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Abstract

The ~2.06 Ga Kevitsa mafic-ultramafic intrusion in northern Finland, hosts a large disseminated Ni-Cu-PGE deposit. The deposit occurs in the ultramafic olivine-pyroxene cumulates and show a range in Ni tenors varying from 4-7 wt% (regular ore) to >10 wt% (Ni-PGE ore). There are also a metal-poor sulfide mineralization (false ore) and contact mineralization that are uneconomic (Ni tenor <4 wt%).

The obtained $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values of the Kevitsa ultramafic cumulates are highly radiogenic (>0.7045) in comparison to the estimated depleted-mantle Sr isotope ratio of ~0.702 at 2.06 Ga. The sulfur $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values are generally higher than +2 ‰, which together with the Sr isotope data imply involvement of crustal material in the genesis of the Kevitsa intrusion and its ores. The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values obtained from the ore-bearing domain of the intrusion show stratigraphic variation and exceed 0.7050, with the maximum value reaching up to 0.7109. In contrast, in rocks around the ore domain, the initial Sr isotope compositions remain more or less constant (0.7047–0.7060) throughout the intrusive stratigraphy. The isotope data suggest that the ore-bearing domain of the intrusion represents a dynamic site with multiple injections of variably contaminated magma whereas the surrounding part of the intrusion experienced a less vigorous emplacement history.

No correlation is observed between the strontium and sulfur isotope compositions. This is explained by bulk assimilation of the silicate magma in a deeper staging magma chamber and variable assimilation of sulfur during magma transport into the Kevitsa magma chamber. The low level of metals in false ore and Ni-depleted nature of its olivine suggest that some sulfides may have precipitated and deposited in the feeder conduit during the initial stage of magma emplacement. Cannibalization of early-formed sulfides by later magma injections may have been important in the formation of the economic ore deposit.
Introduction

The evolutionary histories of mafic-ultramafic intrusive bodies may involve complex episodes of magma replenishment, magma mixing and mingling, contamination, crystal fractionation, and post-cumulus processes (e.g., DePaolo 1985; Sparks et al. 1985; Meyer and Wilson 1999; Namur et al. 2010). Whole-rock chemistry and mineral compositions are widely applied to interpret magmatic histories of intrusive bodies (e.g., Seat et al. 2007; Pang et al. 2009; Namur et al. 2010). Where these compositions change due to crystal fractionation, radiogenic or stable isotope ratios remain unaffected in closed-system processes, but may change due to addition of crustal contaminants or influx of magmas of distinct lineage into magma chambers. Consequently, isotopes have the advantage of identifying involvement of isotopically distinct magmas in the generation of igneous rock suites.

In-situ LA-MC-ICP-MS analysis is an effective method to determine the Sr isotope composition of plagioclase grains, potentially revealing variations in the magma composition from which the plagioclase crystallized. Grain-scale studies have been used to identify open magma chambers (Liu et al. 2014), to identify chemically distinct magmas and mixing of either magmas or minerals (Tepley et al. 1999; Seabrook et al. 2005; Yang et al. 2013a; Chen et al. 2016), and to reveal crustal contamination (Tepley and Davidson 2003). Hence, isotopes provide a tool to unravel processes operating during filling of magma chambers, including those related to sulfide ore formation in mineralized intrusions.
The Ni-Cu-(PGE) sulfide ore-bearing Kevitsa intrusion is one of the manifestations of the widespread Paleoproterozoic mafic magmatism in the Central Lapland greenstone belt, northern Finland (Hanski and Huhma 2005). Other roughly coeval magmatic sulfide mineralization is represented by the nearby Sakatti Cu-Ni-PGE deposit occurring in a small subvolcanic peridotite body (Brownscombe et al. 2015) and the komatiite-hosted Lomalampi PGE-(Ni-Cu) deposit (Törmänen et al. 2016). The Kevitsa Ni-Cu-(PGE) ore occurs in the central part of the ultramafic portion of the intrusion, whereas magmatic sulfide segregations are more commonly found at the base of differentiated mafic-ultramafic intrusions (e.g., Barnes and Lightfoot 2005). The deposit is made up of low-grade disseminated sulfides with current measured, indicated, and inferred mineral resources of 166Mt at 0.22 % Ni, 0.35 % Cu, 0.13 g/t Pt, and 0.08 g/t, Pd (data available at Boliden AB Web site). The metal content of sulfides shows an unusually large variation with their Ni tenors covering a range from ~4 wt% up to 40 wt% (Mutanen 1997; Yang et al. 2013b).

According to the interpretations by Mutanen (1997), the Kevitsa intrusion represents differentiation of a single batch of basaltic magma and in part, lithological and chemical variations reflect variable degrees of in-situ contamination with material from pelitic metasedimentary and mafic-ultramafic volcanogenic rocks. More recently, the injection of multiple magma pulses has been considered a more plausible explanation for the lithological and compositional variability within the ore domain and for the formation of the sulfide ores (Gregory et al. 2011; Luolavirta et al. 2018). A dynamic magma plumbing system could enable sulfide liquid to interact with a large volume of silicate magma, leading to an increase in the chalcophile element contents of the sulfides. Such an open-system behavior has been emphasized by Naldrett (1999, 2011) as one of the fundamental aspects in the formation of magmatic sulfide ores and has been regarded as
plausible in various well-known ore deposits, such as Voisey’s Bay (Li and Naldrett 1999), Jinchuan
(Song et al. 2009), Noril’sk-Talnakh (Li et al. 2003) and Uitkomst (Li et al. 2002).

Another key process in the formation of magmatic sulfide deposits is segregation of an immiscible
sulfide liquid (e.g., Naldrett 2004). Sulfide saturation of mafic magma can be achieved via various
mechanisms involving changes in magma compositions or P-T conditions (see Li and Ripley 2005
and Ripley and Li 2013 for reviews); yet, incorporation of external sulfur is generally considered
most important (e.g., Ripley and Li 2013; Keyes and Lightfoot 2010). The evidence for the presence
of external sulfur is well-documented from many Ni-Cu-PGE sulfide deposits, such as Noril’sk (Li et
Duan et al. 2016), and Pechenga (Barnes et al. 2001), with the most convincing argument being
the non-mantle-like S isotope signatures. However, some large sulfide deposits, notably Nebo-
Babel (Seat et al. 2009) lack definite crustal S isotopic signatures and hence the necessity of
external sulfur in generating a sulfide deposit is debatable.

In this study, we report in-situ Sr isotope data for plagioclase and in-situ S isotope data for sulfides
from the Kevitsa intrusion in order to assess the nature of magma chamber processes and the role
of crustal contamination in the formation of the Kevitsa intrusion and its ore deposit. Interestingly,
the range of isotopic compositions and the isotope stratigraphy turned out to be markedly
different in different parts of the intrusion.
The ca. 2058±4 Ma Kevitsa mafic-ultramafic intrusion is located in the Central Lapland greenstone belt (CLGB) in northern Finland (Mutanen 1997; Mutanen and Huhma 2001; Fig. 1). The CLGB is mainly composed of Paleoproterozoic komatiitic to rhyolitic metavolcanic rocks, mafic-ultramafic intrusions and sedimentary rocks with an evolutionary history ranging from ca. 2.5 Ga to 1.8 Ga. The geology of the CLGB is summarized by Hanski and Huhma (2005). A number of mafic-ultramafic intrusive bodies and volcanic rocks occur in the vicinity of the Kevitsa intrusion, including the large 2.44 Ga Koitelainen layered intrusion (Mutanen and Huhma 2001) and the Cu-Ni-PGE ore-bearing Sakatti intrusion (Brownscombe et al. 2015).

The CLGB is divided into six stratigraphic groups which are from oldest to youngest: Salla, Onkamo (currently Kuusamo Group), Sodankylä, Savukoski, Kittilä (Kittilä suite) and Kumpu Groups (Hanski and Huhma 2005; Luukas et al. 2017). The Kevitsa intrusion is hosted by the Savukoski Group volcano-sedimentary sequence consisting of interlayered phyllites, graphitic black shales and mafic to ultramafic volcanogenic rocks (Lehtonen et al. 1998; Hanski et al. 2001a; Hanski and Huhma 2005). The volcano-sedimentary country rocks are locally recrystallized to a fine-grained hornfels aureole around the intrusion. The metavolcanic rocks and, in particular, the black shales, may contain high quantities of sulfides.
The Kevitsa intrusion is composed of an approximately 1.5-km-thick ultramafic lower part and a gabbroic upper part with a minor amount of granophyre on top (Mutanen 1997; Fig. 1). The maximum thickness of the gabbroic succession at the current erosional level exceeds 500 m. In addition, dunitic rocks occur as inclusions within the Kevitsa intrusion and as a separate intrusive body (Central Dunite) in close association with the Kevitsa intrusive successions (Mutanen 1997; Yang et al. 2013b; Luolavirta et al. in press) (Fig. 1).

**Rock types**

At the bottom of the Kevitsa intrusion, there is a basal series comprising pyroxenite and gabbro. The overlying ultramafic cumulates include olivine pyroxenites (OLPX), plagioclase-bearing (olivine) websterites (pOLWB) and pyroxenites (PX) (Fig. 2). The olivine pyroxenites (more precisely olivine websterites and olivine clinopyroxenites) represent the most abundant rock type and are composed of cumulus olivine (10–30 %), clinopyroxene (65–85 %), and oikocrystic orthopyroxene (0–15 %), showing ad- to mesocumulate textures (Figs. 3a, b). The pyroxenites contain less than 5 % olivine (Fig. 3c). Accessory minerals include magnetite, intercumulus plagioclase, sulfides and locally phlogopite, hornblende, ilmenite, and apatite. Plagioclase-bearing olivine websterites can be distinguished from typical olivine pyroxenites and pyroxenites by their higher contents of plagioclase (15–25 %) and orthopyroxene (15–30 %). In pOLWB, plagioclase occurs largely as an intercumulus phase defining an orthocumulate texture (Figs. 3d–e). Olivine
can be one of the major constituents of pOLWB (up to 15%) or absent. Hornblende, phlogopite, magnetite, and sulfides are common accessory minerals. Fine-grained gabbros (microgabbros) with gradational contacts are found in close association with pOLWB and are considered as part of the pOLWB zones. Hence, we use the term pOLWB or pOLWB zone as a lithological unit including microgabbros.

Clinopyroxene and olivine are the prevalent constituents throughout the ultramafic zone, being generally subhedral and rather equal in size (~0.5–1.5 mm). Orthopyroxene is oikocrystic (~2–5 mm), enclosing rounded to anhedral grains of olivine, clinopyroxene, and magnetite. In olivine pyroxenites and pyroxenites, plagioclase occurs as a low-mode intercumulus phase. In pOLWB, plagioclase occupies large intercumulus domains as irregularly shaped individual grains up to 5 mm in size, which may enclose olivine and clinopyroxene. Aggregates of smaller anhedral/subhedral plagioclase crystals occur as well.

The Central Dunite is composed of olivine-chromite cumulates, with its modal mineralogy varying from dunite to wehrlite and feldspathic wehrlite. The dunite body shows a chemical affinity to the picritic basalts of the Savukoski Group as well as to the Kevitsa olivine-pyroxene cumulates and has been regarded as representing an initial stage of the formation of the Kevitsa intrusive suite rocks (Luolavirta et al. in press). The ore-bearing domain of the ultramafic zone is characterized by numerous rafts of dunitic rocks (up to several tens of meters in size) and komatiitic xenoliths. Pelitic xenoliths are rare within the ultramafic zone and tend to be found near the basal contact of the intrusion.
**Internal stratigraphy**

In terms of lithological variation, the cumulate stratigraphy of the ultramafic rocks constituting the ore-bearing domain and the surrounding intrusion are hard to correlate. The ore domain is characterized by numerous dunitic and komatiitic inclusions, discontinuous zones of pOLWB within the OLPX (Fig. 2a) and stratigraphic fluctuations in whole-rock and mineral compositions. Around the ore domain, the rocks appear far more homogeneous and a simple stratigraphy with a typical evolutionary trend from basal series rocks via olivine pyroxenites and pyroxenites is observed (Fig. 2b). The relationship between the gabbroic zone and the ultramafic part of the Kevitsa intrusion is not well constrained. Overall, in the south, the contact appears steeply dipping and inter-fingered.

**The deposit and ore types**

The Kevitsa Ni-Cu-(PGE) deposit is hosted by olivine pyroxenites in the central part of the ultramafic zone of the intrusion (Fig. 2a). The mineralization is made up of low-grade disseminated sulfides, with the whole-rock sulfur content typically being below 3 wt%. The low grades are compensated by the large dimensions of the ore body: it extends along the northwest axis for more than 1200 m, has a width of ~500 m and exceeds to a depth of up to 800 m. The ore body is irregular in shape and consists of several mineralized domains with variable ore grades.
Based mainly on the Ni tenor, four ore types were recognized by Mutanen (1997): “regular”, “Ni-PGE”, “false” and contact mineralization. The regular and Ni-PGE ore (Ni-Cu-(PGE) ore) comprise the economic resources, of which the regular ore type covers ~95 % by volume (Santaguida et al. 2015). The regular ore type generally has a Ni tenor in the range of 4–7 %, with Ni/Cu ratio falling below 1 and the PGE content varying from "low" (~100 ppb of Pt) to high (~750 ppb of Pt). The Ni-PGE ore occurs as lens-like discontinuous bodies and is characterized by a high Ni tenor of >10 %, low copper and high PGE contents (400 to 3000 ppb of Pt). In the preliminary characterization of the ore types by Mutanen (1997), the term "transitional ore" was also used to an ore type with an intermediate composition between the regular and Ni-PGE ore. However, based on the chemical affinity of the transitional ore towards the Ni-PGE ore (Hanski et al. 1997, discussed below), the transitional ore can be considered lower-grade Ni-PGE ore. The uneconomic mineralization that is called false ore has a low Ni tenor (0.5–4 wt%) and low Pd and Pt contents, ranging from few tens of ppb to values below the detection limit of 10 ppb. The contact mineralization can locally comprise semi-massive sulfides but shows very low metal contents (Ni tenor 1–2 wt%). It is worth emphasizing that there exists a continuous range of ore compositions with two broad compositional trends from the regular ore: one towards ores very rich in Ni (and PGE) and low in Cu and the other towards mineralized rocks almost totally devoid of Ni, Cu and PGE.

There is a clear relationship between the metal tenors of the different ore types and their sulfide mineral assemblages. The dominant ore minerals are pentlandite and chalcopyrite in the regular ore type and pentlandite, millerite, and heazlewoodite in the Ni-PGE ore type. The false ore and contact mineralization are dominated by pyrrhotite. The sulfides occur together with a small amount of magnetite in the interstitial spaces between olivine and pyroxene grains. Besides the
well-developed magmatic textures of the sulfides, the magmatic origin of the mineralization is
reflected, for example, in positive correlations between nickel, copper and sulfur contents as well
as between platinum and palladium concentrations (not shown). Pd/Pt ratios for false ore, regular
ore and Ni-PGE ore are similar (~0.6; Le Vaillant et al. 2016). Effects of hydrothermal alteration to
the Kevitsa sulfide ore has recently been discussed by Le Vaillant et al. (2016) who argue that of
the base metals, copper (and Au) may have been locally redistributed, but to what extent is not
well established.

Besides metal tenors, the ore types show differences in their REE contents and isotope
compositions. The most intriguing mineralization type is the Ni-PGE ore, which has abnormally
high contents of Ni, not only in the sulfides, but also in the primary silicates (olivine and
clinopyroxene), being in this sense very “primitive” (Mutanen 1997; Yang et al. 2013b; Luolavirta
et al. 2018). Yet, this ore type has significantly LREE-enriched chondrite-normalized REE patterns
(CeN/YbN ~7, Hanski et al. 1997; Luolavirta et al. 2018) and records low initial εNd (~6.4; Huhma et
al. 2017 in review) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, Luolavirta et al. (2018) demonstrated that there is a
mineralogical difference between the ore types, as the host rocks to the Ni-PGE ore type tend to
be virtually devoid of orthopyroxene (olivine clinopyroxenites). The εNd values for regular and false
ore are similar (-3.4; 4Huhma et al. 2017 in review) (Fig. 4) with both of them showing mildly LREE-
enriched REE patterns (CeN/YbN ~2; Hanski et al. 1997; Luolavirta et al. 2018), but they differ in
terms of their S isotope compositions. Average whole-rock δ34S values of +3.8, +6.1 and +8.2‰
have been reported for regular, Ni-PGE (including the transitional ore) and false ore, respectively
(Grinenko et al. 2003) (Fig. 5).
**Sampling and analytical methods**

**Samples**

Samples for *in-situ* strontium and sulfur isotope analyses were collected from three drill cores to cover the stratigraphy through the ultramafic cumulate succession and to obtain isotope data from the different ore types. Drill core KV-103 represents the ore domain, being relatively deep (~750 m) and intersecting both the regular and Ni-PGE ore types. Drill cores KV-280 and KV-297 are located a few hundred meters outside the ore-bearing domain and intersect a false ore-type mineralization and contact mineralization. The compositions of major minerals (olivine, clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene) of these drill cores are discussed in another paper (Luolavirta et al. 2018).

**Analytical methods**

*In-situ* Sr isotope analyses of plagioclase were performed by laser ablation multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-MC-ICP-MS) using a Nu Plasma HR mass spectrometer and a Photon Machine Analyte G2 laser microprobe at the Geological Survey of Finland in Espoo. Samples were ablated in He gas (gas flows = 0.4 and 0.1 l/min) within a HelEx ablation cell (Müller et al. 2009). Strontium isotope analyses of plagioclase were made in static ablation mode employing the following parameters: beam diameter 110 μm, pulse frequency 10 Hz, beam fluence 2.07 J/cm². The MC-ICP-MS instrument was equipped with 9 Faraday detectors and amplifiers with $10^{11}$ Ω resistors. During the laser ablation, data were collected in static mode.
(\(^{84}\text{Sr}-\text{Kr}, \ ^{85}\text{Rb}, \ ^{86}\text{Sr}-\text{Kr}, \ ^{87}\text{Rb}-\text{Sr}, \ ^{88}\text{Sr}\)). Measured isotope ratios were corrected for instrument fractionation applying an exponential law and a \(^{86}\text{Sr}/^{88}\text{Sr}\) value of 0.1194. The isobaric interference of \(^{87}\text{Rb}\) on \(^{87}\text{Sr}\) was monitored and corrected using the \(^{85}\text{Rb}\) ion signal and a value of 0.38571 for the \(^{87}\text{Rb}/^{85}\text{Rb}\) ratio. The isobaric interference of \(^{86}\text{Kr}\) on \(^{86}\text{Sr}\) was corrected using a 30 s background measurement, preceding every ablation. Strontium isotope ratios were age-corrected to 2058 Ma based on \(^{85}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratios. The average total Sr signal obtained for plagioclase samples was 0.4 V. Under these conditions, 120 s of ablation are needed to obtain an internal precision of \(\leq \pm 0.00007\) (1\(\sigma\)). The decay constant of \(^{87}\text{Rb}\) of \(1.3968 \times 10^{-11} \text{y}^{-1}\) given by Rotenberg et al. (2012) was used in all calculations. The accuracy of the laser ablation protocol was verified throughout the day of measurement by repeated analysis of an in-house plagioclase standard from a megacryst of the Cameroon volcanic chain (sample Mir a; Rankenburg et al. 2004). The laser ablation parameters were similar for the samples and standard. During the course of this study, the measured \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) values for the standard ranged from 0.70300 to 0.70319 and averaged 0.70310 ± 0.00008 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=30\)), which is similar to the TIMS value of 0.70311 ± 0.0001 (2\(\sigma\)) obtained by Rankenburg et al. (2004). Variations in the \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio of the plagioclase standard over the course of the study are given in Electronic Supplementary Material 1 (ESM 1).

**In-situ** sulfur isotopes analyses of pyrrhotite and pyrite were performed employing the same instrument as for the Sr isotope analyses. Samples were ablated in He gas (gas flows = 0.4 and 0.1 l/min) within a HelEx ablation cell (Müller et al. 2009). Sulfur isotopes were measured at medium resolution. During the ablation, data were collected in static mode (\(^{32}\text{S}, \ ^{34}\text{S}\)). Sulfide samples were ablated at a spatial resolution of 50 micrometers, using a laser beam fluence of 0.83 J/cm\(^2\) at 5Hz. The total S signal was typically 0.5–4.0 V. Under these conditions, after a 20 s baseline
measurement, 30–40 s of ablation is needed to obtain an internal precision in \(^{34}\text{S}/^{32}\text{S}\) of \(\leq \pm 0.000005\) (1 SE). In-house pyrrhotite and pyrite standards were utilized for external standard bracketing and quality control of analyses. The standards used have been analyzed by gas mass spectrometry. For the quality control pyrite standard, our measured \(\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{CDT}}\) (‰) value is +6.61 ± 0.45 (n=29) against the gas mass spectrometer-determined value of +5.8 ± 0.3 (‰). For pyrrhotite, these values are 4.4 ± 0.3 (‰, 1σ, n=13) and +4.8 ± 0.3 (‰, 1σ, n=3), respectively.

Plagioclase compositions were determined using a JEOL JXA-8200 electron microprobe at the Center of Microscopy and Nanotechnology, the University of Oulu, with a standard built-in ZAF correction routine. The analytical conditions were an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a beam current of 30 nA. Peak counting times on major elements were 60 s and 30 s for the background. The whole-rock trace-elements used in this study were provided by the mining company. Whole-rock major and trace element abundances were produced at an ALS geochemistry laboratory using ICP-MS/ICP-AES after four-acid near-total sample digestion and precious metals by ICP-MS/ICP-AES after fire assay pre-concentration.

Results

Sr isotope composition of plagioclase

General features

Only fresh and nonfractured interstitial plagioclase grains were selected for laser ablation to minimize alteration effects to the Rb-Sr isotope system. The Rb/Sr ratios of the analyzed plagioclase grains are low and relatively constant (≤0.005) indicating very little growth of
radiogenic $^{87}\text{Sr}$ by $^{87}\text{Rb}$ decay since the crystallization of the Kevitsa intrusion at 2.06 Ga. As stated above, results of repeated analyses of in-house standard are within the recommended value, verifying the accuracy of our laser ablation protocol (see ESM 1). Representative isotope data with $2\sigma$ error margins and anorthite contents of plagioclase are presented in Table 1 and the whole dataset is tabulated in Electronic Supplementary Material 2 (ESM 2).

It must be noted that the low modal of plagioclase restricted the number of spot analyses in OLPX and PX samples, whereas for pOLWB, a more comprehensive sampling could be conducted. Nevertheless, the measured $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ ratios are heterogeneous in each sample (Fig. 3) and overall, no observable correlations with An contents and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ could be established. Relationships between the anorthite content and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ in plagioclase are illustrated in Electronic Supplementary Material 3 (ESM 3).

**Drill cores KV297 and KV280 (outside the ore domain)**

The initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios in the samples collected from the "unmineralized" part of the Kevitsa intrusion vary from 0.7047 to 0.7060 (Figs. 6 and 7). In one sample of false ore (KV280 ~520 m) and in the gabbro at the top of drill core KV-297, individual spot analyses show slightly higher initial ratios (0.0768 and 0.7065, respectively). However, the observed range of Sr isotope compositions remain relatively constant throughout the stratigraphy.
Overall, the An contents increase slightly from the base upwards, after which they remain constant in OLPX and then decrease towards the top of the ultramafic unit. One sample (at a depth of around 750 m in drill core KV280) stands out in having markedly lower An contents. This sample contains abundant primary phlogopite, which is not common in other studied samples. The only cumulus plagioclase analyzed in this study is from the gabbro sample at the top of drill core KV-297. This sample shows a highly heterogeneous plagioclase composition but the Sr isotope ratios are in the same range as in the underlying ultramafic cumulates. It appears that the cores of individual plagioclase grains record the lowest $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ ratios (~0.7055) in this gabbroic sample (see ESM 3).

**Drill core KV103 (ore domain)**

In drill core KV103, representing the ore-bearing domain of the ultramafic zone, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ varies considerably from 0.7050 to as high as 0.7109 (Fig. 8). The highest Sr isotope ratios, 0.7089–0.7109, were measured from the host rocks to the Ni-PGE ore type, which is consistent with the highly non-radiogenic Nd isotope composition of this ore type (Hanski et al. 1997, Fig. 4). Also a marked peak in La/Nb coincides with the Ni-PGE ore, indicating elevated LREE contents in the host rocks. The mineral compositions and Sr isotope ratios vary widely in the olivine pyroxenites below the pOLWB. The OPLXs above the pOLWB unit are characterized by more uniform Sr isotope ratios of 0.7055 to 0.7073 and a smooth decrease in the An contents of plagioclase (except for a sharp increase in the uppermost portion of the drill core), but oscillatory variations in the contents of trace elements, such as Zr, and in the olivine compositions. Overall, the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ values are somewhat higher in comparison to the values obtained for rocks outside the ore domain (~0.7047
to 0.7060). The samples of regular ore yielded initial Sr isotope ratios of 0.7055 to 0.7068, similar to those of the false ore and consistent with the overlap in the initial \( \varepsilon_{Nd} \) values between these ore types (Hansi et al. 1997, Fig. 4).

The \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) values within the pOLWB zone show a progressive upward increase, which correlates with a decrease in the An contents of plagioclase and an increase in the Fo contents of olivine. Sample-scale and intra-grain variations in \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) are large (Figs. 3d–f, ESM 3). Core to rim traverses across selected plagioclase grains did not reveal any systematic variations in the An content and/or Sr isotope ratio. One sample is an exception where the core domains of individual grains tend to record the lowest \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) values (KV-103-541.14, see ESM 3) and in this case, it can be postulated that the plagioclase cores represent an early cumulus phase mantled by overgrowths with a variable isotope signature. The microgabbros seem to record two groups of plagioclase with different \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \). However, the small number of analyses may have generated an analytical bias, since distinct ratios were measured from single grain and no correlation between the \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) ratio and An content of that spot can be demonstrated.

A sharp decrease in the Sr isotope ratio at the upper pOLWB–OLPX contact coincides with a minor increase in the olivine Fo contents and the occurrence of ore grades of Ni-Cu sulfides (regular ore).

**In-situ S isotope analyses**
Sulfur isotope compositions were analyzed for pyrrhotite and, in two samples, also for pyrite. In addition to the different ore types, $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values were also measured for sulfides from "barren" rocks with little sulfides. Representative S isotope analyses are listed in Table 1. The whole dataset is provided in Electronic Supplementary Material 4 (ESM 4) and illustrated in Fig. 5.

**Drill core KV297 and KV280 (outside the ore domain)**

The false ore samples from drill core KV-280 (outside the ore domain) record a relatively heavy sulfur isotope compositions, with $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ ranging from +5.1 to +8.0 ‰ and the median $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ value being ~+6.5 ‰ (Figs. 5 and 7). For false ore samples, Grinenko et al. (2003) report a wide range of whole-rock $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values from +3.4 up to +18.6 ‰. Most of these whole-rock S isotope data cluster in the range from +5.5 to +11.7 ‰, being broadly in line with the measured in-situ values of this study (Fig. 5). The $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values in S-poor samples from drill cores KV297 and KV280 range between +2.5 and +6, being generally ≥ +3 ‰ in the lower parts of these drill cores and ≤3 ‰ higher in the stratigraphy (Figs. 6 and 7).

**Drill core KV103 (within the ore domain)**

The obtained $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values for the regular ore type vary from +3.6 to +5.5 ‰, with a median of ~+4 ‰, consistent with the previously measured whole-rock $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of +2.0 to +4.6 ‰ (+3.8 ‰ on
average; Grinenko et al. 2003, Fig. 5). The samples of the Ni-PGE ore type record $\delta^{34}S$ values of +1.3 to +4.0 ‰ with a median value of +2.6 ‰ (Fig. 5). Interestingly, the obtained in-situ $\delta^{34}S$ values for Ni-PGE ore are lower than the whole-rock values of +3.0 to +8.8 ‰ (+6.1 ‰ on average) reported by Grinenko et al. (2003) (Fig. 5).

The S isotope compositions remain constant in the OLPX below the pOLWB whereas the mineral compositions and Sr isotope ratios vary (Fig. 8). In pOLWB, S isotopic compositions are heavy and vary considerably from ~+4‰ up to ~+12‰ with stratigraphic fluctuations. The S isotope compositions show mantle-like (from -0.4‰ to +1.55‰) values at a depth of around 300 m, which coincide with a reversal in the whole-rock Zr contents and is roughly coincidental with the onset of a reversal in olivine composition observed few tens of meters below this depth (see Fig. 8). No correlation exists between the S and Sr isotope compositions.

Discussion

Petrogenetic implications for the formation of the Kevitsa ultramafic cumulates

Stratigraphic variations and reversals in mineral compositions and whole-rock element abundances and/or changes in the types and proportions of fractionating minerals are generally considered to indicate open magma chamber processes and periods of magma recharge in igneous rock suites (e.g., Cox and Hawkesworth 1985; Eales et al. 1986, 1990). Radiogenic isotopes (such as Sr) may provide further evidence for such magma chamber processes (e.g., Namur et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2014) by identifying influxes of isotopically different magmas.
This study has shown that compared to the estimated 2.06 Ga depleted-mantle or bulk-Earth Sr isotope ratios of 0.7018 and 0.7023, respectively (O’Nions et al. 1979), the calculated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}(i)$ values of plagioclase (>0.7045) are highly radiogenic throughout the Kevitsa ultramafic cumulates, implying strong involvement of crustal material in their genesis. Similarly, the $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values measured for pyrrhotite and pyrite vary from -0.42 to +8.00 ‰, being generally greater than +2 ‰ (Fig. 5) and hence heavier than uncontaminated mantle-derived sulfur, which has been estimated to have $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values from -2 to +2 ‰ (Ripley and Li 2003).

The Sr isotope ratios of the Ni-PGE ore (0.709–0.711) are generally much higher than those (~0.704 to 0.709) obtained by whole-rock (Eales et al. 1990; Lee and Butcher 1990; Kruger 1994; Mitchell et al. 1998) or plagioclase analyses (Seabrook et al. 2005; Yang et al. 2013a; Mangwegape et al. 2016; Wilson et al. 2017) of Lower and Main zone rocks from the Bushveld Complex, which is similar in age to the Kevitsa intrusion (Fig. 4). There are no Sr isotope data on the immediate country rocks or any other rock type from the vicinity of the Kevitsa intrusion to compare with, but Kröner et al. (1981) have published Sr isotope data from the 3.1 Ga Tojottamanselkä gneiss dome located around 10 km north of Kevitsa. At 2.06 Ga, these gneisses had $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of 0.707–0.711, which overlap the upper part of the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}(i)$ range measured for Kevitsa rocks. The similarity of the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}(i)$ values suggests that the contaminant end-member must have had even more radiogenic Sr than that of the ancient gneisses of the Tojottamanselkä dome.

It is worth emphasizing that the Sr isotopes were analyzed using intercumulus plagioclase. It is well-known that the interstitial liquid may percolate within the cumulus pile and hence its composition may not directly reflect that of the coexisting cumulus phases (e.g. Boudreau and
However, in the case of the Ni-PGE ore, the peculiar features of the silicates (enrichment in LREE, highly radiogenic Sr in plagioclase, highly negative initial whole-rock $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, and Ni-rich olivine) as well as the sulfide phase (high Ni tenor) seem to be characteristic for all analyzed samples. In order to preserve these unique features, neither the sulfide liquid nor the intercumulus silicate melt could have migrated extensively with respect to each other or with respect to the cumulus minerals.

**Formation of the “unmineralized” domain of the intrusion**

The ultramafic cumulates around the ore domain (drill cores KV-297 and KV-280) show modest fluctuations in whole-rock Zr and olivine Fo contents, rather constant La/Nb ratio, and record predictable fractionation trends from the basal pyroxene-gabbro via olivine pyroxenites to pyroxenites (and gabbro in drill core KV-297) (Figs. 6 and 7). Also, the range of the Sr isotope compositions remain constant throughout the stratigraphy. From the base upwards, reverse fractionation trends can be observed, particularly in the Zr contents. Such marginal reversals are a common feature for mafic intrusive bodies worldwide and are considered to reflect prolonged magma emplacement (Latypov et al. 2011; Egorova and Latypov 2012a, 2012b).

The recent model by Le Vaillant et al. (2017) proposes that the metal-poor sulfide dissemination (false ore) around the ore domain formed within early-stage xenolith-laden sill-like intrusions in which high viscosity circumstances restricted mixing of the magma and sulfide liquid, resulting in low metal tenors. This model is not consistent with the observed homogeneity in the chemical and
Sr isotopic compositions of the ultramafic cumulates around the ore domain. We propose that the rocks around the ore domain formed by continuous inputs of chemically and isotopically rather uniform basaltic magma into the Kevitsa magma chamber, followed by crystal fractionation.

The S isotope compositions in false ores are markedly heavier in comparison to "barren" rocks ($S < 0.5$ wt%). Also the chalcophile metal contents, particularly those of Pt and Pd, as well as the Ni content in olivine (discussed further below) are relatively low. Giving the predictable evolutionary paths and compositional homogeneity of several hundred meters thick succession of olivine pyroxenite in the drill cores located outside the ore domain, the inputs of magma hardly took place as discrete periods of magma emplacement, yet the metal content and the sulfide budget of the inflowing magma batches varied. Notably, the Sr isotopic compositions remain rather homogeneous throughout the stratigraphy whereas the S isotopic compositions vary. This is best explained by bulk contamination of the magma at some deep-seated staging chamber and variable degrees of assimilation of crustal sulfur during transportation of the magma into the Kevitsa magma chamber.

Formation of the ore-bearing domain of the intrusion

The compositional stratigraphy of drill core KV-103 is characterized by obvious fluctuations in the whole-rock Zr contents and olivine compositions and variations in the Sr and S isotope signatures (Fig. 8). Luolavirta et al. (2018) suggest that the stratigraphic variations in mineral and whole-rock compositions in the drill cores from the ore domain reflect episodes of magma replenishment. The
high $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ values (0.709–0.711) in the host rocks to the Ni-PGE ore and their marked decrease at the level of the regular ore further support open magma chamber processes, yet restricted to the ore-bearing domain of the intrusion. The sulfur isotope ratios in drill core KV-103 show no correlation with the Sr isotope compositions, indicating that the magma pulses assimilated variable degrees of silicate components and sulfur from the country rocks or the isotopic signatures were generated via separate contamination processes (as proposed based on isotopic data outside the deposit area in drill cores KV-297 and KV-280).

Sample-scale Sr isotope heterogeneity and intra-mineral disequilibrium in pOLWB

Plagioclase-bearing olivine websterites are only found in the ore domain where they form irregular zones that locally act as marker horizon for regular ore-type sulfides (as in drill core KV-103). According to the model by Mutanen (1997), whereby all lithological and chemical variations are a result of variable degrees of in-situ contamination, the zones of pOLWB could be interpreted to reflect significant incorporation of pelitic material in their genesis. More recently, the generation of the zones of pOLWB has been related to fractionation of individual magma pulses, with sulfide mineralization occurring near the basal parts of these pulses (Gregory et al. 2011). The obtained Sr isotope ratios show a progressive increase up-hole through the pOLWB, which correlates with a decreasing anorthite content of plagioclase and an increasing Fo content of olivine. Such mineral compositional and isotopic profiles cannot be explained by simple crystal fractionation.
In the pOLWB zones, the sample-scale variations in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ are relatively large and core-to-rim traverses reveal considerable intra-mineral isotopic differences (Fig. 3d-f, ESM3). Grain-scale isotopic disequilibrium has been explained by interaction of the initial magma with a new, isotopically distinct magma influx (Tepley et al. 2000; Gao et al. 2015), by late-stage infiltration of isotopically distinct residual melt or fluid through the cumulate pile and its reaction with solid crystals in the pile (Chutas et al. 2012; Yang et al. 2013a), or crystallization accompanied by contamination (Templey and Davidson 2003). These processes should result in systematic core-to-rim zonation in isotope compositions of individual grains. Chutas et al. (2012) also observed different Sr isotope compositions between large and small orthopyroxene grains in the Lower Zone of Bushveld Complex and proposed that the larger grains grew at the expense of smaller ones in the presence of fluid with different $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$.

To explain the wide sample- and grain-scale spread of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ in pOLWB is not straightforward. First of all, we have not observed either clear trends in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ values between plagioclase cores and rims or any distinct textural populations that record more homogeneous $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ values. Also, no apparent correlation exists between $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ and An, suggesting that the plagioclase crystallized from an isotopically heterogeneous intercumulus liquid. However, it must be noted that the analyzed plagioclase grains are irregular in shape and the actual position of core domains (assumed early cumulate) cannot be accurately defined. The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ values in the pOLWB zone approach those measured from the underlying OLPX unit hosting Ni-PGE ore and hence, upwards percolation of residual melt originating from the underlying OLPX could be a viable explanation for the radiogenic Sr in the pOLWB. However, in this case, it would be reasonable to expect an opposite sense of isotopic change, i.e., an upwards decrease in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_{(i)}$ in the pOLWB. A similar
assumption would apply to the interaction (mixing/mingling or melt percolation) between pOLWB with the overlying OLPX.

A concomitant up-hole increase in Mg# of orthopyroxene and initial Sr isotope ratio, similar to that observed in pOLWB zone, has been described from the basal unit of the Lower Main Zone of the Bushveld Complex (Mitchell 1990; Mitchell et al. 1998). It was explained by mixing of resident residual magma in the chamber with new influxes of the Main Zone-type, high-$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} (i)$ magma. Progressive mixing or mingling of unsolidified pOLWB and the overlying, more primitive OLPX could potentially explain the reverse fractionation trends in mafic minerals, yet as discussed above, the Sr isotopic profile across the pOLWB - OLPX contact does not indicate any significant interaction between these rock units. It must be noted that the compositions of ferromagnesian minerals may have equilibrated with variable degrees of trapped liquid resulting in modifications in their primary compositions (Barnes 1986). This could potentially explain the up-hole increase in the olivine Fo contents in the pOLWB zone. Petrography or whole-rock compositions of the studied samples, however, do not reveal any significant differences in the amount of trapped liquid.

In the Rum layered intrusion, Templey and Davidson (2003) observed a trend of upward-increasing plagioclase $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} (i)$ towards a lithological unit contact, accompanied by isotopic disequilibrium between cores and rims of some grains. The authors propose that the magma from which the plagioclase crystallized underwent progressively larger degrees of in-situ contamination. The model predicts that isotopic disequilibrium develops in minerals initially growing near the roof/margins of the intrusion where crustal contamination can be assumed to be most effective.
The presence of microgabbros within the zones of pOLWB supports the crystallization of the pOLWB in proximity to the wall rocks. Similar considerations can be inferred from the relatively large variation in the S isotope composition in the pOLWB zones. However, we cannot unambiguously state whether the pOLWB potentially represents a roof sequence of a discrete magma pulse or a separate intrusive phase(s) at the time when the geometry of the Kevitsa intrusion was different from what is currently observed, or autolith(s) of some former marginal-phase rock of the Kevitsa intrusion.

**Implications for ore-forming processes**

**Isotopic constraints on the origin of the Ni-Cu-(PGE) ore**

Many authors have discussed and reviewed the theoretical aspects related to the formation of magmatic Ni-Cu-PGE deposits (e.g., Naldrett 1999, 2004, 2011; Maier et al. 2001; Arndt et al. 2005; Barnes and Lightfoot 2005; Maier and Groves 2011; Song et al. 2011). Briefly, the key factors include: i) a reasonably high degree of mantle melting generating a parental mafic-ultramafic magma with adequate concentrations of metals, ii) emplacement of the magma into or onto the crust with minimum prior fractionation of olivine or sulfides, iii) contamination of the magma with crustal materials, promoting sulfide saturation, iv) interaction of sulfides with a large volume of magma resulting in enrichment of the sulfides in metals and v) mechanical concentration of sulfides to economic levels.
The solubility of sulfide in a mafic magma is known to increase with falling pressure and hence magmas ascending to shallow crustal depths are likely sulfur undersaturated (e.g., Mavrogenes & O’Neill 1999). Consequently, a process is required to bring the magma to sulfur saturation under low-pressure conditions. In the case of magmatic Ni-Cu sulfide deposits, this is generally achieved via various processes of contamination, such as addition of silica or volatiles (see Ripley and Li 2013 for a review). Evidently, the most feasible process is incorporation or external sulfur to the magma as evidenced by the non-mantle-like S isotopic signatures of various sulfide deposits (e.g., Li et al. 2003; Ripley et al. 1999, 2002, 2005; Barnes et al. 2001; Duan et al. 2016).

In this respect, the Kevitsa deposit is not an exception as almost all measured $\delta^{34}$S isotope values in both "barren" and mineralized samples in the Kevitsa ultramafic cumulates exceed $+2\%$ (Fig. 5), suggesting incorporation of crustal sulfur into the Kevitsa magma. Both the regular and false ore type record heavy S isotope compositions ($\delta^{34}$S averaging $+4.0\%$ and $+6.5\%$, respectively) and hence external sulfur appears to have been important in the formation of these mineralization styles. For the Ni-PGE ore type, the average in-situ $\delta^{34}$S value ($\sim +2.6\%$) is only slightly above the assumed mantle values. However, significantly heavier whole-rock $\delta^{34}$S values of $+3.7$ to $+8.8\%$ have also been reported (Grinenko et al. 2003) and hence there might be a substantial internal isotopic variation in these ore zones.

The obtained Sr and S isotope compositions do not show any mutual correlation. In particular, outside the ore domain, the Sr isotopic compositions remain relatively constant throughout the stratigraphy whereas S isotopes vary significantly (Figs. 6 and 7). As discussed above, this suggests bulk contamination of the silicate magma at depth, followed by selective assimilation of crustal sulfur during the transportation of the magma into the Kevitsa magma chamber. This is in
agreement with Grinenko et al. (2003) who reported heavy S isotopic compositions (+18 ‰ on average) for the immediate sedimentary rocks around the Kevitsa intrusion but noted marked decoupling in the C contents and δ\(^{13}\text{C}\) values between the Kevitsa ores and sediments. Consequently, they concluded that these sedimentary rocks could not act as the main source of sulfur and hence sulfur assimilation took place at a deeper level in the crust.

As discussed above, it is proposed that the ore-bearing domain of the intrusion formed via multiple emplacements of variably contaminated silicate magma and sulfide liquid. Dynamic systems are generally considered favorable for generating economic sulfide deposits because sulfides are able to interact with, and collect metals from, a large volume of magma (e.g., Naldrett 2011). The vigorous emplacement of the ore domain of the Kevitsa intrusion is further supported by the presence of numerous dunitic inclusions and komatiitic xenoliths, highlighting the capacity of intruding magmas to break off fragments from adjacent wall rocks. Recently, Luolavirta et al. (in press) proposed that the flow rate of the magma decreased due to the entrapment of a large number of inclusions, which aided settling of the sulfide droplets. An alternative view by Le Vaillant et al. (2017) proposes that the regular ores formed under high R factors in an expanded convecting magma chamber.

The origin of the Ni-PGE ore stays enigmatic. Yang et al. (2013b) suggested that assimilation of Ni-rich sulfides from komatiitic xenoliths enriched the magma in Ni, leading to the crystallization of Ni-enriched olivine found in the Ni-PGE ore and the formation of this ore type. However, they acknowledge that this model fails to explain the peculiar isotopic and REE characteristics of the ore type. The crustal-like isotope compositions of the host rocks to the Ni-PGE ore suggest
significant incorporation of crustal material in their genesis. This is in contrast with the primitive mineral compositions as well as the mineralogy of the host rocks (olivine-clinopyroxene cumulates with little or no orthopyroxene), which argue against any excessive role of crustal contamination. The contaminant for the Ni-PGE ore remains unidentified but was likely poor in silica and rich in calcium (and obviously Ni and PGEs) to aid crystallization of Ca-pyroxene rather than orthopyroxene. To generate the markedly distinct chemistry of the Ni-PGE ore in comparison to the regular and false ore, the magma(s) producing the Ni-PGE ore type probably interacted with different country rocks en route to the Kevitsa magma chamber.

The regular and false ore types record similar initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (this study) and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (Huhma et al. 2017 in review), REE characteristics (Hanski et al. 1997; Luolavirta et al. 2018) as well as a range in the compositions of ferromagnesian minerals. Hence, the parental silicate magmas for the two ore types were likely similar in composition. However, marked differences are observed in the S isotope compositions and metal contents in minerals and rocks, which are discussed in the following chapter.

**Previous sulfide segregation**

Olivine Fo-Ni trends are powerful in providing information about the evolution of the magmas, and in particular their sulfide saturation history (e.g., Li & Naldrett 1999; Li et al. 2004; Li et al. 2007; Thakurta et al. 2008; Li et al. 2013). This is due to the fact that in the presence of sulfides, Ni as a highly chalcophile element ($D_{\text{Ni}} \sim 500$; Peach et al. 1990) readily partitions into the sulfide
phase, resulting in Ni depletion in the magma and in the olivine crystallized from it. Also, sub-solidus Ni-Fe exchange reactions between olivine and coexisting sulfide may modify the primary olivine compositions, producing an inverse olivine Ni-Fo relationship as documented from several magmatic sulfide ore deposits, such as Jinchuan (Li et al. 2004), Noril’sk and Talnakh (Li et al. 2003) and Voisey’s Bay (Li and Naldrett 1999).

In the Kevitsa olivine-pyroxene cumulates, sample-scale variations in the Fo content of olivine are modest (~1 %) but Ni in olivine may vary considerably, resulting in a wide scatter in a Fo vs. Ni plot (Fig. 9). The same is true for the olivine in the Central Dunite and dunite inclusions hosted by the Kevitsa intrusion (Luolavirta et al. in press). To model fractional crystallization, theoretical olivine Fo-Ni compositional trends were constructed using the PETROLG software (Danyushevsky and Plechov 2011). The olivine-melt model (involving $D^{Ni}$) from Herzberg and O’Hara (2002), the clinopyroxene-melt model from Danyushevsky (2001), and the clinopyroxene-melt $D^{Ni}$ value of 3 (Lindstrom and Weill 1978) were used. A Mg-rich picritic basalt (700 ppm Ni) from the Savukoski Group (Hanski et al. 2001a) is considered parental for the early-stage dunitic cumulates of the Kevitsa intrusive suite (Luolavirta et al. in press) and was used as the initial melt composition. The extremely nickeliferous olivines in the host rocks to the Ni-PGE ore were not considered in the calculation. Calculations were conducted under conditions of QFM+3 (three log units above the quartz-fayalite-magnetite $fO_2$ buffer), QFM+2 and QFM-1.

The olivine in the host rocks to the false ore is clearly depleted in Ni with respect to the expected Ni contents due to fractional crystallization. Analyses of olivine enclosed in orthopyroxene oikocrysts (isolated from sulfides) in false ore samples record similarly low Ni abundances as olivine grains in contact with sulfides, indicating that the Ni-poor nature of olivine is not due to
late-stage equilibration with sulfides. Analogously, Yang et al. (2013b) did not observe any significant differences in the olivine Ni contents between olivine grains enclosed in clinopyroxene crystals and those in contact with sulfide minerals in the Ni-PGE ore type. Furthermore, we cannot identify any reverse trends in the Ni-Fo relationships that would suggest Fe-Ni exchange reactions. Therefore, the magma producing the olivine in false ore was most likely depleted in Ni due to an early attainment of sulfide saturation.

Given the compositional and isotopic similarity of the host rocks to the regular and false ores, the false ore could represent a differentiate after the formation of economic Ni-Cu ore, explaining the lack of metals in the former. The olivine data from Mutanen (1997) suggest that the forsterite content in olivine in false ore (Fo\textsubscript{76–78.5}) is overlapping but generally lower than that of the regular ore (Fo\textsubscript{77–84}). However, our new data on false ores expand the range of Fo in false ores to the range of regular ores. Also, the whole-rock compositions show no difference in the fractionation stage between these two ore types. It must be noted that the dense sulfide liquid may migrate within semi-consolidated cumulates, so that the observed assemblage of silicate and sulfide minerals in false ores do not necessarily represent interrelated accumulations. The different S isotope compositions of the regular and false ore, however, do not favor a simple genetic relationship of the two.

Due to the highly chalcophile nature of platinum group elements (D\textsubscript{PGE sulfide-silicate} ~20 000; e.g., Fleet et al. 1991), the sulfide segregation history can also be assessed on the basis of the PGE contents and their relative abundances with respect to less chalcophile base metals (e.g., Maier et al. 1998; Barnes and Lightfoot 2005). In false ores, the Pt and Pd concentrations are low in
comparison to "barren" olivine pyroxenites (see Figs. 6 and 7) and fall close to or below the
detection limit (10 ppb). Such low values may cause large errors in the calculated Cu/Pd or Pt/Pd
ratios, for example, and hence, in this case, are not reliable for evaluating the possibility of an
earlier sulfide-saturation event. Nevertheless, the markedly low concentrations of Pt and Pd in the
false ores are well in line with the Ni-depleted nature of the olivine of the ore type, supporting an
earlier sulfide segregation event. In this case, to produce metal-poor false ore, the magma must
have reached sulfide saturation at two separate stages. The heavy sulfur isotope compositions
measured for the false ore indicate a substantial proportion of crustal sulfur, which is consistent
with multiple sulfur saturation events.

Geological model

The different Sr isotope profiles obtained from drill cores outside and within the ore domain
cannot be unambiguously correlated. The Sr isotope ratios record a significant variability in the ore
domain (see Fig. 8) whereas the surrounding rocks (see Figs. 6 and 7) show less radiogenic and
more constant isotope compositions throughout the stratigraphy. Luolavirta et al. (2018)
demonstrate that the lithological, whole-rock and mineral compositional variations in the
stratigraphy are far more pronounced in the ultramafic rocks of the ore-bearing domain in
comparison to the surrounding ultramafic rocks. This is well in line with the observed isotopic
signatures. The isotopic and compositional differences can be interpreted to reflect distinct
magmatic histories in the ore domain and its surroundings. To explain the spatial stratigraphic and
compositional differences between different parts of the Kevitsa intrusion and the characteristic
features of the ore types, the following integrated model is proposed for the magmatic evolution
of the Kevitsa intrusive suite rocks and its Ni-Cu-(PGE) deposit (Fig. 10).
Stage 1. Intrusion(s) of picritic basalt magma formed olivine-chromite cumulates (Central Dunite) in the conduits (Luolavirta et al. in press).

Stage 2. Picritic basalt in a lower staging chamber differentiated to basaltic magma and underwent comprehensive country rock contamination. The basaltic magma intruded as a continuous, stable flow into the Kevitsa magma chamber and crystallized olivine-pyroxene cumulates. During their flow, the magma pulses assimilated sulfur from country rocks to variable degrees. When sulfur saturation was achieved, some of the sulfides were lodged probably in depressions within the conduit. Metal-depleted magma further gained sulfur from the country rocks and carried sulfide melt into the Kevitsa magma chamber precipitating metal-poor false ores (and contact type mineralization). Later crystal fractionation resulted in the formation of pyroxenites in a nearly closed system.

Stage 3. The Kevitsa magma chamber began to operate as a dynamic open system and magmas intruded into the hot interior of the Kevitsa intrusion. A number of stage-1 dunitic cumulates and xenoliths were further brecciated by these magmas and redistributed within the olivine-pyroxene cumulates. The origin of the zones of pOLWB remains ambiguous. They may either represent blocks of separate earlier sill(s) or autolith(s) of some marginal phase rocks formed at stage 2. A simple origin by crystal fractionation involving contamination cannot be ruled out either.

Ni-Cu-(PGE) ores formed by sulfur-saturated magma influxes, and were potentially upgraded by assimilation of the stage-2 proto-ore material in the conduit. Variable degrees of interaction of the new magma pulses with the early-formed sulfides could account for the wide variation in ore tenors found in the Kevitsa deposit. The magmas producing the Ni-PGE ore likely passed through distinct country rocks and followed a different route into the Kevitsa magma chamber. The
numerous dunite inclusions and mafic-ultramafic xenoliths within the deposit area may have reduced the flow rate of the magmas and aided concentration of the sulfides.

Conclusions

Both strontium and sulfur isotope compositions of the Kevitsa ultramafic cumulates deviate from those expected for purely mantle-derived magmas, indicating involvement of crustal material in their genesis. Variations in the Sr and S isotope data together with mineral and whole-rock chemistry demonstrate that the ore-bearing domain of the Kevitsa intrusion represents a dynamic site with multiple emplacements of variably contaminated silicate magma and sulfide liquid. In contrast, the rocks around the ore domain formed from a compositionally more uniform magma and underwent a less vigorous emplacement history. The sulfur in the Kevitsa ores is at least in part derived from crustal sources, with the external sulfur playing an important role in triggering sulfide saturation. The metal-depleted nature of the false ores and their host rocks indicate previous S-saturation and formation of proto-ores during an early stage of magma emplacement. Assimilation of the early formed sulfides may have upgraded the metal tenors of the Kevitsa Ni-Cu-(PGE) ore.
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Table captions

Table 1 Representative in-situ isotope data from plagioclase and sulfides from the Kevitsa ultramafic zone.

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Geologic map of the Kevitsa intrusion and location of the sampled drill cores and cross sections of Fig. 2. Location of the Ni-Cu-(PGE) deposit is denoted by the outline of the open pit.

Fig. 2 a) S-N (A-A’) and b) SE-NE (B-B’) cross sections across the Kevitsa intrusion showing the broad outlines of the Ni-Cu-(PGE) ore body (> 0.15 % Ni) and false ore bodies around the ore domain (< 0.1 % Ni). For locations of the profiles, see Fig. 1.

Fig. 3 Photomicrographs of rocks from the Kevitsa ultramafic zone, showing laser spot positions as red circles and Sr\textsubscript{(i)} ratios with corresponding An contents in plagioclase. a) and b) olivine pyroxenite, c) pyroxenite, d–f) plagioclase-bearing (ol) websterite.

Fig. 4 ε\textsubscript{Nd} vs. \textsuperscript{87}Sr/\textsuperscript{86}Sr\textsubscript{(i)} diagram showing compositions of the Kevitsa false, regular and Ni-PGE ore types. ε\textsubscript{Nd} data for Kevitsa ores from Huhma et al. (2017 in review) and Sr isotopic data from the
present study. Field for Bushveld Bushveld Lower and Main zone and mantle-derived magma arter
Maier et al. (2000) and for Archean tonalitic gneiss (Tojottamanselkä gneiss, 10 km north of
Kevitsa) after Hanski et al. (2001b) and Kröner et al. (1981).

Fig. 5 Sulfur isotopic compositions of Kevitsa ores and ultramafic rocks. Whole-rock data from
Grinenko et al. (2003). *-in-situ* data from the present study.

Fig. 6 Stratigraphic variations of Sr isotope ratios and An contents of plagioclase and S isotope
compositions of sulfide in drill core KV-297 (outside the ore domain). The observed range in Sr
isotope compositions is depicted by the gray shaded column. Whole-rock Zr, La/Nb, S, Ni, Cu, Pt
and Pb contents are from the Kevitsa mine data base. Fo contents of olivine taken from Luolavirta
et al. (2018) and field for mantle-derived sulfur after Ripley and Li (2003). PX - Pyroxenite, OLPX -

Fig. 7 Stratigraphic variations of Sr isotope ratios and An contents of plagioclase and S isotope
compositions of sulfide in drill core KV-280 (outside the ore domain). The observed range in Sr
isotope compositions is depicted by the gray shaded column. Whole-rock Zr, La/Nb, S, Ni, Cu, Pt
and Pb contents are from the Kevitsa mine data base. Fo contents of olivine taken from Luolavirta
et al. (2018) and field for mantle-derived sulfur after Ripley and Li (2003). PX - pyroxenite, OLPX -
olivine pyroxenite, Basal PX-GAB - Basal pyroxenite-gabbro.

Fig. 8 Stratigraphic variations of Sr isotope ratios and An contents of plagioclase and S isotope
compositions of sulfide in drill core KV-103. Gray shaded column represents the range in Sr isotope
compositions observed in drill cores KV-297 and KV-280 (outside the ore domain, Figs. 4 and 5).
Whole-rock Zr, La/Nb, S, Ni, Cu, Pt and Pb contents are from the Kevitsa mine data-base. Fo
(clino)pyroxenite. pOLWB - plagioclase-bearing (olivine) websterite. Po - pyrrhotite, Py - pyrite.
**Fig. 9** Plot of nickel vs. forsterite (Fo %) contents of olivine in dunitic cumulates and Kevitsa olivine-pyroxene cumulates compared with theoretical olivine compositional trends calculated at QFM+3, QFM+2 and QFM-1 for picritie-basalt parental magma. White dots in model curves refer to 10, 20, 30 and 40 percentages of fractional crystallization. Olivine data for dunitic cumulates taken from Luolavirta et al. (in press) and for Kevitsa olivine-pyroxene cumulates from Luolavirta et al. (2018).

**Fig. 10** Schematic illustration of the emplacement of the Kevitsa intrusive suite rocks and formation of the Ni-Cu-(PGE) ore (modified after Luolavirta et al. 2017b).
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### Table 1: Representative in-situ isotope data from plagioclase and sulfides from the Kevitsa ultramafic zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>$^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr}$</th>
<th>$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$</th>
<th>$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}\text{S}$</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>An</th>
<th>2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KV280-74.45</td>
<td>PX</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.7058</td>
<td>0.7056</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>0.7058</td>
<td>0.7056</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.7057</td>
<td>0.7055</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV297-391.95</td>
<td>OLPX</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.7056</td>
<td>0.7056</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td>0.7054</td>
<td>0.7050</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV280-574.95</td>
<td>OLPX (F)</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.7051</td>
<td>0.7050</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.7051</td>
<td>0.7050</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.7054</td>
<td>0.7053</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.7053</td>
<td>0.7052</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV297-1236.80</td>
<td>Basal PX</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.7058</td>
<td>0.7057</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.7051</td>
<td>0.7050</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV103-258.08</td>
<td>OLPX</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.7067</td>
<td>0.7066</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.7091</td>
<td>0.7071</td>
<td>0.00029</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV103-303.49</td>
<td>OLPX</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>0.7066</td>
<td>0.7062</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0116</td>
<td>0.7074</td>
<td>0.7071</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.7074</td>
<td>0.7072</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.7069</td>
<td>0.7067</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV103-421.10</td>
<td>OLPX (R)</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.7064</td>
<td>0.7061</td>
<td>0.00023</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0111</td>
<td>0.7058</td>
<td>0.7055</td>
<td>0.00024</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>0.7063</td>
<td>0.7060</td>
<td>0.00022</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.7065</td>
<td>0.7063</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV103-489.30</td>
<td>pOLWB</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.7066</td>
<td>0.7063</td>
<td>0.00023</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0114</td>
<td>0.7078</td>
<td>0.7075</td>
<td>0.00024</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.7068</td>
<td>0.7065</td>
<td>0.00028</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>0.7076</td>
<td>0.7072</td>
<td>0.00027</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV103-671.18</td>
<td>OLPX* (N)</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.7112</td>
<td>0.7109</td>
<td>0.00024</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0136</td>
<td>0.7107</td>
<td>0.7103</td>
<td>0.00030</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>0.7111</td>
<td>0.7108</td>
<td>0.00027</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OLPX - olivine pyroxenite, OLPX* - olivine (clino)pyroxenite, pOLWB - plagioclase bearing (ol) websterite, PX - pyroxenite
F, R and N indicate false, regular and Ni-PGE ore, respectively. An=anorthite, po=pyrrhotite, py=pyrite.
Initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio ±2σ calculated using an age of 2.058 Ga
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Fig. 10

Stage 1
- Mafic-ultramafic volcanogenic rocks
- Phylite/Black shale
- Intrusion(s) of picritic magma crystallizing dunitic cumulates (Central Dunite)

Stage 2
- Metal-poor sulfides
- Magma in a lower staging chamber evolves and assimilates crustal country rocks. Bulk-contaminated basaltic magma incorporates crustal sulfur during ascent triggering sulfur saturation and deposition of proto-ore(s). Further assimilation of S produces metal-poor ore bodies (false ore and contact type) in the Kevitsa magma chamber.

Stage 3
- Subsequent magma injections assimilate the early formed sulfides, collect metals and achieve sulfur saturation forming Ni-Cu-(PGE)-rich sulfides. A high number of inclusions and xenoliths slow down the flow rate of the magmas aiding settling of the sulfides. Ni-PGE type magmas intrude a different route into the Kevitsa magma chamber.