

# ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/109362/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Wang, Jian and Knowles, Peter J. 2018. Reply to comment on 'Nonuniqueness of algebraic first-order density-matrix functionals'. Physical Review A 97 (2), -. 10.1103/PhysRevA.97.026502

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.026502

### Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



## Reply to Comment on "Nonuniqueness of algebraic first-order density-matrix functionals" [Phys. Rev. A 92, 012520 (2015)]

#### Jian Wang

School of Science, Huzhou university, Zhejiang 10083, China\*

#### Peter J. Knowles

School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, United Kingdom<sup>†</sup> (Dated: February 1, 2018)

It is shown that symmetry considerations do not alter the conclusions of the original paper, that there exists an example of an electronic system for which at several geometries the one-matrix eigenvalues are identical, but the two-matrix spectrum is not. It is still therefore the case that JK and related functionals that depend on the one-matrix eigenvalues to model the two-matrix can not be made arbitrarily accurate.

PACS numbers: 31.15.Ve,31.10.+z,31.15.A-

Using natural orbitals, the exact energy of an electronic system can be written as

$$E = Z + \sum_{i} h_{ii} n_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \Gamma_{ij,kl} \langle ij|kl \rangle$$
 (1)

where  $h_{ij}$  and  $\langle ij|kl\rangle$  are the usual one- and two-electron integrals in the natural orbital basis, Z is the bare-nucleus Coulomb energy. In some realisations of reduced density matrix functional theory(RDMFT) [1–3], one simply assumes that  $\Gamma_{ij,kl}$  is a particular algebraic function of the occupation numbers  $\{n_i\}$ . In our previous paper[4], we considered two geometries for four hydrogen atoms: (a) the atoms at the corner of a square of side  $R_4$ ; (b) an  $H_2$  molecule with bond length  $R_2$  plus two infinitely separated hydrogen atoms. For certain combinations of  $R_2$  and  $R_4$ , they have the same one-matrix spectrum (i.e., identical  $\{n_i\}$ ), but different two-matrix  $\Gamma_{ij,kl}$ . We concluded that a model functional based on  $\Gamma_{ij,kl}(n)$  cannot be unique.

Gritsenko[5] argues that the conclusion presented in Ref. [4] does not hold, because the two cases have different point-group symmetry. For the square, the molecule has  $D_{4h}$  symmetry, and the ground-state wavefunction is  ${}^{1}D_{1u}$ ; for dihydrogen, the molecular wavefunction is  ${}^{1}D_{g}^{+}$  in  $D_{\infty h}$ , with the two ground-state hydrogen atoms singlet-spin-coupled. To avoid the nonuniqueness problem of  $\Gamma_{ij,kl}(n)$ , Gritsenko [5] pointed out that the density-matrix functional needs to be unique only within a given symmetry, and so that in the example system, one could in principle have different functionals for the two cases. It is not stated how one might construct such functionals.

However, it is straightforward to construct the counterexample so that the two cases have the same point-group symmetry, by defining the geometry such that the atoms are at the vertices of a rhombus whose diagonals are of length  $R_a, R_b$ . The square-planar configuration can be constructed via the  $\delta=0$  limit of  $R_a=\sqrt{2}R_4+\delta,\ R_b=\sqrt{2}R_4-\delta;$  dihydrogen with infinitely separated atoms is  $R_a\to\infty,\ R_b=R_2$ . The point group is  $D_{2h}$ . For reasonable values of  $R_2$  and  $R_4$ , the ground term of the square-planar configuration is  $^1B_{1g}$ , whereas for the dihydrogen plus separated atoms it is  $^1A_g$ . In both cases, the ground-state wavefunction has two natural-orbital occupation numbers that are exactly 1, even for finite  $\delta$ , so the two cases still have the same one-matrix eigenvalues. However, the change of ground state on geometry change appears to support the conclusion of Ref. [5] that this example is invalid because it attempts to compare density-matrix functionals that could in principle be completely different because they represent ground states of different symmetries.

But one can easily reduce the symmetry further, by, for example, moving two of the hydrogen atoms by different infinitesimal amounts above the plane of the molecule. In the resulting  $C_1$  point group, the ground state is of  $^1A$  symmetry at both geometries, and they should be describable by the same universal density-matrix functional. One may then approach the  $D_{2h}$  configurations arbitrarily closely without changing this requirement.

The conclusion is that one can indeed argue that for certain high symmetries, our original argument is not strictly valid, but the practical consequences are somewhat insignificant. One would normally want to use a density-matrix functional that is continuous on passing from low to high symmetry, and at low symmetry the counter-example we presented previously is still valid.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.W. is supported by China NSF grant No. 11274109.

<sup>\*</sup> jwang572@hotmail.com

<sup>†</sup> KnowlesPJ@Cardiff.ac.uk

- [1] D. R. Rohr, K. Pernal, O. V. Gritsenko, and E. J. Baerends, J. Chem. Phys. 129, 164105 (2008).
- [2] M. Piris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 063002 (2017).
- [3] S. Sharma, J. K. Dewhurst, S. Shallcross, and E. K. U. Gross,
- Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 116403 (2013).
- [4] J. Wang and P. J. Knowles, Phys. Rev. A 92, 012520 (2015).
- [5] O. Gritsenko, Phys. Rev. A. (2018).