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Abstract

Systematic epistasis analyses in multifactorial disorders are an important step to better characterize complex genetic risk structures. We conducted a hypothesis-free sex-stratified genome-wide screening for epistasis contributing to Alzheimer's disease (AD) susceptibility. We identified a statistical epistasis signal between the single nucleotide polymorphisms rs3733980 and rs7175766 that was associated with AD in males (genome-wide significant \( p_{\text{Bonferroni-corrected}} = 0.0165 \)). This signal pointed toward the genes WW and C2 domain containing 1, aka KIBRA; 5q34 and TLN2 (talin 2; 15q22.2). Gene-based meta-analysis in 3 independent consortium data sets confirmed the identified interaction: the most significant \( p_{\text{meta-Bonferroni-corrected}} = 9.02 \times 10^{-3} \) was for the single nucleotide polymorphism pair rs1477307 and rs4077746. In functional studies, WW and C2 domain containing 1, aka KIBRA and TLN2 coexpressed in the temporal cortex brain tissue of AD subjects \( (b = 0.17, 95\% \text{ CI } 0.04 \text{ to } 0.30, \ p = 0.01) \); modulated Tau toxicity in Drosophila eye experiments; colocalized in brain tissue cells, N2a neuroblastoma, and HeLa cell lines; and coimmunoprecipitated both in brain tissue and HEK293 cells. Our finding points toward new AD-related pathways and provides clues toward novel medical targets for the cure of AD.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a progressive, irreversible neurodegenerative disorder characterized by the development of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, the loss of connections between neurons, and nerve cell death. AD is highly heritable and genetically heterogeneous with 58%–79% of risk attributed to genetic factors (Gatz et al., 2006; Sims and Williams, 2016). Although genome-wide association studies have strongly improved our knowledge of AD genetics (Ridge et al., 2013), genetic risk factors explain no more than 30% of heritability (Cuyvers and Sleegers, 2016). In this contribution, we focus on late-onset AD, the most common form of the disease with onset age >65 years. The most established genetic factor for AD, apolipoprotein E gene \((\text{APOE})\) gene, 19q13), exhibits allelic hetero-geneityd\text{APOE}'s ε4 allele is a risk enhancer, whereas the ε2 allele is protective (Bertram et al., 2007).

AD presents notable sexual dimorphism (Mielke et al., 2014). Records exist of sex differences in the brain, such as in brain anat-omy, age-related declines in brain volume and brain glucose metabolism (Carter et al., 2012), and sex hormones influencing AD progression (Musicco, 2009). Risk associated
with the APOE-e4 allele is stronger in females than in males, and loss of chromosome Y have been associated with increased AD risk in males (Dumanski et al., 2016). These data support complex interplay between sex and genetic background regarding AD predisposition.

Gene regulatory and biochemical networks create dependencies among genes that are realized as gene-gene interactions (epistasis) (Templeton, 2000). Although epistasis has been well studied in model organisms using biological experiments (Miko, 2008), hypothesis-free discovery of biological epistasis via statistical methods remains challenging in humans. This is in part due to the conceptual discrepancy between statistical and biological epistasis (Moore, 2005), the utility of oversimplified population-level models to capture complex individual phenomena, insufficient power, and the gross multiple testing burden inherent in genome-wide epistasis screening. Therefore, most evidence for epistasis in AD is hypothesis-driven, using prior biological or statistical knowledge (Ebbert et al., 2015). The same holds for sex-specific searches for coinvolvement of multiple genetic loci in AD (Medway et al., 2014).

Gusareva et al. published the first replicable interaction associated with AD using a genome-wide exhaustive screening approach that combines strengths over different analytic approaches (Gusareva and Van Steen, 2014), identified a statistical interaction between KHDRBS2 (rs6455128) and CRYL1 (rs7989332), and exhibited down-stream functional consequences (Gusareva et al., 2014). Here, we used the same European AD Initiative Investigators (EADI1) consortium cohort (Lambert et al., 2009) (2259/6017 AD cases/controls) and an adapted hypothesis-free genome-wide exhaustive epistasis screening protocol to identify sex-specific interactions with AD. We identified AD-associated male-specific statistical interaction between variants of the genes WWC1 (WW and C2 domain containing 1 or kidney and brain expressed protein, aka KIBRA; locus 15q22.2) and TLN2 (talin 2, locus 15q22.2). This novel statistical epistasis signal was replicated in 2 of 3 independent consortium data sets via gene-based replication strategy (Gusareva and Van Steen, 2014). Extensive biological validation studies (subcellular colocalization and immunoprecipitation [IP] analyses, transcriptome analysis, experiments in model organisms [Drosophila melanogaster], as well as in silico protein docking and molecular dynamics assessments) further helped elucidate the epistatic relationship.

2. Methods

2.1. Study populations

The discovery cohort consisted of a sample of 2259 late-onset AD patients and 6017 controls from 3 cities in France (Bordeaux, Dijon, and Montpellier), as part of EADI1. Follow-up statistical analyses used data from 3 AD consortia: (1) the Genetic and Environmental Risk for AD consortium (GERAD1) including cohorts from Germany, UK, and the USA (Harold et al., 2009); (2) the Rotterdam Study (RS), a prospective cohort study that started in 1990 in Rotterdam (the Netherlands) (Hofman et al., 2013); and (3) the AD Genetic Consortium (ADGC) that collects genetic data from over 30 studies in the US (Naj et al., 2011). Data collection quality control procedures have been described in the corresponding references. Only subjects with complete information on sex and age were included in the analyses. Sex-specific sample size distributions and age characteristics are given in the Table S1.

2.2. Genotyping

The EADI1 and RS samples were genotyped by Illumina Human 610-Quad BeadChip (Hofman et al., 2013; Lambert et al., 2009), the GERAD1 samples by Illumina 610-quad chip and by Illumina HumanHap550 Beadchip (Harold et al., 2009), the ADGC subjects by Illumina or Affymetrix high-density single nucleotide poly-morphism (SNP) microarrays (Naj et al., 2011). Applied genotype
filtering procedure as described in the Note S2 leaving 312,064 SNPs for epistasis analyses with EADI1. Replication cohorts used only directly genotyped SNPs.

2.3. Statistical discovery and replication analysis

Following guidelines in Gusareva et al. (Gusareva and Van Steen, 2014), we tested for all pairwise statistical interactions between SNPs in association to AD in sex-stratified samples within EADI1. Two different analytic techniques both parametric (customized version of the BOolean Operation-based Screening and Testing [BOOST] (Wan et al., 2010) with stringent Bonferroni correction) and nonparametric (model-based multifactor dimensionality reduction [MB-MDR]) (Cattaert et al., 2011; Van Lishout et al., 2013) that uses permutation-based gammaMAXT algorithm for multiple testing correction (Lishout et al., 2015) were adopted in this study with default options (Note S3). Statistical epistasis signals at the genome-wide significance level of 0.05 were followed up with a logistic regression analyses adjusting for age at time of subject examination and the first 4 SNP-based principal components (to adjust for confounding by shared genetic ancestry). Evidence of interaction was based on a likelihood-ratio test statistic with 4 degrees of freedom to reflect 2 SNPs with 3 genotypes each (in the absence of missing multilocus genotypes). Main effect single-SNP associations were assessed via Cochrane-Armitage trend test in SVS Version 7.5 software (Golden Helix, Inc).

For replication analysis, we selected 68 and 98 SNPs assigned to WWC1 (5q34: 167651670-167829334 bp) and TLN2 (15q22.2: 60726802-60920733 bp), respectively, according to NCBI B36 genome assembly (SNP list is provided in Table S2). We did not consider SNPs from any regulatory regions outside WWC1 and TLN2 genes. Thus, all the SNPs falling into the boundaries of WWC1 and TLN2 genes and typed in all the study cohorts (discovery EADI1 and the 3 replication cohorts: GERAD1, RS, and ADGC) were exhaustively tested for 2-way intergenic interactive association with AD, in males and females separately. We used logistic regression adjusted for age and genetic population stratification as before. The number of independent tests (Nyholt, 2004) was 1564 (of 6664 total). All obtained p-values (not corrected for multiple testing pnominal) for EADI1, GERAD1, RS, and ADGC were meta-analyzed using Fisher’s combined p-value (Fisher, 1948) and Stouffer’s Zscore (Stouffer et al., 1949) methods, giving rise to meta-analysis p-values (pmeta). Details on the applied significance criteria are described in the Note 4.

2.4. Functional analysis and biological validation

We used transcriptome analysis to assess coexpression of WWC1 and TLN2 in temporal cortex and cerebellum human brain regions with data from the brain expression GWA study (eGWAS) (Allen et al., 2012; Zou et al., 2012)(Note 5). The laboratory fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster was used to further explore the role of WWC1 and TLN2 in model organisms (Note S6). In addition, formalin-fixed temporal cortices of male AD patients were used to perform brain immunohistochemistry (Note S7). The latter was performed in 2 independent labs to robustly establish reproducibility. To assess subcellular localization of WWC1 and TLN2, we performed immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy analyses (Note S8). We also investigated the presence of WWC1 and TLN2 in the same complex via IP analysis (Note S9). Molecular mechanisms of interaction between WWC1 and TLN2 were modeled via protein docking (Note S10) and molecular dynamics in silico experiments (Note S11).

The entire analysis protocol is described in Fig. 1.
Fig. 1. Analysis protocol including genome-wide association interaction (analytical block) and biological validation of epistasis (experimental block).
3. Results

3.1. Synergy between variants of WWC1 and TLN2 in association to AD

Both parametric (BOOST) and nonparametric (MB-MDR) analyses highlighted epistasis between the SNPs rs3733980 and rs7175766 (minor allele frequencies = 0.365, 0.307 in EADI1, respectively) as genome-wide significant in males (BOOST: $p_{\text{Bonferroni-corrected}} = 0.018$, MB-MDR: $p_{\text{permutation-based}} = 0.005$). Case/control distributions within the 9 multilocus genotype combinations and MB-MDR “high risk”/“low risk” labeling are in the Table S3. Only rs3733980 also showed a main effect ($p_{\text{nominal}} = 0.015$, trend test), which would not withstand stringent multiple testing correction. The identified epistasis signal remained statistically significant in a logistic regression model accounting for age and the first 4 PCs ($p_{\text{Bonferroni-corrected}} = 0.0165$). The APOE gene did not confound the identified interaction because we found no dependence between the APOE ε4 AD-risk allele and the 9-level categorical SNP pair for these SNPs ($p_{\text{value}} = 0.999$, c28). No female-specific epistasis was identified (BOOST, MB-MDR $p > 0.05$).

3.2. Statistical replication of epistasis between WWC1 and TLN2

We considered all pairwise intergenic interactions between the directly genotyped 68 SNPs of WWC1 and 98 SNPs of TLN2 (Table S2) for follow-up replication analysis in both sexes with the GERAD1, RS, and ADGC data sets. In males, the SNP pair rs3733980 and rs7175766 was significant in a single study (EADI1: $p_{\text{Bonferroni-corrected}} = 5.29 \times 10^{-10}$). Rs7175766 appeared 4 times in the top 10 male-specific meta-analysis results but did not show any marginal association with AD ($p_{\text{nominal}} = 0.546$, trend test). Interaction between rs1477307 and rs4077746 was found in 3 study populations (EADI1: $p_{\text{nominal}} = 0.040$, RS: $p_{\text{nominal}} = 0.37 \times 10^{-6}$, and ADGC: $p_{\text{nominal}} = 0.06 \times 10^{-5}$, but not in GERAD1: $p_{\text{nominal}} = 0.544$; Fisher’s combined $p_{\text{meta-Bonferroni-corrected}} = 2.74 \times 10^{-3}$, and Stouffer’s Z score $p_{\text{meta-Bonferroni-corrected}} = 9.02 \times 10^{-3}$; Table S4). In females, similar meta-analysis gave no replicable epistasis signals (Table S5).
3.3. Functional analysis and biological validation

Transcriptome analysis revealed significant positive association between expression levels of WWC1 (probe ID - ILMN_1658619) and TLN2 (probe ID - ILMN_1700042) in temporal cortex brain samples from autopsied AD subjects ($b=0.17$, $p=0.01$) and from combined autopsied AD and non-AD subjects ($b=0.20$, $p=0.003$). These associations were mostly driven by females (temporal cortex from autopsied AD females: $b=0.28$, $p=0.005$, combined autopsied AD and non-AD females $b=0.20$, $p=0.016$) but were not prominent in males. This association was only marginally significant for autopsied non-AD subjects ($b=0.19$, $p=0.05$). In the cerebellar tissue, no significant associations between expression levels of WWC1 and TLN2 genes were observed (Table S6).

We also tested whether WWC1 and TLN2 could modulate AD physiopathology in human Tau (2N4R)-expressing Drosophila, an in vivo model of AD (review (Gistelinck et al., 2012)). Kibra, ortholog of WWC1 (Fig. 2AeC), and rhea, ortholog of TLN2 (Fig. 2A and D and E), were tested as modifiers of Tau toxicity in Drosophila eye. In Drosophila, kibra belongs to the growth controlling Hippo pathway. Gain (loss) of kibra results in smaller (bigger) eyes (Baumgartner et al., 2010), which we also observed (Fig. 2A and B). Expression of human Tau (2N4R) in the eye with the GMR driver resulted in smaller rough eyes. The eye size was partially restored in kibra2/+ haploinsufficient background, on RNAi-mediated knockdown of kibra (Fig. 2B and C) and in rhea1/+ haploinsufficient background (Fig. 2D and E). Coexpression of kibra with Tau resulted in lethality and the only escapers that we obtained had smaller eyes. For kibra knockdown and kibra overexpression, the effect may be additive as in both conditions without Tau expression, fly eyes are respectively bigger and smaller (Fig. 2A and B). For kibra haploinsufficiencies, only 1 of 4 independent null mutations restored the eye size precluding us to firmly conclude that kibra interacts with Tau in Drosophila eye. The result in the rhea1/+ haploinsufficient background (Fig. 2D and E) suggested that rhea interacted functionally with human Tau in Drosophila eye.

Immunohistochemistry of the brain of a male autopsied AD patient indicated strong expression of WWC1 in the soma of neuronal cells throughout the temporal lobe of the cerebral cortex (Fig. 3). In these neurons, WWC1 was presented in the cytoplasm with presumed membrane and/or cytoskeleton associations and strong neuritic accumulations in some cells. TLN2 also presented in the cytoplasm of neuronal cells, although immunoreactivity was low. In addition to the weak neuronal signal, a strong TLN2 signal was detected in the endothelial cells of blood vessels.

We also performed colocalization of WWC1 and TLN2 in HeLa cell lines and in mouse N2a neuroblastoma cells. When overexpressed in HeLa cells, WWC1 displayed diffuse cytoplasm localization and small perinuclear rings (Fig. 5, Flag-WWC1), and TLN2-GFP displayed cytoplasmic focal adhesion localization with elongated fibrillar adhesions through the cell body (Fig. 5, TLN2-GFP), consistent with previous studies (Kremerskothen et al., 2003; Praekelt et al., 2012). Coexpression of both WWC1 and TLN2 dramatically changed TLN2 localization. In the presence of WWC1,
TLN2-GFP appeared concentrated in cytoplasmic foci (Fig. 5, compare GFP staining for TLN2 and WWC1þTLN2) surrounded by Flag-WWC1 rings (Fig. 5, WWC1þTLN2-GFP, merge image). In N2a cells, WWC1 and TLN2 were found to colocalize in cytoplasm and in filopodia-like protrusions (Fig. S1). However, different colocalization patterns observed in N2a cells may be due to different levels of the proteins expressions.

Furthermore, IP analysis both in human brain samples and in HEK293 cells indicated the presence of WWC1 and TLN2 in the same protein complex. The levels of the 2 proteins were variable in all conditions and brain regions queried (Braak I and Braak VI brains, Fig. 6A [upper panel]). WWC1 coimmunoprecipitated with the anti-TLN2 antibody (Fig. 6A [lower panel]); as expected, TLN2 bands were evident in the Western blot. Interestingly, when the WWC1 antibody was used, TLN22 bands were absent (Fig. 6A [lower panel]). These data suggest that the anti-WWC1
antibody could competitively disrupt the TLN2 and WWC1 interaction. In HEK293 cells, TLN2-GFP specifically copurified with Flag-WWC1 when both proteins were overexpressed together (Fig. 6B).

To model molecular mechanisms of interaction between WWC1 and TLN2, we performed protein docking and molecular dynamics in silico experiments. We determined the top 10 ranked WWC1/TLN2 poses (Fig. S2) via ClusPro 2.0 docking server (Comeau et al., 2004a,b; Kozakov et al., 2006). Poses 2 and 7 showed the most favorable conditions for complex formation as their average MM/PBSA protein-ligand binding free energies (dGbind) were amongst the most negative showing the lowest dispersion over the course of the 50 ns aqueous simulations. In all 50 ns molecular dynamics simulations, WWC1 and TLN2 remained physically associated in a complex throughout the entire course of simulation. The average dGbind remained negative for all 10 poses (dGbind ranged from -16 to -227 kJ/mol indicating the size of the binding affinity between the 2 proteins; Table S7 and Fig. S3).

4. Discussion

This is the first contribution showing (sex-specific) biological epistasis in AD between genes identified via exhaustive genomic epistasis analysis: WWC1 (WW and C2 domain containing 1 or kidney and brain expressed protein, aka KIBRA) and TLN2 (taln 2). WWC1 is expressed in brain regions responsible for learning and memory (hippocampus and cortex) and is involved in maintaining of synaptic plasticity (Vogt-Eisele et al., 2014). TLN2 expression is restricted to the heart, skeletal muscle, and brain (synapses and focal adhesions) (Di Paolo et al., 2002). It plays an important role in the assembly of actin filaments (particularly affecting actin dynamics and clathrin-mediated endocytosis at neuronal synapses (Morgan et al., 2004)) and in spreading and migration of various cell types. WWC1 has already been associated with memory-related disorders including AD (Burgess et al., 2011; Corneveaux et al., 2010; Papassotiropoulos et al., 2006; Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al., 2009), whereas TLN2 has not. However, in our study, rhea (ortholog of TLN2 in Drosophila) modulated Tau toxicity in Drosophila and thus may be involved in AD pathology. Interestingly, recent studies identified several other components of the cell adhesion pathway as modifiers of Tau toxicity in Drosophila (Dourlen et al., 2016; Shulman et al., 2014). Studying the mechanisms of the identified epistatic interaction, we performed comprehensive functional biological experiments. WWC1 and TLN2 were coexpressed in the temporal cortex brain tissue (responsible for learning and memory) of AD subjects, colocalized in both brain tissue cells, in neuroblastoma N2a and HeLa cell lines, and coimmunoprecipitated both in brain tissue and HEK293 cells. The physical interaction between WWC1 and TLN2 was also supported by in silico experiments where the binding affinity between the 2 proteins was pretty strong with favorable conditions for forming a stable protein complex.

We may speculate on the involvement of WWC1 and TLN2 in common signaling pathways connected to signal transduction via synapses that are impaired when dementia symptoms and AD progress. Because overexpression of WWC1 was previously associated with AD (Burgess et al., 2011), we speculate that impairment expression of WWC1 and/or TLN2 proteins may destabilize actin filaments. Additional work is required to further describe a functional interplay between WWC1 and TLN2 and to explain why we observed the interaction at an individual level for both sexes, whereas we could detect association with AD only in males at a population level (despite of the theoretical power loss for epistasis detection in a sample stratum of males). A few explanations are possible and should be investigated in detail: the influence of sex hormones on the epistasis manifestation, the involvement of a third interacting component (i.e., an interacting gene) linked to the sex chromosomes, other types of sex-specific variant(s) in WWC1 and TLN2, among others. Regardless, our findings provide impetus for an in-depth search of AD-related mutation(s) in WWC1 and TLN2 genes to better explore and grasp biological mechanisms underlying the identified sex-specific
epistasis signals. Targeted next-generation sequencing of the interacting genes may facilitate the identification of new functional mutations (either common or rare) that play a role in protein structure, stability, solubility, folding, and affinity of interaction with ligand(s), to name a few.

Fig. 5. WWC1 (aka KIBRA) and TLN2 colocalize in HeLa cells. HeLa cells were transfected with expressing vectors for TLN2-GFP and/or Flag-WWC1. Cells on glass coverslips were fixed, permeabilized and labeled with an anti-Flag M2 antibody followed by AlexaFluor-conjugated secondary antibody and DAPI nuclear staining. Images were analyzed using a confocal microscope. Abbreviation: WWC1, WW and C2 domains containing 1, aka KIBRA.

Fig. 6. WWC1 and TLN2 present in the same protein complex. (A) Upper panel: Representative Western blot showing varying levels of TLN2 and WWC1 in SMTG and HC homogenates from brain I and VI brains. (B) Lower panel: Representative Western blot of α-IP showing that WWC1 associates with TLN2. TLN2, however, did not coimmunoprecipitate when anti-WWC1 antibodies were used. B represents brain homogenates that were not incubated with primary antibodies (only secondary). Ages and sex of each sample is shown. (B) HEK293 cells were transfected with expressing vectors for TLN2-GFP and/or Flag-WWC1 as indicated. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated using anti-Flag M2 antibody followed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot using an anti-GFP antibody. Five percent of the amount of each lysate was used as positive control for protein expression. Abbreviations: HC, hippocampus; WWC1, WW and C2 domain containing 1, aka KIBRA; SMTG, superior medial temporal gyrus.
There is still a big divide between statistical epistasis and biological epistasis. The ambition in detecting statistical epistasis is to close this gap by improved analysis protocols and to formulate guidelines toward the interpretation of statistical findings in the context of epistasis. The field has evolved a lot over the last decade, in this sense. This does not change the fact that indeed, the power of a genome-wide epistasis screening (GWAI analysis) using a single study is much smaller than the power of a corresponding main effects GWA analysis using the same data (Gauderman, 2002). Our experience with large-scale epistasis studies is consistent with this, usually only giving rise to 1 or 2 reliable statistical findings (i.e., findings for which we can rule out numerical instability issues or strong main effects overtaking the joint effects of the loci involved). Regardless, results dating back from already suggested that biological inference from statistical models is not a utopia (Moore, 2005).

5. Conclusion

In this research, we aimed to identify novel gene/protein targets to pave the way toward novel biochemical pathways related to AD via SNP panels as a starting point. By following a rigorous analytic genome-wide epistasis detection protocol (Gusareva and Van Steen, 2014), which minimizes false positive findings and enhances functional relevance, the statistically replicable epistasis was identified. A series of biological experiments indicated novel protein-protein interaction between WWC1 and TTN2 that can potentially be a medical target for the cure of AD. To our knowledge, this is the first report in AD where a hypothesis-free screening led to evidence for replicable statistical interaction and where functional studies were performed beyond the transcriptome.
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