CARDIFF UNIVERSITY PRIFYSGOL CAERDYD

ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/114028/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Retuerto, Rubén, Sanchez Vilas, Julia and Varga, Sandra 2018. Sexual dimorphism in response to stress. Environmental and Experimental Botany 146, pp. 1-4. 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2017.12.006

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2017.12.006

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 EDITORIAL

- 2 Sexual dimorphism in response to stress
- 3 Rubén Retuerto¹, Julia Sánchez Vilas², Sandra Varga³

4 ¹Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Department of Functional Biology, Lope Gómez

5 de Marzoa s/n, 15782 Santiago de Compostela (Spain) <u>ruben.retuerto@usc.es</u>

6 ²Cardiff University, Organisms and Environment Division, Cardiff School of Biosciences,

7 The Sir Martin Evans Building, Museum Avenue, Cardiff, CF10 3AX (UK)

8 <u>sanchezvilasj@cradiff.ac.uk</u>

⁹ ³University of Lincoln, College of Science, School of Life Sciences, Joseph Banks

10 Laboratories, Green Lane, Lincoln, LN6 7TS (UK) svarga@lincoln.ac.uk

11

12 Sexual dimorphism in secondary traits (differences between the sexes in characteristics others 13 than the sexual organs) is widespread in plants (Geber and Dawson, 1999; Barrett and Hough 2013). Sex differences in ecological, morphological and physiological traits have been 14 15 commonly attributed to the different costs of reproduction associated with the male and the female function (Reznick, 1985; Obeso, 2002) and are usually linked to trade-offs between 16 17 allocation to reproduction and to other plant functions (e.g., to growth and/or defence). Such 18 trade-offs are likely to be modified under more stressful conditions (Bazzaz and Grace, 19 1997). In fact, plants are continuously exposed to stressful biotic and abiotic environmental 20 factors during all their life cycle, which usually occur simultaneously (Suzuki et al., 2014). 21 Biotic factors include competitors, symbionts, parasites, pathogens, and herbivores. Abiotic 22 factors include extreme temperatures, water, light and nutrient availability, heavy metal, CO₂, or UV radiation. As sessile organisms, plants have developed a wide range of responses to 23 24 cope with stress, ranging from escape to tolerance and avoidance (Lerner, 1999).

cope with stress, ranging from escape to toterance and avoidance (Leffier, 1999).

25 Understanding how environmental stress affects plant communities is especially 26 important in plants with sexually dimorphic breeding systems, as the sexes usually 27 experience the environmental pressures in a different way. Because the female function is 28 generally associated with a greater demand for resources, needed to produce both flowers and 29 seeds, female plants are usually assumed to perform worse than males under stress and male-30 biased sex ratios are commonly found in more stressful environments (Barrett et al., 2010). 31 Importantly, highly skewed sex ratios as a consequence of sex specific responses could lead 32 to population declines and even extinction with potential effects on the structure and stability 33 of communities, which has been very rarely studied (Munné-Bosch, 2015; Hultine et al., 34 2016; Petry et al., 2016). Likewise, sex-specific differences in stress tolerance and habitat-35 related differences in performance put dimorphic species especially at risk due to the rapid 36 environmental changes underway. It is urgent to resolve uncertainties in order to increase our 37 ability to predict the extent to which the on-going environmental changes may impact

38 dimorphic species. The goal of this special issue was to expand our knowledge on sex-

39 specific responses to environmental stress in plants.

40 In the opening paper of this issue, Charlesworth (2017) highlights dioecious species as interesting systems for studying the evolution of sexual dimorphism. Once separate sexes 41 42 evolve from hermaphroditism, adaptive divergence in traits of males and females can be 43 expected as the result of sex-specific selection pressures associated with their reproductive function (Barrett and Hough, 2013). However, there are still many unresolved issues 44 45 concerning how and why trait differences between the sexes have evolved. Here, 46 Charlesworth (2017) discusses approaches for obtaining evidence for the occurrence of 47 adaptation after dioecy has evolved, and to what extent adaptive changes are sexually 48 antagonistic (having beneficial effects on one sex, but detrimental in the opposite sex). 49 Charlesworth (2017) suggests a number of interesting questions for future empirical work on 50 dioecious plants aimed at increasing our understanding of the genetics and evolution of

- 50 dioectous plants almed at increasing our understanding of the genetics and evolution of
- 51 sexual dimorphism.

52 Combined with previous studies, evidence suggests that there is not yet a clear pattern 53 in the responses of the sexes to environmental stresses, and their differences seem to vary 54 depending on the species considered and the type of stress, demanding a greater range of 55 studies that allow generalisations (Juvany and Munné-Bosch, 2015). As emphasised by 56 Suzuki et al. (2014), recent studies have shown that the response of plants to multiple 57 simultaneous stresses cannot be extracted from the response to individual stress, highlighting 58 the need for more and larger studies encompassing multiple stress factors. In this Special 59 Issue, Strømme et al. (2017) and Canelles et al. (2017) consider this integrative approach and incorporate climatic gradients into their studies. Global warming and associated climate 60 61 change are predicted to greatly influence the levels of Ultraviolet -B (UV-B) radiation at 62 Earth's surface (Williamson et al., 2014). Strømme et al. (2017) consider the extent to which 63 the interaction between UV-B radiation and temperature, two factors rarely studied together, 64 can yield divergent responses between the sexes in the dioecious tree *Populus tremula*. They 65 study male and female plants grown along a natural temperature and UV-B gradient in 66 central Norway; reducing UV-B using specific screening filters and measuring effects on 67 growth, timing of terminal bud formation and bud break, carbon and nitrogen content and 68 concentrations of phenolic compounds. They found that elevation and UV-B radiation 69 affected concentrations of nitrogen and phenolics in stems and leaves. They also found that increased elevation had a negative effect on plant growth and promoted autumnal bud 70 71 formation; but most importantly, the magnitude and direction of sexual differences depended 72 on elevation. Moreover, males were more sensitive to the effects of UV-B, with delayed bud 73 formation and enhanced growth at the highest elevation under the UV-B attenuation 74 treatment.

The relationship among reproduction patterns, floral dimorphism and environmental stress is considered across a climatic gradient by Canelles et al. (2017). In their integrative study on *Silene acaulis*, a widespread gynodioecious plant of boreo-alpine ecosystems, they found that females showed larger ovary volumes and longer styles, but smaller corolla widths 79 and petal length than hermaphrodites. However, plant size and flower number per plant did 80 not differ significantly between sexes, although flower production was positively related to plant size in both sexes and to fruit production in females, but not in hermaphrodites. The 81 study reveals a strategy in females to compensate for differences in reproductive investment, 82 and thus for the maintenance of gynodioecy, through higher fruiting production and other 83 84 components of fitness than hermaphrodites. Unexpectedly, environment severity was not 85 related to most of the biological traits studied, and only germination success was negatively correlated with suitability index, regardless of sex. The authors suggest that the low overall 86 87 influence of climatic suitability in explaining differences in production may respond to 88 particularities of each population (e.g. interspecific competition, soil quality, pollinators, 89 etc.), and to the longevity of S. acaulis, which can attain well over 100 years. The hypothesis 90 that hermaphrodites could lose their female function in more severe climatic environments, 91 and therefore that gynodioecy may decline in favour of dioecy, was not clearly supported by 92 their results, although the authors claimed that more studies considering pollen quantity and 93 quality are needed to test this hypothesis.

94

95 The impact of climate change on performance of the sexes in the dioecious tree Populus tremula is also the focus of the paper by Sobuj et al. (2017). They investigated the 96 97 single and combined effects of elevated temperature and CO₂ concentration on the growth 98 and carbon-based secondary metabolites synthesis in stem bark of male and female plantlets. 99 They observed that overall height growth in males was greater than in females. However, 100 under elevated temperature, height growth and diameter were considerably greater in females 101 than in males. From this result, the authors infer variation in optimum growth temperature 102 between females and males in *P. tremula*. Contrary to expectations that elevated CO₂ will 103 have no effect on growth, since the initial stimulation of CO₂ is not usually sustained through 104 time in broad-leaved trees (Vu et al., 2002), diameter incremented more in females than in 105 males in response to CO₂. However, the authors did not find effects of sex on biomass, suggesting that the absence of a cost of reproduction in *P. tremula* seedlings might explain 106 the smaller intersexual differences in growth. Flavonoids, phenolic acids and salicylates are 107 108 important compounds in protecting plants against a wide range biotic and abiotic stress 109 (Lindroth and Clair, 2013; Julkunen-Tiitto et al., 2015). In line with this, Sobuj et al. (2017) suggest that increased concentrations of these metabolites may provide a potential fitness 110 111 advantage in the face of environmental changes. In this respect, they found that females had a higher total concentration of flavonoids in comparison with males. However, under elevated 112 CO₂, females reduced the synthesis whereas it was increased in males. Regarding phenolic 113 114 acids, the total concentration was greater in females than in males. In both sexes, the 115 synthesis of phenolic acids was reduced under elevated temperature, but it was increased under elevated CO₂. The same trend was observed for salicylates, except that under elevated 116 117 CO₂ the excess synthesis only occurred in male plants. Overall, males tended to have higher 118 growth, while females tended to have greater concentrations of phenolics. This study 119 concludes that under scenarios with concomitant increases in CO₂ and temperature, the sexes 120 of *P. tremula* will probably grow more and accumulate lower levels of phenolics, although 121 the reported effects may be more pronounced in sexually mature plants. These three studies

highlight once more how the response of the sexes may be context-dependent and the need tocarry out further research including multiple interacting factors.

124 The profound effect that environmental stress can have on sex ratios in dioecious 125 plant populations is highlighted in the paper by Simancas et al. (2017). Whilst sex-lability is 126 common in plant species, the mechanisms underlying changes in sex expression are not well 127 understood. In their work, they found that when dioecious Urtica dioica plants were exposed to phosphate starvation, males delayed their transition to flowering, and the sex ratio of the 128 129 experimental population shifted towards females. Seed production may be negatively affected 130 by this stress, and they hypothesised that the observed shift in sex ratio may serve as a 131 mechanism to compensate for seed production at the population level. In addition, their 132 experiment involved hormonal profiling that unravelled differences between the sexes in 133 response to phosphate starvation. In particular, they found that this stress increased the level of compounds related to herbivory defence only in females. Stressful environmental 134 135 conditions may modify not only the number of flowers produced but also the sexual 136 expression of these flowers. In a contribution by Buide et al. (2017) demonstrated sexual 137 plasticity in gynodioecious-gynomonoecious Silene littorea, with a reduction in the total 138 amount of flowers produced in shaded plants but an increase in the proportion of female flowers. Even though the physiological mechanisms were not investigated, plant hormones 139

140 together with resource availability are the probable causes.

141 Biased-sex ratios may impact, and be impacted, by interactions with other organisms 142 aboveground. Moritz et al. (2017) investigated the relatively well-established sex-biased herbivory preference in dioecious willows using a large-scale approach. The authors created 143 144 experimental stands mimicking commercial plantation designs that contained either females, males or even-sex mixes, and monitored herbivory damage by roe deer after one growth 145 146 season. Their results showed that mixed-sex plots were more preferred over mono-sex plots, 147 however they could not demonstrate an individual preference for one sex within mixed-sex plots. The authors attributed these results to the differences in secondary metabolite profiles 148 149 between the sexes rather than the total content of secondary metabolites or nutrients. Studies 150 like this highlight the need for large-scale manipulative field experiments to evaluate 151 herbivory choice and to understand the mechanisms and consequences behind sex-biased 152 herbivory.

153 While the previous studies highlight the evolutionary forces driving sexual 154 dimorphism in response to stress, extra efforts should be directed towards elucidating the 155 molecular and physiological mechanisms underlying sex-specific responses. For example, previous studies have reported that sexual dimorphic plants may have sex-specific 156 adaptations to heavy metal contamination, with most of these studies showing that males 157 exhibited a greater adaptive capacity than females when exposed to heavy metal stress (e.g., 158 159 Chen et al., 2011; Han et al., 2013, but see Sanchez Vilas et al., 2016). In this Special Issue, 160 Qin et al. (2017), hypothesised that application of zinc, a metal that acts as a signal to 161 mediate the response to oxidative stress, could reduce lead-induced stress more in female 162 individuals than in males. To test this hypothesis, they examined sex differences in

- 163 morphological characteristics, biomass, gas exchange, cell ultrastructure and antioxidant
- 164 enzyme activity in saplings of a dioecious tree species widely planted as an important
- 165 economic species, the mulberry (*Morus alba*). Their study shows that sexes of mulberry
- 166 saplings differed in morphological traits, growth, gas exchange, enzyme activity, electrolyte
- 167 leakage and cellular ultrastructure in response to lead and zinc. Overall, lead stress negatively
- affected females more than males, suggesting that males could have better detoxification
- 169 mechanisms and adaptation strategies in response to lead stress, and consequently, to be a
- better candidate for phytoremediation. The application of zinc improves lead tolerance inboth sexes but, as hypothesised, the relieved was more pronounced in females than in males
- 172 We hope that the papers in this Special Issue motivate further research on the study of sexual
- 173 dimorphism in response to environmental stress. Given the increasing concerns over the
- 174 effects of global change on biological communities, there is a pressing need for further
- research on the mechanisms underlying adaptive responses of plants to environmental
- 176 changes. Dioecious species are ideal comparative systems in which to investigate adaptation,
- 177 since they allow us to examine the functional significance of sexual dimorphism without the
- 178 confounding effects of differences in geography, history and ecology (Dawson and Bliss,
- 179 1989; Dawson and Geber, 1999). The rapid global changes underway will provide new
- 180 chances to study sex differences in adaptation. Changes in the climate will surely induce sex-
- 181 specific adjustments in the patterns of distribution of sexually dimorphic species, which will
- 182 move to new environments, disrupting their physiology, phenology and reproduction, as
- 183 testified by four of the papers published in this Special Issue.
- 184

185 An understanding of the basic mechanistic factors that underlay the responses of plants to 186 multiple stresses should benefit from integrative studies across multiple levels of biological organisation, analysing transcriptional, metabolomic and physiological data. Plant biology 187 has become an increasingly data-rich field of research fuelled by the rapid advances in 188 189 analytical techniques. However, although the use of the "-omics" approaches (i.e.: genomic, 190 proteomic, metabolomic) could provide novel insights into the proximate mechanisms 191 responsible for sexual divergence in the responses to stress, they are still used insufficiently 192 in this field of research. Fortunately, five of the papers that appear in this Special Issue follow 193 this approach and use some of these promising tools for research on sex-related differences in 194 dioecious plants in response to stress.

195

196 The lack of general patterns emerging from the studies in this Special Issue are certainly 197 related to species-specific responses to stress and to the different nature (biotic and abiotic) and intensities of the stresses studied, which could differentially modify the trade-offs 198 199 between allocation to reproduction and to other plant functions. Differences in the 200 reproductive status of the experimental plants (four studies in this Special Issue considered pre-reproductive plants) could also explain the inconsistencies found, since intersexual 201 202 differences in growth, reproduction and physiology may be more pronounced after sexual maturation (Dawson and Geber, 1999). Because sexes may differ in the timing of key 203 204 developmental stages (e.g., germination, flowering, senescence) (Lloyd and Webb, 1977) and 205 in the frequency and intensity of reproductive events, measurements at a single point in time

206	may not realistically reflect time-integrated patterns (Sanchez Vilas et al., 2012). Future
207	research would benefit from examining long-term differences in addition to short-term ones
208	for a thorough understanding of the quantitative relationships between male and female
209	reproductive efforts over the whole growing season, or even over the whole life-cycle of
210	perennial plants. Lastly, little is known on patterns of dimorphism in below-ground processes
211	and structures. Considering that sexual dimorphism would be the outcome of below versus
212	above processes (Varga et al., 2017), and allocation to above and below-ground sinks (Harris
213	and Pannell, 2008), studies that will focus on these aspects would be especially useful to
214	make a compelling story of sexual dimorphism in plants under stress.
215	
216	
217	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
218	We are grateful to Sergi Munné-Bosch for inviting us to guest edit this Special Issue and for
219	his help and support throughout. We are also indebted to the authors for their contributions
220	and to the many reviewers for their helpful constructive comments on the manuscripts.
221	Thanks also to John Pannell and Sergi Munné-Bosch for their comments on this manuscript.
222	
223	
224	
225	
226	References
227	Barrett, S. C. H., Hough, J., 2013. Sexual dimorphism in flowering plants. J. Exp. Bot. 64,
228	67–82.
229	Barrett, S.C.H., Yakimowski, S.B., Field, D.L., Pickup, M., 2010. Ecological genetics of sex
230	ratios in plant populations. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 365, 2549e2557.
231	Bazzaz, F. A., Grace, J., 1997. Plant Resource Allocation. Academic Press.
232	Buide, M. L., del Valle, J. C., Castilla, A. R., Narbona, E., 2017. Sex expression variation in
233	response to shade in gynodioecious-gynomonoecious species: Silene littorea
234	decreases flower production and increases female flower proportion. Env. Exp. Bot.
235	XX, XX–XX.
236	Canelles, Q., Saura-Mas, S., Brotons, L., García, M. B., Lloret, F., Vilellas, J., Morris, W. F.,
237	2017. Environmental stress effects on reproduction and sexual dimorphism in the
238	gynodioecious species <i>Silene acaulis</i> . Env. Exp. Bot. XX, XX–XX.
239	Charlesworth, D., 2017. XXX. Env. Exp. Bot. XX, XX–XX.
240	Chen, L., Han, Y., Jiang, H., Korpelainen, H., Li, C., 2011. Nitrogen nutrient status induces
241	sexual differences in responses to cadmium in <i>Populus yunnanensis</i> . J. Exp. Bot. 62,
242	5037–5050.
243	Dawson, T.E., Bliss, L.C., 1989. Patterns of water use and the tissue water relations in the
244	dioecious shrub, <i>Salix arctica</i> : the physiological basis for habitat partitioning between
245	the sexes. Oecologia 79, 332-343.
246	Dawson, T.E., Geber, M.A., 1999. Sexual dimorphism in physiology and morphology. In:
247	Geber, M.A., Dawson, T.E., Delph, L.F. (Eds.), Gender and Sexual Dimorphism in
248	Flowering Plants. Springer, pp. 175-215.

- Geber, M.A., Dawson, T E., Delph, L.F., 1999. Gender and Sexual Dimorphism in Flowering
 Plants. Springer.
- Han, Y., Wang, L., Zhang, X., Korpelainen, H., Li, C., 2013. Sexual differences in
 photosynthetic activity, ultrastructure and phytoremediation potential of *Populus cathayana* exposed to lead and drought. Tree Physiol. 33, 1043–1060.
- Harris, M.S., Pannell, J.R., 2008. Roots, shoots and reproduction: sexual dimorphism in size
 and costs of reproductive allocation in an annual herb. Proc. R. Soc. B. 275, 2595–
 2602.
- Hultine, K.R., Grady, K C., Wood, T.E., Shuster, S.M., Stella, J.C., 2016. Climate change
 perils for dioecious plant species. Nature Plants, 16109.
- Julkunen-Tiitto, R., Nenadis, N., Neugart, S., Robson, M., Agati, G., Vepsäläinen, J., Zipoli,
 G., Nybakken, L., Winkler, B., Jansen, M.A.K., 2015. Assessing the response of plant
 flavonoids to UV radiation: an overview of appropriate techniques. Phytochem. Rev.
 14, 273–297.
- Juvany, M., Munné-Bosch, S., 2015. Sex-related differences in stress tolerance in dioecious
 plants: a critical appraisal in a physiological context. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 6083–6092.
- Lerner, H.R., 1999. Plant Responses to Environmental Stresses: from phytohormones to
 genome reorganization. CRC Press.
- Lindroth, R.L., Clair, S.B.S., 2013. Adaptations of quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*Michx.) for defense against herbivores. For. Ecol. Manage. 299, 14–21.
- Lloyd, D.G., Webb, C.J., 1977. Secondary sex characters in plants. Bot. Review 43, 177–216.
- Moritz, K. K., Parachnowitsch, A. L., Julkunen-Tiitto, R., Björkman, C., Ayres, M. P.,
 Stenberg, J. A., 2017. Roe deer prefer mixed-sex willow stands over monosexual
 stands but do not discriminate between male and female plants. Env. Exp. Bot. XX,
 XX–XX.
- Munné-Bosch, S., 2015. Sex ratios in dioecious plants in the framework of global change.
 Env. Exp. Bot.109, 99–102.
- Obeso, J.-R., 2002. The costs of reproduction in plants. New Phytol. 155, 321–348.
- Petry, W.K., Soule, J.D., Iler, A.M., Chicas-Mosier, A., Inouye, D.W., Miller, T.E.X.,
 Mooney, K.A., 2016. Sex-specific responses to climate change in plants alter
 population sex ratio and performance. Science 353, 69–71.
- Qin, F., Liu, G., Huang, G., Dong, T., Liao, Y., Xu, X., 2017. Zinc application alleviates the
 adverse effects of lead stress more in female *Morus alba* than in males. Env. Exp.
 Bot. XX, XX–XX.
- 283 Reznick, D., 1985. Costs of reproduction: an evaluation of the empirical evidence. Oikos 44,
 284 257-267.
- Sánchez Vilas, J., Bermúdez, R., Retuerto, R., 2012. Soil water content and patterns of
 allocation to below- and above-ground biomass in the sexes of the subdioecious plant
 Honckenya peploides. Ann. Bot. 110, 839-848.
- Sanchez Vilas, J., Campoy, J.G., Retuerto, R., 2016. Sex and heavy metals: Study of sexual
 dimorphism in response to soil pollution. Env. Exp Bot. 126, 68–75.
- Simancas B, Cotado A, Müller M, Munné-Bosch S., 2017. Phosphate starvation during the
 transition phase increases the sex ratio and 12-*oxo*-phytodienoic acid contents in
 females of *Urtica dioica*. Env. Exp. Bot. 145, 39–46.

- Sobuj, N., Virjamo, V., Zhang, Y., Nybakken, L., Julkunen-Tiitto, R., 2017. Impacts of
 elevated temperature and CO₂ concentration on growth and phenolics in the sexually
 dirmophic *Populus tremula* (L.). Env. Exp. Bot. XX, XX–XX.
- Strømme, C. B., Julkunen-Tiito, R., Olsen, J. E., Nybakken, L. 2017. The dioecious *Populus tremula* displays interactive effects of temperature and ultraviolet-B along a natural
 gradient. Env. Exp. Bot. XX, XX–XX.
- Suzuki, N., Rivero, R.M., Shulaev, V., Blumwald, R.M., 2014. Abiotic and biotic stress
 combinations. New Phytol. 203, 32–43.
- Varga, S., Vega-Frutis, R., Kytoviita, M.M., 2017. Competitive interactions are mediated in a
 sex-specific manner by arbuscular mycorrhiza in *Antennaria dioica*. Plant Biol. 19,
 217-226.
- Vu, J.C.V., Newman, Y.C., Allen, L.H., Gallo-Meagher, M., Zhang, M.Q., 2002.
 Photosynthetic acclimation of young sweet orange trees to elevated growth CO₂ and temperature. J. Plant Physiol. 159, 147–157.
- Williamson, C.E., Zepp, R.G., Lucas, R.M., Madronich, S., Austin, A.T., Ballaré, C.L.,
 Norval, M., Sulzberger, B., Bais, A.F., McKenzie, R.L., Robinson, S.A., Häder, D.-P.,
 Paul, N.D., Bornman, J.F., 2014. Solar ultraviolet radiation in a changing climate.
- 310 Nat. Clim. Chang. 4, 434–441.
- 311

312