

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:<https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/108639/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Karatzias, Thanos, Shevlin, Mark, Hyland, Philip, Brewin, Chris R., Cloitre, Marylene, Bradley, Aoife, Kitchiner, Neil J. , Jumbe, Sandra, Bisson, Jonathan I. and Roberts, Neil P. 2018. The role of negative cognitions, emotion regulation strategies, and attachment style in complex post-traumatic stress disorder: implications for new and existing therapies. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* 57 (2) , pp. 177-185. 10.1111/bjc.12172

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjc.12172>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



## **Title**

The role of negative cognitions, emotion regulation strategies and attachment style in Complex PTSD (CPTSD): Implications for new and existing therapies

## **Abstract**

**Objective** We set out to investigate the association between negative trauma-related cognitions, emotional regulation strategies, and attachment style and Complex Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (CPTSD). As the evidence regarding the treatment of CPTSD is emerging, investigating psychological factors that are associated with CPTSD can inform the adaptation or the development of effective interventions for CPTSD.

**Method** A cross sectional design was employed. Measures of CPTSD, negative trauma-related cognitions, emotion regulation strategies, and attachment style were completed by a British clinical sample of trauma-exposed patients ( $N = 171$ ). Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the predictive utility of these psychological factors on diagnosis of CPTSD as compared to PTSD.

**Results** It was found that the most important factor in the diagnosis of CPTSD was negative trauma-related cognitions about the self, followed by attachment anxiety, and expressive suppression.

**Conclusions** Targeting negative thoughts and attachment representations while promoting skills acquisition in emotional regulation hold promise in the treatment of CPTSD. Further research is required on the development of appropriate models to treat CPTSD that tackle skills deficit in these areas.

**Key Words:** Complex PTSD (CPTSD); negative cognitions; attachment; emotional regulation; treatment.

### **Practitioner points**

- Results suggest that cognitive behavioural interventions might be useful for the treatment of CPTSD.
- Targeting negative thoughts and attachment representations while promoting skills acquisition in emotional regulation hold promise in the treatment of CPTSD.

### **Limitations**

- Relatively small sample size of clinical nature
- Important mechanisms in trauma treatments such as exposure should be investigated in future research

## **Introduction**

The forthcoming 11<sup>th</sup> revision of the World Health Organization's International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11), proposes two distinct sibling conditions: Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and Complex PTSD (CPTSD). PTSD is comprised of three symptom clusters including (1) re-experiencing of the traumatic event in the here and now, (2) avoidance of traumatic reminders, and (3) a persistent sense of current threat. ICD-11 CPTSD includes the three PTSD clusters and three additional clusters that reflect 'disturbances in self-organization': (1) affective dysregulation, (2) negative self-concept, and (3) disturbances in relationships (Maercker et al., 2013). The proposed factor structure of ICD-11 CPTSD has been supported in recent research (e.g. Shevlin et al., 2017).

Meta-analyses have supported the efficacy of trauma-focused psychological treatments that target patients' memories of their traumatic events and the personal meanings of the trauma, such as individual Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavior Therapy (TF-CBT) and Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) therapy for the treatment of DSM-IV PTSD (e.g. Bisson, Roberts, Andrew, Cooper & Lewis, 2013). However, CPTSD as per ICD-11 proposals also includes disturbances in self-organization and the evidence regarding the efficacy of existing therapies for these symptoms is rather limited.

Recommendations for more complex presentations of PTSD have typically proposed the incorporation of interventions to directly address the additional symptoms. Empirical investigations have generally demonstrated the feasibility and effectiveness of these approaches. This includes investigations of common co-occurring presentations such as PTSD with Substance Use Disorder (Mills et al., 2012) and PTSD with Borderline Personality Disorder (Harned, Korslund, & Linehan, 2014). Treatment guidelines for CPTSD have specifically recommended a phase-based or sequenced approach in which interventions

focused on disturbances in self-organization and related problems in day to day functioning (e.g., improving safety, emotion regulation and social skills) are introduced first while those focused on explicit exploration of the trauma (e.g., exposure) are subsequently introduced (Cloitre et al., 2012). The rationale for this sequence is to prioritize improvement in functioning, which in turn is expected to facilitate effective use of trauma-focused work and there is some support for this approach (e.g., Cloitre et al., 2010). However, systematic investigation of the effects of ordering treatment components or interventions is lacking.

An important first step that can inform consideration of which problems are most salient and what interventions and sequence of interventions are most relevant, is to investigate the associations between psychological factors and CPTSD. Proven and established mechanisms in PTSD such as negative cognitions (Sripada, Rauch, & Liberzon, 2016) are beginning to be explored in CPTSD especially in conjunction with other psychological factors such as attachment style. CPTSD is associated with interpersonal trauma, particularly but not exclusively occurring in early life (Karatzias et al., 2017). Consequently, there has been significant attention regarding attachment and its relationship with traumatic stress (Murphy, Elklit, Hyland, & Shevlin, 2016). In addition, current conceptualizations suggest that traumatized individuals over-utilize relatively ineffective emotion regulation strategies such as expressive suppression, and under-utilize relatively effective emotion regulation strategies such as cognitive reappraisal (Boden et al., 2013).

In this study, we set out to investigate the predictive utility of negative cognitions, emotional regulation strategies, and attachment style on a diagnosis of ICD-11 CPTSD as compared to ICD-11 PTSD. These factors have never been investigated in association with traumatic stress using a dedicated measure of CPTSD and never in combination.

Investigating psychological factors that are associated with the disorder is essential, as this

information can potentially inform the adaptation or the development of effective interventions for CPTSD.

## **Methods**

*Participants and Procedures:* A cross sectional design was employed. Participants ( $n = 171$ ; 51.5% male) were recruited via the National Centre for Mental Health (<http://www.ncmh.info/>). Participants were recruited via primary and secondary mental health services, specialist veteran's services, a specialist civilian trauma service, and via social media. Participants were eligible for the study if they were aged 18 or older and they reported that they had previously been given a diagnosis of PTSD, or if they indicated exposure to a traumatic event and screened positively for PTSD based on the Trauma Screening Questionnaire (Brewin et al., 2002). Exclusion criteria included inability to read and write in English or disturbed mental state requiring recent admission to hospital or intensive home treatment. All participants reported exposure to a traumatic event fulfilling the gateway criterion for a diagnosis of PTSD and CPTSD under ICD-11. Participants ranged in age from 18 to 78 years ( $M = 49.85$ ,  $SD = 12.73$ ), were primarily Caucasian ( $n = 162$ , 95.9%), and unemployed ( $n = 113$ , 66.9%). Half of the cohort were married or co-habiting ( $n = 84$ , 49.7%), while the remainder were single ( $n = 35$ , 20.7%), divorced/separated ( $n = 43$ , 25.5%), or widowed ( $n = 7$ , 4.1%). A small proportion did not finish school ( $n = 12$ , 7.1%), whilst many had attended higher education ( $n = 80$ , 47.6%). This study received ethical approval from the United Kingdom's National Research Ethics Service.

## *Measures*

*Traumatic exposure:* A modified version of the Life Events Checklist for DSM-5 (LEC-5) (Weather et al., 2013) was used to assess lifetime exposure to traumatic events (with two additional items that assessed exposure to childhood physical abuse, and childhood

sexual abuse or molestation). Individuals were deemed to have been exposed to a trauma if they reported that an event ‘Happened to me’ or ‘Witnessed it happening to somebody else’.

*ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD*: The International Trauma Questionnaire (ITQ, version 1.2) (Cloitre, Roberts, Bisson, Brewin, 2017) is a *preliminary-stage* self-report measure of the ICD-11 diagnoses of PTSD and CPTSD. Six items measure three PTSD clusters and sixteen items measure the three DSO factors: All items are answered on a five-point Likert scale anchored by ‘Not at all’ (0) and ‘Extremely’ (4). There is evidence that the ITQ has good psychometric properties and can distinguish between PTSD and CPTSD from a number of recent studies (Karatzias et al, 2016).

*The Posttraumatic Cognitions Inventory* (PTCI; Foa, Ehlers, Clark, Tolin, Orsillo, 1999) contains 33 self-report items, which measure negative beliefs about the self (‘Self’: 21 items), the world (‘World’: 7 items), and self-blame (5 items). Items are scored on a seven-point Likert scale (1 = ‘totally disagree’, seven = ‘totally agree’), and higher scores reflect increasingly negative beliefs. The reliability of the Self ( $\alpha = .95$ ) and World ( $\alpha = .90$ ) subscales were good.

*The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire* (ERQ; Gross & John 2003) is a 10-item measure with two subscales evaluating perceptions of the use of cognitive reappraisal (6 items) and expressive suppression (4 items) to regulate emotions. These two factors have been shown to operate independently of each other. The ERQ has shown excellent psychometric properties (Ioannidis & Siegling, 2015).

*The Experience in Close Relationship Scale-Short Form* (ECR-S; Wei, Russell, Mallinckrodt, Vogel, 2007) is a 12-item measure of attachment. It has been thoroughly evaluated and validated in a series studies and is considered to have equivalent psychometric properties to the ECR (Wei et al., 2007).

### *Data analysis*

Descriptive analyses were performed using the Statistical package for Social Science (SPSS version 23.0) and logistic regression using Mplus 7.1. Means and standard deviations (SDs) were calculated for continuous variables and frequencies (%) for categorical variables. To explore simple group differences on the measures of interest, seven independent samples t-tests were undertaken. A Bonferroni correction was applied to control for the increased risk of a Type 1 error associated with multiple testing. An alpha level of .007 (.05/7) was used to determine statistical significance. Additionally, eta squared values ( $\eta^2$ ) were calculated to determine the magnitude of group differences and based on Cohen's (1988) guidelines values from .01-.05 indicate small differences, values from .06-.13 indicate moderate differences, and values of .14 and greater indicate large differences. Subsequently, a binary logistic regression analysis was conducted to determine the factors which uniquely predict the presence of a CPTSD diagnosis within a multivariate framework. The dependent variable was the diagnosis of CPTSD and the reference group was a diagnosis of PTSD (0 = PTSD, 1 = CPTSD). The following nine variables were used as predictors: gender, age, negative cognitions about self, negative cognitions about the world, self-blame, cognitive reappraisal, expressive suppression, attachment anxiety, and attachment avoidance. The model was estimated in Mplus using robust maximum likelihood estimation.

### **Results**

Demographic characteristics of the sample are presented in table 1.

**[Insert Table 1 about here]**

The mean number of types of traumatic life events experienced was 6.75 ( $Mdn = 6.00$ ,  $SD = 3.84$ ), and the most frequently experienced trauma was physical assault ( $n = 121$ , 73.7%).

The experience of physical and/or sexual abuse during childhood was common ( $n = 79$ ,



47.6%). The most distressing traumatic events identified were childhood sexual abuse or molestation ( $n = 26, 15.5\%$ ), and combat ( $n = 22, 13.1\%$ ).

Independent sample t-test results suggest that individuals with a CPTSD diagnosis scored significantly higher than individuals with PTSD for all PTCI subscales; *Negative cognitions about self* ( $t(146) = 9.25, p < .001, \eta^2 = .34$ ), *Negative cognitions about the world* ( $t(137) = 6.70, p < .001, \eta^2 = .21$ ) and *Self-Blame* ( $t(166) = 3.23, p = .001, \eta^2 = .05$ ). Furthermore individuals with CPTSD scored significantly higher on both Experiences in Close Relationships subscales; *Attachment anxiety* ( $t(169) = 4.21, p < .001, \eta^2 = .09$ ) and *Attachment avoidance* ( $t(169) = 3.95, p < .001, \eta^2 = .08$ ). Additionally, there was a difference between the groups in the use of emotion regulation strategies. Individuals with CPTSD scored significantly higher on the use of ERQ Expressive suppression strategies ( $t(169) = 4.30, p = .001, \eta^2 = .09$ ) and significantly lower on the ERQ *Cognitive reappraisal* strategies ( $t(169) = 3.30, p = .001, \eta^2 = .06$ ). Overall, results indicate that those with CPTSD present with more negative cognitions, attachment difficulties, increased use of expressive suppression emotion regulation strategies, and decreased use of cognitive reappraisal emotion regulation strategies.

**[Insert Table 2 here]**

Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the unique predictive utility of post-traumatic negative cognitions, emotion regulation strategies and attachment difficulties along with gender and age on the likelihood of presenting with a diagnosis of CPTSD as compared to PTSD. A test of the full model containing all predictor variables against a constant-only model was statistically significant ( $\chi^2(9, 171) = 86.43, p < .001$ ). This indicates that the model was able to distinguish between individuals who reported experiencing symptoms of CPTSD and those that reported experiencing symptoms of PTSD. The results

suggest that negative cognitions about self (OR = 2.43,  $p < .001$ ), attachment anxiety (OR = 1.45  $p < .05$ ), and the use of expressive suppression emotion regulation strategies (OR = 1.38,  $p < .05$ ) were all significantly related to a diagnosis of CPTSD.

**[Insert Table 3 here]**

## **Discussion**

In this study, we set out to investigate the association between negative trauma-related cognitions, emotional regulation strategies, and attachment style and a CPTSD diagnosis. The most important correlate of CPTSD was negative cognitions about the self, characterised by a generalised negative view about the self and one's trauma symptoms; followed by attachment anxiety which is defined as involving a fear of interpersonal rejection or abandonment and / or distress if one's partner is unresponsive or unavailable; and expressive suppression, conveyed by efforts to hide, inhibit, or reduce emotional expression.

In line with existing evidence on the treatment of PTSD (e.g. Bisson et al, 2013), our results would appear to support the usefulness of cognitive-behavioural interventions that promote the association between thoughts, feelings, and behaviours and enable people with CPTSD to modulate feelings by modifying thoughts and behaviours. However, it is unclear whether the cognitions of individuals with CPTSD are as amenable to such interventions as those without the condition. Negative self-concept is a central aspect of the CPTSD formulation and defined in terms of persistent beliefs about one's self as diminished, defeated or worthless, accompanied by deep and pervasive feelings of shame, guilt or failure (Maercker et al., 2013). Beliefs and schemas of this kind can make individuals very resistant to traditional cognitive-behavioural interventions and it has been argued that such patterns of thinking may be more responsive to compassion focused interventions (Gilbert & Irons, 2014).

Consistent with the findings of the present study, cognitive-behavioural approaches, also emphasise the role of skills training to address emotional regulation to enable successful processing of traumatic memories (Jackson, Nissenon, & Cloitre, 2009). Our results also suggest that attachment disorganisation is a contributing factor to a CPTSD diagnosis and call for existing and new therapies to incorporate developmentally informed strategies to reset attachment representations (Liotti, 2014). These may include interventions that foster the development of stable, positive attachment representations, increase organisation of coherence of mind, transform maladaptive interpersonal schemas through limited re-parenting, enable the development of capacity to mentalise, and reflect on mental states that promote increased control over internal experiences (e.g. Giesen-Bloo et al., 2006).

A number of limitations can be observed in the present study. Although the sample was typical of secondary and tertiary care clinical groups, the small sample size, the clinical nature of the sample and the cross – sectional nature of this research limit generalizability to the wider trauma population. Furthermore, some conceptual overlap between the scales can be observed. Negative beliefs and emotion dysregulation are also components of ICD-11 CPTSD whereas attachment insecurity can be implicit in interpersonal dysregulation of CPTSD (Ford, 2017). In addition, the measures of cognitions, emotion regulation and attachment employed in the present study are brief and do not necessarily capture the full spectrum of related difficulties (e.g. rejection or closeness, Van Dijke et al., 2015). With regard to further research in the area, there is a need to explore the role of trauma-processing through exposure strategies. Although there is evidence that exposure interventions can be beneficial for people with CPTSD (e.g. childhood psychological trauma; Cloitre et al., 2010), there is also evidence to suggest that exposure interventions can exacerbate traumatic stress symptoms for some patients (Foa, Zoellner, Feeny, Hembree, & Alvarez-Conrad, 2002). There is clearly a need for further research to explore the intensity, to whom, and when

exposure should be offered. There is also a need to understand the usefulness of attachment focused therapies, relational models as well as person centred therapy in people with CPTSD. Furthermore, there is some evidence that phased approaches to treatment improve retention and dropout rates (e.g. Cloitre et al., 2010), although some in the field have argued that such evidence is weak (De Jong et al. 2016). Further research is required to establish the usefulness of phased approaches as well as the usefulness of patient-centered approaches to CPTSD as there is emerging evidence that such approaches are associated with better outcomes, less dropout and decreased health care utilization (Bertakis & Azari, 2011).

## References

- Bertakis, K. D., & Azari, R. (2011). Patient-centered care is associated with decreased health care utilization. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 24(3), 229-239.
- Bisson, J.I., Roberts, N.P., Andrew, M., Cooper, R., Lewis, C. (2013). Psychological therapies for chronic post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD003388. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD003388.pub4.
- Boden, M.T., Westermann, S., McRae, K., Kuo, J., Alvarez, J., Kulkarni, M.R....Bonn-Miller, M.O. (2013).Emotion Regulation and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Prospective Investigation. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 32 (3), 296-314.
- Brewin, C. R., Rose, S., Andrews, B., Green, J., Tata, P., McEvedy, S.W., Foa, E.B. (2002). Brief screening instrument for post traumatic stress disorder. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 181, 158–162.
- Cloitre, M., Courtois, C. A., Ford, J. D., Green, B. L., Alexander, P., Briere, J.,... Van der Hart, O. (2012).The ISTSS expert consensus treatment guidelines for complex PTSD in adults. Retrieved from [https://www.istss.org/ISTSS\\_Main/media/Documents/ISTSS-Expert-Concesnus-Guidelines-for-Complex-PTSD-Updated-060315.pdf](https://www.istss.org/ISTSS_Main/media/Documents/ISTSS-Expert-Concesnus-Guidelines-for-Complex-PTSD-Updated-060315.pdf)
- Cloitre, M., Roberts, N.P., Bisson, J.I., Brewin, C.R. (2017). The International Trauma Questionnaire (ITQ). Unpublished Measure.
- Cloitre, M., Stovall-McClough, K.C., Noonan, K., Zorbas, P., Cherry, S., Jackson, C.L.... Petkova, E. (2010). Treatment for PTSD related to childhood abuse: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167, 915–924.
- Cohen, J. W. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- De Jongh, A., Resick, P.A., Zoellner, L.A., van Minnen, A., Lee, C.W., Monson, C.M....Bicanic, I. A. (2016).Critical analysis of the current treatment guidelines for complex PTSD in adults. *Depression and Anxiety*, 33(5), 359-69.
- Foa, E.B, Ehlers, A., Clark, D.M, Tolin, D.F., Orsillo, S.M. (1999). The Posttraumatic Cognitions Inventory (PTCI): Development and validation. *Psychology Assessment*, 11, 303–314.

- Foa, E.B., Zoellner, L.A., Feeny, N.C., Hembree, E.A., Alvarez-Conrad, J. (2002). Does imaginal exposure exacerbate PTSD symptoms? *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 70(4), 1022-1028.
- Ford, J. D. (2017). *Complex trauma and complex PTSD*. In J. Cook, S. Gold & C. Dalenberg (Eds.), *Handbook of trauma psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 322-349). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Giesen-Bloo, J., van Dyck, R., Spinhoven, P., van Tilburg, W., Dirksen, C., van Asselt, T. ...Arntz, A. (2006). Outpatient psychotherapy for borderline personality disorder: A randomized clinical trial of schema focused therapy versus transference focused psychotherapy. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 63(6), 649-658.
- Gilbert, P. and Irons, C. (2014). Compassion Focused Therapy (CFT). In W.Dryden and A. Reeves (Eds.) *Handbook of Individual Therapy* (Sixth Edition). Sage: London
- Gross, J.J., John, O.P. (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: implications for affect relationships, and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85, 348-362.
- Harned, M. S., Korslund, K. E., & Linehan, M. M. (2014). A pilot randomized controlled trial of Dialectical Behavior Therapy with and without the Dialectical Behavior Therapy Prolonged Exposure protocol for suicidal and self-injuring women with borderline personality disorder and PTSD. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 55, 7-17.
- Ioannidis, A. C., & Siegling, A. B. (2015). Criterion and incremental validity of the emotion regulation questionnaire. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 247.
- Jackson, C., Nissenon, K., Cloitre, M. (2009). Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy. In Courtois, C.A. & Ford, J.D. *Treating Complex Traumatic Stress Disorders. An Evidence-Based Guide*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Karatzias, T., Shevlin, M., Fyvie, C., Hyland, P., Efthymiadou, E., Wilson, D..... Cloitre, M. (2017). Evidence of distinct profiles of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and Complex Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (CPTSD) based on the new ICD-11 Trauma Questionnaire (ICD-TQ). *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 207,181-187.
- Liotti, G. (2004). Trauma, dissociation and disorganized attachment: Three strands of a single braid. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 41, 472-486.

- Maercker, A., Brewin, C.R., Bryant, R.A., Cloitre, M., Reed, G.M., van Ommeren, M., ...Saxena, S. (2013). Proposals for mental disorders specifically associated with stress in the ICD-11. *Lancet*, 381, 1683-5.
- Mills, K. L., Teesson, M., Back, S. E., Brady, K. T., Baker, A. L., Hopwood, S. ... & Ewer, P. L. (2012). Integrated exposure-based therapy for co-occurring posttraumatic stress disorder and substance dependence: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 308(7), 690-699.
- Murphy, S., Elklit, S., Hyland, P., Shevlin, M. (2016). Insecure Attachment Orientations and Posttraumatic Stress in a Female Treatment-Seeking Sample of Survivors of Childhood Sexual Abuse: A Cross-Lagged Panel Study. *Traumatology*, 22, 48 – 55.
- Shevlin, M., Hyland, P., Karatzias, T., Fyvie, C., Roberts, N., Bisson, J.I....Cloitre, M. (2017). Alternative models of disorders of traumatic stress based on the new ICD-11 proposals. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 135(5), 419-428.
- Sripada, R. K., Rauch, S. A. M., & Liberzon, I. (2016). Psychological Mechanisms of PTSD and Its Treatment. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 18(11), 99.
- Weathers, F.W., Litz, B.T., Keane, T.M., Palmieri, P.A., Marx, B.P., Schnurr, P.P. (2013).The PTSD checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). Instrument available from the National Center for PTSD at [www.ptsd.va.gov](http://www.ptsd.va.gov)
- Wei, M., Russell, D.W., Mallinckrodt, B., Vogel, D.L. (2007).The experiences in Close Relationship Scale (ECR)-Short Form: Reliability, validity, and factor structure. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 88,187-204.
- Van Dijke, A., Ford, J. D., Van Son, M., Van der Hart, O., Van der Heijden, P., & Bühring, M. (2015). Adult attachment and affect dysregulation in borderline personality disorder and somatoform disorder. *Borderline Personality Disorder and Emotion Regulation*, 2, 6. doi: 10.1186/s40479-015-0026-9.

**Table 1.** Comparison of Groups on Demographic Variables (N= 171)

<b>Variable</b>	<b>PTSD (N=86)</b>	<b>CPTSD (N= 85)</b>
<b>Age Mean (sd)</b>	49.58 (12.9)	50.12 (12.5)
<b>Gender (%)</b>		
<i>Male</i>	52.3	50.6
<i>Female</i>	47.7	49.4
<b>Ethnicity (%)</b>		
<i>White</i>	94.2	97.6
<i>Mixed</i>	2.3	2.4
<i>Asian</i>	2.3	
<i>Others</i>	1.2	
<b>Employment (%)</b>		
<i>Unemployed</i>	55.8	78.3
<i>Employed</i>	44.2	21.7
<b>Living arrangements (%)</b>		
<i>Married</i>	43.0	30.1
<i>Cohabiting</i>	14.0	12.0
<i>Single</i>	19.8	21.7
<i>Widowed</i>	2.3	6.0
<i>Divorced</i>	16.3	27.7
<i>Separated</i>	4.7	2.4
<b>Schooling (%)</b>		
<i>No qualifications</i>	3.5	10.8
<i>GCSEs or equivalent</i>	21.2	27.7
<i>A levels or equivalent</i>	12.9	9.6
<i>College certificate or</i>	23.5	22.9
<i>Degree</i>	20.0	13.3
<i>Higher University degree</i>	10.6	4.8
<i>Other</i>	8.2	10.8



**Table 2.** Group differences between individuals with symptoms of PTSD and CPTSD

<i>Variables</i>	<i>Group</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>η<sup>2</sup></i>
<b>Post-Traumatic Cognitions Scale (PTCI)</b>						
<i>Negative cognitions about self</i>	PTSD	86	3.75	1.27	-9.25*	.34
	CPTSD	85	5.32	.88		
<i>Negative cognitions about the world</i>	PTSD	86	4.87	1.34	-6.70*	.21
	CPTSD	85	6.04	.84		
<i>Self-Blame</i>	PTSD	86	3.08	1.50	-3.23*	.05
	CPTSD	85	3.87	1.67		
<b>Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ)</b>						
<i>Cognitive reappraisal</i>	PTSD	86	4.34	1.20	3.30*	.06
	CPTSD	85	3.71	1.29		
<i>Expressive suppression</i>	PTSD	86	4.00	1.31	-4.30*	.09
	CPTSD	85	4.90	1.43		
<b>Experiences in Close Relationships Scale-Short Form (ECR-S)</b>						
<i>Attachment anxiety</i>	PTSD	86	3.84	1.28	-4.21*	.09
	CPTSD	85	4.67	1.29		
<i>Attachment avoidance</i>	PTSD	86	4.13	.94	-3.95*	.08
	CPTSD	85	4.76	1.12		

Note. Statistical significance: \* $p < .001$ , \*\*  $p < .005$ , \*\*\* $p < .05$

**Table 3.** Binary logistic regression estimates predicting likelihood of reporting symptoms of Complex PTSD

<b>Predictors</b>	<b>Sig.</b>	<b>Odds ratios</b>	<b>[95.0% C.I]</b>
Gender	.88	1.06	[.45 2.48]
Age	.60	1.01	[.97 1.04]
PTCI Negative cognitions about self	.00	2.43	[1.33 4.46]
PTCI Negative cognitions about the world	.09	1.51	[.93 2.45]
PTCI Self-Blame	.85	1.02	[.78 1.34]
ERQ Cognitive reappraisal	.58	.89	[.60 1.32]
ERQ Expressive suppression	.04	1.38	[1.03 1.86]
ECR-S Attachment anxiety	.02	1.45	[1.02 2.06]
ECR-S Attachment avoidance	.38	1.22	[.79 1.92]