

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/111395/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Carrier, Judith 2017. The challenges of evidence implementation. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports 15 (12), pp. 2830-2831. 10.11124/JBISRIR-2017-003652

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.11124/JBISRIR-2017-003652

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 The challenges of evidence implementation – it's all about the context

- 2 Here in the northern hemisphere winter has arrived, whilst those of you in the southern
- 3 hemisphere are hopefully basking in the delights of summer. As we contemplate the
- 4 different healthcare challenges we each face, it leads me to dwell on the importance of
- 5 context and how we ensure that the healthcare we deliver is appropriately tailored to those
- 6 on the receiving end of this care. Despite the historical and unquestionable positioning of
- 7 evidence-based practice at the forefront of effective global healthcare, clear gaps between
- 8 what is traditionally defined as evidence and implementation of this evidence in everyday
- 9 practice continue to exist. This is a universal issue, not limited to any specific type of
- 10 healthcare delivery, healthcare profession or country. As the evidence-based healthcare
- 11 (EBHC) movement evolves, it has been acknowledged that not only knowledge utilization,
- but the way in which knowledge can be transformed by both individuals and communities
- into a form that may not mirror the original evidence but suits individual patients, is
- becoming increasingly important. Exploration, as well as being an essential component of
- empirical research, is an indispensable human endeavor and we must continue to examine
- the individual, organizational and contextual factors underpinning effective evidence
- implementation. The role of evidence in the wider context of healthcare delivery is a topic
- of considerable interest to practitioners, policy makers, and more importantly, patients and
- service users at the receiving end of healthcare. There is no "one fits all" answer, as each
- 20 country and indeed each organization, large or small, where healthcare practice is delivered
- 21 is influenced by context. Understanding this context is the key to implementing effective
- 22 change.
- 23 Whenever we consider where we are and where we would like to go, it is always important
- to consider how we got to where we are now. Evidence-based healthcare was initially
- derived from the concept of evidence based medicine(EBM), a term first proposed by
- 26 Gordon Guyatt, leader of an international group of clinicians formed to consider results of
- 27 recent research when treating patients, first appearing in print in 1992 (Evidence based
- 28 Medicine Working Group 1992). Whilst this initial focus of EBM was on bedside decision-
- 29 making, the underpinning ideas have been evolving for centuries, with roots in psychology,
- 30 sociology and philosophy, and a large part of the underpinning vocabulary invented and
- developed by statisticians and epidemiologists. Similar interest within nursing in a topic
- labelled "research utilization" had also began in the 1970s when one of the first articles
- "Adopters and Laggards" was published. Despite waning interest in the 1980s, this field
- 34 grew rapidly in the 1990s with the development of several research utilization models, often
- 35 criticized for their focus on individual aspects of implementation and a failure to account for
- 36 wider organizational issues. A substantive body of work using the BARRIERS scale developed
- 37 by Funk et al.⁴ led the field in identifying common barriers that nurses face when
- implementing evidence. However, whilst work using this method may be of historical
- interest to track evolution of attitudes towards evidence in relation to changes in the
- 40 profession, it is unlikely to determine a way forward for nurse leaders and clinicians.⁵
- 41 Evidence-based medicine was radically expanded, adopted and adapted under the guise and
- 42 term of evidence-based practice/EBHC⁶ to include all aspects of healthcare rather than
- 43 being limited to medicine. The term knowledge utilization arose and became popular in the

- 1 1990s and is considered a more inclusive term encompassing research, scholarly practice
- 2 and programmatic interventions aimed at increasing the use of knowledge to solve human
- 3 problems. Despite all these advances researchers, policy makers and practitioners continue
- 4 to struggle with the final and arguably the most crucial step in the process, evidence
- 5 implementation.
- 6 Within the last 15 years, researchers have increasingly recognized that despite the efforts of
- 7 the EBHC movement to reduce the gap between research and practice, robust evidence
- 8 alone is not enough to facilitate knowledge mobilization within an organization, resulting in
- 9 a weak relationship between the strength of the evidence base and clinical behavior
- 10 change.^{7,8} As Gabbay and le May⁹ argue in their inspirational text, "Clinical Mindlines", not
- only does this gap still exist despite massive efforts by the establishment, but there is a
- 12 glaring disparity between policy makers' approaches to promoting EBHC and what social
- scientists, psychologists and philosophers have long told us about the nature of knowledge
- and its use in the real world. Authors such as McKillop et al. 10 have argued that approaches
- to evidence implementation continue to take a push/pull approach with a focus on the
- nature of evidence, "science push", and on individual implementation behavior, "demand
- 17 pull", both of which they suggest fail to consistently influence practice decisions as they fail
- to understand the messy world of health care practice. The translation of research into
- decision-making and healthcare practice continues to be a challenge with variable uptake of
- 20 evidence and mixed success of various implementation projects. 11
- 21 Since its inception in 1996, the Joanna Briggs Institute, along with the worldwide Joanna
- 22 Briggs Collaboration, have made it their mission to promote and facilitate EBHC. A sea of
- change is underway and whilst the importance of evidence synthesis remains high, there is
- 24 an increasing focus on meeting the challenges of implementation with the instigation of
- 25 new tools such as CAN-IMPLEMENT¹¹designed to accommodate local needs. I urge
- 26 practitioners and health providers to continue to experiment and explore with
- 27 implementation strategies that appreciate the importance of context to achieve the
- 28 ultimate goal of feasible, appropriate, meaningful and effective healthcare delivery.

29

30 Dr Judith Carrier

(Senior Lecturer Cardiff University, Director Wales Centre for Evidence-Based Care-a JBI Centre of Excellence)

33

34

35

36

39

31

32

References

- 1. Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group (1992) Evidence-Based Medicine. A New Approach to Teaching the Practice of Medicine. JAMA. 1992; 268(17):2420-2425
- Glasziou P. Foreword in Howick JH. The Philosophy of Evidence-Based Medicine.
 Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.
 - 3. Shore HL. Adopters and laggards. Can Nurse. 1972; 68(7):36-39

- 4. Funk SG, Champagne MT, Wiese RA, Tornquist EM. Barriers: the Barriers to Research Utilization Scale. Appl Nurs Res. 1991; 4(1):39–45.
- Moreno-Casbas T, Fuentelsaz-Gallego C, Gil de Miguel A, Gonzalez-Maria E, Clarke S.
 Spanish nurses' attitudes towards research and perceived barriers and facilitators of research utilisation: a comparative survey of nurses with and without experience as principal investigators. J Clin Nurs. 2011; 20(13-14): 1936–1947
- French P. The development of evidence-based nursing. J Adv Nurs. 1999; 29(1):72 78
 - Dopson S, FitzGerald L, Ferlie E, Gabbay J, Locock L. No Magic Targets! Changing Clinical Practice To Become More Evidence Based. Health Care Manage Rev. 2002; 27(3):35–47

- 8. Rycroft-Malone J, Kitson A, Harvey G, McCormack B, Seers K, Titchen A, Estabrooks C. Ingredient for change: revisiting a conceptual framework. Qual Saf Health Care. 2002; 11(2):174-180
 - 9. Gabbay J and Le May A. Practice-Based Evidence for Healthcare Clinical Mindlines. London:Routledge; 2011
 - 10. McKillop A, Crisp J, Walsh K. Practice guidelines need to address the 'how' and the 'what' of implementation. Prim Health Care Res Dev. 2012; 13(1):48–59
 - **11.** Lockwood C, Lizerondo L, Harrison M and Graham I. Evaluation study of CAN-Implement and CAN-Implement Pro. The Joanna Briggs Institute. 2016; http://joannabriggs.org/research/can-implement.html accessed 21st Oct 2017
 - 12. Seers K, Cox K, Crichton NJ, Edwards RT, Eldh AC, Estabrooks CA, et al. FIRE (facilitating implementation of research evidence): a study protocol. Implement Sci. 2012;7:25