The effects of composite laminate stiffness and loading on stress resultant concentration factor around a hole

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal:</th>
<th>Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript ID</td>
<td>JMES-17-1220.R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Type:</td>
<td>Original article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted by the Author:</td>
<td>11-Dec-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete List of Authors:</td>
<td>damghani, mahdi; Engineering Design and Mathematics Harrison, Christopher; Atkins Bristol Kennedy, David; Cardiff University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keywords:</td>
<td>Conformal mapping, Complex variable approach, Stress resultant concentration, Hole, Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstract:</td>
<td>An analytical tool is developed using conformal mapping and the complex variable method for determining the Stress Resultant Concentration Factor (SRCF) in an infinite plate with a circular or elliptical hole. This tool is validated against Finite Element Analysis (FEA). A population space of 305 laminates is generated by stacking uni-directional Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) material at various orientations covering a wide spectrum of homogenised stiffness values. The analytical approach is then used to study the effect(s) of loading conditions, stacking sequences and laminate homogenised stiffness values on the SRCF at the edge of a circular hole.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The effects of composite laminate stiffness and loading on stress resultant concentration factor around a hole

M Damghani\textsuperscript{a}, C Harrison\textsuperscript{b}, and D Kennedy\textsuperscript{c}

\textsuperscript{a} Engineering Modelling and Simulation Group (EMSG), Engineering Design and Mathematics Department, University of the West of England (UWE), Bristol, UK

\textsuperscript{b} Advanced Engineering Unit, Atkins global, Bristol, UK

\textsuperscript{c} Engineering Department, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

Abstract

An analytical tool is developed using conformal mapping and the complex variable method for determining the Stress Resultant Concentration Factor (SRCF) in an infinite plate with a circular or elliptical hole. This tool is validated against Finite Element Analysis (FEA). A population space of 305 laminates is generated by stacking uni-directional Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) material at various orientations covering a wide spectrum of homogenised stiffness values. The analytical approach is then used to study the effect(s) of loading conditions, stacking sequences and laminate homogenised stiffness values on the SRCF at the edge of a circular hole.

Keywords: Conformal mapping, Complex variable approach, Stress resultant concentration, Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer, Hole

* Corresponding author: Engineering Modelling and Simulation Group (EMSG), Engineering Design and Mathematics Department, University of the West of England (UWE), Bristol, UK

Email: Mahdi.Damghani@uwe.ac.uk
1 Introduction

Composite materials are widely used in the aerospace industry for high performance components. For instance, more than 50% of Airbus A350 and Boeing 787 passenger aircraft are made of such materials. Composites are also finding applications as load bearing structural components in other industries amongst which are defence, automotive, marine, oil and gas, rail and civil engineering. Due to the high stiffness to weight ratio of such materials compared to conventional metallic materials such as aluminium, the use of composites can lead to significant weight saving which in turn brings about improved performance and reduction in fuel consumption. In order to use the full potential of such materials, it is essential to better understand and characterise their behaviour.

As the result of design requirements and practicality of use, the existence of holes is inevitable in composite structures such as windows in the aircraft fuselage, access-holes in the wing, drilled holes for bolted and riveted connections etc. It is well known that elevated stresses give rise to stress/strain concentrations occurring at the vicinity of holes making them prone to crack initiation and propagation. The quantity of such stresses is dependent on the geometry of a hole, loading conditions, stiffness and anisotropy of the composite laminate.

There have been numerous attempts in obtaining Stress Concentration Factor (SCF) at the boundary of a hole/notch in laminated composite plates. Some of such works make use of numerical approaches such as Finite Element Analysis (FEA)\(^1\)-\(^5\) whilst others focus on the use of fast and precise analytical methods\(^6\)-\(^15\). Experimental techniques compliment the two previous approaches and have been used extensively to validate both analytical and numerical solutions.

The majority of the analytical works in the literature focus on the methods of determination of stress state around a hole and are based on the work of Muskhelishvili\(^15\) and Savin\(^16\). For example, Bonora et al.\(^6,7\) provided closed form solutions for stress...
state around circular and elliptical holes in laminated composite plates using the classic Airy solution method. Amongst similar works are the works of Chauhan et al. They employed an analytical complex variable method and studied the effect of stacking sequence on tangential stresses around a rectangular hole under uni-axial loading for a finite Graphite/Epoxy composite plate. For their laminate of study, they concluded that maximum and minimum SCF take place for $[0\beta_s]$ and $[90\beta_s]$ lay-up, respectively. Sharma studied the effect of fibre orientation, for both Graphite/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy composite laminates, under uni-axial loading on stress pattern at the edge of a polygonal hole. He concluded that minimum and maximum SCF around the hole occurs for $90^\circ$ and $0^\circ$ fibre angles, respectively. Russo et al. performed a parametric study of SCF in both Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) and Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) containing a circular hole both analytically and experimentally. Hufenbach et al. used a complex variable method and mapping functions to obtain stress fields around circular and elliptical holes in a finite Glass-Fibre-Polypropylene (GF/PP) composite plate. Excellent agreement was established between the proposed analytical method and experimental results. Although SCF around circular/elliptical holes represents the case in most engineering applications, but some researchers investigated SCF around holes of various other shapes such as triangular, rectangular, hypocycloidal, polygonal and irregular holes.

The use of closed form analytical solutions to determine SCF in geometrically complex structures and general loading scenarios is very difficult and hence the FEA is often adopted. For instance, Jain et al. employed FEA and studied the effect of hole diameter to plate width of rectangular isotropic, orthotropic and laminated composite plates with central circular hole under different transverse static loading conditions. Khechai et al. evaluated the stress distribution around circular holes in thin isotropic and symmetric laminated plates, subjected to uniaxial loading by using a specially formulated quadrilateral finite element. Othman et al. used FEA and the concept of
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Defence Hole System (DHS) to reduce stress concentration in holes of composite bolted joints. Darwish et al. \textsuperscript{26,27} used three dimensional FEA to formulate stress concentration around circular countersunk holes in composite orthotropic plates under uniaxial loading.

Experimental techniques have been used to obtain SCF in plates having circular holes. Makki et al. \textsuperscript{1} performed uniaxial testing of both steel plates and orthotropic CFRP laminates and measured stress concentration factor for circular holes of various dimensions using Digital Image Correlation (DIC) technique. The result given by the DIC camera was identical with the FEA results for isotropic materials. On the contrary, for orthotropic materials, the results of the DIC method were not coherent with the FEA and the literature values, which reflected on the anisotropic character of the composite material. DIC technique was used by Zhao et al. \textsuperscript{28} to evaluate stress field around circular hole in thermoplastic orthotropic composite plates. They observed good correlation of numerical results with those of experimental procedure.

Despite considerable amount of attention in developing analytical, numerical and experimental methods, a comprehensive parametric study on a large number of laminated composite structures demonstrating the effects of stiffness of structure and the loading condition on the Stress Resultant Concentration Factor (SRCF) has received little attention with scarce amount of scientific data in the subject area. To achieve such an objective, the use of fast and computationally efficient methods has clear advantage over labour intensive and computationally expensive numerical and FEA.

Therefore, in the present paper, the authors address such shortcomings and lack of data via the use of analytical approach. The approach employs the well-established complex variable method for anisotropic material and is utilised to obtain stress resultant distribution and Stress Resultant Concentration Factor (SRCF) at the edge of an elliptical or circular hole in an infinite composite plate under membrane loading,
which is the dominant loading and boundary condition for thin structures (see Section 2 for a brief review of the theory and the expressions for the stress components for any combination of membrane loading). The analytical formulation is embedded in Excel software with a Visual Basic macro and validated by FEA using MSC Nastran 2014 (see Section 3 for details of validation). The approved analytical solution is used for a parametric study of the influence of composite laminate stiffness on SRCF around a circular hole for several loading conditions on 305 composite laminates. The results of the parametric study are presented in Section 4.

2 Methodology

In this study, conformal mapping, i.e. mapping that preserves angles, and the complex variable approach is used to determine stresses at the edge of a circular or elliptical hole in an infinite composite plate subject to remote membrane forces. It is assumed that the plate is in a plane stress state and body forces are absent. It is further assumed that the plate material remains in its linear elastic state. The effects of out of plane loading are not considered in the current study.

The stress-strain relationship in a composite material is defined as

\[
\begin{bmatrix} N \\ M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^0 \\ \kappa \end{bmatrix}
\]  

(1)

where \([A]\), \([B]\) and \([D]\) are extensional, extensional-bending coupling and bending stiffness matrices, respectively. \([\varepsilon^0]\) and \([\kappa]\) are strain and curvature matrices at the mid-plane of the composite laminate, respectively. \([N]\) and \([M]\) are 3x1 matrices of membrane and out of plane bending loading, also known as stress resultants, with units of force per unit width and moment per unit width, respectively. Stress resultants are defined as:
\[ N_x = \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \sigma_x \, dz, \quad N_y = \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \sigma_y \, dz, \quad N_{xy} = \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \tau_{xy} \, dx \]  

(2)

where \( \sigma_x \), \( \sigma_y \) and \( \tau_{xy} \) are direct normal and shear stresses through the laminate thickness. In order to obtain the compliance matrix of a composite material, the \( ABD \) matrix in the above equation is inverted, yielding:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon^a \\
\kappa
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
a & b \\
b & d
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
N \\
M
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(3)

where the \( abd \) matrix is the compliance matrix with the following elements:

\[
\begin{align*}
[b] &= -[A]^{-1} [B] [D] [B]^{-1} [A]^{-1} [B]^{-1} \\
[d] &= ([D] - [B] [A]^{-1} [B])^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

For simplicity, the composite laminate is assumed to be symmetric. Therefore, the membrane-bending coupling terms vanish, i.e. \( [b] = 0 \), and composite laminate mid-plane strains can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= a_{11} N_x + a_{12} N_y + a_{16} N_{xy} \\
\varepsilon_y &= a_{12} N_x + a_{22} N_y + a_{26} N_{xy} \\
\gamma_{xy} &= a_{66} N_x + a_{26} N_y + a_{66} N_{xy}
\end{align*}
\]  

(5)

where \( a_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2, 6) \) coefficients are elements in the compliance matrix.

In the absence of body forces, stress resultants can be defined using Airy's stress function \( U(x, y) \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
N_x &= t \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2}, \quad N_y = t \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2}, \quad N_{xy} = -t \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{align*}
\]  

(6)

where \( t \) is the thickness of the composite laminate. It is worth noting that in the proposed analytical solution, the authors use the concept of stress resultants of Eq. (2)
instead of composite ply level stresses. This is due to the use of Airy stress functions that are suitable for two dimensional plane stress/plane strain problems, where stress is assumed to be constant through the thickness. However, for composite laminate structures, the stress through the thickness is not constant and has discontinuous distribution. The use of stress resultant overcomes such limitation. Stress resultants are crucial for quantifying static and fatigue strength of composite structures. For instance, in static analysis they can be used to predict the notched strength of composite laminates containing circular holes and straight cracks based on either the “point stress” criterion or the “average stress” criterion. The reader is referred to Pandita et al.\textsuperscript{32} for further details.

We also know that (from the compatibility of strains in a two dimensional space):

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} \tag{7}
\]

Combining the above equations leads to:

\[
d_{22} \frac{\partial^4 U}{\partial x^4} - 2a_{26} \frac{\partial^4 U}{\partial x \partial y^3} + (2a_{12} + a_{10}) \frac{\partial^4 U}{\partial y^4} - 2a_{16} \frac{\partial^4 U}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + a_{11} \frac{\partial^4 U}{\partial y^4} = 0 \tag{8}
\]

Lekhnitskii\textsuperscript{33} demonstrated that to solve two-dimensional anisotropic problems, it is essential to solve the following characteristic equation:

\[
a_{11}S^4 - 2a_{16}S^3 + (2a_{12} + a_{10})S^2 - 2a_{26}S + a_{22} = 0 \tag{9}
\]

The roots of the characteristic equation, also known as complex values of anisotropy, have complex values and are in conjugate pairs as:

\[
s_1 = \alpha_1 + i\beta_1; s_3 = \overline{s_1} \\
s_2 = \alpha_2 + i\beta_2; s_4 = \overline{s_2} \tag{10}
\]

Airy’s stress function can be represented by arbitrary functions of \(X_k\) of variables \(z_k\) as follows:
\[ U(x, y) = X_1(z_1) + X_2(z_2) + \overline{X}_1(z_1) + \overline{X}_2(z_2) \]
\[ z_1 = x + s_1y = x + \alpha_1y + i\beta_1y \]
\[ z_2 = x + s_2y = x + \alpha_2y + i\beta_2y \] \hspace{1cm} (11)

and defining \( \phi(z_1) \) and \( \psi(z_2) \) as:
\[ \frac{dX_1}{dz_1} = \phi(z_1), \quad \frac{dX_2}{dz_2} = \psi(z_2), \quad \frac{d\overline{X}_1}{dz_1} = \overline{\phi}(z_1), \quad \frac{d\overline{X}_2}{dz_2} = \overline{\psi}(z_2) \] \hspace{1cm} (12)

\( \phi(z_1) \) and \( \psi(z_2) \) are analytic functions which suggests that they have continuous partial derivatives within their domain. Stress resultant components in terms of \( \phi(z_1) \) and \( \psi(z_2) \), can be calculated as:
\[ N_x = 2 \text{Re}\left[s_1^2\phi_0(z_1) + s_2^2\psi_0(z_2)\right] \]
\[ N_y = 2 \text{Re}\left[\phi_0(z_1) + \psi_0(z_2)\right] \]
\[ N_{xy} = -2 \text{Re}\left[s_1\phi_0(z_1) + s_2\psi_0(z_2)\right] \] \hspace{1cm} (13)

For the sake of conciseness, the reader is referred to Appendix A for details of obtaining mapping, analytic and stress functions.

2.1 Material and lay-up

In order to study the effects of SRCF on various composite laminates with a range of stiffness values, a uni-directional composite ply with mechanical properties given in Table 1 is used.

Three hundred and five balanced and symmetric stacking sequences are generated, by assuming a laminate with 28 plies, to cover a wide spectrum of composite laminates with various homogenised stiffness values, i.e. shear modulus and modulus in \( x \) and \( y \) directions. The population of stacking sequences had a homogenised shear modulus ranging from 5.58GPa to 27.51GPa and direct modulus ranging from 10GPa to 120GPa.
3 Validation of the analytical method with FEA

MSC Nastran was used to perform FEA. The plate is modelled using the boundary conditions and loading represented in Fig. 1. $F_x$ and $F_y$ point forces are distributed to the plate structure using RBE3 elements. RBE3 elements are interpolation constraint elements that define the motion at a reference grid point, i.e. hole centre, as the weighted average of the motions at a set of other grid points, i.e. hole edge. The structure is constrained (grounded) at the middle of the hole. Since the master node of RBE3 elements at the location of the hole cannot be grounded, this node is connected to a very rigid spring element (CBUSH element) of zero length. The other end of CBUSH element is then constrained in all degrees of freedom.

The validation is performed for material, composite lay-up, hole geometry, and loading type given in Table 2. Hereafter, Parameter $\lambda$ is defined as the ratio of loading in $y$ direction to that of $x$ direction ($\lambda = N_y/N_x$). In all validation runs, values of applied loads are $N_x = 280N/mm$ ($\lambda = 0$), $N_y = 280N/mm$ ($\lambda = 1$), for uni-axial and bi-axial loading conditions, respectively.

The contour plot of output stresses, i.e. average normal stresses in $x$ and $y$ direction and average shear stress in $xy$ plane, at the edge of circular hole for bi-axial loading (see Val-A in Table 2) and uni-axial loading (see Val-B in Table 2) is given in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. Comparison of analytical method with the numerical approach is given in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. These figures illustrate excellent agreement of FE results with those of analytical approach.

4 Results and discussions

The objective of this study is to investigate the effect(s) of composite laminate stiffness, resulting from different stacking sequences, and loading conditions on the quantity of SRCF at the edge of a hole. The SRCF is important in the design of composite
structures when quantifying static or fatigue strength. A circular hole with radius of 10mm is considered hereafter, representative of a bolt or rivet hole in a typical aerospace structure.

Fig. 6 shows SRCF for various quantities of $E_x/E_y$ (homogenised stiffness values of composite laminate in $x$ and $y$ directions) under uni-axial loading. The SRCF in this context is defined as the ratio of maximum tangential stress resultant (N/mm) at the edge of the hole to the remote applied stress resultant, i.e. $SRCF = N_\theta / \sqrt{N_x^2 + N_y^2}$. It should be noted, for interpretation of the results, SRCF values represent average stress concentration times the thickness of homogenised section rather than ply level stresses.

It is evident from the graph that for all homogenised shear modulus values of the laminate, the SRCF decreases with the decrease in the ratio of $E_x/E_y$. In other words, reducing the stiffness in the direction of applied load brings about less stress resultant concentration around the edge of the hole. The graph also suggests, for uni-axial loading, introduction of angle plies in the stacking sequence leads to an increase in homogenised shear modulus bringing about the reduction of SRCF. One noticeable observation is the increase of SRCF for some shear moduli in the interval $E_x/E_y < 0.3$.

This is mainly due to the use of stacking sequences with a dominant number of plies in $90^\circ$ angle and no plies at $0^\circ$ angle ($0^\circ$ plies have fibres parallel to loading direction). For example, increase of SRCF from 2.99 to 3.46 is associated with $E_x/E_y = 0.16$ and $E_x/E_y = 0.08$ for $G_{xy} = 5.58$GPa pertinent to stacking sequences of $(90_{13}/0)_b$ and $(90)_{28}$, respectively. This phenomenon is associated with a shift in the position of the point with the highest SRCF around the edge of hole as demonstrated in Fig. 7. For all stacking sequences, maximum SRCF takes place at $90^\circ$ angle from the load direction; however, for laminates with a dominant number of $90^\circ$ and no $0^\circ$ plies, this angle becomes zero.
Such extremes of anisotropy are not common in engineering structures as they reduce performance in other aspects such as tolerance to impact.

The use of more angle plies increases the homogenised shear modulus of a composite laminate leading to less stiff laminates in the loading direction, i.e. smaller value of $E_s$.

In this study, the laminates having high homogenised shear modulus are generated by using solely angle plies with mechanical properties given in Table 3, i.e. $((15/-15)s)$, $((30/-30)s)$, $((45/-45)s)$, $((60/-60)s)$, $((75/-75)s)$. Such laminates do not possess any $0^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ plies and they represent only one point in the graphs of Fig. 6. Therefore, they are studied separately as shown in Fig. 8. This figure shows that minimum SRCF of 2.61 takes place for the situation where all plies have $60^\circ$ orientation, i.e. $((60/-60)s)$ for uni-axial loading. It is worth noting that this stacking sequence does not have the highest homogenised shear modulus. Thus, this observation suggests that, in the absence of $0^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ plies, the highest homogenised shear modulus, i.e. $((45/-45)s)$, does not necessarily give the lowest SRCF. Moreover, it is evident from the graph that as the plies angle increases from $15^\circ$ to $75^\circ$, the angle made between the direction of loading and the point with highest SRCF decreases from $90^\circ$ to $0^\circ$, respectively.

However, introduction of $0^\circ$ or $90^\circ$ plies enforces the laminate to have SRCF either at $0^\circ$ or $90^\circ$ depending on the number of plies used in those directions. Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 illustrate the quantity of SRCF for various values of $E_x/E_y$ for bi-axial loading of $\lambda =0.5$ and $\lambda =-0.5$, respectively. In all figures, $N_x$ is assumed to be always in tension (positive) and $N_y$ is either in compression (negative) or in tension depending on the sign of $\lambda$. The general trend, in both cases, suggests that increase in homogenised shear modulus of laminate ($G_{xy}$) leads to lower SRCF. However, for a given $G_{xy}$ and $\lambda =0.5$ (Fig. 9), the lower the ratio of $E_x/E_y$ the lower the SRCF becomes. When $\lambda$
= -0.5 (Fig. 10), the combined effect of tension and compression loading results in minimal SRCF at around $E_x / E_y = 0.5$ for most laminates.

As illustrated in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, in purely angle ply laminates, minimum SRCF of 1.90 and 2.71 occur for stacking sequences $((75/-75)s)$ and $((45/-45)s)$ at $\lambda = 0.5$ and $\lambda = -0.5$, respectively. As mentioned previously, $G_{xy}$ for stacking sequence $((75/-75)s)$ is 12.00 GPa as opposed to 31.23 GPa associated to $((45/-45)s)$. However, in this particular case, the minimum SRCF does not occur for the laminate with the highest $G_{xy}$. This could be due to the Poisson’s ratio effect. For instance, for $((75/-75)s)$ with $\lambda = 0.5$, $N_x$ produces tensile and compressive strains in $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively. On the contrary, $N_y$ produces tensile strain in $y$ and compressive strain in $x$ direction. Superimposing these strains and considering Poisson’s ratio of the laminate as $\nu_{ax}=0.09$ and $\nu_{xy}=0.85$ suggests relief in load intensity in $x$ direction leading to a lower SRCF compared to that of $((45/-45)s)$ and $\lambda = -0.5$. On the other hand, when stacking sequence is $((45/-45)s)$ and $\lambda = -0.5$, considering $\nu_{ax}=0.72$ and $\nu_{xy}=0.72$, tensile load in the $x$ direction brings about tensile strain in the $x$ and compressive strain in the $y$ direction whereas compressive load in $y$ direction causes the laminate to undergo compressive strain in the $y$ direction and tensile strain in the $x$ direction. This, along with equal Poisson’s ratio in both directions leads to intensification of load in the $x$ direction bringing about SRCF of 2.71. It is worth noting that the loading condition, i.e. bi-axial, has shifted the location of point with highest SRCF around the edge of the hole.

Fig. 13 and Fig. 14 illustrate the quantity of SRCF for various values of $E_x / E_y$ for bi-axial loading of $\lambda = -1.00$ and $\lambda = 1.00$, respectively. As depicted in Fig. 13, for $\lambda = -$
1.00, an apparent decrease of SRCF with increase of $G_{xy}$ is noticeable. For this case $E_x/E_y=1$ gives the lowest SRCF for all laminates and beyond this point SRCF increases. However, strange behaviour is observed for $\lambda=1.00$ as shown in Fig. 14. For this case, increase of $G_{xy}$ up to 16.55GPa is accompanied by reduction of SRCF. Despite increase of $G_{xy}$ from 19.29GPa to 27.51GPa, SRCF increases.

Fig. 15, Fig. 16 and Fig. 17 illustrate combined effects of loading ratio $\lambda$ and laminate stiffness ratio, i.e. $E_x/E_y$, on SRCF for three homogenised shear modulus values of $G_{xy}=5.58$GPa, $G_{xy}=16.58$GPa and $G_{xy}=23.86$GPa, that represent low, medium and high shear stiffness values within the laminates population space of study, respectively. Fig. 15a and Fig. 15b both show that the positive $\lambda$ generally yields lower SRCF with the minimum being 1.96 pertinent to $\lambda=1.5$. It is evident from the graph that for $\lambda<0$, SRCF becomes minimum at an $E_x/E_y$ ratio close to value of $|\lambda|$. For example, minimum SRCF for $\lambda=-2.0$, $\lambda=-1.5$ and $\lambda=-0.5$ takes place at $E_x/E_y=2.11, 1.63$ and 0.47, respectively. A similar trend can be observed in Fig. 16 and Fig. 17 as $G_{xy}$ increases from 5.58GPa to 16.58GPa and 23.86GPa. In most cases, the lowest SRCF for all shear moduli occurs when $\lambda=1.0$.

5 Conclusions

The analytical tool was used to visualise the effect of laminate anisotropy and loading direction on the SRCF around a circular hole in an infinite plate. This was achieved by creating a population of laminates and loadings that are representative of thin-walled panels used in the aerospace industry. It is expected that similar graphs can be used by designers of composite structures to optimise the laminate geometry in the regions of holes. This further enables efficient laminates without resorting to complicated mathematics or time-consuming FEA.
It was shown that composite laminates demonstrate a very complex behaviour towards loading, stacking sequence, i.e. resulting in homogenised stiffness, and SRCF. In the absence of purely angle ply laminates, it was demonstrated that increase in homogenised shear modulus of laminate leads to reduction in SRCF. However, this cannot hold true for angle ply laminates as the effects of Poisson ratio becomes predominant. Finally, it was illustrated that positive $\lambda$ yield the lowest SRCF compared to other types of loading investigated in this study.

Appendix A

A1 Mapping function

The conformal mapping function is used to map the infinite area external to the hole in a complex $Z$-plane, i.e. $z = x + iy$, onto the external area of a unit circle ($|\zeta| > 1$) in the $\zeta$-plane, i.e. $\zeta = \xi + i \eta$, or in the polar format as $\zeta = \rho e^{i \theta}$, as illustrated in Fig. A1. The mapping function that conformably maps an elliptical hole having major axis $a$ and minor axis $b$ onto a unit circle for an isotropic material is as follows:

$$ z = \omega(\zeta) = R \left( \zeta + \frac{m}{\zeta} \right) $$

$$ m = \frac{a - b}{a + b}; \quad R = \frac{a + b}{2} \quad (A1) $$

For anisotropic materials due to a fully populated compliance matrix, deformations go through affine transformation. Therefore, by incorporating complex values of anisotropy, i.e. replacing $i$ with $s_1$ and $s_2$, the mapping function becomes:

$$ z = \omega(\zeta) = R \left( \zeta + \frac{m}{\zeta} \right) = R \left[ (m + \rho^2) \cos \theta + s_j (\rho^2 - m) \sin \theta \right] \quad (A2) $$

After simplification and considering that $\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\xi + \rho}{\rho} \right)$ and $\sin \theta = \frac{-i}{2} \left( \frac{\xi - \rho}{\rho} \right)$

the above equation for anisotropic materials takes the form:
\[ z_j = \omega_j(\zeta) = \frac{R}{2} \left[ n_j \left( \frac{\rho^2}{\zeta} + \frac{m\zeta}{\rho^2} \right) + n_j^* \left( \frac{m}{\zeta} + \zeta \right) \right] \]

\[ n_j = 1 + is_j; \quad j = 1, 2 \]

\[ n_j^* = 1 - is_j \]

For the edge of the hole, i.e. \(|\zeta| = 1\), Eq. (A3) simplifies to:

\[ z_j = \omega_j(\zeta) = \frac{R}{2} \left[ n_j \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} + m\zeta \right) + n_j^* \left( \frac{m}{\zeta} + \zeta \right) \right] \] (A4)

**A2 Calculation of stress functions**

The existence of a discontinuity such as a hole in the plate alters the stress field in the plate. In order to obtain the stress function for such a problem and due to linear and elastic behaviour of the material, the principle of superposition is used. The problem is split into two stages as follows:

**A2.1 Stage 1**

At this stage, the plate without a hole subjected to remote external loading \( N^R_x, N^R_y \) and \( N^R_y \) is considered. The fictitious boundary conditions at the location of the hole are regarded as \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) as shown in Fig. A2.

Stress functions to be determined for this stage are \( \phi_1(z_1) \) and \( \psi_1(z_2) \) satisfying \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) boundary conditions. In order to obtain stress functions for this stage the following is assumed:

\[ \phi_1(z_1) = E \]

\[ \psi_1(z_2) = F + Gi \] (A5)

Therefore, we have:

\[ \phi_1(z_1) = Ez_1 \]

\[ \psi_1(z_2) = (F + Gi)z_2 \] (A6)
where $E$, $F$ and $G$ are real value constants that will be determined by substituting Eq. (A5) into Eq. (13):

$$
E = \frac{N_s + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2)N_y + 2\alpha_2N_{xy}}{2[(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)^2 - (\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)]}
$$

$$
F = \frac{(\alpha_1^2 - \beta_2^2 - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2)N_y - N_s - 2\alpha_2N_{xy}}{2[(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)^2 - (\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)]}
$$

$$
G = \frac{0.5N_{xy} + F\alpha_2 + E\alpha_1}{\beta_2}
$$

(A7)

The resultant of forces, i.e. boundary conditions, around the contour of fictitious hole in $\zeta$-plane is represented as:

$$
g_1 = 2\text{Re} [\phi_1(z_1) + \psi_1(z_2)]
$$

$$
g_2 = 2\text{Re} [s_1\phi_1(z_1) + s_2\psi_1(z_2)]
$$

(A8)

Therefore:

$$
g_1 = 2\text{Re} [Ez_1 + (F + Gi)z_2]
$$

$$
g_2 = 2\text{Re} [s_1Ez_1 + s_2(F + Gi)z_2]
$$

(A9)

A2.2 Stage 2

This stage considers the plate with the hole in the absence of remote loading. Stress functions to be determined for this stage are $\phi_2(z_1)$ and $\psi_2(z_2)$ satisfying some boundary conditions. In order to obtain the solution for which the total loads on the contour of the hole are zero, i.e. traction free boundary condition on which only tangential stresses exist and therefore shear and radial stresses vanish, it is essential for the boundary condition at the edge of the hole to be $g_1' = -g_1$ and $g_2' = -g_2$. In other words, boundary conditions are equal and opposite signs of stage 1, as shown in Fig. A2. Hence:

$$
g_1' = -2\text{Re} [Ez_1 + (F + Gi)z_2]
$$

$$
g_2' = -2\text{Re} [s_1Ez_1 + s_2(F + Gi)z_2]
$$

(A10)
By expanding the term and considering that \( r + \frac{m}{r} = \frac{1}{r} + m r \) for \( r = \zeta = e^{i \theta} \) we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
    g_1 &= \left( H_1 + \frac{1}{r} + m r \right) + \left( H_2 + \frac{m}{r} \right) \\
    g_2 &= \left( H_3 + \frac{1}{r} + m r \right) + \left( H_4 + \frac{m}{r} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  
(A11)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
    H_1 &= \frac{R}{2} \left[ En_1 + (F + iG)n_2 \right] \\
    H_2 &= \frac{R}{2} \left[ En_1 + (F + iG)n_2 \right] \\
    H_3 &= \frac{R}{2} \left[ s_1 En_1 + s_2 (F + iG)n_2 \right] \\
    H_4 &= \frac{R}{2} \left[ s_1 En_1 + s_2 (F + iG)n_2 \right]
\end{align*}
\]  
(A12)

Based on Sharma et al. 17, the Schwarz Integral Formula is used for calculation of stress functions in the absence of remote loading in conjunction with boundary conditions \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) as below:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \phi_2(\zeta) &= \frac{i}{4\pi(s_1 - s_2)} \oint_{|s|=1} \left( s_2 g_1 - g_2 \right) \frac{r + \zeta}{r - \zeta} \frac{dr}{r} + \lambda_1 \\
    \psi_2(\zeta) &= \frac{-i}{4\pi(s_1 - s_2)} \oint_{|s|=1} \left( s_1 g_1 - g_2 \right) \frac{r + \zeta}{r - \zeta} \frac{dr}{r} + \lambda_2
\end{align*}
\]  
(A13)

where \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are some imaginary constants that have no impact on stress field so can be ignored. \( \zeta \) is an arbitrary point of the plane of a complex variable. \( r = e^{i \theta} \) is the boundary value of \( \zeta \) on the circle of unit radius. Considering:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \oint_{|s|=1} \frac{1}{r} \frac{r + \zeta}{r - \zeta} \frac{dr}{r} &= 4\pi i, \\
    \oint_{|s|=1} \frac{1}{r} \frac{r + \zeta}{r - \zeta} \frac{dr}{r} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  
(A14)

Therefore, the stress function for the second stage in terms of \( \zeta \) becomes:
\[
\phi_2(\zeta) = \left[ \frac{l_1}{\zeta} + \frac{l_2 m}{\zeta} \right]
\]
\[
\psi_2(\zeta) = \left[ \frac{l_3}{\zeta} + \frac{l_4 m}{\zeta} \right]
\]  

(A15)

where:

\[
l_1 = \frac{1}{s_1 - s_2} \left[ s_1 (H_1 + H_2) - (H_3 + H_4) \right]
\]
\[
l_2 = \frac{1}{s_1 - s_2} \left[ s_2 (H_2 + H_1) - (H_4 + H_3) \right]
\]
\[
l_3 = \frac{1}{s_1 - s_2} \left[ s_3 (H_1 + H_3) - (H_4 + H_4) \right]
\]
\[
l_4 = \frac{1}{s_1 - s_2} \left[ s_4 (H_2 + H_3) - (H_4 + H_4) \right]
\]  

(A16)

A3 Final stress function

The final stress function of the problem is the summation of previously obtained stress functions as:

\[
\phi(z_i) = \phi_1(z_i) + \phi_2(z_i)
\]
\[
\psi(z_i) = \psi_1(z_i) + \psi_2(z_i)
\]  

(A17)

By combining Eqs (12), (A5), (A14) and (A16), the final resultant force components are calculated as;

\[
N_x = N^r_x + 2 \text{Re} \left[ s_1^2 \phi_1'(z_1) + s_2^2 \psi_1'(z_2) \right]
\]
\[
N_y = N^r_y + 2 \text{Re} \left[ \phi_2'(z_1) + \psi_2'(z_2) \right]
\]
\[
N_{xy} = N^r_{xy} - 2 \text{Re} \left[ s_1 \phi_1'(z_1) + s_2 \psi_2'(z_2) \right]
\]  

(A18)

where \( N^r_x \), \( N^r_y \) and \( N^r_{xy} \) are remote applied stress resultants in \( x \), \( y \) directions and \( xy \) plane, respectively. Considering \( \rho = 1 \) for the edge of the cut out, we have;
\[
\phi_2'(z_1) = \frac{\phi_2'(\zeta)}{dz_1}
\]
\[
\psi_2'(z_2) = \frac{\psi_2'(\zeta)}{dz_2}
\]
\[
\frac{dz_1}{d\zeta} = R \left[ n_j \left( \frac{m}{\rho^2} - \frac{\rho^2}{\zeta^2} \right) + n_j^* \left( 1 - \frac{m}{\zeta^2} \right) \right] \\
\frac{dz_2}{d\zeta} = R \left[ n_j \left( \frac{m - 1}{\zeta^2} \right) + n_j^* \left( 1 - \frac{m}{\zeta^2} \right) \right]
\]
(A19)

In order to transform stress resultants from Cartesian system to Curvilinear system, the following transformation is required:

\[
N_x + N_y = N_\theta + N_\rho
\]
\[
N_\theta - N_\rho, + 2iN_{\rho \theta} = (N_y - N_x + 2iN_{x,y})e^{2i\alpha}
\]

where \( N_\theta, N_\rho \) and \( N_{\rho \theta} \) are circumferential, radial and tangential stress resultants, respectively. The term \( e^{2i\alpha} \) is defined as:

\[
e^{2i\alpha} = \frac{\zeta^2}{\rho^2} \frac{\phi'(\zeta)}{\phi'\zeta}(\zeta)
\]

(A21)

Since no load is applied to the hole, only circumferential stresses can exist, i.e.

\[
N_{\rho \theta} = N_\rho = 0, \text{ therefore;}
\]

\[
N_x + N_y = N_\theta
\]

(A22)
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Table 1: CFRP material used for results generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$E_{11}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$E_{22}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$G_{12}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\nu_{12}$</th>
<th>$t$ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexcel 8552 AS4 unidirectional prepreg at 190gsm</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Material and lay-up used for FEA validation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Validation ID</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Lay-up/Loading</th>
<th>Hole shape</th>
<th>$E_{11}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$E_{22}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$G_{12}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\nu_{12}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Val-A</td>
<td>Hexcel 8552S AS4 3K Plain Weave Fabric</td>
<td>(45/0/-45/90/0)$_s$ / bi-axial</td>
<td>Circular (a=10 mm, b=10 mm)</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val-B</td>
<td>Hexcel 8552S AS4 3K Plain Weave Fabric</td>
<td>(45/0/-45/90/0)$_s$ / uni-axial</td>
<td>Elliptical (a=20 mm, b=10 mm)</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Mechanical properties of purely angle ply laminates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stacking sequence</th>
<th>$E_x$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$E_y$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$E_x/E_y$</th>
<th>$G_{xy}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\nu_{xy}$</th>
<th>$\nu_{yx}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((15/-15)$_r$)$_s$</td>
<td>99.04</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((30/-30)$_r$)$_s$</td>
<td>47.24</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>24.82</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((45/-45)$_r$)$_s$</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>31.23</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((60/-60)$_r$)$_s$</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>47.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>24.82</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((75/-75)$_r$)$_s$</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>99.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1: Schematic representation of geometry, loading and boundary condition for FEA
Fig. 2: Contour plot of stresses for an infinite composite plate containing a circular hole of radius 10mm under bi-axial loading (Val-A), i.e. $N_x=N_y=280\text{N/mm}$; (a) Normal stress in $x$-direction, (b) Normal stress in $y$-direction and (c) Shear stress in $xy$ plane.
Fig. 3: Contour plot of stresses for an infinite composite plate containing an elliptical hole of radius 10mm under uni-axial loading (Val-B), i.e. $N_x = 280\text{N/mm}$; (a) Normal stress in $x$-direction, (b) Normal stress in $y$-direction and (c) Shear stress in $xy$ plane.
Fig. 4: Comparison of stress resultant output at the edge of a circular hole for both analytical and FE approaches, i.e. Normal stress resultant in (a) x-direction and (b) y-direction and (c) shear in xy plane
Fig. 5: Comparison of stress resultant output at the edge of an elliptical hole for both analytical and FE approaches, i.e. Normal stress resultant in (a) $x$-direction and (b) $y$-direction and (c) shear in $xy$ plane.
Fig. 6: Semi-log plot of SRF vs $E_r/E_f$ for loading $\lambda=0$. Unit of stiffness is GPa
Fig. 7: Distribution of the SRF around the edge of the hole for uniaxial loading for $G_{xy}=5.58$GPa for stacking sequences of (90$_1$/0)$_s$ and (90)$_{2s}$ associated to $E_x/E_y$ of 0.16 and 0.08, respectively. The load is applied at $0^\circ$ direction.
Fig. 8: Plot of SRF $E_y/E_y$ for loading $\lambda=0$ and angle ply sequences only
Fig. 9: Semi-log plot of SRF vs $E_r/E_y$ for loading $\lambda=0.5$. Unit of stiffness is GPa.
Fig. 10: Semi-log plot of SRCF vs \( E_y/E_x \) for loading \( \lambda = -0.5 \). \( N_x \) is in tension and \( N_y \) is in compression. Unit of stiffness is GPa.
Fig. 11: Plot of SRCF vs $E_y/E_x$ for loading $\lambda=0.5$ for angle ply sequences only
Fig. 12: Plot of SRCF vs $E_x/E_y$ for loading $\lambda = -0.5$ for angle ply sequences only
Fig. 13: Semi-log plot of SRF vs $E/Y$ for loading $\lambda=-1.00$. Unit of stiffness is GPa
Fig. 14: Semi-log plot of SRF vs $E/y$ for loading $\lambda=1.00$. Unit of stiffness is GPa.
Fig. 15: Graphs of SRCF for various $E_x/E_y$ and load ratio $\lambda$ for laminates with $G_{xy}=5.58$GPa, (a) 3D surface graph and (b) semi-logarithmic 2D graph
Fig. 16: Graphs of SRCF for various $E_x/E_y$ and load ratio $\lambda$ for laminates with $G_{xy}=16.58\text{GPa}$, (a) 3D surface graph and (b) semi-logarithmic 2D graph.
Fig. 17: Graphs of SRF for various $E_x/E_y$ and load ratio $\lambda$ for laminates with $G_{xy}=23.86$GPa, (a) 3D surface graph and (b) semi-logarithmic 2D graph.
Fig. A1: Illustration of mapping a hole having an irregular shape in an infinite plate onto a unit circle using mapping functions.
Fig. A2: Use of principle of superposition for calculation of stress functions