

# ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/115746/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

van Eekelen, R., van Geloven, N., van Wely, M., McLernon, D. J., Mol, F., Custers, I.M., Steures, P., Bhattacharya, S., Mol, B.W., van der Veen, F. and Eijkemans, M.J. 2019. Is IUI with ovarian stimulation effective in couples with unexplained subfertility? Human Reproduction 34 (1), pp. 84-91. 10.1093/humrep/dey329

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dey329

## Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <a href="http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html">http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html</a> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# 1 Is intrauterine insemination with ovarian stimulation

# effective in couples with unexplained subfertility?

3

4

Running title: Effectiveness of IUI with ovarian stimulation

5

- van Eekelen R<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, van Geloven N<sup>3</sup>, van Wely M<sup>1</sup>, McLernon DJ<sup>4</sup>, Mol F<sup>1</sup>, Custers IM<sup>1</sup>,
- 7 Steures P<sup>5</sup>, Bhattacharya S<sup>6</sup>, Mol BW<sup>7</sup>, van der Veen F<sup>1</sup>, Eijkemans MJ<sup>2</sup>

8

- 9 ¹Centre for Reproductive Medicine, Academic Medical Centre, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ
- Amsterdam, the Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Research Support, Julius
- 11 Centre, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, the
- Netherlands <sup>3</sup>Medical Statistics, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Leiden University
- 13 Medical Centre, Einthovenweg 20, 2333 ZC Leiden, the Netherlands <sup>4</sup>Medical Statistics
- 14 Team, Institute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, AB24 3FX Aberdeen,
- 15 United Kingdom <sup>5</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jeroen Bosch Ziekenhuis,
- Henri Dunantstraat 1, 5223 GZ Den Bosch, the Netherlands <sup>6</sup>Cardiff University School of
- 17 Medicine, Heath Park Cardiff CF14 4XN, United Kingdom <sup>7</sup>Department of Obstetrics and
- 18 Gynaecology, Monash University, Scenic Blvd, VIC 3800 Clayton, Australia

19

20

\*Correspondence address. E-mail: <a href="mailto:r.vaneekelen@amc.uva.nl">r.vaneekelen@amc.uva.nl</a>

## **Extended abstract**

**Study question:** Does starting intrauterine insemination with ovarian stimulation (IUI-OS) within one and a half years after completion of the fertility workup increase ongoing pregnancy rates compared to expectant management in couples with unexplained subfertility?

**Summary answer:** IUI-OS is associated with higher chances of ongoing pregnancy compared to expectant management in unexplained subfertile couples, specifically those with poor prognoses of natural conception i.e. <15% over 6 months or <25% over one year.

What is known already: IUI-OS is often the first-line treatment for couples with unexplained subfertility. Two randomised controlled trials compared IUI-OS to expectant management using different thresholds for the prognosis of natural conception as inclusion criteria and found conflicting results. A cohort of couples with unexplained subfertility exposed to expectant management and IUI-OS offers an opportunity to determine the chances of conception after both strategies and to evaluate whether the effect of IUI-OS depends on a couple's prognosis of natural conception.

**Study design, size, duration:** A prospective cohort study on couples with unexplained or mild male subfertility who could start IUI-OS at any point after completion of the fertility workup, recruited in 7 Dutch centres between January 2002 and February 2004. Decisions regarding treatment were subject to local protocols, the judgement of the clinician and the wishes of the couple. Couples with bilateral tubal occlusion, anovulation, or a total motile sperm count <1x10<sup>6</sup> were excluded. Follow up was censored at the start of IVF, after the last IUI cycle or at last contact and truncated at a maximum of one and a half years after the fertility workup.

Participants/materials, setting, methods: The endpoint was time to conception leading to an ongoing pregnancy. We used the sequential Cox approach comparing in each month ongoing pregnancy rates over the next 6 months of couples who started IUI-OS to couples who did not. We calculated the prognosis of natural conception for individual couples, updated this over consecutive failed cycles and evaluated whether prognosis modified the effect of starting IUI-OS. We corrected for known predictors of conception using inverse probability weighting.

Main results and the role of chance: Data from 1896 couples were available. There were 800 couples whom had at least one IUI-OS cycle within one and a half years post fertility workup of whom 142 couples conceived (rate: 0.50 per couple per year, median follow up 4 months). The median period between fertility workup completion and starting IUI-OS was 6.5 months. Out of 1096 untreated couples, 386 conceived naturally (rate: 0.31 per couple per year, median follow up 7 months). Starting IUI-OS was associated with a higher chance of ongoing pregnancy by a pooled, overall hazard ratio of 1.96 (95%CI: 1.47-2.62) compared to expectant management. The effect of treatment was modified by a couple's prognosis of achieving natural conception (p=0.01), with poorer prognoses or additional failed natural cycles being associated with a stronger effect of treatment. The predicted 6-month ongoing pregnancy rate for a couple with a prognosis of 25% at completion of the fertility workup over the next 6 cycles (approximately 40% over one year) was 25% (95%CI: 21-28%) for expectant management and 24% (95%CI: 9-36%) when starting IUI-OS directly. For a couple with a prognosis of 15% (25% over one year), these predicted rates were 17% (95%CI: 15-19%) for expectant management and 24% (95%CI: 15-32%) for starting IUI-OS.

**Limitations, reasons for caution:** The effect estimates are based on a prospective cohort followed up for one and a half years after completion of the fertility workup. Although we balanced the known predictors of conception between treated and untreated couples using

77 inverse probability weighting, observational data may be subject to residual confounding. The results need to be confirmed in external datasets. 78 79 Wider implications of the findings: These results explain the discrepancies between 80 previous trials that compared IUI-OS to expectant management, but further studies are 81 required to establish the threshold at which IUI-OS is (cost-)effective. 82 83 **Study funding/competing interest(s):** This study was facilitated by grant 945/12/002 from 84 ZonMW, The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development, The Hague, 85 The Netherlands. BWM is supported by a NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship (GNT1082548). 86 87 BWM reports consultancy for ObsEva, Merck and Guerbet. The other authors have no conflicts of interest. 88 89 Keywords 90 91 Intrauterine insemination; unexplained subfertility; prospective cohort; time-varying treatment; 92 sequential Cox model

## Introduction

couples who followed both strategies.

Couples who have been trying to conceive for at least 12 months and whose fertility workup fails to reveal any abnormalities are considered to have unexplained subfertility (Aboulghar *et al.*, 2009; Brandes *et al.*, 2010). IUI is often used as first-line treatment in these couples, especially in combination with ovarian stimulation (OS), since it is less invasive and less costly than IVF (Tjon-Kon-Fat *et al.*, 2015), despite conflicting evidence from randomised controlled trials regarding the effectiveness of IUI-OS. The two trials that compared IUI-OS to expectant management used different thresholds for the prognosis of natural conception as inclusion criteria. In women with an intermediate prognosis to conceive naturally i.e. an estimated probability between 30% and 40% to conceive within 12 months leading to live birth, IUI-OS was not more effective than expectant management (Steures *et al.*, 2006). In women with a poor prognosis i.e. <30% over 12 months, IUI-OS resulted in more live births than expectant management (Farquhar *et al.*, 2018).

The results of these two trials suggest that IUI-OS might be effective in couples with a poor prognosis, whereas it might be ineffective in couples with better prognoses. For example, couples who have been trying to conceive for a longer period of time and where the female partner is older and/or nulliparous might derive greater benefit from treatment (McLernon et al., 2014). However, these two trial results cannot be taken as definite evidence that we should treat all couples with a poor prognosis of natural conception. Other unmeasured- differences between the two trials could also explain the different results. The hypothesis that the prognostic profile of a couple determines the benefit of IUI-OS thus needs to be addressed directly in a single population that is heterogeneous in terms of their prognosis of natural conception. Knowledge on who is more likely to conceive with IUI-OS is critical in informing clinical decision making and avoids unnecessary treatment in some while ensuring early and appropriate access to active treatment in others.

The aim of this study was to determine the chances of conception after expectant management or starting IUI-OS in a cohort of unexplained subfertile couples that included

122

123

## **Materials and Methods**

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

Patient selection

Couples were selected from a prospective cohort recruited across 38 hospitals in The Netherlands between January 2002 and February 2004, the detailed protocol for which has been described elsewhere (van der Steeg et al., 2007). The purpose of recruiting this cohort was to validate the Hunault model to predict the chances of natural conception leading to live birth (Hunault et al., 2004). In 7 of these 38 centres, data on IUI cycles was also recorded and used to validate a prediction model for chances of ongoing pregnancy per IUI cycle (Steures et al., 2004; Custers et al., 2007). IVF pregnancy outcome data were not routinely collected but starting dates of IVF treatment were known. Couples from the 7 centres that recorded IUI data were included in the current study. We defined subfertility as couples trying to conceive for at least 12 months (Habbema et al., 2004; Gnoth et al., 2005). Selected subfertile couples had regular menstrual cycles (cycle length between 23 and 35 days), at least one patent fallopian tube if hysterosalpingography, laparoscopy or transvaginal hydrolaparoscopy was performed and a total motile sperm count  $> 1 \times 10^6$ . Women were evaluated for tubal patency according to the Dutch national guidelines and protocols from 2002 to 2004 recommending the chlamydia antibody test (CAT) as the firstline test (NVOG, 2004). In women who were CAT negative, invasive diagnostic testing was usually refrained from, thus limiting tubal patency testing to the CAT (Broeze et al., 2011). Women who tested positive on the CAT, or if their history indicated a high risk of tubal pathology, were subsequently tested with hysterosalpingography, transvaginal hydrolaparoscopy or laparoscopy. Decisions regarding treatment - IUI, IVF or expectant management - were made according to

local protocols and subject to the judgement of the clinician and the wishes of the couple.

Expectant management was defined as no intervention or monitoring aside from the advice to have intercourse. Eighty-three percent of couples who received IUI used stimulation in at least one cycle and treatment was considered IUI-OS. The IUI protocols have been described in more detail elsewhere (Custers *et al.*, 2007).

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

149

150

151

152

Follow up and outcome definitions

For the follow up of selected couples, we distinguished between time spent pursuing expectant management and time spent receiving IUI-OS cycles. The start of the IUI period was defined as the first day of menstruation before the first IUI cycle. The end of the IUI period was defined as the first day of menstruation before the last IUI cycle. With this definition, and because natural conceptions after unsuccessful IUI cycles were not recorded, all pregnancies in the IUI period resulted from IUI. The start of expectant management coincided with the completion of the fertility workup and ended at the last date of contact, first day of last menstruation before starting IUI or IVF or, in case they conceived naturally, the first day of the last menstruation before conceiving. We visualised the transition from expectant management to IUI-OS in the cohort by counting the number of couples in both groups over follow up. The endpoint was ongoing pregnancy, defined as the presence of foetal cardiac activity at transvaginal sonography at a gestational age of at least 12 weeks (van der Steeg et al., 2007). Couples who miscarried before 12 weeks were not censored since they could still achieve ongoing pregnancy in subsequent cycles after their miscarriage. If no ongoing pregnancy occurred, we censored follow up at the end of expectant management or, if

172

173

174

175

176

Cumulative pregnancy rates over multiple IUI cycles

treated, at the end of the IUI period.

We opted for the Sequential Cox approach to be able to compare treated and untreated couples over multiple cycles after starting treatment, not only directly after completion of the fertility workup but also if they started later (Gran *et al.*, 2010).

In this approach, we derived multiple datasets from the cohort in which couples started IUI-OS at approximately the same point in time and compared them to couples undergoing expectant management at that time, mimicking hypothetical randomised controlled trials (Gran et al., 2010). At completion of the fertility workup and each consecutive month thereafter, i.e. the landmark time points, we constructed such a new mimicked trial from our data in which we included all couples who remained in the cohort i.e. couples who had not conceived and who were not lost to follow up before that point. In these 'trial' sets spanning 6 months, we considered couples as treated if they started IUI-OS early i.e. within one month after the landmark time point. Couples who started IUI-OS within the 6 month window of a trial, but later than one month after the landmark time point, were 'artificially censored' at the time of starting IUI-OS to retain a treatment group that all started at approximately the same time. This way, couples were not included in a single group throughout the study. Instead, couples who started IUI-OS were analysed as controls (under expectant management) in the trials preceding the month in which they started IUI-OS, at which point they were analysed over cumulative treatment cycles as part of the treated (IUI-OS) group in the mimicked trial that started that month. The maximum follow up period of 18 months after the fertility workup was chosen because of the small numbers of couples starting treatment thereafter. Thus, we derived trial datasets from landmark time point 0 i.e. the fertility workup until the final landmark time point at 12 months

197198

199

200

201

202

203

204

trials.

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

Adjusting for patient characteristics that differed between treated and untreated couples

To balance treated, untreated, artificially censored and uncensored couples in important predictors of conception, we applied iterative inverse probability weighting (Austin, 2011; van der Wal, 2011; Austin and Stuart, 2015). Details on how we derived the weights to adjust for these differences are given in the **Supplementary Material**. The patient characteristics we chose to balance were: female age, duration of subfertility, primary or secondary subfertility,

after the workup, sliding forward in intervals of one month, resulting in a total of 13 mimicked

total motile sperm count, referral status, presence of one-sided tubal pathology and fertility clinic (Hunault *et al.*, 2004; van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017a). We calculated the mean weight, which is ideally around 1 to avoid inflating the effective sample size (Cole and Hernan, 2008).

We assessed the degree of balance in patient characteristics before and after weighting using the standardized mean difference between the treated and untreated group. A lower standardized mean difference between groups represents better balance and a value below 0.10 generally indicates no important difference (Austin, 2011; Austin and Stuart, 2015).

# Statistical analysis

We analysed the weighted datasets using a pooled Cox proportional hazards model with IUI-OS or expectant management as a treatment covariate. We calculated an overall hazard ratio by stratifying on all 13 mimicked trials. We used a robust sandwich variance estimator to adjust precision measures since couples can be included in multiple trials (Wei *et al.*, 1989). Using the pooled Cox model, we predicted the probability of conception over 6 months when couples start IUI-OS immediately after completion of the fertility workup or when they remain on expectant management.

# Modification of the estimated effect of IUI-OS by the prognosis of natural conception

To address whether the effect of starting IUI-OS depends on the decreasing prognosis of natural conception of the individual couple, we added a treatment-by-prognosis interaction term to the model. We calculated a time-updated prognosis of natural conception over the next 6 cycles at the start of each mimicked trial dataset by using the dynamic prediction model that comprises female age, duration of subfertility, primary or secondary subfertility, percentage of progressive motile sperm and referral by a general practitioner or specialist (van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017a). The prognosis for a couple is thus not one fixed value throughout the study, but decreases after consecutive failed natural cycles. We included the complementary log-log of this updated prognosis as a main effect, the main effect for treatment and the treatment-by-

prognosis interaction effect in the pooled Cox model. The weighting procedure was adjusted slightly for this analysis (VanderWeele, 2009) because the difference in prognosis between groups was adjusted for by adding it to the model as a main effect (see also **Supplementary Material**).

For three hypothetical couples, we visually depicted the relationship between their worsening prognoses and the accompanying 6-month cumulative predicted probability of conception following expectant management or starting IUI-OS, shown as treatment is initiated later. The first example is a couple referred by their general practitioner, where the female partner is nulliparous and 32 years old, the couple has 1 year of subfertility at the time of completion of the fertility workup and the semen analysis showed 37% progressively motile sperm. In this case, the estimated prognosis of natural conception over the next 6 cycles is 25%. A second couple with the same characteristics except for a 2 year duration of subfertility at the completion of the fertility workup has a prognosis of 20% while a third couple with the same characteristics but for a 3.5 year duration of subfertility has a prognosis of 15%. At the time of the completion of their fertility workup, these couples have prognoses of 25%, 20% and 15% respectively over 6 cycles, which translates to approximately 40%, 32% and 25% respectively over 13 cycles i.e. one year (van Eekelen et al., 2017a). If these three hypothetical couples should fail to conceive naturally over the course of one year after completion of the fertility workup i.e. if they would 'enter' the latest mimicked trial, their prognoses over the next 6 cycles decrease to 13%, 10% and 7% respectively. Estimated cumulative probabilities of ongoing pregnancy from this model are derived from the separate mimicked trials that all have different observed conception rates, thus predictions may fluctuate. We considered an absolute difference of more than 5% between point estimates of the cumulative ongoing pregnancy rates, estimated at the completion of the fertility workup,

258

259

260

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

to signify a benefit of IUI-OS.

In addition to the impact of prognosis or a failure to conceive in consecutive natural cycles on the effect of treatment, we modelled if the effect of IUI-OS depends on the time of initiation of

treatment by adding an additional interaction between treatment and landmark time point to 261 the pooled Cox model already including treatment, prognosis and the treatment-by-prognosis 262 263 interaction. We also added a three-way interaction between treatment, prognosis and 264 landmark time point to the previous model to see if the effect modification of prognosis on IUI-265 OS changed over mimicked trials i.e. when starting treatment later. As a sensitivity analysis, we tested whether couples with mild male subfertility had more or 266 less benefit from IUI-OS compared to couples that did not have mild male subfertility. We 267 268 classified couples as having mild male subfertility when they had a total motile count between 1 and 10x10<sup>6</sup>, then tested the hypothesis by fitting a Cox model including treatment, the mild 269 270 male subfertility classification and their interaction. 271 We used Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) and Wald tests for the interaction terms to 272 273 determine whether including the interactions resulted in a better fit of the model to the data 274 (Akaike, 1974). 275 276 Missing data 277 Missing data were accounted for using multiple imputation in a previous study, creating ten 278 imputation sets (van Eekelen et al., 2017a). In total, only 1.3% of patient characteristic data 279 used for this study were missing and we chose to use one randomly selected imputation set for our analyses. 280 281 All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.3.2 2222(R Core Team (2013). R: A 282 language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 283

Vienna, Austria. <a href="http://www.R-project.org/">http://www.R-project.org/</a>) using the survival, dynpred and CreateTableOne

286

287

288

284

285

packages.

Results

(Figure 1) (van der Steeg et al., 2007). Of these, 800 couples had at least one IUI cycle within one and a half years after the workup for a total of 3119 cycles (Table I) following which 142 couples conceived leading to ongoing pregnancy (rate: 0.50 per couple per year over a median follow up for IUI of 4 months). Out of 1096 untreated couples followed up for one and a half years after the fertility workup, 386 conceived naturally leading to ongoing pregnancy (rate: 0.31 per couple per year over a median follow up of 7 months). Among women who remained on expectant management, 68 miscarried and 7 had an ectopic pregnancy. Among women treated with IUI-OS, 35 miscarried and 7 women had an ectopic pregnancy. Out of 800 couples who underwent IUI-OS, 64 started treatment directly after completion of the fertility workup and 736 had a prior period of expectant management after completion of their fertility workup. The median period between completion of the fertility workup and starting IUI-OS was 6.5 months. In 57% of IUI cycles, recombinant gonadotrophins were used, in 24% no medication was used, in 9% clomiphene citrate was used and in 7% urinary gonadotrophins were used. In 3% of cycles, another type of drug was used or data were missing. Two hundred and sixty eight couples (14%) received IVF as their first treatment, with a median period of expectant management of one year between completion of the fertility workup and the start of IVF. We depicted the number of couples currently in the expectant management or IUI-OS group over time in Figure 2. Until ten months after the fertility workup, there was a steady increase in the number of couples who were currently in a treatment pathway, after which this number declined again.

From the 7860 couples included in the initial cohort, we selected 1896 couples for analysis

312

313

314

315

316

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

The baseline characteristics for couples who eventually received at least one cycle of IUI-OS within one and a half years after the fertility workup or who remained untreated are summarized in **Table I**. Treated couples more often had primary subfertility (70% versus 60%) and were more often tested for tubal patency using laparoscopy,

hysterosalpingography or transvaginal hydrolaparoscopy (55% versus 39%) compared to couples that were not treated. Female age, on average 32.1 years old (SD: 4.4) and median duration of subfertility of 1.6 years (5th-95th percentile: 1.0-4.7), both at completion of the fertility workup, were similar between groups.

In the weighted trial datasets, the standardized mean differences between treated and untreated couples were below 0.10 for all characteristics, indicating that the two groups were well balanced in terms of prognostic factors after weighting. The mean weight used in the pooled dataset was 1.00, indicating that weights are stable and do not artificially inflate sample size.

## Effect estimates of IUI-OS

Starting IUI-OS was associated with increased ongoing pregnancy rates compared to expectant management as shown by an estimated hazard ratio of 1.96 (95%CI: 1.47-2.62), pooling all 13 mimicked, weighted trials running over 6 months.

The predicted probability that a couple would conceive over the course of 6 months of expectant management after the fertility workup was 17% (95%CI: 16-19%). If the couple

started IUI-OS directly after completion of the fertility workup, their estimated probability of

conception was 31% (23-38%) over that same period.

The relative effect of IUI-OS depended on the prognosis of natural conception (p=0.01). The relationship between prognosis and the estimated treatment effect as time progresses is visualised in **Figure 3**, in which estimated 6-month cumulative probabilities of conception with and without starting IUI-OS are shown for three different example couples with a prognosis to conceive naturally at completion of the fertility workup over the next 6 cycles of 25% (**A**), 20% (**B**) or 15% (**C**), which were updated over time when these couples fail consecutive natural cycles and start treatment later.

The absolute cumulative predicted probability to conceive over 6 months after starting IUI-OS was stable at around 24%, regardless of the prognosis at completion of the workup or the

time thereafter when a couple would start, which leads to larger differences between IUI-OS and expectant management for couples at lower prognoses of natural conception and/or for couples after additional failed natural cycles, both on absolute and relative scale.

It follows from **Figure 3** that a couple who has tried to conceive for 3.5 years post fertility work up with a prognosis of 15% over the next 6 months (approximately 25% over one year) has a higher predicted probability when starting treatment directly after completion of the fertility workup compared to expectant management, whereas a couple who tried to conceive for 1 year with a prognosis of 25% (approximately 40% over one year) does not.

Apart from the influence of decreasing prognosis over time, we found no evidence that the relative effect of IUI-OS changed as treatment was started later (p=0.38) nor that the dependency of the relative effect of IUI-OS on prognosis additionally depended on when treatment was started (p=0.66). We found no evidence for a difference in the benefit of IUI-OS between couples with or without mild male subfertility (p=0.75).

# **Discussion**

In couples with unexplained subfertility, starting IUI-OS within one and a half years after completion of the fertility workup was associated with increased ongoing pregnancy rates over 6 months compared to expectant management. The estimated benefit of treatment depended on the prognosis of natural conception, not only expressed at the time of completion of the fertility workup but also after additional failed natural cycles.

Our study has a number of strengths. First, the chosen study design represents the best possible design for an observational study, being less subject to selection bias than a comparison of separate cohorts since unexplained subfertile couples that will eventually be treated or not were both sampled using a prospective approach and followed up thereafter (Aboulghar *et al.*, 2009; McLernon *et al.*, 2014; van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017b). In addition, we

were able to adjust for differences in prognostic factors between treated and untreated couples and the relatively large sample size and long follow up meant we were able to study whether the prognosis of natural conception influences the effect of IUI, an approach aimed to improve the management of unexplained subfertile couples and to explain the discrepancies between existing trials.

Second, data collection took place before the current Dutch clinical guideline for fertility recommending the application of the prediction model for natural conception leading to live birth by Hunault *et* al. to decide on treatment (NVOG, 2010). Because decisions regarding treatment were not clear-cut at the time, this led to considerable variation in observed time points when couples started IUI and ensured that most couples would be eligible for treatment, reducing confounding by indication.

Third, we defined the IUI period as the time between the first and last cycle, even when treatment cycles were not consecutive, which is a realistic measure of the actual time that couples spend in an IUI program. This allowed comparison with expectant management on the same axis representing 'real' calendar time and allows our results to be easily interpreted (Daya, 2005).

Fourth, we focused on the clinical question on what would occur when treatment would be started at a given time point and applied methodology that matched this question. When analysing the data using a regular Cox approach with a time-varying covariate for treatment, the couples currently undergoing IUI at a certain point in time consist of couples who just started and couples who already failed several cycles, such that the resulting estimate would be different from 'starting IUI' and more difficult to interpret (Gran *et al.*, 2010).

Our study also has some limitations. An adequately powered, randomised controlled trial offers the best way of evaluating the effectiveness of IUI-OS compared to expectant management; our observational study following a cohort of couples after both strategies is a less robust design because treatment was not randomly allocated, which means that treated

and untreated couples might differ on important factors related to conception. We tried to balance these factors in both groups using (iterative) inverse probability weighting.

Nevertheless, our results could still be influenced by unmeasured factors related to conception i.e. residual confounding. However, the advantage of using observational data on couples with a wide range of prognoses of natural conception was that we could directly address the hypothesis regarding the influence of prognosis on the relative effect of IUI-OS. Addressing this hypothesis with an experimental design would require the unfeasible, inefficient and indirect approach of conducting multiple smaller, separate trials applying different inclusion criteria.

The primary outcome was ongoing pregnancy since following couples for live birth increases logistical efforts that the study budget did not allow for. In addition, following couples for live birth increases the likelihood of loss-to-follow-up. Ongoing pregnancy is generally considered an appropriate and efficient proxy for live birth in clinical research: approximately 95% of ongoing pregnancies lead to live birth (Clarke *et al.*, 2010; Braakhekke *et al.*, 2014).

54% of couples only received a CAT and not a visual test for tubal patency. It is unlikely that this has led to clinically relevant differences in tubal pathology between treated and untreated couples because the decision to initiate IUI-OS was taken in the absence of visual confirmation of tubal patency and thus, any difference is likely due to chance.

We lacked the sample size to accurately estimate interactions between all separate patient characteristics female age, duration of subfertility etc. and IUI-OS to further individualize treatment effects. Instead, we opted for the summary score in terms of an estimated prognosis such that only one additional parameter was required (Dahabreh *et al.*, 2016) and updated this over time using our previously developed dynamic model for natural conception to determine when a couple would benefit from starting treatment (van Eekelen et al., 2017a).

Our findings add context to the apparent discrepancies between the two randomised controlled trials that compared IUI-OS to expectant management (Steures *et al.*, 2006; Farquhar *et al.*, 2018). Steures *et al.* included 253 couples with an intermediate prognosis for natural conception i.e. 30% to 40% as calculated by the Hunault model and found a risk ratio of 0.85 (95%CI: 0.63-1.10) for ongoing pregnancy after IUI-OS compared to expectant management over the course of 6 months. The pragmatic TUI trial by Farquhar *et al.* included 201 couples with a prognosis <30% and found a beneficial effect of IUI-OS compared to expectant management by a risk ratio of 3.41 (95%CI: 1.71-6.79). Couples in the latter study had a much worse prognosis of natural conception compared to the first; mostly due to an average duration of subfertility of 3.6 years that was almost double the average duration in the trial by Steures *et al.* and in the present study. Our finding that the benefit of IUI-OS is larger in couples with poorer prognoses of natural conception, both on relative and absolute scale, may primarily explain and support the difference in results.

Couples with a prognosis of natural conception of 25% over 6 months (approximately 40% over one year) or higher were not expected to benefit from IUI-OS. For couples with a prognosis around 20% over 6 months (approximately 32% over one year), it was uncertain if we can expect a clinically relevant benefit of IUI-OS. Couples with a prognosis of 15% over 6 months (approximately 25% over one year) or lower were expected to benefit from IUI-OS as the absolute difference in pregnancy rates was more than 5%.

Since IUI-OS is invasive, expensive and associated with potential disadvantages such as ovarian hyperstimulation or multiple pregnancies (Rooney and Domar, 2016), we believe that counselling couples should involve a discussion about their prognosis when following alternative treatment scenarios so that they are able to make an informed choice. These results, in combination with the prognosis of natural conception derived from a prediction model (van Eekelen *et al.*, 2017a), can be used to attain that goal.

Because confidence intervals around the predicted pregnancy rates after IUI-OS for a given prognosis were broad in the current study, the results need to be interpreted with caution and replicated in further research.

# Conclusion

Within one and a half years post fertility workup, starting IUI-OS is associated with higher chances of conception in couples with unexplained subfertility and a poor prognosis for natural conception i.e. <15% over 6 months or <25% over one year. Our results explain the discrepancies between two trials that compared IUI-OS to expectant management using different inclusion criteria for the prognosis of natural conception. These results may be used in counselling couples with unexplained subfertility. Future studies should focus on establishing the threshold for the prognosis of natural conception at which IUI-OS is deemed (cost-)effective.

**Acknowledgements** 467 The authors would like to thank Prof. Hein Putter and colleagues from Leiden University 468 469 Medical Center, the Netherlands and Dr. Marijn Hazelbag and colleagues from the Julius Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, the Netherlands for their insightful comments 470 regarding the methodology used in this paper. 471 472 473 Author's roles: NvG, PS, FvdV and MJE conceived the study. PS and IMC collected and cleaned the data. 474 RvE, MJE and NvG designed the statistical analysis plan. RvE analysed the data. RvE and 475 NvG drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed critical revision to the paper and 476 477 approved the final manuscript. 478 Funding 479 This study was facilitated by grant 945/12/002 from ZonMW, The Netherlands Organization 480 481 for Health Research and Development, The Hague, The Netherlands. BWM is supported by a NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship (GNT1082548). 482 483 **Conflicts of Interest** 484 485 BWM reports consultancy for ObsEva, Merck and Guerbet. The other authors have no conflicts of interest. 486 487

# References 488 489 Aboulghar M, Baird D, Collin J, Evers J, Fauser B, Lambalk C and Other A. Intrauterine 490 insemination. Hum Reprod Update 2009;15:265-277. 491 492 Akaike H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic* 493 Control 1974;19:716-723. 494 495 Austin PC. An Introduction to Propensity Score Methods for Reducing the Effects of 496 Confounding in Observational Studies. Multivariate Behav Res 2011;46:399-424. 497 498 Austin PC and Stuart EA. Moving towards best practice when using inverse probability of 499 treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score to estimate causal treatment effects in 500 501 observational studies. Stat Med 2015;34:3661-3679. 502 Braakhekke M, Kamphuis El, Dancet EA, Mol F, van der Veen F and Mol BW. Ongoing 503 pregnancy qualifies best as the primary outcome measure of choice in trials in reproductive 504 medicine: an opinion paper. Fertil Steril 2014;101:1203-1204. 505 506 Brandes M, Hamilton CJ, de Bruin JP, Nelen WL and Kremer JA. The relative contribution of 507 508 IVF to the total ongoing pregnancy rate in a subfertile cohort. *Hum Reprod* 2010;**25**:118-126.

Broeze KA, Opmeer BC, Coppus SF, Van Geloven N, Alves MF, Anestad G, Bhattacharya S,
Allan J, Guerra-Infante MF, Den Hartog JE, *et al.* Chlamydia antibody testing and diagnosing

tubal pathology in subfertile women: an individual patient data meta-analysis. Hum Reprod 512 *Update* 2011;**17**:301-310. 513 514 Clarke JF, van Rumste MM, Farquhar CM, Johnson NP, Mol BW and Herbison P. Measuring 515 516 outcomes in fertility trials: can we rely on clinical pregnancy rates? Fertil Steril 2010;94:1647-517 1651. 518 Cole SR and Hernan MA. Constructing inverse probability weights for marginal structural 519 520 models. Am J Epidemiol 2008;168:656-664. 521 522 Custers IM, Steures P, van der Steeg JW, van Dessel TJ, Bernardus RE, Bourdrez P, Koks CA, Riedijk WJ, Burggraaff JM, van der Veen F, et al. External validation of a prediction model 523 for an ongoing pregnancy after intrauterine insemination. Fertil Steril 2007;88:425-431. 524 525 Dahabreh IJ, Hayward R and Kent DM. Using group data to treat individuals: understanding 526 527 heterogeneous treatment effects in the age of precision medicine and patient-centred evidence. Int J Epidemiol 2016;45:2184-2193. 528 529 Daya S. Life table (survival) analysis to generate cumulative pregnancy rates in assisted 530 reproduction: are we overestimating our success rates? Hum Reprod 2005;20:1135-1143. 531 532 Farquhar CM, Liu E, Armstrong S, Arroll N, Lensen S and Brown J. Intrauterine insemination 533 534 with ovarian stimulation versus expectant management for unexplained infertility (TUI): a pragmatic, open-label, randomised, controlled, two-centre trial. Lancet 2018;391:441-450. 535

Gnoth C, Godehardt E, Frank-Herrmann P, Friol K, Tigges J and Freundl G. Definition and 537 prevalence of subfertility and infertility. Hum Reprod 2005;20:1144-1147. 538 539 Gran JM, Roysland K, Wolbers M, Didelez V, Sterne JA, Ledergerber B, Furrer H, von Wyl V 540 and Aalen OO. A sequential Cox approach for estimating the causal effect of treatment in the 541 542 presence of time-dependent confounding applied to data from the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Stat Med 2010;**29**:2757-2768. 543 544 545 Habbema JD, Collins J, Leridon H, Evers JL, Lunenfeld B and te Velde ER. Towards less confusing terminology in reproductive medicine: a proposal. *Hum Reprod* 2004;**19**:1497-1501. 546 547 Hunault CC, Habbema JD, Eijkemans MJ, Collins JA, Evers JL and te Velde ER. Two new 548 prediction rules for spontaneous pregnancy leading to live birth among subfertile couples, 549 based on the synthesis of three previous models. Hum Reprod 2004;19:2019-2026. 550 551 552 McLernon DJ, te Velde ER, Steyerberg EW, Mol BW and Bhattacharya S. Clinical prediction models to inform individualized decision-making in subfertile couples: a stratified medicine 553 approach. Hum Reprod 2014;29:1851-1858. 554 555 NVOG, Dutch Society for Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Guideline on basic fertility workup 556 557 (2004).558 559 NVOG, Dutch Society for Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Guideline on: subfertility (2010).

Accessed on: 5th of February, 2017. Available from: http://bit.ly/1UhuYMV.

R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation 562 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <a href="http://www.R-project.org/">http://www.R-project.org/</a>. 563 564 Rooney KL and Domar AD. The impact of stress on fertility treatment. Curr Opin Obstet 565 Gynecol 2016;28:198-201. 566 567 Steures P, van der Steeg JW, Hompes PG, Habbema JD, Eijkemans MJ, Broekmans FJ, 568 569 Verhoeve HR, Bossuyt PM, van der Veen F and Mol BW. Intrauterine insemination with controlled ovarian hyperstimulation versus expectant management for couples with 570 571 unexplained subfertility and an intermediate prognosis: a randomised clinical trial. Lancet 2006;**368**:216-221. 572 573 Steures P, van der Steeg JW, Mol BW, Eijkemans MJ, van der Veen F, Habbema JD, Hompes 574 575 PG, Bossuyt PM, Verhoeve HR, van Kasteren YM, et al. Prediction of an ongoing pregnancy after intrauterine insemination. Fertil Steril 2004;82:45-51. 576 577 Tjon-Kon-Fat RI, Bensdorp AJ, Bossuyt PM, Koks C, Oosterhuis GJ, Hoek A, Hompes P, 578 Broekmans FJ, Verhoeve HR, de Bruin JP, et al. Is IVF-served two different ways-more cost-579 580 effective than IUI with controlled ovarian hyperstimulation? Hum Reprod 2015;30:2331-2339. 581 a. van Eekelen R, Scholten I, Tjon-Kon-Fat RI, van der Steeg JW, Steures P, Hompes P, van 582 583 Wely M, van der Veen F, Mol BW, Eijkemans MJ, et al. Natural conception: repeated predictions over time. Hum Reprod 2017;32:346-353. 584 585 b. van Eekelen R, van Geloven N, van Wely M, McLernon DJ, Eijkemans MJ, Repping S, 586

Steyerberg EW, Mol BW, Bhattacharya S and van der Veen F. Constructing the crystal ball:

how to get reliable prognostic information for the management of subfertile couples. Hum 588 Reprod 2017;**32**:2153-2158. 589 590 van der Steeg JW, Steures P, Eijkemans MJ, Habbema JD, Hompes PG, Broekmans FJ, van 591 Dessel HJ, Bossuyt PM, van der Veen F and Mol BW. Pregnancy is predictable: a large-scale 592 593 prospective external validation of the prediction of spontaneous pregnancy in subfertile couples. Hum Reprod 2007;22:536-542. 594 595 596 van der Wal W (2011). Causal modelling in epidemiological practice [PhD thesis, 2011]. Chapter 8: Using iterative probability weighting to improve causal effect estimates. University 597 of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Available from: http://bit.ly/2kWMrRt. 598 599 VanderWeele TJ. On the distinction between interaction and effect modification. *Epidemiology* 600 2009;**20**:863-871. 601 602 603 Wei L, Lin D and Weissfeld L. Regression analysis of multivariate incomplete failure time data by modeling marginal distributions. Journal of the American Statistical Association 604 605 1989;**84**:1065-1073. 606 607 Figure legends 608 Figure 1 Flow chart of couples from the cohort who were included in the analysis. 609 610 611 Figure 2 Number of couples currently on treatment or not as time progresses, depicted in the 612 original dataset (n=1896) before the sequential Cox procedure.

**Figure 3** The association between the predicted prognosis of natural conception and the estimated benefit of starting IUI-OS. This is shown as cumulative probabilities over 6 months (y-axis) when starting IUI-OS or not at different time points after completion of the fertility workup (x-axis) for three example couples that have three different prognoses at the workup completion: 25% (panel A), 20% (panel B) or 15% (panel C). The prognosis was calculated over 6 cycles and updated after additional failed natural cycles. Grey bands represent 95% confidence limits.