

Published by Cardiff University Press

Do Emotions Fit the Frame? A Critical Appraisal of Visual Framing Research Approaches

Antje Glück

The University of Leeds, School of Media and Communication Email: csag@leeds.ac.uk

Keywords Visual framing Emotions Journalism Television news



Abstract

With the rise of a visibly more emotional public sphere, this article asks if visual framing approaches can be enriched by the integration of emotive elements. Focusing on television news, I ask in what way emotions manifest within audio-visual material, and how these representations of emotions and emotive elements can be analyzed using visual framing analysis. This understanding is grounded in two recent turns: the turn to the visual and to the affective. Both turns provide the background for current framing understandings and visual framing approaches, and for a discussion of three empirical models of analysis and their varying potential to integrate emotive elements. I distinguish here between a holistic 'emotion frame', emotions as narrative structures, and emotion as frame element. I argue that emotions can be best conceptualized as a frame element; I discuss three practical realizations as well as to what extent they are helpful in analyzing emotions empirically in audio-visual news material.

Contributor Note

Antje Glück is a lecturer in journalism at Teesside University. She holds a PhD from the University of Leeds and a double MA in Journalism and Arabic Studies from the University of Leipzig in Germany. Her studies led her to spend time abroad in Egypt, Spain and France. Also, she has been working as a freelance journalist since 1998 for various print, radio and television media in Germany, France, Egypt and India. Her research interests include news journalism and journalistic practices, television, media ethics, cross-cultural comparative research, and de-westernization approaches. In her recently finished PhD thesis, she examined the role of emotions in journalistic work practices in India and the United Kingdom.

Citation

Glück, Antje. 2018. 'Do emotions fit the frame? A critical appraisal of visual framing research approaches'. *JOMEC Journal* 12, 101–127. https://doi.org/10.18573/jomec.166.

Accepted for publication 1st February 2018.



JOMEC JOURNAL Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies

Introduction

Since more than two decades, visual framing has become a valuable tool in analyzing media content and ideology 2013).¹ Its most (Brantner et al. challenging issue concerns the analysis of the moving image, which due to its methodological complexity remains underdeveloped. This approach İS especially relevant, as in the current media-saturated society, visuals have taken over as the dominant mode of communication.

What equally lack conceptual clarity are the emotive potentials of visual frames. Indeed, as visuals have the potential to provoke strong and immediate emotive audience reactions – and this applies more so to the *moving* images – this article will put its emphasis on understanding visual framing as being closely linked to emotionalizing elements and emotional engagement.

The link between visual framing, audiovisual material and emotions has been considered little S0 far from а methodological angle. Hence, I focus on the emotive aspects of visual framing and how they can be analyzed. For this, I choose the example of television news. To demonstrate the potentially emotive contents of visual framing in news, the article seeks out what emotionalizing elements emerge within television news and on which levels, how they are embedded within media frames², and how these elements can best be approached analytically.

Currently, media-savvy populists, such as US president Donald Trump, challenge mass media coverage considerably by drawing on a repertoire of complacent body language, polarizing statements, verbalized and clearly emotive expressions. This performance finds its way easily into television morning shows as well as evening newscasts, serving news values of conflicts and drama. In order to gain an understanding of how politicians and other actors are framed in journalistic news products, I argue that an analysis cannot stop at the verbalcognitive level. Rather, most notably rhetoric and visuals have gained in importance and hence need to be integrated into framing analysis. This also needs to include means and devices which can potentially arouse audiences emotionally.

In the following, I first outline why emotions should be included into visual framing research, and in what way this would be a productive endeavor. In a second step, I seek to open up a perspective onto visual framing of audiovisual contents by linking it to emotions in television news.

I start by providing an overview of what constitutes visual framing and emotions ('departure 1' and '2'), with a link to framing effects (audience frames). This is followed by a compilation and consideration of existing approaches towards 'emotional framing' in news journalism. Here, I will ask if emotions could be considered as frame elements



¹ Its popularity manifests in its institutionalization as independent subject with own divisions in professional media studies organizations, such as in the International Communication Association or the national German DGPuK with its section for Visual Communication (Barnhurst et al. 2004; Geise and Lobinger 2013; Matthes 2014a).

² Media frames are hereby understood as the overarching frames in news coverage.



rather than as complete or invisibleunderlying frames. This will help to develop a typology of emotions in media frames which can be useful in further theoretical and empirical frame analyses. This will be supported by the example of identifying emotive visual frames in television news.

But to begin with, I will outline the importance of the two decisive pathways (or turns) which provide the foundation for this argumentation presented in this article.

Departure 1: framing and the turn to the visual and emotional

This section will clarify the gaps in existing framing research approaches. I argue that framing analysis remains incomplete without considering specifics of both moving visuals and emotional engagement. I will first present a brief overview of the general framing concept. Then I will outline what the turn to the visual has contributed to framing. Similarly, I contextualize the affective turn and in what way it shapes framing of ideas. Here, I understand emotions as social means of communication and information. l argue that framing approaches widen need to their understanding of potential framing devices and elements in order to fully grasp the meaning construction of audio-visual products, such as television news.

Originally, the concept of framing was anchored in sociology and social activism (Gitlin 1980; Goffman 1974; Snow and Benford 1988; Snow et al. 1986; Tuchman 1978). Frames were initially understood as principles of organizing everyday experiences, a 'grammar of situations' (Matthes 2014a, 24). The adaptation of framing by media studies is characterized through an apparent lack of conceptual clarity, which reflects in disagreements about, for example, the nature of framing, its definition (Potthoff 2012), or what framing devices constitute or build a frame.³ This definitional fragmentation or – positively spoken – 'conceptual pluralism' (Marcinkowski 2014, 10) allows flexibility and, at the same time, arbitrariness in its application (Matthes 2014b).

Frame categorization can be approached in many ways. Common distinctions are generic versus theme-specific frames (Dahinden 2006; Reese et al. 2001; lyengar 1994 [1991]); episodic versus thematic (lyengar and Simon 1993), or equivalency versus emphasis (Potthoff 2014: 2012: Jecker Tverskv and Kahneman 1981). The most problematic distinction. however. poses the understanding of frames as rather cognitive constructs of audiences (audience frames, see Scheufele 1999) seen against media frames (or similarly textual frames). Reducing the consumption of, for instance, TV news largely on cognitive components leaves out the basics of perception which predispositions, integrates emotive affective and emotional responses, or memory. Though this article focuses on media frames, not audience frames, it is important to understand that media frames become influential in interaction with audience frames as parts of a broader discourse. Media frames orientate themselves audience on perception, as memory structures



³ According to different authors, framing is understood as theory, paradigm, approach or tool (Matthes 2014b; D'Angelo and Kuypers 2010; Potthoff 2012; Pan and Kosicki 1993).



resemble 'mentally stored clusters of ideas that guide individual's processing of information' (Entman 1993, 53). Hence, if audience frames are primarily understood as cognitive or 'schema' then, in consequence, this general cognitive bias might lead to a neglect of emotive emotionalizing frame or elements while conducting a framing analysis.

Here, I want to explore the value of visuals and emotions for the analysis of media frames. Media frames can be understood as textual frames used in news journalism and other communicative products. A text is seen as comprising verbal, written, auditive and visual elements. A media frame is basically an information composition, a structure of meaning, commonly created from the selection of external statements and supplemented with own statements (Potthoff and Kohring 2014, 30; Hertog and McLeod 2001). Some facts or images will be prioritized and emphasized ('emphasis approach'), thereby unconsciously promoting one particular interpretation of events' (Norris et al. 2003). This constructivist idea of creating particular versions or contextualization of 'problems' or 'reality' (Matthes 2007) surfaces also in the framing definition of Entman, up to today the most cited one:

To frame is to *select some aspects* of a perceived reality and make them salient more in а communicating text, in such a way as to promote a particular problem definition. causal interpretation. moral evaluation and/or treatment *recommendation* for the item described. (Entman 1993, 52. emphasis in the original)

These common frame characteristics are shared by many other scholars, such as the principles of selection and salience of information (e.g., Dahinden 2006; Matthes 2007; Scheufele 2003; for a comprehensive overview, see Potthoff 2012, 49–53).

However, little understandable is a longtolerated ignorance of the visual field and of visually communicated frames (Matthes 2014a), making it an issue of individual researcher interest. A similar criticism applies to emotive aspects. Early definitions (Gamson and Modigliani 1989; Snow and Benford 1988) treat frames as cognitive interpretative schemata. Despite setting a focus on social movements which draw highly on emotions as important resource for collective actions. they were little considered (Benford 1997).

The neglect of framing research of what I consider important frame constituents – visuals and emotive elements – got only challenged recently by two profound turns: the 'visual turn' and the 'affective turn'. The following section will outline what implications these two turns exercise onto framing.

'visual turn' lor Firstlv. the 'iconic/pictorial turn') surfaced in the 1990s with works from Mitchell (1994) and Boehm (1994) who asked 'What is a picture'. They pointed to increasingly 'ocularcentric' character of Western societies (Rose 2012, 4), where everyday becomes increasingly life imagedominated, up to the point of being saturated with visuals (Sturken and Cartwright 2009). Boehm and Mitchell understand the 'iconic/visual turn' in the continuation of the linguistic turn, as language itself is richly marked by figurative expressions and metaphors



@JOMECjournal



(Boehm 2007; Bachmann-Medick 2008). Together with the development of Visual Culture Studies, images were not anymore seen as just mirroring things, but achieving a position of 'dynamic structures of being visible, making visible, and visual signals' (Bachmann-Medick 2008, 12). Indeed, images are understood as socially and culturallydependent practices of perception. They are perceived as a powerful element in shaping a communicative understanding of society.

The turn to the visual is rooted not only in social developments, but also in having gained a deeper understanding of the intrinsic particularity and power of visual logics, and visual perception. Visuals differ from texts, as the sense of sight is preeminent as a source of information (Onians et al. 2011). The psychology of visual perception investigated this 'picture superiority effect' (Kobayashi 1986). In information processing, pictures dominate over textual elements and can considerably influence how people construct meaning. Visual content is perceived earlier – (pre-)consciously – and more concisely, creating an associative predisposition for later cognitive processes (Geise 2014: Marcinkowski 2014). Text communication, on the other hand, is structured by a hierarchical-sequential logic (Geise and Rössler 2012).

The associative logic of the image and immediacy of visual information processing allows for 'a more direct and emotional appeal', and, in consequence, this tends to persuade audiences faster (Paivio 1986; Geise 2011). Images suggest a form of sensual directness which helps in creating an 'eye witness' effect – and through this a higher credibility, although they nevertheless remain a subject to selection processes (Verschueren 2012). Visuals have a potential of guiding attention and emphasizing salience stronger than verbal information (Scheufele 2001).

The second major turn relevant for framing research is the recent 'affective turn' in Cultural Studies and Social Sciences (Clough and O'Malley Halley 2007; Athanasiou et al. 2009). It describes the shift in the relation between emotion and cognition. The 'rediscovery' of emotions as a serious research subject was supported by neuro-cognitive approaches (among them Damasio 1995; Panksepp 2004, 2012).

The understanding of what an emotion precisely is or how it differs from related meanings, such as 'affect', 'feeling' or 'mood', is highly contested and will be outlined only briefly in the following.

Different interpretations dominated at different times - an 'ongoing clash between competing ways of thinking about the emotions' (Leys 2017, n.p.). In psychology, Tomkins (1962) and Ekman (1978) dominated the discussion of emotions initially with their concept of nearly universal 'basic emotions' with universal face expressions, such as anger, sadness, or joy, However, this popular approach received criticism from scholars, such as Feldman Barrett (2017; Chen 2017), who argues in favor of a rather socio-cultural constructedness of emotions, pointing to the link between learning, emotion vocabulary, and emotion awareness. Feldman Barrett and Parkinson (2005) also argue that expressions emotional remain ambiguous as the same facial expression can describe different subjectively felt emotions.



JOMEC Journal Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies

Later sociological-philosophical conceptemphasize ualizations aspects of subjectivity, the body, and agency on cognitive and affective levels. While emotions are considered as conscious. affect is seen as subconscious and bodyrelated (Deleuze and Guattari 1987 [1980]: Massumi 2002: Ahmed 2004). Ahmed's (2004) centeredness on the human body and the value it receives through emotions, her understanding of emotions as cultural practices and materialized political power opened up new perspectives on thinking about the social value of emotions, away from psychological understandings of appraisal theories (e.g., Frijda 1986; Ortony et al. 1988), which consider emotions largely as a reaction of the mind towards cognitive evaluations along a distinct set of dimensions (valence. arousal).

However, these approaches also unwillingly retake the classical Western division between (cognitive) mind and (emotional) body, problematizes Leys (2011). This distinction goes back to the Classical Antiquity and Enlightenment, which assumed a rationalist bias for individuals devoid of passion and human emotions. Western philosophical thinking regarded passions mostly as disruptive to this order, even as 'dangerous' for the functioning of democratic systems (Heidenreich and Schaal 2012; Bon 2006 [1896]). In consequence, they were banned to the 'private' and bodily realm.

But, physiological, sociological and philosophical approaches demonstrated a close relationship between body, cognitions, emotions, perception, and memory (von Scheve 2009). Firstly, the discovery of 'mirror neurons' emancipated emotions on a physiological level. Observing and 'mirroring' someone else's actions builds universal emotional engagement and empathy in apes and humans. This is a precondition for the formation of higher social (Mukamel structures et al. 2010: Shamay-Tsoory et al. 2009; Oberman and Ramachandran 2009; Onians et al. 2011). Secondly, new insights about the different modes of information processing locate the role of emotions clearer. 'System 1' or fast thinking, with quick automatic reactions strongly influenced natural drives by and emotions, is intertwined with 'System 2' or slow thinking which includes logical and conscious considerations and decisions based on rational thinking (Kahneman 2012). The fast thinking mode appears selective in perceptions of reality, and it can entail an 'emotional framing' of issues (367). Thirdly. psychologists and philosophers suggest that emotions form a direct though often subconscious base for decisions and iudgments (Slovic et al. 2002) and that without emotions. moral decisionmaking becomes impossible (Jeffery 2014].4

In order to contribute to framing analysis, this paper understands emotions and related facial expressions as means and base of social communication (Averill 1980: Averill 2012: Parkinson 2005). This social orientation reflects in media coverage. Actual and mediated emotions of become not only part the communication repertoire of social actors, but also form a means of both

⁴ Slovic' 'affective heuristics' follows Kahnemann's and Tversky's (1981) 'prospect theory', which sees judgments and decision-making not based on 'maximized utility' or a rational 'homo economicus', but instead involving an emotive component, manifest in, for instance, gain and loss frames.



information and performance which journalists deploy in news production – hence, a framing device.

From the above overview, it can be suggested that the double emancipation of the visual and the affects is interlinked. Appearing at roughly the same time, their relevance consists in providing the way to a shift of attention towards non-cognitive concepts.

After having clarified how framing, iconic/visual turn and affective turn relate to each other, I will now go more in-depth with the concepts of visual framing, emotional representation, and emotional arousal.

Departure 2: visual framing

This section first analyses some of the challenges of visual framing research, before then presenting how interdependent media and audience frames are. Drawing on a variety of experimental results from media effects research, I will give examples how different media frames can indeed lead differences in motivation, action to tendencies, attitudes, and emotions, In emotionally charged short. media messages have the power to influence how audiences think, feel and act. f

The affective capacity of visuals especially gains relevance with television news as means of informing broader audiences with the aim of supporting a deliberate public sphere in societies. Media frames cannot be adequately understood without incorporating their emotive contents and messages, and their effect on audiences. On television, any kind of news item can potentially emotionalizing contain statements, catchphrases, topics, or symbolic or visual elements which engage and arouse individual viewers. Even hard news with a higher claim for objectivity and neutrality is no exception to this (Uribe and Gunter 2004, 2007), as news events, such as the attack on former US president Kennedy in 1963 or the reporting about the terrorist attack in London 2005 demonstrate.

But researching visual frames and their emotive potentials poses empirical challenges for three main reasons. First, visual framing research needs to integrate the specific logic of visual communication (Geise and Baden 2013). The previous cognitive bias and the focus on theories of schemata and script (Hamill and Lodge 1986; Scheufele 1999) do not allow to grasp the complexity of framing concept. the Visual logic comprises the inherently polysemic character of images, which leaves room for varied interpretations (Herbers and Volpers 2013). Hence. meaning attribution becomes dependent on external concepts - texts which need to be ascribed to an image to achieve a 'correct' interpretation. Second, images are embedded in situative, temporal, spatial, individual and social contexts which creates an obstacle for an intersubjective perception (Geise and Rössler 2012). Third, the emotive potential is bound to subjective perceptions of the individual. Framing devices can. therefore. maximum, at carry а potentially emotionalizing character.

Linking it to Entman's idea of salience, we can understand visual framing as selection and accentuation of certain visual aspects of a perceived reality in a communicative context (Scheufele 2001). A visual media frame can usually be recognized as a group of images with a





similar pattern of certain elements (Herbers and Volpers 2013, 85). This also includes patterns of structuring and interpretation, as well as treatment recommendations through visual means, which via core stimuli become more accessible the perceptive to interpretation frames of the audience 2010. 237; Geise (Coleman 2014: Marcinkowski 2014). In other words, visual framing 'refers to the salient imagery frameworks used to construct meaning' (Rodgers et al. 2007, 121). This salience can be created by visual framing devices. which as communicative information can raise attention and affection (Pan and Kosicki 1993). Examples of framing devices are metaphors, catchphrases, visual images (Gamson and Modigliani 1989), or moral claims. Visual images can also be strongly iconic, which adds affect and emotion to messages (Verschueren 2012).

Despite some theoretical conceptualization, empirical evidence for visual framing of television material remains scarce. Only a few studies were able to sufficiently sophisticated develop a research design. Most visual framing studies of the past 20 years restricted their interests at the press and still images despite some refinements (see Matthes 2009, e.g. Bulla and Borah 2006: Fahmy 2004; Fahmy and Kim 2008), or solely the written script of TV news (Müller and Griffin 2012). However, verbal text, sound and visuals have to be analyzed together (Matthes 2014a). There is also a lack of comparative research between culturally different visual representations (Müller and Griffin 2012). Despite recent calls and practices for emotion research in the news (Cho et al. 2003: Döveling 2005; Pantti and Husslage 2009; Wahl-Jorgensen 2016; Beckett and Deuze 2016), many studies also remain text-based or photo-based.

As mentioned, emotive elements in the audio-visual realm need to be thought along the media effects perspective. Here, audio-visual emotive information can act as a key stimulus for information processing. But audiences are no passive information (and emotion) receivers. Rather, TV news consumers are 'active, emotionally engaged. and socially networked' (Jenkins 2006, 20). Their 'frames in mind' (or thought) meet 'frames in communication' (or media frames) (Chong and Druckman 2007). Audience frames operate on the assumption that 'perception is reference dependent' (Kahneman 2003, 459), or, 'how we interpret information differs depending on how that information is contextualized or framed' (Scheufele 2011. 4).

There is by now an impressive body of evidence on the theoretical and empirical level proving that affects do influence attention, memory, thinking, associations and judgments (e.g., Forgas 1999), that media frames lead to the arousal of emotions, and that affective framing effects on the audience agenda are stronger than cognitive ones under certain conditions (McCombs et al. 1997). News frames are not only characterized by cognitive complexity, but also through valence and value-based judgments (Keum et al. 2006; De Vreese and Boomgaarden 2003). An emotional response depends on how an issue is presented. and these emotional responses mediate the framing effect on opinions.

For example, Masters and Sullivan (1993) found that the judgment of news commentators influenced the emotional



JOMEC Journal Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies

reaction of audience members towards political leaders. Gross (2008)demonstrated that different framing versions (episodic versus thematic) can stimulate anger or compassion which influences attitude formation in policy opinion, while Kim and Niederdeppe (2014) discovered that anger framing of tobacco advertisements appeared to audience empathy, increase while sadness framing did not. Hence, emotional framing does influence audience effects.

Neuro-cognitive approaches explain this theoretically through information storage and retrieval, accessibility theory, or priming. Forgas and Bower strongly emphasize the affective dimension. They argue that how we see the world and how we select, store and retrieve information is strongly determined by affects linked to cognition as 'the arousal of an affective state spreads activation throughout a network of cognitive associations linked to that emotion' (Forgas 1999, 591). Emotions as frame element can lead to cognitive appraisal patterns which might evoke fear or anger ('cognition-to-emotion'), and this frame adoption will influence the subsequent message processing. In consequence, this results in behavior or attitudes congruent to the experienced emotion ('emotion-to-cognition', Kühne 2013).⁵

On the physiological level, Bower (1981) identified that the activation of an node also emotion activates а corresponding memory structure so that people remember specific events in their life connected to this emotional arousal. Subsequently, ideas which correspond to the current mood of a person are easier ('mood congruency', Forgas evoked 2000). Applied to TV news, if news frames pair certain cognitive ideas repeatedly with a distinct set of emotions, these combinations become easier accessible in the brain, and this shapes the way in which one understands, judges - and feels not just politics, but the world (Nabi 2003). Individuals do not consider all in information present when making a decision, but only the most accessible (accessibility theory for information -Kühne et al. 2011, 2012, 2014, 2012). Moreover, it can be assumed that certain issues or attributes are made more salient and therefore more likely to be accessed in order to form opinions (Weaver 2007). Selecting information which corresponds closest to one's own emotional state is named 'affective priming' (Forgas 1995).

To summarize this section, as we have seen, the empirical translation of audiovisual framing research requires further development. Based on the presented theoretical considerations, I will now turn to the existing approaches of visual framing analysis and suggest different ways of how emotive elements can be integrated.

3. Towards an integration of emotions into visual framing approaches

Frames can influence how 'objective' or 'sensationalist' a news piece comes across (Hertog and McLeod 2001). The



⁵ For example, anger is a negative emotion which is evoked when an individual is kept from reaching his goals, but is powerful enough to punish the person to blame (Tiedens and Linton, 2001). According to appraisal theories, anger therefore comes up when an issue is negative but controllable, with a high probability to happen, and the responsibility carried by an identifiable actor (Kühne 2013, 12). This can lead to the behaviour motivation of punishing the guilty person.



question now is how affective-emotional components in audio-visual contents can be most suitably thought of, and where we can empirically place emotions within framing approaches.

Here, several options open up: should emotions be best considered as an own discrete frame: do they constitute maybe an entire frame element or framing device? Or are they rather 'invisible', underlying a frame? These three different theorizations of emotions in frames will be discussed in the following, bearing in mind that emotional frames and framing effects can occur in both - the media and the audience. 'Once evoked, emotions dominate people's perspectives and drive subsequent cognitive efforts, including message processing and decision making' (Nabi 2003. 242).

In order to illustrate the more theoretical arguments presented, the empirical evidence and examples for this section are taken from general media coverage as well as a research project of which the author herself was part.⁶

'Emotion frames' as unified structure

The first possibility for analytically establishing frames in news programs consists in coding a distinct emotion frame. The frame does not contain emotive elements besides others but appears as a unified structure containing one single displayed or narrated emotion. This idea follows in some part Nabi's suggestion of 'emotional themes' (Nabi 2003, 242), which in some cases become so central that they become an independent frame.

Three suggestions have been made on how to identify it: through its inherent narrative, along with psychological dimensions, and along an ascribed emotion. These possibilities will be discussed in the following.

The term 'emotional framing' was first used by Corcoran (2006). His interest was to integrate emotions directly into the framing concept. This well-meant attempt does not convince on the theoretical side, as Corcoran failed to provide a definition of his 'emotional frame' and how it would relate to 'framing devices'. Empirically led, he distinguished between two types of framing devices – or rather narrative structures: attributed and accredited emotional frames. Attributed frames public relate to the shaming of prominent persons by the media, while accredited emotional frames describe win or loss in sports. The first frame contains little, and the second contains a psychological credibility. However, his conceptualization remains of rather narrow usefulness, as frame contents are situation-specific too and only perpetuate the 'descriptive bias' pointed out before by Benford (1997), without ascending towards a more general level.

Corcoran's understanding of frames as journalistic work practices involves journalistic means, such as identification, intensification, simplification, simple narrative structure and comfortability in news reporting. However, the relation between emotions and frames remains unclear. At best, it is seen pragmatically as a 'hook' for audience attention to easily resonate with an emotional theme.



⁶ Conducted between 2008 and 2010 at the Free University of Berlin, this project focused on the emotive representation of terrorism in TV news from a sociological and cross-national comparative perspective.

JOMEC JOURNAL Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies

Contrary to Corcoran, Rodgers et al. (2007) follow a very general approach. They defined distinctive 'emotionality frames' in press photography coverage. The measurement of these frames was oriented on the three-fold PAD scale (pleasure, arousal, dominance) from psychology. Subsequently, they found that in the emotional portrayal of ethnic groups visual stereotyping occurred. For example, African Americans were frequently depicted as happy and excited, while Asian Americans came across as rather sad. Though related to framing approaches, Rodgers et al. rather focused on identifying the presence or absence of an emotion in still photography, and what general type of emotion can be recognized.

Similarly, on a very general level, operate Borah and Bullah (2006) with their 'emotional frame'. Researching newspaper coverage of the Indian Ocean Tsunami, they identified generic frames on different levels of abstraction, like 'lives saved', 'political frame' - and the 'emotional frame'. Here also, their approach rather descriptively describes if or not an emotion is expressed, and what type of - be it a frame of sadness or grief (people in misery) (Borah and Bulla 2005). This idea also recurs in a more hidden form in Semetko and Valkenburg (2000) well-known distinction of five generic frames as 'human interest frame'. Giving a (human) face to a story, with private and personal elements of the actors involved, appears as an emotional angle to an issue's presentation.

This generic appearance of (emotional) frames was understood by scholars as 'structural and inherent to the conventions of journalism' (De Vreese 2005, 55).

Against these classifications stand more specified single-emotion frames. Those relate to identifying one distinct emotion, such as guilt, suffering, or anger, combined with a specific valence and level of arousal (e.g., Fahmy 2010; Grabe and Bucy 2009; Ibrahim 2010). As with the previous criticism, this approach remains rather descriptive in identifying markers for a single emotion.

All the presented approaches mark the qualitative presence of emotions or emotive elements in news stories, but they mostly do not give a methodology or indicators on how to identify emotions empirically.

The indirect/underlying emotions in frames

The second approach regards emotions neither as a total defining feature of a frame nor as a single frame element only, again retaking Nabi (2003) who sees emotions as frame elements, as for Entman, different emotions can make some parts of a message more salient than others. Certain information is selected which will affect the subsequent emotional experience and perception of the audience. Hence, emotions are underlying features of framing and frames and are mostly embedded in the narratives without necessarily clearly identified as such. We can label this as emotions within a 'holistic frame'.

Stenvall (2014) investigated qualitatively how journalists describe emotional states and behavior of story protagonists. She classified attributed and nonattributed affects where journalists either





write about observed, interpreted or selfexperienced affects. Zink et al. (2012) quantitative chose а strategy in sentiment text mining, aiming to capture the emotional spectrum or, 'affective framing' (29) of the financial crisis 2008/9 in a news magazine's emotion discourse, while a more qualitative semantic-associative analysis examined text inherent affective lexica.⁷ However, this helps little for *visual* framing, as emotions are identified purely on the written lexical level. Stenvall's and Zink's et al. framing approaches appear maybe at first glance productive; however, they remain of little use to visual framing analysis.

One more promising path sees emotions as evoked by a variety of textual devices. They are highly culture-specific, such as metaphors. Myths mvths and can affective activate strong reactions. Together with metaphors and narratives, they contain morals, ideals, stories and definitions deeply embedded in a distinctive culture (Hertog and McLeod 2001, 141). Nelson et al. (1997, 568) add rhetorical devices to this like catchphrases, guotes, caricatures, and visuals.

The second and broader path considers emotions closely linked to narrative structures (Kim and Niederdeppe 2014; Kleres 2011; Kühne and Schemer 2014) or as 'narrative elements' (Hertog and McLeod 2001). Narrativity cannot be understood through cognition alone. Indeed, the linguistic narrative structure of texts favors emotional effects, as 'narratives essentially are emotionally structured' (Kleres 2011, 188), This means that the emotions and texts can structurally overlap. For Goldie, an emotion is 'structured in that it constitutes part of a narrative - roughly. an unfolding sequence of actions and events, thoughts and feelings - in which the emotion itself is embedded' (Goldie 2002, 13). This specific structure may subsequently elicit a distinct emotional reaction with audience members. This was confirmed experimentally by Kühne and Schemer (2014) who showed that although emotions are not always clearly visible within a text, the narrative structure of a frame can be established in a way that allows to expect emotional reactions of audiences with a high probability, based on the Appraisal Tendency Framework (ATF; Kühne 2013, 14). According to the ATF, certain frame structures, such as providing a clear agency or not, lead to the activation of certain patterns of cognitive appraisals. For example, if an event is evaluated negatively, such as a terror attack, and there is a clear responsibility attributable to an actor – the clearly identifiable perpetrator of the attack – the resulting emotion will highly likely be anger. If the perpetrator and its motives, however, remain anonymous - if there is no responsible actor identifiable - the emotion resulting from this will rather be sadness.

Related to this idea of frames, which can emotionalize audiences, is the triggering of emotions in cases where the *central organizing idea* (Gamson and Modigliani, 1987) of an article corresponds with the *core relational theme* (Lazarus 1991) of a specific emotion (Kühne 2013, 10).

Although Kühne et al. did not focus on visual components, their idea can be



⁷ This comprises words with discrete emotions or affective connotations, metaphors, stylistics. It can be identified as normative-moral evaluations or attribution of responsibility. Emotions were classified according to the dichotomies reference to self/other and positive/negative.



translated to audio-visual storytelling. And though 'ideal types of frame constellations' (Kühne 2013, 12) can be defined for a variety of emotions, such as anger, sadness, hope or pride, media coverage actually displays a mix.⁸ Empirically helpful appears Kleres (2011) identification of dimensions indicating emotions in narratives, among them through structures (different archetypes of stories, such as victim, hero, scapegoat etc., see Lule 2001), agency and further linguistic manifestations of emotions on the lexical and the syntactical level, and in prosody.

To summarize, narratives are closely linked to emotive framing structures. However, they do not directly relate to Entman's frame elements in all aspects. Though the anger and sadness frames described above comprise aspects of his (problem) framing definition recommendation, causal attribution (or interpretation), and moral evaluation), other aspects, such as salience or treatment recommendations, remain less prominent, and less emotive aspects may become marginalized, especially ideology issues of and content. Establishing an emotion frame alone does not answer these questions.

Emotions as elements of frames

If it comes to developing a theoreticalempirical conceptualization of *audiovisual* research, the research presented above suggested different ways of linking the visual and emotions to frame analyses. However, as a major gap surfaces the integration of visual components. As scholars mostly chose press and press photography (iconographic approaches) for framing analysis, audio-visual material or moving images and corresponding empirical specifics were not touched upon.

The audio-visual unites a diverse array of verbal and written text, image and sound. These elements are intertwined manifold, they cannot be analyzed separately, to be 'combined' in the end. And neither general 'emotional frames' or emotive narratives allow sufficient empirical differentiation. Therefore, for an analysis another method is required which incorporates multimodal approaches.

Therefore, I would like to suggest three main approaches, focusing explicitly on visual framing, and examining their suitability for incorporating emotive elements. These approaches stem from Geise and Rössler, Rodriguez and Dimitrova, and Jecker. What unites them is an integrative stance towards visual framing analysis, though rationales and empirical realizations differ. Although emotions are not part of them, I will suggest how they may find space by drawing on illustrative general examples supported by a study about terrorism, media, and emotions (Gerhards et al. 2011).

If Entman's framing definition serves as a theoretical orientation for empirically analyzing emotions in frames, then, first of all, we need to look where exactly we can locate emotions. Apart from the link between emotions and narrative structures analyzed in the previous section, two further options seem productive. First, emotions are connected to moral evaluations as one of Entman's



⁸ How deeply narrative structures are linked to emotions was also demonstrated by Kim and Niederdeppe (2014) who examined anti-tobacco advertisements. While a sadness frame tells stories of loss, an anger frame narrates stories of fighting back against the tobacco industry.



core frame elements. Second, visual salience can inform us from a neurocognitive angle that formal message features enhance an easier information access.

To start with, moral evaluations and moral deliberation can be understood as emotionally driven (Jefferv 2014). Evaluative processes contain judgments which are based on cognitive-emotional processes. This idea counters predominant assumptions about seeing moral judgments solely based on rational reasoning. Indeed, emotions can be understood as part of influencing decision-making and driving motivations and actions. Visual key symbols or metaphors can potentially trigger an emotional reaction, leading viewers to expose an emotionally activating (or nonactivating!) valence evaluation (Geise and Baden 2013).

Moral evaluations and moral ethics play a key role through their visual display, for instance, in social movements and frames of injustice. A memorable example is the murder of Khaled Said by the police in pre-Arab Spring Cairo. Here, the once private images reach a public level by turning them into a symbol with claim of universality and nonа uniqueness. The character of a still image 'bypasses the in-built rationality of language to directly impact the viewer's moral senses' (Olesen 2013, 9), creating 'moral shocks' in audiences who again producers as social activists. turn Another example is the presentation of terror victims in television news through everyday life pictures. This 'emotional communication' intertwined with bodily suffering directly create a powerful symbolic potential, with the visual as a reinforcing and amplifying device for an already existent (injustice) frame (ibid.; Sontag 1979).

Apart from moral evaluation, Entman's framing definition also allows to locate emotions in 'salience'. The basic idea is that if certain visual patterns are presented more prominently and repeated frequently, certain ideas of a frame are made more salient and easier accessible in the brain (Geise et al. 2013). In order to measure visual salience, it has been suggested to form an index of attention (space of a topic in a media outlet), prominence (positioning of a topic) and valence (affective and emotional elements of the message, see Kiousis 2004). The valence dimension relates to 'visuals as stylistic-semiotic systems' (Rodriguez and Dimitrova 2011, 48), such as close-ups and close shots which are usually correlated with more intimacv between protagonist and viewer.⁹

How and on what levels both moral evaluations and salience of emotions in frames can be analyzed will be explained in the following. I will outline three main approaches to visual framing.

The most recent systematic refinement of audio-visual framing analysis stems from Jecker (2014). She also engages with emotive aspects as she includes explicit emotional representation on the visual level as an independent content category (though it remains limited to facial expressions). Besides this, moral evaluations equally indicate strong potential framing effects, hinting to an implicit form of emotionalization.



⁹ Based on E. T. Halls (1966) theory of social distance, the distance of the camera captures varying degrees of intimacy.



Contrary to other visual framing scholars, Jecker addresses the relationship between visual and text as audio-visuals embedded in texts. For her, frames are mainly constituted on the textual level (112–3). Similarly, Rössler (2014) points to the essentially decontextualized character of images, which necessarily need to be complemented by a textual frame.

In the content analytical system, Jecker distinguishes between content categories relating to the verbal level, and to the salience established through audio and visual elements. These levels relate to the four of Entman's framing elements which are used by Jecker although at the same time she criticizes Entman's unclear operationalization¹⁰ of his framing elements. Jecker adds a focus on actors in problem description, causal attribution, problem intervention, and moral evaluation. The audio level compromises a variety of music and sounds (e.g., explosions), and visuals consist of image composition, camera perspective, and operation, editing, color, light, and inserts. Of minor relevance are image topic, text-image-relation, and, finally, the (explicit) display of emotions. Altogether, emotions appear 'in between the lines', on all levels. Though Jecker's suggestion is relevant, framing analysis relies also on visual topics and more latent emotive meanings. This might be better addressed by two other models.

Contrary to Jecker, Rodriguez and Dimitrova (2011) as well as Geise and Rössler (2012) started with from stills in newspapers, but their conceptualizations are applicable to audio-visuals. These distinguish different scholars also analysis levels with increasing degrees of abstraction. I will mostly focus on Rodriguez and Dimitrova. as thev developed a model which is not only comprehensive but also empirically good to translate into research practice.

(2011)Rodriguez and Dimitrova distinguish four layers of visual framing. Emotions act here as a by-element, and they can be identified on all four levels. On the denotative level, an emotion can be the representation of a simple facially expressed emotion or verbalized emotion statement by news subjects. An example for this is people on the street visibly in tears. Though emotional arousal and valence are recognizable, the image alone does not make clear which emotion exactly is displayed. Hence, the contextual framing matters - within terror attacks or disasters it can be identified as grief, setting scenes as generic 'emotional' frames. For viewers, this emotive display can be potentially emotionalizing, which works through processes of mirror neurons and emotional contagion.

The second level regards visuals as stylistic-semiotic system, with gaze, camera position, and other elements adding up to create a certain feeling about a represented object with the viewer, with different degrees and effects of visual proximity and perceived intimacy (Grabe et al. 2001; Graber 1990; Schultheiss and Jenzowsky 2000; Uribe and Gunter 2007).

On the third level, the connotative level, ideas, and concepts which relate to the represented object or characters emerge. As signs, they carry social and



¹⁰ In consequence, Jecker argues, framing studies often mix argumentation and evaluation patterns with mass-mediated interpretation patterns. This also confuses visual representation patterns or news factors (like emotionalization) with actual visual interpretation patterns.



complex meaning and are often rooted in cultures. Emotive representations appear here in the form of emotion rituals. Returning to the example of the London terror attack in July 2005, emotion rituals can manifest in acts of condolence (putting flowers at King's Cross station, flags lowered on half-mast, etc.), in expressions of embarrassed faces of social elites in front of a camera, or in displayed compassion while visiting injured victims at their hospital beds (Gerhards et al. 2011). The display of sympathy, compassion, the collective mourning rituals, and - to a certain extent unity in the emotive representation across TV news channels signify a negative moral evaluation of the causal agents of terror attacks - the terrorists - on a broad social scale. Also, these rituals form emotionally as charged symbolic representations, they can be considered as formulaic but nevertheless potentially arousing elements of news coverage.

On the final and most abstract level, Rodriguez and Dimitrova locate symbols and stylistic features merging. This may outline underlying ideologies such as war or Eurocentrism, where 'news images are employed as instruments of power in the shaping of public consciousness and imagination' historical (Anden-Papadopoulos 2007). This fourth laver includes besides the political and economic also more subtle levels of the cultural and the emotional, although emotions can never be a whole ideology or a connotation, they can easily be part of that, as can be easily seen with populist political contents.

This level also comprises judgments of value and moral, and this again involves the emotional, as outlined above. (Moral) evaluations are frame elements for Entman (1993) and Matthes (2014b, especially for strategic frames). In news coverage, they can appear as underlying emotion norms. Their implicit character makes their detection not easy, as too expressed not overtly norms onlv counter objective-impartial norms of news coverage but also might appear as an emotionally patronizing way of news Gerhards et al. storytelling. (2011)identified first emotions and. subsequently. the implicit emotion norms. In news coverage about terror attacks, they tend to comprise generally representations agreed upon of emotions by 'elite agents' like heads of state or journalists themselves, both expressing compassion for victims and disgust terrorist for perpetrators. Alternatively, a collective subject was defined with journalistically attributed emotions. for instance. through verbalized and visualized 'defiance' of a London terror-shaken population. These emotion norms appear of high relevance, as they tend to play a crucial role in the social orientation of larger audiences.

The model of Rodriguez and Dimitrova allows a comprehensive understanding where emotions can be located in visual framing analysis. Though it is hardly applicable to visual framing analysis on a larger scale, it proves to be an ideal entry point into visual-emotive framing analysis.

The model of Geise and Rössler (2012) follows a similar idea of layering. Their visual content analysis model suggests three levels of image analysis: surface structure, inner structure, and deep structure. The surface structure is the manifest level, covering not only formal composition and representation, such as camera perspective or visual strategies, but also objects and persons, and the





configurative arrangement of relations between the obiects. lt roughly corresponds to the first two levels of Rodriguez and Dimitrova. Next, the inner structure is marked by a quasi-manifest which is culturallv appearance. influenced. It seeks to identify visual stereotypes and symbolic imagery, types of images, and evaluating tendencies. Finally, the deep structure aims for the latent meanings which can only be concluded by inference. They shall describe the 'actual meaning' of the visual, which can relate to multiple associations and interpretation patterns. As Geise and Rössler distinguish three levels of analysis, the empirical proposal of Rodriguez and Dimitrova remains more explicit and structured.

To conclude, emotive or potentially emotionalizing representations can be understood as frame elements. They appear in a multitude of layers with a growing level of abstractness - from the coherent specified emotion frame (only for Rodriguez et al.) to effects by symbols and style on audiences. Fmotive representations appear in formal visual language, gaze, or spatial relations, in symbolic representations, evaluative tendencies, and finally in the latent meanings. Processes of salience can increase emotive effects. However, the viewer is an active and essential part of this process, as he or she attributes meaning.

Conclusion

Emotions are an essential part of media frames in audio-visual material. They are a powerful tool to influence or create social emotional climates. Also, they perform a strategic function for journalists to structure news material, using devices of personalization, simplification, or non-authorial affects to establish a link to news audiences or emphasize emotive information (Corcoran 2006; Stenvall 2014).

The discussion showed that the visual is an important carrier and amplifier of meaning and emotions, as it 'bypasses' a rather rational thinking system, aiming more directly at the intuitive 'gut feeling' of a news audience. That visuals and emotions and emotionalizing elements receive more attention by today, challenging dominantly cognitive models and bias is a consequence of both the affective and visual turn.

allow The approaches presented analyzing emotions in visual frames threefold: 1) distinctive general as frames: 2) as embedded in narratives and narrative structures: 3) and as frame elements. The discussion showed that, in fact, only the last approach allows having a differentiated comprehensive access in order to understand where emotions and emotionalizing elements can be empirically located and validated.

Most useful was the multi-layered approach by Rodriguez and Dimitrova (2011). Divided into different abstraction levels, emotions are anchored in each, ranging from manifest audio-visual elements to the underlying socio-cultural and ideological structures. As emotive representations emotionalizing or devices can be understood as audiovisual frame element, with Entman's framing definition they are linked to visual salience ívia gaze, camera etc.). semantic-symbolic distance. (symbols, metaphors), and elements direct representation of emotions. The empirical example of TV coverage about a terror attack showed that, in addition,



explicit emotion rituals and implicit emotion norms are overarching features of news coverage. These shape moral evaluations and can potentially impact the emotionalization of news audiences.

It needs to be added that the proposals of audio-visual research presented here do not necessarily mention emotions and framing explicitly as an integrative part of an analysis. However, emotions are ever-present, as explicit or implicit manifest elements, deeply embedded in levels of the visual representation, structure and composition, sound, semantic choices, the narrative, and the verbal. The content analytical approach of Geise and Rössler (2012) can be used for frame analysis in a modified way, while with Jecker (2014), more emotive elements can be incorporated.

Despite emotions are not always clearly visible in audio-visual news coverage, their analysis can be integrated into visual framing analysis by using a refined model comprising the distinct levels and layers where emotionalizing elements manifest. What also emerged as a guiding line for an analysis of emotions in visual framing is the need to contextualize visuals through text. It is the textual level which directs frame and meaning towards rather ambivalent visuals

References

Ahmed, Sara. 2004. The Cultural Politics of Emotion. New York: Routledge.

- Anden-Papadopoulos, Kari. 2007. 'Frontline war reports by US soldiers on the Internet: Perpetrator photographs and the changing perception of war'. *Annual meeting of the International Communication Association*, San Francisco, CA, 23 May.
- Athanasiou, Athena, Hantzaroula, Pothiti and Yannakopoulos, Kostas. 2009. 'Towards a New Epistemology: The "Affective Turn". *Historien* 8, 5–16. https://doi.org/10.12681/historein.33.
- Averill, James R. 1980. 'A constructivist view of emotion'. In *Emotion: Theory, research and experience: Vol. I. Theories of emotion*, edited by Robert Plutchik and Henry Kellerman. New York: Academic Press.
- Averill, James R. 2012. 'The Future of Social Constructionism: Introduction to a Special Section of Emotion Review'. *Emotion Review* 4, 215–220. https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073912439811.
- Bachmann-Medick, Doris. 2008. 'Gegen Worte Was heißt 'Iconic/Visual Turn'?' *Gegenworte*, 10–15.
- Barnhurst, Kevin G., Vari, Michael and Rodríguez, Ígor. 2004. 'Mapping Visual Studies in Communication'. *Journal of Communication* 54, 616–644. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2004.tb02648.x.
- Beckett, Charlie and Deuze, Mark. 2016. 'On the Role of Emotion in the Future of Journalism'. *Social Media + Society* 2.





- Benford, Robert D. 1997. 'An Insider's Critique of the Social Movement Framing Perspective'. *Sociological Inquiry* 67, 409–430.
- Berger, Jonah and Milkman, Katherine. L. 2012. 'What Makes Online Content Viral?' *Journal of Marketing Research* 49, 192–205. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.10.0353.
- Boehm, Gottfried. 1994. 'Die Wiederkehr der Bilder'. In *Was ist ein Bild?*, edited by Boehm Gottfried München: Fink.
- Boehm, Gottfried. 2007. 'Iconic Turn. Ein Brief'. In *Bilderfragen. Die Bildwissenschaften im Aufbruch*, edited by Hans Belting. München: Fink.
- Borah, Porismitha and Bulla, David W. 2005. 'The visual coverage of the Indian Ocean tsunami in two English-language newspapers in India'. *International Communication Association*, Dresden, Germany.
- Bower, Gordon H. 1981. 'Mood and memory'. *American Psychologist* 36, 129–148. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.36.2.129 PMid:7224324.
- Brantner, Cornelia, Geise, Stephanie and Lobinger, Katharina. 2012. 'Fractured paradigm? Theories, concepts and methodology of visual framing research: A systematic review'. *63th Annual ICA Conference*, London, United Kingdom, 17–21 June.
- Bulla, David. W. and Borah, Porismitha. 2006. 'The visual framing of the Indian Ocean Tsunami and Hurricane Katrina. A comparison of newspaper coverage in three countries'. *Annual Meeting of the International Communication Association (ICA)*, San Antonio, TX.
- Chen, Angela. 2017. 'Neuroscientist Lisa Feldman Barrett explains how emotions are made [Online]'. *The Verge*. Accessed 30 Nov 2017. https://www.theverge.com/2017/4/10/15245690/how-emotions-are-made-neurosciencelisa-feldman-barrett.
- Cho, Jaeho, Boyle, Michael P., Keum, Heejo, Shevy, Mark D., Mcleod, Douglas M., Shah, Dhavan V. and Pan, Zhongdang. 2003. 'Media, Terrorism, and Emotionality: Emotional Differences in Media Content and Public Reactions to the September 11th Terrorist Attacks'. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media* 47, 309–327. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15506878jobem4703_1.
- Chong, Dennis and Druckman, James N. 2007. 'Framing theory'. *Annual Review of Political Science* 10, 103–126. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.10.072805.103054.
- Clough, Patricia Ticineto and O'Malley Halley, Jean. 2007. *The Affective Turn. Theorizing the Social,* Durham: Duke UP.
- Coleman, Renita. 2010. 'Framing the pictures in our heads. Exploring the framing and agendasetting effects of visual images'. In *Doing news framing analysis. Empirical and theoretical perspectives*, edited by Paul D'Angelo and Jim A. Kuypers. New York: Routledge.





- Corcoran, Paul E. 2006. 'Emotional framing in Australian journalism'. In *Australian & New Zealand Communication Association International Conference*, edited by Chika Anyanwu. Adelaide, South Australia: ANZCA.
- D'Angelo, Paul and Kuypers, Jim A. 2010. 'Introduction. Doing News Framing Analysis'. In *Doing News Framing Analysis. Empirical and Theoretical Perspectives*, edited by Paul D'Angelo and Jim A. Kuypers. New York: Routledge.
- Dahinden, Urs. 2006. *Framing: eine integrative Theorie der Massenkommunikation*. Konstanz: UVK Verlagsgesellschaft.
- Damasio, Antonio. 1995. *Descartes' Error: Emotion, Reason, and the Human Brain*. London: Picador.
- de Vreese, Claes H. 2005. 'News framing. Theory and typology'. *Information Design Journal + Document Design* 13, no. 1, 51–62.
- de Vreese, Claes H. and Boomgaarden, Hajo. 2003. 'Valenced news frames and public support for the EU'. *Communications* 28, 361–381. https://doi.org/10.1515/comm.2003.024.
- Deleuze, Gilles. & Guattari, Félix. 1987 [1980]. *A thousand plateaus: Capitalism and schizophrenia*. Minneapolis, London: University of Minnesota Press.
- Döveling, Katrin. 2005. *Emotionen Medien Gemeinschaft. Eine kommunikationssoziologische Analyse.* Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Ekman, Paul and Friesen, Wallace V. 1978. *Facial Action Coding System: A technique for the measurement of facial movement.* Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Entman, Robert M. 1993. 'Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm'. *Journal of Communication* 43, 51–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x.
- Fahmy, Shahira. 2004. 'Framing visual news. The 9/11 attack and the war in Afghanistan in English- and Arabic-language newspapers'. *54th Annual Conference of the International Communication Association*, New Orleans, LA.
- Fahmy, Shahira. 2010. 'Contrasting visual frames of our times: A framing analysis of English- and Arabic-language press coverage of war and terrorism'. *International Communication Gazette* 72, 695–717. https://doi.org/10.1177/1748048510380801.
- Fahmy, Shahira and Kim, Daekyung. 2008. 'Picturing the Iraq War: Constructing the Image of War in the British and US Press'. *International Communication Gazette* 70, 443–462.
- Feldman Barrett, Lisa. 2017. 'Why our emotions are cultural not built in at birth [Online]'. *The Guardian*. Accessed 13 November 2017. https://www.theguardian.com/lifeandstyle/2017/mar/26/why-our-emotions-are-cultural-not-hardwired-at-birth.
- Forgas, Joseph P. 1995. 'Mood and judgment. The affect infusion model (AIM)'. *Psychological Bulletin* 117, 39–66. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.1.39 PMid:7870863.



@JOMECjournal



- Forgas, Joseph P. 1999. 'Network theories and beyond'. In *Handbook of cognition and emotion*, edited by Tim Dalgleish and Mick Power. Chichester. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Forgas, Joseph P. 2000. 'Feeling is believing? The role of processing strategies in mediating affective influences on beliefs'. In *Emotions and beliefs. How feelings influence thoughts,* edited by Nico H. Frijda, Antony S. R. Manstead and Sacha Bem. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Frijda, Nico H. 1986. *The emotions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Gamson, William A. and Modigliani, Andre. 1989. 'Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power: A Constructionist Approach'. *American Journal of Sociology* 95, 1–37. https://doi.org/10.1086/229213.
- Geise, Stephanie. 2011. 'Vision that matters. Die Wirkungs- und Funktionslogik visueller politischer Kommunikation am Beispiel des Wahlplakats'. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Geise, Stephanie. 2014. 'Visuelle Wahlkampfkommunikation, Framing and Priming'. In *Framing als politischer Prozess. Beiträge zum Deutungskampf in der politischen Kommunikation*, edited by Frank Marcinkowski. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Geise, Stephanie and Baden, Christian. 2013. 'Bilder Rahmen. Ein integratives Modell (multi-)modaler Informationsverarbeitung im Framing-Prozess'. In *Visual Framing. Perspektiven und Herausforderungen der Visuellen Kommunikationsforschung*, edited by Stephanie Geise and Katharina Lobinger. Köln: Herbert von Halem.
- Geise, Stephanie and Lobinger, Katharina. 2013. *Visual Framing. Perspektiven und Herausforderungen der Visuellen Kommunikationsforschung*. Köln: Herbert von Halem Verlag.
- Geise, Stephanie, Lobinger, Katharina and Brantner, Cornelia. 2013. 'Fractured Paradigm? Theorien, Konzepte und Methoden der visuellen Framingforschung. Ergebnisse einer systematischen Literaturschau'. In *Visual Framing. Perspektiven und Herausforderungen der Visuellen Kommunikationsforschung*, edited by Stephanie Geise and Katharina Lobinger. Köln: Herbert von Halem.
- Geise, Stephanie and Rössler, Patrick. 2012. 'Visuelle Inhaltsanalyse: ein Vorschlag zur theoretischen Dimensionierung der Erassung von Bildinhalten'. *Medien & Kommunikationswissenschaft* 60, 341–361. https://doi.org/10.5771/1615-634x-2012-3-341.
- Gerhards, Jürgen, Schäfer, Mike. S., Al-Jabiri, Ishtar and Seifert, Juliane. 2011. *Terrorismus im Fernsehen: Formate, Inhalte und Emotionen in westlichen und arabischen Sendern.* Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Gitlin, Todd. 1980. *The Whole World is Watching: Mass Media in the Making & Unmaking of the New Left.* Berkeley, California: University of California Press.
- Goffman, Erving. 1974. *Frame analysis. An essay on the organization of experience.* Harmondsworth: Penguin.





Goldie, Peter. 2002. The Emotions. A Philosophical Exploration. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

- Grabe, Maria Elizabeth and Bucy, Erik P. 2009. *Image Bite Politics: News and the Visual Framing of Elections*. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Grabe, Maria Elizabeth, Zhou, Shuhua and Barnett, Brooke. 2001. 'Explicating Sensationalism in Television News: Content and the Bells and Whistles of Form'. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media* 45, 635–655. https://doi.org/10.5771/1615-634x-2012-3-341.
- Graber, Doris A. 1990. 'Seeing is remembering. How visuals contribute to learning from television news'. *Journal of Communication* 40, 134–156. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1990.tb02275.x.
- Gross, Kimberly. 2008. 'Framing Persuasive Appeals: Episodic and Thematic Framing, Emotional Response, and Policy Opinion'. *Political Psychology* 29, 169–192. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9221.2008.00622.x.
- Hall, Edward T. 1966. *The hidden dimension*. Anchor Books: New York.
- Hamill, Ruth and Lodge, Milton. 1986. 'Cognitive consequences of political sophistication'. In *Political cognition*, edited by Richard R. Lau and David O. Sears. Hillsdale, New York: Erlbaum.
- Heidenreich, Felix and Schaal, Gary S. 2012. *Politische Theorie und Emotionen*. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Herbers, Martin R. and Volpers, Anna-Maria. 2013. 'Visuelles Framing Anforderungen an die empirische Forschung und methodologische Konsequenzen'. In *Visual Framing. Perspektiven und Herausforderungen der Visuellen Kommunikationsforschung*, edited by Stephanie Geise and Katharina Lobinger. Köln: Herbert von Halem.
- Hertog, James K. and Mcleod, Douglas M. 2001. 'A multiperspectival approach to framing analysis: A field guide'. In *Framing public life. Perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world*, edited by Stephen D. Reese, Oscar H. Gandy and August E. Grant., 139–162. Mahwah, New York, and London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ibrahim, Dina. 2010. 'The Framing of Islam On Network News Following the September 11th Attacks'. *International Communication Gazette* 72, 111–125. https://doi.org/10.1177/1748048509350342.
- Iyengar, Shanto. 1994 [1991]. *Is Anyone Responsible?: How Television Frames Political Issues.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Iyengar, Shanto and Simon, Adam. 1993. 'News Coverage of the Gulf Crisis and Public Opinion: A Study of Agenda-Setting, Priming, and Framing'. *Communication Research* 20, 365–383. https://doi.org/10.1177/009365093020003002.
- Jecker, Constanze. 2014. *Entmans Framing-Ansatz: Theoretische Grundlegung und empirische UmSetzung*. Konstanz: UVK.



@JOMECjournal



- Jeffery, Renée. 2014. *Reason and emotion in international ethics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jenkins, Henry. 2006. *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York: NYU Press.
- Kahneman, Daniel. 2003. 'Maps of bounded rationality: A perspective on intuitive judgment and choice'. In *Les Prix Nobel: The Nobel Prizes 2002*, edited by Tore Frängsmyr. Stockholm: Nobel Foundation.

Kahneman, Daniel. 2012. Thinking, Fast and Slow. London: Penguin.

- Keum, Heejo, Hillbeck, Elloitt D., Rojas, Hernando, Gil De Zuniga, Homero, Shah, Dhavan V. and Mcleod, Douglas M. 2006. 'Personifying the radical: How news framing polarizes security concerns and tolerance judgments'. *Human Communication Research* (July), 1–25.
- Kim, Sunny Jung and Niederdeppe, Jeff. 2014. 'Emotional Expressions in Antismoking Television Advertisements: Consequences of Anger and Sadness Framing on Pathways to Persuasion'. *Journal of Health Communication* 19, 692–709. https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2013.837550 PMid:24417482.
- Kinder, Donald R. and Sanders, Lynn M. 1990. 'Mimicking Political Debate with Survey Questions: The Case of White Opinion on Affirmative Action for Blacks'. *Social Cognition* 8, 73–103. https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.1990.8.1.73.
- Kiousis, Spiro. 2004. 'Explicating Media Salience. A factor analysis of New York Times issue coverage during the 2000 U.S. Presidential Election'. *Journal of Communication* 54, 71–87. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2004.tb02614.x.
- Kleres, Jochen. 2011. 'Emotions and Narrative Analysis: A Methodological Approach'. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour* 41, 182–202. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5914.2010.00451.x.
- Kobayashi, Susumu. 1986. 'Theoretical issues concerning superiority of pictures over words and sentences in memory'. *Perception and Motor Skills* 63, 783–792.
- Kühne, Rinaldo. 2012. 'Political News, Emotions, and Opinion Formation: Toward a Model of Emotional Framing Effects'. *Annual meeting of the International Communication Association*, Sheraton Phoenix Downtown, Phoenix, AZ.
- Kühne, Rinaldo. 2013. 'Emotional Framing-Effects on Attitudes: An Integrative Model (Emotionale Framing-Effekte auf Einstellungen: Ein integratives Modell)'. *Medien & Kommunikationswissenschaft* 61, 5–20. https://doi.org/10.5771/1615-634x-2013-1-5.
- Kühne, Rinaldo and Schemer, Chistian. 2014. 'Emotionale Framing-Effekte auf politische Einstellungen und Partizipationsbereitschaft'. In *Framing als politischer Prozess. Beiträge zum Deutungskampf in der politischen Kommunikation*, edited by Frank Marcinkowsky. Baden-Baden: Nomos.





 Kühne, Rinaldo, Schemer, Chistian, Matthes, J. and Wirth, Werner. 2011. 'Affective Priming in Political Campaigns: How Campaign-Induced Emotions Prime Political Opinions'. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 23, no. 4, 485–507. https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edr004.

le Bon, Gustave. 2006 [1896]. The Crowd: A Study of the Popular Mind. New York: Cosimo Classics.

- Leys, Ruth. 2011. The Turn to Affect: A Critique'. *Critical Inquiry* 37, no. 3, 434–472. https://doi.org/10.1086/659353.
- Leys, Ruth. 2017. *The Ascent of Affect: Genealogy and Critique*. Chicago, London: University of Chicago Press.
- Lule, Jack. 2001. *Daily News, eternal Stories. The Mythological Role of Journalism*. New York, London: The Guilford Press.
- Marcinkowski, Frank. 2014. 'Framing als politischer Prozess. Eine Einleitung'. In *Framing als politischer Prozess. Beiträge zum Deutungskampf in der politischen Kommunikation*, edited by Frank Marcinkowsky. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Massumi, B. 2002. Parables for the Virtual: Movement, Affect, Sensation, Durham, London: Duke University Press.
- Masters, Roger D. and Sullivan, Denis G. 1993. 'Nonverbal behaviour and leadership. Emotion and cognition in political information processing'. In *Explorations in political psychology*, edited by Shanto Iyengar and William J. McGuire. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Matthes, Jörg. 2007. *Framing-Effekte: Zum Einfluss der Politikberichterstattung auf die Einstellungen der Rezipienten*. Baden-Baden: Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.
- Matthes, Jörg. 2009. 'What's in a Frame? A Content Analysis of Media Framing Studies in the World's Leading Communication Journals, 1990–2005'. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly* 86, 349–367. https://doi.org/10.1177/107769900908600206.

Matthes, Jörg. 2014a. Framing. Baden-Baden: Nomos.

- Matthes, Jörg. 2014b. 'Zum Gehalt der Framing-Forschung. Eine kritische Bestandsaufnahme'. In Framing als politischer Prozess. Beiträge zum Deutungskampf in der politischen Kommunikation, edited by Frank Marcinkowski. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Mccombs, Maxwell, Llamas, Juan Pablo, Lopez-Escobar, Esteban and Rey, Federico. 1997. 'Candidate Images in Spanish Elections: Second-Level Agenda-Setting Effects'. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly* 74, 703–717.
- Mitchell, William John Thomas. 1994. 'Pictorial Turn'. In *Picture theory. Essays on verbal and visual representation*, edited by William John Thomas, 11–34. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Mukamel, Roy, Ekstrom, Arne D., Kaplan, Jonas, Iacoboni, Marco and Fried, Itzhak. 2010. 'Single-Neuron Responses in Humans during Execution and Observation of Actions'. *Current*



@JOMECjournal



Biology 20, 750–756. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.02.045 PMid:20381353 PMCid:PMC2904852.

- Müller, Marion G. and Griffin, Michael. 2012. 'Comparing visual communication'. In *Handbook of comparative communication research*, edited by Frank Esser and Thomas Hanitzsch. New York, London: Routledge.
- Nabi, Robin L. 2003. 'Exploring the Framing Effects of Emotion: Do Discrete Emotions Differentially Influence Information Accessibility, Information Seeking, and Policy Preference?'. *Communication Research* 30, 224–247. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650202250881.
- Nelson, Thomas E., Clawson, Rosalee A. and Oxley, Zoe M. 1997. 'Media Framing of a Civil Liberties Conflict and Its Effect on Tolerance'. *The American Political Science Review* 91, 567–583. https://doi.org/10.2307/2952075.
- Norris, Pippa, Kern, Montague and Just, Marion R. 2003. 'Framing terrorism'. In *Framing terrorism: the news media, the government and the public*, edited by Pippa Norris, Mantague Kern and Marion R. Just, 3–23. New York: Routledge.
- Oberman, Lindsay M. and Ramachandran, V. S. 2009. 'Reflections on the Mirror Neuron System: Their Evolutionary Functions Beyond Motor Representation'. In *Mirror Neuron Systems*, edited by Jaime A. Pineda. New York: Humana Press.
- Olesen, Thomas. 2013. "We are all Khaled Said": Visual Injustice Symbols in the Egyptian Revolution, 2010–2011'. In *Advances in the Visual Analysis of Social Movements*, edited by Nicole Doerr, Alice Mattoni and Simon Teune. Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing.
- Onians, John, Anderson, Helen and Berg, Kajsa. 2011. 'Neuroscience and the nature of visual culture'. In *The handbook of visual culture*, edited by Ian Heywood and Barry Sandywell. London: Bloomsbury.
- Ortony, Andrew, Clore, Gerald L. and Collins, Allan M. 1988. *The cognitive structure of emotions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Paivio, Allan. 1986. Mental representations. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pan, Zhingdang and Kosicki, Gerald M. 1993. 'Framing analysis. An approach to news discourse'. *Political Communication* 10, no. 1, 55–75. https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.1993.9962963.
- Panksepp, Jaak. 2004. *Affective Neuroscience: The Foundations of Human and Animal Emotions.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Panksepp, Jaak. 2012. *The Archaeology of Mind: Neuroevolutionary Origins of Human Emotions.* London: W. W. Norton & Company.
- Pantti, Mervi and Husslage, Karin. 2009. 'Ordinary people and emotional expression in Dutch public service news'. *Javnost The Public* 16, no. 2, 77–94.





- Parkinson, Brian. 2005. 'Do Facial Movements Express Emotions or Communicate Motives?'. *Personality and Social Psychology Review* 9, no. 4, 278–311. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0904_1 PMid:16223353.
- Potthoff, Matthias. 2012. *Medien-Frames und ihre Entstehung*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Potthoff, Matthias and Kohring, Matthias. 2014. 'Die Berücksichtigung von Kohärenz in der empirischen Analyse textueller Frames'. In *Framing als politischer Prozess. Beiträge zum Deutungskampf in der politischen Kommunikation*, edited by Frank Marcinkowski. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Reese, Stephen D., Gandy, Oscar H., Grant, August E., 2001. *Framing Public Life: Perspectives on Media and Our Understanding of the Social World*. New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Rodgers, Shelly, Kenix, Linda Jean and Thorson, Esther. 2007. 'Stereotypical Portrayals of Emotionality in News Photos'. *Mass Communication and Society* 10, no. 1, 119–138. https://doi.org/10.1080/15205430709337007.
- Rodriguez, Lulu and Dimitrova, Daniela V. 2011. The levels of visual framing'. *Journal of Visual Literacy* 30, no. 1, 48–65. https://doi.org/10.1080/23796529.2011.11674684.
- Rose, Gillian. 2012. *Visual methodologies. An introduction to researching with visual materials.* London, Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Rössler, Patrick. 2014. 'Visuelles Framing durch neue Typographie. Eine historische Inhaltsanalyse'. In *Framing als politischer Prozess. Beiträge zum Deutungskampf in der politischen Kommunikation*, edited by Frank Marcinkowski. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Sambrook, Richard Jeremy. 2012. *Delivering Trust: Impartiality and Objectivity in the Digital Age.* Oxford: Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism.
- Scherer, Klaus R. 2005. 'What are emotions? And how can they be measured? '. *Social Science Information* 44, no. 4, 555–570.
- Scheufele, Bertram. 2001. 'Visuelles Medien-Framing und Framing-Effekte. Zur Analyse visueller Kommunikation aus der Framing-Perspektive'. In *Das Bild als Forschungsgegenstand – Grundlagen und Perspektiven,* edited by Thomas Knieper and Marion M. Müller. Köln: Herbert von Halem.
- Scheufele, Bertram. 2003. *Frames Framing Framing–Effekte: Theoretische und methodische Grundlegung des Framing-Ansatzes sowie empirische Befunde zur Nachrichtenproduktion.* Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Scheufele, Dietram A. 1999. 'Framing as Theory of Media Effects'. *Journal of Communication* 49, no 1, 103–122. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1999.tb02784.x.
- Scheufele, Dietram A. 2011. 'The state of framing research. A call for new directions'. *Political Communication Lab* [Online]. Accessed 15 January 2018. https://pcl.stanford.edu/research/2011/scheufele-framing.pdf.



www.cf.ac.uk/jomecjournal

@JOMECjournal



- Schultheiss, Britta M. and Jenzowsky, Stefan A. 2000. 'Infotainment: Der Einfluss emotionalisierend-affektorientierter Darstellung auf die Glaubwürdigkeit'. *M&K Medien & Kommunikationswissenschaft* 48, no. 1, 63–84. https://doi.org/10.5771/1615-634x-2000-1-63.
- Semetko, Holli and Valkenburg, Patti M. 2000. 'Framing European politics: a content analysis of press and television news'. *Journal of Communication* 50, no. 2, 93–109. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02843.x.
- Shamay-Tsoory, Simone G., Aharon-Peretz, Judith and Perry, Daniella. 2009. 'Two systems for empathy: a double dissociation between emotional and cognitive empathy in inferior frontal gyrus versus ventromedial prefrontal lesions'. *Brain* 132, 617–627. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02843.x.
- Slovic, Paul, Finucane, Melissa, Peters, Ellen and MacGregor, Donald G. 2002. 'The Affect Heuristic'. In *Heuristics and Biases*, edited by Thomas Gilovich, Dale Griffin and Daniel Kahneman. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Snow, David A. and Benford, Robert D. 1988. 'Ideology, frame resonance, and participant mobilization'. In *From structure to action. Social movements participation across cultures*, edited by Bert Klandermans, Hanspeter Kriesi and Sidney G. Tarrow. Grennwich: JAI.
- Snow, David A., Rochford, E. Burke, Worden, Steven K. and Benford, Robert D. 1986. 'Frame Alignment Processes, Micromobilization, and Movement Participation'. *American Sociological Review* 51, no. 4, 464–481. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095581.
- Sontag, Susan. 1979. On photography. London: Penguin Books.
- Stenvall, Maija. 2014. 'Presenting and representing emotions in news agency reports'. *Critical Discourse Studies* 11, no. 4, 461–481. https://doi.org/10.1080/17405904.2013.866588.
- Sturken, Marita and Cartwright, Lisa. 2009. *Practices of looking. An introduction to visual culture*. New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Tiedens, Larissa Z. and Linton, Susan. 2001. 'Judgement under emotional certainty and uncertainty. The effects of specific emotionson information processing'. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 81, no. 6, 973–88. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.81.6.973 PMid:11761319.
- Tomkins, Silvan. 1962. *Affect Imagery Consciousness: Volume I, The Positive Affects*. London: Tavistock.
- Tuchman, Gaye Comp. 1978. *Making news: a study in the construction of reality*. New York: Free Press.
- Tversky, Amos and Kahneman, Daniel. 1981. 'The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice'. *Science* 211, no. 4481, 453–458. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7455683 PMid:7455683.



126 @JOMECjournal



- Uribe, Rodrigo and Gunter, Barrie. 2004. 'Are soft topics good predictors of emotionality? The case of TV news in Britain'. *The annual meeting of the International Communication Association, New Orleans Sheraton, New Orleans, LA.*
- Uribe, Rodrigo and Gunter, Barrie. 2007. 'Are "Sensational" News Stories More Likely to Trigger Viewers' Emotions than Non-Sensational News Stories?: A Content Analysis of British TV News'. *European Journal of Communication* 22, 207–228. https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323107076770.
- Verschueren, Paul. 2012. *Picturing Afghanistan. The photography of foreign conflict.* New York: Hampton Press.
- von Scheve, Chistian. 2009. *Emotionen und soziale Strukturen. Die affektiven Grundlagen sozialer Ordnung*. Frankfurt, New York: Campus.
- Wahl-Jorgensen, Karin. 2016. 'Emotion and Journalism'. In *The SAGE Handbook of Digital Journalism*, edited by Tamara Witschge, C. W. Anderson, David Domingo and Alfred Hermida. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Weaver, David H. 2007. 'Thoughts on Agenda Setting, Framing, and Priming'. *Journal of Communication* 57, no. 1, 142–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00333.x.
- Zink, Veronika, Ismer, Sven and von Scheve, Christian. 2012. 'Zwischen Hoffen und Bangen: Die emotionale Konnotation des Sprechens über die Finanzkrise 2008/2009'. In *Krise, Cash & Kommunikation: Die Finanzkrise in den Medien*, edited by Anja Peltzer, Kathrin Lämmle and Andreas Wagenknecht. Konstanz: UVK Verlagsgesellschaft.





Cardiff University Press Gwasg Prifysgol Caerdydd

www.cardiffuniversitypress.org

This article was first published in *JOMEC Journal*

JOMEC Journal is an online, open-access and peer reviewed journal dedicated to publishing the highest quality innovative academic work in Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies. It is published by Cardiff University Press and run by an editorial collective based in the School of Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies at Cardiff University, committed both to open-access publication and to maintaining the highest standards of rigour and academic integrity. *JOMEC Journal* is peer reviewed with an international, multi-disciplinary Editorial Board and Advisory Panel. It welcomes work that is located in any one of these disciplines, as well as interdisciplinary work that approaches Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies as overlapping and interlocking fields. It is particularly interested in work that addresses the political and ethical dimensions, stakes, problematics and possibilities of Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies.

To submit a paper or to discuss publication, please contact jomecjournal@cardiff.ac.uk

Executive Editor: Professor Paul Bowman

Editor: Evelina Kazakeviciute

Editorial Team:

Julia Boelle Petra Kovacevic Metji Makgoba Alida Payson

www.cf.ac.uk/jomecjournal

Twitter: @JOMECjournal

ISSN: ISSN 2049-2340

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. Based on a work at www.cf.ac.uk/jomecjournal.

(CC) BY-NC-ND