii* in its linear body build and 148 more cinnamon pelage than *P. pygmaeus*. The hair texture of *P. tapanuliensis* is frizzier, contrasting in 149 particular with the long, loose body hair of *P. abelii. Pongo tapanuliensis* has a prominent moustache 150 and flat flanges covered in downy hair in dominant males, while flanges of older males resemble more
- 151 those of Bornean males. Females of *P. tapanuliensis* have beards, unlike *P. pygmaeus*.
- Distribution. Pongo tapanuliensis occurs only in a small number of forest fragments in the districts of
   Central, North, and South Tapanuli, Indonesia (Figure 1A). The total distribution covers approximately
   1,000 km<sup>2</sup>, with an estimated population size of fewer than 800 individuals [7]. The current distribution
   of *P. tapanuliensis* is almost completely restricted to medium elevation hill and submontane forest
   (~300–1300 m asl) [7-9]. While densities are highest in primary forest, it does occur at lower densities
- 157 in mixed agroforest at the edge of primary forest areas [10, 11]. Until relatively recently, *P. tapanuliensis*

158 was more widespread to the south and west of the current distribution, although evidence for this is 159 largely anecdotal [12, 13].

160 Other hominoid species and subspecies were previously described using standard univariate and 161 multivariate techniques to quantify morphological character differences. The elevation of bonobos (P. 162 *paniscus*) from a subspecies to a species dates back to Coolidge [14] and was based on summary 163 statistics of primarily morphological data from a single female specimen of *P. paniscus*, five available 164 P. paniscus skulls, and comparative data of what is now P. troglodytes. Groves and colleagues [5] and Shea et al. [15] supported Coolidge's proposal using larger sample sizes and discriminant function 165 166 analyses. Shea *et al.* [15] remarked that the species designation for *P. paniscus*, which was largely based 167 on morphological comparisons, was ultimately strengthened by genetic, ecological, and behavioral data, 168 as we attempted here for *Pongo tapanuliensis*. For the genus *Gorilla*, Stumpf *et al.* [16] and Groves [17] used cranio-mandibular data from 747 individuals from 19 geographic regions, confirming a 169 170 classification of the genus into two species (G. gorilla and G. beringei), as proposed earlier by Groves [1]. Other recent primate species descriptions primarily relied on an inconsistent mix of data on pelage 171 172 color, ecology, morphology, and/or vocalizations [18-23], with only a few also incorporating genetic 173 analyses [24, 25].

174 Here, we used an integrative approach by corroborating the morphological analysis, behavioral and 175 ecological data with whole-genome data of 37 orangutans with known provenance, covering the entire 176 range of extant orangutans including areas never sampled before (Figure 2A, Table S4). We applied a model-based approach to statistically evaluate competing demographic models, identify independent 177 178 evolutionary lineages, and infer levels of gene flow and the timing of genetic isolation between lineages. 179 This enabled us to directly compare complex and realistic models of speciation. We refrained from 180 directly comparing genetic differentiation among the three species in the genus *Pongo* with that of other 181 hominoids, as we deem such comparisons problematic in order to evaluate whether P. tapanuliensis 182 constitutes a new species. This is because estimates of genetic differentiation reflect a combination of 183 divergence time, demographic history, and gene flow, and are also influenced by the employed genetic 184 marker system [26, 27].

A PCA (Figure 2B) of genomic diversity highlighted the divergence between individuals from Borneo and Sumatra (PC1), but also separated *P. tapanuliensis* from *P. abelii* (PC2). The same clustering pattern was also found in a model-based analysis of population structure (Figure 2C), and is consistent with an earlier genetic study analyzing a larger number of non-invasively collected samples using microsatellite markers [28]. However, while powerful in detecting extant population structure, population history and speciation cannot be inferred, as they are not suited to distinguish between old divergences with gene flow and cases of recent divergence with isolation [29, 30]. To address this problem and further

investigate the timing of population splits and gene flow, we therefore employed differentcomplementary modeling and phylogenetic approaches.

194 We applied an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) approach, which allows to infer and compare 195 arbitrarily complex demographic modes based on the comparison of the observed genomic data to 196 extensive population genetic simulations [31]. Our analyses revealed three deep evolutionary lineages 197 in extant orangutans (Figs. 3A and B). Colonization scenarios in which the earliest split within Pongo 198 occurred between the lineages leading to P. abelii and P. tapanuliensis were much better supported than 199 scenarios in which the earliest split was between Bornean and Sumatran species (models 1 vs. models 200 2, combined posterior probability: 99.91%, Figure 3A). Of the two best scenarios, a model postulating 201 colonization of both northern Sumatra and Borneo from an ancestral population likely situated south of 202 Lake Toba on Sumatra, had the highest support (model 1a vs. model 1b, posterior probability 97.56%, Figure 3A). Our results supported a scenario in which orangutans from mainland Asia first entered 203 Sundaland south of what is now Lake Toba on Sumatra, the most likely entry point based on 204 205 paleogeographic reconstructions [32]. This ancestral population, of which *P. tapanuliensis* is a direct 206 descendant, then served as a source for the subsequent different colonization events of what is now 207 Borneo, Java and northern Sumatra.

208 We estimated the split time between populations north and south of Lake Toba at  $\sim$ 3.4 Ma (Figure 3B, 209 Table S5). Under our best-fitting model, we found evidence for post-split gene flow across Lake Toba 210 (~0.3–0.9 migrants per generation, Table S5), which is consistent with highly significant signatures of 211 gene flow between P. abelii and P. tapanuliensis using D-statistics (CK, BT, WA, Homo sapiens: D= -212 0.2819, p-value<0.00001; WK, BT, LK, *Homo sapiens*: D= -0.2967, p-value<0.00001). Such gene flow 213 resulted in higher autosomal affinity of P. tapanuliensis to P. abelii compared to P. pygmaeus in the 214 PCA (Figure 2B), explaining the smaller amount of variance captured by PC2 (separating P. 215 tapanuliensis from all other populations) compared to PC1 (separating P. pygmaeus from the Sumatran 216 populations). The parameter estimates from a Bayesian full-likelihood analysis implemented in the 217 software G-PhoCS were in good agreement with those obtained by the ABC analysis, although the split 218 time between populations north and south of Lake Toba was more recent (~2.27 Ma, 95%-HPD: 2.21-219 2.35, Table S5). The G-PhoCS analysis revealed highly asymmetric gene flow between populations 220 north and south of the Toba caldera, with much lower levels of gene flow into the Batang Toru 221 population from the north than vice versa (Table S5).

222 The existence of two deep evolutionary lineages among extant Sumatran orangutans was corroborated

by phylogenetic analyses based on whole mitochondrial genomes (Figure 4A), in which the deepest split

occurred between populations north of Lake Toba and all other orangutans at ~3.97 Ma (95%-HPD:

225 2.35–5.57). Sumatran orangutans formed a paraphyletic group, with *P. tapanuliensis* being more closely

related to the Bornean lineage from which it diverged ~2.41 Ma (1.26–3.42 Ma). In contrast, Bornean

populations formed a monophyletic group with a very recent mitochondrial coalescence at ~160 ka (94–
227 ka).

Due to strong female philopatry [33], gene flow in orangutans is almost exclusively male-mediated [34]. Consistent with these pronounced differences in dispersal behavior, phylogenetic analysis of extensive Y-chromosomal sequencing data revealed a comparatively recent coalescence of Y chromosomes of all extant orangutans ~430 ka (Figure 4B). The single available Y-haplotype from *P. tapanuliensis* was nested within the other Sumatran sequences, pointing at the occurrence of male-mediated gene flow across the Toba divide. Thus, in combination with our modeling results, the sex-specific data highlighted the impact of extraordinarily strong male-biased dispersal in the speciation process of orangutans.

- 236 Our analyses revealed significant divergence between *P. tapanuliensis* and *P. abelii* (Figs. 3B and 4A),
- and low levels of male-mediated gene flow (Figs. 3B and 4B), which, however, completely ceased 10–
- 238 20 ka ago (Figure 3C). Populations north and south of Lake Toba on Sumatra had been in genetic contact
- for most of the time since their split, but there was a marked reduction in gene flow after ~100 ka (Figure
- 240 3C), consistent with habitat destruction caused by the Toba supercruption 73 ka ago [35]. However, P.
- 241 *tapanuliensis* and *P. abelii* have been on independent evolutionary trajectories at least since the late
- 242 Pleistocene/early Holocene, as gene flow between these populations has ceased completely 10–20 ka
- 243 (Figure 3C) and is now impossible because of habitat loss in areas between the species' ranges [7].

244 Nowadays, most biologists would probably adopt an operational species definition such as: 'a species is a population (or group of populations) with fixed heritable differences from other such populations 245 246 (or groups of populations)' [36]. With totally allopatric populations, a 'reproductive isolation' criterion, 247 such as is still espoused by adherents of the biological species concept, is not possible [37, 38]. 248 Notwithstanding a long-running debate about the role of gene flow during speciation and genetic interpretations of the species concept [39, 40], genomic studies have found evidence for many instances 249 250 of recent or ongoing gene flow between taxa which are recognized as distinct and well-established 251 species. This includes examples within each of the other three hominid genera. A recent genomic study 252 using comparable methods to ours revealed extensive gene flow between Gorilla gorilla and G. beringei until ~20-30 ka [41]. Similar, albeit older and less extensive, admixture occurred between Pan 253 254 troglodytes and P. paniscus [42], and was also reported for Homo sapiens and H. neanderthalensis [43]. 255 Pongo tapanuliensis and P. abelii appear to be further examples, showing diagnostic phenotypic and 256 other distinctions that had persisted in the past despite gene flow between them.

Due to the challenges involved in collecting suitable specimens for morphological and genomic analyses from critically endangered great apes, our description of *P. tapanuliensis* had to rely on a single skeleton and two individual genomes for our main lines of evidence. When further data will become available, a more detailed picture of the morphological and genomic diversity within this species and of the differences to other *Pongo* species might emerge, which may require further taxonomic revision.

However, is not uncommon to describe species based on a single specimen (*e.g.*, [44-46]), and importantly, there were consistent differences among orangutan populations from multiple independent lines of evidence, warranting the designation of a new species with the limited data at hand.

With a census size of fewer than 800 individuals [7], *P. tapanuliensis* is the least numerous of all great ape species [47]. Its range is located around 200 km from the closest population of *P. abelii* to the north (Figure 2A). A combination of small population size and geographic isolation is of particular high conservation concern, as it may lead to inbreeding depression [48] and threaten population persistence [49]. Highlighting this, we discovered extensive runs of homozygosity in the genomes of both *P*.

270 *tapanuliensis* individuals (Figure S3), pointing at the occurrence of recent inbreeding.

To ensure long-term survival of *P. tapanuliensis*, conservation measures need to be implemented swiftly. Due to the rugged terrain, external threats have been primarily limited to road construction, illegal clearing of forests, hunting, killings during crop conflict and trade in orangutans [7, 11]. A hydro-

electric development has been proposed recently in the area of highest orangutan density, which could

275 impact up to 8% of *P. tapanuliensis*' habitat. This project might lead to further genetic impoverishment

and inbreeding, as it would jeopardize chances of maintaining habitat corridors between the western and

- eastern range (Figure 1A), and smaller nature reserves, all of which maintain small populations of *P*.
- 278 tapanuliensis.

# 279 Author Contributions

280 Conceived the study and wrote the paper: MPMG, AlN, MK, EM, MGN, CG. Edited the manuscript:

281 SW, GF, CvS, AS, TMB, DAM, TBS, TD, BG, FC, KSW, EV, POtW, PR, JB, MA, AnN. Carried out

statistical analyses: MPMG, AlN, MGN, AnN, CG, MdM, TD, JA, MDR, AL, MP, JPM, MK, EM, AS,

- 283 TMB. Provided samples, and behavioral and ecological data: MGN, MPMG, AnN, AlN, GF, JA, AL,
- 284 MDR, BG, EJV, KSW, IS, JP, DPF, PR, WB. Performed sequencing: MPMG, IGG, MG, CR.

## 285 Acknowledgments

We thank the following institutions and organizations for supporting our research: Indonesian State 286 Ministry for Research and Technology, Sabah Wildlife Department, Ministry of Environment and 287 Forestry of the Republic of Indonesia, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Leuser International Foundation, 288 289 Gunung Leuser National Park, Borneo Orangutan Survival Foundation, Agisoft, NVIDIA, and the 10 museums where we measured the specimens. This work was financially supported by University of 290 291 Zurich (UZH) Forschungskredit grants FK-10 (MPMG), FK-15-103 (AlN), and FK-14-094 (TBS), 292 Swiss National Science Foundation grant 3100A-116848 (MK, CvS), Leakey Foundation (MPMG), 293 A.H. Schultz Foundation grants (MK, MPMG), UZH Research Priority Program 'Evolution in Action' 294 (MK), the Arcus Foundation (EM), Australian National University (ANU) research fund (AnN), ANU 295 Vice Chancellor Travel Grant (AnN), Australia Awards Scholarship-DFAT (AnN), ERC Starting Grant 260372 (TMB), EMBO YIP 2013 (TMB), MINECO BFU2014-55090-P, BFU2015-7116-ERC, 296 297 BFU2015-6215-ERCU01, and MH106874 (TMB), Fundacio Zoo Barcelona (TMB), Julius-Klaus 298 Foundation (MK), MINECO/FEDER BFU2016-77961-P (JB, MP), Gates Cambridge Trust (TD), and 299 the Department of Anthropology at the University of Zurich. Novel raw sequencing data have been deposited into the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA; http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under study 300 301 accession number PRJEB19688.

# 302 **References**

- Groves, C.P. (2001). Primate taxonomy, (Washington, D.C. ; London: Smithsonian Institution Press).
- 3052.Xu, X., and Arnason, U. (1996). The mitochondrial DNA molecule of Sumatran orangutan and306a molecular proposal for two (Bornean and Sumatran) species of orangutan. J. Mol. Evol. 43,307431-437.
- Wich, S.A., Utami Atmoko, S.S., Mitra Setia, T., and van Schaik, C.P. (2009). Orangutans:
   geographic variation in behavioral ecology and conservation, (Oxford University Press).
- 4. Nederlandsch-Indische Vereeniging tot Natuurbescherming (1939). Natuur in Zuid- en OostBorneo. Fauna, flora en natuurbescherming in de Zuider- en Ooster-Afdeeling van Borneo. In
  Jaren Indisch natuur leven. Opstellen over landschappen, dieren en planten, tevens elfde
  verslag (1936-1938), Nederlandsch-Indische Vereeniging tot Natuurbescherming, ed. (Batavia,
  Indonesia), pp. 334-411.
- Groves, C.P., Westwood, C., and Shea, B.T. (1992). Unfinished business Mahalanobis and a clockwork orang. J. Hum. Evol. 22, 327-340.
- Groves, C.P. (1986). Systematics of the great apes. In Comparative primate biology, Vol.1:
  Systematics, evolution, and anatomy, D.R. Swindler and J. Erwin, eds. (New York: Alan R.
  Liss), pp. 187–217.
- Wich, S.A., Singleton, I., Nowak, M.G., Utami Atmoko, S.S., Nisam, G., Arif, S.M., Putra,
  R.H., Ardi, R., Fredriksson, G., Usher, G., et al. (2016). Land-cover changes predict steep
  declines for the Sumatran orangutan (*Pongo abelii*). Sci. Adv. 2, e1500789.
- Laumonier, Y., Uryu, Y., Stüwe, M., Budiman, A., Setiabudi, B., and Hadian, O. (2010). Ecofloristic sectors and deforestation threats in Sumatra: identifying new conservation area network priorities for ecosystem-based land use planning. Biodivers. Conserv. 19, 1153-1174.
- Wich, S.A., Usher, G., Peters, H.H., Khakim, M.F.R., Nowak, M.G., and Fredriksson, G.M.
   (2014). Preliminary data on the highland Sumatran orangutans (*Pongo abelii*) of Batang Toru.
   In High Altitude Primates, B.N. Grow, S. Gursky-Doyen and A. Krzton, eds. (New York, NY:
   Springer New York), pp. 265-283.
- Meijaard, E. (1997). A survey of some forested areas in South and Central Tapanuli, North
  Sumatra; new chances for orangutan conservation. (Wageningen: Tropenbos and the Golden
  Ark).
- Wich, S.A., Fredriksson, G.M., Usher, G., Peters, H.H., Priatna, D., Basalamah, F., Susanto,
  W., and Kuhl, H. (2012). Hunting of Sumatran orang-utans and its importance in determining
  distribution and density. Biol. Conserv. *146*, 163-169.
- 336 12. Kramm, W. (1879). Tochtjes in Tapanoeli. Sumatra-Courant 20, 1-2.
- Miller, G.S. (1903). Mammals collected by Dr. W.L. Abbott on the coast and islands of
   northwest Sumatra. Proceedings US National Museum, Washington 26, 437-484.
- 339 14. Coolidge, H.J. (1933). *Pan Paniscus*. Pigmy chimpanzee from south of the Congo River. Am.
  340 J. Phys. Anthropol. 18, 1-59.
- Shea, B.T., Leigh, S.R., and Groves, C.P. (1993). Multivariate craniometric variation in chimpanzees. In Species, Species Concepts and Primate Evolution, W.H. Kimbel and L.B. Martin, eds. (Boston, MA: Springer US), pp. 265-296.
- Stumpf, R.M., Polk, J.D., Oates, J.F., Jungers, W.L., Heesy, C.P., Groves, C.P., and Fleagle,
  J.G. (2002). Patterns of diversity in gorilla cranial morphology. In Gorilla biology: a
  multidisciplinary perspective, A.B. Taylor and M.L. Goldsmith, eds. (Cambridge: Cambridge
  University Press), pp. 35-61.
- 348 17. Groves, C.P. (2002). A history of gorilla taxonomy. In Gorilla biology: a multidisciplinary
   349 perspective, A.B. Taylor and M.L. Goldsmith, eds. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press),
   350 pp. 15-34.
- 18. Geissmann, T., Lwin, N., Aung, S.S., Aung, T.N., Aung, Z.M., Hla, T.H., Grindley, M., and
  Momberg, F. (2011). A new species of snub-nosed monkey, genus *Rhinopithecus* MilneEdwards, 1872 (Primates, Colobinae), from northern Kachin state, northeastern Myanmar. Am.
  J. Primatol. 73, 96-107.

- Jones, T., Ehardt, C.L., Butynski, T.M., Davenport, T.R., Mpunga, N.E., Machaga, S.J., and De
  Luca, D.W. (2005). The highland mangabey *Lophocebus kipunji*: a new species of African
  monkey. Science *308*, 1161-1164.
- Li, C., Zhao, C., and Fan, P.F. (2015). White-cheeked macaque (*Macaca leucogenys*): a new macaque species from Medog, southeastern Tibet. Am. J. Primatol. 77, 753-766.
- Munds, R.A., Nekaris, K.A., and Ford, S.M. (2013). Taxonomy of the Bornean slow loris, with
   new species *Nycticebus kayan* (Primates, Lorisidae). Am. J. Primatol. 75, 46-56.
- Rasoloarison, R.M., Weisrock, D.W., Yoder, A.D., Rakotondravony, D., and Kappeler, P.M.
  (2013). Two new species of mouse lemurs (Cheirogaleidae: *Microcebus*) from Eastern
  Madagascar. Int. J. Primatol. *34*, 455-469.
- Svensson, M.S., Bersacola, E., Mills, M.S.L., Munds, R.A., Nijman, V., Perkin, A., Masters,
  J.C., Couette, S., Nekaris, K.A.I., and Bearder, S.K. (2017). A giant among dwarfs: a new
  species of galago (Primates: Galagidae) from Angola. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. *163*, 30-43.
- Davenport, T.R.B., Stanley, W.T., Sargis, E.J., De Luca, D.W., Mpunga, N.E., Machaga, S.J.,
  and Olson, L.E. (2006). A new genus of African monkey, *Rungwecebus*: Morphology, ecology,
  and molecular phylogenetics. Science *312*, 1378-1381.
- Fan, P.F., He, K., Chen, X., Ortiz, A., Zhang, B., Zhao, C., Li, Y.Q., Zhang, H.B., Kimock, C.,
  Wang, W.Z., et al. (2017). Description of a new species of Hoolock gibbon (Primates:
  Hylobatidae) based on integrative taxonomy. Am. J. Primatol. 79.
- 374 26. Jost, L. (2008). Gst and its relatives do not measure differentiation. Mol. Ecol. 17, 4015-4026.
- 375 27. Whitlock, M.C. (2011). G'st and D do not replace Fst. Mol. Ecol. 20, 1083-1091.
- Nater, A., Arora, N., Greminger, M.P., van Schaik, C.P., Singleton, I., Wich, S.A., Fredriksson,
  G., Perwitasari-Farajallah, D., Pamungkas, J., and Krützen, M. (2013). Marked population
  structure and recent migration in the critically endangered Sumatran orangutan (*Pongo abelii*).
  J. Hered. *104*, 2-13.
- 380 29. Nielsen, R., and Wakeley, J. (2001). Distinguishing migration from isolation: a Markov chain
  381 Monte Carlo approach. Genetics *158*, 885-896.
- 382 30. Palsboll, P.J., Berube, M., Aguilar, A., Notarbartolo-Di-Sciara, G., and Nielsen, R. (2004).
  383 Discerning between recurrent gene flow and recent divergence under a finite-site mutation
  384 model applied to North Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus)
  385 populations. Evolution 58, 670-675.
- Beaumont, M.A., Zhang, W.Y., and Balding, D.J. (2002). Approximate Bayesian computation
   in population genetics. Genetics *162*, 2025-2035.
- 388 32. Meijaard, E. (2004). Solving mammalian riddles: a reconstruction of the Tertiary and
  389 Quaternary distribution of mammals and their palaeoenvironments in island South-East Asia.
  390 (Australian National University), p. 2 v.
- 391 33. Arora, N., Van Noordwijk, M.A., Ackermann, C., Willems, E.P., Nater, A., Greminger, M.,
  392 Nietlisbach, P., Dunkel, L.P., Utami Atmoko, S.S., Pamungkas, J., et al. (2012). Parentage393 based pedigree reconstruction reveals female matrilineal clusters and male-biased dispersal in
  394 nongregarious Asian great apes, the Bornean orang-utans (*Pongo pygmaeus*). Molecular
  395 ecology 21, 3352-3362.
- 34. Nater, A., Nietlisbach, P., Arora, N., van Schaik, C.P., van Noordwijk, M.A., Willems, E.P.,
  Singleton, I., Wich, S.A., Goossens, B., Warren, K.S., et al. (2011). Sex-biased dispersal and
  volcanic activities shaped phylogeographic patterns of extant orangutans (genus: *Pongo*). Mol.
  Biol. Evol. 28, 2275-2288.
- 40035.Chesner, C.A., Rose, W.I., Deino, A., Drake, R., and Westgate, J.A. (1991). Eruptive history of401earths largest Quaternary caldera (Toba, Indonesia) clarified. Geology 19, 200-203.
- 40236.Groves, C.P., and Grubb, P. (2011). Ungulate taxonomy, (Baltimore, Md.: Johns Hopkins403University Press).
- 404 37. Coyne, J.A., and Orr, H.A. (2004). Speciation, (Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates, Inc. ).
- 405 38. Mayr, E. (1963). Animal species and evolution, (Cambridge,: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press).
- 40739.Arnold, M.L. (2016). Divergence with Genetic Exchange, (Oxford, UK: Oxford University408Press).
- 409 40. Reznick, D.N., and Ricklefs, R.E. (2009). Darwin's bridge between microevolution and macroevolution. Nature 457, 837-842.

- 41. Scally, A., Dutheil, J.Y., Hillier, L.W., Jordan, G.E., Goodhead, I., Herrero, J., Hobolth, A.,
  412 Lappalainen, T., Mailund, T., Marques-Bonet, T., et al. (2012). Insights into hominid evolution
  413 from the gorilla genome sequence. Nature 483, 169-175.
- 414 42. de Manuel, M., Kuhlwilm, M., Frandsen, P., Sousa, V.C., Desai, T., Prado-Martinez, J.,
  415 Hernandez-Rodriguez, J., Dupanloup, I., Lao, O., Hallast, P., et al. (2016). Chimpanzee genomic diversity reveals ancient admixture with bonobos. Science *354*, 477.
- 417 43. Kuhlwilm, M., Gronau, I., Hubisz, M.J., de Filippo, C., Prado-Martinez, J., Kircher, M., Fu, Q.,
  418 Burbano, H.A., Lalueza-Fox, C., de la Rasilla, M., et al. (2016). Ancient gene flow from early
  419 modern humans into Eastern Neanderthals. Nature *530*, 429-433.
- 420 44. Alba, D.M., Almecija, S., DeMiguel, D., Fortuny, J., de los Rios, M.P., Pina, M., Robles, J.M.,
  421 and Moya-Sola, S. (2015). Miocene small-bodied ape from Eurasia sheds light on hominoid
  422 evolution. Science 350.
- 423 45. Stevens, N.J., Seiffert, E.R., O'Connor, P.M., Roberts, E.M., Schmitz, M.D., Krause, C.,
  424 Gorscak, E., Ngasala, S., Hieronymus, T.L., and Temu, J. (2013). Palaeontological evidence for
  425 an Oligocene divergence between Old World monkeys and apes. Nature 497, 611-614.
- 426 46. Zalmout, I.S., Sanders, W.J., MacLatchy, L.M., Gunnell, G.F., Al-Mufarreh, Y.A., Ali, M.A.,
  427 Nasser, A.A.H., Al-Masari, A.M., Al-Sobhi, S.A., Nadhra, A.O., et al. (2010). New Oligocene
  428 primate from Saudi Arabia and the divergence of apes and Old World monkeys. Nature 466,
  429 360-U111.
- 430 47. IUCN (2016). IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016.2.
- 431 48. Hedrick, P.W., and Kalinowski, S.T. (2000). Inbreeding depression in conservation biology.
  432 Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. *31*, 139-162.
- 433 49. Allendorf, F.W., Luikart, G., and Aitken, S.N. (2013). Conservation and the genetics of 434 populations, 2nd Edition, (Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons).
- 435 50. Locke, D.P., Hillier, L.W., Warren, W.C., Worley, K.C., Nazareth, L.V., Muzny, D.M., Yang,
  436 S.-P., Wang, Z., Chinwalla, A.T., Minx, P., et al. (2011). Comparative and demographic analysis
  437 of orang-utan genomes. Nature 469, 529-533.
- 438 51. Prado-Martinez, J., Sudmant, P.H., Kidd, J.M., Li, H., Kelley, J.L., Lorente-Galdos, B.,
  439 Veeramah, K.R., Woerner, A.E., O/'Connor, T.D., Santpere, G., et al. (2013). Great ape genetic diversity and population history. Nature 499, 471-475.
- 441 52. Arora, N., Nater, A., van Schaik, C.P., Willems, E.P., van Noordwijk, M.A., Goossens, B.,
  442 Morf, N., Bastian, M., Knott, C., Morrogh-Bernard, H., et al. (2010). Effects of Pleistocene
  443 glaciations and rivers on the population structure of Bornean orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus*).
  444 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107, 21376-21381.
- 53. Nater, A., Nietlisbach, P., Arora, N., van Schaik, C.P., van Noordwijk, M.A., Willems, E.P.,
  Singleton, I., Wich, S.A., Goossens, B., Warren, K.S., et al. (2011). Sex-biased dispersal and
  volcanic activities shaped phylogeographic patterns of extant orangutans (genus: *Pongo*).
  Molecular Biology and Evolution *28*, 2275-2288.
- 449 54. van Noordwijk, M.A., Arora, N., Willems, E.P., Dunkel, L.P., Amda, R.N., Mardianah, N.,
  450 Ackermann, C., Krützen, M., and van Schaik, C.P. (2012). Female philopatry and its social
  451 benefits among Bornean orangutans. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology *66*, 823-834.
- 452 55. Morrogh-Bernard, H.C., Morf, N.V., Chivers, D.J., and Krützen, M. (2011). Dispersal patterns
  453 of orang-utans (*Pongo* spp.) in a Bornean peat-swamp forest. International Journal of
  454 Primatology 32, 362-376.
- 56. Nietlisbach, P., Arora, N., Nater, A., Goossens, B., Van Schaik, C.P., and Krützen, M. (2012).
  Heavily male-biased long-distance dispersal of orang-utans (genus: *Pongo*), as revealed by Ychromosomal and mitochondrial genetic markers. Molecular ecology *21*, 3173-3186.
- 57. Nater, A., Greminger, M.P., Arora, N., van Schaik, C.P., Goossens, B., Singleton, I., Verschoor,
  E.J., Warren, K.S., and Krützen, M. (2015). Reconstructing the demographic history of orangutans using Approximate Bayesian Computation. Molecular Ecology *24*, 310-327.
- 461 58. Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., and Rambaut, A. (2012). Bayesian phylogenetics
  462 with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Molecular biology and evolution 29, 1969-1973.
- 463 59. Tamura, K., and Nei, M. (1993). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the
  464 control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Molecular Biology and
  465 Evolution 10, 512-526.

- 46660.Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R., and Posada, D. (2012). jModelTest 2: more models, new467heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9, 772-772.
- 468 61. Röhrer-Ertl, O. (1988). Research history, nomenclature, and taxonomy of the orang-utan. In
  469 Orang-utan Biology, J. Schwartz, ed. (Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press), pp. 7-18.
- 470 62. Shapiro, J.S. (1995). Morphometric variation in the orang utan (*Pongo pygmaeus*), with a comparison of inter- and intraspecific variability in the African apes. Volume PhD Dissertation.
  472 (Columbia University).
- 473 63. Hooijer, D.A. (1948). Prehistoric teeth of man and of the orang utan from Central Sumatra, with
  474 notes on the fossil orang utan from Java and Southern China. Zool Meded Rijksmus Leiden 29,
  475 175 183.
- 476 64. Drawhorn, G.M. (1994). The systematics and Paleodemography of fossil Orangutans (Genus *Pongo*). (University of California).
- 478 65. Harrison, T., Jin, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., and Zhu, M. (2014). Fossil Pongo from the Early
  479 Pleistocene Gigantopithecus fauna of Chongzuo, Guangxi, southern China. Quaternary
  480 International *354*, 59-67.
- 481 66. de Vos, J. (1983). The *Pongo* faunas from Java and Sumatra and their significance for
  482 biostratigraphical and paleo-ecological interpretations. Proceedings of the Koninklijke
  483 Akademie van Wetenschappen. Series B 86, 417-425.
- Bacon, A.-M., Westaway, K., Antoine, P.-O., Duringer, P., Blin, A., Demeter, F., Ponche, J.L., Zhao, J.-X., Barnes, L.M., Sayavonkhamdy, T., et al. (2015). Late Pleistocene mammalian assemblages of Southeast Asia: New dating, mortality profiles and evolution of the predator– prey relationships in an environmental context. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology *422*, 101-127.
- 489 68. Louys, J. (2012). Mammal community structure of Sundanese fossil assemblages from the Late
  490 Pleistocene, and a discussion on the ecological effects of the Toba eruption. Quaternary
  491 International 258, 80-87.
- 492 69. Schwartz, J.H., Vu The, L., Nguyen Lan, C., Le Trung, K., and Tattersall, I. (1995). A review
  493 of the Pleistocene hominoid fauna of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (excluding Hylobatidae).
- Plavcan, J.M. (1994). Comparison of four simple methods for estimating sexual dimorphism in fossils. Am J Phys Anthropol *94*, 465-476.
- 497 71. Greminger, M.P., Stolting, K., Nater, A., Goossens, B., Arora, N., Bruggmann, R., Patrignani,
  498 A., Nussberger, B., Sharma, R., Kraus, R.H., et al. (2014). Generation of SNP datasets for
  499 orangutan population genomics using improved reduced-representation sequencing and direct
  500 comparisons of SNP calling algorithms. BMC genomics 15, 16.
- 501 72. Andrews, S. (2012). FastQC. A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
- 502 73. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754-1760.
- McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella,
  K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., et al. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A
  MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Research
  20, 1297-1303.
- 508 75. DePristo, M.A., Banks, E., Poplin, R., Garimella, K.V., Maguire, J.R., Hartl, C., Philippakis,
  509 A.A., del Angel, G., Rivas, M.A., Hanna, M., et al. (2011). A framework for variation discovery
  510 and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet *43*, 491-498.
- 511 76. Derrien, T., Estellé, J., Marco Sola, S., Knowles, D.G., Raineri, E., Guigó, R., and Ribeca, P.
  512 (2012). Fast Computation and Applications of Genome Mappability. PLoS ONE 7, e30377.
- 513 77. Auton, A., and McVean, G. (2007). Recombination rate estimation in the presence of hotspots.
  514 Genome Research 17, 1219-1227.
- Auton, A., Fledel-Alon, A., Pfeifer, S., Venn, O., Segurel, L., Street, T., Leffler, E.M., Bowden,
  R., Aneas, I., Broxholme, J., et al. (2012). A fine-scale chimpanzee genetic map from population
  sequencing. Science *336*, 193-198.
- 518 79. Delaneau, O., Marchini, J., and Zagury, J.F. (2012). A linear complexity phasing method for
   519 thousands of genomes. Nat Methods 9, 179-181.
- 52080.Delaneau, O., Howie, B., Cox, A.J., Zagury, J.F., and Marchini, J. (2013). Haplotype estimation521using sequencing reads. American Journal of Human Genetics 93, 687-696.

- McQuillan, R., Leutenegger, A.L., Abdel-Rahman, R., Franklin, C.S., Pericic, M., Barac-Lauc,
  L., Smolej-Narancic, N., Janicijevic, B., Polasek, O., Tenesa, A., et al. (2008). Runs of
  homozygosity in European populations. American Journal of Human Genetics *83*, 359-372.
- Pemberton, Trevor J., Absher, D., Feldman, Marcus W., Myers, Richard M., Rosenberg,
  Noah A., and Li, Jun Z. (2012). Genomic Patterns of Homozygosity in Worldwide Human
  Populations. The American Journal of Human Genetics *91*, 275-292.
- 83. Hall, T.A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
  program for Windows 95/98/NT. In Nucleic acids symposium series, Volume 41. pp. 95-98.
- 84. Roos, C., Zinner, D., Kubatko, L., Schwarz, C., Yang, M., Meyer, D., Nash, S., Xing, J., Batzer,
  M., Brameier, M., et al. (2011). Nuclear versus mitochondrial DNA: evidence for hybridization
  in colobine monkeys. BMC Evolutionary Biology *11*, 77.
- Thalmann, O., Serre, D., Hofreiter, M., Lukas, D., Eriksson, J., and Vigilant, L. (2005). Nuclear
  insertions help and hinder inference of the evolutionary history of gorilla mtDNA. Molecular
  Ecology 14, 179-188.
- 536 86. Steiper, M.E., and Young, N.M. (2006). Primate molecular divergence dates. Molecular 537 phylogenetics and evolution *41*, 384-394.
- 87. Bellott, D.W., Hughes, J.F., Skaletsky, H., Brown, L.G., Pyntikova, T., Cho, T.-J., Koutseva,
  N., Zaghlul, S., Graves, T., and Rock, S. (2014). Mammalian Y chromosomes retain widely
  expressed dosage-sensitive regulators. Nature 508, 494-499.
- Soh, Y.S., Alföldi, J., Pyntikova, T., Brown, L.G., Graves, T., Minx, P.J., Fulton, R.S.,
  Kremitzki, C., Koutseva, N., and Mueller, J.L. (2014). Sequencing the mouse Y chromosome reveals convergent gene acquisition and amplification on both sex chromosomes. Cell *159*, 800813.
- Hughes, J.F., Skaletsky, H., Pyntikova, T., Graves, T.A., van Daalen, S.K., Minx, P.J., Fulton,
  R.S., McGrath, S.D., Locke, D.P., and Friedman, C. (2010). Chimpanzee and human Y
  chromosomes are remarkably divergent in structure and gene content. Nature 463, 536-539.
- Wei, W., Ayub, Q., Chen, Y., McCarthy, S., Hou, Y., Carbone, I., Xue, Y., and Tyler-Smith, C.
  (2013). A calibrated human Y-chromosomal phylogeny based on resequencing. Genome research 23, 388-395.
- 551 91. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
  552 Durbin, R., and Subgroup, G.P.D.P. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
  553 SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078-2079.
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A., Handsaker, R.E.,
  Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T., et al. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools.
  Bioinformatics 27, 2156-2158.
- 557 93. Tavaré, S. (1986). Some probabilistic and statistical problems in the analysis of DNA sequences.
  558 In Lectures on Mathematics in the Life Sciences, Volume 17. pp. 57-86.
- 94. Posada, D. (2003). Using MODELTEST and PAUP\* to Select a Model of Nucleotide
  Substitution. In Current Protocols in Bioinformatics. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc.).
- 561 95. Drummond, A.J., Ho, S.Y., Phillips, M.J., and Rambaut, A. (2006). Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. PLoS biology *4*, e88.
- 563 96. Yang, Z., and Rannala, B. (2006). Bayesian estimation of species divergence times under a
  564 molecular clock using multiple fossil calibrations with soft bounds. Molecular biology and
  565 evolution 23, 212-226.
- Brunet, M., Guy, F., Pilbeam, D., Mackaye, H.T., Likius, A., Ahounta, D., Beauvilain, A.,
  Blondel, C., Bocherens, H., and Boisserie, J.-R. (2002). A new hominid from the Upper
  Miocene of Chad, Central Africa. Nature *418*, 145-151.
- Vignaud, P., Duringer, P., Mackaye, H.T., Likius, A., Blondel, C., Boisserie, J.-R., De Bonis,
  L., Eisenmann, V., Etienne, M.-E., and Geraads, D. (2002). Geology and palaeontology of the
  Upper Miocene Toros-Menalla hominid locality, Chad. Nature *418*, 152-155.
- Raaum, R.L., Sterner, K.N., Noviello, C.M., Stewart, C.-B., and Disotell, T.R. (2005).
  Catarrhine primate divergence dates estimated from complete mitochondrial genomes:
  concordance with fossil and nuclear DNA evidence. J Hum Evol 48, 237-257.
- 575 100. Rambaut, A., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., and Drummond, A.J. (2014). Tracer v1.6.
- 576 101. Rambaut, A. (2012). FigTree version 1.4.

- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: Molecular
   Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. Molecular biology and evolution *30*, 2725-2729.
- 579 103. Scally, A., and Durbin, R. (2012). Revising the human mutation rate: implications for 580 understanding human evolution. Nature Reviews Genetics *13*, 745-753.
- 581 104. Ségurel, L., Wyman, M.J., and Przeworski, M. (2014). Determinants of Mutation Rate Variation
   582 in the Human Germline. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics 15, 47-70.
- Venn, O., Turner, I., Mathieson, I., de Groot, N., Bontrop, R., and McVean, G. (2014). Strong
  male bias drives germline mutation in chimpanzees. Science *344*, 1272-1275.
- Lipson, M., Loh, P.-R., Sankararaman, S., Patterson, N., Berger, B., and Reich, D. (2015).
  Calibrating the human mutation rate via ancestral recombination density in diploid genomes.
  PLoS Genet 11, e1005550.
- Carbone, L., Alan Harris, R., Gnerre, S., Veeramah, K.R., Lorente-Galdos, B., Huddleston, J.,
  Meyer, T.J., Herrero, J., Roos, C., Aken, B., et al. (2014). Gibbon genome and the fast karyotype
  evolution of small apes. Nature *513*, 195-201.
- Wich, S., De Vries, H., Ancrenaz, M., Perkins, L., Shumaker, R., Suzuki, A., and Van Schaik,
  C. (2009). Orangutan life history variation. In Orangutans Geographic Variation in Behavioral
  Ecology and Conservation S.A. Wich, S.S. Utami Atmoko, T. Mitra Setia and C.P. van Schaik,
  eds. (Oxford University Press ), pp. 65-75.
- Team, R.D.C. (2010). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. (Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing).
- Alexander, D.H., Novembre, J., and Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry
   in unrelated individuals. Genome Research *19*, 1655-1664.
- 599 111. Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A., Bender, D., Maller, J.,
  600 Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I., Daly, M.J., et al. (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome
  601 association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet *81*, 559-575.
- 602 112. Schiffels, S., and Durbin, R. (2014). Inferring human population size and separation history
   603 from multiple genome sequences. Nat. Genet. 46, 919-925.
- Robinson, J.D., Bunnefeld, L., Hearn, J., Stone, G.N., and Hickerson, M.J. (2014). ABC
  inference of multi-population divergence with admixture from unphased population genomic
  data. Mol. Ecol. 23, 4458-4471.
- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E., and Quattro, J.M. (1992). Analysis of molecular variance inferred
   from metric distances among DNA haplotypes application to human mitochondrial DNA
   restriction data. Genetics *131*, 479-491.
- Hudson, R.R. (2002). Generating samples under a Wright-Fisher neutral model of genetic variation. Bioinformatics 18, 337-338.
- 612 116. Le Cao, K.A., Gonzalez, I., and Dejean, S. (2009). integrOmics: an R package to unravel
  613 relationships between two omics datasets. Bioinformatics 25, 2855-2856.
- 614 117. Csillery, K., Francois, O., and Blum, M.G.B. (2012). abc: an R package for approximate
  615 Bayesian computation (ABC). Methods Ecol. Evol. *3*, 475-479.
- 616 118. Mevik, B.H., and Wehrens, R. (2007). The pls package: principal component and partial least
  617 squares regression in R. J. Stat. Softw. 18.
- 618 119. Wegmann, D., Leuenberger, C., and Excoffier, L. (2009). Efficient Approximate Bayesian
  619 computation coupled with Markov chain Monte Carlo without likelihood. Genetics 182, 1207620 1218.
- Leuenberger, C., and Wegmann, D. (2010). Bayesian computation and model selection without
   likelihoods. Genetics *184*, 243-252.
- Wegmann, D., Leuenberger, C., Neuenschwander, S., and Excoffier, L. (2010). ABCtoolbox: a
  versatile toolkit for approximate Bayesian computations. BMC Bioinformatics *11*.
- 625 122. Cook, S.R., Gelman, A., and Rubin, D.B. (2006). Validation of software for Bayesian models
  626 using posterior quantiles. J. Comput. Graph. Stat. 15, 675-692.
- 627 123. Rice, W.R. (1989). Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43, 223-225.
- 628 124. Gronau, I., Hubisz, M.J., Gulko, B., Danko, C.G., and Siepel, A. (2011). Bayesian inference of
  629 ancient human demography from individual genome sequences. Nat. Genet. 43, 1031-1034.
- Baele, G., Lemey, P., Bedford, T., Rambaut, A., Suchard, M.A., and Alekseyenko, A.V. (2012).
  Improving the accuracy of demographic and molecular clock model comparison while
  accommodating phylogenetic uncertainty. Mol Biol Evol 29, 2157-2167.

633 126. Raftery, A.E., Newton, M.A., Satagopan, J.M., and Krivitsky, P.N. (2007). Estimating the 634 integrated likelihood via posterior simulation using the harmonic mean identity. In Bayesian 635 Statistics, J.M. Bernardo, M.J. Bayarri and J.O. Berger, eds. (Oxford: Oxford University Press), pp. 1-45. 636 637 127. Röhrer-Ertl, O. (1984). Orang-utan Studien, (Neuried, Germany: Hieronymus Verlag). Röhrer-Ertl, O. (1988). Cranial growth. In Orang-utan Biology, J. Schwartz, ed. (Oxford, UK: 638 128. 639 Oxford University Press), pp. 201-224. Courtenay, J., Groves, C., and Andrews, P. (1988). Inter- or intra-island variation? An 640 129. 641 assessment of the differences between Bornean and Sumatran orang-utans. In Orang-utan biology, H. Schwartz, ed. (Oxford, England: Oxford University Press), pp. 19-29. 642 643 Uchida, A. (1998). Variation in tooth morphology of *Pongo pygmaeus*. J Hum Evol 34, 71-79. 130. Taylor, A.B. (2006). Feeding behavior, diet, and the functional consequences of jaw form in 644 131. 645 orangutans, with implications for the evolution of Pongo. J Hum Evol 50, 377-393. 646 132. Taylor, A.B. (2009). The functional significance of variation in jaw form in orangutans. In 647 Orangutans: geographic variation in behavioral ecology and conservation, S.A. Wich, S.U. 648 Atmoko, T.M. Setia and C.P. van Schaik, eds. (Oxford, UK.: Oxford University Press), pp. 15-649 31. Tukey, J.W. (1977). Exploratory data analysis, (London, UK: Addison-Wesley Publishing 650 133. 651 Company). 652 134. Tabachnick, B.G., and Fidell, L.S. (2013). Using multivariate statistics, 6th ed, (New York, 653 USA: Pearson). 654 135. R Core Development Team (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org/. (Vienna, Austria). 655 656 136. Kaiser, H.F. (1960). The application of electronic computers to factor analysis. Education and 657 Psychological Measurement 20, 141-151. Revelle, W. (2016). Psych: procedures for personality and psychological research. 658 137. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych Version =1.6.4, (Evanston, Illinois, 659 USA: 660 Northwestern University). 661 138. Davila-Ross, M. (2004). The long calls of wild male orangutans: A phylogenetic approach. 662 Volume PhD. (Hannover, Germany: Institut für Zoologie, Tierärztliche Hochshule Hannover). 663 139. Davila-Ross, M., and Geissmann, T. (2007). Call diversity of wild male orangutans: a phylogenetic approach. Am. J. Primatol. 69, 305-324. 664 Lameira, A.R., and Wich, S.A. (2008). Orangutan Long Call Degradation and Individuality 665 140. 666 Over Distance: A Playback Approach. Int. J. Primatol. 29, 615-625. 667 141. Delgado, R.A., Lameira, A.R., Davila Ross, M., Husson, S.J., Morrogh-Bernard, H.C., and Wich, S.A. (2009). Geographical variation in orangutan long calls. In Orangutans: Geographic 668 variation in behavioral ecology and conservation, S.A. Wich, S.S. Utami Atmoko, T. Mitra Setia 669 670 and C.P. van Schaik, eds. (Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press), pp. 215-224. 142. Darul Sukma, W.P., Dai, J., Hidayat, A., Yayat, A.H., Sumulyadi, H.Y., Hendra, S., Buurman, 671 P., and Balsem, T. (1990). Explanatory booklet of the land unit and soil map of the Sidikalang 672 sheet (618), Sumatra. (Bogor, Indonesia: Centre for Soil and Agroclimate Research). 673 Darul Sukma, W.P., Suratman, Hidayat, J.A., and Budhi, P.G. (1990). Explanatory booklet of 674 143. the land unit and soil map of the Tapaktuan sheet (519), Sumatra, (Bogor, Indonesia: Centre for 675 676 Soil and Agroclimate Research). Darul Sukma, W.P., Suratman, Hidayat, J.A., and Budi, P.G. (1990). Explanatory booklet of the 677 144. land unit and soil map of the Lho'Kruet sheet (420), Sumatra, (Bogor, Indonesia: Centre for 678 679 Soil and Agroclimate Research). 680 145. Darul Sukma, W.P., Verhagen, V., Dai, J., Buurman, P., Balsem, T., Suratman, and Vejre, H. 681 (1990). Explanatory booklet of the land unit and soil map of the Takengon sheet (520). Sumatra, (Bogor, Indonesia: Centre for Soil and Agroclimate Research). 682 Hidavat, A., Verhagen, A., Darul Sukma, W.P., Buurman, P., Balsem, T., Suratman, and Veire, 683 146. H. (1990). Explanatory booklet of the land unit and soil map of the Lhokseumawe (521) and 684 685 Simpangulim (621) sheets, Sumatra, (Centre for Soil and Agroclimate Research). Hikmatullah, Wahyunto, Chendy, T.F., Dai, J., and Hidayat, A. (1990). Explanatory booklet of 686 147. the land unit and soil map of the Langsa (620) sheet, Sumatra, (Bogor, Indonesia: Centre for 687 688 Soil and Agroclimate Research).

- 148. Subardja, D., Djuanda, K., Hadian, Y., Samdan, C.D., Mulyadi, Y., Supriatna, W., and Dai, J.
  (1990). Explanatory booklet of the land unit and soil map of the Sibolga (617) and
  Padangsidempuan (717) sheets, Sumatra, (Bogor, Indonesia: Centre for Soil and Agroclimate
  Research).
- Wahyunto, Puksi, D.S., Rochman, A., Wahdini, W., Paidi, Dai, J., Hidayat, A., Buurman, P.,
  and Balsem, T. (1990). Explanatory booklet of the land unit and soil map of the Medan (619)
  sheet, Sumatra, (Bogor, Indonesia: Centre for Soil and Agroclimate Research).
- Hall, R., van Hattum, M.W.A., and Spakman, W. (2008). Impact of India–Asia collision on SE
  Asia: The record in Borneo. Tectonophysics 451, 366-389.
- Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., and Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high
  resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of
  Climatology 25, 1965-1978.
- Wich, S.A., Singleton, I., Nowak, M.G., Utami Atmoko, S.S., Nisam, G., Arif, S.M., Putra,
  R.H., Ardi, R., Fredriksson, G., Usher, G., et al. (2016). Land-cover changes predict steep
  declines for the Sumatran orangutan (*Pongo abelii*). Science Advances 2, e1500789.
- Wich, S.A., Atmoko, S.U., Setia, T.M., and van Schaik, C. (2009). Orangutans. Geographic
   variation in behavioral ecology and conservation, (Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press).
- Hall, T.A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
   program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic acids symposium series 41, 95-98.
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
  and Durbin, R. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25,
  2078-2079.
- 156. Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A., Handsaker, R.E.,
  Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T., et al. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools.
  Bioinformatics 27, 2156-2158.
- Patterson, N., Moorjani, P., Luo, Y., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Zhan, Y., Genschoreck, T.,
  Webster, T., and Reich, D. (2012). Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics 192, 10651093.
- 717 158. Venables, W.N., and Ripley, B.D. (2002). Modern applied statistics with S, 4th edition, (New York, USA: Springer).

Figure 1. Morphological evidence supporting a new orangutan species. A) Current distribution of *Pongo tapanuliensis* on Sumatra. The holotype locality is marked with a red star. The area shown in the map is indicated in Figure 2A. B) Holotype skull and mandible of *P. tapanuliensis* from a recently deceased individual from Batang Toru. See also Figure S1, Tables S1 and S2. C) Violin plots of the first seven principal components of 26 cranio-mandibular morphological variables of 8 north Sumatran *P. abelii* and 19 Bornean *P. pygmaeus* individuals of similar developmental state as the holotype skull (black horizontal lines). See also Figure S2.

726 Figure 2. Distribution, genomic diversity, and population structure of the genus Pongo. A) 727 Sampling areas across the current distribution of orangutans. The contour indicates the extent of the 728 exposed Sunda Shelf during the last glacial maximum. The black rectangle delimits the area shown in 729 Figure 1A. n = numbers of sequenced individuals. See also Table S4. B) Principal component analysis 730 of genomic diversity in *Pongo*. Axis labels show the percentages of the total variance explained by the 731 first two principal components. Colored bars in the insert represent the distribution of nucleotide diversity in genome-wide 1-Mb windows across sampling areas. C) Bayesian clustering analysis of 732 733 population structure using the program ADMIXTURE. Each vertical bar depicts an individual, with 734 colors representing the inferred ancestry proportions with different assumed numbers of genetic clusters 735 (K, horizontal sections).

736 Figure 3. Demographic history and gene flow in Pongo. A) Model selection by Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) of plausible colonization histories of orangutans on Sundaland. The ABC 737 738 analyses are based on the comparison of ~3,000 non-coding 2-kb loci randomly distributed across the 739 genome with corresponding data simulated under the different demographic models. The numbers in the black boxes indicate the model's posterior probability. NT = Sumatran populations north of Lake 740 741 Toba, ST = the Sumatran population of Batang Toru south of Lake Toba, BO = Bornean populations. 742 B) ABC parameter estimates based on the full demographic model with colonization pattern inferred in 743 panel A. Numbers in grey rectangles represent point estimates of effective population size (N<sub>e</sub>). Arrows 744 indicate gene flow among populations, numbers above the arrows represent point estimates of numbers 745 of migrants per generation. See also Table S5. C) Relative cross-coalescent rate (RCCR) analysis for 746 between-species pairs of phased high-coverage genomes. A RCCR close to 1 indicates extensive gene 747 flow between species, while a ratio close to 0 indicates genetic isolation between species pairs. The x-748 axis shows time scaled in years, assuming a generation time of 25 years and an autosomal mutation rate of  $1.5 \times 10^{-8}$  per site per generation. See also Figure S3. 749

Figure 4. Sex-specific evolutionary history of orangutans. Bayesian phylogenetic trees for (A) mitochondrial genomes and (B) Y chromosomes. The mitochondrial tree is rooted with a human and a central chimpanzee sequence, the Y chromosome tree with a human sequence (not shown). \*\* Posterior probability = 1.00. C) Genotype-sharing matrix for mitogenomes (above the diagonal) and Y

- chromosomes (below the diagonal) for all analyzed male orangutans. A value of 1 indicates that two
- males have identical genotypes at all polymorphic sites; a value of 0 means that they have different
- 756 genotypes at all variable positions.

# 757 CONTACT FOR RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled

by the Lead Contact, Michael Krützen (michael.kruetzen@aim.uzh.ch).

# 760 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

# 761 Sample collection and population assignment for genomic analysis

Our sample set comprised genomes from 37 orangutans, representing the entire geographic range of extant orangutans (Figure 2A). We obtained whole-genome sequencing data for the study individuals from three different sources (Table S4): (i) genomes of 17 orangutans were sequenced for this study.

765 Data for 20 individuals were obtained from (ii) Locke *et al.* [50] (n=10) and (iii) Prado-Martinez *et al.* 

766 [51] (n=10). All individuals were wild-born, except for five orangutans which were first-generation

- offspring of wild-born parents of the same species (Table S4).
- 768 Population provenance of the previously sequenced orangutans [50, 51] was largely unknown. We 769 identified their most likely natal area based on mtDNA haplotype clustering in a phylogenetic tree 770 together with samples of known geographic provenance. Because of extreme female philopatry in 771 orangutans, mtDNA haplotypes are reliable indicators for the population of origin [33, 52-56]. Using 772 three concatenated mtDNA genes (16S ribosomal DNA, Cytochrome b, and NADH-ubiquinone 773 oxidoreductase chain 3), we constructed a Bayesian tree, including 127 non-invasively sampled wild 774 orangutans from 15 geographic regions representing all known extant orangutan populations [53, 57]. 775 Gene sequences of our study individuals were extracted from their complete mitochondrial genome 776 sequences. The phylogenetic tree was built with BEAST v1.8.0. [58], as described in Nater et al. [53], 777 applying a TN93+I substitution model [59] as determined by jModelTest v2.1.4. [60].
- Using the mitochondrial tree, we assigned all previously sequenced orangutans [50, 51] to their most likely population of origin. Our sample assignment revealed incomplete geographic representation of the genus *Pongo* in previous studies. To achieve a more complete representation of extant orangutans, we sequenced genomes of 17 wild-born orangutans mainly from areas with little or no previous sample
- coverage. Detailed provenance information for these individuals is provided in Table S4.

# 783 Samples for morphological analysis

We conducted comparative morphological analyses of 34 adult male orangutans from 10 institutions
housing osteological specimens. A single adult male skeleton from the Batang Toru population was

available for study, having died from injuries sustained in an orangutan-human conflict situation in

- November 2013. To account for potential morphological differences related to developmental stage [61,
- 62], our analyses included only males at a similar developmental stage as the Batang Toru specimen,

*i.e.*, having a sagittal crest of <10 mm in height. In addition to the single available Batang Toru male,</li>
 our extant sample comprises specimens from the two currently recognized species, the north Sumatran

791 *Pongo abelii* (n=8) and the Bornean *P. pygmaeus* (n=25).

792 We also evaluated the relationship of the dental material between the Batang Toru specimen and those 793 of the Late Pleistocene fossil material found within the Djamboe, Lida Ajer, and Sibrambang caves near 794 Padang, Sumatra, all of which has been previously described by Hooijer [63]. Some scholars have 795 suggested that the fossil material may represent multiple species [64, 65]. However, Hooijer had more 796 than adequately shown that the variation in dental morphology observed within the three cave 797 assemblages can easily be accommodated within a single species [63]. As only teeth were present in 798 the described cave material, many of which also have gnaw marks, taphonomic processes (e.g., a)799 porcupines as accumulating agents) are thought to have largely shaped the cave material [66, 67] and 800 thus may account for the appearance of size differences among the cave samples [64, 65]. Furthermore, 801 the similarities in the reconstructed age of the cave material (~128-118 ka or ~80-60 ka [66-68]), and 802 the fact that the presence of more than one large-bodied ape species is an uncommon feature in both 803 fossil and extant Southeast Asian faunal assemblages [69], makes it highly unlikely that multiple large-804 bodied ape species co-existed within the area at a given time. For purposes of discussion here, we collectively refer to the Padang fossil material as *P. p. palaeosumatrensis*, as described by Hooijer [63]. 805 806 As the comparative fossil sample likely comprises various age-sex classes [63], we divided the fossil

sample into two portions above and below the mean for each respective tooth utilized in this study. We

808 considered samples above the mean to represent larger individuals, which we attribute to "males", and

the ones below to being smaller individuals, which we attribute to "females" [70]. We only used the

810 "male" samples in comparison to our extant male comparative orangutan sample.

# 811 METHOD DETAILS

### 812 Whole-genome sequencing

813 To obtain sufficient amounts of DNA, we collected blood samples from confiscated orangutans at rehabilitation centres, including the Sumatran Orangutan Conservation Program (SOCP) in Medan, 814 BOS Wanariset Orangutan Reintroduction Project in East Kalimantan, Semongok Wildlife 815 816 Rehabilitation Centre in Sarawak, and Sepilok Orangutan Rehabilitation Centre in Sabah. We took whole blood samples during routine veterinary examinations and stored in EDTA blood collection tubes 817 at -20°C. The collection and transport of samples were conducted in strict accordance with Indonesian, 818 819 Malaysian and international regulations. Samples were transferred to Zurich under the Convention on 820 International Trade of Endangered Species in Fauna and Flora (CITES) permit numbers 4872/2010 821 (Sabah), and 06968/IV/SATS-LN/2005 (Indonesia). 822 We extracted genomic DNA using the Gentra Puregene Blood Kit (Qiagen) but modified the protocol

- for clotted blood as described in Greminger *et al.* [71]. We sequenced individuals on two to three lanes
- on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 in paired end (2 x 101 bp) mode. Sample PP 5062 was sequenced at the
- 825 Functional Genomics Center in Zurich (Switzerland), the other individuals at the Centre Nacional
- 826 d'Anàlisi Genòmica in Barcelona (Spain), as the individuals of Prado-Martinez *et al.* [51]. On average,
- 827 we generated  $\sim 1.1 \times 10^9$  raw Illumina reads per individual.

# 828 Read mapping

- 829 We followed identical bioinformatical procedures for all 37 study individuals, using the same software 830 versions. We quality-checked raw Illumina sequencing reads with FastQC v0.10.1. [72] and mapped to the orangutan reference genome *ponAbe2* [50] using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA-MEM) 831 832 v0.7.5 [73] in paired-end mode with default read alignment penalty scores. We used Picard v1.101 833 (http://picard.sourceforge.net/) to add read groups, convert sequence alignment/map (SAM) files to 834 binary alignment/map (BAM) files, merge BAM files for each individual, and to mark optical and PCR 835 duplicates. We filtered out duplicated reads, bad read mates, reads with mapping quality zero, and reads 836 that mapped ambiguously.
- 837 We performed local realignment around indels and empirical base quality score recalibration (BQSR) 838 with the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) v3.2.2. [74, 75]. The BQSR process empirically calculates more accurate base quality scores (*i.e.*, Phred-scaled probability of error) than those emitted by the 839 840 sequencing machines through analysing the covariation among several characteristics of a base (e.g., 841 position within the read, sequencing cycle, previous base, etc.) and its status of matching the reference 842 sequence or not. To account for true sequence variation in the data set, the model requires a database of 843 known polymorphic sites ('known sites') which are skipped over in the recalibration algorithm. Since 844 no suitable set of 'known sites' was available for the complete genus Pongo, we preliminary identified

confident SNPs from our data. For this, we performed an initial round of SNP calling on unrecalibrated 845 BAM files with the UnifiedGenotyper of the GATK. Single nucleotide polymorphisms were called 846 separately for Bornean and Sumatran orangutans in multi-sample mode (*i.e.*, joint analysis of all 847 848 individuals per island), creating two variant call (VCF) files. In addition, we produced a third VCF file 849 jointly analysing all study individuals in order to capture genus-wide low frequency alleles. We applied 850 the following hard quality filter criteria on all three VCF files:  $QUAL < 50.0 \parallel QD < 2.0 \parallel FS > 60.0 \parallel$ 851 MQ < 40.0 || HaplotypeScore > 13.0 || MappingQualityRankSum < -12.5 || ReadPosRankSum < -8.0. 852 Additionally, we calculated the mean and standard deviation of sequencing depth over all samples and 853 filtered all sites with a site-wise coverage more than five standard deviations above the mean. We 854 merged the three hard filtered VCF files and took SNPs as 'known sites' for BQSR with the GATK. The walkers CountReads and DepthOfCoverage of the GATK were used to obtain various mapping 855 856 statistics for unfiltered and filtered BAM files.

Mean effective sequencing depth, estimated from filtered BAM files, varied among individuals ranging from 4.8–12.2x [50] to 13.7–31.1x (this study) [51], with an average depth of 18.4x over all individuals (Tables S4). For the previously sequenced genomes [50, 51], estimated sequence depths were 25–40% lower as the values reported in the two source studies. This difference is explained by the way sequence depth was calculated. Here, we estimated sequence depth on the filtered BAM files where duplicated reads, bad read mates, reads with mapping quality zero, and reads which mapped ambiguously had already been removed. Thus, our sequence coverage estimates correspond to the effective read-depths

which are available for SNP discovery and genotyping.

# 865 SNP and genotype calling

We produced high quality genotypes for all individuals for each position in the genome, applying the same filtering criteria for SNP and non-polymorphic positions. We identified SNPs and called genotypes in a three-step approach. First, we identified a set of candidate (raw) SNPs among all study individuals. Second, we performed variant quality score recalibration (VQSR) on the candidate SNPs to identify high-confidence SNPs. Third, we called genotypes of all study individuals at these highconfidence SNP positions.

Step 1: We used the *HaplotypeCaller* of the GATK in genomic Variant Call Format (gVCF) mode to
obtain for each individual in the dataset genotype likelihoods at any site in the reference genome. *HaplotypeCaller* performs local realignment of reads around potential variant sites and is therefore
expected to considerably improve SNP calling in difficult-to-align regions of the genome. We then
genotyped the resulting gVCF files together on a per-island level, as well as combined for all
individuals, using the *Genotype GVCFs* tool of the GATK to obtain three VCF files with candidate
SNPs for *P. abelii*, *P. pygmaeus*, and over all *Pongo* samples.

881

879 Step 2: Of the produced set of candidate SNPs, we identified high-confidence SNPs using the VQSR

procedure implemented in the GATK. The principle of the method is to develop an estimate of the

relationship between various SNP call annotations (*e.g.*, total depth, mapping quality, strand bias, etc.)

and the probability that a SNP is a true genetic variant. The model is determined adaptively based on a

set of 'true SNPs' (*i.e.*, known variants) provided as input. Our 'true SNPs' set contained 5,600 high-

884 confidence SNPs, which were independently identified by three different variant callers in a previous

- reduced-representation sequencing project [71]. We ran the *Variant Recalibrator* of the GATK
- separately for each of the three raw SNP VCFs to produce recalibration files based on the 'true SNPs'
- and a VQSR training set of SNPs. The VQSR training sets were derived separately for each of the three
   raw SNP VCF files and contained the top 20% SNPs with highest variant quality score after having

applied hard quality filtering as described for the VCF files in the BQSR procedure.

890 We used the produced VQSR recalibration files to filter the three candidate SNP VCFs with the Apply

891 Recalibration walker of the GATK setting the '--truth\_sensitivity\_filter\_level' to 99.8%. Finally, we

solution combined all SNPs of the three VCF files passing this filter using the *Combine Variants* tool of the

893 GATK, hence generating a master list of high-confidence SNP sites in the genus *Pongo*.

Step 3: We called the genotype of each study individual at the identified high-confidence SNP sites.
We performed genotyping on the recalibrated BAM files in multi-sample mode for Bornean and
Sumatran orangutans separately, producing one SNP VCF file per island.

- Finally, we only retained positions with high genome mappability, *i.e.*, genomic positions within a 897 898 uniquely mappable 100-mers (up to 4 mismatches allowed), as identified with the GEM-mappability 899 module from the GEM library build [76]. This mappability mask excludes genomic regions in the 900 orangutan reference genome that are duplicated and therefore tend to produce ambiguous mappings, 901 which can lead to unreliable genotype calling. Furthermore, we aimed to reduce spurious male 902 heterozygous genotype calls on the X chromosome due to UnifiedGenotyper assuming diploidy of the 903 entire genome. We determined the male-to-female ratios (M/F) of mean observed heterozygosity (H<sub>o</sub>) 904 and sequence coverage in non-overlapping 20-kb windows along the X chromosome across both 905 islands. We obtained a list of X-chromosomal windows where M/F of H<sub>0</sub> was above the 85%-quantile or M/F coverage was above the 95%-quantile, resulting in 1255 20-kb windows requiring exclusion. 906 907 We then repeated step 3 of the genotype calling pipeline on the X chromosome for the male samples 908 setting the argument '-ploidy' of UnifiedGenotyper to 1 to specify the correct hemizygous state of the X chromosome in males. We subsequently masked all X-chromosomal positions within the spurious 909
- 910 20-kb windows in both male and female samples.

911 In total, we discovered 30,640,634 SNPs among all 37 individuals, which represent the most 912 comprehensive catalogue of genetic diversity across the genus *Pongo* to date.

# 913 QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

# 914 **Recombination map estimation**

We generated recombination maps for Bornean and Sumatran orangutans using the LDhat v2.2a software [77], following Auton et al. [78]. We used a high-quality subset of genotype data from the original SNP-calling dataset for the recombination map estimation for each island separately. Only biallelic, non-missing and polymorphic SNPs were used. Filtered genotype data were split into windows

- of 5,000 SNPs with an overlap of 100 SNPs at each side.
- We ran the program *Interval* of the LDhat package for 60 million iterations, using a block penalty of 5,
- with the first 20 million iterations discarded as a burn-in. A sample was taken from the MCMC chain
  every 40,000 iterations, and a point estimate of the recombination rate between each SNP was obtained
- 923 as the mean across samples. We joined the rate estimates for each window at the midpoint of the
- 924 overlapping regions and estimated *theta per site* for each window using the finite-site version of the
- 925 Watterson's estimate, as described in Auton & McVean [77].
- We tested the robustness of the method with regards to the observed genome-wide variation of *theta* by contrasting recombination rate estimates using window-specific and chromosomal-average *thetas*. *Thetas* twice as large that the genome average produced very similar  $4N_er$  (*rho*) estimates. Because of
- 929 this, a single genome-wide average of *theta per site* was used for all the windows (Sumatra:  $\theta_{\rm w}$  =
- 930 0.001917, Borneo:  $\theta_{\rm w} = 0.001309$ ). We then applied additional filters following Auton et al. [78]. SNP
- 931 intervals larger than 50 kb, or *rho* estimates larger than 100, were set to zero and the 100 surrounding
- 932 SNP intervals (-/+ 50 intervals) were set to zero recombination rate. A total of 1,000 SNP intervals were
- found to have *rho* > 100 for *P. abelii*, and 703 for *P. pygmaeus*. In addition, 32 gaps (> 50 kb) were
- identified for *P. abelii*, and 47 gaps for *P. pygmaeus*. After applying the +/- 50 interval criteria, a total
- 935 of 7,424 SNP intervals were zeroed for *P. abelii*, and 15,694 for *P. pygmaeus*.

# 936 Haplotype phasing

937 We phased the genotype data from Bornean and Sumatran orangutans using a read aware statistical 938 phasing approach implemented in SHAPEIT v2.0 [79, 80]. This allowed us to obtain good phasing 939 accuracy despite our relatively low sample sizes by using phasing information contained in the paired-940 end sequencing reads to support the statistical phasing procedure. We used a high-quality subset of genotype data from the original SNP-calling dataset containing only biallelic and polymorphic SNPs. 941 942 We first ran the program extractPIRs to extract phase informative reads (PIR) from the filtered BAM 943 files. In a second step, we ran SHAPEIT in read aware phasing mode using the following parameters: 944 200 conditional states, 10 burnin interations, 10 pruning interations, 50 main iterations, and a window 945 size of 0.5 Mb. Additionally, we provided two species-specific recombination maps (estimated with

LDhat) and the PIR files obtained in the first step to the program.

- 947 SHAPEIT uses a recombination map expressed in cM/Mb, therefore it was necessary to convert the
- 248 LDhat-based *rho* estimates to cM/Mb units (*rho*=4N<sub>e</sub>r). Accordingly, we estimated island-specific
- 949 effective population sizes using the Watterson's estimator of *theta* (Sumatra:  $N_e[\theta_W]$ =41,000, Borneo:
- 950  $N_e[\theta_W]=27,000$  and applied these to the recombination map conversion. The most likely pair of
- haplotypes for each individual were retrieved from the haplotype graphs, and recoded into VCF file
- 952 format.

# 953 Individual heterozygosity and inbreeding

954 We determined the extent of inbreeding for each individual by a genome-wide heterozygosity scan in 955 sliding windows of 1 Mb, using a step size of 200 kb. We detected an excess of windows with very low 956 heterozygosity in the density plots, pointing to some extent of recent inbreeding. To estimate the cutoff 957 values of heterozygosity for the calculation of inbreeding coefficients, we calculated heterozygosity 958 thresholds for each island according to the 5th-percentile of the genome-wide distribution of heterozygosities (Borneo:  $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  heterozygote sites per bp; Sumatra:  $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ ). Neighboring regions 959 960 with heterozygosities below the cutoff value were merged to determine the extent of runs of 961 homozygosity (ROH). Based on the number and size of ROHs, we estimated the percentage of the 962 genome that is autozygous, which is a good measure of inbreeding [81]. We choose 1 Mb as window 963 size for the calculation of heterozygosities based on previous studies identifying regions smaller than 964 0.5 Mb as the result of background relatedness, and tracts larger than 1.6 Mb as evidence of recent 965 parental relatedness [82].

# 966 Sex-specific genomic data: mitogenomes and Y chromosomes

967 We produced complete mitochondrial genome (mitogenome) sequences for all study individuals. We 968 first created a consensus reference sequence from 13 Sanger-sequenced mitogenomes representing 969 almost all major genetic clusters of extant orangutans using BioEdit v7.2.0. [83]. The Sanger-sequenced mitogenomes were generated via 19 PCRs with product sizes of 1.0–1.2 kb and an overlap of 100–300 970 971 bp (Table S6) following described methods [84]. PCR conditions for all amplifications were identical 972 and comprised a pre-denaturation step at 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 40 cycles each with 973 denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 52°C for 1 minute, and extension at 72°C for 1.5 974 minutes. At the end, we added a final extension step at 72°C for 5 minutes. PCR products were checked 975 on 1% agarose gels, excised from the gel and after purification with the Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit, 976 sequenced on an ABI 3130xL sequencer using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied 977 Biosystems) in both directions using the amplification primers.

We individually mapped Illumina whole-genome sequencing reads of all 37 study individuals (Table S4) to the consensus mitochondrial reference sequence using NovoAlign v3.02. (NovoCraft), which can accurately handle reference sequences with ambiguous bases. This procedure prevented biased

short read mapping due to common population-specific mutations. For each individual, we generated a FASTA sequence for the mitogenome with the *mpileup* pipeline of SAMtools. We only considered bases with both mapping and base Phred quality scores  $\geq$  30 and required all positions to be covered between 100 and 2000 times. Finally, we visually checked the sequence alignment of all individuals in BioEdit and manually removed indels and poorly aligned positions and excluded the D-loop to account for sequencing and alignment errors in those regions which might inflate estimates of mtDNA diversity.

- 987 In total, we identified 1,512 SNPs among all 50 individuals.
- We thoroughly investigated the literature for the potential occurrence of nuclear insertions of mtDNA (numts) in the genus *Pongo*, given that this has been a concern in closely related gorillas (*Gorilla* spp.) [85]. There was no indication of numts in the genus *Pongo*, which is in line with our own previous observations [28, 52, 53]. Numts also seem unlikely given our high minimal sequence depth threshold.
- 992 We developed a comprehensive bioinformatics strategy to extract sequences from the male-specific 993 region of the Y chromosome (MSY) from whole-genome sequencing data. We expect the principle of 994 our bioinformatics strategy to be applicable to mammalian species in general if the taxon under 995 investigation is in phylogenetic proximity to one for which a Y-chromosomal reference sequence is 996 present or will be made available. Like for most mammals, there is currently no reference Y 997 chromosome for orangutans. Therefore, we had to rely on a reference assembly of a related species (*i.e.*, 998 humans) for sequence read mapping. Despite the ~18 million years divergence between humans (Homo 999 spp.) and orangutans [51, 86], we obtained a high number of MSY sequences. The impact of varying Y 1000 chromosome structure among species [87, 88] on sequence read mappability might have been reduced 1001 because we exclusively targeted X-degenerate regions. Hughes et al. [89] showed for human and 1002 chimpanzees that although less than 50% of ampliconic sequences have a homologous counterpart in 1003 the other species, over 90% of the X-degenerate sequences hold such a counterpart.
- 1004 We applied several filters to ensure male-specificity and single-copy status of the generated MSY 1005 sequences. (i) We simultaneously mapped sequencing reads to the whole orangutan reference genome 1006 PonAbe2 [50] and not just the human reference Y chromosome, reducing spurious mapping of 1007 autosomal reads to the Y chromosome and allowing subsequent identification of reads that also aligned 1008 to the X or autosomal chromosomes. (ii) We exclusively accepted reads that mapped in a proper pair, 1009 *i.e.*, where both read mates mapped to the Y chromosome, which considerably increased confidence in 1010 Y-specific mapping. (iii) We also mapped whole-genome sequencing reads of 23 orangutan females to 1011 the human Y reference chromosome and excluded all reference positions where female reads had 1012 mapped from the male Y sequence data. (iv) To exclude potential repetitive regions, we filtered non-1013 uniquely mapped reads as well as positions with sequence coverage greater than two times the median 1014 coverage for each individual, as extensive coverage can be indicative for repetitive regions which might 1015 appear as collapsed regions on the Y reference chromosome. (v) To ensure that we only targeted unique.

single-copy MSY regions, we exclusively retained reads mapping to four well-established X-degenerateregions of the MSY in humans [90].

1018 Our bioinformatics strategy consisted of the following detailed steps. First, we created a new reference 1019 sequence (*PonAbe2 humanY*) by manually adding the human reference Y chromosome (*GRCh37*) to 1020 the orangutan reference genome *PonAbe2* [50]. We then used BWA-MEM v0.7.5. [73] to map Illumina 1021 whole-genome short reads from 36 orangutans (13 males and 23 females) to this new reference 1022 sequence. We mapped reads for each individual separately in paired-end mode and with default settings. 1023 To reduce output file size, we removed unmapped reads on the fly using SAMtools v0.1.19 [91]. Picard 1024 v1.101 was used to add read groups and sort the BAM files. We then extracted all reads which mapped 1025 to the Y chromosome using SAMtools and marked read duplicates with Picard.

We used the GATK [74, 75] to perform local realignment around indels and filtered out duplicated reads, bad read mates, reads with mapping quality zero and reads which mapped ambiguously. We called genotypes at all sequenced sites with the *Unified Genotyper* of the GATK, applying the output mode 'EMIT\_ALL\_CONFIDENT\_SITES'. We called genotypes in multi-sample mode (females and males separately, sample-ploidy was set to 1), producing one genomic VCF file for each sex. We only accepted bases/reads for genotype calling if they had Phred quality scores  $\geq$  30.

From the VCF file of the females, we generated a 'nonspec' list with the coordinates of all sites with coverage in more than one female (minimal sequence depth 2x), as these sites most likely were located in pseudoautosomal or ampliconic regions, *i.e.*, share similarity with the X or autosomal chromosomes. To ensure Y-specificity, we removed all sites of the 'nonspec' list from the VCF file of the males with VCFtools v0.1.12b. [92].

1037 Finally, we used GATK to extract sequences of four well-established X-degenerate regions of the MSY 1038 in humans (14,170,438-15,795,786; 16,470,614-17,686,473; 18,837,846-19,267,356; 21,332,221-1039 21,916,158 on the human reference Y chromosome assembly GRCh37/hg19)[90]. To be conservative, 1040 we chose regions which were longer than 1 Mb in humans and disregarded the first and last 300 kb of 1041 each region to account for potential uncertainties regarding region boundaries, leaving us with 1042 3,854,654 bp in total. We exclusively retained genotype calls that were covered by a minimum of two 1043 reads and had a maximum of twice the individual mean coverage, resulting in 2,825,271 bp of MSY 1044 sequences among the 13 orangutan males. As expected, individual mean MSY sequence depth was 1045 about half (average: 54.4%) of that recorded for the autosomes, and ranged from 2.79-16.62x. For 1046 analyses, we only kept sites without missing data, *i.e.*, with a genotype in all study males. Because 1047 genomes of some individuals had been sequenced to only low coverage ( $\sim 5-7x$ ) [50], this left us with 1048 673,165 bp of MSY sequences. We identified 1,317 SNPs among the 13 males, corresponding to a SNP 1049 density of 1 SNP every 511 bp.

1050 We constructed phylogenetic trees and estimated divergence dates for mitogenome and MSY sequences

using the Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method implemented in BEAST v1.8.0. [58].

1052 To determine the most suitable nucleotide substitution model, we conducted model selection with

- jModelTest v2.1.4. [60]. Based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and corrected AIC, we
  selected the GTR+I substitution model [93] for mitogenomes and the TVM+I+G model [94] for MSY
  sequences.
- 1056 The mitogenome tree was rooted with a human and a central chimpanzee sequence from GenBank 1057 (accession numbers: GQ983109.1 and HN068590.1), the MSY tree with the human reference sequence hg19. We estimated divergence dates under a relaxed molecular clock model with uncorrelated 1058 1059 lognormally distributed branch-specific substitution rates [95]. The prior distribution of node ages was 1060 generated under a birth-death speciation process [96]. We used fossil based divergence estimates to 1061 calibrate the molecular clock by defining a normal prior distribution for certain node ages. For 1062 mitogenomes, we applied two calibration points, *i.e.*, the *Pan-Homo* divergence with a mean age of 6.5 1063 Ma and a standard deviation of 0.3 Ma [97, 98] and the Ponginae-Homininae divergence with a mean 1064 age of 18.3 Ma and a larger standard deviation of 3.0 Ma [86], which accounts for the uncertainty in 1065 the divergence date [99]. For MSY sequences, we used the Ponginae-Homininae divergence for 1066 calibration. We performed four independent BEAST runs for 30 million generations each for 1067 mitogenomes, with parameter sampling every 1,000 generations, and for 200 million generations each 1068 with parameter sampling every 2,000 generations for MSY sequences. We used Tracer v1.6 [100] to 1069 examine run convergence, aiming for an effective sample size of at least 1000 for all parameters. We 1070 discarded the first 20% of samples as burn-in and combined the remaining samples of each run with 1071 LogCombiner v1.8.0. [58]. Maximum clade credibility trees were drawn with TreeAnnotator v1.8.0. 1072 [58] and trees visualized in FigTree v1.4.0. [101] and MEGA v6.06. [102].

# 1073 Autosomal genetic diversity and population structure

- For all subsequent population genetic analyses, we assumed an autosomal mutation rate ( $\mu$ ) of 1.5 x 10<sup>-</sup> <sup>8</sup> per base pair per generation, based on estimates obtained for the present-day mutation rates in humans and chimpanzees, derived primarily from de novo sequencing comparisons of parent-offspring trios but also other evidence [103-106]. There is good reason to believe that the mutation rate in orangutans is similar to that in other great apes, given the very similar branch lengths from outgroups such as gibbon and macaque to each species [107]. We assumed a generation time of 25 years [108].
- We identified patterns of population structure in the autosomal genome by principal component analysis (PCA) of biallelic SNPs using the function 'prcomp' in R v3.2.2 [109]. Three separate analyses were performed: one within each island and one including all study individuals. For each sample set, we excluded all genotypes from the SNP VCF files that were covered by less than five reads and only retained SNPs with a genotype call in all individuals after this filter. Furthermore, we removed SNPs

with more than two alleles and monomorphic SNPs in the particular sample set. This restrictive filtering
left us with 3,006,895 SNPs for the analysis of all study individuals, 5,838,796 SNPs for PCA within
Bornean orangutans and 4,808,077 SNPs for PCA within Sumatran orangutans.

1088 We inferred individual ancestries of orangutans using ADMIXTURE v1.23 [110]. We randomly 1089 sampled one million sites from the original VCF files and filtered this subset by excluding sites with 1090 missing genotypes or with a minor allele frequency less than 0.05. We further reduced the number of 1091 sites to 272,907 by applying a linkage disequilibrium (LD) pruning filter using PLINK v1.90b3q (-1092 indep-pairwise 50 5 0.5) [111]. ADMIXTURE was run 20 times at all K values between 1 and 10. 1093 Among those runs with a difference to the lowest observed cross validation (CV) error of less than 0.1 1094 units, we reported the replicate with the highest biological meaning, *i.e.*, runs that resolved substructure 1095 among different sampling areas rather than identifying clusters within sampling areas.

1096 For subsequent analyses, we defined seven distinct populations based on the results of the PCA and 1097 ADMIXTURE analyses: three on Sumatra (Northeast Alas comprising North Aceh and Langkat 1098 regions, West Alas, and Batang Toru) and four on Borneo (East Kalimantan, Sarawak, Kinabatangan 1099 comprising North and South Kinabatangan, and Central/West Kalimantan comprising Central and West 1100 Kalimantan). Even though individuals from North and South Kinabatangan could be clearly 1101 distinguished in the PCA and ADMIXTURE analysis, we decided to pool the two Kinabatangan 1102 populations due to their low samples sizes (n = 2). This can be justified as data from the mitochondrial 1103 genome showed that they started to diverge only recently (~40 ka).

# 1104 Ancestral gene flow between orangutan populations

We used D-statistics to assess gene flow between orangutan species, testing all three possible phylogenetic relationships among *P. abelii*, *P. tapanuliensis*, and *P. pygmaeus*. We extracted genotype data from the two individuals per population with the highest sequencing coverage and included two human genome sequences as outgroup (SRA sample accession: ERS007255 and ERS007266). We calculated D-statistics for all combinations of populations involving the three species using the qpDstat program of the ADMIXTOOLS package v4.1 and assessed significance using the block jackknife procedure implemented in ADMIXTOOLS.

To explore temporal patterns of gene flow between orangutan populations, we applied the multiple sequential Markovian coalescent (MSMC2) model [112]. The rate of coalescence of betweenpopulation haplotype pairs was compared to the within-population coalescence rate of haplotype pairs from the same population to obtain the relative cross-coalescence rate (RCCR) through time. A RCCR close to 1 indicates extensive gene flow between populations, while a ratio close to 0 indicates complete genetic isolation.

We used the phased whole-genome data for the relative cross-coalescence rate analysis. To avoid coverage-related issues, we selected the individual with the highest sequencing coverage for each population. We further excluded sites with an individual sequencing coverage less than 5x, a mean mapping quality less than 20, or sites with low mappability based on the mappability mask.

We ran MSMC2 for all pairs of populations, using a single individual (*i.e.*, two haplotypes) per population. For each population pair, we performed three individual MSMC2 runs, using the default time discretization parameters: within population 1 (two haplotypes; -I 0,1), within population 2 (two haplotypes; -I 2,3), and between populations (four haplotypes; -I 0,1,2,3 -P 0,0,1,1). We then used the combineCrossCoal.py Python script of the MSMC2 package to combine the outputs of the three runs into a combined output file.

As the sequencing coverage of the best Batang Toru individual was substantially lower compared to individuals from other populations ( $\sim$ 17x vs.  $\sim$ 23–27x, Table S4), we also assessed whether different sequencing coverage was negatively affecting the relative cross-coalescence rate results. To achieve this, we repeated the analysis using individuals with similar coverage as the Batang Toru individual ( $\sim$ 16–21x). The results were highly consistent with the output from the runs with the highest-coverage individuals, indicating that the relative cross-coalescent rate analysis was robust to differences in sequencing coverage in our data set.

### 1135 Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC)

To gain insights into the colonization history of the Sundaland region by orangutans and obtain 1136 parameter estimates of key aspects of their demographic history, we applied a model-based ABC 1137 framework [31]. For this, we sampled a total of 3,000 independent sequence loci of 2 kb each, following 1138 1139 the recommendations in Robinson et al. [113]. Loci were sampled randomly from non-coding regions 1140 of the genome, with a minimum distance of 50 kb between loci to minimize the effects of linkage. Since 1141 the coalescent simulations underlying ABC inference assume neutrality, we excluded loci located 1142 within 10 kb of any exonic region defined in the *Pongo abelii* Ensembl gene annotation release 78, as 1143 well as loci on the X chromosome and the mitochondrial genome, which would exhibit reduced Ne as 1144 compared to the autosomal regions.

1145 For all ABC-based modelling, we defined three metapopulations for the calculation of summary 1146 statistics: Sumatran populations north of Lake Toba (NT), the Sumatran population of Batang Toru 1147 south of Lake Toba (ST), as well as all Bornean populations (BO). For each metapopulation as well as 1148 over all metapopulations combined, we calculated the first four moments over all loci for the following 1149 summary statistics: nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ), Watterson's theta, and Tajima's D. Furthermore, for each 1150 of the three pairwise comparisons between metapopulations, we calculated the first four moments over 1151 loci of the number of segregating sites, proportions of shared and fixed polymorphism, average 1152 sequence divergence ( $d_{XY}$ ), and  $\Phi_{ST}$  [114]. To avoid potential problems with unreliable phasing, we

only used summary statistics that do not require phased sequence data. This resulted in a total of 108 summary statistics used in the ABC analyses. For each locus, we extracted genotype data of a total of 22 individuals (5 Northeast Alas, 5 West Alas, 2 Batang Toru, 4 Central/West Kalimantan, 2 East Kalimantan, 2 Sarawak, 2 Kinabatangan) by selecting the individuals with the highest sequence coverage for a given locus. Additionally, we recorded the positions of missing data for each locus and individual and coded genotypes as 'missing' in the simulated data if mutations fell within the range of

1159 missing data in the observed data.

- 1160 In a first step, we used a model testing framework to infer the most likely sequence of population splits 1161 in the colonization history of orangutans. For this, we designed four models representing potential 1162 colonization patterns into Sundaland (Figure 3A). We assumed a simplified population structure with 1163 three distinct, random mating units composed of NT, ST, and BO metapopulations as described above. 1164 We simulated  $4x10^6$  data sets for each model using the coalescent simulator ms [115]. Since we obtained 1165 a large number of summary statistics, we used a partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) 1166 to extract the orthogonal components of the summary statistics that are most informative to discriminate 1167 between the four competing models using the 'plsda' function of the R package 'mixOmics' v5.2.0 [116] in R version 3.2.2 [109]. For model testing, we used the R package 'abc' v2.1 [117] to perform a 1168 1169 multinomial logistic regression on the PLS transformed simulated and observed summary statistics, 1170 using a tolerance level of 0.05% (8,000 simulations closest to the observed data). To find the optimal number of PLS components for model selection, we performed cross-validations with 200 randomly 1171 1172 chosen sets of summary statistics for each model and assessed model misspecification rates when using 1173 10, 12, 15, 18, and 20 components.
- 1174 We found that using the first 18 PLS components resulted in the lowest model misspecification rate. 1175 However, our model testing approach lacked power to reliably differentiate between pairs of models with the same underlying species tree (*i.e.*, model 1a vs. model 1b and model 2a vs. model 2b in Figure 1176 1177 3A), as evidenced by a high model misspecification rate of 47.63% across all four models. In order to 1178 increase discrimination power with a new set of optimized PLS components, we therefore repeated the 1179 PLS-DA and multinomial logistic regression with the two best-fitting models (model 1a vs. model 1b). 1180 This resulted in a substantially lower model misspecification rate (36.00%). Moreover, no model 1181 misassignment occurred with a posterior probability equal or higher than the observed value (0.976), 1182 indicating a high confidence in the selected model (model 1a).
- After establishing the order of population split events, we were interested in parameter estimates of different aspects of the orangutan demographic history. For this, we applied a more complex model that included additional population structure in NT and BO, as well as recent population size changes (Figure 3B). The design of this model was informed by (i) PCA and ADMIXTURE analyses (Figs. 2B and 2C), (ii) MSMC2 analyses (Figure 3C), and (iii) previous demographic modeling using more
- 1188 limited sets of genetic makers [57]. For parameter estimation, we performed a total of 1x10<sup>8</sup> simulations

1189 as described above. Model parameterization and parameter prior distributions are shown in Table S5. 1190 We used 100,000 random simulations to extract the orthogonal components of the summary statistics 1191 that maximize the covariance matrix between summary statistics and model parameters using the 'plsr' 1192 function of the R package 'pls' v2.5-0 [118]. We defined the optimal number of partial least squares 1193 (PLS) components based on the drop in the root mean squared error for each parameter with the 1194 inclusion of additional PLS components [119]. After transforming both the simulated and observed 1195 summary statistics with the loadings of the extracted PLS components, we performed ABC-GLM post-1196 sampling regression [120] on the simulations with the smallest Euclidean distance to the observed 1197 summary statistics using ABCtoolbox v2.0 [121]. To find the optimal proportion of retained 1198 simulations, we assessed the root-mean-integrated-squared error of the parameter posterior distributions 1199 based on 1,000 pseudo-observed data sets (pods) randomly chosen from the simulated data. We found 1200 that varying the tolerance level had little impact on the accuracy of the posterior distributions and 1201 therefore used a tolerance level of 0.00002 (equaling 2,000 simulations) for parameter estimation.

1202 To assess the goodness of fit of our demographic model, we calculated the marginal density and the 1203 probability of the observed data under the general linear model (GLM) used for the post-sampling 1204 regression with ABCtoolbox [120]. A low probability of the observed data under the GLM indicates 1205 that the observed data is unlikely to have been generated under the inferred GLM, implying a bad model 1206 fit. We obtained a p-value of 0.14, showing that our complex demographic model is well able to 1207 reproduce the observed data. Additionally, we visualized the coverage of summary statistics generated 1208 under the demographic model relative to the observed data by plotting the first 12 principal components 1209 of the simulated and observed data. For this, we randomly selected 100,000 simulations and extracted 1210 PCA components using the 'prcomp' function in R. The observed data fell well within the range of 1211 simulated summary statistics for all 12 components. Furthermore, we checked for biased posterior 1212 distributions by producing 1,000 pods with parameter values drawn from the prior distributions. For 1213 each pods, we determined the quantile of the estimated posterior distribution within which the true 1214 parameter values fell and used a Kolmogorov-Smirnov in R to test the resulting distribution of posterior 1215 quantiles for uniformity. Deviations from uniformity indicate biased posterior distributions [122] and 1216 the corresponding parameter estimates should be treated with caution. As expected from complex 1217 demographic models, multiple parameters showed significant deviations from uniformity after 1218 sequential Bonferroni correction [123]. However, in most of these distributions, data points were 1219 overrepresented in the center of the histogram, which indicates that posterior distributions were 1220 estimated too conservatively.

### 1221 G-PhoCS analysis

1222 We used the full-likelihood approach implemented in G-PhoCS v1.2.3 [124] to compare different models of population splitting with gene flow and to estimate parameters of the best-fitting model. Due 1223 1224 to computational constraints, we limited our data set to eight individuals with good geographic coverage 1225 of the extant orangutan distribution (1 Northeast Alas, 1 West Alas, 2 Batang Toru, 2 Central/West 1226 Kalimantan, 1 East Kalimantan, 1 Kinabatangan). We sampled 1-kb loci across the autosomal genome, 1227 ensuring a minimum distance of 50 kb among loci to minimize linkage. To reduce the impact of natural 1228 selection, we excluded loci located within 1 kb of any exonic region defined in the Pongo abelii 1229 Ensembl gene annotation release 78. We coded sites as missing based on the following filter criteria: 1230 low mappability, mean mapping quality less than 20, and individual coverage less than 5x. Sites without 1231 at least one valid genotype per species were excluded completely. We only retained loci with at least 1232 700 bp of sites with data, resulting in a total of 23,380 loci for which we extracted genotype information 1233 for the eight selected individuals.

1234 We compared models with the three different possible underlying population trees in a three taxon 1235 setting (Borneo, Sumatra north of Lake Toba, and Batang Toru). We performed 16 independent G-PhoCS runs for each model, running the MCMC algorithm for 300,000 iterations, discarding the first 1236 100,000 iterations as burn-in and sampling every 11<sup>th</sup> iteration thereafter. The first 10,000 iterations 1237 1238 were used to automatically adjust the MCMC finetune parameters, aiming for an acceptance rate of the 1239 MCMC algorithm of 30–40%. We merged the resulting output files of independent runs and analysed them with Tracer v1.6 [100] to ensure convergence among runs. We then used the model comparison 1240 1241 based on the Akaike information criterion through MCMC (AICM) [125, 126] implemented in Tracer 1242 to assess the relative fit of the three competing models.

1243 In agreement with the ABC analyses, the model positing the deepest split between Sumatra north of 1244 Lake Toba and Batang Toru, followed by a split between south of Lake Toba and Borneo, showed a 1245 much better fit to the data compared to the two other splitting patterns. Independent replicates of the same model produced highly consistent posterior distributions, indicating convergence of the MCMC 1246 1247 algorithm. All parameters of the best-fitting model were estimated with high precision, as shown by the 1248 small 95%-highest posterior density ranges (Table S5). Compared to the estimates from the ABC 1249 analysis, G-PhoCS resulted in more recent divergence time estimates for both the NT/(BO,ST) and 1250 BO/ST splits. This discrepancy might be caused by hypermutable CpG sites, which likely violate certain assumptions of the G-PhoCS model [124]. We could not exclude CpG sites in our analysis due to the 1251 1252 absence of a suitable outgroup for calibration. Instead, we had to rely on a fixed genome-wide mutation 1253 rate, which includes hypervariable CpG sites. An alternative explanation could be a likely bias in the 1254 G-PhoCS results due to the restriction to a highly simplified demographic model as compared to our 1255 ABC analyses; G-PhoCS assumes constant effective population sizes and migration rates in between

population splits. However, this assumption is most likely violated in orangutans, as shown by theresults of our ABC analysis (Figure 3B, Table S5).

## 1258 Cranial, dental, and mandibular morphology

We evaluated five qualitative and 44 quantitative cranial, dental, and mandibular variables (Tables S1 and S2). We chose variables that had previously been used to describe and differentiate orangutan cranio-mandibular shape [61-63, 127-132]. Due to extensive dental wear of the Batang Toru specimen, we limited our comparisons with the Padang cave material to the breadth of the upper and lower canines, in addition to the length, breadth, and area (*i.e.*, breadth x length) of the lower first molar, all of which displayed a limited amount of wear. All measurements were taken by a single individual (AnN) in order to reduce observer bias.

1266 We used both univariate and multivariate statistics to evaluate the Batang Toru specimen in relation to 1267 our comparative sample. As Batang Toru is only represented by a single sample, we first compared it to the interquartile range (IQR, defined as the range between the first and the third quartile) and the 1268 1269 lower and upper inner fence ( $\pm 1.5$ \*IQR) for each separate sample population, using traditional methods 1270 for evaluating outliers [133]. This allowed us to evaluate the Batang Toru specimen's distance and 1271 direction from the central tendency of our sample orangutan populations. We also conducted univariate 1272 exact permutation tests for each morphological variable by removing a single sample for either the P. 1273 abelii, P. pygmaeus, or P. p. palaeosumatrensis sample populations and then comparing the linear 1274 distance to the mean of the remaining samples. This was done for each sample until all samples had a 1275 calculated value. A linear distance between the *P. tapanuliensis* sample and the *P. abelii*, *P. pygmaeus*, 1276 and P. p. palaeosumatrensis mean values (i.e., the test statistics) was then calculated and compared to 1277 the sample distributions detailed above. P-values represent the number of samples from the sample 1278 distribution that exceed the test statistic, divided by the total number of comparisons. In some cases, 1279 specimens did not preserve the measurements utilized in this study (e.g., broken bone elements and/or 1280 missing/heavily worn teeth), and so were excluded from comparisons. Sample sizes for univariate 1281 comparisons of extant orangutan cranio-mandibular morphology are detailed in Table S1, whereas the 1282 sample sizes for the univariate comparisons of extant and fossil teeth are detailed in Table S2.

We also conducted a PCA on 26 of our 39 cranio-mandibular variables, on a subset of our extant orangutan sample, including *P. abelii* (n=8), *P. pygmaeus* (n=19), and the newly described *P. tapanuliensis* specimen. The choice of 26 variables allowed us to maximize sample size and avoid violating the assumptions of PCA [134]. A scree plot (using the *princomp* function from the base *stats* package in R [135]) indicated that seven principal components were sufficient to be extracted, based on the Kaiser criterion of eigenvalues at  $\geq 1$  [136]. Using the *principal* function from the *psych* R package [137], we ran a PCA on the correlation matrix of our 26 selected variables, extracting seven principal

1290 components with varimax rotation.

1291 To highlight the multivariate uniqueness of *P. tapanuliensis*, we used the extracted PCs and calculated 1292 the Euclidean  $D^2$  distance for each sample relative to the *P. abelii* and *P. pygmaeus* centroids. We 1293 grouped these distances into two distributions, referred to as the between species (*i.e.*, the distances of 1294 all P. abelii samples to the P. pygmaeus centroid plus all of the P. pygmaeus samples to the P. abelii 1295 centroid) and within species (*i.e.*, the distances of all *P. abelii* samples to the *P. abelii* centroid plus all 1296 of the *P. pygmaeus* samples to the *P. pygmaeus* centroid) distributions. We then compared the Euclidean 1297 D<sup>2</sup> distances of *P. tapanuliensis* to the *P. abelii* and *P. pygmaeus* centroids (*i.e.*, the test values), relative 1298 to the two aforementioned sample distributions. Exact permutation p-values for these results were 1299 calculated as the number of samples from the sample distribution that exceed the test statistic, divided 1300 by the total number of comparisons. All Euclidean  $D^2$  distance were calculated in the base *stats* package 1301 in R [135].

# 1302 Acoustic and behavioral analyses

We used both previously published [138-140] and newly collected data in our analyses of male long calls. The current study includes n=130 calls from n=45 adult males across 13 orangutan field sites. In addition to two individuals from Batang Toru, we sampled 14 individuals of *P. abelii* and 29 individuals of *P. pygmaeus*. Using our comparative sample, we evaluated 15 long call variables (Table S3). We chose variables and their definitions that had previously been described to differentiate orangutan male long calls [138, 139, 141].

1309 We used both univariate and multivariate statistics to evaluate the Batang Toru specimen in relation to 1310 our comparative sample. As Batang Toru is only represented by two individuals, we compared the mean 1311 of these two sample points to the interquartile range (IQR) and the lower and upper inner fence 1312  $(\pm 1.5*IQR)$  for each separate sample population [133]. As above, univariate exact permutation tests 1313 were conducted for each long call variable by removing a single sample for either the *P. abelii* or *P.* 1314 *pygmaeus* sample populations and then comparing the linear distance to the mean of the remaining 1315 samples. This was done for each sample until all samples had a calculated value. A linear distance 1316 between the average of the two P. tapanuliensis samples and the P. abelii or P. pygmaeus mean values 1317 (*i.e.*, the test statistics) was then calculated and compared to the sample distributions detailed above. P-1318 values represent the number of samples from the sample distribution that exceed the test statistic, 1319 divided by the total number of comparisons. In some cases, not all acoustic variables were available for 1320 each individual. As such, sample sizes for univariate comparisons are detailed in Table S3.

1321

### 1322 Geological and ecological analyses

We evaluated five ecological variables, including the type and age of geological parent material,elevation, average temperature, and average rainfall, to highlight that the current ecological niche of *P*.

tapanuliensis is divergent relative to that of *P. abelii* and *P. pygmaeus*. For Sumatran populations, type 1325 1326 and age of geological parent material were digitized from the land unit and soil map series of Sumatra [142-149]. No comparable geospatial data is available for Borneo, so we used previously published 1327 1328 materials to more broadly characterize areas populated by orangutans [150]. To maintain consistency, 1329 elevation, average temperature, and average annual rainfall were collected from the WorldClim v. 1.4 1330 bioclimatic variables dataset [151]. Using the digitized land unit/soil maps, we calculated the percentage 1331 of Sumatran orangutan distribution [152] classified into four classes for each type (e.g., igneous, 1332 metamorphic, sedimentary, and other rock [*i.e.*, land units with a mixture of rock types]) and age (*e.g.*, Pre-Cenozoic, Tertiary, Quaternary, and other [*i.e.*, land units with a mixture of ages]) of geological 1333 parent material. For the elevation and climatic variables, we created 1km x 1km sample point grids for 1334 1335 each currently identified orangutan population in Borneo and Sumatra [152, 153], and sampled the three 1336 aforementioned WorldClim datasets.

# 1337 DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

Raw sequence read data have been deposited into the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA;
http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under study accession number PRJEB19688. Mitochondrial and Ychromosomal sequences are available from the Mendeley Data repository under ID code
doi:10.17632/hv2r94yz5n.1.

# **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Biological Samples		
17 Pongo spp. whole blood samples	This paper	See Table S4
34 Pongo spp. cranial specimens	This paper	N/A
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Proteinase K (20 mg/ml)	Promega	Cat#V3021
Critical Commercial Assays		
Gentra Puregene Blood Kit	Qiagen	Cat#158467
Deposited Data		
Pongo abelii reference genome ponAbe2	[50]	http://genome.wustl.
		edu/genomes/detail/
		pongo-abelii/
Pongo abelii Ensembl gene annotation release 78	Ensembl	https://www.ensembl
		.org/Pongo_abelli/Inf
Human reference genome NCBI build 37 GRCh37	Genome Reference	http://www.ncbi.nlm
	Consortium	nih.gov/projects/gen
		ome/assembly/grc/h
		uman/
Whole-genome sequencing data of 5 Pongo abelii	[50]	SRA: PRJNA20869
Whole-genome sequencing data of 5 <i>Pongo pygmaeus</i>	[50]	SRA: PRJNA74653
Whole-genome sequencing data of 10 <i>Pongo</i> spp.	[51]	SRA: PRJNA189439
Whole-genome sequencing data of 17 <i>Pongo</i> spp.	This paper	ENA: PRJEB19688
Whole-genome sequencing data of 2 Homo sapiens	Human Genome Diversity Project	SRA: ERS007255 and ERS007266
13 Pongo MSY sequences	This paper	http://dx.doi.org/10.1
50 Panga mitochondrial genome seguences	This naner	http://dx.doi.org/10.1
So r ongo mitochonanal genome sequences		7632/hv2r94vz5n.1
Pictures of paratypes	This paper	https://morphobank.
		org/index.php/Projec
		ts/ProjectOverview/p
Additional supporting information and applyings	This paper	roject_id/2591
Additional supporting information and analyses	This paper	org/index.php/Projec
		ts/ProjectOverview/p
		roject_id/2591
Oligonucleotides		
19 mitochondrial primer pairs	This paper	See Table S6
Software and Algorithms		
FastQC v0.10.1.	[72]	https://www.bioinfor
		matics.babraham.ac.
		uk/projects/fastqc/
BWA v0.7.5	[73]	http://bio-
Picard Tools v1 101		bwa.sourcetorge.net/
		ithub.io/picard/

**Cell**Press

GATK v3.2.2.	[74, 75]	https://software.broa
GEM library	[76]	http://algorithms.cna g.cat/wiki/The_GEM _library
LDhat v2.2a	[77]	https://github.com/au ton1/LDhat
SHAPEIT v2.0	[79]	https://mathgen.stats .ox.ac.uk/genetics_s oftware/shapeit/shap eit.html
BioEdit v7.2.0.	[154]	http://www.mbio.ncs u.edu/bioedit/page2. html
NovoAlign v3.02.	Novocraft	http://www.novocraft. com/products/novoal ign/
SAMtools v0.1.19	[155]	http://www.htslib.org/
VCFtools v0.1.12b.	[156]	https://vcftools.githu b.io/index.html
BEAST v1.8.0.	[58]	http://beast.communi ty/index.html
jModelTest v2.1.4.	[60]	https://github.com/dd arriba/jmodeltest2
Tracer v1.6		http://tree.bio.ed.ac. uk/software/tracer/
FigTree v1.4.0.		http://tree.bio.ed.ac. uk/software/figtree/
MEGA v6.06.	[102]	http://www.megasoft ware.net/mega.php
R 3.2.2	[109]	https://www.r- project.org
ADMIXTURE v1.23	[110]	https://www.genetics .ucla.edu/software/a dmixture/index.html
PLINK v1.90b3q	[111]	https://www.cog- genomics.org/plink2
ADMIXTOOLS v4.1	[157]	https://github.com/D ReichLab/AdmixTool s
MSMC2	[112]	https://github.com/st schiff/msmc2
ms	[115]	http://home.uchicago .edu/rhudson1/sourc e/mksamples.html
R package 'mixOmics' v5.2.0	[116]	https://www.rdocume ntation.org/packages /mixOmics
R package 'abc' v2.1	[117]	https://cran.r- project.org/package =abc
R package 'pls' v2.5-0	[118]	https://cran.r- project.org/package =pls

[121]	http://www.unifr.ch/bi
	ology/research/weg
	mann/wegmannsoft
[124]	http://compgen.cshl.
	edu/GPhoCS/
[137]	https://cran.r-
	project.org/package
	=psych
[158]	https://cran.r-
	project.org/package
	=MASS
	[121] [124] [137] [158]





Figure 3











Figure S1. Comparisons of five dental variables across *P. abelii* (red), *P. pygmaeus* (blue), *P. tapanuliensis* (black horizontal line), and *P. p. palaeosumatrensis* (green). Related to Figure 1B. Variables include upper canine breadth (A), lower canine breadth (B), lower M1 length (C), lower M1 breadth (D), and lower M1 area (E). For each boxplot, the middle line is the median value of the

distribution, with the box representing the first (lower extreme) and third (upper extreme) quartile values (*i.e.*, the interquartile range [IQR]), and the whiskers representing the lower and upper extreme values that are within 1.5 x IQR of the first and third quartile values. Exact permutation analyses suggested that *P. tapanuliensis* could be differentiated statistically from the *P. abelii* mean for both the upper (p-value<0.001) and lower canine breadths (p-value<0.001) and from the *P. 'pygmaeus' palaeosumatrensis* mean for lower M<sub>1</sub> length (p-value<0.001), breadth (p-value<0.001), and area (p-value<0.001). *P. tapanuliensis* could not be differentiated statistically from the *P. pygmaeus* mean for any of the five dental measures.



Euclidean  $D^2$ 

Figure S2. Kernel density mirror plot of Euclidean  $D^2$  analyses of six principal components calculated from 26 cranio-mandibular morphological variables. Related to Figure 1C. The between-species distribution (blue line) was calculated as the distances of all *P. abelii* samples to the *P. pygmaeus* centroid plus all of the *P. pygmaeus* samples to the *P. abelii* centroid, whereas the within-species distribution (red line) was calculated as the distances of all *P. abelii* samples to the *P. abelii* centroid plus all of the *P. pygmaeus* samples to the *P. abelii* samples to the *P. abelii* centroid plus all of the *P. pygmaeus* samples to the *P. pygmaeus* centroid. The dotted line represents the distance of the *P. tapanuliensis* sample to the *P. abelii* centroid (exact permutation test; within-species distribution: p-value<0.001; between-species: p-value<0.001), whereas solid line represents the distance of the *P. tapanuliensis* samples to the *P. pygmaeus* centroid (within-species: p-value<0.001).





**3C.** Number of genomic fragments that are autozygous (y-axis) plotted against the total fraction of the genome covered by such fragments (x-axis). Each dot represents and individual, with sample origins represented by colors corresponding to those in Figure 2A.

в.
ure 1
Fig
l to
atec
Rel
mj.
[ <b>m</b> ]
ldy
s tı
this
l in
izec
util
oles
riab
val
ılar
dib
lan
<b>u-</b> 0
ani
e cr
r th
s fo
stic
tati
ry s
ma
um
<b>1.</b> S
le S
[ab

Species	Id	NA	IN	PO	<b>LNS</b>	BDS	MBA	MBP	BB	BOE	IB	OB	HO
P. abelii													
Mean	232.05	101.46	136.87	68.53	58.14	138.34	71.39	52.31	103.50	114.44	11.82	36.69	42.54
SD	13.27	10.18	7.10	3.12	4.63	6.79	4.08	4.26	4.32	8.45	1.45	1.74	5.09
Minimum	215.44	85.03	127.93	64.02	49.81	126.59	64.15	45.59	97.00	102.78	8.74	34.65	31.64
1st Quartile	222.07	95.77	130.99	66.31	55.71	134.18	68.89	50.13	101.00	106.96	11.25	35.16	41.50
Median	232.76	102.64	137.88	68.78	58.82	140.50	71.81	52.98	104.25	114.24	12.40	36.68	44.12
3rd Quartile	236.63	107.05	140.41	70.68	60.26	143.51	74.61	54.65	106.63	122.51	12.56	37.74	45.88
Maximum	256.78	116.77	149.32	72.38	64.68	145.59	76.21	58.97	109.00	124.82	13.29	39.48	46.89
n	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
P. pygmaeus													
Mean	234.36	104.80	138.45	64.29	57.58	144.23	71.25	53.64	110.66	115.05	12.23	35.91	41.43
SD	12.10	7.70	8.28	4.68	6.40	8.34	5.12	5.26	6.79	7.41	1.74	2.25	2.85
Minimum	211.58	88.18	120.58	55.50	47.55	128.18	55.69	39.28	98.50	98.01	8.99	29.67	35.29
1st Quartile	227.90	101.97	131.02	60.43	52.07	137.94	68.99	51.27	105.50	111.73	11.22	34.87	39.70
Median	237.86	106.15	138.84	62.09	59.53	146.10	72.25	54.77	111.00	116.28	11.91	35.49	41.66
3rd Quartile	243.66	109.74	146.17	66.83	61.77	148.50	74.43	56.91	114.50	120.32	13.22	36.88	43.32
Maximum	252.40	117.01	150.11	76.10	71.04	158.05	79.20	61.61	125.00	127.82	16.10	40.62	46.03
u	25	25	25	25	21	23	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
P. tapanuliensis													
•	224.72	90.80	139.54	69.85	70.52	136.52	65.00	59.94	101.50	120.00	12.42	33.80	33.38
n	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	1
Permutation tests													
vs. P. abelii	NS	NS	NS	NS	<0.001	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
vs. P. pygmaeus	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.048	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	<0.001
PI = Prosthion-Inion Nuchal Surface Brea	Length, Pl dth. MBA	N = Prosth = Anterio	ion-Nasion Muzzle B	Length, N readth . N	II = Nasioi $IBP = Pos$	n-Inion Lei terior Muz	ngth, PO = zle Breadt	- Postorbit: h. BB = E	al Breadth, 3raincase B	LNS = Nr.	ichal Surfa )E = Biorb	ce Length ital Bread	, BDS = th. IB =

ŝ ÷ Interorbital Breadth, OB = Orbital Breadth, OH = Orbital Height.

B.
gure 1
Fig
d to
ate
Rel
÷
[mn
ıdy
stı
this
E.
zed
tili
es u
abl
ari
Ir v
ßluc
ndik
nar
io-r
an.
e cı
• th
for
tics
atis
v st
าลก
mm
Su
ed).
nu
onti
<b>)</b>
S SI
able
Ë

Species	DF	PB	PL	ProB	BZAE	ZAT	BT	TMB	TML	PPB	APB	LTTA	TMJA
P. abelii													
Mean	18.19	72.28	91.93	173.43	162.13	9.94	114.95	27.70	34.31	51.65	50.77	33.50	29.83
SD	3.12	3.77	8.70	10.85	6.58	1.50	4.02	2.22	2.75	1.29	3.30	1.19	1.49
Minimum	14.25	66.72	72.80	160.34	152.12	7.57	107.96	23.34	30.00	50.29	47.54	31.71	27.83
1st Quartile	15.41	70.10	91.05	164.82	158.83	9.09	113.07	26.66	32.50	50.63	48.91	32.83	28.43
Median	18.64	71.66	93.33	171.24	161.33	10.23	115.55	27.92	34.83	51.30	50.02	33.29	30.16
3rd Quartile	19.82	74.76	95.51	183.06	164.86	10.90	117.87	29.47	36.34	52.33	51.25	34.10	30.66
Maximum	22.63	78.24	101.29	188.43	174.36	12.06	119.69	30.00	37.77	54.08	58.17	35.24	31.90
n	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
P. pygmaeus													
Mean	14.30	73.59	91.82	171.85	166.19	8.61	119.93	25.67	31.38	49.45	50.08	33.90	31.27
SD	2.75	3.31	6.35	10.88	9.03	1.84	6.09	2.25	3.10	4.50	3.90	2.15	2.65
Minimum	8.39	66.33	80.07	148.42	146.44	3.89	109.33	21.32	25.38	43.87	43.01	28.40	24.68
1st Quartile	12.32	71.57	86.72	163.90	160.72	7.85	115.85	24.19	28.97	47.00	46.57	32.98	30.02
Median	14.75	74.33	92.48	174.43	168.50	8.62	118.83	25.72	31.35	48.20	51.18	34.10	31.78
3rd Quartile	15.70	75.62	96.37	179.31	174.05	9.72	123.81	27.29	33.60	49.90	52.43	35.35	33.12
Maximum	20.58	80.32	103.79	189.95	179.64	12.20	135.28	30.62	38.27	62.39	57.86	37.55	35.40
u	25	25	25	23	25	25	25	22	22	25	25	25.00	25.00
P. tapanuliensis													
•	6.04	73.37	82.40	164.30	160.46	10.38	109.48	23.17	29.20	33.78	33.71	23.93	22.46
n	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1
Permutation tests													
vs. P. abelii	<0.001	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
vs. P. pygmaeus	<0.001	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
DF = Face Depth, PB : Thickness BT = Bitvr	= Palate B	treadth, PL	, = Palate ] B = Foran	Length, Pro	oB = Prosth um Breadth	iion-Basio TML =	n Length, l Foramen N	3ZAE = B Jagnum La	izygomatic enoth. PPB	: Arch Bre = Posteri	adth, ZAT or Ptervgoi	= Zygomat d Breadth.	ic Arch APB =

-I y go ığuı, ı Anterior Pterygoid Breadth, LTTA = Tympanic tube length, TMJA = Temporomandibular joint length.

<b>1B.</b>
Figure
l to
atec
Rel
mj.
/ <b>m</b>
tudy
his s
in tl
zed
utili
les I
riab
r vai
ulaı
dib
maı
nio-
cra
the
s for
stice
stati
ary s
nmâ
Sur
ed).
tinu
(con
SI
able
T <sup>3</sup>

•						ζ							
Species	22	<b>FIMIM3A</b>	MIB	MMIEB	KA	2		BIB	HLM	KWA	MIC	JMIEB	ACIMINISA
P. abelii													
Mean	80.41	55.19	39.85	72.01	109.36	61.79	42.11	131.63	159.06	60.55	30.06	59.81	65.99
SD	8.55	2.79	5.22	3.75	5.82	5.53	5.39	3.78	8.92	1.98	1.01	5.03	4.29
Minimum	70.92	50.96	30.12	66.65	102.21	53.71	36.14	127.16	146.64	58.26	28.49	49.03	60.80
1st Quartile	73.86	53.25	38.24	70.05	106.96	58.24	37.31	128.15	152.72	58.96	29.39	58.34	61.95
Median	78.89	55.66	41.21	71.39	107.57	60.92	41.83	131.22	156.98	60.19	30.07	61.08	68.43
3rd Quartile	86.83	57.13	43.22	73.67	110.56	65.51	45.66	134.72	167.33	61.86	30.87	62.35	68.86
Maximum	91.59	58.95	44.68	78.27	121.61	70.02	50.04	137.39	170.34	63.56	31.47	65.45	71.05
n	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7
P. pygmaeus													
Mean	82.03	55.33	41.81	73.27	111.44	66.93	42.35	134.04	159.77	65.64	31.96	61.53	69.43
SD	7.32	3.16	2.54	3.45	5.99	5.00	3.25	11.28	12.60	5.22	2.94	3.71	3.26
Minimum	65.34	46.61	34.92	65.73	98.10	60.51	35.98	113.43	116.28	56.28	23.42	52.53	64.58
1st Quartile	78.24	53.99	40.65	71.19	109.16	63.35	40.56	126.02	155.88	63.06	30.60	60.31	67.35
Median	84.85	55.68	41.99	73.35	110.52	65.19	41.90	135.38	161.39	65.25	32.57	61.99	68.72
3rd Quartile	88.18	57.79	43.77	75.48	113.57	70.80	43.68	142.78	166.15	67.96	33.41	64.02	71.65
Maximum	90.93	60.46	45.03	80.25	124.63	79.08	49.32	154.99	180.02	78.90	37.85	66.82	75.85
u	23	24	25	25	20	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
P. tapanuliensis													
	77.83	55.27	28.31	62.66	113.61	49.29	31.80	119.98	150.58	55.94	24.44	55.32	70.00
u	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<b>Permutation tests</b>													
vs. P. abelii	NS	NS	<0.001	<0.001	NS	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	NS	<0.001	<0.001	NS	NS
vs. P. pygmaeus	NS	NS	<0.001	< 0.001	NS	<0.001	< 0.001	NS	NS	NS	0.048	NS	NS
BS = Basion-Staph Maxilla at M1, RA Ramus Width, JIW M3	ylion Le = Ramu ′ = Mand	ngth, PM1M3 s Height, S = libular Incisor	A = Maxil Symphysia • Complex	llary Lengt s Length, ľ Breadth, J	h of PM1- TT = Infei M1EB = I	M3, MIB ior transv External E	= Maxilla erse torus sreadth of	try Incisor , BiB = Bi the Mandi	Complex J condylar E ble at M1,	Breadth, N Sreadth, H , JPM1M3	1M1EB = LM = Ho A = Mar	= External prizontal Le ndibular Le	Breadth of the ength, RWA = ength of PM1-

Species	UCB	LCB	LM1L	LM1B	LM1A
P. abelii					
Mean	17.90	15.96	13.12	10.81	141.86
SD	1.77	0.96	0.57	0.60	10.23
Minimum	15.67	14.34	12.48	10.08	128.51
1st Quartile	16.76	15.61	12.66	10.36	133.35
Median	17.37	16.05	13.00	10.87	145.43
3rd Quartile	19.38	16.29	13.56	11.18	148.32
Maximum	20.54	17.55	13.89	11.68	155.74
n	8	8	7	7	7
P. pygmaeus					
Mean	18.08	17.03	13.46	11.22	151.04
SD	1.57	1.61	0.78	0.70	13.58
Minimum	14.82	14.46	11.38	10.11	126.17
1st Quartile	17.37	15.59	13.17	10.57	140.12
Median	17.85	17.20	13.50	11.31	147.79
3rd Quartile	19.27	18.27	13.83	11.74	162.36
Maximum	20.86	19.60	15.01	12.45	171.56
n	19	19	20	20	20
P. p. palaeosumatrensis					
Mean	20.94	17.28	14.99	13.05	195.71
SD	1.91	1.47	0.53	0.58	14.09
Minimum	18.30	15.30	14.00	12.10	175.45
1st Quartile	19.10	16.05	14.60	12.70	183.80
Median	21.20	17.00	14.90	13.00	193.50
3rd Quartile	22.00	18.15	15.40	13.48	205.74
Maximum	24.60	20.50	16.20	14.50	234.90
n	21	39	90	90	90
P. tapanuliensis					
	21.50	19.44	13.65	11.37	155.20
n	1	1	1	1	1
Permutation tests					
vs. P. abelii	< 0.001	< 0.001	NS	NS	NS
vs. P. pygmaeus	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
vs. P. p. palaeosumatrensis	NS	NS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

Table S2. Summary statistics for the dental variables utilized in this study [mm]. Related to Figure 1B.

UCB = Upper canine breadth, LCB = Lower canine breadth, LM1L = Lower M1 length, LM1B = Lower M1 breadth, LM1A = Lower M1 area.

Snocios	No. of pulses	Call Dur	Sound Dur	Interval Dur	Max Freq R
species		[s]	[s]	[s]	[Hz]
P. abelii					
Mean	40.74	72.70	0.61	1.09	558.83
SD	9.63	24.17	0.08	0.19	121.73
Minimum	26.50	46.22	0.47	0.76	369.76
1st Quartile	32.94	50.42	0.57	0.98	468.26
Median	38.75	65.20	0.61	1.12	557.78
3rd Quartile	47.67	96.25	0.67	1.22	642.11
Maximum	56.50	113.60	0.74	1.46	746.86
n	14	14	14	14	14
P. pygmaeus					
Mean	25.41	53.59	0.69	1.37	706.99
SD	7.72	13.73	0.18	0.34	184.11
Minimum	10.00	28.76	0.43	0.80	257.25
1st Quartile	21.00	45.79	0.57	1.06	621.98
Median	25.00	51.80	0.66	1.39	689.88
3rd Quartile	29.00	60.68	0.79	1.63	836.52
Maximum	45.00	89.36	1.28	1.97	998.74
n	29	29	29	29	27
P. tapanuliensis					
Mean	57.11	112.06	0.66	1.06	830.64
SD	5.97	0.39	0.04	0.06	42.15
Minimum	52.89	111.78	0.63	1.02	800.84
1st Quartile	55.00	111.92	0.64	1.04	815.74
Median	57.11	112.06	0.66	1.06	830.64
3rd Quartile	59.22	112.19	0.67	1.08	845.55
Maximum	61.33	112.33	0.68	1.10	860.45
n	2	2	2	2	2
Permutation tests					
vs. P. abelii	NS	NS	NS	NS	< 0.001
vs. P. pygmaeus	< 0.001	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table S3. Summary statistics for the 15 long call variables utilized in this study. Related to STAR Methods.

No. of pulses = Number of pulses, Call Dur = Duration of call, Sound Dur = Duration of sound, Interval Dur = Duration of interval, Max Freq R = Maximum frequency of roar (R) pulse type.

Snecies	Min Freq R	Peak Freq R	Shape R	Freq Max	Freq Min
species	[Hz]	[Hz]	[Hz/s]	[Hz]	[Hz]
P. abelii					
Mean	141.77	310.61	709.07	824.29	64.04
SD	39.16	60.44	155.29	193.91	30.40
Minimum	88.90	186.82	450.06	460.38	17.64
1st Quartile	103.36	279.97	572.28	732.78	49.39
Median	148.70	294.25	739.86	837.01	61.87
3rd Quartile	173.99	362.27	833.23	948.13	75.76
Maximum	200.53	400.52	934.08	1111.25	145.50
n	14	14	14	14	14
P. pygmaeus					
Mean	177.36	403.82	749.46	984.66	62.13
SD	61.70	111.90	247.91	291.69	29.46
Minimum	74.08	202.17	230.78	354.29	10.58
1st Quartile	135.31	336.22	642.39	896.06	45.86
Median	173.87	387.60	730.15	977.19	57.00
3rd Quartile	215.93	436.23	870.72	1167.10	77.16
Maximum	361.07	732.13	1372.05	1498.60	144.44
n	27	27	27	29	29
P. tapanuliensis					
Mean	199.17	399.56	1036.53	1136.15	87.69
SD	7.57	19.16	118.19	128.95	10.08
Minimum	193.82	386.02	952.96	1044.97	80.57
1st Quartile	196.50	392.79	994.74	1090.56	84.13
Median	199.17	399.56	1036.53	1136.15	87.69
3rd Quartile	201.85	406.33	1078.31	1181.74	91.26
Maximum	204.53	413.11	1120.10	1227.33	94.82
n	2	2	2	2	2
Permutation tests					
vs. P. abelii	NS	NS	< 0.001	NS	NS
vs. P. pygmaeus	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table S3 (continued). Summary statistics for the 15 long call variables utilized in this study. Related to STAR Methods.

Min Freq R = Minimum frequency of roar (R) pulse type, Peak Freq R = Peak frequency of roar pulse type, Shape R = Average shape of roar pulse type, Freq Max = Maximum frequency of call, Freq Min = Minimum frequency of call.

Spacies	Rate	Huitus	Roar	Sigh	Intermediary
species	[pulses/20s]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]
P. abelii					
Mean	0.81	10.26	54.57	6.54	5.31
SD	0.11	13.68	15.66	4.29	5.41
Minimum	0.62	0.00	19.35	0.00	0.00
1st Quartile	0.72	3.15	48.03	5.44	1.10
Median	0.81	5.61	53.85	6.84	4.83
3rd Quartile	0.89	8.68	66.53	8.23	6.96
Maximum	0.97	48.39	75.76	13.51	16.67
n	14	14	14	14	14
P. pygmaeus					
Mean	0.52	16.26	28.36	15.51	11.02
SD	0.13	15.58	17.23	18.17	9.26
Minimum	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1st Quartile	0.45	0.00	20.29	4.35	4.35
Median	0.48	16.54	26.92	8.00	8.21
3rd Quartile	0.64	23.11	35.55	20.30	15.38
Maximum	0.79	64.00	80.95	80.00	41.67
n	29	29	29	29	29
P. tapanuliensis					
Mean	0.88	7.80	39.58	20.47	1.98
SD	0.08	11.03	0.81	10.24	2.80
Minimum	0.82	0.00	39.01	13.23	0.00
1st Quartile	0.85	3.90	39.29	16.85	0.99
Median	0.88	7.80	39.58	20.47	1.98
3rd Quartile	0.91	11.69	39.87	24.09	2.97
Maximum	0.93	15.59	40.15	27.71	3.96
n	2	2	2	2	2
Permutation tests					
vs. P. abelii	NS	NS	NS	< 0.001	NS
vs. P. pygmaeus	< 0.001	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table S3 (continued). Summary statistics for the 15 long call variables utilized in this study. Related to STAR Methods.

Rate = Number of pulses per 20 s, Huitus = Percent number of huitus (H) pulse type, Roar = Percent number of roar (R) pulse type, Sigh = Percent number of sigh (S) pulse type, Intermediary = Percent number of intermediary (I) pulse type.

Species	Sampling area	Individual ID	Name	Sex	Depth <sup>a</sup>	Source	Comments and origin details, if available
P. abelii	Langkat	PA_KB4661	Bubbles	Μ	4.76	[S1]	Wild-born
P. abelii	Langkat	$PA_KB5883$	Sibu	Μ	4.99	[S1]	Wild-born
P. abelii	Langkat	$PA_A947$	Elsi	Ľ.	27.39	[S2]	Wild-born
P. abelii	Langkat	$PA_A948$	Kiki	Ľ.	23.71	[S2]	Wild-born
P. abelii	Langkat	$PA_A950$	Babu	۲	26.28	[S2]	Wild-born
P. abelii	Langkat	$PA_A952$	Buschi	Μ	21.03	[S2]	Wild-born
P. abelii	North Aceh	$PA_A949$	Dunja	Ц	27.39	[S2]	1 <sup>st</sup> Generation by 456 and 457 both wild-born Sumatra
P. abelii	North Aceh	$PA_B018$	Jeff	Μ	16.31	This study	Wild-born; Desa Seuneubok Bayu, Indra Makmu district
P. abelii	West Alas	$PA_KB4361$	Likoe	Гц	5.66	[S1]	Wild-born
P. abelii	West Alas	$PA_SB550$	Doris	Гц	4.86	[S1]	Wild-born
P. abelii	West Alas	$PA_B017$	Miky	ц	13.74	This study	Wild-born; Aluebillie, Aceh Nagan Raya, Aceh province
P. abelii	West Alas	$PA_A953$	Vicky	Гц	17.78	This study	Wild-born
P. abelii	West Alas	$PA_A955$	Suma	Гц	25.27	This study	Wild-born
P. abelii	West Alas	$PA_A964$	Rochelle	Ľ.	11.06	This study	Wild-born
P. abelii	West Alas	$PA_B020$	Maini	Ľ.	16.3	This study	Wild-born; Aceh Sealatan near Suaq Balimbing
P. tapanuliensis	s Batang Toru	PA_KB9258	Baldy	ГЦ	5.79	[S1]	Wild-born
P. tapanuliensis	s Batang Toru	PA_KB9258	Baldy	F	5.79	[S1]	Wild-born
.7 JJ	-1-1		J [ - 1				

Table S4. Details of study individuals. Related to Figure 2A.

<sup>a</sup>mean effective whole-genome sequencing coverage (estimated from the quality filtered BAM files).

Species	Sampling area	Individual ID	Name	Sex	Depth <sup>a</sup>	Source	Comments and origin details, if available
P. pygmaeus	Central Kalimantan	$PP_KB4204$	Dolly	М	5.61	[S1]	Wild-born
P. pygmaeus	Central Kalimantan	PP_KB5404	Billy	ц	12.24	[S1]	Wild-born
P. pygmaeus	Central Kalimantan	PP_KB5405	Dennis	Μ	5.61	[S1]	Wild-born
P. pygmaeus	Central Kalimantan	$PP_A940$	Temmy	Щ	21.8	[S2]	1 <sup>st</sup> Generation by 793 and 794 both wild-born Borneo
P. pygmaeus	Central Kalimantan	$PP_A941$	Sari	Щ	23.17	[S2]	1. Gen. by 202 and 322 both wild-born Borneo
P. pygmaeus	Central Kalimantan	$PP_A943$	Tilda	ц	24.17	[S2]	Wild-born
P. pygmaeus	Central Kalimantan	$PP_A944$	Napoleon	Μ	23.32	[S2]	Wild-born
P. pygmaeus	Central Kalimantan	$PP_A938$	Lotti	Щ	18.62	This study	$1^{st}$ Generation by 358 and 422 both wild-born Borneo
P. pygmaeus	West Kalimantan	$PP_A983$	Claus	Μ	29.71	This study	Wild-born; Pontianak
P. pygmaeus	East Kalimantan	PP_KB5543	Louis	Μ	6.03	[S1]	Wild-born
P. pygmaeus	East Kalimantan	$PP_A984$	Barong	ГЦ	29.89	This study	Wild-born; Taman Nasional Kutai
P. pygmaeus	East Kalimantan	PP_A985	Panjul	Σ	30.13	This study	Wild-born; Taman Nasional Kutai
P. pygmaeus	North Kinabatangan	$PP_A987$	Tara	Щ	30.65	This study	Wild-born; Bukit Garam, Kinabatangan area
P. pygmaeus	North Kinabatangan	$PP_A988$	Kala	Μ	31.06	This study	Wild-born; Kg. Tikolod, Tambunan
P. pygmaeus	South Kinabatangan	PP_5062	Ampal	Μ	13.81	This study	Wild-born; Lahad Datu, Kinabatangan area
P. pygmaeus	South Kinabatangan	$PP_A989$	Micelle	Щ	27.3	This study	Wild-born; Lahad Datu, Kinabatangan area
P. pygmaeus	Sarawak	PP_KB5406	Dinah	щ	4.9	[S1]	Wild-born
P. pygmaeus	Sarawak	$PP_A939$	Nonja	Щ	20.48	[S2]	$1^{st}$ Generation by 1052 and 1012 both from Sarawak
P. pygmaeus	Sarawak	$PP_A942$	Gusti	Щ	23.12	This study	$1^{st}$ Generation by 1435 and 1392 both wild-born Borneo
P. pygmaeus	Sarawak	PP_A946	Kajan	Μ	22.39	This study	Wild-born
	,						

<sup>a</sup>mean effective whole-genome sequencing coverage (estimated from the quality filtered BAM files).

Table S4 (continued). Details of study individuals. Related to Figure 2A.

ABC				
Parameter <sup>a</sup>	Prior distribution	Mode	Mean	95%-HPD <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>Now</sub> BO (4)	loguniform (300–32,000)	1,487	1,759	407-8,002
$N_{NOW}NT$ (2)	loguniform (300–32,000)	2,854	3,212	517-21,691
$N_{STRUC}NT(2)$	loguniform (3,000–320,000)	19,925	26,795	3,736-197,419
$N_{NOW}ST$	loguniform (300–32,000)	2,520	2,429	524-10756
$N_{ANC}ST$	loguniform (1,000–100,000)	35,874	28,907	7,522–99,885
N <sub>BN</sub> BO	loguniform (300–32,000)	4,473	3,719	523-27,948
N <sub>ANC</sub> BO	loguniform (3,000–320,000)	30,655	36,257	5,924-266,244
N <sub>ANC</sub> NT	loguniform (1,000–100,000)	53,811	29,654	5,115-99,885
T <sub>BNEND</sub> BO	uniform (8,750–400,000)	71,969	125,689	8,848-272,775
TBNDURBO	uniform (250–100,000)	33,583	46,508	924-92,087
T <sub>SPLIT</sub> BO	uniform (400,000–1,500,000)	674,055	681,760	427,878-921,400
T <sub>SPLIT</sub> NT	uniform (1,500,000–4,000,000)	3,382,200	2,827,150	1,712,005-3,977,250
T <sub>DEC</sub> NT	uniform (250–100,000)	82,635	54,372	10, 126-99, 975
T <sub>STRUC</sub> NT	uniform (100,000–1,500,000)	1,057,388	873,195	241,301-1,499,650
Tmigstop	uniform (8,750–400,000)	303,118	253,968	82,680-399,903
NmWBO	loguniform (0.030–32.000)	6.818	1.272	0.060 - 31.568
NmWNT	loguniform (0.030–32.000)	0.128	0.594	0.032 - 14.973
NmBOST	loguniform (0.003–3.200)	0.016	0.021	0.003 - 0.127
NmSTBO	loguniform (0.003–3.200)	0.003	0.007	0.003 - 0.021
NmNTST	loguniform (0.010–10.000)	0.294	0.228	0.019–2.116
NmSTNT	loguniform (0.010–10.000)	0.86	0.687	0.058-9.166
<sup>a</sup> , BO = Borneo, NT = population bottleneck, N	Sumatra north of Lake Toba, $ST = Sumatra N_{ANC} = ancestral N_{e}$ , $N_{STRUC} = N_{e}$ before reconcisional states and states an	tra south of Lake Toba, N <sub>N</sub> ent decline (number of popu	DW = current effective popu lations of this size), T <sub>BNEND</sub>	lation size (N <sub>e</sub> ), $N_{BN} = N_e$ during = time since population bottleneck

Table S5. Parameter estimation of the best supported models in the ABC and G-PhoCS analyses. Related to Figure 3B.

ended, IBNDUR = duration of bottleneck, ISPLT = population split time, IDEC = time since population decline, ISTRUC = time since establishment of population structure, T<sub>MIGSTOP</sub> = time since migration between BO and ST stopped (all times were converted to years assuming a generation time of 25 years), NmWBO = number of migrants per generation among populations on Borneo, NmWNT = number of migrants among populations north of Lake Toba, NmXY = number of migrants in X from Y; <sup>b</sup>, 95%-highest posterior density interval.

G-PhoCS				
Parameter <sup>a</sup>	Prior distribution <sup>b</sup>	Mode	Mean	95%-HPD <sup>c</sup>
N <sub>Now</sub> BO	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =1; $\beta$ =500)	17,939	17,992	17,655 - 18,338
N <sub>NOW</sub> NT	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =1; $\beta$ =500)	16,123	16,114	15,588 - 16,655
N <sub>Now</sub> ST	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =1; $\beta$ =500)	26,787	26,791	26,113-27,477
<b>N</b> <sub>ANC</sub> <b>BOST</b>	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =1; $\beta$ =500)	114,303	114,451	110,626 - 118,704
N <sub>ANC</sub> PONGO	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =1; $\beta$ =500)	33,162	33,223	32,316-34,119
T <sub>SPLIT</sub> BOST	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =1; $\beta$ =2000)	575,551	578,150	563, 217 - 593, 200
T <sub>SPLIT</sub> PONGO	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =1; $\beta$ =500)	2,273,045	2,278,133	2,208,383-2,351,917
m_BO->ST	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =0.002; $\beta$ =0.00001)	4.45 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.45 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	$4.08 - 4.80 \times 10^{-6}$
m_ST->BO	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =0.002; $\beta$ =0.0001)	1.17 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.20 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.95–1.46 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
m_NT->ST	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =0.002; $\beta$ =0.0001)	3.19 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	$3.27 \times 10^{-6}$	2.55–3.94 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
m_ST->NT	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =0.002; $\beta$ =0.0001)	8.28 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.29 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	7.98–8.60 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>
m_BOST->NT	Gamma ( $\alpha$ =0.002; $\beta$ =0.00001)	8.39 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.53 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.47–11.44 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>
m_NT->BOST	Gamma (α=0.002; β=0.00001)	$6.87 \text{ x } 10^{-12}$	2.18 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.0015-11.73 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
<sup>a</sup> , BO = Borneo, NT = $\frac{1}{2}$	Sumatra north of Lake Toba, ST = Sumatrans, N <sub>NOW</sub> = current effective population s	ra south of Lake Toba, BO iize, N <sub>ANC</sub> = ancestral effect	ST = ancestral population (ive population size, T <sub>DIV</sub> = -	of BO and ST, PONGO = ancestral population split time in years, m X-

All scaled estimates from G-PhoCS were converted to absolute values assuming a mutation rate of 1.5 x 10<sup>-8</sup> mutations per base pair per generation and a >Y = migration rate per generation from X to Y forward in time; <sup>b</sup>, prior distribution of mutation-scaled parameters; <sup>c</sup>, 95%-highest posterior density interval.

generation time of 25 years.

•
$\mathbf{\omega}$
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
9
50
. <u> </u>
<b>T</b>
8
_
7
e,
=
2
<u>ب</u> ه
$\sim$
نة
ý,
⋗
ä
~~
$\mathcal{O}$
Ē Ĵ
<u> </u>
2
-
Ч
τĽ.
C
_
0
g
3
<b>r</b> \
$\mathbf{\cup}$
à
<.
e)
Ē
Ŧ
_
Ξ.
S
تە
5
õ
Ē
_
-
Ę
ed 1
ted 1
rted 1
orted 1
ported 1
pported 1
upported 1
supported 1
t supported 1
st supported 1
est supported 1
best supported 1
e best supported i
he best supported i
the best supported 1
f the best supported I
of the best supported I
of the best supported I
n of the best supported 1
on of the best supported 1
tion of the best supported 1
ation of the best supported 1
nation of the best supported 1
mation of the best supported 1
timation of the best supported 1
stimation of the best supported 1
estimation of the best supported 1
r estimation of the best supported 1
er estimation of the best supported 1
ter estimation of the best supported 1
leter estimation of the best supported 1
meter estimation of the best supported 1
ameter estimation of the best supported 1
rameter estimation of the best supported 1
arameter estimation of the best supported 1
Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
d). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
ed). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
ued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
nued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
inued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
tinued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
ontinued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
(continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
(continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
5 (continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
S5 (continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
e S5 (continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
de S5 (continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
bble S5 (continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1
able S5 (continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported I
Table S5 (continued). Parameter estimation of the best supported 1

Primer name	Primer sequence (3'–5')	Primer position <sup>a</sup>
F1	GYTTGGTCCTRGCCTTTC	77
R1	AGTACRCTTACCATGTTAC	1004
F2	ACACACCGCCCGTCAC	902
R2	CAGGTCAATTTCACTGGT	2109
F3	CATCACCTCTAGCATTAC	1931
R3	ATTAGGGCGTAGTTWGAG	3120
F4	AAGATGGCAGAGCCCG	2658
R4	CAACATTTTCGGGGGTATG	3874
F5	CTGACRAAAGAGTTACTTTG	3698
R5	GGGCTTAGCTTAATTAAAG	5076
F6	CCAAGAGCCTTCAAAGC	4958
R6	CYGTRAATATRTGGTGGGC	6224
F7	TWCTCYCACCCAGGAGC	5732
R7	GGGGYTGGCTTGAAACC	6917
F8	AAAGGAAGGAATCGAACC	6873
R8	GTCTTTAACTTAAAAGGTTAA	7776
F9	GAGGCCCAYTGCAAAGC	7729
R9	TGGTGGCCTTGGTATGT	8858
F10	CYACCCARCTWTCCATAAA	8250
R10	CCTCATCAGTAGATGGAG	9425
F11	TTCCACGGCCTCCACG	9253
R11	GATAAGGGGTCGGAGG	10384
F12	AAAYAAATGATTTCGACTCAT	9863
R12	AAGCTTCAGGGGGTTTG	11125
F13	CGACAAACAGAYCTAAAATC	11047
R13	GTTGATRTTTGGGTCTGAG	12135
F14	GTGCAACTCCAAATAAAAG	11770
R14	AGGGCTCAGGCGTTGG	13016
F15	TCTGCACCCAYGCCTTC	12776
R15	GTATGATGGTTGTTTTTGG	13943
F16	GCACCCGCACCAATAG	13687
R16	GGCCTCAYGGGAGGAC	14609
F17	CGAGAYGTAAACTACGGC	14411
R17	AGTTAAGTRCTTTTTTCTCTG	15435
F18	CAAGCAACAGAGCATAAC	15130
R18	TGTCTTATTTAAGGGGAAC	16017
F19	CTGTATCCGGCATCTGG	15943
R19	CGCGGTGGCTGGCAC	324

Table S6. PCR primers for Sanger sequencing of mitogenomes. Related to STAR Methods.

<sup>a</sup>, Sequence positions (3'-end) on the *Pongo abelii* reference mitochondrial genome NC\_002083.

# **Supplemental References**

- S1. Locke, D.P., Hillier, L.W., Warren, W.C., Worley, K.C., Nazareth, L.V., Muzny, D.M., Yang, S.-P., Wang, Z., Chinwalla, A.T., Minx, P., et al. (2011). Comparative and demographic analysis of orang-utan genomes. Nature 469, 529-533.
- S2. Prado-Martinez, J., Sudmant, P.H., Kidd, J.M., Li, H., Kelley, J.L., Lorente-Galdos, B., Veeramah, K.R., Woerner, A.E., O/'Connor, T.D., Santpere, G., et al. (2013). Great ape genetic diversity and population history. Nature 499, 471-475.